

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2021,
2, 7709

Effect of bulky 2,6-bis(spirocyclohexyl)-substituted piperidine rings in bis(hindered amino)trisulfide on thermal healability of polymethacrylate networks†

Motohiro Aiba, *^a Take-aki Koizumi, ^b Kazuaki Okamoto,^a Motoshi Yamanaka,^a Michinari Futamura,^a Yuzo Ishigaki,^a Mitsuo Oda,^a Chihiro Ooka,^a Akira Takahashi ^c and Hideyuki Otsuka ^{cd}

This paper describes the synthesis of highly sterically hindered piperidinyl trisulfide with four spirocyclohexyl moieties, bis(2,6-bis[spirocyclohexyl]piperidine-1-yl)trisulfide (BIBSCPS-S3), from commercially available starting materials in short steps and its application as a dynamic covalent bond for thermally healable polymer networks. Conformational study on the BIBSCPS-S3 moiety in the solid state is performed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. In bulk, a stress-relaxation experiment reveals that the increase in steric hindrance can not only decrease the activation energy for thermal exchange reactions but also suppress chain-transfer reactions during radical polymerization to some extent. Therefore, the dynamic cross-linking point containing BIBSCPS-S3 moiety can be efficiently incorporated into polymer networks with ethyl, *n*-butyl, or *n*-hexyl methacrylate monomers, which is in good accordance with the relatively low chain-transfer constants of the BIBSCPS-S3 moiety determined by the Mayo equation. As a result, BIBSCPS-S3-cross-linked poly(*n*-hexyl methacrylate) exhibits nearly quantitative damage healability only by simple hot pressing at 90 °C under mild pressure for 24 h.

Received 6th September 2021,
Accepted 25th September 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00811k

rsc.li/materials-advances

Introduction

Dynamic covalent bonds (DCBs), which can realize selective dissociation, reformation, or exchange under certain external stimuli, have attracted considerable attention.¹ Since DCBs can reorganize the macromolecular architectures or compositions, they can produce a macroscopic functional response (such as shape-memory properties, self-healing properties, reprocessability, and so on) to the environment and surrounding stimuli.^{2–5} Such macroscopic responses are strongly influenced by the nature of DCBs; therefore the macroscopic dynamic properties can be controlled by careful selection of the DCBs. Although various kinds of DCBs have been reported, many of


them require high temperature or specific catalysts to exert their dynamic nature.^{6,7} Thus, further development of the library of DCBs triggered by readily usable stimuli (*i.e.* moderate heat and visible light) has been required to realize more facile use of the dynamic functions.

Bis(dialkylamino) disulfides were found to exhibit lower bond dissociation energy of S–S linkage (*e.g.*, BDE of 26–31 kcal mol^{−1}) than dialkyldisulfides (60–70 kcal mol^{−1}), since the generated thiyl radicals are stabilized by the resonance from the lone pair of electrons on the nitrogen atoms.⁸ Nevertheless, bis(dialkylamino) disulfides are rarely studied in organic chemistry, and the respective polymers are very much in their infancy. In 2016, Takahashi *et al.* developed a simple method to synthesize a sterically hindered bis(dialkylamino) disulfide, bis(2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)disulfide (BITEMPS-S2).⁹ The BITEMPS-S2 unit behaves as a stable covalently bonded structure at room temperature and exhibits reversible homolytic dissociation of the S–S linkage above 80 °C (as shown in Scheme 1a). These dissociated thiyl radicals from the BITEMPS-S2 unit were found to be inert and highly stable in air even at increased temperatures. By virtue of the unique dynamic behavior, BITEMPS-S2 was utilized to impart the specific features such as self-healing and reprocessable cross-linked polymers, the fusion of different cross-linked

