



Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2021, 2, 5160

Non-doped OLEDs based on tetraphenylethylene phenanthroimidazoles with negligible efficiency roll-off: effects of end group regulated stimulus responsive AIE luminogens†

Jayaraman Jayabharathi, * Shanmugam Thilagavathy and Venugopal Thanikachalam

The positional isomers of phenanthroimidazoles, **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB**, have been designed to understand the positional effects of triphenylamine (TPA) and tetraphenylethylene (TPE) units on the aggregation-induced emission and mechanochromism. The phenanthroimidazoles **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** show reversible mechanochromism between blue and green colours and were analysed via powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD). The PXRD analysis reveals that the phase transition of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** from crystalline to amorphous state is associated with colour changes. The non-doped OLEDs based on **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** exhibit high external quantum efficiency (EQE) of 3.2 and 5.3%, current efficiency (CE) of 4.32 and 5.28 cd A⁻¹ and power efficiency (PE) of 4.01 and 4.92 lm W⁻¹, respectively. The improvement of mechanochromic materials with aggregation-induced emission (AIE) behaviour play a vital role in optoelectronics.

Received 29th April 2021,
Accepted 22nd June 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00391g

rsc.li/materials-advances

1. Introduction

Luminescent materials are commonly used in organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) and are used as chemosensors as well as mechano-sensors.^{1–3} Organic materials with tunable solid state emission are of broad and current interest owing to their promising applications in due to their promising applications in security systems, memory devices, data storage and fluorescent probes.^{1,2} Mechanochromic materials alter their colours on applying external mechanical stimuli such as grinding, rubbing and crushing.^{1,3} A prerequisite for reversible mechanochromism is high solid-state emission, which depends on planarity, effective conjugation and interaction with the surrounding environment.^{4–6} However, conventional organic materials suffer from aggregation caused quenching (ACQ), which decreases the luminance efficiency.^{7–9} Aggregation-induced emission (AIE) is a promising solution to ACQ.^{10–13} Mechanochromic materials with the AIE behaviour become highly emissive in the solid state and can be employed as emissive materials in OLEDs.¹⁴

The luminescence properties of materials in solid state depend on the molecular arrangement as well as intermolecular

interactions.¹⁴ The mode of molecular packing of the materials will affect molecular stacking as well as conjugation and tune the luminescence properties.¹⁵ Thus, monitoring the molecular packing is significant in altering the photophysical and electroluminescent properties.^{15–17} Phenanthroimidazole exhibits near-ultraviolet emission with electron-transport ability. Its twisted and rigid molecular structure can enhance the stability and carrier transport ability.^{18–20} To shift the emission maximum to the visible region, an electron-donating triphenylamine (TPA) group was incorporated into the molecule. Organic emitters containing the TPA group are used as hole transport materials because of their unique optoelectronic properties, which include electron-donating ability and high hole mobility. Although TPA can be used as a complexing agent in the high temperature superconducting materials, it causes ACQ effect in solids. Therefore, introducing an aggregation-induced emission (AIE) group such as triphenylethylene (TPE) into the molecule would improve the solid state luminous efficiency.²¹ TPE is highly emissive in the solid state due to the restriction of intramolecular rotation (RIR) due to the twisted propeller shaped structure and is utilized for the development of AIE active mechanochromic materials.^{22–26} The combination of the phenanthroimidazole core with TPA and TPE units produced intriguing mechanochromic and OLED properties. Another strategy such as an asymmetrical structure has been introduced to strengthen the amorphous morphological stability and efficiency by slightly changing the substituents.²⁶ Recently, some progress has been made to obtain highly stable

Department of Chemistry, Annamalai University, Annamalai nagar, Tamilnadu-608 002, India

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00391g



and efficient deep-blue anthracene derivatives by introducing a non-planar rotational molecular structure, which efficiently interrupts the π -conjugation.²⁶

Herein, we report *N*-phenyl-*N*-(4-(1-(4-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenyl)naphthalen-4-yl)-1*H*-phenanthro[9,10-*d*]imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)benzenamine (**TPE-NPPB**) and *N*-phenyl-*N*-(4-(2-(10-(4-(1,2,2-triphenylethyl)phenyl)anthracen-9-yl)-1*H*-phenanthro[9,10-*d*]imidazol-1-yl)phenyl)benzenamine (**TPE-APPB**) consisting of TPA and TPE units. The AIE and mechanochromic properties of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** have been investigated. These excellent solid state emitters, **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** show reversible mechanochromism between blue and green colours. The non-doped OLEDs based on **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** fluorophores exhibit high external quantum efficiency (EQE) of 3.2 and 5.3%, current efficiency (CE) of 4.32 and 5.28 cd A⁻¹ and power efficiency (PE) of 4.01 and 4.92 lm W⁻¹, respectively.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Materials

The chemicals used in the Experimental section were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The synthetic route of the emissive materials has been outlined in Scheme S1 (ESI[†]).

