Impact of subtle change in branched amino acid on the assembly and properties of perylene bisimides hydrogels†
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We investigate how apparent slight changes to the chemical structure of amino acid-functionalised perylene bisimides (PBIs) affect the self-assembled aggregates formed and their resulting physical and optical properties. PBIs functionalised with L-valine (PBI-V), L-leucine (PBI-L) and L-isoleucine (PBI-I) are investigated due to their similarly branched structure and their assemblies in water were studied using UV-vis absorption spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry (CV), small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and viscosity at different pHs. It was seen that each PBI behaved differently. Each of the PBIs were then used to prepare hydrogels, and their properties again assessed, with PBI-I forming different hydrogels than the other PBIs. By understanding how slight changes in chemical structure can affect bulk properties we become a step closer to designing gels with specific physical and electrical properties.

Introduction

There has been an increased interest in the use of organic semiconductors (OSCs) as precious metal supplies deplete. OSCs can be used in organic field transistors (OFETs), organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs) or organic photovoltaics (OPVs). There are many examples of OSCs, including single crystals,1–3 polymers,4–7 single molecules,8–10 and low molecular weight gelators (LMWGs).11,12 Of those listed, LMWGs stand out as a useful advantage of being soluble in water, form 1D structures at high pH, and can gel at low pH.36 We have previously shown that amino-PBIs can be made using a -system allows to stack into two types of aggregates, generally H-type and J-type.21,22 They have also shown n-type semiconductor behavior and have good photoconductivity, due to their high light absorptivity and ability to readily form a radical anion making them interesting materials for OPVs.23–25 Properties of the PBIs such as solubility,26 electrical,27,28 and colour,29 can be modified through chemical structure changes, whilst self-assembly of PBIs can be modified using environmental stimulus such as solvent,30 concentration31 or temperature.17 The structures of PBIs can be modified at three main positions, the bay, ortho and imide position.32–34 Of the three positions, the imide position is easiest to modify through a common one pot synthesis, without the need for organic solvents or catalysts.

PBIs that have been functionalised at the imide position with amino acids have been used as LMWGs.35–38 They have the advantage of being soluble in water, form 1D structures at high pH, and can gel at low pH.36 We have previously shown that uniform hydrogels using amino-PBIs can be made using a glucono-δ-lactone (GdL) acid trigger.35 These hydrogels were then irradiated to form a highly stable conductive radical anion whilst still retaining their structure as hydrogels.36 Furthermore, we showed that by changing the amino acid used for functionalisation we could vary the amount of radical produced. We deduced this was due to different assemblies being formed rather than the electronic properties of the molecules themselves. Understanding these changes in self-assembly and the changes in properties is the key to design better OSCs and functional organic materials in general.

The impact of changes in molecular structure to the self-assembly properties of PBIs has been investigated.32,39 The self-assembly of a
PBI functionalized with (D/L) phenylalanine isomers was investigated and found that they self-assembled into supramolecular helices via intermolecular hydrogen bonding rather than π–π stacking.40 The different chirality also led to the assembly of clockwise or anticlockwise helices depending on the isomers used. Others have seen two PBI derivatives modified with different side chains at the imide nitrogen has a major impact on aggregates formed.41 While one structure adopted both H and J aggregations, the other only adopted H aggregation. These two PBIs also saw an increase in conductivity for nanobelts formed in methanol compared to nanoleaves formed in hexane. By studying the factors that can impact the self-assembly we can use these to tune and understand the properties for better functional material design.

Herein we are investigating how seemingly small changes to the molecular structure of amino acid functionalised PBIs impacts the self-assembly and bulk properties. Specifically, we are looking at three very chemically and structurally similar imide functionalised perylene bisimides. We have used three branched amino acids, L-valine, L-leucine and L-isoleucine, which we call PBI-V, PBI-L, and PBI-I, respectively (Fig. 1). We look at the differences in the self-assembly process and resulting properties of aqueous solutions and gels at various pHs and concentrations using UV-vis absorption spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry (CV), small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS), rheology and 1H-NMR.