^a Nagoya Municipal Industrial Research Institute, 3-4-41 Rokuban, Atsuta-ku, Nagoya 456-0058, Japan. E-mail: aiba.motohiro@nmiri.city.nagoya.jp^b Advanced Instrumental Analysis Center, Shizuoka Institute of Science and Technology, 2200-2 Toyosawa, Fukuroi, Shizuoka 437-8555, Japan^c Department of Organic and Polymeric Materials, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 152-8550, Japan^d Department of Chemical Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 152-8550, Japan

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2098390. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00811k





Scheme 1 Characteristic dynamic behaviors of (a) BITEMPS-S2 and (b) BITEMPS-S3 moieties. (c) Chemical structure of a BIBSCPS-S3 moiety.

polymers, the topological rearrangement from linear to cyclic polymers, and self-strengthening of cross-linked elastomers.^{10–16} Afterward, we reported that the trisulfide analogue of BITEMPS-S2, bis(2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)trisulfide (BITEMPS-S3), also behaves as a DCB to produce air-stable thiyl and dithiyl radicals upon heating at mild temperature (as shown in Scheme 1b).¹⁷ Additionally, the poly(*n*-hexyl methacrylate) network containing BITEMPS-S3 units at cross-linking points afforded nearly quantitative damage healability only by simple hot pressing at 110 °C for 24 h, which were almost comparable to one containing BITEMPS-S2 units (100 °C for 24 h). Although these results indicate that not only bis(dialkylamino) disulfide but also bis(dialkylamino) trisulfide should be recognized as one of the intrinsic DCBs, it is necessary to develop DCBs triggered at more moderate temperature.


Besides, it is well known that the introduction of steric hindrance can lower the BDE. For example, Jing *et al.* reported that the sterically highly hindered alkoxyamines containing two six-membered rings at the 2,6-position in a piperidine ring turned out to become an efficient initiator for nitroxide-mediated polymerization even at 50 °C due to its lower BDEs of C–O linkages.¹⁸ Although various kinds of sterically hindered nitroxides were synthesized, the effect of partial modification to piperidine rings in BITEMPS-S2 and BITEMPS-S3 units still remains unclear.^{18–26}

Herein, we report the dynamic covalent chemistry of bis(2,6-bis[spirocyclohexyl]piperidin-1-yl)trisulfide (BIBSCPS-S3), where the bis(dialkylamino) trisulfide moiety is sterically hindered by two spirocyclohexyl groups in each piperidine ring, and describe its intrinsic self-healing property in the polymer networks.

Results and discussion

Preparation, molecular structure, and disproportionation

BIBSCPS-S3-OH was prepared from the commercially available compound, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-one, in five steps according to Scheme 2. By applying a previously reported procedure by Yamada *et al.* about the effective 2,6-substitution of piperidine derivatives *via* crossed aldol condensation, the



Scheme 2 (a) Synthesis of BIBSCPS-S3-OH. (b) Chemical structure of BITEMPS-S3 or BIBSCPS-S3 derivatives.

2,6-position of the 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-one was successfully substituted by two spirocyclohexyl groups to afford the 2,6-bis(spirocyclohexyl)-4-piperidone (BSCP-CO) using NH₄Cl and Triton B.²² The reduction of BSCP-CO with NaBH₄ afforded the corresponding alcohol compound, 4-hydroxy-2,6-bis(spirocyclohexyl)piperidone (BSCP-OH). Subsequently, the reaction of the TMS-protected BSCP-OH, 4-tetramethylsiloxy-2,6-bis(spirocyclohexyl)piperidine, with disulfur dichloride (S₂Cl₂) was performed in a DMF-hexane mixture and gave trisulfide and tetrasulfide mixtures, indicating that it would be difficult to provide a disulfide linkage due to a steric inhibition during reaction. After deprotection of the reaction mixture, BIBSCPS-S3-OH was easily separated from its tetrasulfide analogue due to the solubility difference in ethyl acetate and its molecular structure was confirmed by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and Fourier transform-infrared (FT-IR) spectra and mass spectroscopy (see the Experimental Section in the ESI†).