2.2. Synthesis of mechanochromic materials

(i) ***N*-(4-(1-(1-Bromonaphthalen-4-yl)-1*H*-phenanthro[9,10-*d*]imidazole-2-yl)phenyl)-*N*-phenylbenzenamine (BrNPPB).** A mixture of 9,10-phenanthrenequinone (2.54 mmol), 4-(diphenylamino)-benzaldehyde (2.80 mmol), ammonium acetate (25.4 mmol) and 4-bromonaphthalen-1-amine (3.04 mmol) in acetic acid (10 mL) was refluxed under continuous stirring for 24 h (120 °C; N₂). The resulting solid was filtered, washed with water and dried. The intermediate **BrNPPB** was collected as a pale yellow solid. Yield: 70%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃; δ , ppm): 6.68–6.54 (m, 6H), 6.58 (d, 2H), 7.03 (s, 4H), 7.21–7.24 (d, 4H), 7.41–7.50 (t, 2H), 7.69 (d, 2H), 7.85–7.89 (t, 3H), 8.12–8.20 (d, 2H), 8.91 (d, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃; δ , ppm): 121.2, 122.4, 122.5, 122.6, 122.7, 122.8, 125.1, 125.2, 126.0, 126.2, 126.3, 126.4, 126.5, 126.7, 126.8, 127.0, 127.3, 127.6, 129.6, 129.8, 130.1, 131.0, 131.1, 131.3, 132.7, 141.0, 141.3, 141.4, 149.6. MS: *m/z*. 666.60 [M⁺]; calcd 666.51 (Scheme S2, ESI[†]).

(ii) ***N*-(4-(2-(10-Bromoanthracen-9-yl)-1*H*-phenanthro[9,10-*d*]imidazol-1-yl)phenyl)-*N*-phenylbenzenamine (BrAPPB).** A mixture of 9,10-phenanthrenequinone (2.54 mmol), 9-bromoanthracene-10-carbaldehyde (2.80 mmol), ammonium acetate (25.4 mmol) and *N,N'*-diphenylbenzene-1,4-diamine (4.32 mmol) in acetic acid (10 mL) was refluxed with continuous stirring for 42 h (120 °C; N₂). The resulting solid was filtered, washed with water and dried. The intermediate **BrAPPB** was collected as a grey coloured solid. Yield: 68%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃; δ , ppm): 6.42–6.49 (m, 4H), 6.53 (d, 4H), 7.01–7.10 (m, 5H), 7.38–7.42 (m, 5H), 7.60 (t, 2H), 7.70–7.78 (m, 6H), 7.93 (d, 2H), 8.03 (d, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃; δ , ppm): 118.0, 120.3, 122.6, 124.0, 125.3, 126.2, 127.2, 128.3, 129.4, 130.6, 134.8, 135.0, 140.3, 141.2,

142.6, 144.8, 148.4, 151.6, 156.4. MS: *m/z*. 716.66 [M⁺]; calcd 715.79 (Scheme S2, ESI[†]).

(iii) ***N*-Phenyl-*N*-(4-(1-(1-(4-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenyl)naphthalen-4-yl)-1*H*-phenanthro[9,10-*d*]imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)benzenamine (TPE-NPPB).** A mixture of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.04 mmol), BrNPPB (0.4 mmol), 4-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (0.52 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (2.0 mmol) in a mixture of ethanol (8.0 mL), toluene (24.0 mL) and H₂O (4.0 mL) was refluxed (120 °C; N₂; 42 h). The crude was purified by column chromatography. **TPE-NPPB** was separated as a white powder. Yield: 65%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ , ppm): 6.23–6.46 (m, 4H), 6.50–6.83 (t, 4H), 6.86–7.16 (m, 6H), 7.20–7.29 (m, 6H), 7.32–7.40 (m, 4H), 7.44 (t, 3H), 7.50 (s, 2H), 7.63 (s, 2H), 7.68 (t, 2H), 7.70–7.81 (m, 5H), 8.22 (s, 4H), 8.32–8.58 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ , ppm): 108.1, 122.4, 122.8, 124.7, 125.1, 126.2, 127.6, 127.8, 126.4, 126.6, 128.5, 128.4, 129.7, 131.2, 131.3, 131.5, 131.6, 134.1, 136.2, 135.8, 138.9, 140.0, 149.4. MS: *m/z*. 918.13 [M⁺]; calcd 918.08 (Scheme S2, ESI[†]).

(iv) ***N*-Phenyl-*N*-(4-(2-(10-(4-(1,2,2-triphenylethyl)phenyl)anthracen-9-yl)-1*H*-phenanthro[9,10-*d*]imidazol-1-yl)phenyl)benzenamine (TPE-APPB).** A mixture of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.04 mmol), BrAPPB (0.4 mmol), 4-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (0.52 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (2.0 mmol) in a mixture of ethanol (8.0 mL), toluene (24.0 mL) and H₂O (4.0 mL) was refluxed (120 °C; N₂; 42 h). The crude was purified by column chromatography. The **TPE-APPB** was separated as white powder. Yield: 60%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃; δ , ppm): 6.20 (t, 4H), 6.32 (d, 4H), 6.40–6.83 (m, 4H), 6.98 (t, 3H), 7.10–7.28 (m, 6H), 7.33–7.40 (m, 6H), 7.48–7.58 (m, 5H), 7.60 (d, 4H), 7.75 (t, 3H), 7.85–8.00 (m, 4H), 8.06–8.46 (m, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃; δ , ppm): 102.0, 122.5, 123.2, 123.4, 125.4, 126.5, 127.4, 128.0, 129.3, 129.7, 131.9, 133.1, 134.9, 135.0, 136.3, 137.0, 139.3, 140.5, 141.6. MS: *m/z*. 968.19 [M⁺]; calcd 968.12 (Scheme S2, ESI[†]).

