



Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2021, 2, 7456

## Synthesis of push–pull triarylamine dyes containing 5,6-difluoro-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole units by direct arylation and their evaluation as active material for organic photovoltaics†

Tatiana Ghanem,<sup>a</sup> Tony Vincendeau,<sup>a</sup> Pablo Simón Marqués,<sup>a</sup> Amir Hossein Habibi,<sup>a</sup> Sana Abidi,<sup>ab</sup> Ali Yassin,<sup>a</sup> Sylvie Dabos-Seignon,<sup>a</sup> Jean Roncali,<sup>c</sup> Philippe Blanchard <sup>a</sup> and Clément Cabanetos <sup>a</sup>  \*<sup>ad</sup>

Received 2nd September 2021,  
Accepted 27th September 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00798j

[rsc.li/materials-advances](http://rsc.li/materials-advances)

Two push–pull molecules involving a triarylamine donor unit connected to a dicyanovinyl acceptor moiety by a difluorobenzothiadiazole-thienyl block have been synthesized. In order to simplify the synthesis, avoid intermediate halogenation reactions and the formation of toxic organometallic by-products, building blocks were connected by direct (hetero)-arylation. The optimization of the experimental conditions of the coupling reactions is thus reported herein as well as the preliminary evaluation of the potential of the target compounds as active material in simple air-processed organic solar cells.

## 1. Introduction

During the past two decades, the synthesis of active materials for organic solar cells (OSCs) has generated a considerable research effort.<sup>1–3</sup> The development of specifically designed conjugated polymers combined with the most recent non-fullerene acceptors has progressively led to OSCs with power conversion efficiencies (PCE) approaching 20%, *i.e.*, close to those of silicon solar cells.<sup>4</sup> Besides stability, the synthetic complexity, cost and scalability of active materials still remains a major problem for chemists involved in the development of active OPV materials.<sup>1,5</sup> The introduction of molecules with unequivocal chemical structure as a possible alternative to polydisperse conjugated polymers around 2005 has represented a first attempt to address the problems of the cost and scalability of donor materials for OSCs.<sup>6–8</sup> However, with a few exceptions, the synthesis of most of these molecular donors (MDs) resorts to transition-metal-catalyzed C–C cross-coupling reactions.<sup>9–11</sup> This strategy implies the halogenation and functionalization of complementary building blocks by organometallic functional groups. In recent

years the direct arylation of C–H activated aromatic or heteroaromatic moieties has emerged, as an appealing alternative to conventional organometallic coupling reactions.<sup>3,11,12</sup> Although high conversion yields can be reached by means of careful optimizations of the reaction conditions, this method has been proven to be perfectly geared for the preparation of polymer and molecular donor materials.<sup>13–15</sup> For instance, the synthesis of the triarylamine based push–pull **TPA-T-DCV**, (Fig. 1) flagship of our group, was recently simplified by the use of the C–H activation of the commercially available 2-thiophenecarboxaldehyde.<sup>16</sup> Obtained at gram-scale in only two steps from cheap commercially available starting materials, **TPA-T-DCV** presents interesting potentialities as donor material in planar and co-evaporated bulk heterojunction OSCs.<sup>15–17</sup> These promising first results paved the way to a myriad of structural variations on both the donor (D) and acceptor (A) constitutive blocks with the double objective of a better structure–properties rationalization and optimization of the photovoltaic performances.<sup>8,14–21</sup> As shown by Wong and coworkers, the insertion of electron deficient (hetero)aromatic moiety such as benzothiadiazole (BzT) between the arylamine (D) and the dicyanovinyl group (A) represents a particularly interesting approach to finetune the ground- and excited-state characteristics of the D–A system.<sup>22</sup> However, the use of the BzT building block generally requires harsh electrophilic halogenation conditions leading to moderate yields, mixtures of products and/or unstable intermediates.<sup>23</sup>

In this context, direct (hetero)-arylation appears to be a method of choice to overcome these synthetic problems.<sup>24,25</sup> However, while Marder and co-workers have already reported the synthesis of symmetrical and unsymmetrical diaryl flanked

<sup>a</sup> Univ Angers, CNRS, MOLTECH-ANJOU, SFR MATRIX, F-49000 Angers, France.  
E-mail: [clement.cabanetos@cnrs.fr](mailto:clement.cabanetos@cnrs.fr)