First of all, the molecular structure of BIBSCPS-S3-OH was investigated using X-ray crystal analysis by recrystallization. For BIBSCPS-S3-OH, four spirocyclohexyl groups at the 2,6-position of two piperidine moieties exist in a chair conformation. It is noteworthy that all spirocyclohexyl groups are away from the N–S bonds as shown in Fig. 1. According to a previous report by Yamamoto *et al.*, the replacement of a hydrogen atom with a methyl group in the amine group of the 2,6-bis(spirocyclohexyl)piperidine, where one spirocyclohexyl ring is away from and the other is toward the amine group, increased the steric repulsions from the N–CH₃ group so that both spirocyclohexyl rings exhibited the same conformation to be away from the N–CH₃ group.²³ Along the same line, the reason why spirocyclohexyl groups sit in a chair conformation away from the N–S bonds would be due to decreasing the steric hindrance from sulfur atoms and the cyclohexyl hydrogen atoms.

Then, the thermal bond dissociation behavior of the BIBSCPS-S3 moiety was studied. As has been previously reported, the trisulfide linkage in the BITEMPS-S3 moiety as a DCB disproportionated to the corresponding disulfide and tetrasulfide linkages because unsymmetrical and homolytic bond cleavage of the trisulfide linkage affords both thiyl and dithiyl radicals.¹⁷ Therefore, to investigate the thermal disproportionation reaction of the BIBSCPS-S3 moiety, the phenyl urethanated derivative (BIBSCPS-S3-Ar) was prepared due to the poor solubility of BIBSCPS-S3-OH. As shown in Fig. S3 (ESI†),




Fig. 1 X-Ray crystal structures of BIBSCPS-S3-OH. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. The selected angles (°) and torsions (°); BIBSCPS-S3-OH; N1–S1–S2:106.53(8), S1–S2–S1*: 112.9(6), N1–S1–S2–S1*: 93.64(16). Inlet chemical structure indicates conformation of spirocyclohexyl groups away from N–S bonds.







Fig. 2 FT-IR spectra of (a) BITEMPS-S3-Ar and BIBSCPS-S3-Ar, (b) BITEMPS-S3-diacrylate and BIBSCPS-S3-diacrylate, and (c) BITEMPS-S3-ⁿBu and BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿBu. The dotted lines “a, b, c” represent the peaks attributed to the $\text{C}=\text{O}$ stretching vibration of free (1732 cm^{-1}), disordered (1715 cm^{-1}), and ordered carbonyl groups (1696 cm^{-1}), respectively.



Scheme 3 Synthesis of cross-linked polymers containing the BIBSCPS-S3 or BITEMPS-S3 moieties.

the ESI mass spectrum of BIBSCPS-S3-Ar heated at $80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 24 h in DMF under an air atmosphere exhibits the corresponding disulfide (BIBSCPS-S2-Ar) and tetrasulfide (BIBSCPS-S4-Ar) analogues. From this observation, it has become clear that the trisulfide linkage of BIBSCPS-S3-Ar behaves as a DCB to afford air-stable dithiyl and thiyl radicals like BITEMPS-S3-Ar.

Subsequently, the difference in hydrogen bonding behavior among the urethane linkages of the BITEMPS-S3 and BIBSCPS-S3 moieties was investigated using FT-IR spectroscopy (Fig. 2). For BITEMPS-S3-Ar, there could be seen a well-defined absorption peak with maximum at 1696 cm^{-1} corresponding to ordered hydrogen-bonded $\text{C}=\text{O}$ stretching and two shoulders at 1715 and 1732 cm^{-1} attributed to disordered hydrogen-bonded and free $\text{C}=\text{O}$ stretching, respectively.²⁷ On the other hand, BIBSCPS-S3-Ar shows a well-defined peak with maximum at 1715 cm^{-1} with a shoulder around 1696 cm^{-1} . Moreover, there could be seen a more obvious peak at 1732 cm^{-1} in BIBSCPS-S3-Ar compared to BITEMPS-S3-Ar. For BITEMPS-S3-diacrylate, two characteristic peaks at 1715 and 1692 cm^{-1} were observed, while BIBSCPS-S3-diacrylate showed a broad peak with maximum at 1715 cm^{-1} . Besides, BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿBu showed a little broader peak from 1740 to 1670 cm^{-1} with maximum at 1692 cm^{-1} compared to BITEMPS-S3-ⁿBu. These observations indicate that hydrogen bonding among urethane linkages is partially disrupted by the bulky spirocyclohexyl-substituent groups on the piperidine rings. Also, the degree of the effect of the spirocyclohexyl-substituent groups on hydrogen bonding behavior strongly depends on the molecular structure.