2.3. Fabrication of devices

An ITO glass (resistance 20 Ω sq⁻¹) was cleaned with acetone, deionized water and isopropanol, dried (120 °C) and transferred into a deposition system after UV-zone treatment (20 min). The devices were fabricated by the multiple source beam deposition method in vacuum at a pressure of 4 \times 10⁻⁵ mbar. Evaporation rates of 2–4 Å s⁻¹ (organic materials) and 0.1 and 4 Å s⁻¹ for LiF and metal electrodes were applied, respectively. The thickness of each deposition layer was monitored using a quartz crystal thickness monitor. The EL measurement with CIE coordinates was recorded using a USB-650-VIS-NIR spectrometer (Ocean Optics, Inc, USA). The current density–voltage–luminance (*J*–*V*–*L*) characteristics were measured using a source meter (Keithley 2450) equipped with an LS-110 light intensity meter. The external quantum efficiency was determined from luminance, current density and EL spectrum assuming Lambertian distribution.

2.4. Computational details

The ground (S₀) (DFT)/excited (S_n^{*}) (TD-DFT) states of emissive materials were analyzed by the Gaussian 09 program.²⁷



3. Results and discussion

3.1. Theoretical calculation

To gain more insight into the structure–activity analysis, molecular optimization and frontier molecular orbital (FMO) analysis for **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** were carried out by DFT and TD-DFT methods (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1, S2, ESI[†]).²⁷ The optimized structures and HOMO (highest occupied molecular orbital) and LUMO (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital) for **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** are shown in Fig. 1 (Table 1). The optimized structures confirm the twisted confirmation of TPE and TPA units and reveal only C–H interactions. Theoretical calculations reveal only C–H interactions and no H-bonding or π – π interactions, which make it loosely packed, making it sensitive to grinding, thereby allowing mechanochromism. The twisted confirmation leads to restraint on the π – π stacking interaction results in intensified emission in the aggregated form of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB**. The optimized structures of intermediates, **BrNPPB** and **BrAPPB**, reveal a propeller orientation of the TPA unit. The TPA unit and bromo-substituted phenyl ring in **BrNPPB** and **BrAPPB** are not in the plane of the phenanthroimidazole unit and exhibit a highly twisted geometry. The HOMO and LUMO are well-separated in **TPE-NPPB**, HOMO being mainly localized on the phenanthroimidazole and TPA units, whereas LUMO is localized on the TPE unit. However, in **TPE-APPB**, HOMO and LUMO are not well separated and mainly localized on the TPE and imidazole units. The attachment of the TPE unit through the azomethine nitrogen atom of phenanthroimidazole in **TPE-NPPB** causes disruption in the extended conjugation, resulting in a better separation of molecular orbitals. In **TPE-APPB**, the TPE unit attached with a carbon atom of the imidazole ring produces highly extended

Table 1 Summary of optical and thermal properties

Properties	TPE-NPPB	TPE-APPB
$T_d/T_g/T_m$ (°C)	449/261/273	462/286/320
λ_{ab} (nm)	243, 338	249, 346
λ_{em} (nm)	403	416
ϕ (soln)	0.16	0.46
HOMO/LUMO (eV)	–5.08/–3.01	–5.20/–3.16
E_g (eV)	–2.07	–2.04

conjugation allowing the molecular orbitals to be delocalized over the whole molecule.

From the optimized molecular geometry, the π -conjugation between imidazole and anthracene in **TPE-APPB** is more interrupted that could induce pure blue emission. The theoretical band-gap of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** are of 2.12 and 2.10 eV, respectively. The computed vertical transitions, oscillator strength and HOMTOs and LUNTOs of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** are shown in Fig. S2 (Tables S1 and S2, ESI[†]).

3.2. Mechanochromic materials

In order to get a clear understanding about the geometry and electronic structures of phenanthroimidazoles, **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB**, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed. Based on calculations, the mechano-sensor materials **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** have been designed and synthesized by simple and efficient two step approach, (Scheme S1, ESI[†]). The intermediates **BrNPPB** and **BrAPPB** were synthesized by the condensation reaction of 9,10-phenanthroquinone using corresponding aldehydes, amines and ammonium acetate. The Suzuki cross-coupling reaction of **BrNPPB** and **BrAPPB** with 4-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenylboronic acid pinacol ester




Fig. 1 Molecular structure, optimized geometry and frontier molecular orbitals (FMO) of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB**.






Fig. 2 (a) TGA and DSC graph and (b) cyclic voltammograms of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB**.

in nitrogen atmosphere using the $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ catalyst yielded the target phenanthroimidazoles **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** with 65% and 60% yield, respectively.²⁸ The luminogens, **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB**, were characterized *via* NMR and MS techniques.

