



Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2021,  
2, 3119

## Correction: Measured and simulated thermoelectric properties of $\text{FeAs}_{2-x}\text{Se}_x$ ( $x = 0.30\text{--}1.0$ ): from marcasite to arsenopyrite structure

Christopher J. Perez,<sup>a</sup> Kasey P. Devlin,<sup>a</sup> Callista M. Skaggs,<sup>b</sup> Xiaoyan Tan,<sup>\*bc</sup> Corey E. Frank,<sup>c</sup> Jackson R. Badger,<sup>a</sup> Chang-Jong Kang,<sup>d</sup> Thomas J. Emge,<sup>c</sup> Susan M. Kauzlarich,<sup>a</sup> Valentin Taufour,<sup>e</sup> Gabriel Kotliar,<sup>d</sup> Saul H. Lapidus<sup>f</sup> and Martha Greenblatt<sup>\*c</sup>


DOI: 10.1039/d1ma90041b

rsc.li/materials-advances

Correction for 'Measured and simulated thermoelectric properties of  $\text{FeAs}_{2-x}\text{Se}_x$  ( $x = 0.30\text{--}1.0$ ): from marcasite to arsenopyrite structure' by Christopher J. Perez *et al.*, *Mater. Adv.*, 2020, **1**, 1390–1398, DOI: 10.1039/D0MA00371A.

The authors regret that there was an error in the original manuscript. A decimal place was missing from the plot of the calculated  $zT$  value of the  $\text{FeAs}_{1.15}\text{Se}_{0.85}$  sample (denoted as red dots in Fig. 6D). The corrected figure is given below:

As a result of this error, several sentences in the article also need to be updated as follows.



**Fig. 6** Total thermal conductivity ( $\kappa_{\text{tot}}$ ) given as symbols and lattice thermal conductivity ( $\kappa_l$ ) provided as dashes (A), resistivity (B), Seebeck coefficient (C), and  $zT$  (D) measured on sintered polycrystalline pellets of  $\text{FeAs}_{2-x}\text{Se}_x$  ( $x = 0.3, 0.75, 0.85, 1.0$ ).

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, California 95616, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia 22030, USA. E-mail: xtan6@gmu.edu

<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA. E-mail: greenbla@chem.rutgers.edu

<sup>d</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA

<sup>e</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, California 95616, USA

<sup>f</sup> Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois, 60439, USA

In the final sentence of the abstract, “ $x = 0.85$ ” should be “ $x = 0.3$ ”.

In the final sentence of section 3.5, “ $x = 0.85$ ” should be “ $x = 0.3$ ”, and “ $zT = 0.06$ ” should be “ $zT = 0.03$ ”.

In the conclusions, the phrase “...the  $x = 0.85$  has the best  $zT$  of 0.06 at 475 K.” should be “...the  $x = 0.3$  has the best  $zT$  of 0.03 at 475 K.”

The overall results, discussion and conclusions presented in this article are not affected by this change.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

