# **Nanoscale** Advances

# **COMMUNICATION**



Cite this: Nanoscale Adv., 2021, 3, 4052

Received 26th November 2020 Accepted 4th June 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d0na00991a

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

# Tuneable manganese oxide nanoparticle based theranostic agents for potential diagnosis and drug delivery†

Kingsley Poon[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4843-4441)<sup>a</sup> Zufu Lu, <sup>Da</sup> Yves [De](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9700-3344) [D](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9700-3344)eene,<sup>b</sup> Yogambha Ramaswamy,<sup>a</sup> Hala Zreigat<sup>\*a</sup> and Gurvinder Singh<sup>D\*a</sup>

Among various magnetic nanoparticles, manganese oxide nanoparticles are considered as established  $T_1$  magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast agents for preclinical research. The implications of their degradation properties and use as therapeutic carriers in drug delivery systems have not been explored. In addition, how the chemical composition and size of manganese oxide nanoparticles, as well as the surrounding environment, influence their degradation and MRI contrast properties  $(T_1$  vs.  $T_2$ ) have not been studied in great detail. A fundamental understanding of their characteristic properties, such as degradation, is highly desirable for developing simultaneous diagnosis and therapeutic solutions. Here, we demonstrate how the precursor type and reaction environment affect the size and chemical composition of manganese oxide nanoparticles and evaluate their influence on the nanoparticle degradability and release of the drug L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-dopa). The results show that the degradation rate (and the associated release of drug L-dopa molecules) of manganese oxide nanoparticles depends on their size, composition and the surrounding environment (aqueous or biometric fluid). The dependence of MRI relaxivities of manganese oxide nanoparticles on the size, chemical composition and nanoparticle degradation in water is also established. A preliminary cell viability study reveals the cytocompatible properties of L-dopa functionalized manganese oxide nanoparticles. Overall, this work provides new insights into smartly designed manganese oxide nanoparticles with multitasking capabilities to target bioimaging and therapeutic applications. COMMUNICATION<br>
COMMUNICATION<br>
COMMUNICATION<br>
COMMUNICATION<br>
COMENTIFICATION<br>
COMENTIFICATION<br>
COMENTIFICATION<br>
COMENTIFICATION<br>
COMENTIFICATION<br>
CONSERVANCES AND SERVE CONSERVANCE SURFAINT CONTINUES CONSERVANCES AND CONSE

#### Introduction

The development of smart and biocompatible magnetic nanosystems armed with multiple capabilities, including targeting, diagnosis via magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and controlled drug delivery without an external stimulus, is the focus of current research in nanomedicine. In the last decade, efforts have been made to design multifunctional superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (NPs) because of their easy synthesis,<sup>1-3</sup> rich surface chemistry for functionalization,<sup>4,5</sup> contrast ability in MRI,<sup>6,7</sup> and heating efficiency for magnetic hyperthermia.<sup>8,9</sup> Iron oxide NPs have been favoured because of their unrivalled safety and biocompatibility. Ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (USPIOs) have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for human diagnosis as a  $T_2^*$  contrast agent.<sup>10,11</sup> However, their usage has been limited because the  $T_2^*$  contrast provides a negative MRI contrast which can be confounded with hypointense signals from bleeding or calcifications.<sup>12</sup> Furthermore, the advantage of biological storage can lead to retention even months after administration, preluding its continuous use.<sup>13</sup> Alternatively, gadolinium oxide and iron-doped gadolinium oxide NPs have also emerged as promising candidates due to their high contrast capability.<sup>14-17</sup> However, renal excretion of these NPs limits their use for clinical applications because the release of Gd ions from NPs can cause nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF).<sup>14</sup> To use iron oxide and gadolinium oxide NPs as drug delivery vehicles, an additional functionalization strategy, such as the use of stimuli responsive or degradable polymers, is needed to ensure a controlled release of drug molecules.<sup>18-21</sup> Moreover, the relatively higher stability of these inorganic NPs can cause long-term side effects in an in vivo environment. Therefore, the development of degradable and less stable NPs for clinical applications is desirable.

Multifunctional manganese oxide nanoparticles (MONPs) have been developed as prime candidates for clinical applications.<sup>22</sup>–<sup>26</sup> Once MONPs are introduced into a biological environment, the oxidation of MONPs produces manganese ions.

a ARC Centre for Innovative BioEngineering, Tissue Engineering and Biomaterials Research Unit, Sydney Nano Institute, School of Biomedical Engineering, The University of Sydney, NSW 2008, Australia. E-mail: hala.zreiqat@sydney.edu.au; gurvinder.singh@sydney.edu.au

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of Engineering, The Biomedical Engineering Laboratory, Macquarie University, Sydney, 2109, Australia

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental method, size analysis, and XPS data. See DOI: 10.1039/d0na00991a

Ion release is associated with an increase in NMR relaxation rates, generating enhanced MRI signals in  $T_1$  weighted images. These ions can then be readily eliminated by cells via the ferroportin protein and from the body via fecal-hepatobiliary excretion.<sup>27</sup> MONPs are also advantageous as they possess two unique properties. First, MONPs offer time-dependent transition in the contrast ability from dark  $(T_2)$  to bright  $(T_1)$ <sup>28</sup>. This dual contrast mechanism can improve diagnosis accuracy by providing both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  contrast weighted images. Second, the rate of manganese ion release (the degradation property) is predictable due to the use of MONPs of a predetermined size and concentration, which is a vital characteristic in a biomedical context.<sup>8</sup> Furthermore, MONPs are antioxidants and help to suppress oxidative stress-related disorders, thus opening avenues beyond diagnostics.29,30 Functionalization of MONPs with targeting and drug vectors can transform and enrich them into theranostic NPs.