<sup>b</sup> École Nationale Supérieure d'Ingénieurs de Tunis (ENSIET), 13 Ave Taha Hussein, Tunis 1008, Tunisia

<sup>c</sup> Babes-Bolyai University, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Department of Chemistry and SOOMCC, Cluj-Napoca, 11 Arany Janos Str., 400028, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

<sup>d</sup> Building Blocks for FUTURE Electronics Laboratory (2BFUEL), IRL2002, CNRS-Yonsei University, Seoul, South Korea

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [10.1039/d1ma00798j](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ma00798j)






Fig. 1 Structure of the early reported **TPA-T-DCV** and the two arylamine based push–pull studied herein, namely **MD-OMe** and **MD-Ph**.

5,6-difluoro-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole (**BT2F**) derivatives using this methodology, the latter were not embedded in devices.<sup>19,26</sup> Moreover, considering the very limited examples of reported **BT2F** based molecular systems used as active materials for organic photovoltaics, we report herein the synthesis, characterization and evaluation of the two molecular push–pull derivatives illustrated in Fig. 1. Hence, two different triphenylamine blocks were used to assess their impact on the electronic and charge transport properties of the molecules and therefore their photovoltaic efficiencies. While the methoxy groups of **MD-OMe** are expected to increase the donor strength of the triphenylamine block, the two biphenyl side groups of **MD-Ph** were recently found to significantly increase the hole-mobility of some arylamine based D–A materials.<sup>27</sup>


## 2. Results and discussion

Both push–pull molecules were initially prepared according to the synthetic route depicted in Scheme 1.

Coupling conditions to afford arylamine functionalized unsymmetrical 5,6-difluoro-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole derivatives **2** and **3**, were first carried out and optimized with the 4-bromo-*N,N*-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)aniline. As shown in Table 1, the first attempt was performed in presence of palladium(II) acetate and tri-*tert*-butylphosphonium tetrafluoroborate ( $P^tBu_3\cdot HBF_4$ ) under conventional oil bath heating (entry 1).

Despite operating in relatively diluted conditions, only moderate yields were obtained mainly because of the major formation of

the symmetrical arylamine end capped derivative (**2a**). The reduction of the number of equivalents of bromo derivative by a factor of two results in a slight improvement of the conversion yield (entry 2). In parallel, the replacement of the conventional oil bath by microwave irradiation (entry 3) leads to a further slight improvement of the yield but considerably shortens the reaction time. One hour of irradiation appears as a good compromise between the consumption of the starting material and the formation of the symmetrical by-product (**2a**). Afterwards, the initial catalytic coupled was modified by replacing the tri-*tert*-butylphosphonium tetrafluoroborate either by the tri(*o*-tolyl)phosphine (entry 4) or the tricyclohexylphosphine tetrafluoroborate (entry 5). While similar conversion rates were monitored with the neutral ligand (entry 4), a descent synthetic yield reaching almost 80% was achieved with the bulkier charged analogue (entry 5). Applied to the biphenyl derivative, these conditions led to the target unsymmetrical 5,6-difluoro-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole based analogue **3** in similar good yields (78%). Each monoarylated products were then subsequently engaged in another cross-coupling reaction with the 2-bromo-5-(2,2-dicyanovinyl)thiophene.<sup>28</sup> Even if the unsymmetrical functionalization of the **BT2F** core by direct arylation was successfully operated with electron rich moieties, low yields were achieved mainly due to the mild reactivity of the halogenated thiophene that appeared to be deactivated by the dicyanovinyl group (Scheme 1). Consequently, and as compromise between reactivity and extra synthetic steps, carbaldehyde functionalized unsymmetrical 5,6-difluoro-2,1,3 benzothiadiazole derivatives **4**




Scheme 1 Synthetic route to **MD-OMe** and **MD-Ph**.