Results and discussion

All PBIs were synthesized using a previously published method. We have previously reported PBI-L and PBI-V as have other groups.44–49 PBI-I has not been synthesised in our group and all characterisation data is in the ESI† Section 3.1, (Fig. S1–S4).

Each of the PBIs were prepared in water at a concentration of 10 mg mL⁻¹ with 1 equivalent of 0.1 M NaOH. The assembly of the PBIs in solution at different pHs and concentrations were then investigated using viscosity, UV-vis absorption spectroscopy, small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and cyclic voltammetry (CV). Hydrogels of each of the PBIs were then formed using a change in pH. Again, the assembly, optical, electrical, and mechanical properties were compared between each other.

Firstly, the aggregation in water at pH 7 was examined by UV-vis absorption spectroscopy, then the PBIs ability to form the radical anion with UV light. The absorption for before and after irradiation was measured for each solution. The normalised spectra are shown in Fig. 2. Each of the three PBIs have similar peak positions at 510 and 545 nm with a ratio of 0.85 for the S0–S1 peaks, summarised in Table S2 (ESI†), suggesting that they have similar H-aggregated molecular packing. PBI-V however, has a slightly more pronounced shoulder at 480 nm, suggesting a slight difference in packing. All three PBIs show the characteristic three peaks relating to the radical anion formation at 725, 815 and 980 nm. PBI-V forms the most amount of radical after 5 minutes of irradiation which agrees with data previously collected on these systems at 5 mg mL⁻¹.42

The UV-vis absorption spectra of the PBIs at different concentrations (Fig. S8–S16, ESI†) was collected to determine their molar absorptivity and displayed on the figures. PBI-V was shown to have the highest absorptivity, which agrees with it producing the most amount of radical anion when irradiated with UV light. Next, the absorption spectra of PBIs at different pHs were compared (Fig. S17–S19, ESI†). All these systems become more aggregated at lower pH due to a change in solubility.50 At pH 5, PBI-L and PBI-V begin to change their aggregation, whilst PBI-I changed aggregation at pH 6. This suggests that the PBIs have different pKₐs as they are starting to assemble differently or form different aggregates to each other.

As demonstrated from the data above, pH influences the self-assembly of the PBIs, most notably for PBI-I, so this was investigated further. The apparent pKₐ of each PBI was measured through a titration with a 0.1M HCl solution (Fig. S20 and S21, ESI†). Apparent pKₐ titrations showed PBI-I had an apparent pKₐ of 6.3 whilst PBI-L and PBI-V had apparent pKₐ of 6.0 and 5.9 respectively. The difference in the pKₐ values explains the differences in assembly at different pHs. It seems that PBI-I forms different assemblies to PBI-L and PBI-V despite the similarity in chemical structure due to it having a higher pKₐ. This has been seen with dipeptide gelators where the amino
acid sequence was reversed and led dramatically different behaviors in the two structures.51

To determine the differences in the resulting aggregates, the dynamic viscosity of the PBIs at different concentrations and different pHs were measured (Fig. S23–S28, ESI†). The viscosity for the PBIs at different concentrations all showed shear thinning, suggesting the presence of worm-like micelles, or fibrous type structures which we have previously reported.35,37,42,52,53 The viscosity of the PBI solutions at different pHs showed that as the pH is lowered the solutions become more viscous, which is expected as they begin to form longer, thicker fibers which can entangle. It was seen at pH 5 PBI-I formed the most viscous solution. Again, this indicates the formation of different aggregates, which could be different in morphology, length, or abundance than for PBI-V and PBI-L.

We next used SAXS to examine solutions at different pHs. Full fitting parameters for the PBIs are found in Section 2.4 of the ESI† (Fig. S29–S31). At pH 7 PBI-L fit a flexible elliptical cylinder combined with a sphere model indicating that there was a mixture of assembled structures present. Whilst PBI-I and PBI-V fit flexible cylinder and power law model. The SAXS data agrees with the absorption and viscosity data, that they all have fibrous structures present and form different types of aggregates to each other.