3.3. Thermal and electrochemical studies

The fabrication of devices required thermally stable materials and hence the materials should be stable at elevated temperatures. The thermal stability of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** was analysed by thermogravimetric analysis and differential scanning calorimetry (Fig. 2 and Table 1). The twisted molecular architecture of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** with a twist angle of $\sim 56^\circ$ between the C2 substituent and the phenanthrimidazole core effectively suppressed the conjugation and intermolecular π - π stacking (Fig. 1). The twisted molecular architecture increased the thermal stability of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB**. The thermal decomposition temperature (T_d) of **TPE-NPPB** (449 °C) and **TPE-APPB** (462 °C) implies that these materials are thermally stable and hence they are a suitable choice for material applications. Compared to **TPE-NPPB**, the more asymmetrically twisted conformations between anthracene and imidazole units in **TPE-APPB** efficiently interrupt the molecular π -conjugation and inhibit the π - π intermolecular interactions, resulting in high thermal stability, which is important for application in devices. This geometrical morphology tends to maintain certain distance between the anthracene units of adjacent molecules and suppress close packing and π - π intermolecular interactions. The DSC curves reveal an endothermic process for **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** with high melting temperatures of 273 and 320 °C, respectively. Because of C and N-side coupling with a bulky substituents, the rigid phenanthrimidazole shows high glass transition temperatures (**TPE-NPPB** – 261 °C; **TPE-APPB** – 286 °C). The interaction of substituents at C2 with N1 of the phenanthroimidazole core induced more condensed molecular packing and enhanced the thermal stability, which is essential for application in the fabrication of devices.

The electrochemical properties and frontier energy level of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** were examined by cyclic voltammetry in dichloromethane using tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF₆) as the supporting electrolyte. The cyclic voltammograms (Fig. 2) of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** reveal quasi-reversible oxidation and reduction waves. The energies for highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) were calculated by the equations: $\text{HOMO} = -(E_{\text{onset}} + 4.4) \text{ eV}$ and $\text{LUMO} = \text{HOMO} - 1239/\lambda_{\text{onset}}$.²⁹ From the calculated HOMO energies of **TPE-NPPB** (−5.08 eV) and **TPE-APPB** (−5.20 eV) and LUMO energies of **TPE-NPPB** (−3.01 eV) and **TPE-APPB** (−3.16 eV), the electrochemical HOMO-LUMO gap of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** are found to be 2.07 eV and 2.04 eV, respectively.

3.4. Photophysical properties

Phenanthroimidazoles, **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** are freely soluble in dichloromethane, tetrahydrofuran, chloroform and hexane but they are poorly soluble in water, alcohol and acetonitrile. The photophysical properties of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** were studied in tetrahydrofuran *via* electronic spectroscopy (Fig. 3 and Table 1). **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** show absorption peaks at 243 and 249 nm, respectively, corresponding to the π - π^* transition of aromatic segments. The absorption peaks at 338 and 346 nm are attributed to the π - π^* transition of the phenanthroimidazole unit.³⁰ The high extinction coefficients (ϵ_{max}) of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** are due to the increase in the conjugation length [**TPE-NPPB**: 243 nm ($\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 41152.26 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$), 338 nm ($\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 29585.80 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$); **TPE-APPB**: 249 nm ($\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 40160.64 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$), 346 nm ($\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 28901.73 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$)], which confirm the presence of effective charge transfer transitions in these materials.^{31,32}

The photoluminescence characteristics of these materials were studied in numerous solvents. **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** show emission maxima at 403 and 416 nm, respectively. Solvatochromism was examined by emission (Fig. S3, ESI[†]) and absorption spectra (Fig. S4, ESI[†]) of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** (Tables S3 and S4, ESI[†]). The emission spectra of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** in non-polar solvents display a fine vibrational structure and




Fig. 3 (a) Normalised absorption and emission spectra and (b) Lippert–Mataga plot of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB**.

the vibrational structure vanishes in polar solvents. The emission red-shifted in polar solvents. In non-polar solvents, the emission arises from the localised excited (LE) state, whereas in polar solvents, the emission originated from the charge transfer (CT) state. The Lippert–Mataga plot (Fig. 3) of **TPE-NPPB** show higher slope than the **TPE-APPB**, which suggests that in **TPE-NPPB**, the excited state is more polarized than in **TPE-APPB**. The TPE moiety in these emissive materials is well established for the AIE characteristics due to its propeller shape. The emission behaviour was used to study the AIE properties of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** (Fig. 4). **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** exhibit good solubility in tetrahydrofuran and poor solubility in water. Hence, the gradual increase in the water percentage in the tetrahydrofuran solution initiates the formation of nanoaggregates. **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** are weakly emissive at low water fractions and the relative PLQYs for **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** are 0.16 and 0.46, respectively. The non-radiative energy loss of the excited state caused by the free rotation of phenyl rings of the TPE moiety in the solution state leads to non-emissive nature.³³ The formation of aggregates at a higher water percentage restricts the free rotation of the phenyl ring, resulting in enhanced intensity with red-shifted emission. **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** exhibit an increase in the emission intensity with a bathochromic shift for above 70% water fraction due to effective aggregate formation. **TPE-APPB** has a higher degree of enhancement of intensity as compared to **TPE-NPPB** due to the positional effects. The superposition of the emission spectra of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** at 90% water fraction results in higher extent of intensity enhancement (Fig. S5, ESI[†]). The AIE behaviour was also studied *via* absorption spectroscopy (Fig. S6, ESI[†]). The absorption spectra for **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** reveal a similar trend of absorption bands up to water fraction of 70%, above which there is scattering of light or Mie effect observed due to the formation of nanoaggregates. The AIE behaviours of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** are shown in photographs under UV illumination (Fig. 4).