Table 1 Reactions conditions used for the coupling of 4-bromo-*N,N*-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)aniline and **BT2F**

Entry	OMe-Br (eq)	Catalyst	Ligand	Heat source, temp./time	2 (% yield)	
					2	2a
1	1.0	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	PBu <sub>3</sub> -HBF <sub>4</sub>	Oil bath 120 °C; 16h	20	
2	0.5	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	PBu <sub>3</sub> -HBF <sub>4</sub>	Oil bath (120 °C; 16h)	33	
3	0.5	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	PBu <sub>3</sub> -HBF <sub>4</sub>	μ-wave (150 °C; 1 h)	36	
4	0.5	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	P(o-MePh) <sub>3</sub>	μ-wave (150 °C; 1 h)	40	
5	0.5	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	PCy <sub>3</sub> -HBF <sub>4</sub>	μ-wave (150 °C; 1 h)	76	

Scheme 2 Second synthetic route considered to **MD-OMe** and **MD-Ph** through a Knoevenagel reaction.

and 5 were prepared by coupling 2 and 3 with the 5-bromothiophene-2-carbaldehyde respectively (Scheme 2).

Though still modest, synthetic yields achieved were nonetheless significantly improved and are in consistency with early reported results.<sup>26</sup> As a further step toward simplification, attempts to prepare these carbaldehyde derivatives by a one-pot like method were thus carried out. To do so, **BT2F** was first reacted with bromo arylamine before adding the 5-bromothiophene-2-carbaldehyde to the reaction mixture. After a second round of microwave irradiation, carbaldehyde 4 and 5 were successfully isolated with synthetic yields similar to those achieved with the above described two-step procedure. Finally, the later compounds were engaged in a Knoevenagel reaction with malononitrile to afford the corresponding final push-pull molecules. Despite full conversion confirmed in both cases by TLC, the biphenyl derivative was isolated in lower yield due to a limited solubility at room temperature.

Identity and purity of the final compound was confirmed by NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry (see ESI†). While thermal gravimetric analyses (TGAs) confirmed that both compounds can meet requirements of device fabrication, **MD-OMe** exhibits a significantly improved thermal stability compared to π-extended **MD-Ph** with a 5% weight loss recorded at 354 °C vs. 248 °C respectively (see ESI†). Fig. 2 shows the UV-vis absorption spectra of the two compounds in dichloromethane (DCM) and as thin film on glass.

The spectrum of both compounds shows three successive absorption bands with maxima at 332, 413 and 513 nm for the biphenyl compound **MD-Ph** in solution. Replacement of the biphenyl by a methoxy group produces, as expected, a small bathochromic shift of the maxima at longer wavelength (*ca* 14 nm) reflecting the higher electron donor effect of the methoxy group compared to a phenyl ring. This behavior results in a reduction of the optical bandgap estimated from the low-energy absorption onset of thin films from 1.86 to 1.68 eV. The comparison between the spectra of the two compounds shows that the introduction of the methoxy groups on the TPA block also increases the molar absorptivity of the visible absorption bands by almost a factor of two (Table 2).

While the UV-vis absorption spectrum of **TPA-T-DCV** and many of its parent systems usually exhibits a single transition in the visible region, attributed to an internal charge transfer (ICT) from the TPA moiety to the DCV group, the spectra of **MD-OMe** and **MD-Ph** shows two distinct intense absorption bands in the 400–600 nm region. In order to get further insights on this question, ground-state density-functional theory (DFT) geometry optimizations and density-functional theory (TD-DFT) calculations were carried out using B3LYP/6-311G\* basis sets (see ESI†).

As expected, these experiments first confirmed the ICT nature of the lowest energy contribution assigned to a HOMO → LUMO transition. Calculations indeed showed that the electronic






Fig. 2 UV-Vis absorption spectra of **MD-OMe** (blue) and **MD-Ph** (red) in DCM (left) and as thin films on glass (right).

Table 2 Optical Data gathered from  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  solutions and thin films spun casted on glass sheets

Molecule	$\lambda_{\text{abs}}^{\text{max}}$ solution (nm)	$\varepsilon (\text{M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$	$\lambda_{\text{abs}}^{\text{max}}$ thin film (nm)	$E_g^{\text{opt}} (\text{eV})^a$	HOMO (eV) <sup>b</sup>	LUMO (eV) <sup>c</sup>
<b>MD-OMe</b>	315	51 800	325	1.68	−5.43	−3.75
	412	44 100	421			
	527	41 100	571			
<b>MD-Ph</b>	332	42 900	343	1.86	−5.64	−3.78
	413	21 900	423			
	513	20 200	552			

<sup>a</sup> Estimated from the low-energy absorption onset of thin films. <sup>b</sup> Determined by photoelectron spectroscopy in air (PESA). <sup>c</sup> LUMO = HOMO −  $E_g^{\text{opt}}$ .

density of the HOMO levels is mainly localized on the arylamine moiety while the LUMOs are on the benzothiadiazole/DCV blocks (Fig. 3).