The spirocyclohexyl-substitution also affected chemical properties such as melting point (T_m). As shown in Table S2 (ESI[†]), the T_m values of BIBSCPS-S3-Ar ($220.4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) and BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿBu ($75.0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) are higher than those of the corresponding BITEMPS-S3 compounds [BITEMPS-S3-Ar ($153.7\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) and BITEMPS-S3-ⁿBu ($55.5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$)], in spite of the partial disruption of hydrogen bonding induced by the spirocyclohexyl substituent groups. This is probably because the molecular mobility is highly suppressed by bulky spirocyclohexyl-substituent groups.

Evaluation of polymethacrylate networks

Cross-linked polymers containing the BITEMPS-S3 or BIBSCPS-S3 moieties at the cross-linking points were prepared. As shown in Scheme 3, BITEMPS-S3-diacrylate and BIBSCPS-S3-diacrylate were employed as dynamic cross-linkers and incorporated into polymer networks *via* radical polymerization using various

methacrylate monomers (ethyl (EtMA), butyl (ⁿBuMA), or hexyl (ⁿHexMA) methacrylates) and azo-based low-temperature radical initiator V-70. The concentration of the cross-linker was set to 5 mol% with respect to the total amount of monomer and cross-linkers. The cross-linked polymers were washed several times with chloroform/methanol to remove any unreacted chemicals and dried in a vacuum at $50\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 24 h before characterization. The prepared cross-linked polymers are referred to as C-BITEMPS-S3-XX or C-BIBSCPS-S3-XX, where XX indicates the matrix polymer (EtMA, ⁿBuMA, or ⁿHexMA). All of the obtained cross-linked polymers were transparent as shown in Fig. S1 and S2 (ESI[†]). Additionally, there could not be observed the differences in thermal properties such as the 5% weight loss (T_{d5}) and glass transition (T_g) temperatures for BITEMPS-S3 and BIBSCPS-S3 in the network system (Table S3, ESI[†]).

In order to evaluate the differences in thermal exchangeability of the BITEMPS-S3 and BIBSCPS-S3 moieties in the polymer networks, temperature-dependent stress-relaxation experiments were carried out because the topology rearrangement of the polymer networks can occur through thermal exchangeable reactions. A 3% elongation strain was applied on the samples at different temperatures (from 110 to $140\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) and the relaxation modulus was measured as a function of time. As can be seen in Fig. 3, the stress-relaxation behaviors for all of the cross-linked polymers were clearly observed, indicating that the bond-exchange reaction among the BITEMPS-S3 or BIBSCPS-S3 moieties occurred in the bulk materials. Meanwhile, the normalized relaxation modulus at time $t = 0$ (σ/σ_0) could not be completely relaxed and became equilibrated within 10–60 min at 120 – $140\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, indicating that the chain-transfer reaction at the BITEMPS-S3 and BIBSCPS-S3 moieties during radical polymerization led to the formation of a non-dynamic cross-linking point. Weibull and Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts function, which accounts for a breadth of relaxation time, is used to describe stress relaxation in polymers and expressed as the following equation:²⁸

$$\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} = \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{t}{\tau^*}\right)^\beta\right\} + \frac{\sigma_{\text{perm}}}{\sigma_0} \quad (1)$$

where β ($0 < \beta \leq 1$) is the stretching exponent which serves as a shape parameter characterizing the breadth of the relaxation distribution, τ^* is the characteristic relaxation time, and






Fig. 3 Stress relaxation curves of (a) BITEMPS-S3-EtMA, (b) BITEMPS-S3-ⁿBuMA, (c) BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA, (d) BIBSCPS-S3-EtMA, (e) BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿBuMA, and (f) BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA, respectively, at different temperatures. The dotted lines indicate $\sigma/\sigma_0 = 0.1$. The solid lines are original experimental data, fitted by the stretched exponential model (shown as open squares).