In the last decade, synthetic techniques have been established to fabricate size- and shape-controlled MONPs.<sup>31-33</sup> These NPs show unique magnetic properties, i.e., superparamagnetic behaviour at room temperature. These superparamagnetic properties (no magnetization at room temperature) help in preventing the aggregation of these NPs and has encouraged the use of MONPs as MR imaging contrast agents for diagnosis.<sup>34</sup> However, there are no studies that have explored the degradation properties of MONPs as a premise for predictable drug delivery. Furthermore, the influence of size, crystal structures, and dispersion media (aqueous and biomimetic fluids) of MONPs on the magnetic properties, the degradation ability, and  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  NMR relaxivities ( $r_1$  and  $r_2$ ), has not been investigated yet, which is essential for designing efficient manganese oxide based theranostic NPs.

In this study, we investigated the effect of different manufacturing procedures on the chemical composition and size distribution of the MONPS. MONPs were synthesized in anoxic  $(N_2)$  and normoxic (air) environments, with different metallic precursors (manganese oleate and manganese $(n)$ acetylacetonate). The effect of the size, chemical composition, and solvent on the degradation and corresponding magnetic and  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  NMR relaxivities was then assessed. The potential use of MONPs as a drug delivery vehicle was illustrated by surface functionalization of the NPs with L-3,4 dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-dopa) drug molecules, a precursor of neurotransmitters. These L-dopa functionalized MONPs may find useful applications in treating Parkinson's disease, which is related to dopamine deficiency.<sup>35-37</sup> L-dopa molecules offer functions beyond therapeutic effects. It has been known that L-dopa molecules have the ability to cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB) via the LAT1 transporter protein.<sup>38</sup> The presence of hydrophilic groups in L-dopa molecules also makes MONPs hydrophilic and provides colloidal stability in the aqueous phase. This novel design of L-dopa functionalized MONPs will facilitate transport through the BBB. At the same time, the high NMR relaxivity enables in vivo tracking, and controlled release of L-dopa molecules to the target site (therapeutic effect).

#### Results and discussion

The thermal decomposition approach was used to synthesize MONPs of different sizes and chemical compositions. The detailed synthetic protocol is described in the experimental section. Briefly, the procedure involved the gradual heating  $(5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>)$  of the reaction mixture containing the metal  $precursor$  (manganese( $\pi$ ) acetylacetonate) and oleylamine to the reaction temperature (210 °C) in the presence of inert gas  $(N_2)$ and air. Here, oleylamine acted as both solvent and a surfactant/ capping molecule in this reaction. After the reaction, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and precipitated with toluene and acetone. Fig. 1a and b display the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of MONPs synthesized in the presence of  $N_2$  and air (hereafter referred to as MONP- $N_2$  and MONP-air, respectively). The size of these NPs was measured to



Fig. 1 High resolution TEM images of MONPs synthesized by using different precursors and environments; (a) MONP-N<sub>2</sub>, (b) MONP-air, (c) MONP-8 (N2), and (d) MONP-6 (air). (e) XRD data indicate the presence of two phases ( $Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and  $MnO$ ).

be 10  $\pm$  2 nm (MNOP-N<sub>2</sub>) and 9  $\pm$  2 nm (MONP-air) by counting more than 200 individual NPs (see Fig. S1a and b ESI†). To investigate the structural characteristics of NPs produced in different reaction environments, X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) was used. MONP-N<sub>2</sub> showed distinct diffraction peaks at 18.04 $^{\circ}$ , 28.96°, 31.17°, 32.42°, 36.20°, 38.10°, 44.49°, 50.80°, 59.98°, 64.76°, 70.37°, and 74.14° corresponding to tetragonal  $Mn_3O_4$ (ICDD no. 04-008-0316), and 35.14 $^{\circ}$ , 40.72 $^{\circ}$ , and 58.85 $^{\circ}$  corresponding to cubic MnO (ICDD no. 04-006-5363) as shown in Fig. 1e. Composition analysis using the ICDD PDF-4+ 2019 software revealed the presence of  $Mn_3O_4$  (64%) and MnO (36%) phases in MONP-N<sub>2</sub>. MONP-air displayed diffraction peaks at 18.08°, 28.94°, 31.12°, 32.44°, 36.19°, 38.05°, 44.57°, 50.83°, 58.64°, 59.93°, and 64.76° corresponding to the  $Mn_3O_4$  phase. The absence of additional diffraction peaks in MONP-air suggested the formation of NPs with the  $Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  phase only (*i.e.* the reaction in the presence of air facilitated the complete oxidation of NPs from MnO to  $Mn_3O_4$ ). From these experiments it can be concluded that the reaction environment  $(N_2 \text{ vs. air})$  is an important factor that determines the chemical composition of MONPs but has little impact on the NP size.

To study the effect of precursor type on the size and chemical composition of MONPs, we replaced the manganese $(n)$  acetylacetonate precursor with manganese oleate. Thermal decomposition of manganese oleate in the presence of oleic acid and octadecene at 320 °C in N<sub>2</sub> led to the formation of MONPs of 8  $\pm$ 1 nm (Fig. 1c and see Fig. S1c ESI<sup>†</sup>). Hereafter, these NPs will be referred to as MONP-8. The noticeable size difference between MONP-8 ( $\sim$ 8 nm) and MONP-N<sub>2</sub> ( $\sim$ 10 nm), synthesised with difference precursors, can be explained by the chemical structure of the precursor molecule and its interaction with surfactant molecules. Manganese oleate decomposes slowly in the presence of oleic acid even at a higher temperature (320  $^{\circ}$ C) because of the strong bonding of three oleate molecules (fatty acids) to Mn ions and the strong interaction with the oleic acid solvent. In contrast, manganese acetylacetonate decomposes at a lower temperature (210 $^{\circ}$ C) due to the weak bonding of Mn ions to acetylacetonate ions as well as the weak interaction between the precursor and oleylamine. As a result, we obtained MONP-8 of a smaller size (prepared by manganese oleate) than MONP-N2 (prepared using manganese acetylacetonate).

