



Cite this: *Nanoscale*, 2021, **13**, 4799

## Single-layer polymeric tetraoxa[8]circulene modified by s-block metals: toward stable spin qubits and novel superconductors†

Lyudmila V. Begunovich,<sup>a</sup> Artem V. Kuklin, \*<sup>a,b</sup> Gleb V. Baryshnikov,<sup>b,c</sup> Rashid R. Valiev<sup>d,e</sup> and Hans Ågren <sup>b,f</sup>

Tunable electronic properties of low-dimensional materials have been the object of extensive research, as such properties are highly desirable in order to provide flexibility in the design and optimization of functional devices. In this study, we account for the fact that such properties can be tuned by embedding diverse metal atoms and theoretically study a series of new organometallic porous sheets based on two-dimensional tetraoxa[8]circulene (TOC) polymers doped with alkali or alkaline-earth metals. The results reveal that the metal-decorated sheets change their electronic structure from semiconducting to metallic behaviour due to n-doping. Complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) calculations reveal a unique open-shell singlet ground state in the TOC–Ca complex, which is formed by two closed-shell species. Moreover, Ca becomes a doublet state, which is promising for magnetic quantum bit applications due to the long spin coherence time. Ca-doped TOC also demonstrates a high density of states in the vicinity of the Fermi level and induced superconductivity. Using the *ab initio* Eliashberg formalism, we find that the TOC–Ca polymers are phonon-mediated superconductors with a critical temperature  $T_C = 14.5$  K, which is within the range of typical carbon based superconducting materials. Therefore, combining the proved superconductivity and the long spin lifetime in doublet Ca, such materials could be an ideal platform for the realization of quantum bits.

Received 2nd December 2020,

Accepted 2nd February 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d0nr08554e

rsc.li/nanoscale

## Introduction

Great effort is being devoted to the design and fabrication of new materials that meet the requirements of modern devices. Among many promising materials recently proposed, two-dimensional (2D) single atomic sheets have attracted particu-

lar interest due to their unique properties and the possibility of high scaling and minimization of devices.<sup>1,2</sup> The utilization of organic moieties as initial building blocks in the formation of 2D materials (covalent organic frameworks – COFs) makes it possible to tune the properties of such systems. These properties depend on the selected moiety, on the type of fusing between the moieties<sup>3</sup> and on the size of the obtained nanomaterials.<sup>4</sup> Typical examples of such COFs are tetraoxa[8]circulene (TOC) based nanosheets, which are planar 2D porous sheets with the space group symmetry *P4/mmm*. These nanosheets are predicted to be thermodynamically and kinetically stable<sup>5</sup> and are found to be nonmagnetic direct band gap semiconductors or semimetals<sup>3,5</sup> with the HOMO–LUMO gap of the TOC-based nanosheets being much smaller than that of the parent tetraoxa[8]circulene molecule.<sup>6</sup> Moreover, the band gap decreases significantly as the number of moieties in the structure increases. This feature is very useful for a purposeful design of semiconducting materials with tunable band gaps (band gap engineering).<sup>7,8</sup> In addition, these compounds have considerable mechanical strength<sup>5</sup> and can strongly adsorb visible light,<sup>4,5,9</sup> thus they can be promising candidates for solar and thermoelectric applications.

<sup>a</sup>International Research Center of Spectroscopy and Quantum Chemistry (IRC SQC), Siberian Federal University, 26 Kirensky st., 660074 Krasnoyarsk, Russia.

E-mail: artem.icm@gmail.com

<sup>b</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-751 20 Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry and Nanomaterials Science, Bohdan Khmelnytsky National University, 18031 Cherkasy, Ukraine

<sup>d</sup>Research School of Chemistry & Applied Biomedical Sciences, National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Lenin Avenue 30, Tomsk 634050, Russia

<sup>e</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Helsinki, FIN-00014 Helsinki, Finland

<sup>f</sup>College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan University, Kaifeng, Henan 475004, P.R. China

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Structural parameters, the orbital decomposed band structure and DOS of TOC–Ca, the comparative total density of states calculated within different codes, and the spatial distribution of charge density. See DOI: 10.1039/d0nr08554e



The ability of metal ions to be embedded regularly and separately to porous sheets provides promising new features and expands the applications of such materials. The perspective organometallic porous sheets with a wide range of potential applications in semiconductor industry, spintronics,<sup>10,11</sup> optoelectronics, catalysis,<sup>12</sup> gas<sup>13,14</sup> and magnetic storage devices<sup>15</sup> have been reported. All of the above data give hope that such intriguing structures will be synthesized in the near future. Abel *et al.* synthesized a 2D Fe-phthalocyanine single layer with magnetic metal atoms regularly embedded in the polymer lattice.<sup>16</sup> It can be expected that their flexible synthesis method can be applied to the synthesis of other metal-based 2D organometallic porous sheets. Indeed, a polymeric step-by-step mechanism of Mn-phthalocyanines has been demonstrated.<sup>17</sup> Recently, a number of transition metals (Cr, Fe, Co, Ni and Cu) as well as metal-free ( $H_2$ ) phthalocyanines were also synthesized with a temperature-dependent mechanism of polymerization.<sup>18</sup>

Although doping with d-block metals brings many new advantages, s-block metals could also be utilized to modify the electronic properties of 2D COFs. Actually, alkali metal decoration is a widely applied scheme to modify 2D material properties. For example, lithiation could introduce electrons into  $MoS_2$  and trigger phase transition.<sup>19,20</sup> Doping by alkali and alkaline-earth metals results in enhanced conductivity and emergence of superconducting states. Superconducting states have been discovered in Ca-doped graphite with a critical transition temperature ( $T_c$ ) of 11.5 K<sup>21–23</sup> and also in large-scale graphene films<sup>24</sup> with a similar  $T_c$ . To expand this behaviour, graphene-based superconducting fibers have been produced and intercalated with Ca, which led to a superconducting transition at  $\sim$ 11 K.<sup>25</sup> Besides, superconductivity has also been found in carbon materials doped with alkaline metals such as Li, Na and K.<sup>22,26–30</sup>

Recently, some hydrocarbons doped with alkali metals demonstrated superconducting behaviour with critical temperatures similar to or higher than that of graphite and graphene.<sup>31–35</sup> In particular, superconductivity up to 33 K was discovered in K-doped dibenzopentacene ( $C_{30}H_{18}$ ).<sup>36</sup> Despite the significant interest in carbon and hydrocarbon based superconductors, only a couple of reports can be found on similar properties in coordination polymers,<sup>37,38</sup> which also represent a remarkable part of modern 2D science. In the case of 2D covalent organic frameworks, no superconductors have been discovered at all.

Inspired by previous works, we here propose 2D COFs based on planar heterocyclic molecules, namely two types of tetraoxa[8]circulene organometallic porous sheets (TOC-Me) decorated by some alkali and alkaline-earth metals. The atomic and electronic structures of TOC-Me sheets (Me = Li, Na and Ca) were studied using first-principles calculations. Alkali and alkaline-earth metal complexes with tetraoxa[8]circulene molecules have been studied recently.<sup>39</sup> However, in that work metal atoms were initially in the charged (cationic) state, while in the present paper we consider TOC-based complexes with electroneutral alkali and alkaline-earth metal

atoms. We demonstrate effective interactions of Me atoms with TOC that change the electronic structure of the latter from semiconductor to metallic by an n-doping mechanism. We propose that the superconducting state could be realized in TOC-Ca monolayers with  $T_c = 14.5$  K caused by the high density of states in the vicinity of the Fermi level, as estimated by the Eliashberg theory. Employing the complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) and broken symmetry density functional theory (DFT) methods, a unique open-shell singlet in TOC-Ca is determined as a ground state, where the Ca species are in the  $S = 1/2$  state, proposing promising application as magnetic quantum bits for quantum computing nodes.