$\sigma_{\text{perm}}/\sigma_0$ is the residual relaxation modulus at $t = \infty$. Also, the average relaxation time, $\langle \tau \rangle$, is calculated using the following equation:

$$\langle \tau \rangle = \frac{\tau^* \Gamma(1/\beta)}{\beta} \quad (2)$$

where Γ represents the gamma function. We applied eqn (1) for fitting the stress-relaxation curves and summarized the fitting parameters (τ^* , β , and $\sigma_{\text{perm}}/\sigma_0$) and calculated the average relaxation time ($\langle \tau \rangle$) from eqn (2) in Table 1 and Table S4 (ESI[†]). As shown in Table S4 (ESI[†]), the $\langle \tau \rangle$ values decrease with increase in temperature, implying a change in polymer network structure as a result of more bond-exchange reaction occur at higher temperature. Furthermore, the activation energy (E_a) was estimated from the slope of the obtained $\ln \langle \tau \rangle$ versus $1/\text{temperature}$ plot (Fig. S7, ESI[†]). As shown in Table 1, C-BITEMPS-S3-XX exhibits higher E_a values (21.4–31.8 kcal mol⁻¹) than C-BIBSCPS-S3-XX (12.1–18.0 kcal mol⁻¹), indicating that the modification to spirocyclohexyl groups in a piperidine ring increases the steric hindrance effect and enhances thermal exchangeability in the polymer network. Moreover, it should be noted that the $\sigma_{\text{perm}}/\sigma_0$ values of C-BITEMPS-S3-XX (0.12–0.17) are higher than those of C-BIBSCPS-S3-XX (0.02–0.07). It was previously revealed that the BITEMPS-S2

Table 1 Thermal properties and parameters based on Weibul and Kohlrausch–Williams–Watts function fitting to stress relaxation experimental data of cross-linked polymers

Polymer	T_g^a [°C]	$\tau^*{}^b$ [min]	β^b [–]	$\sigma_{\text{perm}}/\sigma_0^b$ [–]	$\langle \tau \rangle^c$ [min]	E_a^d [kcal mol ⁻¹]
C-BITEMPS-S3-EtMA	43.0	1.16	0.61	0.15	1.68	31.8
C-BITEMPS-S3- ⁿ BuMA	21.9	1.65	0.51	0.12	3.12	25.1
C-BITEMPS-S3- ⁿ HexMA	11.7	1.12	0.51	0.17	2.12	21.4
C-BIBSCPS-S3-EtMA	37.5	1.10	0.55	0.07	2.40	12.1
C-BIBSCPS-S3- ⁿ BuMA	20.7	1.11	0.45	0.07	2.69	15.9
C-BIBSCPS-S3- ⁿ HexMA	12.4	1.15	0.51	0.02	2.21	18.0

^a T_g was determined from DSC measurement at a heating rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ under nitrogen atmosphere. ^b τ^* , β , and $\sigma_{\text{perm}}/\sigma_0$ values were estimated from Weibul and Kohlrausch–Williams–Watts function to a stress-relaxation curve measured at 140 °C using eqn (1). ^c $\langle \tau \rangle$ was calculated from eqn (2). ^d E_a was estimated from Arrhenius plot of $\ln \langle \tau \rangle$ vs. $1/\text{temperature}$.

