REVIEW ARTICLE
David R. Spring et al.
Chemical probes targeting the kinase CK2: a journey outside the catalytic box
Chemical probes targeting the kinase CK2: a journey outside the catalytic box

Jessica Iegre,†a Eleanor L. Atkinson,†a Paul D. Brear,b Bethany M. Cooper,†a Marko Hyvönenb and David R. Spring∗a

CK2 is a protein kinase that plays important roles in many physio-pathological cellular processes. As such, the development of chemical probes for CK2 has received increasing attention in the past decade with more than 40 lead compounds developed. In this review, we aim to provide the reader with a comprehensive overview of the chemical probes acting outside the highly-conserved ATP-site developed to date. Such probes belong to different classes of molecules spanning from small molecules to peptides, act with a range of mechanisms of action and some of them present themselves as promising tools to investigate the biology of CK2 and therefore develop therapeutics for many disease areas including cancer and COVID-19.

Introduction

Protein kinases are considered the master regulators of the cell machinery. They exert their functions through the phosphorylation of other proteins with consequent activation or deactivation of crucially important cellular signaling pathways.1

The essential cellular processes that kinases regulate comprise cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, and death. Therefore, it is unsurprising that gene mutations that alter the function or expression levels of protein kinases lead to the insurgence of several diseases including neurological, immunological, hematological, endocrine, and skeletal disorders, as well as cancer.2 The implication of protein kinases in disease generation and progression has been widely recognized by the pharmaceutical community, and, as of today, there are 75 FDA approved drugs targeting this class of proteins.3
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Among the >500 protein kinases identified in the human proteome, there is CK2α (formerly referred to as Casein Kinase 2).\(^1\) CK2α and its very similar paralog CK2α' are a serine/threonine kinases belonging to the CMCG family. Unlike other eukaryotic kinases, CK2α is constitutively active and as such, does not require upstream phosphorylation to exert its function.\(^5\) They form a tetrameric complex with a non-catalytic scaffolding subunit CK2β. CK2 phosphorylates more than 300 substrates and is thus one of the most promiscuous kinase we know.\(^6\) CK2 is found in both healthy and cancerous cells - whilst the protein levels in healthy cells increase during proliferation only, cancerous cells are characterized by overexpression of the different subunits which contributes to their rapid growth, proliferation, and their ability to escape apoptosis.\(^7\)

Breast, lung, prostate, colorectal, renal cancer, leukemia, and glioblastoma are among the cancerous tissues featuring particularly high CK2 content, and hence, CK2 inhibitors could prove particularly useful as therapeutic agents for the treatment of these types of cancer.\(^8\) Indeed, an orally available CK2α inhibitor, named CX4945 (silmitasertib), is currently undergoing clinical studies for the treatment of cholangiocarcinoma paving the way for CK2 to be validated as an anticancer target.\(^9\)

Although the role of CK2 in cancer progression is the most studied, it should be noted that the kinase is also involved in other pathological processes. For example, recently CK2 has been found to be interacting with the nucleocapsid of SARS-CoV-2, possibly contributing to COVID-19.\(^10,11\) Studies probing this relationship are in their infancy, however, it is possible that CK2 may represent a valid target for the treatment of coronaviruses in the future.

Many are the mechanisms by which CK2 can be inhibited, and therefore, there is a large number of inhibitors and chemical probes developed to date: from small molecules to peptide and peptidomimetics, to polyoxometalates.\(^12\) Similar to other kinases, the most studied mode of inhibition is ATP-competitive, where a ligand competes with ATP and hence prevents substrate phosphorylation. Though effective, one of the drawbacks of this strategy is that the ATP binding site is highly conserved across the kinome, leading to numerous challenges when developing a selective chemical probe for the target kinase.\(^13,14\) Most CK2α inhibitors serve as an example to this problem, including the clinical trial candidate CX4945.\(^9\) In addition, cellular concentration of ATP is high and therefore high-affinity ATP-competitive inhibitors are needed to effectively reduce the activity of the target. To overcome this issue, more selective strategies of CK2 inhibition have been explored including targeting of sites outside the ATP pocket, inhibition of the formation of the holoenzyme, and displacement of endogenous substrates.

In this review, we aim to provide a comprehensive overview of the molecular and structural biology of CK2, mechanisms of inhibition, and chemical probes for CK2 developed to date with emphasis on those acting outside the catalytic box (Fig. 1). In addition to describing their discovery and applications, this review provides a critical view of the challenges and opportunities of this promising class of chemical probes.

### CK2 kinase

#### Protein structure and characteristics

The CK2 holoenzyme is a tetramer constituted by two catalytic subunits, α and/or α’ connected by a dimer of the two regulatory subunits, β (Fig. 1). The α subunit presents 20 additional amino acids at the C-terminus that are absent in α’. The catalytic domain (∼48 kDa) is responsible for the phosphorylation of protein substrates and comprises the ATP binding site between the N- and C-terminal domains, linked via the so-called αD region. The N-terminal domain comprises of one α-helix, named αC and five β-sheets, β1–β5. The αC helix contacts the kinase substrates and, due to its lysine-rich content, imparts to the kinase a preference for acidic substrates. The C-terminal domain of CK2α comprises seven α-helices and two β-sheets that form the floor of the ATP binding site and the
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activation loop. The αD pocket, a pocket that has been recently discovered and that can be exploited to achieve selective CK2 inhibition, is located near the αD helix of the C-terminal domain.\textsuperscript{15,16} It is the conformation of the αC helix and the DWG motif in the activation segment that make the kinase constitutively active. In particular, the protein’s N-terminus makes extensive contact with the αC helix which remains locked in an open conformation and allows for substrate binding. Unlike other closely related kinases, CK2 features a DWG motif (Asp175–Trp176–Gly177) in the activation loop rather than a DFG motif. The Phe to Trp mutation allows the creation of an additional H-bond between the indole of Trp176 and the Leu173 which maintains the kinase in an active state. This constitutive activity means that, notably, the majority of CK2 substrates do not require the presence of CK2β to be phosphorylated.\textsuperscript{16,17}
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Although not required for CK2α activation, the regulatory β subunit (∼28 kDa) enhances the catalytic activity of CK2α by making the kinase more thermostable. Moreover, CK2β regulates the activity of the kinase by allowing the holoenzyme to shuttle between intracellular compartments, to dock to and penetrate the nucleus where the majority of the substrates are located. Furthermore, CK2β acts as a docking station for substrates including p53, eIF2β, Nopp140, FGF-II amongst others. CK2β forms an obligate dimer, each protomer of which comprises of a Zn2+ coordinating globular domain with the elongated C-terminus wrapping around the opposite protomer’s globular part. A short, linear epitope in the C-terminus forms a β-turn and is essential for the formation of the holoenzyme assembly, with residues Arg186, Tyr188, Phe190, and His193 being crucial for this interaction.