## Computational details

The density functional theory<sup>40,41</sup> calculations were performed using the Open source package for Material eXplorer software package (OpenMX)<sup>42</sup> based on a linear combination of pseudo-atomic orbitals (PAO)<sup>43–46</sup> method and norm-conserving pseudopotentials.<sup>47–51</sup> The cutoff energy value was equal to 150 Ry. The pseudo-atomic orbital basis sets, s3p3d2 for Ca, s3p2 for Na and Li, s2p2d2 for C, s2p2d2f1 for O with cutoff radii 9.0 a.u. for Ca and Na, 8.0 a.u. for Li, and 5.0 a.u. for C and O, were converged and found to be good enough to describe the studied nanostructures. The gradient exchange-correlation functional in the form proposed by Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE)<sup>52</sup> was employed. Empirical D3 Grimme correction was included to take into account van der Waals interactions.<sup>53,54</sup> All structures were relaxed until forces acting on the atoms and translation vectors became less than  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  Hartree per Bohr. The convergence condition for the energy minimization was equal to  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  Hartree. To simulate the 2D structures using Periodic Boundary Conditions (PBCs), the periodic replicas were separated by a vacuum spacing of at least 15 Å along the  $c$  axis. The first Brillouin zone (1BZ) was sampled on a grid of  $20 \times 20 \times 1$   $k$ -points generated according to the Monkhorst–Pack method.<sup>55</sup> The band structure calculations were carried out along the high symmetry  $\Gamma(0,0,0)-X(0,1/2,0)-M(1/2,1/2,0)-\Gamma(0,0,0)$  directions in 1BZ. The charges on atoms were obtained by integrating electron and spin densities in the Voronoi polyhedra constructed from smeared surfaces which are defined by a Fuzzy cell partitioning method.<sup>56</sup> The Visualization for Electronic and Structural Analysis (VESTA) software<sup>57</sup> was used for the visualization of atomic structures.

The binding energies ( $E_{\text{bind}}$ ) of metal atoms with TOC sheets were calculated using the following equation:

$$E_{\text{bind}} = E_{\text{tot}} - E_{\text{TOC}} - E_{\text{Me}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $E_{\text{tot}}$  is the total energy of the TOC-Me system,  $E_{\text{TOC}}$  and  $E_{\text{Me}}$  are the total energies of the freestanding TOC sheet and metal atom, respectively.

To calculate the spectral function and critical temperature ( $T_c$ ), we employed the EPW code,<sup>58–60</sup> where the electronic wave functions required for the Wannier–Fourier interp-



olation<sup>61</sup> were obtained using the Quantum-ESPRESSO package.<sup>62,63</sup> The structure was initially optimized and a non-self-consistent calculation was then performed using 64  $k$ -points in the first Brillouin zone and an energy cut-off of 40 Ry for the wavefunction and 160 Ry for the charge density. Phonon calculations were carried out using a  $4 \times 4 \times 1$   $q$ -point grid. No imaginary phonon frequencies were observed, suggesting that the proposed material is in the dynamically stable phase. The standard PBE-PAW pseudopotentials<sup>64</sup> were employed. The interpolated grid of  $20 \times 20 \times 1$   $k$  and  $q$  points were utilized in the Migdal-Eliashberg equation.

The energies of ground singlet ( $S_0$ ) and the first singlet excited state ( $S_1$ ) of the [TOC-Ca] complex were calculated by the extended multi-configuration quasi-degenerate perturbation theory at the second-order (XMC-QDPT2)<sup>65</sup> level of theory. The CASSCF was chosen as 10 electrons in 10 molecular orbitals (MOs). The stage-average procedure was performed over the first lowest electronic states. The effective Hamiltonian included 30 states. The 6-31G(d) basis set was used. The calculations were performed using the Firefly software.<sup>66</sup>


“Broken symmetry”<sup>67,68</sup> DFT calculations were performed for the [TOC-Ca] complexes using the Gaussian 16 software<sup>69</sup>

to confirm the open-shell singlet (OSS) ground state of the [TOC-Ca] complex. We have used Becke’s three-parameter hybrid exchange functional combined with the Lee-Yang-Parr (B3LYP)<sup>70,71</sup> hybrid exchange-correlation functional with the extended 6-31+G(d) basis set<sup>72-74</sup> and Grimme’s D3 empirical dispersion correction.<sup>53</sup> The search for the OSS solution was arranged as follows. A self-consistent field (SCF) calculation was first performed for the closed-shell singlet state (hereinafter called the CSS state). Next, a SCF calculation of the “broken symmetry” state was performed for the antiparallel orientation of the unpaired electrons on the Ca center and TOC ligand (the OSS state).

An analysis of the electron density distribution function by using Bader’s “atoms in molecules” formalism<sup>75</sup> was additionally performed for the [TOC-Me] (Me = Li, Na, Ca) complexes in order to explain the binding mechanism between the Me atom and the TOC ligand. Quantum Theory of Atoms In Molecules (QTAIM) calculations have been carried out for that purpose using the AIMAll software.<sup>76</sup>

## Results and discussion

In this work, the interaction between Li, Na and Ca atoms and two types of TOC porous sheets were investigated. The positions of the metal atoms in and above the TOC plane were considered for both types of TOC polymers. Our calculations reveal that the TOC#1-Me systems prefer the configuration with the metal atom above the plane (Fig. 1) with relative energies compared to the structures with a metal atom located in the plane equal to  $-0.06$ ,  $-0.25$  and  $-0.58$  eV for the TOC#1-Li, TOC#1-Na and TOC#1-Ca organometallic sheets, respectively. The details of the atomic structures of the fully relaxed TOC#1-Me polymers are listed in Table 1 and Tables S1-S6 (in ESI†). The translation vectors of all TOC#1-Me organometallic sheets are slightly increased as compared to the metal-free sheet. The distance between the metal atom and the surrounding oxygen atoms decreases from 2.648 Å (TOC#1-Ca) to 2.059 Å (TOC#1-Li), which is in good agreement with previous results for the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Li}^+$  complexes with tetraoxa[8]circulene.<sup>39</sup> The oxygen atoms are slightly displaced towards the metal atoms resulting in longer C-O bonds. A Voronoi charge analysis (Table 2) indicates that 0.51, 0.72 and 0.94 electrons



**Fig. 1** Top and side views of Me-tetraoxa[8]circulene organometallic porous sheets (Me = Li, Na and Ca) with two types of fusing between the tetraoxa[8]circulene moieties. Unit cells are shown in black dashed lines. Gray, red and blue colors correspond to carbon, oxygen and metal atoms, respectively.

