

## CORRECTION

View Article Online  
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2021, **19**, 1155

## Correction: Rhodium(III)-catalyzed oxidative alkylation of *N*-aryl-7-azaindoles with cyclopropanols

Jidan Liu,<sup>\*a</sup> Jinyuan Jiang,<sup>a</sup> Zhenke Yang,<sup>a</sup> Qiaohai Zeng,<sup>a</sup> Jieying Zheng,<sup>a</sup> Siying Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Liyao Zheng,<sup>a</sup> Shang-Shi Zhang<sup>b</sup> and Zhao-Qing Liu<sup>\*a</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/d1ob90008k

rsc.li/obc

Correction for 'Rhodium(III)-catalyzed oxidative alkylation of *N*-aryl-7-azaindoles with cyclopropanols' by Jidan Liu *et al.*, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2021, DOI: 10.1039/d0b02323j.

The authors regret that in Table 1 the additives for entries 7–11 are incorrect. The correct table is shown below.

**Table 1** Optimization of reaction conditions<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Rh catalyst	Additive	Oxidant	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	82
2	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	PhI(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	9
3	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	O <sub>2</sub>	Trace
4	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	54
5	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	AgOAc	62
6	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	Ag <sub>2</sub> O	48
7	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	86
8	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	KOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	83
9	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	NaOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	75
10	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	AgSbF <sub>6</sub>	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	43
11	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	AgOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	36
12	Cp*Rh(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	80
13	Rh(Ph <sub>3</sub> P) <sub>3</sub> Cl	CsOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	14
14	Cp*Rh(CH <sub>3</sub> CN) <sub>3</sub> (SbF <sub>6</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>		Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	22
15	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	65 <sup>c</sup>
16	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	83 <sup>d</sup>
17	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	77 <sup>e</sup>
18	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	85 <sup>f</sup>
19	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O/O <sub>2</sub>	18 <sup>g</sup>
20		CsOAc	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	nr
21	[Cp*RhCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CsOAc		nr

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (0.3 mmol), Rh catalyst (4 mol%), additive (20 mol%) and oxidant (2 equiv.) in MeOH (1 mL) in a sealed tube at 50 °C under N<sub>2</sub> for 4 h. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield of **3a** based on **1a**. <sup>c</sup> At 30 °C. <sup>d</sup> At 70 °C. <sup>e</sup> Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (1.8 equiv.) was used. <sup>f</sup> Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (2.1 equiv.) was used. <sup>g</sup> Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (0.2 equiv.) was used.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering/Institute of Clean Energy and Materials/Guangzhou Key Laboratory for Clean Energy and Materials, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, P. R. China. E-mail: jdlu@gzhu.edu.cn, lzqgz@gzhu.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup>Center for Drug Research and Development, Guangdong Pharmaceutical University, Guangzhou, P. R. China