In cells, the formation of the holoenzyme involves the assembly of the two regulatory subunits into a dimer, followed by their interaction with a surface of approximately ∼830 Å2 in the N-lobe of the catalytic subunits. Consequent to the formation of the protein–protein interaction (PPI) between the two subunits, conformational changes occur in CK2α. Specifically, the β4–β5 loop is found to be in a closed form in isolated CK2α whereas it switches to an open form upon complexation with CK2β. The latter, on the other hand, does not undergo drastic conformational changes upon holoenzyme formation.

Physiopathology of CK2

CK2 is involved in a multitude of cellular functions, the most important of which are cell survival, apoptosis, and cell cycle regulation. This can be easily understood if we consider the wide-spread localisation of CK2 within the cell compartment including in the nucleus, cytoplasm, Golgi, ER, ribosomes, and plasma membrane. The mechanisms by which CK2 regulates the cell decisions of life and death are extremely complex and, in part, not fully understood.

Briefly, CK2 is thought to regulate various stages of the cell cycle by phosphorylating cell cycle regulators such as cdc34, topoisomerase II and p34. In addition, CK2 is believed to interact with multiple signalling pathways within the apoptotic cascade including the cysteine-rich glycoproteins (Wnts), inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (IAPs), production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), activation or regulations of caspases (through interactions with ARC, Bcl-2 protein and Max), TNF pathway and PI3K-AKT. An exemplified overview of CK2 involvement in cell signalling controlling survival and apoptosis can be found in Fig. 2.

Expression levels and activity of CK2 are highly regulated in healthy cells, keeping cell survival and apoptosis in balance. Indeed, increased levels of the protein are found during cell proliferation only. It is not surprising that dysregulation of the expression levels of such a crucial protein leads to the generation and progression of several diseases. The role of CK2 in cancer is undoubtedly the most studied; however, an increasing number of studies suggest that CK2 plays a part in other serious diseases such as cystic fibrosis, multiple sclerosis, chronic intestinal inflammation, neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s, atherosclerosis, thrombosis, and diabetes mellitus. Furthermore, CK2 has been reported to be important in the regulation of viral RNA binding activity, transcription, replication, and virus assembly of certain RNA viruses. Recently, CK2 inhibitors have been found to show anti-SARS-Cov2 activity, making CK2 a potential target in the fight against COVID-19.

The next two sections will focus on the role of CK2 in cancer and COVID-19.

Importance of CK2 in cancer

Cancer is one of the main causes of mortality worldwide, and it is defined as the uncontrolled proliferation of cells. Hanahan and Weinberg outlined six hallmarks in cancer: proliferative signalling, resisting cell death, evading growth suppressors, inducing angiogenesis, enabling replicative immortality and activating invasion and mortality (Fig. 3). The discovery of CK2’s oncogenic potential dates back to the 1980s, evidenced by reports indicating that, within tumours, the level of CK2 expression was significantly greater than that found in normal cells. For many cancers, CK2 expression levels are sustained at a newer higher level, regardless of the stage of the cell cycle and such a change in basal level occurs when the cells morph into cancer cells. Furthermore, it has been reported that the increased levels of CK2 found within the nucleus of cancer cells including head and neck carcinoma, prostate and colon cancers, are an important indication of the complexity of the dysplasia and can be used as a prognostic marker. Further evidence of the involvement of CK2 in cancer generation and progression is summarised by Trembley et al. In particular, it was found that CK2 is responsive to modulations of mitogenic signals; down-regulation of CK2 positively impacts inflammation, angiogenesis and drug efflux; downregulation of CK2 results in inhib-
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Fig. 3 Schematic of the six hallmarks in cancer and the involvement of CK2 in each of them. Where specific proteins are known to be affected by CK2 with respect to each of these hallmarks, examples are given: proteins attached to a P are phosphorylated by CK2, proteins in green are stabilised by CK2 and proteins in yellow have increased expression due to CK2. Despite evidence that CK2 induces angiogenesis and enables replicative immortality, the specific pathways affected by CK2 are not currently known. 

The first CK2 inhibitors were identified by the investigation into biologically active compounds and natural products, such as CX4945, a CK2 inhibitor, Orphan Drug Designation for the treatment of cholangiocarcinoma, highlighting the potential of CK2 as an anticancer target.31 

Breast cancer. Studies have determined that CK2α and CK2β are overexpressed in many different types of breast cancer.7 Whilst in all breast cancer types the CK2α subunit is under-expressed in both invasive and non-invasive cells, CK2β was found to be over-expressed only in invasive breast cancer cells. It was determined that the two subunits which influenced survival rate were CK2α and CK2β, with CK2α having no significant effect.7 

Importance of CK2 in COVID-19

CK2 is emerging within the infectious disease field as an attractive target. There are validated studies regarding CK2 phosphorylation and modulation of viral proteins’ function in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), herpes simplex-1 (HSV-1) and human papilloma virus (HPV).30CK2 also plays a key role in a number of signalling cascades that viruses hijack including JAK/STAT, PTEN/PI3K/Akt-PKB and NF-κB.30 It is thought that if CK2 was inhibited, viral replication would be impacted.