**Table 1** Structural and energetic parameters of TOC-Me sheets. The atomic numbering scheme is shown in Fig. 1

Structure	Binding energy, eV	Lattice parameter $a = b$ , Å	Bond length, Å							
			Me...O	C-O	C1-C2	C2-C3	C2-C4	C4-C5	C5-C6	C5-C7
TOC#1-Li	-3.02	8.416	2.059	1.408	1.419	1.424	1.397	1.402	1.479	—
TOC#1-Na	-1.71	8.429	2.272	1.402	1.418	1.421	1.397	1.408	1.480	—
TOC#1-Ca	-1.29	8.457	2.648	1.417	1.426	1.424	1.404	1.412	1.477	—
TOC#1	—	8.412	—	1.394	1.428	1.410	1.402	1.416	1.460	—
TOC#2-Li	-2.14	9.762	2.962	1.399	1.426	1.415	1.429	1.361	1.454	1.463
TOC#2-Na	-1.96	9.765	2.966	1.399	1.426	1.415	1.428	1.362	1.454	1.464
TOC#2-Ca	-1.34	9.748	2.952	1.402	1.424	1.415	1.427	1.358	1.454	1.458
TOC#2	—	9.743	—	1.379	1.426	1.405	1.428	1.366	1.439	1.473



**Table 2** Voronoi charges on the non-equivalent atoms in the preferred configurations of the Me–tetraoxa[8]circulene organometallic porous sheets. The atomic numbering scheme is shown in Fig. 1

Atom	Voronoi charges, $\bar{e}$							
	TOC#1	TOC#1–Li	TOC#1–Na	TOC#1–Ca	TOC#2	TOC#2–Li	TOC#2–Na	TOC#2–Ca
C2	−0.01	−0.02	−0.03	−0.01	−0.01	−0.03	−0.03	−0.02
C4	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.15
C5	0.01	−0.03	−0.03	−0.02	−0.01	−0.04	−0.04	−0.04
O	−0.30	−0.32	−0.36	−0.43	−0.30	−0.37	−0.38	−0.44
Me		0.51	0.72	0.94		0.85	0.87	1.13

are transferred from the Li, Na and Ca atoms to the TOC sheet, respectively. This means that the TOC sheet prefers to snatch electrons from the alkali and alkaline-earth metals to form a more stable state. In the case of TOC#2–Me organometallic sheets, Me atoms are embedded in the center of the pores due to their large size (Fig. 1). The changes of the structural parameters of TOC#2–Me relative to the metal-free TOC#2 sheet are almost similar to those in TOC#1–Me (Table 1); the translation vectors are slightly increased and the oxygen atoms are shifted towards the metal atom. The distance between the Me atom and the neighboring oxygen atoms is almost the same for all TOC#2–Me organometallic sheets and equals to  $\sim 3$  Å. In this type of organometallic sheets, more electrons are transferred from the Me atom to the TOC#2 polymer. The Voronoi analysis indicates that the positive charges on the Li, Na and Ca atoms are equal to 0.85, 0.87 and 1.13  $\bar{e}$ , respectively.

To compare the energetic stability of the organometallic sheets, the binding energies ( $E_{\text{bind}}$ ) were calculated with the corresponding values of −3.02, −1.71, −1.29, −2.14, −1.96 and −1.34 eV for TOC#1–Li, TOC#1–Na, TOC#1–Ca, TOC#2–Li, TOC#2–Na and TOC#2–Ca sheets, respectively (Table 1). Negative binding energies for all the studied organometallic sheets reveal that their formation is energetically feasible. The binding energies of TOC decorated by Ca atoms are comparable to those reported for Ca deposited on graphene nanoribbons ( $\sim 0.7$ –1.1 eV).<sup>77</sup> The energies found are in the order of chemical bonding energies.

One can understand that the chemical potential of the dopant strongly depends on the host material and therefore that additional calculations of the formation energy with respect to the metals in their bulk phase is required. The calculated binding energy in the TOC#1–Ca system with respect to the energy of one Ca atom in the face centered cubic bulk structure was found to be positive (1.01 eV). This is because a much higher energy is required to eliminate the Ca atom from the bulk compared to the energy release upon TOC#1–Ca formation. The formation energies estimated in a similar manner for TOC#1–Li and TOC#1–Na are −0.06 and 0.43 eV, respectively. However, to have a meaningful reference, we also address the binding energy in the graphene/Ca system. To calculate the binding energy of the Ca atom with graphene, we used the optimized supercell containing 72 carbon atoms. The Ca atom was placed above a hexagon (which is the most favorable position) and then the supercell was relaxed. The binding energy

of the isolated Ca with graphene is found to be negative (−0.38 eV), while it is significantly positive with respect to the energy of the atom in the bulk phase (1.93 eV). Though the formation energy of Ca with graphene is almost twice more positive compared to TOC#1–Ca, obviously such materials can be produced experimentally.<sup>78,79</sup> The stability of Ca-decorated carbon nanostructures has also been confirmed theoretically with high-level approaches<sup>80</sup> and the binding energies found are close to those found in our calculations. Actually, the atomization energy of the bulk Ca is positive and much higher by absolute value than the complexing energy of the Ca atom and the TOC sheet. It means that if we start from the bulk Ca as a source of Ca atoms, we need to apply some energy to extract the Ca atom from the metal lattice and then the Ca atom forms the TOC–Ca complex, accompanied by an energy release. The complex is not metastable in itself, while some energy should be applied during the real synthesis procedure in order to get free Ca atoms (it could be evaporation of the bulk Ca or the electrochemical reduction of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions). Therefore, we believe that the formation energy with respect to bulk metals cannot be a true indicator of practical realization. This is the significant evidence of the possibility of experimental realization of the proposed nanomaterials. One should also admit, as diamond can be formed from graphite, that some metastable materials also can be realized under specific conditions.

The binding energies of organometallic sheets with s-block metals depend on the nanopore size and metal radius. The small radius of the Li atom allows it to be closer to the TOC#1 plane, something that leads to a stronger interaction between the Li atom and the TOC#1 polymer than between Na/Ca and TOC#1. The larger pore size of the TOC#2 structure facilitates the atom localization in the plane of the polymer, resulting in a stronger interaction between Na/Ca and the polymer compared to those in TOC#1–Na and TOC#1–Ca. Thus, TOC#2–Na and TOC#2–Ca sheets are more energetically stable than TOC#1–Na and TOC#1–Ca. In the case of the TOC#2–Li polymer, the large distances between the Li and O atoms and the small radius of the Li atom reduce the mutual interaction of the counterparts compared to that in TOC#1–Li.

