



Cite this: *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2021, **3**, 4826

Gold nanoparticle assisted synthesis of MoS₂ monolayers by chemical vapor deposition†

L. Seravalli, ^{*a} M. Bosi, ^a P. Fiorenza, ^b S. E. Panasci, ^b D. Orsi, ^c E. Rotunno, ^d L. Cristofolini, ^c F. Rossi, ^a F. Giannazzo ^b and F. Fabbri ^e

The use of metal nanoparticles is an established paradigm for the synthesis of semiconducting one-dimensional nanostructures. In this work we study their effect on the synthesis of two-dimensional semiconducting materials, by using gold nanoparticles for chemical vapor deposition growth of two-dimensional molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂). In comparison with the standard method, the employment of gold nanoparticles allows us to obtain large monolayer MoS₂ flakes, up to 20 μm in lateral size, even if they are affected by the localized overgrowth of MoS₂ bilayer and trilayer islands. Important modifications of the optical and electronic properties of MoS₂ triangular domains are reported, where the photoluminescence intensity of the A exciton is strongly quenched and a shift to a positive threshold voltage in back-gated field effect transistors is observed. These results indicate that the use of gold nanoparticles influences the flake growth and properties, indicating a method for possible localized synthesis of two-dimensional materials, improving the lateral size of monolayers and modifying their properties.

Received 18th May 2021
Accepted 30th June 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1na00367d
rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

Introduction

Two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) are attractive for use in next-generation electronic devices due to their unique properties and wide compatibility with current fabrication processes. Among TMDs, molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) has gained interest due to its thickness-dependent bandgap,^{1,2} strong light-matter coupling,^{3–5} and peculiar electronic properties,⁶ making it suitable for logic devices, integrated circuits, and optoelectronics.^{7–15}

The most versatile and efficient technique for the synthesis of TMDs is chemical vapor deposition (CVD).^{16,17} Several studies have demonstrated the large area CVD synthesis of different TMDs on different substrates, such as silicon dioxide or sapphire.^{18–20} Recently, a CVD based approach compatible with “back-end-of-line” for integration with complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) technology has been reported following the strict restriction of the technology

compatibility.^{21–23} The CVD approach, which yields high-quality and predominantly monolayer (ML) MoS₂, is a promising technique for realizing large-scale industrial production, but still faces challenges due to poor control over the precise position and yield of the synthesized monolayer product. In order to achieve the localized synthesis of monolayer MoS₂, different approaches have been employed: the localized deposition of molybdenum precursors,^{24–26} the masking with mica substrates²⁷ or the use of metal seeds.^{28–30} In particular, gold seeds have demonstrated to be particularly efficient for the deterministic synthesis of monolayer TMDs,^{28,30} also allowing the growth of vertically aligned MoS₂ flakes, suitable for the hydrogen evolution reaction.³¹ In addition, MoS₂ coupled gold nanostructures demonstrate interesting light-matter interactions due to the structural curvature of the MoS₂ shell and the plasmonic effect from the underlying gold nanoparticle core.³² Recently, single gold atom functionalization of monolayer MoS₂ has been demonstrated as an efficient p-type doping method.³³

As MoS₂ flakes with dimensions exceeding hundreds of microns are nowadays commonly reported, this method is interesting not for the enlargement of these 2D nanostructures *per se*, but rather for the possibility of achieving control of their nucleation position. For this, however, a complete study of the effects of growing MoS₂ on substrates with gold NP seeds is needed, in order to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of this method.

In this work, we present a complete study of the CVD synthesis of monolayer MoS₂ assisted by gold nanoparticles (NPs). The SiO₂/Si substrates are prepared by means of drop-

^aInstitute for Materials for Electronics and Magnetism (IMEM-CNR), Parco Area delle Scienze 37/a, 43124 Parma, Italy. E-mail: luca.seravalli@imem.cnr.it

^bInstitute for Microelectronics and Microsystems (CNR-IMM), Z. I. VIII Strada 5, 95121 Catania, Italy

^cDepartment of Mathematical, Physical and Computer Sciences, University of Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 7/a, 43124 Parma, Italy

^dIstituto Nanoscienze-CNR via G Campi 213/a, 41125, Modena, Italy

^eNEST, Istituto Nanoscienze – CNR, Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza San Silvestro 12, 56127 Pisa, Italy

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [10.1039/d1na00367d](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1na00367d)



casting of a solution containing gold NPs. The synthesis results in monolayer MoS₂ triangular flakes with an average lateral size of tens of micrometers affected by the nanometric bilayer (BL) islands. The employment of gold nanoparticles affects the optical and electrical properties of monolayer MoS₂; in particular the A exciton emission is strongly quenched with respect to the trion and B exciton emissions, while the electrical analysis of a gold NP assisted MoS₂ field emission transistor (FET) reveals a low-voltage positive value of the threshold voltage.