3.5. Mechanochromism

Phenanthroimidazoles, **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** were determined to exhibit the reversible mechanochromic behaviour on

account of the twisted structure of the TPE moiety. The mechanochromic behaviour was studied *via* fluorescence spectroscopy (Fig. 4 and Table 2). **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** were mechanically ground with the aid of a mortar and pestle. Pristine **TPE-NPPB** emits at 442 nm (sky blue), which red-shifted to 483 nm (bluish green) on grinding. The reversibility of colour switching was analysed by annealing **TPE-NPPB** at 230 °C for five minutes, which leads to blue emission (438 nm) with a higher blueshift. The peak at 476 nm could be ascribed to the intermediate species that arise from the grinding of the pristine sample. These observations indicate the highly sensitive nature of **TPE-NPPB** towards mechanical stimuli. The high sensitivity of **TPE-NPPB** could be due to the position of TPA and TPE, TPE being directly attached to the nitrogen atom of the imidazole ring. Similarly, pristine **TPE-APPB** shows blue emission at 426 nm, which red-shifted to 472 nm (green) on grinding. The colour switching was analysed by annealing at 200 °C for five minutes, which led to blue emission at 446 nm. Due to the more twisted molecular structure, the PL spectra of **TPE-APPB** in the film exhibit a hypochromic shift in comparison with those of **TPE-NPPB**. It should be noted that using 9,10-diphenylanthracene units to substitute at the C-2 position of phenanthroimidazole can efficiently limit the π -conjugation, which induces deep-blue emission. The absolute quantum yield for **TPE-NPPB** in its pristine, ground and annealed forms were of 48.63%, 51.08% and 66.93%, respectively. The absolute quantum yield for **TPE-APPB** in its pristine, ground and annealed forms were of 69.03%, 78.92% and 81.03%, respectively. **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** exhibited grinding-induced spectral shifts of 45 and 26 nm, respectively. The powder XRD (PXRD) studies of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** were performed to gain insight into the morphological change that could be a possible reason behind the reversible mechanochromic behaviour (Fig. 5). The pristine **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** displayed sharp and intense diffraction peaks attributed to crystalline structures. The sharp diffraction peaks disappeared on grinding giving a broad band, which implies the morphological change from the crystalline state to the amorphous state. The crystallinity of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** was restored on annealing, which is clearly seen by the sharp diffraction peaks.






Fig. 4 Emission spectra of (a) **TPE-NPPB** and (b) **TPE-APPB** in THF–H₂O with different water fractions; (c) UV illumination of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** in THF–Water mixtures with different water fractions (10 μ M) (0% to 90% water fraction from L to R) and (d) emission spectra of pristine, grind and annealed solids of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB**.

3.6. Electroluminescent studies

In view of the excellent thermal stability, AIE character and high PLQY in solid state, **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** were employed as emissive layers to fabricate non-doped OLEDs with the configurations of ITO/HATCN (1,4,5,8,9,11 hexaaazatriphenylenehexacarbonitrile) (5 nm)/NPB (*N,N'*-bis(phenyl)-benzidine) (40 nm)/**TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** (20 nm)/TPBi (1,3,5-tris(*N*-phenylbenzimidazole-2-yl)benzene) (40 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al [HATCN-hole injection layer, NPB-hole transporting layer and TPBi-electron transporting layer] (Fig. 6). Fig. 7 shows the current density and luminance of non-doped devices with **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** as the emissive layer. The small difference in the current density and luminance reveal that the positional change of TPA and TPE units in the backbone structure does not affect the carrier transport properties of the emitters. The turn-on voltages of the devices were same because of the small difference of carrier injection from carrier transport layers to the emissive layer. The non-doped devices based on **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** exhibited blue emission of 444 and 428 nm, high external

quantum efficiency (EQE) of 3.2 and 5.3%, current efficiency (CE) of 4.32 and 5.28 cd A⁻¹, power efficiency (PE) of 4.01 and 4.92 lm W⁻¹ and luminance of 10 231 and 15 461 cd m⁻², respectively. Compared to **TPE-NPPB** (0.18, 0.21), the device using **TPE-APPB** as a blue emitter showed a more pure blue emission with CIE coordinates of (0.18, 0.19), which could be attributed to the fully twisted molecular structure. The performance of non-doped devices indicates that the asymmetrically twisted molecular structure can efficiently suppress the π – π intermolecular interaction between the adjacent molecules and result in deep-blue emission.

Table 2 Emission behaviour of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** under external stimuli

Compounds	$\lambda_{\text{pristine}}$	λ_{ground}	$\lambda_{\text{annealed}}$	$\Delta\lambda$
TPE-NPPB	442	483	438	45
TPE-APPB	426	472	446	26




Fig. 5 Powder X-ray diffraction and mechanochromic behaviour of (a) **TPE-NPPB** and (b) **TPE-APPB**.

TPE-NPPB- and **TPE-APPB**-based devices exhibit high EQE because of the AIE character, which suppresses concentration quenching. The EQE of **TPE-APPB**-based devices was high because of the strong AIE behaviour, as confirmed in the PL intensity change by the addition of water fraction. The efficient AIE property of **TPE-APPB** contributed to high EQE in the non-doped OLEDs. The non-doped devices based on **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** exhibited low efficiency roll-off and their EQE still remained 3.15% and 5.25% at a brightness of 1000 cd m^{-2} .