When thermal decomposition of manganese oleate in the presence of oleic acid and hexadecene was carried out at 300 °C, MONPs of smaller sizes  $(6 \pm 1 \text{ nm})$  were obtained (Fig. 1d and see Fig. S1d ESI†). Hereafter, these NPs will be referred to as MONP-6. This size reduction occurred by employing a lower boiling point solvent, hexadecene (285  $^{\circ}$ C), further suppressing the decomposition of the manganese oleate precursor. High resolution (HR) TEM images revealed the formation of single crystalline MONPs as displayed in the inset of Fig. 1c and d. The XRD pattern showed weak and broad diffraction peaks at  $32.50^{\circ}$ ,  $36.05^{\circ}$ ,  $44.48^{\circ}$ , and  $60.06^{\circ}$  for MONP-8, and relatively sharp peaks at 28.89 $^{\circ}$ , 32.55 $^{\circ}$ , 36.14 $^{\circ}$ , 44.29 $^{\circ}$ , 50.87 $^{\circ}$ , 60.12 $^{\circ}$ , and 64.37° for MONP-6. These diffraction peaks can be attributed to the presence of the  $Mn_3O_4$  phase in both MONP-8 and MONP-6. This can be expected due to the complete oxidation of the NPs at a high reaction temperature (320  $\degree$ C and 300  $\degree$ C) in the oxygenrich environment (oxygen source from the decomposition of both the manganese oleate precursor and oleic acid). Our synthesis results suggest that the size and chemical composition of MONPs can be varied by the appropriate selection of the metal precursor, solvent, and reaction environment.

Generally,  $Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  has a spinel structure in which O atoms are closely packed with  $Mn^{2+}$  in the tetrahedral sites and  $Mn^{3+}$ in the octahedral sites  $(Mn^{2+}[Mn^{3+}]_2O_4^{2-})$ .<sup>39</sup> Furthermore,  $Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  can exist in two possible oxide compositions, including MnO–Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (with Mn<sup>2+</sup> and Mn<sup>3+</sup>) and 2MnO–MnO<sub>2</sub> (with  $Mn^{2+}$  and  $Mn^{4+}$ ).<sup>39,40</sup> To determine the chemical state of Mn ions in different MONPs as synthesized in our work, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was used to collect high resolution XPS spectra of Mn 2p. Due to the occurrence of spin–orbit coupling, Mn 2p spectra displayed a doublet separation of Mn  $2p_{3/2}$  and Mn  $2p_{1/2}$ . A deconvoluted Mn  $2p_{3/2}$  peak showed three distinct peaks. According to the reported literature, the deconvoluted Mn 2p<sub>3/2</sub> peak indicates the presence of Mn<sup>2+</sup> (640.10– 641.12 eV) and Mn<sup>4+</sup> (641.85-643 eV) species in all samples, including a satellite peak at 645 eV.<sup>41</sup> For MONP-N<sub>2</sub>, the area ratio (*i.e.* molar ratio) of  $Mn^{2+}$  to  $Mn^{4+}$  is almost 2.5 : 1 (Fig. 2a). This agrees with the XRD data, showing the  $64\%$  Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>  $(2MnO-MnO<sub>2</sub>)$  phase and the remaining 36% MnO phase. For other samples (MONP-air, MONP-8, and MONP-6), the area ratio of  $Mn^{2+}$  to  $Mn^{4+}$  is almost 2 : 1, which agrees with theoretical oxide compositions ( $2MnO-MnO<sub>2</sub>$ ), suggesting the presence of the  $Mn_3O_4$  phase. Nanoscale Advances<br>
lie 10 + 1 am (MORS), and 9 + 2 am (MORS) counting the hundred on 2021. The measure details component on 2021. The measure of the substitute of the Case Component and the end of the substitute of the c

We employed a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) to determine the magnetic properties of different MONPs produced in this work. Bulk  $Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  is ferrimagnetic (FiM) with a Curie temperature  $(T_C)$  of 42 K, and MnO is antiferromagnetic (AFM) with a bulk Néel temperature  $(T_N)$  of 118 K.<sup>42</sup> For magnetic property measurements, MONP-N<sub>2</sub>, MONP-air and MONP-8 were chosen for their dissimilar chemical composition  $(MONP-N<sub>2</sub>$  vs. MONP-air) and size  $(MONP-air)$  vs. MONP-8). Fig. 3a–c show the temperature dependence of magnetization recorded by field cooled (FC) and zero field cooled (ZFC) procedures at weak magnetic fields of 40 kA  $\mathrm{m}^{-1}.$  For MONP-N $_2,$ and MONP-air, we observed a transition around 40 K (close to  $T_{\rm C}$  of Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) in the FC curves, suggesting dominating magnetic behaviour by ferrimagnetic  $Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  (Fig. 3a and b). The peak in the ZFC curves indicates the blocking temperature  $(T_B)$ , which was observed to be  $\sim$ 38 K and  $\sim$ 39 K for MONP-N<sub>2</sub> and MONPair, respectively. These samples showed ferromagnetic behaviour at low temperatures (below 40 K) but were superparamagnetic at room temperature. MONP-8 exhibited a blocking temperature around 32 K (Fig. 3c), which is lower than that for MONP- $N_2$  and MONP-air. This is consistent with the smaller size of the MONP-8 sample and the linear relationship between the nanoparticle volume  $(V)$  and blocking temperature  $(T_{\text{B}})$ ;  $K \cdot V = 25k_{\text{B}}T_{\text{B}}$  (where K is the anisotropy constant and  $k_B$  is Boltzmann's constant).<sup>43</sup>

To understand the magnetic behaviour of the synthesized MONPs, we measured the magnetic hysteresis curve at 5 K for an applied magnetic field ranging from  $-6$  T to 6 T. A horizontal loop shift (i.e. the centre of hysteresis shifted towards the negative field) indicated the exchange bias effect in all samples