Results and discussion

The MoS₂ flakes were synthesized on SiO₂/Si substrates, with a SiO₂ thickness of about 290 nm. SiO₂ substrates ($2 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$) were first cleaned in acetone and iso-propanol, and then about 100 μL of a solution of gold nanoparticles (60 nm diameter, supplied by Alfa Aesar in 0.1 mg ml⁻¹ sodium citrate as stabilizer) were dispersed by drop-casting on the surface of the substrate.

The growth was carried out at atmospheric pressure in an open tube using 175 mg of sulfur powder and 50 mg of MoO₃ powder with nitrogen as the carrier gas with a flow rate of 300 sccm. After a heating ramp time of about 20 minutes, the growth of MoS₂ was carried out in 10 minutes.³⁴

Perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic dianhydride (PTCDA) dissolved in water and dropcasted on a separate silicon substrate was used as a seeding promoter to enhance flake nucleation and growth.³⁵ In our system, PTCDA is used to balance the

nanoparticle catalyzed synthesis of MoS₂ 3D structures and the lateral synthesis of two dimensional flakes, but it decomposes during the heating ramp, leaving no residuals on the substrate, as discussed in ref. 34.

Fig. 1a shows the preparation of the SiO₂/Si substrate for gold nanoparticle assisted CVD synthesis. The substrates are first covered with 60 μL of 0.1% w/v poly-L-lysine solution: this step allows nanoparticles to better adhere to the surface. As poly-L-lysine has a very low melting point (about 140 °C), we expect that it desorbs from the substrate during the heating process of the substrate, thus not participating in the synthesis of the MoS₂ layers; then, after rinsing the substrate in water, 100 μL of gold colloidal suspension (60 nm diameter) are deposited by drop-casting on the substrates; finally, after 60 seconds, the substrates are rinsed again with water and dried with N₂ gas.³⁶

In Fig. 1b, we present the configuration of the growth system: one substrate with gold NPs and one without them were loaded on the graphite susceptor in a symmetrical position, with a Si piece with the PTCDA promoter in the middle. This configuration ensured that the two samples can be considered as grown under the same experimental conditions, allowing us to conclude that any difference between them can be attributed to the effect of gold NPs.

The sulfur temperature T1 was fixed at 140 °C, and the temperature of the powders and susceptor T2 was 785 °C. Temperature T1 was raised after T2 stabilized at 785 °C; during the heating time of sulfur, the nitrogen flow was inverted with respect to the arrow shown in Fig. 1, *i.e.* the gas was injected




Fig. 1 (a) Preparation of the gold nanoparticle coated SiO₂/Si substrate (b) schematic of the CVD growth configuration. In the bottom panel we present the SEM images of MoS₂ obtained with (c) and without (d) gold NPs.






Fig. 2 (a) SEM images of MoS₂ flakes grown with gold NPs and (b) high magnification SEM images of the triangular and hexagonal adlayer islands highlighting the presence of residual nanoparticles.

from the substrate side and flowed towards the sulfur boat. This switch allowed us to avoid any S and Mo prereaction during the temperature ramp, thus permitting a more precise control of the MoS₂ nucleation.³⁷

After 5 minutes of stabilization of T2, the nitrogen flow was reversed back and the growth commenced. As soon as the growth time was reached, all heaters were switched off and the system was let to cool down to room temperature under a nitrogen flow. Representative scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of MoS₂ synthesized with (Fig. 1c) and without (Fig. 1d) gold NPs show the beneficial effect of the employment of gold nanoparticles in the growth process in terms of the average lateral size of MoS₂. The detailed characterization of MoS₂ obtained without gold NPs is reported in Fig. S1.[†]