To study how the modification affects the electronic properties of the TOC sheets, the densities of states (DOS) and the corresponding electronic band structures were calculated and plotted in Fig. 2 and 3, respectively. The metal-free TOC#1 polymer exhibits nonmagnetic semiconducting properties with







Fig. 2 Total and partial densities of states (TDOS and PDOS) of Me-tetraoxa[8]circulene organometallic porous sheets: TOC#1-Me and TOC#2-Me, where Me = (a, d) Li, (b, e) Na and (c, f) Ca, and DOS of metal-free tetraoxa[8]circulene porous sheets (TOC, grey). The Fermi level corresponds to 0 eV.

a band gap width of 0.96 eV. The band gap found is close to the recently reported data calculated within the plane-wave basis set<sup>3</sup> and the same level of theory (0.87 eV). The modification of TOC#1 by s-block metals leads to the emergence of metallic character caused by charge transfer from the metal atom to the circulene sheet. Decoration by Li and Na atoms has a similar effect on the electronic structure of TOC (Fig. 2(a and b) and 3(a and b)). Compared to the bare TOC, the vacant and occupied states are shifted by 1.52 and by 1.36 eV to lower energies for TOC#1-Li and TOC#1-Na systems, respectively, without the direct contribution of the Li and Na states in the vicinity of the Fermi level. However, both of them affect the vacant states and have contributions starting from 2.13 eV (Li) and 0.80 eV (Na) that coincide with the recent data on Li intercalation to the carbon nanostructures.<sup>81</sup>

States at the Fermi level are formed by C-p<sub>z</sub> and O-p<sub>z</sub> orbitals, which are shifted down inducing semiconductor-metal transition after accepting the charge transferred from the

s-metal. The top valence band crosses the Fermi level in the X-M interval and at the  $\Gamma$  point (Fig. 3(a and b)), forming quite a large amount of electron density in the vicinity of the Fermi level that should result in enhanced conductivity. Doping of TOC#1 by Ca atoms (TOC#1-Ca) induces the shift of electronic states by 1.44 eV to lower energies as compared to the metal-free polymer (Fig. 2(c)). An interesting fact in this case is that the electron density at the Fermi level is represented not only by C-p<sub>z</sub> and O-p<sub>z</sub> states, but also by Ca states simultaneously, greatly enhancing the states of the circulene sheet due to the larger amount of transferred charge (Table 2). As in the Li and Na doped TOC#1, the shifted conduction band crosses the Fermi level in the X-M interval and at the  $\Gamma$  point (Fig. 3(c)), forming the metallic features. However, the additional band caused by Ca doping locates exactly at the Fermi level, demonstrating a flat distribution along the  $k$ -vector (Fig. 3(c) and Fig. S1 and S2 in the ESI†) like the bands formed in the twisted bilayer graphene<sup>82-85</sup> and the doped graphene.<sup>30,86,87</sup>





**Fig. 3** Band structures of Me-tetraoxa[8]circulene organometallic porous sheets (TOC#1–Me and TOC#2–Me where Me = (a, d) Li, (b, e) Na and (c, f) Ca) with respect to the metal-free tetraoxa[8]circulene porous sheets (TOC, red). The Fermi level corresponds to 0 eV.

The presence of these flat bands at the Fermi level is caused by the formation of covalent bonds between Ca and the TOC monolayer with its following electron doping that should result in great enhancement of conductivity and that could induce a superconducting state in the tetraoxa[8]circulene polymer. Many theoretical reports reveal a phonon-mediated scenario of superconductivity in Ca-doped carbon materials,<sup>88–90</sup> where the perpendicular C lattice vibrations couple with the parallel Ca vibrations.

Next, we calculated the superconducting properties employing the PW, Phonon and EPW codes as implemented in the Quantum-ESPRESSO package. The calculated equilibrium lattice constant,  $a = 8.459 \text{ \AA}$ , is in excellent agreement with the previous calculation. Though the densities of states (Fig. S3†) demonstrate a slightly different distribution caused by the different basis type used, the main peaks are located at similar energies. One can clearly see a similar large peak located at the vicinity of the Fermi level. The temperature of the transition to the superconducting state ( $T_C$ ) can be estimated by the approximation of the Eliashberg equation using the McMillan formula<sup>91</sup> as modified by Allen and Dynes:<sup>92</sup>

$$T_C = \frac{\omega_{\log}}{1.2} \exp \left( -\frac{1.04(1+\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu_C(1+0.62\lambda)} \right) \quad (2)$$

where  $\mu_C$  is the effective Coulomb parameter and  $\lambda$  and  $\omega_{\log}$  are defined as:

$$\lambda = 2 \int \frac{\alpha^2 F(\omega)}{\omega} d\omega \quad (3)$$

and

$$\omega_{\log} = \exp \left[ \frac{2}{\lambda} \int \frac{\alpha^2 F(\omega)}{\omega} d\omega \ln(\omega) \right] \quad (4)$$

Fig. 4 shows the Eliashberg spectral function,  $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$ , and the cumulative total electron–phonon coupling (EPC),  $\lambda(\omega)$ . One can see that the major part of the coupling receives contributions from the low-energy modes, while the contribution from other branches is negligible. The calculated total e–p coupling,  $\lambda(\omega)$ , is 1.1. Such a large value of  $\lambda$  is likely to be assigned to the high density of states at the vicinity of the Fermi level where Ca provides the main contribution from both s and  $p_z$  orbitals. We used the Interactive phonon visualizer<sup>93</sup> to analyse the vibrational properties. One can clearly see that the main contribution to EPC is below 30 meV, where  $\lambda(\omega)$  reaches about 75% of the total coupling strength associated with the  $\text{Ca}_z$  and  $\text{Ca}_{xy}$  vibrations at  $\sim 8$  meV and  $\sim 20$ –30 meV, respectively, coupled with the out-of-plane collective vibrations of the tetraene core and vibrations of oxygen.




Fig. 4 Frequency-dependent phonon DOS, electron–phonon spectral function,  $\alpha^2F(\omega)$ , and integrated electron–phonon coupling strength,  $\lambda(\omega)$ .

Another significant contribution, approximately 10% of the EPC, originates from the in-plane  $C_{xy}$  vibrations in the range of 170–190 meV. Using the typical empirical value of  $\mu_C = 0.1$ , the critical temperature,  $T_C$ , is 14.5 K. This value is within the range of typical carbon based superconducting materials.<sup>21–24</sup>

It has been demonstrated that the diffusion barriers of s-block metals between 5, 6, and 8 coordinated carbon rings amount up to 0.8 eV (ref. 94 and 95), while the binding energies listed in Table 1 are considerably lower (~from –1.3 to –3.0 eV), which clearly show that the pores are global energy minimum positions. For instance, if an initially deposited s-block metal atom drops at a 5, 6, or 8 carbon ring of TOC it is expected to migrate to the pore position and can be trapped there by the covalent bonds, therefore, filling those sites. If an atom will be initially placed at a pore site, its escape would be hampered inhibiting segregation. Moreover, the use of modern techniques like the atomic layer deposition method<sup>96</sup> allows a precise control of the atomic concentration up to the submonolayer level and the speed of deposition, providing isolated single atoms to be deposited onto the substrate surface and preventing their segregation. While hard doping is usually required to induce superconducting behaviour in molecular structures, the present polymers require only one Ca atom per monomer to induce a high density of states in the vicinity of the Fermi level and superconducting properties. In contrast to traditional 2D materials like graphene, TOC monolayers can strongly adsorb s-metals in pores, therefore effectively creating a stable composite.

The doped TOC#2-Me sheets reveal metallic features in contrast to the semimetallic metal-free TOC#2, which was highlighted as a candidate of the spin–orbit coupling induced topological materials with the gap of ~87 meV.<sup>3</sup> However, in contrast to TOC#1-Me, the DOS of circulene at the Fermi level is reduced compared to those in the metal-free TOC#2 polymer (Fig. 2(d, e and f)) due to the shift of the energy levels to the lower energy region. It was determined that O- $p_z$  states are not represented at the Fermi level. Similar to TOC#1, Ca-doped TOC#2 reveals significantly enhanced DOS at the Fermi level. The increase in density results from the doping-induced conduction band

minimum (CBM) splitting and the formation of new states in the vicinity of the Fermi level. The bands of TOC#2-Me systems cross the Fermi level in the vicinity of the  $X$ – $M$ – $\Gamma$  intervals. In general, for TOC#2-Me we reveal similar features to TOC#1-Me with the exception of the Me position, which tends to occupy an in-plane state due to the larger pore size.