The absorption bands at *ca* 400 nm, were also found to have a charge-transfer character since they predominantly arise from a HOMO−1 → LUMO transition (see ESI†). Moreover, the band gap reduction induced by the electron-donating methoxy groups (**MD-OMe**) compared to simple phenyl rings was found to originate from the significant destabilization of the HOMO level exceeding by far that of the LUMO ( $\Delta_{\text{HOMO}} = 0.19 \text{ eV}$  vs.  $\Delta_{\text{LUMO}} = 0.10 \text{ eV}$ ).

Hence, photoelectron spectroscopy in air (PESA) measurements were subsequently performed and HOMO levels of −5.43 eV and −5.64 eV were calculated for **MD-OMe** and **MD-Ph** respectively. Once cross-tabulated with UV-visible experiments, the corresponding LUMO levels were simply calculated by adding the optical band gap (film spectra) to the HOMO values thus confirming, as depicted in the Fig. 4, the computed predictions.

Designed as potential donor molecular systems for organic solar cells, such difference in HOMO level might have a significant impact on the photovoltaic parameters, in particular




Fig. 3 Optimized geometries and computed energy levels of **MD-Ph** (left) and **MD-OMe** (right).




Fig. 4 Energy level diagram estimated in solid state from PESA and UV-visible experiments.

on the open circuit voltage ( $V_{oc}$ ). Consequently, simple air-processed bulk heterojunction (BHJ) solar cells using [6,6]-phenyl-C<sub>71</sub>-butyric acid methyl ester (PC<sub>71</sub>BM) as electron acceptor material were fabricated. Active layers with different donor: acceptor (D/A) weight to weight (w/w) ratios were processed in air from chloroform solutions, and embedded in devices of architectures ITO/PEDOT:PSS/active layer/Ca/Al. The photovoltaic data measured under AM 1.5 simulated solar light illumination (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) are gathered in Table 3.

The best power conversion efficiencies, of 1.35% and 2.09%, were reached with a 1:2 and 1:3 D/A ratio for **MD-OMe** and

**MD-Ph** based devices, respectively. Comparison of their corresponding current density to voltage ( $J-V$ ) curves revealed that the better efficiencies recorded in **MD-Ph** based OSCs mainly arise from their higher short circuit current ( $J_{sc}$ ) and open circuit voltage ( $V_{oc}$ ) values (Fig. 5).

While the latter parameter ( $V_{oc}$ ) can be correlated to the deeper HOMO level of the biphenyl derivative, **MD-OMe** was nonetheless characterized by better absorbing properties in the visible range which was expected to lead to higher  $J_{sc}$ . Interestingly and in agreement with the recorded  $J_{sc}$  values, external quantum efficiency measurements performed on the best devices also highlighted an improved contribution of the biphenyl derivative to the photocurrent compared to its methoxy counterpart (Fig. 5). Hence, in an attempt to rationalize these results, morphologies of the active layers were first probed by atomic force microscopy (AFM). As illustrated in Fig. 6, smooth surface topographies with similar roughness (RMS) parameters of *ca* 0.6 nm were observed in both cases (Fig. 6).

Nonetheless, **MD-Ph/PC<sub>71</sub>BM** blend exhibits nano domains of smaller size than those observed with the methoxy derivative which can be the harbinger of a better biphenyl induced self-organization of the donor molecule which can, in turn, be beneficial for the charge transport properties and therefore the  $J_{sc}$  parameter.