(Fig. 3d–f). The exchange bias effect can originate from the coupling of spins from two different interfaces (FiM/AFM) or the coupling of ordered spins in the core with disordered spins in the outer surface.<sup>44,45</sup> Here, the extent of exchange bias differed in all samples. The MONP- $N_2$  sample displayed a significantly larger exchange bias field of  $\sim$ 170 mT than MONP-air ( $\sim$ 47 mT) MONP-8 ( $\sim$ 81 mT). A large exchange bias field in MONP-N<sub>2</sub> can be attributed to the presence of the AFM MnO phase (a larger contribution of FiM/AFM coupling) in MONP-N<sub>2</sub>. Inferring from the collective results from XRD, XPS, and magnetic properties, it is likely that thermal decomposition of manganese $(n)$  acetylacetonate in the presence of oleylamine and the  $N_2$  environment resulted in the formation of MONP-N2 with a core–shell  $(Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>–MnO)$  structure. Comparing the exchange bias field of MONP-air and MONP-8 samples, MONP-8 showed a higher exchange bias field than MONP-air. XRD and XPS results suggest the formation of MONP-8 and MONP-air of the  $Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ phase. It thus rules out the possibility for an exchange bias effect due to the exchange coupling between AFM MnO (shell) and FiM  $Mn_3O_4$  (core). In this case, the strong coupling between the disordered spins in the surface, and the ordered spins in the core of the NPs contribute to the exchange bias effect. Further, the exchange bias effect is strongly dependent on the size of the NPs.<sup>46</sup> As the size of the NPs decreases, the fraction of disordered spins in the surface of NPs increases due to the larger surface area to volume ratio.<sup>44,45</sup> The resultant effect is a stronger coupling between the disordered surface and ordered core spins with a decrease in size. Therefore, MONP-8 showed

a large exchange bias effect in comparison to MONP-air. All samples displayed partial magnetic saturation behavior at high field strength (Fig. 3d-f). However, MONP-8 is less saturated than the others due to the strong surface effect (spin canting effect or high disordered spin structures) in small sized NPs. From the high resolution XPS spectra, XRD, and magnetic measurements, it can be concluded that the presence of oxygen during MONP synthesis, the metal precursor type, and solvent control the size and chemical composition (or the crystalline structure) of MONPs.

To assess the potential of MONPs as drug delivery vehicles, we functionalized MONP-N<sub>2</sub>, MONP-air, MONP-8, and MONP-6 with *L*-dopa molecules (Fig. 4a). Conjugation of *L*-dopa molecules to these NPs provides stability in a polar biological environment and can also be used as a drug for Parkinson's diseases. To confirm the successful functionalization of MONPs with L-dopa molecules, we collected XPS survey spectra and determined at% of elements C, N, O, and Mn (see Table S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>). For oleylamine coated MONP-N<sub>2</sub> and MONP-air, an increase in the N content can be noticed. Oleic acid capped MONP-8 and MONP-6 displayed the N content after surface functionalization. The presence of the N signature in the survey and high resolution XPS N 1s spectra of MONP-6 is shown in Fig. S2 (see the ESI†). The three distinct peaks at 285 eV (C–C), 286.5 eV (C-N/C-O), and 288.2 eV (O-C=O) in the deconvoluted  $C$  1s spectra further verified the presence of  $L$ -dopa molecules on the MONP-6 surface (Fig. S3, see the ESI†). Once these MONPs are dispersed into an aqueous phase, they are prone to



Fig. 3  $\,$  Zero field cooled (ZFC)-field cooled (FC) curves of (a) MONP-N $_2$ , (b) MONP-air and (c) MONP-8, recorded at an applied field of 60 kA m $^{-1}$ . Magnetic hysteresis loop (M vs.  $\mu_0H$ ) of (d) MONP-N<sub>2</sub>, (e) MONP-air, and (f) MONP-8 measured at 5 K.

degradation followed by the release of surface drug molecules. Degradation kinetics of NPs is one of the important factors for designing MONP based drug vehicles because it is strongly related to the release kinetics of drug molecules from the NP. To investigate the degradation rate of L-dopa functionalized MONP-N2, MONP-air, MONP-8, and MONP-6 in the aqueous phase, the hydrodynamic diameter and zeta potential of these NPs were monitored over time using the dynamic light scattering (DLS) technique (Fig. 4b and e and S4, ESI†). For quantitative comparison between the degradation rate of various Ldopa functionalized NPs, an exponential fit was applied on the normalized size of the NP as a function of time:

$$
D_{\rm h} = \Delta D e^{-xt/\tau} + D_{\rm h, \infty} \tag{1}
$$

where  $D_h$  = hydrodynamic diameter,  $t =$  time after dispersion (hours),  $\tau$  = time constant,  $\Delta D$  is the maximum change in the hydrodynamic diameter and  $D_{h,\infty}$  is the hydrodynamic diameter reached asymptotically after a long degradation time.

Fitting of the degradation curve with eqn (1) gives a time constant  $\tau$ , which follows the following trend for  $\iota$ -dopa coated MONPs: 0.91 hours  $(MONP-N<sub>2</sub>)$  < 0.96 hours  $(MONP-air)$  < 5.87 hours (MONP-8) < 6.15 hours (MONP-6). In all samples, a large proportion of MONPs degraded within the first 5 hours, with a significant decrease in the hydrodynamic diameter in the first hour. The degradation of MONPs in the aqueous solution can be explained based on the nanoscale Kirkendall effect (a process of atomic diffusion originated by an exchange of vacancies instead of the interchange of atoms) as discussed in our earlier



Fig. 4 (a) Illustration of L-dopa functionalized MONP. Time-dependent degradation and zeta potential profiles of L-dopa functionalized (b) MONP-N2, (c) MONP-air, (d) MONP-8, and (e) MONP-6 particles in deionized water. (f) Time-dependent degradation of L-dopa functionalized MONP-N<sub>2</sub> in biological mimicking fluid (25 v/v% FBS in deionized water). (g) Schematic illustration of MONP degradation in aqueous solution and release of drug molecules.