The use of gold nanoparticles results in the synthesis of MoS₂ flakes with a large variety of lateral sizes, as shown in the SEM images in Fig. 2a. It is possible to consider three different families of flakes: irregular monolayer flakes with a lateral size in the range of hundreds of nm (1), triangular monolayer flakes with a micrometre size (2) and monolayer flakes with a lateral size of about 20 μm (3), although affected by adlayer islands. A high magnification SEM image (Fig. 2b) gives interesting insights into how gold NPs enhance the local growth of adlayer islands, as different metal nanoparticles are mainly found inside the islands. A similar effect has been already reported in the case of MoS₂ synthesis assisted by metal seeds.^{28,29} It is worth noting that the adlayer islands with a lateral size of over 100 nm are mainly hexagonal (truncated triangles) shaped with

a zero degree twisting with respect to the underlying flake, while islands, with 100 nm or lower size, are triangular with a 60° twisting. A statistical evaluation obtained from tens of SEM images reveals that 95% of the hexagonal shaped islands (average lateral size of 347 nm) are 0° rotated while 97% of the triangular islands (average lateral size of 84 nm) are 60° rotated with respect to the underlying MoS₂ monolayer. The synthesis of MoS₂ crystals with nanoparticles of different sizes and concentrations is presented in ESI Fig. S2 and S3.[†]

Elemental analysis of the metal nanoparticles was performed by Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX) (Fig. 3) for studying the possible chemical reaction of the gold NPs during the growth process. The EDX spectra, shown in Fig. 3b, are acquired from different particles indicated by the colored arrows in the SEM image of Fig. 3a. In particular, the EDX spectra are acquired for ML-MoS₂ (red line and arrow), for reference; a similar reference spectrum is acquired for the gold NP on the SiO₂ substrate prior to the growth process (black line). The EDX spectra of gold NPs on ML MoS₂ (green line and arrow) and of gold NPs on BL MoS₂ (cyan line and arrow) are also reported. It has to be noted that in the latter spectra the molybdenum and sulfur signals are most likely screened by the presence of the gold nanoparticles. A comparison between the EDX spectra from different regions allows us to rule out a severe and extended alloying between gold and the growth precursors. However, a possible migration of gold over the flake area would lead to a doping effect which is below the sensitivity of the EDX technique and requires an accurate electrical characterization, as will be presented in the following section. The EDX spectra are reported on a larger scale (0–15 keV) in Fig. S4.[†]

Fig. 4a shows a typical atomic force microscopy (AFM) image of a large MoS₂ triangular flake, similar to the ones reported in the SEM image of Fig. 2a. The monolayer thickness is confirmed by the representative height scan line at the edge, shown in Fig. 4b. Furthermore, many adlayer islands are present on top of the large monolayer flake. A line profile of one of these adlayers is also reported in Fig. 4c, and the measured height is found to be compatible with a monolayer island residing on top of the monolayer flake. Finally, Fig. 4d shows the histogram of the height distribution obtained by statistical analysis of the adlayers, indicating that these consist of monolayer or bilayer islands. The nanoparticles at the edge are most likely due to the oxidation of ML MoS₂.^{38,39}

Raman spectroscopic mapping has been carried out in order to evaluate the exact number of MoS₂ layers (Fig. 5). Fig. 5a shows the optical image of the flakes: the MoS₂ flake shows a homogeneous optical contrast except for the darker contrast of the adlayer islands, indicating an increase in the number of MoS₂ layers. In order to evaluate the exact number of layers, Fig. 5b shows the δ map, where δ is the separation between the A_{1g} (out-of-plane vibration) and E_{2G} (in-plane vibration) Raman modes.^{40,41} In the case of the MoS₂ flake, we obtain $\delta = 19.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, as indication for monolayer MoS₂, while $\delta = 21.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is obtained in the case of the adlayer islands, suggesting bilayer islands. The E_{2G} and A_{1G} intensity maps are reported in Fig. S5 of the ESI[†]. The statistical analysis results of the maps are reported in Fig. 5c. The histogram shows that






Fig. 3 (a) SEM image where the arrows indicate the sites of the EDX spectra. (b) EDX spectra of ML MoS₂ (red line), gold NPs on ML MoS₂ (green line) and on BL MoS₂ (cyan line) and the reference of gold NPs on the SiO₂ substrate (black line), respectively. The asterisk indicates a peak due to the instrumental system.