Consequently, both optimized active layers were subsequently embedded in hole-only devices of architecture ITO/PEDOT:PSS/donor/Au. Through the use of the space charge limited current (SCLCs) method, hole mobilities of *ca*  $7.3 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $8.3 \times 10^{-4}$  cm<sup>2</sup> V<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> were measured for **MD-OMe** and **MD-Ph** respectively (see ESI<sup>†</sup>). Consequently, this order-of-magnitude

Table 3 Photovoltaic data measured on solar cells with 28 mm<sup>2</sup> active area under AM 1.5 simulated solar light illumination at 100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>. Averaged values gathered from 7 devices, maximum values in brackets

Molecular Donor	D:A ratio (w/w)	$V_{oc}$ (V)	$J_{sc}$ (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	FF	PCE (%)
<b>MD-OMe</b>	1:1	0.70 ± 0.01 (0.71)	-3.15 ± 0.04 (-3.18)	0.33 ± 0.02 (0.33)	0.70 ± 0.04 (0.74)
<b>MD-OMe</b>	1:2	0.84 ± 0.01 (0.85)	-4.53 ± 0.09 (-4.55)	0.33 ± 0.01 (0.35)	1.28 ± 0.05 (1.35)
<b>MD-OMe</b>	1:3	0.76 ± 0.02 (0.77)	-4.72 ± 0.04 (-4.73)	0.32 ± 0.02 (0.33)	1.14 ± 0.06 (1.20)
<b>MD-Ph</b>	1:1	1.00 ± 0.01 (1.01)	-4.50 ± 0.08 (-4.56)	0.31 ± 0.02 (0.33)	1.41 ± 0.08 (1.52)
<b>MD-Ph</b>	1:2	1.00 ± 0.01 (1.01)	-5.43 ± 0.07 (-5.47)	0.33 ± 0.01 (0.34)	1.81 ± 0.07 (1.88)
<b>MD-Ph</b>	1:3	0.96 ± 0.02 (0.96)	-6.34 ± 0.09 (-6.43)	0.32 ± 0.02 (0.34)	1.95 ± 0.14 (2.09)




Fig. 5 Current density–voltage characteristics and EQE spectra of the best **MD-OMe** (blue) and **MD-Ph** (red) based organic solar cells.






Fig. 6 AFM phase images ( $20 \times 20$  and  $5 \times 5 \mu\text{m}$ ) of optimized **MD-OMe** and **MD-Ph** based active layers.

difference might compensate the lower absorbing properties of the active layer and contribute to the higher  $J_{\text{sc}}$  value delivered by the **MD-Ph** based devices.

### 3. Conclusion

In summary, two new triphenylamine based push-pull molecules containing 5,6-difluoro-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole units have been synthesized. In order to simplify the synthesis procedure and limit the formation of potentially toxic by-products, two major steps of the synthesis were performed using optimized direct (hetero)-arylation conditions. As a step towards simplification, the synthetic route was further shortened by the use of a “one-pot” procedure without modification of the catalytic system nor purification of intermediates. The analysis of the electronic properties of the two compounds shows, in agreement with theoretical results, that the methoxy derivative presents a red-shifted absorption, a higher molecular absorption coefficient and leads to a material of smaller band gap. The evaluation of the photovoltaic properties of the two compounds as donor material in BHJ cells shows that in spite of less favorable optical properties, the biphenyl derivative leads to better performances due to the conjunction of a higher open-circuit voltage and better charge transport properties. Evaluated in simple air processed devices, these preliminary results pave the way to further optimization and device engineering to reveal the true potential of these accessible and greener materials.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Acknowledgements

The French ministry of Research is acknowledged for the PhD grant of T. G. (project MOSA). P. S. M. thanks the European

Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under Marie Skłodowska Curie Grant agreement No. 722651 (SEPOMO). A. H. A and S. A. thank the University of Ispham and the University of Tunis for their grants, respectively.