work.<sup>28</sup> Whilst Mn atoms diffuse outward and get oxidized at the surface, there is an influx of vacancies towards the centre. As a result, hollow MONPs are formed (Fig. 4g). Further oxidation of the shell leads to a porous shell, which breaks into small fragments. With time, the process of oxidation, porous shell formation, and shell breaking continues until the MONPs are completely dissolved in the aqueous phase. Comparing the degradation of  $L$ -dopa functionalized MONP-N<sub>2</sub> and MONP-air samples, the faster degradation of MONP-N<sub>2</sub> than MONP-air can be attributed to the difference in their chemical composition. Our results reveal the overall decrease in the degradation rate along with the increase in the size of L-dopa functionalized MONP. As the size of the NPs decreases, smaller NPs get oxidized readily compared to large NPs due to their large surface-to-volume ratio.<sup>47</sup> The degradation products formed (due to the oxidation) within smaller NPs diffuse rapidly to the surface because of the shorted diffusion distance. In contrast, the degradation products formed in the large NPs diffuse slowly to the outer surface (a longer diffusion path), during which the degradation of remaining materials can occur. This is

consistent with the size-dependent degradation of polymeric NPs.<sup>48</sup> Therefore, the degradation of rate increases with the decrease in the size of the NP. From Fig. 4b–e, it can be noticed that the zeta potential of L-dopa coated MONPs increases (more negative) with the increase in the degradation time. L-dopa molecules are anchored to the surface of MONPs via two hydroxyls present in the molecules. Initially, *L*-dopa coated MONPs display a low negative surface charge due to the presence of amine and carboxyl groups on their surface. As the degradation of NPs proceeds, the increase in the negative surface charge is expected because of the release of L-dopa molecules (i.e. the increased proportion of hydroxyl group exposed to the aqueous solution).

The results from the degradation study also reveal the importance of the metallic precursor (manganese acetylacetonate vs. manganese oleate), reaction environment ( $N_2$  vs. air) and solvent (oleylamine and octadecene vs. hexadecene). These reaction parameters control the degradation rate of L-dopa coated MONPs, which can be tuned based on time (from hours to days) depending on the synthetic parameters used for the

fabrication of MONPs. An efficient drug delivery vehicle must transport the drug and release the drug in a controlled manner. In traditional drug delivery systems based on inorganic nanoparticles, the drug molecules are usually attached to NPs with covalent bonds. Breaking these covalent bonds in an in vivo environment can be challenging. The unreliability of breaking covalent bonds may impair the efficiency of drug delivery. Our Ldopa functionalized MONP based drug delivery system eliminates these problems, thus providing the release of L-dopa molecules from the MONPs as they disintegrate in an aqueous solution. Furthermore, the release of drugs can be controlled by selecting the appropriate MONP type (MONP-air or MONP- $N_2$ ) and size. It should be noted that the degradation ability and subsequently, the release of drug molecules can be different when the MONPs are introduced into a living system. This is because blood proteins and biomolecules immediately compete to adhere to the MONP surface, forming a protein corona, with the composition dependent on the NP surface chemistry and the physical characteristics.<sup>49</sup> The biological identity, controlled by surface biomolecules, can promote varying bio-interactions and cell functionality such as increased cellular uptake, proliferation or modulating the immune responses.<sup>50</sup> To observe the degradation of MONPs in biological fluids (mimicking in vivo microenvironment), we dispersed L-dopa functionalized MONP- $N_2$  in a protein-rich biological fluid containing bovine serum (25 v/v% fetal bovine serum in deionized water). DLS was used to measure the influence of the biological fluids on the Nanoscale Advances<br>
Communication<br>
consented on the consented on the consented on the consented on the big article. The consented on the big area of the consented under the particle in the consented on 8.0 Exploring in th

degradation of MONPs. The degradation profile was generated by changes in the hydrodynamic radius and fitting of an exponential decay model. The time constant for degradation was measured to be 3.05 hours, which is higher than 0.92 hours for the MONP-N<sub>2</sub> sample dispersed in deionized water (Fig. 4f). There was a significant change in the degradation of the MONP- $N_2$  sample when dispersed in a biological fluid. We did not notice any sedimentation of MONPs on visual inspection due to aggregation in the biological fluid during the time-dependent degradation study. This indicates the stability of MONPs in a biological fluid. In water, L-dopa functionalized MONPs oxidized rapidly due to easy accessibility of water molecules at the surface of NPs. On introducing L-dopa functionalized MONPs to the biological fluid containing plasma proteins, we suspected the formation of a thick layer of protein corona around the MONPs. The protein corona formation led to low accessibility of water molecules at the surface of MONPs.<sup>51</sup> As a result, the sluggish oxidation caused the low degradation rate of MONPs in the biological fluid. Therefore, the degradation rate of MONPs, and subsequently, the release of drug molecules from the surface can be different in an in vivo environment.

MONPs can also be used as MRI contrast agents. The NMR relaxivities  $(r_1$  and  $r_2)$  of the MONPs were measured in 0.5 T time-domain relaxometry (Bruker, Minispec mq20) (Fig. 5 and Table 1). All measurements were conducted at 40  $^{\circ}$ C. The NMR relaxivities of the MONP solutions were measured in water within 1 hour and 24 hours after dispersion. MONP- $N_2$  showed



Fig. 5  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  relaxation rates as a function of Mn concentration determined for L-dopa functionalized MONP-N<sub>2</sub>, MONP-air, MONP-8, and MONP-6 particles (a and b) immediately after dispersion in deionized water and (c and d) 24 hours aging in deionized water.