Scattering at different pHs were also measured to see the impact of pH on the structures. At higher pH, the scattering fit best to a spherical model combined with a power law. All three PBIs could be modelled using a sphere model, therefore it is expected that there are spherical micelles at this pH. PBI-V had the largest sphere radius of 3.83 nm compared to the other two PBIs, meaning it formed larger spheres at this pH. Generally, at lower pH, a flexible elliptical cylinder models combined with either a power law or a sphere model could fit all solutions, again confirming that the structures form fibre like structures upon assembly. No suitable model was found for PBI-L at pH 5 and pH 6, or PBI-I at pH 5, this could be attributed to a transition of structures around their pKₐ,s, making it difficult to fit to a specific model or combination of models.

To determine whether this difference in aggregation affected the reduction potentials of the assembled materials, the reduction potentials of the different PBIs in solution were collected using CV (Fig. S32–S34, ESI†). The reduction potentials for PBI-I and PBI-V are slightly more similar to each other than for PBI-L. The different chemical structure is not impacting the HOMO and LUMO here, but instead morphology is playing a role, especially in mobility of the structures leading to different reduction potentials. At pH 7 the peak positions for the reduction potentials are similar for all three PBIs, which is not surprising as each of the PBIs were quite structurally similar at this pH. The CVs of the PBIs at a range of pH from 5 to 9 were also measured (Fig. S35–S37, ESI†). The different reductions potentials at different pH are compiled into Table S11 (ESI†) in the SI. It was seen that as the pH changes there is an increase in electron affinity. This change in reduction potentials with a change in pH suggest that the different mobilities of the assemblies is having an impact on these properties. This again highlights the importance in the aggregated species present, but also gives us the opportunity to finely tune these properties for specific applications.

The PBIs were used to make hydrogels using a glucono-δ-lactone (Gdl) acid trigger, and their mechanical bulk properties were studied using rheology, shown in Fig. 3(a), Table 1 and Fig. S39 (ESI†). All gels broke at low strain and were independent of frequency. PBI-I had the lowest yield point and flow point but was the stiffest of all the gels formed. All the data suggests that not only are there different aggregates forming, but also that this results in a different network that directly impacts the mechanical properties.

The UV-vis absorption spectra for before and after irradiation were then measured for the hydrogels. The normalised spectra are shown in Fig. 3(b and c). There is a change in the intensity ratio for 500 nm and 550 nm compared to the solution spectra, which was expected due to the increased aggregation during the gelation process. The three PBIs no longer have the same intensity ratio as was seen for the solution, summarized in Table S13 (ESI†). The PBI-I hydrogel has the largest difference between intensity ratio for 500 nm and 550 nm. PBI-I looks much different to the absorbance for PBI-L and PBI-V.

Table 1 Key bulk mechanical properties of the hydrogels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PBI</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G' (Pa)</td>
<td>1350 ± 90</td>
<td>3930 ± 370</td>
<td>1820 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G″ (Pa)</td>
<td>130 ± 20</td>
<td>520 ± 270</td>
<td>120 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield point (%)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow point (%)</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan δ (G&quot;/G&quot;)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hydrogels. This difference in absorption is likely because of the network and aggregates (as seen in the rheology) impacting the absorbance. It was seen that solutions of PBI-V formed the most amount of radical anion, and here again it is seen that hydrogels of PBI-V form the most amount of radical anion. Again, this difference in radical formation has been seen in previous work, indicating that both the molecular packing and the networks formed through gelation are impacting the ability to form the radical anion.

We used SAXS again to examine the difference in structures in the hydrogels. Full fitting parameters for the PBIs are found in Section 2.4 of the ESI† (Fig. S40–S42). All three PBIs as hydrogels fit a flexible elliptical cylinder model. The Kuhn length of each PBI hydrogel was longer than the Kuhn length in solution. This is expected because as the worm-like micelles start to form the network fibres, they become less flexible. PBI-I hydrogels saw the greatest increase to its Kuhn length from 7.55 nm to 30.6 nm, suggesting that it is the least flexible. This could also account for it having the stiffest network. The cylinder radius size was also larger for the hydrogels of all three PBIs compared to in solution. PBI-I saw a difference in cylinder radius size from 1.31 nm to 3.36 nm as a hydrogel compared to the solution. This can be attributed to the 1D fibres laterally associating to form thicker fibres, which again has been seen in our previous work.