Fig. 4 (a) AFM image of a large MoS₂ triangular flake. (b) Height scan lines at the flake edge, confirming the monolayer thickness. (c) Height line profile of an adlayer island and (d) histogram of the adlayer height distribution.

a bimodal distribution peaked at 18 cm^{-1} and 20 cm^{-1} with a tail on the high δ side. This analysis demonstrates that the flakes grown with gold NPs are composed mainly of ML and BL MoS₂ with a small percentage of tri-layer islands. The representative Raman spectra of the MoS₂ flake and the adlayer islands are reported in Fig. 5d. It is worth noting that the presence of gold nanoparticles in the adlayer islands, evidenced by SEM images, does not cause any strong enhancement of the intensity of the Raman modes, attributed to the effect of localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) of gold nanoparticles (*i.e.* surface-enhanced Raman scattering).^{32,42}

The LSPR enhancement suppression is supported by the evaluation of the A_{1g}/E_{2g} intensity ratio, that decreases from 1.64 in the case of monolayer MoS₂ to 1.3 in the case of adlayer islands.⁴³

An additional insight is given by the different increases of the linewidth (FWHM) of the E_{2g} and A_{1g} modes; in fact in the case of ML MoS₂, the linewidths are 2.9 cm^{-1} and 5.5 cm^{-1} for E_{2g} and A_{1g} , respectively, while they are 3.7 cm^{-1} and 6.2 cm^{-1} for the adlayer islands. The increase of the FWHM of both the Raman modes is an additional demonstration of the bilayer nature of such islands.⁴⁰

On the basis of the above data, it can be argued that the growth mechanism is similar to the one of one dimensional nanostructures^{44,45} where the gold nanoparticles act as catalytic seeding points for the initial synthesis of the MoS₂ monolayer. In Fig. 6, we present a schematic representation of our proposed interpretation of the growth process. After the deposition of gold nanoparticles (1), the substrate is inserted in the CVD system. During the heating ramp, the gold nanoparticles probably pin to the substrate. At the growth temperature, the substrate is exposed to the flow of Mo and S precursors, initially giving rise to the lateral growth of the MoS₂ monolayer (2). Then, the size of the ML is increased (3) up to the merging in the $20\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ flakes with the concurrent appearance of the bilayer islands in correspondence with the gold nanoparticles (4). As nanometric gold nanoparticles favor the synthesis of bilayer MoS₂ rather than the lateral synthesis of monolayer flakes, their lateral size appears to be limited to the $20\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ range.

Fig. 7 shows the PL analysis of the same flake of Fig. 5. The A exciton PL intensity map is reported in Fig. 6a. The main result shows a strong inhomogeneity of the A exciton intensity, with some areas presenting a 50% quenching of the PL intensity.⁴⁶ It is worth noting that the PL quenching is concurrent to a red-






Fig. 5 (a) Optical image of the MoS₂ flake growth with gold nanoparticles, (b) Raman δ map, (c) statistical analysis of the mapping results and (d) representative Raman spectra of the underlying flake (green line) and of the islands (red line).



Fig. 6 Schematic of the growth of MoS₂ flakes assisted by gold nanoparticles.

shift of the PL peak, reported in Fig. 7b. The A exciton peak shifts from 1.84 eV down to 1.82 eV. The quenching and red-shifted areas do not present a direct correlation with the presence of the adlayer islands. Therefore, the quenching can be due to residual gold nanoparticles in the monolayer area. In fact, a strong quenching in gold nanoparticles/MoS₂ composites has been previously demonstrated.^{47,48}

In order to gain more insights, we carried out PL spectroscopy on three different areas: ML MoS₂ (green line), gold NP coupled ML MoS₂ (cyan line) and BL MoS₂ (red line). The PL spectra can be deconvoluted into three Voight peaks, as reported in Fig. S6.† The peaks at 1.78 eV, 1.84 eV and 1.98 eV can be attributed to the trion, A exciton and B exciton emissions, respectively.^{46,49} All the parameters of the fitting are reported in Tables S1, S2 and S3.† The main difference between the ML and BL MoS₂ spectra is the decrease of the integrated intensity of the