Table 2 Chain-transfer constants of BITEMPS-S3-ⁿBu and BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿBu^a

CTA	C_{tr}^b		
	EtMA	ⁿ BuMA	ⁿ HexMA
BITEMPS-S3- ⁿ Bu	2.74	1.01	1.38
BIBSCPS-S3- ⁿ Bu	0.84	0.25	0.30

^a Radical polymerization was carried out in DMAc at 30 °C for 2 h using V-70 as an initiator in the presence of BITEMPS-S3-ⁿBu or BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿBu. [initiator] : [monomer] was 1 : 100. ^b C_{tr} was calculated from Mayo's equation.

moiety incorporated into poly(ⁿHexMA) at a cross-linking point shows lower σ/σ_0 value than BITEMPS-S3, probably due to higher chain-transfer constant (C_{tr}) of the trisulfide linkage compared to the disulfide linkage.^{17,29} Considering that the oxidative stability of the 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-*N*-oxyl (TEMPO) to ascorbic acid was increased by replacing the methyl groups in TEMPO with spirocyclohexyl groups due to effective steric shielding,³⁰ this considerable difference would indicate that the chain-transfer reaction to S–S linkage in the BIBSCPS-S3 moiety during radical polymerization is highly suppressed by the steric hindrance of the bulky spirocyclohexyl groups.

To support this assumption, C_{tr} of EtMA, ⁿBuMA, and ⁿHexMA for BITEMPS-S3 and BIBSCPS-S3 moieties were determined by the Mayo method using BITEMPS-S3-ⁿBu and BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿBu as chain-transfer agents (CTAs).³¹ The results are summarized in Table 2 (see also Table S5 and Fig. S8, ESI[†]). As expected, BITEMPS-S3-ⁿBu exhibits approximately 4 times higher C_{tr} values than BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿBu regardless of alkyl chain length in methacrylate monomers. These results are in good accordance with the $\sigma_{\text{perm}}/\sigma_0$ values of stress-relaxation experiments. Therefore, it became clear that BIBSCPS-S3-diacrylate was more efficiently reacted without chain-transfer reaction *via* radical polymerization to produce cross-linked poly(methacrylate) compared to the BITEMPS-S3 moiety.

Then, cyclic tensile tests were carried out with various maximum strain in order to investigate the differences in energy dissipation caused by DCBs. As shown in Fig. 4, hysteresis loops of both C-BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA and C-BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA are enhanced with increasing strain, which indicates that the efficient stress dissipation occurred in the BITEMPS-S3 and BIBSCPS-S3 moieties. In addition, the dependence of applied energy on residual strain was also compared, since there could be seen differences in the Young's modulus




Fig. 4 Cyclic stress–strain curves of (a) C-BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA and (b) C-BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA under different maximum strains ranged from 25% to 75% toward each fracture strain. (c) Relationship between applied energy and residual strain.



and fracture strain of C-BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA and C-BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA. As exhibited in Fig. 4c, it became apparent that C-BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA showed almost the same residual strain value of C-BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA, when the same elongation energies were applied. Although there could be seen differences in the $\sigma_{\text{perm}}/\sigma_0$ and C_{tr} values, the energy-dissipation behaviors of these cross-linked polymers slightly resemble each other, implying that the non-dynamic cross-linking point resulted from a chain-transfer reaction to the trisulfide linkage would little affect the energy-dissipating mechanism. Furthermore, this observation also indicates that the recombination rates of the cleaved trisulfide linkages in the BIBSCPS-S3 moiety would be almost equal to that in the BITEMPS-S3 ones, in spite of the large steric hindrance of spirocyclohexyl groups.