Table 1 Summary of NMR relaxivities of freshly dispersed and 24-hour aged (highlighted in blue bracket) L-dopa functionalized MONPs in deionized water

Sample	Chemical composition	Size (nm)	$r_1$ (mM <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	$r_2$ (mM <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	$r_2/r_1$
$MONP-N2$	$Mn_3O_4-MnO$	$10 \pm 2$	1.8(1.2)	4.0(2.4)	2.2(2.0)
MONP-air	$Mn_3O_4$	$9 \pm 2$	0.2(1.2)	1.1(3.3)	5.5(2.8)
MONP-8	$Mn_3O_4$	$8 \pm 1$	6.5(12.2)	17.4(24.1)	2.7(2.0)
MONP-6	$Mn_3O_4$	$6 \pm 1$	1.9(3.1)	2.9(6.1)	1.5(2.0)

higher longitudinal ( $r_1 = 1.8 \text{ }\text{mM}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and transverse ( $r_2 = 4.0$  $mM^{-1}$  s<sup>-1</sup>) relaxivities compared to MONP-air (where  $r_1 = 0.2$  $mM^{-1} s^{-1}$  and  $r_2 = 1.1$   $mM^{-1} s^{-1}$ ) (Fig. 5a and b). This difference in the relaxivities of MONP-N<sub>2</sub> and MONP-air cannot be simply associated with their size (10  $\pm$  2 nm and 9  $\pm$  2 nm respectively) and chemical composition because both the size and chemical composition change with time due to the degradation of MONPs after their dispersion in deionized water. The results from the degradation study revealed a higher rate of degradation of MONP-N2 than of MONP-air. A rapid decrease in the size of MONP- $N_2$  along with time can also increase the proportion of the magnetically disordered spin structure (canted surface spins) due to the increasing surface-to-volume ratio.<sup>52</sup> At the same, a change in the chemical composition due to the degradation of  $L$ -dopa coated MONP-N<sub>2</sub> will also lead to the increased proportion of  $Mn^{2+}$  ions (a high number of unpaired electrons in the 3d configuration of  $Mn^{2+}$ ) in the solution compared to MONP-air. To verify this, we collected high resolution XPS spectra of Mn 2p, and determined the relative intensity ratio of deconvoluted peaks corresponding to  $Mn^{2+}$  and  $Mn^{4+}$  (see Fig. S5 and S6, ESI†). The spectra were collected after the dispersion of L-dopa coated MONPs in the aqueous phase, followed by immediate drying prior to XPS. The results showed a relatively higher proportion of  $Mn^{2+}$  ions in MONP-N<sub>2</sub> compared to MONP-air, *i.e.*, a higher ratio of Mn<sup>2+</sup> to  $Mn^{4+}$  in the MONP-N<sub>2</sub> (~2.6) sample than in MONP-air (~1.8). Therefore, both the increase in the magnetically disordered structure and improved accessibility of water molecules to excess Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions are expected to contribute to higher  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ relaxivities of MONP-N<sub>2</sub>. When MONP-N<sub>2</sub> and MONP-air were aged for 24 hours under similar conditions, both the samples displayed similar  $r_1$  values  $(r_1 = 1.2 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$  (Fig. 5c and d, and Table 1) because both the aged samples showed a similar  $Mn^{2+}$  to  $Mn^{4+}$  ratio (~1.7). Our results indicate the importance of considering degradation in the evaluation of the induced MRI contrast of MONPs, which is also dependent on the fabrication method. Communication<br>
Task 1. Summar of NMR relaxivities of feelity discensed and 24-hour aged frightighted in the branching comparison<br>
Sumplished on the sumplished on points. Sumplish and the sumplished on 10  $\frac{1}{2}$  and 14.

Next, we compared the relaxivities of L-dopa coated MONP-8 and MONP-6 samples of similar chemical compositions fabricated by a similar protocol, but they differ in their sizes. Moreover, both the samples slowly degrade in the aqueous phase. The MONP-8 sample displayed increased longitudinal  $(r_1)$  $\sim$  6.5 mM<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and transverse ( $r_2$   $\sim$  17.4 mM<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) relaxivities in comparison to MONP-6 ( $r_1 \sim 1.9$  mM<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and  $r_2 \sim 2.9$  $\text{mM}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1})$  as shown in Fig. 5a, b and Table 1. The large value of  $r<sub>2</sub>$  for the MONP-8 sample is expected, which results from a large magnetization value with the increased NP size.<sup>53</sup> The longitudinal relaxivity  $(r_1)$  can be correlated with the size based on the number of water molecules in close proximity to the NP surface, as well as, with the molecular mobility of the NP.<sup>53</sup> A decrease in the size of NPs will allow a smaller number of Mn atoms to be exposed to water molecules and, thus, a low  $r_1$  value for MONP-6. Based on high resolution XPS spectra, the large  $r_1$ value can also be explained based on the high  $Mn^{2+}$  to  $Mn^{4+}$ ratio for MONP-8 ( $\sim$ 1.9) compared to MONP-6 ( $\sim$ 1.8) because of a relatively faster degradation rate of MONP-8. Therefore, MONP-8 shows high longitudinal and transverse relaxivities compared to MONP-6. A similar trend can also be seen for 24 hours aged MONP-8 and MONP-6 samples (Fig. 5c, d and Table 1). However, it can be noticed that large size MONP-air  $(9 \pm 2)$ nm) of the Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phase displays low  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  values compared to MONP-8 and MONP-6. This difference can arise from the



Fig. 6 Cell viability of human foreskin fibroblasts incubated with Ldopa coated (a) MONP-N<sub>2</sub> and (b) MONP-6 at various concentrations  $(0-8880 \mu M)$ , as determined by MTS assay. All data have been normalised to the control.

different chemical composition and degradation rate of MONPair because these NPs were produced by a different protocol.

The viability of human foreskin fibroblast cells following coincubation with L-dopa functionalized MONPs was evaluated using the MTS assay. We chose two different NPs (MONP- $N_2$  and MONP-6) with different sizes and chemical compositions for the cell study. Our results showed that fibroblast viability was not significantly affected when these cells were cultured with MONP-N<sub>2</sub> up to 2200  $\mu$ M Mn for 7 days. However, at higher concentrations (4440 and 8880  $\mu$ M, a significant downregulation in fibroblast viability compared to the control and/or to the low dose  $(1100 \mu M)$  groups (Fig. 6a)) can be noticed. In contrast, when cultured with MONP-6 at a dose between 284 and 2270  $\mu$ M NPs for 7 days, fibroblast viability remained constant and did not change (Fig. 6b). The difference in fibroblast cell viability for two different samples  $(MONP-N<sub>2</sub>$  and MONP-6) could be associated with the difference in their chemical composition as well as the size. Because toxicity is only observed at a higher dose of MONP- $N_2$ , the concentration itself may be a participating factor. As there could be multiple contributing factors, further studies are required to investigate the root cause of the biocompatibility differences. Nanoscale Advances<br>
different chemical composition and degradian rare of MONP-<br>
different process Common and the product of product are process.<br>
This area the systems are also the common and the common space of the commo