Next, we performed molecular structure simulations at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level of theory to elucidate how intercalation affects the energy level positions in the tetraoxa[8]circulene monolayers. The used oligomer models composed of 4 TOC monomers were terminated with hydrogen atoms as if they are in the original TOC molecules. The LUMO state of the bare TOC in these complexes acts as an electron acceptor. The HOMO level in the metal-free TOC#1 changes to HOMO–1 upon doping while keeping almost the same energy. Intercalation of Li and Na into the TOC lattices leads to splitting of the LUMO into a single occupied molecular orbital (SOMO) and single unoccupied molecular orbital (SUMO) with a gap of 0.58 eV between them. The SOMO wavefunction is similar to the LUMO one of the metal-free molecule. The adsorption of Ca atoms leads to a LUMO splitting by two states: HOMO and LUMO having the same nodes but a different sign of the wavefunction with the main contribution from the Ca s-states. The newly formed HOMO–LUMO gap becomes much smaller and equal to 0.64 eV. In contrast, there are no contributions from  $s^1$  metals to the HOMO and LUMO levels. The unoccupied LUMO+1 states preserve the doubly degenerate pattern as in the metal-free TOC (Fig. 5). Since both models (polymer and oligomer) exhibit similar TOC-Me distances and charge transfer, we believe that the results obtained are mutually transferable. We also should note that in the discussed 2D polymers the band gaps vanish because of the quantum confinement effect. At the same time, one can clearly observe the new band caused by Ca doping and great enhancement in the density of states at the Fermi level of TOC-Ca (Fig. 2c and f), which should lead to much better conductivity and to the emergence of a superconducting state.

To explore the bonding features of the TOC-Me structures, Bader's electron density distribution analysis was performed within the Quantum Theory of Atoms In Molecules (QTAIM) approach. The graph structure of the TOC#1-Me complexes and the most important electronic characteristics of the coordination Me–O bonds and non-covalent interactions are presented in Fig. 6 and Table 3, respectively. One can see that for all the considered cases (Me = Li, Na, Ca), the Me–O bonds can be assigned to the closed-shell type interactions in terms of Bader's classification (electron density Laplacian in the bond critical point  $\nabla^2\rho(r) > 0$  as well as the electron energy density  $h_e(r) > 0$  ( $h_e(r) = g(r) + \nu(r)$ ), meaning a predominant localization of the electron density in atomic basins and domination of the kinetic energy density,  $g(r)$ , over the potential energy density,  $\nu(r)$ ). Indeed, the delocalization indices (DI) for the Me–O bonds are quite small (0.06, 0.08 and 0.14 for Li–O, Na–O and Ca–O bonds, respectively), and they expectedly increase in the order Li, Na, Ca, implying an increase in the covalence degree with an increase in the metal atom size. The ellipticity parameter ( $\epsilon$ ) of






Fig. 5 Energy molecular orbital diagram of tetraoxa[8]circulene doped by s-metals. "H" and "L" denote HOMO and LUMO terms, respectively; the numbers correspond to orbital energies (in eV).




Fig. 6 General graph structure (top view) of TOC#1-Me (Me = Li, Na, Ca) complexes obtained within Bader's QTAIM analysis. Green and red dots correspond to the bond (BCP) and ring (RCP) critical points of (3,−1) and (3,+1) type. Light-grey lines represent the RCP-to-BCP paths, dashed lines represent the weak non-covalent O···O and O'···O' interactions, and other bonds are represented as solid lines. In the case of TOC#1-Na and TOC#1-Ca complexes, metal atoms are placed above the TOC ligand, while the TOC#1-Li complex is planar.

the Me–O bonds is quite small (less than 0.1), meaning a slight deviation from the cylindrical bond symmetry as well as the dynamic stability of these bonds. Indeed, the Me–O bond critical points (BCP) (3,−1) are placed far from any ring critical points (RCP) (3,+1) indicating a low probability of RCP-with-BCP bifurcation upon the vibrational atomic motions. In contrast, O···O and O'···O' interactions are characterized by quite high ellipticity and a close interposition of RCP-BCP, indicating a potential dynamic instability.

Due to the charge redistribution, the TOC–Ca sheets can be good candidates for the construction of computing nodes of qubits. Alkaline and alkaline-earth metals are of particular interest toward scalable quantum computing architectures.<sup>97–99</sup> Though the approach proposed in the above papers to trap neutral atoms is quite challenging to achieve, there is also the alternative approach based on electron spin manipulation.<sup>100,101</sup> One of the key parameters in the construction of magnetic qubits is the spin coherence time, which is related to the lifetime of a quantum superposition of states and which strictly depends on the spin state. Materials with active centers in the  $S = 1/2$  spin state have been highlighted as most promising in terms of spin coherence time, and therefore practical realization of qubit architecture,<sup>102</sup> due to the reduced spin–phonon coupling.<sup>103</sup>

As follows from atomic charge calculations (Table 1) for the TOC#1–Ca complex, a strong charge polarization within the Ca–O bonds takes place. Actually, one can speculate about a 4s



**Table 3** Selected electronic characteristics of the coordination bonds and non-covalent interactions in the TOC#1–Me (Me = Li, Na, Ca) complexes obtained from Bader's QTAIM analysis. Bond length ( $d$ ), the electron density in the bond critical point  $\rho(r)$ , Laplacian of the electron density in the bond critical point  $\nabla^2\rho(r)$ , the potential energy density in the bond critical point  $\nu(r)$ , the electronic energy density in the bond critical point  $h_e(r)$ , bond ellipticity  $\epsilon$  and the delocalization index parameters DI are presented for the considered interactions


Complex	Bond	$d$ , Å	$\rho(r)$ , e Å <sup>-3</sup>	$\nabla^2\rho(r)$ , e Å <sup>-5</sup>	$\nu(r)$ , a.u.	$h_e(r)$ , a.u.	$\epsilon$	DI
TOC#1–Li	Li…O	2.038	0.0213	0.1354	-0.0211	0.0064	0.013	0.06
	O…O	2.882	0.0101	0.0364	-0.0083	0.0004	0.768	0.04
	O'…O'	2.919	0.0077	0.0308	-0.0066	0.0006	0.395	0.04
TOC#1–Na	Na…O	2.270	0.0223	0.1440	-0.0230	0.0065	0.075	0.08
	O…O	2.918	0.0090	0.0328	-0.0077	0.0003	0.327	0.04
	O'…O'	2.923	0.0077	0.0306	-0.0065	0.0005	0.405	0.04
TOC#1–Ca	Ca…O	2.478	0.0261	0.1284	-0.0251	0.0035	0.095	0.14
	O…O	2.908	0.0097	0.0339	-0.0081	0.0002	1.121	0.04
	O'…O'	2.924	0.0077	0.0306	-0.0065	0.0006	0.417	0.04

electron transfer from the Ca atom to the TOC ligand upon complexation. Since only one electron transfers from the Ca atom to the TOC sheet, one can also predict the appearance of spin-polarization in this system. Therefore, a unique situation can be realized: the complexation of a TOC ligand, which is a closed-shell singlet (CSS) ground electronic state, with a Ca atom, which is also a CSS ground electronic state, may lead to a spin-polarized open-shell singlet (OSS) state of the TOC#1–Ca complex (Fig. 7), *i.e.* the entire spin state of the complex is singlet, while the Ca atom and TOC ligand both are doublets. Such a state is promising for obtaining building blocks for logical processes in quantum technology. The doublet spin state is characterized by a long spin coherence time and could therefore be of interest for applications in quantum information processing. Thus, the proved  $S = 1/2$  ground state of Ca implemented in TOC could make TOC–Ca monolayers attractive materials for quantum computing purposes.