All the devices showed negligible roll off efficiency (**TPE-NPPB** – 1.45% and **TPE-APPB** – 0.94%) due to the suppression of current-induced exciton quenching and effective $\text{h}^+ - \text{e}^-$ recombination. The high EQE and low roll-off efficiency further emphasized a great potential of these materials for industrial applications.

The EL spectra of the **TPE-APPB**-based device were shifted to shorter wavelengths, which is in agreement with the relative PL peak position of the two emitters. Compared with **TPE-NPPB**,




Fig. 6 Non-doped device configuration with the energy level of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB**.






Fig. 7 Device performances: (a) luminance–current density–voltage; (b) external quantum efficiency–current density (c) current efficiency–current density; (d) power efficiency–current density and (e) normalized EL spectra of **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB**.

Table 3 Electroluminescent efficiency of **TPE-NPPB**- and **TPE-APPB**-based devices

Emitters	CE (cd A ⁻¹)	PE (lm W ⁻¹)	EQE (%)	L (cd m ⁻²)	EL (nm)	CIE (<i>x</i> , <i>y</i>)
TPE-NPPB	4.32	4.01	3.2	10 231	444	0.18, 0.21
TPE-APPB	5.28	4.92	5.3	15 461	428	0.18, 0.19

anthracenyl-substituted **TPE-APPB** showed a bathochromic shift due to conjugation.²⁶ A relatively broad emission modification strategy can be used as a tool for managing the mechanochromism while maintaining EL performances.

4. Conclusion

We have designed positional isomers of phenanthroimidazoles **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** consisting of TPE and TPA unit and synthesized by the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction. The DFT studies reveal better separation of HOMO and LUMO in **TPE-NPPB** compared to that in **TPE-APPB**, which is attributed to the positions of TPE and TPA units. The thermally stable **TPE-NPPB** and **TPE-APPB** isomers display strong AIE characteristics and exhibit reversible emission in response to mechanical stimuli with excellent colour contrast. The isomer **TPE-NPPB** show higher sensitivity towards mechanical stimuli relative to