Thermal healing property

Finally, the self-healing properties of C-BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA and C-BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA were quantitatively evaluated using the tensile tests with dogbone-shaped specimens punched out from polymer films. After each dogbone-shaped specimen to be healed was cut into two pieces at the center with a blade, the cut surfaces were then in contact, tightly sandwiched between stainless plates by two paper clips, and healed at various temperatures for 24 h. Results of tensile tests are summarized in Table S7 (ESI[†]). As shown in Fig. 5, both C-BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA and C-BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA healed at 110 °C exhibit almost comparable mechanical properties to the pristine state. The healing efficiency of C-BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA decreases below 100 °C and C-BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA healed at 90 °C apparently fractured along with the cut line (Fig. 5b). Meanwhile, the healability of the mechanical properties for C-BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA healed even at 90 °C is 95% and 96% for the fracture strain and maximum. C-BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA healed at 90 °C fractured near the edge or cut line, which are different from the cut lines, and supports that the mechanical properties of the healed part are equivalent to the pristine state (Fig. 5c). However, healability of C-BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA drops sharply to 63% and 62% for the fracture strain and maximum, when healed at 80 °C. Considering almost the same T_g of C-BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA and C-BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA, the enhancement in healing efficiency of C-BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA would be

owing to relatively high thermal exchangeability in bulk as well as the non-dynamic cross-linking point resulted from its lower C_{tr} value for ⁿHexMA. In other words, the steric hindrance induced by the bulky spirocyclohexyl groups in the BIBSCPS-S3 moiety not only promoted thermal exchangeability but also suppressed chain-transfer reaction for trisulfide linkage during the polymerization, so that the pristine BIBSCPS-S3 moiety could be efficiently incorporated into the cross-linking point *via* radical polymerization.

Conclusions

In summary, we have designed and synthesized a highly sterically hindered dialkylamino trisulfide (BIBSCPS-S3). Structural analysis by single-crystal X-ray diffraction revealed that all spirocyclohexyl groups are away from the N-S bonds because of large steric repulsion between sulfur and cyclohexyl hydrogen atoms. Estimation of the chain-transfer constants indicated that these bulky spirocyclohexyl groups in BIBSCPS-S3 suppressed the chain-transfer reaction to the trisulfide linkage during radical polymerization. In bulk, stress-relaxation experiments revealed that the increase in steric hindrance could not only decrease the activation energy for thermal exchange reaction in bulk but also suppress chain-transfer reaction during radical polymerization to some extent. Therefore, cross-linking points containing a BIBSCPS-S3 moiety were efficiently incorporated into the polymer networks with ethyl, *n*-butyl, or *n*-hexyl methacrylate monomers. As a result, BIBSCPS-S3-cross-linked poly(*n*-hexyl methacrylate) realized nearly quantitative damage healability only by simple hot pressing at 90 °C under mild pressure for 24 h. Although BIBSCPS-S3 turned out to be one of the DCBs triggered upon exposure to heating at lower temperature than BITEMPS-S3, a detailed study on its disulfide analogue (BIBSCPS-S2) will be required to enhance the steric hindrance effect of spirocyclohexyl groups.

Author contributions

M. A., C. O., A. T., and H. O. designed the research plan. M. A. performed the molecular synthesis. M. A., M. Y., M. F., K. O., Y. I., and M. O. performed characterization. T. K. performed X-ray single crystal analysis. M. A. drafted the manuscript, and C. O., A. T., and H. O. critically reviewed and revised the final manuscript. All authors commented on the manuscript and agree with the results and conclusions.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by The Naito Science & Engineering Foundation. The authors appreciate the helpful




Fig. 5 (a) Healability of fracture strain and maximum stress of C-BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA and C-BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA after heating at different temperatures for 24 h. Stress-strain curves and fracture points of (b) C-BITEMPS-S3-ⁿHexMA and (c) C-BIBSCPS-S3-ⁿHexMA healed at 90 °C for 24 h.



discussion of Dr Kazunori Nakano, Nagoya Municipal Industrial Research Institute.