The CVs of the hydrogels were again measured to determine whether this difference in aggregation and network affected the reduction potentials (Fig. S43–S48, ESI†). The differences in the CV were greater than for the assemblies in solution. The reduction potential is –2.5 V for the PBI-L hydrogel and –2.8 V for the PBI-V hydrogel. However, the reduction potential for the hydrogel of PBI-I is not clearly defined, which could be due to the assemblies of the hydrogel, or the network restricting diffusion.

To investigate the difference in assembly between PBI-I and the other two PBIs further, the kinetics of the gel formation was monitored using rheology, 1H-NMR, and pH change. From the 1H-NMR spectra the percent assembled could be calculated as well as the time frames of assembly. Rheology shows when structures start to form and when they are a gel, all dictated by the change in pH over time. All three sets of kinetic experiments were overlaid and are shown in Fig. 4 and Section 3.3 of the ESI† (Fig. S49–S54). The rheology data shows that for PBI-I it begins to form solid like materials much sooner than the other two PBIs, agreeing with the other data collected, as it has the highest pK_a. The 1H-NMR data suggests there is little to no structures initially, but they rapidly start to assemble as the pH drops to the pK_a, forming worm-like micelles. This was seen previously with L-alanine functionalised PBI. However, after the pH drops below the pK_a, PBI-I behaves like a gel. For PBI-V and PBI-L, by the time the first measurement is taken, already 40% of the material is assembled, suggesting assembled structures are present before gelation which we have seen previously in the SAXS, viscosity, and UV-vis absorption data. This is due to the pH already being below the pK_a of each of the gelators at this time point. We then see G’ increase after around an hour which then plateaus as the gel is formed and the percentage assembly reaches nearly 100% as the gel has formed. The 1H NMR for PBI-L and PBI-V also shows a two-stage assembly process that is not seen in the rheology between 20 and 30 minutes, indicating that perhaps the structures in solution are elongating or thickening to entangle and then we are able to measure a G’ value. These kinetics data show a completely different behaviour for PBI-I compared to PBI-V and PBI-L. PBI-I forms different aggregates to that of the other two and therefore has different assembly kinetics resulting in a different gel network be formed.

**Conclusions**

We introduced simple changes to the structure of amino acid functionalised PBIs to see how they impacted self-assembly and
other properties. The UV-vis absorption spectroscopy and viscosity of the solutions at different pHs showed that PBI-I was behaving differently compared to PBI-V and PBI-L despite the similarity in chemical structure. This was due to PBI-I having the highest apparent pK_a and so assembling at higher pH values. It was seen that in the UV-vis absorption of the solution that PBI-V formed the most radical anion and dianion. The SAXS showed that in solution there was a structural transition period at for PBI-L between pH 5 and pH 6 and for PBI-I at pH 5, again matching up with the pK_a value of the PBIs. Hydrogels formed from PBI-I were stiffer than PBI-L and PBI-V. PBI-V hydrogels formed the most radical when irradiated at 365 nm. SAXS of the hydrogels showed that upon forming the gel network the fibres present at higher pHs became longer, thicker, and stiffer, with PBI-I being the most inflexible. The CVs of all the PBIs showed little difference in reduction potentials, which we attribute to the different structures having different mobilities and therefore diffusion in solution. Kinetics studies of the gel formation showed a completely different assembly for PBI-I compared to PBI-V and PBI-L, which explains why the bulk properties of PBI-I were different compared to PBI-V and PBI-L.

This work really highlights how seemingly subtle changes in chemical structure can have a major outcome on assembly of the materials and the bulk properties of the materials produced. It is not correct to assume a slight change in chemical structure does not affect all properties of the material. This brings us a little closer to understanding how the chemical structure directly impacts assembly, and step closer to being able to design these materials with specific properties.
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