A recent study by Bouhaddou et al. used a combination of 87 kinase inhibitors to identify those with antiviral efficacy. Kinases p38, CK2, CDK, PIKFYVE and AXL were identified as having antiviral potential. The conducted experiments demonstrated the instrumental role of CK2 inhibition for the SARS-CoV2 infection in vitro.30,48 SARS-CoV2 nucleocapsid protein has been shown to directly interact with CK2. By enabling co-localization along the filopodia protrusions, this interaction promotes several functions including virus egress and cell-to-cell spread through infected cells’ epithelial monolayers.30,48 Particularly for CK2, the virus-host PPI was associated with increased activity of CK2 as documented by the enhanced phosphorylation of CK2 substrates such as STAT1, HMGN 1, HMGA 1, HDAC 2 and CTNNA 1.48

The potential of CK2 as an anti-COVID-19 target is documented by two small clinical trials using previously developed CK2 inhibitors: CX4945 and CIBG-325. In particular, it was found that CX4945 was able to improve the oxygen level of patients with COVID-19-induced pneumonia within 24 hours of starting treatment, and a further five days later the first patient was discharged.31 Similarly, a small study was conducted on twenty SARS-CoV-2 positive patients using the anti-CK2 peptide CIBG-325 (formerly CIBG-325). It was found that 50% of COVID-19 positive patients that were treated with CIBG-325 had a reduction in the number of pulmonary lesions by day 7 in comparison to only 20% in the standard-of-care only treatment.10

Inhibition mechanism and CK2 inhibitors

Approaches to the discovery of CK2 inhibitors used so far

The first CK2 inhibitors were identified by the investigation into biologically active compounds and natural products, such
as heparin and emodin.\textsuperscript{49,50} However, with the rapid growth in the field of computational chemistry and structural biology, more recently inhibitors have been designed specifically for CK2 using structural-based approaches such as fragment-based drug discovery and phage display. The rapid growth in technologies facilitating the guided and rational design of protein modulators has had a drastic impact upon the development of CK2 inhibitors, as is self-evident from the rapid growth in the field over the past 20 years.

From the many inhibitor development studies targeting CK2, multiple different binding sites have been identified on CK2ε. These sites all have different advantages and disadvantages which have led to them being utilised with varying success for the inhibition of CK2. These sites can be initially grouped into four broad categories: the ATP site, CK2β protein–protein interaction site, the substrate binding channel and potential allosteric sites outside of the classic ATP site (Fig. 4a).

In the next sections, we will focus on the approaches used to discover CK2 inhibitors targeting sites outside the catalytic box and we aim to provide a comprehensive overview of the molecules reported to date. A brief overview of the ATP competitive inhibitors is also provided.

**Overview of ATP competitive inhibitors**

The site where the most development has happened is the ATP site. This site is the where the protein naturally binds the small molecule cofactor, and as such, is seen as the easiest site to target due to its characteristics. Firstly, the site is preformed in the apo structure meaning that there is no barrier to ligand binding. Secondly, the site is composed of a deep hydrophobic cleft sandwiched by Lys68 and the hinge region at opposite ends. This layout is ideally set up for sandwiching an aromatic ring system with hydrogen bonding substituents protruding off either side (Fig. 4b).

In a recent crystallographic fragment screen conducted by Brear et al., the ATP site was the only site identified as binding fragments.\textsuperscript{51} This is significant as fragment screens are often used to probe the surface of proteins for small-molecule binding sites. The ATP site has been used with great success in identifying ligands that bind with high affinity and displace the endogenous ATP hence preventing the phosphorylation of the substrates. Indeed, a number of small molecules with picomolar affinities have been identified for this site.\textsuperscripts{52}

CK2 ATP-competitive inhibitors can broadly be divided into four main classes: halogenated compounds such as DRB and its derivatives,\textsuperscripts{53,54} condensed polyphenolic compounds such as emodin and its derivatives,\textsuperscripts{50,55} pyrazolo-triazines and pyrazolo-pyrimidines\textsuperscripts{56,57} and indoloquinazolines such as CX4945.\textsuperscript{9} The chronology of the most influential inhibitors developed thus far is detailed in Fig. 5.

The reader is redirected to a recent review for an up to date comprehensive review on ATP-competitive inhibitors for CK2.\textsuperscript{58}

Unfortunately, there are a number of drawbacks associated with the use of this site, with the primary issue being selectivity. The ATP site has evolved to bind ATP which is a very common cofactor amongst the genome. Indeed, Uniprot annotates 1391 human genes as binding ATP. Therefore, any small molecule that targets just the ATP site is likely to bind and inhibit any number of these other ATP-binding proteins. An example highlighting the selectivity issue of this class of compounds is given by the small molecule clinical candidate CX4945 which is frequently described in the literature as highly selective for CK2 with an IC\textsubscript{50} of 1 nM. However, when screened in a selectivity panel of 238 kinases, CX4945 also inhibits another seven kinases more than 90% (tested at 500 nM). The most significant is the effect seen with Dyrk1A and 1B where CX4945 showed IC\textsubscript{50} values of 6.8 and 6.4 nM, respectively.\textsuperscript{9} A number of efforts are currently ongoing to identify new selective series of CK2 ATP-competitive inhibitors.

In 2016, Dowling et al. identified compound 7h as the most promising CK2α inhibitors out of a pyrazolepyrimidine series. The compound showed picomolar activity in an SPR assay and was found to inhibit the Wnt pathways with an IC\textsubscript{50} of 50 nM. Despite its limited oral bioavailability, 7h presented promising pharmacokinetic properties after IV administration, and it was effective as monotherapy in both HCT116 cells and

**Fig. 4** Crystal structure of CK2α in complex with ADP (PDB: 6YPN). (a) The small-molecule binding sites on CK2α. The ATP site is shown in red and the sites outside the ATP pocket are shown in blue. (b) and (c) The ATP site of CK2α. The hinge residues are shown in cyan, the polar phosphate binding residues are shown in green and the hydrophobic sandwiching residues are shown in grey.
SW-620 xenografts. The compound also showed limited off-target activity when screened in a panel of 402 kinases with 12 members of the CMGC family being inhibited more than 50%.52

Oshima et al. identified GO289 in 2019 as having excellent activity in vitro (IC50 = 7 nM) as well as high selectivity for CK2; the second most inhibited protein, PIM2, is much more weakly inhibited by GO289 than CK2 (PIM2 IC50 = 13 μM).59 In 2020, SRPIN803-rev-derivatives were found to have moderate inhibitory activity against CK2 (IC50 = 0.28 μM for the lead compound) as well as good selectivity (only CK2α inhibited over 50% at 1 μM in a 320 kinase panel) and cellular activity (DC50 = 12.8 μM, Jurkat cells).60