A exciton by 59%, while the trion and the B exciton intensities are only slightly decreased by 6% and 21%, respectively. It is worth noting that between ML and BL MoS₂, the emissions are peaked at the same energy and similar linewidth. Instead, if we compare the PL spectra of ML MoS₂ and gold NP coupled ML MoS₂, the B exciton is slightly red-shifted from 1.98 eV down to 1.95 eV when the ML is coupled with the metal nanostructures, while the trion and A exciton are peaked at the same energy in both cases. A comparison of the integrated intensities reveals that in the case of gold NP coupled ML MoS₂, the intensities of trion and of A exciton are decreased by 17% and 78% while the integrated intensity of the B exciton is similar.

Finally, the electrical characterization of monolayer MoS₂ flakes grown by gold NP assisted CVD was carried out using back-gated field effect transistors (FETs) as test devices. Fig. 8a shows the output characteristics ($I_D - V_{DS}$ for gate bias V_G ranging






Fig. 7 (a) PL map of the A exciton intensity, (b) PL map of the A exciton peak energy, (c) representative PL spectra of ML MoS₂ (green line), of gold NP coupled ML MoS₂ (cyan line) and of BL island (red line).

from 0 to 20 V) measured on a FET with a channel length $L = 10$ μm . A linear behavior at low drain bias, followed by current saturation at higher bias can be observed, indicating a good electrostatic control of the MoS₂ channel. The transfer characteristics $I_D - V_G$ of the transistor at $V_{DS} = 1$ V are reported in Fig. 8b. By fitting the linear region of this curve, the field effect mobility ($\mu \approx 1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) was obtained from the slope ($g_m/W = 1.12 \times 10^{-9} \text{ S} \mu\text{m}^{-1}$), according to the relation $\mu = \frac{g_m}{W C_{ox} V_{DS}} \frac{L}{L}$, with $C_{ox} = 11.9 \text{ nF cm}^{-2}$ being the capacitance per unit area of the 290 nm thick SiO₂ gate oxide. Furthermore, the threshold voltage $V_{th} = 4.9$ V was evaluated as the intercept with the $I_D = 0$ axis. It is noteworthy that a positive threshold voltage is obtained for these backgated transistors fabricated with MoS₂ grown using gold NP assisted CVD. There is a remarkable difference with respect to transistors fabricated

using similar geometry and the same SiO₂ thickness on CVD grown MoS₂ without gold nanoparticles,⁵⁰ which showed a negative threshold voltage ($V_{th} = -20$ V).

Similar positive shifts of the threshold voltage have been recently reported by other authors in backgated transistors with the monolayer MoS₂ channel functionalized by gold *via* S-Au-Cl coordination complexes.³³ This phenomenon was explained in terms of a fixed charge Q_F on the channel associated to the AuCl complexes coordinated to the S atoms. Analogous effects can be ascribed to the gold nanoparticle functionalization of the MoS₂ layer during CVD growth aided by gold nanoparticles.^{51,52} A p-type doping of MoS₂ of $1.85 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ was estimated from the difference of the threshold voltages ($\Delta V_{th} = 24.9$ V) measured on FET devices fabricated with MoS₂ flakes grown with and without AuNPs, according to the equation $p = C_{ox} \Delta V_{th} / q$, where q is the electron charge.

These results are of particular interest, as they show that the use of gold NPs as seeds for MoS₂ results in a positive threshold in FETs. This is a desirable feature in these devices, as it allows us to have transistors with no current flowing when the gate voltage is zero, with positive effects both for energy efficiency and for safety. On the other hand, values of mobility of these FETs are lower than those commonly reported, indicating that some work on optimization of these nanostructures for electronic applications is still needed.




Fig. 8 (a) Output characteristics $I_D - V_{DS}$ measured on a backgated FET with a monolayer MoS₂ channel (CVD grown with gold NPs). (b) Transfer characteristics of the device, from which the threshold voltage ($V_{th} = 4.9$ V) was estimated.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have presented a complete study of the CVD-based synthesis of ML MoS₂ assisted by gold nanoparticles.