TPE-APPB. The PXRD patterns reveal that the observed morphological change from amorphous state to crystalline state is associated with colour changes. The coupling of TPA and TPE to the phenanthroimidazole backbone provides a new approach for the development of stimulus-responsive solid state emitters. The non-doped device based on **TPE-APPB** exhibits a high external quantum efficiency (EQE) of 5.3%, current efficiency (CE) of 5.28 cd A⁻¹, power efficiency (PE) of 4.92 lm W⁻¹ and luminance (L) of 15 461 cd m⁻² (Table 3). The coupling of phenanthroimidazole, TPA and TPE synergistically leads to high solid state emission, which can be further employed in optoelectronic applications.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- (a) Z. Chi, X. Zhang, B. Xu, X. Zhou, C. Ma, Y. Zhang, S. Liu and J. Xu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 3878–3896; (b) Y. Sagara and T. Kato, *Nat. Chem.*, 2009, **1**, 605–610; (c) Y. Sagara, S. Yamane, M. Mitani, C. Weder and T. Kato, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 1073–1095; (d) J. Xu and Z. Chi, *Mechanochromic Fluorescent Materials: Phenomena, Materials and Applications*, RSC, Cambridge, UK, 2014, 8; (e) C. Weder, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 8235–8236; (f) F. Ciardelli, G. Ruggeri and A. Pucci, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 857–870.
- (a) T. P. I. Saragi, T. Spehr, A. Siebert, T. Fuhrmann-Lieker and J. Salbeck, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 1011–1065; (b) J. Liang, B. Z. Tang and B. Liu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 2798–2811; (c) L. Yuan, W. Y. Lin, K. B. Zheng, W. L. He and M. W. Huang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 622–661; (d) Y. X. Zhu, Z. W. Wei, M. Pan, H. P. Wang, J. Y. Zhang and C. Y. Su, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 943–950; (e) R. Zheng, X. F. Mei, Z. H. Lin, Y. Zhao, H. M. Yao, W. Lv and Q. D. Ling, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 10242–10248; (f) S. J. Toal, K. A. Jones, D. Magde and W. C. Trogler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 11661–11665; (g) B. Xu, M. Xie, J. He, B. Xu, Z. Chi, W. Tian, L. Jiang, F. Zhao, S. Liu, Y. Zhang, Z. Xu and J. Xu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 273–275; (h) D. A. Davis, A. Hamilton, J. L. Yang, L. D. Cremar, D. Van Gough, S. L. Potisek, M. T. Ong, P. V. Braun, T. J. Martinez, S. R. White, J. S. Moore and N. R. Sottos, *Nature*, 2009, **459**, 68–72; (i) Z. Ning, Z. Chen, Q. Zhang, Y. Yan, S. Qian, Y. Cao and H. Tian, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2007, **17**, 3799–3807; (j) A. Kishimura, T. Yamashita, K. Yamaguchi and T. Aida, *Nat. Mater.*, 2005, **4**, 546–549; (k) B. R. Crenshaw, M. Burnworth, D. Khariwala, A. Hiltner, P. T. Mather, R. Simha and C. Weder, *Macromolecules*, 2007, **40**, 2400–2408; (l) S. Hirata and T. Watanabe, *Adv. Mater.*, 2006, **18**, 2725–2729; (m) M. S. Kwon, J. Gierschner, S. J. Yoon and S. Y. Park, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 5487–5492; (n) H. Sun, S. Liu, W. Lin, K. Y. Zhang, W. Lv, X. Huang, F. Huo, H. Yang, G. Jenkins, Q. Zhao and W. Huang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 3601–3609.
- (a) X. Zhang, Z. Chi, Y. Zhang, S. Liu and J. Xu, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, **1**, 3376–3390; (b) X. Luo, J. Li, C. Li, L. Heng, Y. Dong, Z. Liu, Z. Bo and B. Z. Tang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 3261–3265; (c) S. J. Yoon, J. W. Chung, J. Gierschner, K. S. Kim, M. G. Choi, D. Kim and S. Y. Park, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 13675–13683.
- (a) H. Ito, T. Saito, N. Oshima, N. Kitamura, S. Ishizaka, Y. Hinatsu, M. Wakeshima, M. Kato, K. Tsuge and M. Sawamura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 10044–10045; (b) C. G. Chandaluri and T. P. Radhakrishnan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 11849–11852; (c) G. Zhang, J. Lu, M. Sabat and C. L. Fraser, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 2160–2162; (d) Y. Dong, B. Xu, J. Zhang, X. Tan, L. Wang, J. Chen, H. Lv, S. Wen, B. Li, L. Ye, B. Zou and W. Tian, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 10782–10785; (e) Y. Zhang, F. Gao, X. D. Cao, Y. Q. Li, Y. Z. Xu, W. G. Weng and R. Boulatov, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 3040–3044.
- (a) T. Mutai, H. Satou and K. Araki, *Nat. Mater.*, 2005, **4**, 685–687; (b) W. Z. Yuan, Y. Tan, Y. Gong, P. Lu, J. W. Y. Lam, X. Y. Shen, C. Feng, H. H. Y. Sung, Y. Lu, I. D. Williams, J. Z. Sun, Y. Zhang and B. Z. Tang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 2837–2843; (c) X. Zhang, Z. Chi, B. Xu, L. Jiang, X. Zhou, Y. Zhang, S. Liu and J. Xu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 10895–10897; (d) S. Xue, W. Liu, X. Qiu, Y. Gao and W. Yang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 18668–18675.
- (a) T. Jadhav, B. Dhokale, S. M. Momin and R. Misra, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 29878–29884; (b) R. Yoshii, A. Hirose, K. Tanaka and Y. Chujo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 18131–18139; (c) N. Nguyen, G. Zhang, J. Lu, A. Sherman and C. Fraser, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 8409–8415.
- J. B. Birks, *Photophysics of Aromatic Molecules*, Wiley, London, UK, 1970.
- S. Jayanty and T. P. Radhakrishnan, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2004, **10**, 791–797.
- H. J. Tracy, J. L. Mullin, W. T. Klooster, J. A. Martin, J. Haug, S. Wallace, I. Rudloe and K. Watts, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 2003–2011.
- J. D. Luo, Z. L. Xie, J. W. Y. Lam, L. Cheng, H. Y. Chen, C. F. Qiu, H. S. Kwok, X. W. Zhan, Y. Q. Liu, D. B. Zhu and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1740–1741.
- Y. Li, T. Liu, H. Liu, M. Z. Tian and Y. Li, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 1186–11988.
- H. Liu, J. Xu and Y. Li, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2010, **43**, 1496–1508.
- Y. Hong, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 5361–5388.
- (a) Z. Yang, Z. Mao, T. Yu, Y. Zhang, S. Liu, J. Xu and Z. Chi, *ACS Symp. Ser.*, 2016, **1226**, 221–259; (b) J. Li, T. Shan, M. Yao, Y. Gao, X. Han, B. Yang and P. Lu, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 2552–2558; (c) T. Han, X. Gu, J. W. Y. Lam, A. C. S. Leung, R. T. K. Kwok, T. Han, B. Tong, J. Shi, Y. Dong and B. Z. Tang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 10430–10434; (d) K. Matsuoka, K. Albrecht, K. Yamamoto and K. Fujita, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 1–9; (e) J. Y. Sun, J. Q. Han, Y. Liu, Y. A. Duan, T. Y. Han and J. Yuan, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 8276–8283.



15 Z. B. Henson, K. Müllen and G. C. Bazan, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 699–704.

16 (a) R. H. Pawle, T. E. Haas, P. Müller and S. W. Thomas III, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 4184–4188; (b) Y. Gong, Y. Tan, J. Liu, P. Lu, C. Feng, W. Z. Yuan, Y. Lu, J. Z. Sun, G. He and Y. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 4009–4011.

17 S. Xue, X. Qiu, Q. Sun and W. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 1568–1578.

18 T. Jadhav, J. M. Choi, J. Y. Lee, B. Dhokale and R. Misra, *Org. Electron.*, 2016, **37**, 448–452.

19 H. Liu, Q. Bai, L. Yao, H. Zhang, H. Xu, S. Zhang, W. Li, Y. Gao, J. Li, P. Lu, H. Wang, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 3797–3804.

20 T. Shan, Y. Liu, X. Tang, Q. Bai, Y. Gao, Z. Gao, J. Li, J. Deng, B. Yang, P. Lu and Y. Ma, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 28771–28779.