Following on from Dowling’s work on pyrazolopyrimidines, in 2020 Wells et al. developed compound 24.61 The lead compound proved to be selective against a panel of 402 kinases and showed an IC50 of 36 nM and 16 nM against CK2α and CK2β respectively in a nanoBRET assay carried out in Hek-293 cells. While compound 24 showed target-specific inhibition of Akt Ser129 phosphorylation, it did not activate Caspase 3/7 as been seen with other CK2α inhibitors and had variable effects on proliferation in a panel of cancer cell lines.61

Most of the newly identified series of inhibitors require further optimisation, characterisation, and evaluation before highly potent, selective and fully understood cell-permeable CK2 inhibitors are obtained, but all classes represent promising starting points for this to take place. Importantly, whilst minor off target effects could be beneficial in cancer treatment, they are not desirable while investigating signalling pathways related to a targeted protein.

In order to overcome selectivity issues associated with the use of ATP competitive inhibitors, developers of kinase inhibitors are looking for alternative mechanisms of inhibition. This is also true for CK2 inhibition. Within CK2α alternative binding sites can be split into four categories: the substrate-binding site, the CK2β interface site, the αD site, and possible allosteric sites. As of yet, only the αD site, the CK2β interface site and the substrate-binding channel have been successfully utilised and validated for the development of inhibitors (Fig. 4a). As of today, no allosteric, non-competitive inhibitors are known for CK2.

Substrate channel inhibitors

An alternative strategy for CK2 inhibition is through blocking of the substrate-binding site only. One of CK2’s distinctive features is its substrate preferences – it phosphorylates only acidic substrates.62 Therefore, greater selectivity of CK2 modulation may be achieved through the development of substrate-competitive inhibitors.

Polyglutamyl peptides were identified as CK2 substrate-competitive inhibitors in 1983 by Meggio et al. It was found that (Glu)70 was able to inhibit CK2 in vitro (Ki = 0.11 μM) but was inactive towards the closely related CK1 and cAMP-dependent protein kinase.63 They subsequently identified the hexapeptide RSEEVE as a very weak substrate-competitive inhibitor of CK2 (Ki = 3.98 M)64 as well as the random heteropolymers Glu/Tyr (4:1) and Glu/Tyr (1:1) which had good activities against CK2 (Ki = 25 nM, 50 nM respectively).65 Successive work by Tellez et al. in the early 1990s further reinforced the notion that polymers incorporating Glu and Tyr were able to inhibit CK2.66,67

Through screening of a random cyclic peptide phage display library, Perea et al. identified peptide P15 (WMSPRHLGT) which prevented CK2 phosphorylation in vitro in a substrate-competitive manner.68 Addition of two cysteine residues to either end of the peptide, as well as attachment of
the known cell-penetrating peptide TAT to the N-terminus and cyclisation with a disulfide bridge afforded the cell-permeable CK2 substrate-competitive inhibitor P15-TAT (subsequently renamed and hereafter referred to as CIGB-325). CIGB-325 showed moderate inhibition of cell viability against a range of tumour cell lines with an EC50 of between 20 and 136 μM depending on the cell line. Furthermore, it was found that daily intra-tumoural administration of CIGB-325 into TC-1 lung epithelial tumours (C57BL6 mice) led to a significant reduction in tumour growth.

Proteomics studies also revealed the up- and down-regulation of proteins involved in the apoptotic intrinsic pathways in the presence of this peptide. In 2008, the first-in-man clinical trial with CIGB-325 was conducted: 75% of the patients (31 women with cervical cancer) had significant lesion reduction and, most promisingly, 19% of the patients exhibited full histological regression. Additionally, the effect of CIGB-325 on spontaneous lung metastasis was investigated; systemic treatment with CIGB-325 prevented breast cancer colonization in the lung. In 2019, Winiewska-Szajewska et al. identified a 6-mer peptide (KESEEE-NH2) which weakly binds to the CK2α substrate binding-site (Kd = 0.39 mM). They showed that both the peptide and the ATP-competitive inhibitor TBI could bind simultaneously to CK2 without a drastic loss in binding affinity (Kd = 0.45 mM for KESEEE-NH2 with TBI present). Therefore, the novel peptide KESEEE-NH2 represents a potential substrate-competitive inhibitor.

CIGB-325 currently represents the only highly potent substrate-competitive inhibitor of CK2 that is effective in vivo on multiple cancer cell lines. In particular, the promising results shown against cancer cell metastasis make CIGB-325 a promising candidate for limiting metastatic cancer spread. It should be noted that the lack of structural data on the substrate-binding makes the development of inhibitors at this site harder to achieve.

Bi-specific ATP/substrate competitive inhibitors

The substrate-binding channel of CK2α has been defined in various publications as the groove highlighted in Fig. 6a based on other kinases structures. To date, no publications have shown any substrates of CK2 bound in the ATP site with substrate peptide mimics that bind in the substrate-binding channel (bi-specific inhibitors). This technique has generated selective inhibitors as described below. However, the structural data presented for these compounds has shown good density only for the ATP site ligand, but no significant density for the substrate-binding site portion of the ligand (despite the fact that the peptide portion has been modelled to the structure). It is therefore not clear how much the binding in the substrate channel contributes to the binding of the inhibitor.

The first bi-substrate inhibitor developed was ARC-1502. It consisted of the ATP-competitive inhibitor TBI conjugated to an acidophilic peptide (Fig. 7). The most potent inhibitor in the series, ARC-1502, had a strong binding affinity for CK2α (Ki = 0.5 nM) alongside good selectivity; when tested at 1 μM against a panel of 140 kinases, 9 other enzymes were inhibited over 50%.