### References

- 1 M. Riede, D. Spoltore and K. Leo, Organic Solar Cells—The Path to Commercial Success, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **11**(1), 2002653, DOI: 10.1002/aenm.202002653.
- 2 L. Duan and A. Uddin, Progress in Stability of Organic Solar Cells, *Adv. Sci.*, 2020, **7**(11), 1903259, DOI: 10.1002/advs.201903259.
- 3 L. Hong, H. Yao, Y. Cui, Z. Ge and J. Hou, Recent Advances in High-Efficiency Organic Solar Cells Fabricated by Eco-Compatible Solvents at Relatively Large-Area Scale, *APL Mater.*, 2020, **8**(12), 120901, DOI: 10.1063/5.0027948.
- 4 Y. Lin, A. Magomedov, Y. Firdaus, D. Kaltsas, A. El-Labban, H. Faber, D. R. Naphade, E. Yengel, X. Zheng, E. Yarali, N. Chaturvedi, K. Loganathan, D. Gkeka, S. H. AlShammari, O. M. Bakr, F. Laquai, L. Tsetseris, V. Getautis and T. D. Anthopoulos, 18.4% Organic Solar Cells Using a High Ionization Energy Self-Assembled Monolayer as Hole-Extraction Interlayer, *ChemSusChem*, 2021, **14**, 3569–3578, DOI: 10.1002/cssc.202100707.
- 5 R. Po and J. Roncali, Beyond Efficiency: Scalability of Molecular Donor Materials for Organic Photovoltaics, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**(17), 3677–3685, DOI: 10.1039/C5TC03740A.
- 6 J. Roncali, P. Leriche and P. Blanchard, Molecular Materials for Organic Photovoltaics: Small Is Beautiful, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**(23), 3821–3838, DOI: 10.1002/adma.201305999.
- 7 A. Venkateswararao and K.-T. Wong, Small Molecules for Vacuum-Processed Organic Photovoltaics: Past, Current Status, and Prospect, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2021, **94**(3), 812–838, DOI: 10.1246/bcsj.20200330.
- 8 C. Cabanetos, P. Blanchard and J. Roncali, Arylamine Based Photoactive Push-Pull Molecular Systems: A Brief Overview



of the Chemistry "Made in Angers, *Chem. Rec.*, 2019, **19**(6), 1123–1130, DOI: 10.1002/tcr.201800184.

9 J. Kudrjasova, J. Kesters, P. Verstappen, J. Brebels, T. Vangerven, I. Cardinaletti, J. Drijkoningen, H. Penxten, J. Manca, L. Lutsen, D. Vanderzande and W. Maes, A Direct Arylation Approach towards Efficient Small Molecule Organic Solar Cells, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**(3), 791–795, DOI: 10.1039/C5TA09023G.

10 K. Okamoto, J. Zhang, J. B. Housekeeper, S. R. Marder and C. K. Luscombe, C–H Arylation Reaction: Atom Efficient and Greener Syntheses of  $\pi$ -Conjugated Small Molecules and Macromolecules for Organic Electronic Materials, *Macromolecules*, 2013, **46**(20), 8059–8078, DOI: 10.1021/ma401190r.

11 J. He, Y. J. Jo, X. Sun, W. Qiao, J. Ok, T. Kim and Z. Li, Squaraine Dyes for Photovoltaic and Biomedical Applications, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**(12), 2008201, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.202008201.

12 T. Bura, J. T. Blaskovits and M. Leclerc, Direct (Hetero)-Arylation Polymerization: Trends and Perspectives, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**(32), 10056–10071, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b06237.

13 M. Mainville and M. Leclerc, Direct (Hetero)Arylation: A Tool for Low-Cost and Eco-Friendly Organic Photovoltaics, *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.*, 2021, **3**(1), 2–13, DOI: 10.1021/acsapm.0c00883.

14 P. Josse, S. Dayneko, Y. Zhang, S. Dabos-Seignon, S. Zhang, P. Blanchard, G. C. Welch and C. Cabanetos, Direct (Hetero)Arylation Polymerization of a Spirobifluorene and a Dithienyl-Diketopyrrolopyrrole Derivative: New Donor Polymers for Organic Solar Cells, *Molecules*, 2018, **23**(4), 962, DOI: 10.3390/molecules23040962.

15 Z. Ni, H. Wang, H. Dong, Y. Dang, Q. Zhao, X. Zhang and W. Hu, Mesopolymer Synthesis by Ligand-Modulated Direct Arylation Polycondensation towards n-Type and Ambipolar Conjugated Systems, *Nat. Chem.*, 2019, **11**(3), 271–277, DOI: 10.1038/s41557-018-0200-y.

16 J. Grolleau, F. Gohier, M. Allain, S. Legoupy, C. Cabanetos and P. Frère, Rapid and Green Synthesis of Complementary D–A Small Molecules for Organic Photovoltaics, *Org. Electron.*, 2017, **42**, 322–328, DOI: 10.1016/j.orgel.2016.12.046.

17 A. Leliègue, C.-H. L. Régent, M. Allain, P. Blanchard and J. Roncali, Structural Modulation of Internal Charge Transfer in Small Molecular Donors for Organic Solar Cells, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**(71), 8907–8909, DOI: 10.1039/C2CC33921H.