We show that nanoparticle assisted CVD synthesis improves the lateral size of MoS_2 monolayers, allowing them to reach an average size of 20 μm , although they are affected by nanometric bilayer islands. Compositional-sensitive characterization indicates that gold nanoparticles are preserved during the CVD growth and are mostly found in MoS_2 islands. Optical characterization highlighted that the presence of gold nanoparticles causes a quenching of the A exciton PL emission and a suppression of the LSPR enhancement.

On the other hand, a study of the electrical properties of these nanostructures showed that the employment of gold nanoparticles during the growth process results in a positive shift of the threshold voltage in back-gated FETs, due to the presence of an additional fixed charge in the FET channel. To summarize our experimental findings, the deposition of gold NPs prior to CVD growth of 2D layers of MoS_2 results in: (i) an improvement of the lateral growth of flakes, (ii) a quenching of the A exciton PL emission of flakes, and (iii) a positive value of the threshold voltage in back-gated FETs.

We conclude that the use of gold NPs has the potential to allow the control of the flake position, paving the way for an efficient deterministic synthesis of layered materials in comparison to lithographic metal seeding without the issue of a bulk-like material in proximity of the metal seeds.

Methods

We used two different SEM microscopes; for morphological characterization, SEM analysis was carried out using an in-lens detector in a Zeiss Merlin FEG-SEM microscope, with an accelerating voltage of 5 kV and an electron current of 12 pA, in order to minimize the damage due to the electron beam irradiation, while energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy was performed on a Zeiss SUPRA40 FEG-SEM with a GEMINI column, equipped with an Oxford Instruments EDX microanalysis setup. The elemental analysis was performed at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV, to be able to excite the L lines of gold.

AFM topography was performed using a PSIA XE100 AFM operated in the non-contact mode by using Si tips with ~ 5 nm curvature radius.

Scanning Raman and photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy was carried out with a Renishaw InVia system, equipped with a confocal microscope, a 532 nm excitation laser and a 1800 line per mm grating (spectral resolution 2 cm^{-1}). All the analyses were performed with a 100 \times objective (NA = 0.85), excitation laser power of 500 μW , four seconds of acquisition time for each spectrum and pixel size of 1 $\mu\text{m} \times 1 \mu\text{m}$.

MoS_2 FETs (with the $\text{SiO}_2/\text{p}^+/\text{Si}$ substrate working as the back-gate) were fabricated by the deposition of the source/drain ohmic contacts, consisting of a Ni(20 nm)/Au(100 nm) double layer, onto lithographically defined MoS_2 areas. The electrical characteristics of these devices were measured in a CASCADE Microtech probe station, using an Agilent 4156b parameter analyzer.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Laura Lazzarini of IMEM-CNR for fruitful scientific discussions, and S. Di Franco from IMM-CNR for the expert assistance in device fabrication.