#### Conclusions

In summary, we demonstrated the influence of the metal precursor type and reaction environment  $(N_2$  and air) on the size, chemical composition, and physicochemical properties (magnetic properties, degradation rates, and NMR relaxivities) of MONPs. Thermal decomposition of manganese $(n)$  acetylacetonate in the presence of  $N_2$  and air led to the formation of MONP-N<sub>2</sub> (consisting of  $Mn_3O_4$  and MnO phases) and MONPair (single phase  $Mn_3O_4$ ). MONPs of smaller sizes (MONP-8 and MONP-6) and single phase  $Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  can be synthesized by using a manganese oleate precursor. Our results indicated that the degradation rate and the release of L-dopa drug molecules depend on the chemical composition and size of MONPs.  $MONP-N<sub>2</sub>$  and MONP-air degraded significantly faster than MONP-8 and MONP-6, suggesting the release of L-dopa molecules from the MONP surface. Furthermore, MONP- $N_2$ degraded slowly in biological media compared to their degradation in the aqueous phase which has been attributed to the formation of a protein corona around NPs that may have shielded to prevent the rapid oxidation of MONP- $N_2$ . We also show that the NMR relaxivities of L-dopa functionalized MONPs of different chemical compositions and sizes differ. This is due to the change in physiochemical properties (size and composition) as NPs degrade in aqueous solution. Finally, our preliminary assessments of a fibroblast cell viability study showed non-cytotoxicity, which could depend on the size and composition of the NPs. Overall, this work opens a new avenue toward designing simple self-degradable MONPs armed with multiple capabilities (targeting, diagnosis, and therapy).

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Australian Research Council, the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council, and the Australian Centre for Microscopy and Microanalysis.