In order to prove our idea, we carried out broken symmetry (BS) DFT and CASSCF simulations to reveal the true ground state of Ca-intercalated TOC. A CASSCF calculation involving 10 electrons in 10 orbitals and an effective Hamiltonian in a multi-configuration quasi-degenerate second-order perturbation theory (XMC-QDPT2) confirms this assumption (Fig. 7). The result shows that the ground electronic state is a singlet

with an open shell with the contribution of two determinants ( $-0.6$  and  $0.4$  CI coefficients). The broken symmetry approximation at the UB3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level with the consideration of GD3 empirical dispersion correction also confirms the open-shell singlet state is the ground state of the studied complex, while the closed-shell singlet state wavefunction demonstrates the Restricted to Unrestricted Hartree–Fock (RHF–UHF) instability.

It is found that the open-shell singlet state is of multi-configurational nature, while the current DFT methods coupled with the PBC model do not allow one to carry out such kind of calculations and therefore to reveal a true spin state. It should be also noted that the transferred spin spreads on the infinite organic part in the PBC approximation and that we did not find any sizable spin-polarization there, while the Ca atoms in the spin-polarized calculations reveal a minor magnetic moment of  $\sim 0.03 \mu\text{B}$ . Therefore, the infinite organic part has no spin polarization and can so be considered as only electron-doped. Generally, magnetism is harmful for superconductivity and superconductors expel the magnetic field. However, magnetic impurities can interact with superconductors inducing Yu–Shiba–Rusinov (YSR) states.<sup>104,105</sup> The existence of superconducting states in superconductor/ferromagnet heterostructures has also been recently experimentally confirmed in terms of topological superconductivity.<sup>106,107</sup>



**Fig. 7** Schematic representation of open-shell singlet formation, where Ca and the ligand are in a doublet state.



In the case of molecular magnets having the desired spin state, it is necessary to couple them to the superconducting resonator in a precise well-ordered manner, which is also a challenging task. However, the present TOC–Ca material possesses intrinsic superconducting properties along with the  $S = 1/2$  spin state of Ca simultaneously, which, therefore, makes this material a promising platform for quantum information processing. Though the doping-induced superconducting states have been widely proved in carbon-based superlattices, this work is probably the first report of superconductivity in Ca-doped molecular-based polymer structures and 2D covalent organic frameworks. We expect that superconductivity may be realized in other COFs through the doping mechanism.

## Conclusions

In this work the structure and properties of the new organometallic porous sheets formed by 2D TOC polymers and alkali (Li, Na) or alkaline-earth (Ca) metals have been studied using first-principles methods. Two types of TOC polymers with different fusing of the TOC moieties were considered to design the TOC–Me sheets. The results show that the localization of metal atoms depends on the pore size: polymers with larger pores (TOC#2) promote the in-plane localization of the metal atoms, while the metal atoms are located above the plane in TOC#1. Voronoi charges indicate that the TOC polymers accept electrons from the alkali and alkaline-earth metals to form a more stable state. All organometallic structures are energetically stable. It was revealed that Me atoms have a significant influence on the TOC polymers, resulting in changes in the electronic structure from semiconductor to metallic. Using CASSCF calculations of a model of the TOC–Ca polymer, we determined a unique open-shell singlet ground state formed by two closed-shell singlets. Both the ligand and the Ca atom becomes a doublet, which is favorable for the construction of quantum nodes consisting of magnetic active centers with  $S = 1/2$  as qubits. We found superconducting behaviour in the TOC–Ca polymers with  $T_C = 14.5$  K. Therefore, this material could be an ideal platform for quantum information processing. The idea of the possibility to use the proposed materials as a platform for quantum qubits is additionally supported by the recent experimental observations of an ultralong spin lifetime in light alkali atom doped graphene.<sup>108</sup>

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation (Project 19-73-10015). The authors thank the Information Technology Center, Novosibirsk State University, Institute of Computational Modelling of SB RAS, Krasnoyarsk and the

Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC 2020-3-29) at the High Performance Computing Center North (HPC2N) partially funded by the Swedish Research Council through the grant agreement no. 2018-05973 for providing the access to supercomputer facilities. G. V. B. and H. A. are thankful for the support from the Olle Engkvist Byggmästare Foundation (contract no. 189-0223). G. V. B. is also thankful for the financial support from the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine (project no. 0117U003908). R. R. V. thanks the Tomsk Polytechnic University Competitiveness Enhancement Program.

## Notes and references

- 1 K. S. Novoselov, D. Jiang, F. Schedin, T. J. Booth, V. V. Khotkevich, S. V. Morozov and A. K. Geim, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2005, **102**, 10451–10453.
- 2 J. Zhou, J. Lin, X. Huang, Y. Zhou, Y. Chen, J. Xia, H. Wang, Y. Xie, H. Yu, J. Lei, D. Wu, F. Liu, Q. Fu, Q. Zeng, C.-H. Hsu, C. Yang, L. Lu, T. Yu, Z. Shen, H. Lin, B. I. Yakobson, Q. Liu, K. Suenaga, G. Liu and Z. Liu, *Nature*, 2018, **556**, 355–359.
- 3 A. V. Kuklin, G. V. Baryshnikov, B. F. Minaev, N. Ignatova and H. Ågren, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 22216–22222.
- 4 G. V. Baryshnikov, B. F. Minaev, N. N. Karaush and V. A. Minaeva, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 25843–25851.
- 5 J. Yu, Q. Sun, Y. Kawazoe and P. Jena, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 14962–14970.
- 6 B. F. Minaev, G. V. Baryshnikov and V. A. Minaeva, *Comput. Theor. Chem.*, 2011, **972**, 68–74.
- 7 L. Liu and Z. Shen, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2009, **95**, 252104.
- 8 H. J. Conley, B. Wang, J. I. Ziegler, R. F. Haglund Jr., S. T. Pantelides and K. I. Bolotin, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 3626–3630.
- 9 G. V. Baryshnikov, B. F. Minaev, N. N. Karaush and V. A. Minaeva, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 6555–6559.
- 10 J. Zhou and Q. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 15113–15119.
- 11 J. Tan, W. Li, X. He and M. Zhao, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 7016–7022.
- 12 Y. Li and Q. Sun, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 4098.
- 13 K. Lü, J. Zhou, L. Zhou, Q. Wang, Q. Sun and P. Jena, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2011, **99**, 163104.
- 14 K. Lü, J. Zhou, L. Zhou, X. S. Chen, S. H. Chan and Q. Sun, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **136**, 234703.
- 15 P. Wang, X. Jiang, J. Hu, X. Huang and J. Zhao, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 2147–2154.
- 16 M. Abel, S. Clair, O. Ourdjini, M. Mossoyan and L. Porte, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 1203–1205.
- 17 M. Koudia and M. Abel, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 8565–8567.
- 18 D. M. Sedlovs, V. T. Volkov, I. I. Khodos, A. V. Zotov and V. I. Korepanov, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 2019, **52**, 245303.