References

- 1 A. Splendiani, L. Sun, Y. Zhang, T. Li, J. Kim, C. Y. Chim, G. Galli and F. Wang, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 1271–1275.
- 2 K. F. Mak, C. Lee, J. Hone, J. Shan and T. F. Heinz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2010, **105**, 136805.
- 3 K. F. Mak and J. Shan, *Nat. Photonics*, 2016, **10**, 216–226.
- 4 X. Liu, T. Galfsky, Z. Sun, F. Xia, E. Lin, Y.-H. Lee, S. Kéna-Cohen and V. M. Menon, *Nat. Photonics*, 2015, **9**, 30–34.
- 5 M. Negri, L. Francaviglia, D. Dumcenco, M. Bosi, D. Kaplan, V. Swaminathan, G. Salviati, A. Kis, F. Fabbri and A. Fontcuberta i Morral, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **20**, 567–576.
- 6 S. Ghatak, A. N. Pal and A. Ghosh, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 7707–7712.
- 7 G. Migliato Marega, Y. Zhao, A. Avsar, Z. Wang, M. Tripathi, A. Radenovic and A. Kis, *Nature*, 2020, **587**, 72–77.
- 8 S. Conti, L. Pimpolari, G. Calabrese, R. Worsley, S. Majee, D. K. Polyushkin, M. Paur, S. Pace, D. H. Keum, F. Fabbri, G. Iannaccone, M. Macucci, C. Coletti, T. Mueller, C. Casiraghi and G. Fiori, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 3566.
- 9 C.-H. Yeh, Z.-Y. Liang, Y.-C. Lin, H.-C. Chen, T. Fan, C.-H. Ma, Y.-H. Chu, K. Suenaga and P.-W. Chiu, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 985–992.
- 10 H. Wang, L. Yu, Y.-H. Lee, Y. Shi, A. Hsu, M. L. Chin, L.-J. Li, M. Dubey, J. Kong and T. Palacios, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 4674–4680.
- 11 Q. H. Wang, K. Kalantar-Zadeh, A. Kis, J. N. Coleman and M. S. Strano, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2012, **7**, 699–712.
- 12 B. Radisavljevic and A. Kis, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, **12**, 815–820.
- 13 B. Radisavljevic, M. B. Whitwick and A. Kis, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 9934–9938.
- 14 H. S. Lee, S.-W. Min, Y.-G. Chang, M. K. Park, T. Nam, H. Kim, J. H. Kim, S. Ryu and S. Im, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 3695–3700.
- 15 C. Huyghebaert, T. Schram, Q. Smets, T. K. Agarwal, D. Verreck, S. Brems, A. Phommahaxay, D. Chiappe, S. El Kazzi, C. L. de la Rosa, G. Arutchelvan, D. Cott, J. Ludwig, A. Gaur, S. Sutar, A. Leonhardt, D. Marinov, D. Lin, M. Caymax, I. Asselberghs, G. Pourtois and I. P. Radu, in, *IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting*, IEDM, 2018, pp. 22.1.1–22.1.4.
- 16 S. Manzeli, D. Ovchinnikov, D. Pasquier, O. V. Yazyev and A. Kis, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2017, **2**, 17033.
- 17 M. Bosi, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 75500–75518.
- 18 D. Dumcenco, D. Ovchinnikov, K. Marinov, P. Lazić, M. Gibertini, N. Marzari, O. L. Sanchez, Y. C. Kung, D. Krasnozhon, M. W. Chen, S. Bertolazzi, P. Gillet, A. Fontcuberta I Morral, A. Radenovic and A. Kis, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 4611–4620.
- 19 Y. Zhan, Z. Liu, S. Najmaei, P. M. Ajayan and J. Lou, *Small*, 2012, **8**, 966–971.



20 Y.-H. Lee, X.-Q. Zhang, W. Zhang, M.-T. Chang, C.-T. Lin, K.-D. Chang, Y.-C. Yu, J. T.-W. Wang, C.-S. Chang, L.-J. Li and T.-W. Lin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 2320–2325.

21 T. Schram, Q. Smets, B. Groven, M. H. Heyne, E. Kunnen, A. Thiam, K. Devriendt, A. Delabie, D. Lin, M. Lux, D. Chiappe, I. Asselberghs, S. Brus, C. Huyghebaert, S. Sayan, A. Juncker, M. Caymax and I. P. Radu, in *European Solid-State Device Research Conference*, Editions Frontières, 2017, pp. 212–215.

22 A. Kozhakhmetov, J. Nasr, F. Zhang, K. Xu, N. Briggs, R. Addou, R. M. Wallace, S. K. Fullerton-Shirey, M. Terrones, S. Das and J. A. Robinson, *2D Mater.*, 2020, **7**, 015029.

23 S. Pace, M. Ferrera, D. Convertino, G. Piccinini, M. Magnozzi, N. Mishra, S. Forti, F. Bisio, M. Canepa, F. Fabbri and C. Coletti, arXiv, 2020.

24 G. H. Han, N. J. Kybert, C. H. Naylor, B. S. Lee, J. Ping, J. H. Park, J. Kang, S. Y. Lee, Y. H. Lee, R. Agarwal and A. T. C. Johnson, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 6128.

25 A. Sharma, R. Mahlouji, L. Wu, M. A. Verheijen, V. Vandalon, S. Balasubramanyam, J. P. Hofmann, W. M. M. Kessels and A. A. Bol, *Nanotechnology*, 2020, **31**, 255603.

26 X. Wang, K. Kang, S. Chen, R. Du and E.-H. Yang, *2D Mater.*, 2017, **4**, 25093.

27 P. K. Mohapatra, K. Ranganathan and A. Ismach, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **7**, 2001549.

28 Y. Li, S. Hao, J. G. DiStefano, A. A. Murthy, E. D. Hanson, Y. Xu, C. Wolverton, X. Chen and V. P. Dravid, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 8970–8976.