However, ARC-1052 is not proteolytically stable or cell-permeable, limiting its utility as chemical probes. In 2015, Viht et al. improved upon ARC-1502 by creating a cell-permeable and stable bi-substrate inhibiting “pro-drug” named ARC-1859 (Fig. 7). The stability of the peptide portion of the inhibitor was improved by the replacement of amino acids with a peptoid chain (poly-N-substituted glycines) which is much less susceptible to proteolytic degradation. Additionally, the inhibitor was rendered cell-permeable by the masking of the aspartic acid side chains as acetoxyethyl esters. Once inside the cells, the acetoxyethyl esters are cleaved to reveal the free carboxylic acids and the active compound is trapped in the cell and able to reduce the phosphorylation of two CK2 substrates (Cdc37 S13 and NFkB S329/63) in a concentration-dependent manner.

At the same time as the ARC-series of compounds were being developed, Cozza et al. identified the bi-substrate inhibitor K137-E4 (Fig. 7). The ATP-competitive inhibitor N1-(4,5,6,7-tetrabromo-1H-benzimidazole-2-yl)-propane-1,3-diamine (K137) was functionalised with a chain of four glutamic acid residues, attached to the primary amine of the ATP site inhibitor. K137-E4 shows a significantly improved activity compared to the parent inhibitor K137 (IC50 = 25 nM and 130 nM respectively) as well as exhibiting greater selectivity for CK2; K137 inhibits 35 kinases more potently than CK2.
K137-E4 inhibited CK2 the most strongly when tested against 140 other enzymes. However, K137-E4 is not cell permeable and therefore exhibits negligible biological effects in cellular assays.

In 2017, Vahter et al. developed a series of bi-substrate inhibitors using a fragment derived from CX4945 as the ATP-competitive inhibiting portion of the compound. ARC-1513-5O showed exceptional binding ability to CK2 ($K_d = 16–37$ pM, Fig. 7). Similarly to CX4945, ARC-1424-5O showed moderate selectivity when tested in a panel of 140 protein kinases; when tested at 1 $\mu$M, 23 kinases were inhibited more than 50%, including 6 which were strongly inhibited (>90%). Despite its promiscuity, ARC-1424-5O remains one of the best candidates for the measurement of binding affinities of other CK2 inhibitors in competition experiments due to its picomolar activity.

The field of bi-substrate inhibitors of CK2 is relatively new compared to ATP-competitive inhibitors. However, the compounds identified so far highlight that it is a promising strategy of inhibition for the development of both potent and selective inhibitors of CK2, but pharmacological properties need to be improved before these can be considered as viable developmental candidates.

**Inhibitors of the holoenzyme assembly**

An alternative method to modulate the activity of CK2 is via disruption of the PPI at the CK2$\alpha$/$\beta$ interface. CK2$\alpha$ is rare for a kinase in that it is constitutively active. However, it forms a hetero-tetrameric complex with CK2$\beta$ which is believed to regulate the activity and substrate selectivity of CK2$\alpha$. CK2 substrates can be divided into three classes: Class I, II and III. Class I can be phosphorylated by the CK2$\alpha$/CK2$\beta$ complex or by CK2$\alpha$ alone; Class II can be phosphorylated by CK2$\alpha$ alone; Class III can be phosphorylated only by the CK2$\alpha$/CK2$\beta$ complex. It has been shown that preventing the formation of the complex prevents the phosphorylation of the Class III substrates, affects the localisation of the complex and destabilises CK2$\alpha$. There are some reports of weak inhibition of the phosphorylation of Class I and II substrates by ligands binding at the CK2$\beta$ interface site. However, higher affinity compounds that bind more selectively in the interface site and have been more reliably validated do not show this inhibition. Therefore, it seems unlikely that this site can be used for the direct inhibition of all CK2 substrates. The dominant feature of the interface site is a pocket in which Phe190 binds, a shallow groove in which Tyr125 binds and a flexible loop (Val101-Pro109 loop) that opens and closes the interface site (Fig. 8a). The size of this site varies depending on which ligands are bound in the site. For example, the Val101-Pro109 loop can be seen in a closed conformation in the structure (Fig. 8b grey cartoon, c grey surface). Whereas, in the CK2$\beta$-bound structure the loop folds back to give a significantly more open pocket (Fig. 8b cyan loop, d grey surface). This range of movement allows the pocket to accommodate a large range of ligands and provides more potential for optimisation. The main advantage of the interface site over the ATP site is that this site is less conserved amongst kinases. This means there is a greater possibility of generating selective inhibitors using this site. However, there are also a number of drawbacks to this site. Firstly, generating high-affinity small molecule inhibitors using this site is less facile than the ATP site for which it is possible to access small molecules with a pico-molar affinity. This has a lot to do with the depth of the ATP site where inhibitors can make extensive contacts with the target, shielded from the solvent. In contrast, the interface site is a shallow pocket, or rather a dent, on the surface of the protein, which offers little three-dimensionality and contact.
The highest affinity reported so far for a small molecule binding at the interface site is 30 μM (ref. 82) and higher affinity inhibitors for this site are peptides or stapled peptides. This is not surprising if we consider that bigger molecules like peptides better interact with the big and shallow pocket found at the interface.83 The indirect nature of the inhibition from this pocket may be an advantage or a disadvantage to this site; however, more advanced chemical tools and testing are required to confirm this.

Small molecules. The first identified modulator of CK2 holoenzyme assembly was the small molecule 5,6-dichloro-1-β-D-ribofuranosyl-benzimidazole (DRB).78,84 As well as being an ATP-competitive inhibitor, X-ray structures showed that DRB was also binding weakly to CK2α at the α/β interface. This was the first identification of the CK2 PPI as a druggable site. Although it disrupted the CK2α/β assembly, DRB did not cause a biological response.78 Due to its binding promiscuity, DRB now has limited use as a CK2 inhibitor. However, its role in identification of the PPI as a potential site of inhibition was key for the subsequent development of small molecule CK2 PPI inhibitors, the best of which are outlined in Fig. 9.78,82,85,86

Fig. 8 (a) CK2β interface loop (purple) binding in the CK2α interface site (grey) (PDB: 4MD7). (b) Flexibility of the interface site. Open/peptide bound structure in cyan (PDB: 6Q4Q), closed/small molecule bound structure in grey (PDB: 5CU6). (c) Surface of the closed/small molecule bound structure (PDB: 5CU6) and (d) surface of the open/peptide bound structure (PDB: 6Q4Q). Ligand is shown in green and the surface in grey.