18 A. Labrunie, Y. Jiang, F. Baert, A. Leliègue, J. Roncali, C. Cabanetos and P. Blanchard, Small Molecular Push–Pull Donors for Organic Photovoltaics: Effect of the Heterocyclic  $\pi$ -Spacer, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**(124), 102550–102554, DOI: 10.1039/C5RA21958B.

19 J. W. Choi, C.-H. Kim, J. Pison, A. Oyedele, D. Tondelier, A. Leliègue, E. Kirchner, P. Blanchard, J. Roncali and B. Geffroy, Exploiting the Potential of 2-((5-(4-(Diphenylamino)Phenyl)-Thiophen-2-Yl)Methylene)Malononitrile as an Efficient Donor Molecule in Vacuum-Processed Bulk-Heterojunction Organic Solar Cells, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**(10), 5236–5242, DOI: 10.1039/C3RA47059H.

20 Y. Jiang, C. Cabanetos, M. Allain, P. Liu and J. Roncali, Manipulation of the Band Gap and Efficiency of a Minimalist Push–Pull Molecular Donor for Organic Solar Cells, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**(20), 5145–5151, DOI: 10.1039/C5TC00913H.

21 A. Labrunie, P. Josse, S. Dabos-Seignon, P. Blanchard and C. Cabanetos, Pentaerythritol Based Push–Pull Tetramers for Organic Photovoltaics, *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 2017, **1**(9), 1921–1927, DOI: 10.1039/C7SE00345E.

22 L.-Y. Lin, Y.-H. Chen, Z.-Y. Huang, H.-W. Lin, S.-H. Chou, F. Lin, C.-W. Chen, Y.-H. Liu and K.-T. Wong, A Low-Energy-Gap Organic Dye for High-Performance Small-Molecule Organic Solar Cells, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**(40), 15822–15825, DOI: 10.1021/ja205126t.

23 J. Zhang, T. C. Parker, W. Chen, L. Williams, V. N. Khrustalev, E. V. Jucov, S. Barlow, T. V. Timofeeva and S. R. Marder, C–H-Activated Direct Arylation of Strong Benzothiadiazole and Quinoxaline-Based Electron Acceptors, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**(2), 360–370, DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02551.

24 Y. Jiang, C. Cabanetos, M. Allain, S. Jungsuttiwong and J. Roncali, Manipulation of the Electronic and Photovoltaic Properties of Materials Based on Small Push–Pull Molecules by Substitution of the Arylamine Donor Block by Aliphatic Groups, *Org. Electron.*, 2016, **37**, 294–304, DOI: 10.1016/j.orgel.2016.06.035.

25 S. Yu, F. Liu, J. Yu, S. Zhang, C. Cabanetos, Y. Gao and W. Huang, Eco-Friendly Direct (Hetero)-Arylation Polymerization: Scope and Limitation, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**(1), 29–40, DOI: 10.1039/C6TC04240F.

26 J. Zhang, W. Chen, A. J. Rojas, E. V. Jucov, T. V. Timofeeva, T. C. Parker, S. Barlow and S. R. Marder, Controllable Direct Arylation: Fast Route to Symmetrical and Unsymmetrical 4,7-Diaryl-5,6-Difluoro-2,1,3-Benzothiadiazole Derivatives for Organic Optoelectronic Materials, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**(44), 16376–16379, DOI: 10.1021/ja4095878.

27 P. S. Marqués, J. M. Andrés Castán, P. Josse, M. Blais, A. H. Habibi, I. Ramirez, K. Walzer, J. Roncali, P. Blanchard and C. Cabanetos, Effect of 4-Biphenyl Groups on the Charge Transport and Photovoltaic Properties of Arylamine Based Push–Pull Systems, *New J. Chem.*, 2020, **44**(27), 11441–11447, DOI: 10.1039/D0NJ02019B.

28 R. Fitzner, E. Reinold, A. Mishra, E. Mena-Osteritz, H. Ziehlke, C. Körner, K. Leo, M. Riede, M. Weil, O. Tsaryova, A. Weiß, C. Uhrich, M. Pfeiffer and P. Bäuerle, Dicyanovinyl-Substituted Oligothiophenes: Structure-Property Relationships and Application in Vacuum-Processed Small Molecule Organic Solar Cells, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**(5), 897–910, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201001639.