29 Z. Wang, Q. Huang, P. Chen, S. Guo, X. Liu, X. Liang and L. Wang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 38394.

30 A. Patsha, V. Sheff and A. Ismach, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 22493–22503.

31 Y. Li, M. B. Majewski, S. M. Islam, S. Hao, A. A. Murthy, J. G. DiStefano, E. D. Hanson, Y. Xu, C. Wolverton, M. G. Kanatzidis, M. R. Wasielewski, X. Chen and V. P. Dravid, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 7104–7110.

32 Y. Li, J. D. Cain, E. D. Hanson, A. A. Murthy, S. Hao, F. Shi, Q. Li, C. Wolverton, X. Chen and V. P. Dravid, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**, 7696–7702.

33 H. Liu, D. Grasseschi, A. Dodda, K. Fujisawa, D. Olson, E. Kahn, F. Zhang, T. Zhang, Y. Lei, R. B. N. Branco, A. L. Elías, R. C. Silva, Y.-T. Yeh, C. M. Maroneze, L. Seixas, P. Hopkins, S. Das, C. J. S. de Matos and M. Terrones, *Sci. Adv.*, 2020, **6**, eabc9308.

34 E. Rotunno, M. Bosi, L. Seravalli, G. Salviati and F. Fabbri, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2020, **2**, 2352–2362.

35 X. Ling, Y. H. Lee, Y. Lin, W. Fang, L. Yu, M. S. Dresselhaus and J. Kong, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, **14**, 464–472.

36 L. Seravalli, M. Bosi, S. Beretta, F. Rossi, D. Bersani, N. Musayeva and C. Ferrari, *Nanotechnology*, 2019, **30**, 415603.

37 J. Chen, W. Tang, B. Tian, B. Liu, X. Zhao, Y. Liu, T. Ren, W. Liu, D. Geng, H. Y. Jeong, H. S. Shin, W. Zhou and K. P. Loh, *Adv. Sci.*, 2016, **3**, 1500033.

38 Y. Okuno, O. Lancry, A. Tempez, C. Cairone, M. Bosi, F. Fabbri and M. Chaigneau, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 14055–14059.

39 F. Fabbri, F. Dinelli, S. Forti, L. Sementa, S. Pace, G. Piccinini, A. Fortunelli, C. Coletti and P. Pingue, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**, 9035–9044.

40 C. Lee, H. Yan, L. E. Brus, T. F. Heinz, J. Hone and S. Ryu, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 2695–2700.

41 R. Ganatra and Q. Zhang, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 4074–4099.

42 S. Zu, B. Li, Y. Gong, Z. Li, P. M. Ajayan and Z. Fang, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2016, **4**, 1463–1469.

43 J. Shakya, A. S. Patel, F. Singh and T. Mohanty, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2016, **108**, 13103.

44 C. Jia, Z. Lin, Y. Huang and X. Duan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 9074–9135.

45 M. Bosi, L. Seravalli, S. Beretta and C. Ferrari, *Nanotechnology*, 2019, **30**, 084002.

46 T. Goswami, R. Rani, K. S. Hazra and H. N. Ghosh, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**, 3057–3063.

47 U. Bhanu, M. R. Islam, L. Tetard and S. I. Khondaker, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 5575.

48 J. Yan, C. Ma, P. Liu and G. Yang, *ACS Photonics*, 2017, **4**, 1092–1100.

49 S. Golovynskyi, I. Irfan, M. Bosi, L. Seravalli, O. I. Datsenko, I. Golovynska, B. Li, D. Lin and J. Qu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2020, **515**, 146033.

50 F. Giannazzo, M. Bosi, F. Fabbri, E. Schilirò, G. Greco and F. Roccaforte, *Phys. Status Solidi RRL*, 2020, **14**, 1900393.

51 A. K. Singh, R. K. Pandey, R. Prakash and J. Eom, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **437**, 70–74.

52 Y. Shi, J.-K. Huang, L. Jin, Y.-T. Hsu, S. F. Yu, L.-J. Li and H. Y. Yang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, **3**, 1839.