19 M. Kan, J. Y. Wang, X. W. Li, S. H. Zhang, Y. W. Li, Y. Kawazoe, Q. Sun and P. Jena, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 1515–1522.

20 Y. Li, K. A. N. Duerloo, K. Wauson and E. J. Reed, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 10671.

21 T. E. Weller, M. Ellerb, S. S. Saxena, R. P. Smith and N. T. Skipper, *Nat. Phys.*, 2005, **1**, 39–41.

22 G. Csányi, P. B. Littlewood, A. H. Nevidomskyy, C. J. Pickard and B. D. Simons, *Nat. Phys.*, 2005, **1**, 42–45.

23 N. Emery, C. Hérold, M. D'Astuto, V. Garcia, C. Bellin, J. F. Maréché, P. Lagrange and G. Loupias, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2005, **95**, 087003.

24 H. Liang, X. Zang, Y. Liu, D. Chen, Z. Xu, W. Gao, X. Wang, C. Gao, G. Chen and M. Xue, *Carbon*, 2019, **152**, 527–531.

25 Y. Liu, H. Liang, Z. Xu, J. Xi, G. Chen, W. Gao, M. Xue and C. Gao, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 4301–4306.

26 B. M. Ludbrook, G. Levy, P. Nigge, M. Zonno, M. Schneider, D. J. Dvorak, C. N. Veenstra, S. Zhdanovich, D. Wong, P. Dosanjh, C. Straßer, A. Stöhr, S. Forti, C. R. Ast, U. Starke and A. Damascelli, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2015, **112**, 11795–11799.

27 M. Xue, G. Chen, H. Yang, Y. Zhu, D. Wang, J. He and T. Cao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 6536–6539.

28 I. T. Belash, A. D. Bronnikov, O. V. Zharkov and A. V. Palnichenko, *Solid State Commun.*, 1987, **64**, 1445–1447.

29 R. A. Jishi and M. S. Dresselhaus, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1992, **45**, 12465.

30 S. Ichinokura, K. Sugawara, A. Takayama, T. Takahashi and S. Hasegawa, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 2761–2765.

31 R. Mitsuhashi, Y. Suzuki, Y. Yamanari, H. Mitamura, T. Kambe, N. Ikeda, H. Okamoto, A. Fujiwara, M. Yamaji, N. Kawasaki, Y. Maniwa and Y. Kubozono, *Nature*, 2010, **464**, 76–79.

32 G. H. Zhong, D. Y. Yang, K. Zhang, R. S. Wang, C. Zhang, H. Q. Lin and X. J. Chen, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **20**, 25217–25223.

33 J. F. Yan, G. H. Zhong, R. S. Wang, K. Zhang, H. Q. Lin and X. J. Chen, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**, 40–47.

34 X. W. Yan, C. Zhang, G. Zhong, D. Ma and M. Gao, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 11566–11571.

35 X. Wu, C. Xu, K. Wang and X. Xiao, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 15446–15552.

36 M. Xue, T. Cao, D. Wang, Y. Wu, H. Yang, X. Dong, J. He, F. Li and G. F. Chen, *Sci. Rep.*, 2012, **2**, 389.

37 X. Huang, S. Zhang, L. Liu, L. Yu, G. Chen, W. Xu and D. Zhu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 146–150.

38 X. Zhang, Y. Zhou, B. Cui, M. Zhao and F. Liu, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 6166–6170.

39 N. N. Karaush, G. V. Baryshnikov and B. F. Minaev, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 24299–24305.

40 P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev.*, 1964, **136**, 864–871.

41 W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.*, 1965, **140**, 1133.

42 S. Boker, M. Neale, H. Maes, M. Wilde, M. Spiegel, T. Brick, J. Spies, R. Estabrook, S. Kenny, T. Bates, P. Mehta and J. Fox, *Psychometrika*, 2011, **76**, 306–317.

43 T. Ozaki and H. Kino, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2004, **69**, 195113.

44 T. Ozaki, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2003, **67**, 155108.

45 T. Ozaki and H. Kino, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2005, **72**, 045121.

46 K. Lejaeghere, G. Bihlmayer, T. Björkman, P. Blaha, S. Blügel, V. Blum, D. Caliste, I. E. Castelli, S. J. Clark, A. Dal Corso, S. De Gironcoli, T. Deutsch, J. K. Dewhurst, I. Di Marco, C. Draxl, M. Dulak, O. Eriksson, J. A. Flores-Livas, K. F. Garrity, L. Genovese, P. Giannozzi, M. Giantomassi, S. Goedecker, X. Gonze, O. Gränäs, E. K. U. Gross, A. Gulans, F. Gygi, D. R. Hamann, P. J. Hasnip, N. A. W. Holzwarth, D. Iuşan, D. B. Jochym, F. Jollet, D. Jones, G. Kresse, K. Koepernik, E. Küçükbenli, Y. O. Kvashnin, I. L. M. Locht, S. Lubeck, M. Marsman, N. Marzari, U. Nitzsche, L. Nordström, T. Ozaki, L. Paulatto, C. J. Pickard, W. Poelmans, M. I. J. Probert, K. Refson, M. Richter, G. M. Rignanese, S. Saha, M. Scheffler, M. Schlipf, K. Schwarz, S. Sharma, F. Tavazza, P. Thunström, A. Tkatchenko, M. Torrent, D. Vanderbilt, M. J. Van Setten, V. Van Speybroeck, J. M. Wills, J. R. Yates, G. X. Zhang and S. Cottenier, *Science*, 2016, **351**, aad3000.

47 G. B. Bachelet, D. R. Hamann and M. Schlüter, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1982, **26**, 4199–4228.

48 N. Troullier and J. L. Martins, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1991, **43**, 1993–2006.

49 L. Kleinman and D. M. Bylander, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1982, **48**, 1425–1428.

50 P. E. Blöchl, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1990, **41**, 5414–5416.

51 I. Morrison, D. M. Bylander and L. Kleinman, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1993, **47**, 6728–6731.

52 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **77**, 3865–3868.

53 S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **132**, 154104.

54 S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich and L. Goerigk, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2011, **32**, 1456.

55 H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State*, 1976, **13**, 5188–5192.

56 A. D. Becke and R. M. Dickson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1988, **89**, 2993–2997.

57 K. Momma and F. Izumi, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2011, **44**, 1272–1276.

58 F. Giustino, M. L. Cohen and S. G. Louie, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2007, **76**, 165108.

59 E. R. Margine and F. Giustino, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2013, **87**, 024505.

60 S. Poncé, E. R. Margine, C. Verdi and F. Giustino, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2016, **209**, 116–133.



61 A. A. Mostofi, J. R. Yates, Y.-S. Lee, I. Souza, D. Vanderbilt and N. Marzari, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2008, **178**, 685–699.

62 P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, A. Dal Corso, S. De Gironcoli, S. Fabris, G. Fratesi, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, C. Gougoussis, A. Kokalj, M. Lazzeri, L. Martin-Samos, N. Marzari, F. Mauri, R. Mazzarello, S. Paolini, A. Pasquarello, L. Paulatto, C. Sbraccia, S. Scandolo, G. Sclauzero, A. P. Seitsonen, A. Smogunov, P. Umari and R. M. Wentzcovitch, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2009, **21**, 395502.