Fig. 9 CK2 inhibitors reported in chronological order and acting at the protein interface between the catalytic and regulatory subunit with the co-crystal structure of CK2α and CAM7117 shown on the left (PDB: 6Q4Q). The hydrophobic Tyr and Phe pockets key to binding are highlighted, alongside the π–π stacking interactions of the ligand. sC18 = H2N-GLRKRLRKFRNKIEK-NH2,93 TAT = GRKKRRQRRRPQ.96 Compounds have been labelled as in the related papers. r = D-Arg.
The second small molecule modulator of the PPI was the podophyllotoxin indole-analogue W16, identified as having a modest IC_{50} of 30–40 μM in enzymatic assays (Fig. 9). Kinetic analysis showed that W16 is a non-competitive inhibitor of CK2α and that this inhibition was reversed upon the addition of CK2β or the CK2β-mimicking peptide Pc, suggesting that W16 binds in or close to the CK2β-binding pocket on CK2α. The inhibition of CK2α may result from small conformational changes of the protein upon binding of W16.

Recently, Kröger et al. found that the enantiomer of W16 was six times more potent than its analogue ($K_i = 4.9$ μM vs. 31 μM respectively). They also replaced the labile anhydride group of W16 with a more stable imide and N-methylimide, increasing stability without the loss of binding affinity ($K_i = 3.6$ μM vs. 2.8 μM respectively). However, the increased inhibition of the PPI did not result in a greater biological effect as the new analogues of W16 were not seen to inhibit CK2. This clearly highlights that perturbation to the equilibrium of the CK2 holoenzyme complex formation does not necessarily lead to enzyme inhibition. Due to the large aromatic structures of the podophyllotoxin indolo-analouges, the compounds suffer from poor solubility making the likelihood of developing therapeutics derived from W16 low.

A high-concentration X-ray crystallography fragment screen identified 1 (in Brear et al.) binding at both the CK2αβ interface and in the ATP-pocket of CK2 with a weak IC_{50} (90 μM). Iterative design-test cycles resulted in the development of the small molecule CAM187 which had greatly improved bioactivity (IC_{50} = 44 μM) and did not show promiscuity (Fig. 9). Due to its significantly smaller size and, as such, more favourable physical properties than W16, the fragment CAM187 is a much-improved starting point for the development of selective small molecule drug-like compounds to target the CK2 PPI. However, further analyses of its activity and selectivity against other kinases, including evaluation of its biological effect in cells are required.

With a virtual screening of a compound library followed by surface-plasmon resonance (SPR), NMR and crystallography, Kufareva et al. identified compound 6 (IC_{50} = 30 μM) a novel CK2 PPI inhibiting molecule (Fig. 9). Compound 6 (in Kufareva et al.) successfully inhibited the phosphorylation of CK2β-dependent substrates, suppressed MDA-MB231 triple-negative breast cancer cell growth and induced apoptosis in the PPI range. As such, compound 6 is the first example of a small molecule inhibitor of the CK2αβ interaction which has been shown to be effective in cells. Alongside CAM187, compound 6 represents an excellent starting point for the development of selective and potent small molecule therapeutics for the inhibition of CK2 via the perturbation of the CK2 PPI.

Peptides. In 2008, Laudet et al. developed a peptide to bind to CK2α at the site of the CK2β binding pocket. Peptides represent good candidates for PPI modulators as their flexibility allows them to adapt to the large surfaces involved in PPIs. They can be designed to mimic the section of the partner protein binding to the desired target region, hence overcoming the difficulties associated with de novo design.

Using the prior knowledge of residues Tyr188, Gly189 and Phe190 being the main source of affinity between the β-hairpin loop and CK2α, in combination with the crystal structure of CK2α bound to CK2β, Laudet et al. designed a handful of CK2β-derived peptides, the most promising of which was Pc (Fig. 9). Pc consists of the central interacting segment of CK2β C-terminal loop cyclised by a disulfide bridge between two cysteine residues. The peptide was shown to antagonise the assembly of the holoenzyme complex (IC_{50} = 3.0 μM) as well as altering its substrate specificity. Pc represented the first peptide antagonist of CK2 which exerted its effect by binding to CK2α and preventing the formation of the holoenzyme. However, Pc did not successfully exhibit cellular activity. To improve the cellular activity of the peptide, predicted to suffer from poor stability of disulfides in reducing intracellular environment, the disulfide bond was replaced with a head-to-tail cyclisation. Subsequently, the cyclic peptide was fused with the TAT cell-penetrating peptide which is known to facilitate transport across cell membranes. The resulting compound, TAT-Pc, was shown to enter cells, inhibit the phosphorylation of CK2β-dependent substrates and lead to caspase independent cell death. In 2019, three simultaneous but separate optimisations of the Pc and TAT-Pc peptides occurred leading to the development of sC18-I-Pc and CAM7117.

In 2015, Hochscherf et al. altered the Phe residue in the structure of Pc to include para-halogens. The iodinated-Pc peptide was the most effective, showing a slight improvement in $K_i$ compared to Pc (0.24 vs. 0.56 μM). In an attempt to make I-Pc cell-permeable, in 2019, the same research group introduced a cell-penetrating tag, namely, sC18 to translate the peptide into cells. Although the introduction of sC18 resulted in a 4-time increase of the $K_i$, sC18-I-Pc successfully inhibited the phosphorylation of CK2β-dependent substrates as well as evoking cell death in the mid-μM range (Fig. 9).

Tang et al. used structure-based computational design in combination with experimental evaluation to develop point mutated Pc derivatives. The most promising mutant, I192F exhibited a 10-fold improvement in the predicted binding affinity to CK2α compared to Pc (0.5 vs. 8.9 μM). When the TAT-conjugated cell-permeable derivative of the peptide was synthesised, TAT-I192F showed comparable anti-proliferative effects against HepG2 cells to TAT-Pc (20.1 μM vs. 30.4 μM).