Notes and references

- H. Otsuka, *Polym. J.*, 2013, **45**, 879–891.
- P. Berto, A. Pointet, C. Le Coz, S. Grelier and F. Peruch, *Macromolecules*, 2018, **51**, 651–659.
- R. Snyder, D. J. Fortman, G. X. De Hoe, M. A. Hillmyer and W. R. Dichtel, *Macromolecules*, 2018, **51**, 389–397.
- J. A. Yoon, J. Kamada, K. Koynov, J. Mohin, R. Nicolaj, Y. Zhang, A. C. Balazs, T. Kowalewski and K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 142–149.
- X. Luo and P. T. Mather, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **6**, 2146.
- D. Y. Wu, S. Meure and D. Solomon, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2008, **33**, 479–522.
- C. Yuan, M. Z. Rong, M. Q. Zhang, Z. P. Zhang and Y. C. Yuan, *Chem. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 5076–5081.
- M. A. Bin Rusayyis and J. M. Torkelson, *Polym. Chem.*, 2021, **12**, 2760–2771.
- A. Takahashi, R. Goseki and H. Otsuka, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 2016–2021.
- H. Yokochi, R. Takashima, D. Aoki and H. Otsuka, *Polym. Chem.*, 2020, **11**, 3557–3563.
- A. Tsuruoka, A. Takahashi, D. Aoki and H. Otsuka, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 4294–4298.
- N. Tsurumi, R. Takashima, D. Aoki, S. Kuwata and H. Otsuka, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 4269–4273.
- A. Takahashi, R. Goseki, K. Ito and H. Otsuka, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2017, **6**, 1280–1284.
- R. Takashima, D. Aoki and H. Otsuka, *Macromolecules*, 2020, **53**, 4670–4677.
- S. Kataoka, A. Tsuruoka, D. Aoki and H. Otsuka, *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.*, 2021, **3**, 888–895.
- J. Kida, D. Aoki and H. Otsuka, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2021, **10**, 558–563.
- M. Aiba, T. Koizumi, M. Futamura, K. Okamoto, M. Yamanaka, Y. Ishigaki, M. Oda, C. Ooka, A. Tsuruoka, A. Takahashi and H. Otsuka, *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.*, 2020, **2**, 4054–4061.
- Y. Jing, A. Mardiyukov, K. Bergander, C. G. Daniliuc and A. Studer, *Macromolecules*, 2014, **47**, 3595–3602.
- Y. Miura, A. Ichikawa and I. Taniguchi, *Polymer*, 2003, **44**, 5187–5194.
- Y. Miura, N. Nakamura and I. Taniguchi, *Macromolecules*, 2001, **34**, 447–455.
- I. A. Kirilyuk, Y. F. Polienko, O. A. Krumkacheva, R. K. Strizhakov, Y. V. Gatilov and I. A. Grigor'ev, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 8016–8027.
- T. Yamasaki, F. Mito, Y. Ito, S. Pandian, Y. Kinoshita, K. Nakano, R. Murugesan, K. Sakai, H. Utsumi and K. I. Yamada, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 435–440.
- S. Morisako, R. Shang and Y. Yamamoto, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **55**, 10767–10773.
- K. Sakai, K. Yamada, T. Yamasaki, Y. Kinoshita, F. Mito and H. Utsumi, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, **66**, 2311–2315.
- G. Stevanato, G. Casano, D. J. Kubicki, Y. Rao, L. E. Hofer, G. Menzildjian, H. Karoui, D. Siri, M. Cordova, M. Yulikov, G. Jeschke, M. Lelli, A. Lesage, O. Ouari and L. Emsley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 16587–16599.
- Z. Ma, Q. Huang and J. M. Bobbitt, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1993, **58**, 4837–4843.
- S. Shetranjiwalla, S. Li, L. Bouzidi and S. S. Narine, *J. Renewable Mater.*, 2017, **5**, 333–344.
- K. S. Fancey, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2005, **40**, 4827–4831.
- W. C. Danen and D. D. Newkirk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1976, **516**, 516–520.
- M. M. Haugland, J. E. Lovett and E. A. Anderson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 668–680.
- D. M. Haddleton, D. R. Maloney, K. G. Suddaby, A. V. G. Muir and S. N. Richards, *Macromol. Symp.*, 1996, **111**, 37–46.