63 P. Giannozzi, O. Andreussi, T. Brumme, O. Bunau, M. Buongiorno Nardelli, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, M. Cococcioni, N. Colonna, I. Carnimeo, A. Dal Corso, S. De Gironcoli, P. Delugas, R. A. Distasio, A. Ferretti, A. Floris, G. Fratesi, G. Fugallo, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, F. Giustino, T. Gorni, J. Jia, M. Kawamura, H. Y. Ko, A. Kokalj, E. Küçükbenli, M. Lazzeri, M. Marsili, N. Marzari, F. Mauri, N. L. Nguyen, H. V. Nguyen, A. Otero-De-La-Roza, L. Paulatto, S. Poncé, D. Rocca, R. Sabatini, B. Santra, M. Schlipf, A. P. Seitsonen, A. Smogunov, I. Timrov, T. Thonhauser, P. Umari, N. Vast, X. Wu and S. Baroni, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2017, **29**, 465901.

64 N. Troullier and J. L. Martins, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1991, **43**, 1993–2006.

65 A. A. Granovsky, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **134**, 214113.

66 A. A. Granovsky, *Firefly version 8.2 [Electronic resource]*. Available at: <http://classic.chem.msu.su/gran/gamess/index.html>.

67 E. Ruiz, J. Cano, S. Alvarez and P. Alemany, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 1999, **20**, 131391.

68 L. Noodleman, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1981, **74**, 5737–5743.

69 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. S. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, P. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. E. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 16, Rev. A. 03*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2016.

70 A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **98**, 5648–5652.

71 C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1988, **37**, 785.

72 R. Ditchfield, W. J. Hehre and J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1971, **54**, 724.

73 T. Clark, J. Chandrasekhar, G. W. Spitznagel and P. V. R. Schleyer, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 1983, **4**, 294–301.

74 M. J. Frisch, J. A. Pople and J. S. Binkley, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1984, **80**, 3265–3269.

75 R. F. W. Bader, *Atoms in Molecules. A Quantum Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.

76 T. A. Keith, *AIMAll (Version 10.07.25)*, TK Gristmill Software, Overland Park KS, USA, 2010, <http://www.aim.tkgristmill.com>.

77 H. Lee, J. Ihm, M. L. Cohen and S. G. Louie, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**(3), 793–798.

78 B. M. Ludbrook, G. Levy, P. Nigge, M. Zonno, M. Schneider, D. J. Dvorak, C. N. Veenstra, S. Zhdanovich, D. Wong, P. Dosanjh, C. Straßer, A. Stöhr, S. Forti, C. R. Ast, U. Starke and A. Damascelli, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2015, **112**, 11795–11799.

79 J. Chapman, Y. Su, C. A. Howard, D. Kundys, A. N. Grigorenko, F. Guinea, A. K. Geim, I. V. Grigorieva and R. R. Nair, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 1–6.

80 C. Cazorla, S. A. Shevlin and Z. X. Guo, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2010, **82**, 155454.

81 Y. Yamada, A. V. Kuklin, S. Sato, F. Esaka, N. Sumi, C. Zhang, M. Sasaki, E. Kwon, Y. Kasama, P. V. Avramov and S. Sakai, *Carbon*, 2018, **133**, 23–30.

82 K. Kim, A. DaSilva, S. Huang, B. Fallahazad, S. Larentis, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, B. J. LeRoy, A. H. MacDonald and E. Tutuc, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2017, **114**, 3364–3369.

83 D. Marchenko, D. V. Evtushinsky, E. Golias, A. Varykhalov, T. Seyller and O. Rader, *Sci. Adv.*, 2018, **4**, eaau0059.

84 Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras and P. Jarillo-Herrero, *Nature*, 2018, **556**, 43–50.

85 E. Suárez Morell, J. D. Correa, P. Vargas, M. Pacheco and Z. Barticevic, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2010, **82**, 121407(R).

86 J. L. McChesney, A. Bostwick, T. Ohta, T. Seyller, K. Horn, J. González and E. Rotenberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2010, **104**, 136803.

87 N. Ehlen, M. Hell, G. Marini, E. H. Hasdeo, R. Saito, Y. Falke, M. O. Goerbig, G. Di Santo, L. Petaccia, G. Profeta and A. Grüneis, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 1055–1069.

88 A. P. Durajski, K. M. Skoczyłas and R. Szcześniak, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **21**, 5925–5931.

89 E. R. Margine, H. Lambert and F. Giustino, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 21414.

90 M. Calandra and F. Mauri, *Phys. Status Solidi*, 2006, **243**, 3458.

91 W. L. McMillan, *Phys. Rev.*, 1968, **167**, 331.

92 P. B. Allen and R. C. Dynes, *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State*, 1975, **12**, 905.

93 <https://www.materialscloud.org/work/tools/interactivephonon>.

94 X. Fan, W. T. Zheng and J. L. Kuo, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, **4**, 2432.



95 C. Cazorla, S. A. Shevlin and Z. X. Guo, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2010, **82**, 155454.

96 S. M. George, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 111–131.

97 A. J. Daley, M. M. Boyd, J. Ye and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **101**, 170504.

98 I. S. Madjarov, J. P. Covey, A. L. Shaw, J. Choi, A. Kale, A. Cooper, H. Pichler, V. Schkolnik, J. R. Williams and M. Endres, *Nat. Phys.*, 2020, **16**, 857–861.

99 A. V. Gorshkov, A. M. Rey, A. J. Daley, M. M. Boyd, J. Ye, P. Zoller and M. D. Lukin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2009, **102**, 110503.

100 M. N. Leuenberger and D. Loss, *Nature*, 2001, **410**, 789–793.

101 M. Atzori and R. Sessoli, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 11339–11352.

102 M. D. Jenkins, D. Zueco, O. Roubeau, G. Aromí, J. Majer and F. Luis, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 16682–16693.

103 A. Albino, S. Benci, L. Tesi, M. Atzori, R. Torre, S. Sanvito, R. Sessoli and A. Lunghi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 10260–10268.

104 X. Yang, Y. Yuan, Y. Peng, E. Minamitani, L. Peng, J. J. Xian, W. H. Zhang and Y. S. Fu, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 8174–8179.

105 B. W. Heinrich, J. I. Pascual and K. J. Franke, *Prog. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **93**, 1–19.

106 S. Kezilebieke, M. N. Huda, P. Dreher, I. Manninen, Y. Zhou, J. Sainio, R. Mansell, M. M. Ugeda, S. van Dijken, H. P. Komsa and P. Liljeroth, *Commun. Phys.*, 2020, **3**, 1–8.

107 S. Kezilebieke, M. N. Huda, V. Vaňo, M. Aapro, S. C. Ganguli, O. J. Silveira, S. Głodzik, A. S. Foster, T. Ojanen and P. Liljeroth, *Nature*, 2020, **588**, 424–428.

108 B. G. Márkus, P. Szirmai, K. F. Edelthalhammer, P. Eckerlein, A. Hirsch, F. Hauke, N. M. Nemes, J. C. Chacón-Torres, B. Náfrádi, L. Forró, T. Pichler and F. Simon, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 7492–7501.