The development of CAM7117 took a different approach to the aforementioned peptides in that it was the cyclic constraint that was the main site of optimisation due to the lability of the disulfide bond in the Pc derivatives. Using a structure-based approach, a variety of covalent constraints were designed and tested to determine which held the peptide in the optimal conformation. In addition, molecular modelling and X-ray crystallography of the peptide sequence directed a point mutation in the central sequence of Pc, namely Ile 192 to Trp. Movement of one of the cyclising residues by one position and the use of CuAAC chemistry gave CAM7117 (Fig. 9). The peptide had an improved $K_i$ value compared to Pc (0.2 vs. 1 μM respectively) in...
Inhibitors of CK2α acting outside the ATP pocket

Other strategies to inhibit CK2 target binding sites outside of the ATP pocket. Upon ligand binding, conformational modifications take place in the catalytic subunits resulting in the inhibition of its phosphorylating activity. Today, the only pockets outside the ATP site identified within CK2 are the so-called αD pocket and a pocket located at the interface between the αC helix and the glycine-rich loop, although recent findings question the existence of the latter.

Inhibitors utilising the αD pocket. The αD site is unique to CK2α amongst all known kinase structures, and therefore, provides exciting possibilities for the development of incredibly selective CK2α inhibitors (Fig. 10). The αD site is not formed in the majority of the apo crystal structures as it requires a large reorganisation of the αD helix for the site to be accessible. In the unligated structures, the main pocket of the αD site is filled by Phe121 and the hydrophilic water channel off the main pocket is filled by Tyr125. The αD site was first discovered in a high concentration fragment screening carried out by Brear et al.\(^\text{51}\) It is possible for the αD site to form uniquely in CK2α due to the increased flexibility of this region compared to other kinases: in the published structures of CK2α, the loop is observed in a large spread of conformations. These range from the loop closed structures with Phe121 in the centre of the αD site (PDB: 6YPK), to the partially open apo structure (PDB: 5CU6), to the open fragment bound structure (PDB: 5CLP) and finally to the apo/inactive conformation (PDB: 5CVG). Interestingly, in all other kinase structures, only the closed conformation has been observed for this helix. The binding of small molecules in the αD site differentially affects the αD loop conformation depending on the ligand. Some ligands interact with the αD site and partially stabilise the loop, others do not engage the αD loop and the loop is not well defined in the crystal structure. It is unclear if the different type of interaction has any effect on the activity of the ligands. The majority of the reported small molecules binding in the αD site inhibit CK2α by binding in the αD site and linking or growing into the ATP site.\(^\text{97}\) However, there has been one report of an inhibitor that is proposed to bind in the αD site allosterically inhibiting CK2α.\(^\text{98}\) Unfortunately, there is currently no structural data confirming that this compound binds in the αD site. Therefore, no reliable conclusions can be drawn from this data as to whether the αD site alone can be used as an allosteric site.

Using a fragment-based drug discovery approach, a series of benzylamine biaryl molecules binding in the αD pocket have been developed in a collaboration between our groups.\(^\text{51,97,99}\) Starting from high-concentration X-ray crystallography of commercially available fragments, compound 1 (in Brear et al.) was found to bind to the cryptic pocket in addition to other binding sites, namely the ATP binding site and in a hydrophobic pocket located at the interface with CK2β.\(^\text{97}\) Interestingly, compound 1 was the first ligand to reveal the full size of the αD pocket. Despite the lack of inhibitory effect on the catalytic activity of CK2, 1 set the basis for the development of molecules with improved binding affinity and potentially inhibitory activity (Fig. 11).

Initially, it was decided to optimise the fragment by growing towards vacant parts of the pocket. This led to com-
compound 4 with a $K_d$ of 270 μM but yet, no inhibitory effects. Considering the proximity of the αD pocket to the ATP binding site, it was decided to link fragment 4 with a weakly binding ATP-site fragment. It was envisioned that selectivity for CK2 among other kinases could be obtained since the binding affinity of the linked molecules was driven by the portion binding to the αD pocket which is poorly conserved among the kinases. Indeed, this was the case for the lead inhibitor CAM4066 (Fig. 11) which showed a $K_d$ of 320 nM, an IC50 of 370 nM, and a GI50 of 8.8 μM (for the methyl-ester prodrug), and it proved to be selective when screened in a panel of 52 closely related kinases with a Gini coefficient of 0.82.31 Following up from CAM4066, efforts went into developing a pure allosteric inhibitor that did not interact with the ATP binding site and that featured improved physicochemical properties. A fragment growing strategy starting from 15 ($K_d = 6.5 \mu M$) lead to the development of CAM4172 (Fig. 11) which retained the binding mode of CAM4066 in the αD pocket but inhibited CK2 without reaching the ATP binding site.99 CAM4172 showed a $K_d$ of 4 μM, an IC50 of 7 μM, and a GI50 of 10 μM.

More recently, Zhong et al. used a structure-based virtual screening approach to identify modulators of CK2. Among the compounds screened, compound 3 (Fig. 11) was identified as the most promising non-ATP competitive inhibitor of CK2α with an IC50 of 13.0 μM and it was shown to stop the proliferation of A549 cancer cells (GI50 of 23.1 μM).98 The authors found that the IC50 value did not depend on the concentration of ATP used and concluded that such a compound was acting via a non-ATP-competitive mechanism. Computational studies suggested the compound was binding in the αD pocket,98 but this is still to be demonstrated experimentally.

Inhibitors debatably targeting a binding site in proximity of the αC helix and the activation segment. A number of studies have identified what they claim to be allosteric sites.87,100–102 However, few of these have been structurally validated. A large number of studies have identified compounds as being allosteric based upon biochemical assays that show the inhibitors do not compete with ATP.14,15,21 However, without structural validation these compounds cannot be efficiently or confidently optimised.