### Notes and references

- 1 P. Sharma, N. Holliger, P. H. Pfromm, B. Liu and V. Chikan, ACS Omega, 2020, 5, 19853–19860.
- 2 G. Singh, P. A. Kumar, C. Lundgren, A. T. J. van Helvoort, R. Mathieu, E. Wahlstrom and W. R. Glomm, Part. Part. Syst. Charact., 2014, 31, 1054–1059.
- 3 G. Singh, V. S. Myasnichenko and W. R. Glomm, Mater. Adv., 2020, 1, 1077–1082.
- 4 W. Wu, Q. G. He and C. Z. Jiang, Nanoscale Res. Lett., 2008, 3, 397–415.
- 5 A. Walter, A. Garofalo, A. Parat, H. Martinez, D. Felder-Flesch and S. Begin-Colin, Nanotechnol. Rev., 2015, 4, 581–593.
- 6 Y. Bao, J. A. Sherwood and Z. Sun, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2018, 6, 1280–1290.
- 7 Z. Y. Shen, A. G. Wu and X. Y. Chen, Mol. Pharm., 2017, 14, 1352–1364.
- 8 C. Martinez-Boubeta, K. Simeonidis, A. Makridis, M. Angelakeris, O. Iglesias, P. Guardia, A. Cabot, L. Yedra, S. Estrade, F. Peiro, Z. Saghi, P. A. Midgley, I. Conde-Leboran, D. Serantes and D. Baldomir, Sci. Rep., 2013, 3, 1652–1660.
- 9 Z. Hedayatnasab, A. Dabbagh, F. Abnisa and W. M. A. W. Daud, Eur. Polym. J., 2020, 133, 109789–109801.
- 10 A. Hanini, A. Schmitt, K. Kacem, F. Chau, S. Ammar and J. Gavard, Int. J. Nanomed., 2011, 6, 787–794.
- 11 A. S. Thakor, J. V. Jokerst, P. Ghanouni, J. L. Campbell, E. Mittra and S. S. Gambhir, J. Nucl. Med., 2016, 57, 1833– 1837.
- 12 S. Laurent, D. Forge, M. Port, A. Roch, C. Robic, L. V. Elst and R. N. Muller, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 108, 2064–2110.
- 13 T. G. Kornberg, T. A. Stueckle, J. M. Antonini, Y. Rojanasakul, V. Castranova, Y. Yang and L. W. Rojanasakul, Nanomaterials, 2017, 7, 307–333.
- 14 X. Miao, S. L. Ho, T. Tegafaw, H. Cha, Y. Chang, I. T. Oh, A. M. Yaseen, S. Marasini, A. Ghazanfari, H. Yue, K. S. Chae and G. H. Lee, RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 3189–3197.
- 15 G. Singh, B. H. McDonagh, S. Hak, D. Peddis, S. Bandopadhyay, I. Sandvig, A. Sandvig and W. R. Glomm, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2017, 5, 418–422.
- 16 Z. Y. Shen, W. P. Fan, Z. Yang, Y. J. Liu, V. I. Bregadze, S. K. Mandal, B. C. Yung, L. S. Lin, T. Liu, W. Tang, L. L. Shan, Y. Liu, S. J. Zhu, S. Wang, W. J. Yang, L. H. Bryant, D. T. Nguyen, A. G. Wu and X. Y. Chen, Small, 2019, 15, 1903422–1903431.
- 17 M. Rogosnitzky and S. Branch, BioMetals, 2016, 29, 365–376.
- 18 T. T. H. Thi, D. H. N. Tran, L. G. Bach, H. Vu-Quang, D. C. Nguyen, K. D. Park and D. H. Nguyen, Pharm, 2019, 11, 120–133.
- 19 Y. Morch, R. Hansen, S. Berg, A. K. O. Aslund, W. R. Glomm, S. Eggen, R. Schmid, H. Johnsen, S. Kubowicz, S. Snipstad, E. Sulheim, S. Hak, G. Singh, B. H. McDonagh, H. Blom, C. D. Davies and P. M. Stenstad, Contrast Media Mol. Imaging, 2015, 10, 356–366. Communication Manucal Common Access Articles. The Creative Common Access Article. Common Access Article. During Article is licensed u
	- 20 L. Momtazi, S. Bagherifam, G. Singh, A. Hofgaard, M. Hakkarainen, W. R. Glomm, N. Roos, G. M. Maelandsmo, G. Griffiths and B. Nystrom, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2014, 433, 76–85.
	- 21 K. El-Boubbou, Nanomedicine, 2018, 13, 929–952.
	- 22 J. Gallo, I. S. Alam, I. Lavdas, M. Wylezinska-Arridge, E. O. Aboagye and N. J. Long, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2014, 2, 868–876.
	- 23 G. Huang, H. Li, J. Chen, Z. Zhao, L. Yang, X. Chi, Z. Chen, X. Wang and J. Gao, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 10404–10412.
	- 24 D. Pan, S. D. Caruthers, G. Hu, A. Senpan, M. J. Scott, P. J. Gaffney, S. A. Wickline and G. M. Lanza, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 9186–9187.
	- 25 X. X. Cai, Q. X. Zhu, Y. Zeng, Q. Zeng, X. L. Chen and Y. H. Zhan, Int. J. Nanomed., 2019, 14, 8321–8344.
	- 26 B. Y. W. Hsu, G. Kirby, A. Tan, A. M. Seifalian, X. Li and J. Wang, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 45462–45474.
	- 27 M. R. DeWitt, P. Chen and M. Aschner, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 2013, 432, 1–4.
	- 28 B. H. McDonagh, G. Singh, S. Hak, S. Bandyopadhyay, I. L. Augestad, D. Peddis, I. Sandvig, A. Sandvig and W. R. Glomm, Small, 2016, 12, 301–306.
	- 29 M. Colombo, S. Carregal-Romero, M. F. Casula, L. Gutierrez, M. P. Morales, I. B. Bohm, J. T. Heverhagen, D. Prosperi and W. J. Parak, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 4306–4334.
	- 30 N. Singh, M. A. Savanur, S. Srivastava, P. D'Silva and G. Mugesh, Nanoscale, 2019, 11, 3855–3863.
	- 31 H. W. Zhang, L. H. Jing, J. F. Zeng, Y. Hou, Z. Li and M. Y. Gao, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 5918–5925.
	- 32 P. Q. Zhang, Y. G. Zhan, B. X. Cai, C. C. Hao, J. Wang, C. X. Liu, Z. J. Meng, Z. L. Yin and Q. Y. Chen, Nano Res., 2010, 3, 235–243.
	- 33 M. I. Said and B. Harbrecht, J. Nanopart. Res., 2019, 21, 68– 83.
	- 34 W. S. Seo, H. H. Jo, K. Lee, B. Kim, S. J. Oh and J. T. Park, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 1115–1117.
	- 35 B. Heim, F. Krismer, R. De Marzi and K. Seppi, J. Neural Transm., 2017, 124, 915–964.
- 36 J. Dorszewska, M. Prendecki, M. Lianeri and W. Kozubski, Curr. Genomics, 2014, 15, 11–17.
- 37 M. J. Bannon, E. E. Bannon and K. T. Bannon, eLS, 2012.
- 38 P. G. Ravenstijn, H. J. Drenth, M. J. O'Neill, M. Danhof and E. C. de Lange, Fluids Barriers CNS, 2012, 9, 4.
- 39 A. M. E. Raj, S. G. Victoria, V. B. Jothy, C. Ravidhas, J. Wollschlager, M. Suendorf, M. Neumann, M. Jayachandran and C. Sanjeeviraja, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2010, 256, 2920–2926.
- 40 J. W. Murray, J. G. Dillard, R. Giovanoli, H. Moers and W. Stumm, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 1985, 49, 463–470.
- 41 H. W. Nesbitt and D. Banerjee, Am. Mineral., 1998, 83, 305– 315.
- 42 A. Omelianchik, G. Singh, B. H. McDonagh, V. Rodionova, D. Fiorani, D. Peddis and S. Laureti, Nanotechnology, 2018, 29, 055703.
- 43 V. Håkonsen, G. Singh, P. S. Normile, J. A. De Toro, E. Wahlström, J. He and Z. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2019, 29, 1904825.
- 44 M. Darbandi, F. Stromberg, J. Landers, N. Reckers, B. Sanyal, W. Keune and H. Wende, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., 2012, 45, 195001–195012.
- 45 M. H. Phan, J. Alonso, H. Khurshid, P. Lampen-Kelley, S. Chandra, K. S. Repa, Z. Nemati, R. Das, O. Iglesias and H. Srikanth, Nanomaterials, 2016, 6, 221–251.
- 46 S. Y. Yin, S. L. Yuan, Z. M. Tian, L. Liu, C. H. Wang, X. F. Zheng, H. N. Duan and S. X. Huo, J. Appl. Phys., 2010, 107, 043909–043913.
- 47 J. Pike, J. Hanson, L. H. Zhang and S. W. Chan, Chem. Mater., 2007, 19, 5609–5616.
- 48 M. Dunne, O. I. Corrigan and Z. Ramtoola, Biomaterials, 2000, 21, 1659–1668.
- 49 Q. Yu, L. Zhao, C. Guo, B. Yan and G. Su, Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol., 2020, 8, 210.
- 50 P. C. Ke, S. Lin, W. J. Parak, T. P. Davis and F. Caruso, ACS Nano, 2017, 11, 11773–11776.
- 51 G. Stepien, M. Moros, M. Perez-Hernandez, M. Monge, L. Gutierrez, R. M. Fratila, M. Las Heras, S. Menao Guillen, J. J. Puente Lanzarote, C. Solans, J. Pardo and J. M. de la Fuente, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 4548–4560.
- 52 S. Morup, E. Brok and C. Frandsen, J. Nanomater., 2013, 2013, 720629.
- 53 T. H. Shin, Y. Choi, S. Kim and J. Cheon, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 4501–4516.