In 2008, Prudent et al. utilised high-throughput screening of the National Cancer Institute Diversity Set and the Mechanistic Diversity Set chemical libraries to identify non-ATP competitive CK2 inhibitors. The screening identified polyoxometalates (POMs) as the most promising inhibitors with...
IC$_{50} < 10$ nM and the highest inhibition obtained for K$_d$[P$_2$Mo$_{18}$O$_{62}$]. Doubts remained around the mechanism of action of this probe which is known to hydrolyse in the assay media into the individual MoO$_4^{2-}$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ components which proved inactive when tested individually. Interestingly, degradation was not observed when POM was in the presence of CK2. The lead POM compound showed exquisite selectivity for CK2 when tested in a panel of 29 Ser/Thr kinases. When the mechanism of action of POMs was investigated more closely with steady-state kinetic analysis, it was found that POMs were not ATP site or peptide site-directed inhibitors. Kinase assays with CK2 holoenzyme, affinity chromatography, trypsin proteolysis, and site-directed mutagenesis excluded binding of POMs to the $\alpha/\beta$ interface and confirmed lack of binding at the ATP/peptide-binding pocket. In addition, it was found that mutations around the Gly-rich loop, helix $\alphaC$, and the activation segment weakened the sensitivity of CK2 to POM inhibition. Despite all these studies, a clear binding site for POMs has not yet been identified. Similarly, the efficacy of POMs on CK2 inhibition in a cellular context remains to be seen.

In 2011, a screening of some 3000 small molecules from the National Cancer Institute Developmental Therapeutics Program led Cochet et al. to the identification of azanaphthalene compounds as hits against CK2a. Through SAR studies, hit compound $1$ (in Cochet et al.) was modified into compound $4$ which showed an IC$_{50}$ of 0.4 $\mu$M and it was found not to act via an aggregation mechanism despite its chemical structure (Fig. 11). Furthermore, steady-state kinetic analysis showed that the compound was non-competitive towards ATP and peptide substrate. Mutagenesis studies indicated that residues located on helix $\alphaC$ and the activation segment might be part of compound $4$’s binding site. As seen for the POM inhibitors, the lack of further conclusive evidence (NMR or X-crystallography) of the inhibitor in complex with the protein leaves uncertainty around the actual binding site. The effect of compound $4$ in cells was also investigated and it was found to promote cell cycle arrest. The compound proved to be selective for CK2 with a Gini coefficient of 0.803 when screened at 5 $\mu$M in a panel of 42 related kinases.

Recently, Bestgen et al. reported aryl aminothiazole derivatives as allosteric modulators of CK2 by targeting the interface between the $\alphaC$ helix and the glycine-rich loop (G-loop). Starting from a virtual ligand screening campaign of around 2 million compounds, compound $1$ (in Bestgen et al.) was identified as a hit and it was able to inhibit CK2 with an IC$_{50}$ of 28 $\mu$M. SAR studies initially led to the discovery of compound $2$ (IC$_{50}$ of 7 $\mu$M) and later to the lead compound $27$ (in Bestgen et al.) with an IC$_{50}$ of 0.6 $\mu$M, $K_d$ of 0.3 $\mu$M, and EC$_{50}$ of 5 $\mu$M (Fig. 11). Enzymatic kinetic studies, native mass spectrometry, circular dichroism experiments together with STD NMR studies hinted that compound $i$ was acting as an allosteric inhibitor with a non-ATP-competitive mechanism of action able to stabilise the inactive conformation of CK2. However, Brear et al. used a combination of crystal structures, competitive ITC and NMR, hydrogen–deuterium exchange (HDX) mass spectrometry, and computational analyses of the amino thiazole compounds to confirm that these molecules were binding to the ATP binding site of the kinase, and hence acted as type II inhibitors. Independently, Lindenblatt et al. also obtained co-crystal structures of these compounds with CK2 showing binding to the ATP site and used kinase enzyme assay to demonstrate that these inhibitors do indeed act via an ATP-competitive mechanism.

**Modulators of the CK2β subunit**

Lastly, another approach to inhibit CK2 outside its catalytic pocket is to interact with the CK2β subunit. In back in 2006, Cochet et al. made use of a yeast two-hybrid approach to identify molecules that bind at the N-terminus of CK2β. The peptide aptamer identified was an 18-mer unconstrained peptide (GKMNGVLPLAWPSLYLRL) showing a $K_d$ of 0.4 $\mu$M in an SPR assay. Interestingly, P1 had high sequence homology with the cytomegalovirus IE2 protein, known to interact with CK2β and consequently arrest the cell cycle and trigger apoptosis (Fig. 1). The peptide identified did neither disrupt nor prevent the formation of the CK2 holoenzyme. ELISA assays with truncated versions of CK2β suggested that it was interacting at a site in between residues 1 and 55 of the N-terminus. Treatment of NIH3T3, HCT116, and MCF-10A cells transfected with GFP-P1 showed typical signs of apoptosis and cell cycle arrest. It was postulated that P1 was able to induce apoptosis by interacting with the p53-dependent apoptosis pathways. Although P1 represented the first peptide of this kind of inhibitor, it should be noted that more mechanistic studies would be needed to fully assess its potential. In addition, cell-permeable variants will also be needed for P1 to be regarded as a chemical probe.

**Conclusions**

In this review we described the key features of CK2, its involvement in a variety of diseases with particular focus on cancer and COVID-19, and we aimed to provide the reader with a comprehensive review on all the chemical probes reported to date. Despite the numerous efforts gone into developing chemical tools to inhibit such a crucial kinase, clinical candidates are yet to reach the market. Only one molecule, CX4945, is currently undergoing clinical studies; however, due to its limited selectivity, even if approved, there will still be a long way to go before CK2 can be considered a truly validated target in oncology. For this reason, scientists have recently shifted their attention to the development of CK2 inhibitors that interact selectively with CK2. Strategies have seen the development of chemical probes acting at sites located outside the ATP-pocket on CK2a, with the site at the interface with CK2β and the aD site being the most validated. With the increasing amount of information around these sites and advances in biochemical techniques, it is reasonable to believe that potent and selective CK2 inhibitors with suitable pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties will soon be discovered. In addition,
recent advances in chemistry make it possible to foresee that different types of chemical probes will soon be developed including PROTACs acting outside the catalytic box, covalent inhibitors and small molecule/peptide hybrids to target multiple sites simultaneously.  

Based upon the explosion of interest in the field of CK2 inhibition over the past two decades, it is not then unrealistic to imagine that CK2 inhibition will remain a key and active area of research over the coming years, as the search for novel therapeutics for cancer, COVID-19 and a multitude of other diseases in which CK2 is implicated continues.
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