21 (a) Y. Dong, J. W. Y. Lam, A. Qin, J. Liu, Z. Li, B. Z. Tang, J. Sun and H. S. Kwok, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, **91**, 011111–011114; (b) J. Huang, X. Yang, J. Wang, C. Zhong, L. Wang, J. Qin and Z. Li, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 2478–2484; (c) S. Wang, W. J. Oldham, R. A. Hudack and G. C. Bazan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 5695–5709; (d) T. Jadhav, B. Dhokale, Y. Patil, S. M. Mobin and R. Misra, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 24030–24040; (e) T. Jadhav, B. Dhokale, S. M. Mobin and R. Misra, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 9981–9988.

22 (a) M. Zhu and C. Yang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 4963–4976; (b) G. M. Farinola and R. Ragni, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 3467–3482; (c) M. A. Baldo, M. E. Thompson and S. R. Forrest, *Nature*, 2000, **403**, 750–753; (d) S. Reineke, F. Lindner, G. Schwartz, N. Seidler, K. Walzer, B. Lüssem and K. Leo, *Nature*, 2009, **459**, 234–238; (e) A. C. Grimsdale, K. L. Chan, R. E. Martin, P. G. Jokisz and A. B. Holmes, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 897–1091; (f) W. C. H. Choy, W. K. Chan and Y. Yuan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 5368–5399; (g) Z. Ge, T. Hayakawa, S. Ando, M. Ueda, T. Akiike, H. Miyamoto, T. Kajita and M. Kakimoto, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 2532–2537; (h) S. Jeong, M. Kim, S. H. Kim and J. Hong, *Org. Electron.*, 2013, **14**, 2497–2504.

23 (a) J. Huang, Y. Jiang, J. Yang, R. Tang, N. Xie, Q. Li, H. S. Kwok, B. Z. Tang and Z. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 2028–2036; (b) C. Li, J. Wei, J. Han, Z. Li, X. Song, Z. Zhang, J. Zhang and Y. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 10120–10129; (c) J. Yang, J. Huang, Q. Li and Z. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 2663–2684.

24 (a) C. Jin, J. Liu, Y. Chen, G. Li, R. Guan, P. Zhang, L. Ji and H. Chao, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 7538–7547; (b) L. Zheng and R. Hua, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 3930–3936; (c) Y. Zhang, J. H. Wang, J. Zheng and D. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 6350–6353; (d) W. C. Chen, C. S. Lee and Q. X. Tong, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 10957–10963; (e) X. Tang, Q. Bai, Q. Peng, Y. Gao, J. Li, Y. Liu, L. Yao, P. Lu, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 7050–7057; (f) S. Zhuang, R. Shangguan, J. Jin, G. Tu, L. Wang, J. Chen, D. Ma and X. Zhu, *Org. Electron.*, 2012, **13**, 3050–3059.

25 (a) Y. Zhang, S. L. Lai, Q. X. Tong, M. F. Lo, T. W. Ng, M. Y. Chan, Z. C. Wen, J. He, K. S. Jeff, X. L. Tang, W. M. Liu, C. C. Ko, P. F. Wang and C. S. Lee, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 61–70; (b) S. Zhang, L. Yao, Q. Peng, W. Li, Y. Pan, R. Xiao, Y. Gao, C. Gu, Z. Wang, P. Lu, F. Li, S. Su, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 1755–1762; (c) W. Li, Y. Pan, L. Yao, H. Liu, S. Zhang, C. Wang, F. Shen, P. Lu, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2014, **2**, 892–901; (d) W. Li, D. Liu, F. Shen, D. Ma, Z. Wang, T. Feng, Y. Xu, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 2797–2803; (e) Y. Gao, S. Zhang, Y. Pan, L. Yao, H. Liu, Y. Guo, Q. Gu, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 24176–24184; (f) G. Li, J. Zhao, D. Zhang, Z. Shi, Z. Zhu, H. Song, J. Zhu, S. Tao, F. Lu and Q. Tong, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 8787–8794.

26 (a) T. Jadhav, J. M. Choi, B. Dhokale, S. M. Mobin, J. Y. Lee and R. Misra, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 18487–18495; (b) T. Jadhav, J. M. Choi, J. Shinde, J. Y. Lee and R. Misra, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 6014–6020; (c) L. Chen, Y. Jiang, H. Nie, R. Hu, H. S. Kwok, F. Huang, A. Qin, Z. Zhao and B. Z. Tang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 17215–17225; (d) G. Lin, H. Peng, L. Chen, H. Nie, W. Luo, Y. Li, S. Chen, R. Hu, A. Qin, Z. Zhao and B. Zhong Tang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **26**, 16799–16808; (e) Y. Li, W. Wang, Z. Zhuang, Z. Wang, G. Lin, P. Shen, S. Chen, Z. Zhao and B. Z. Tang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 5900–5907; (f) J. Jayabharathi, S. Panimozi and V. Thanikachalam, *Springer Nature, Sci. Rep.*, 2019, **9**, 17555–17569.

27 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT (Revision A.02)*, 2009, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT.

28 R. Misra, T. Jadhav, B. Dhokale and S. M. Mobin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 9076–9078.

29 S. Janietz, D. D. C. Bradley, M. Grell, C. Giebel, M. Inbasekaran and E. P. Woo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1998, **73**, 2453–2455.

30 (a) Y. Yuan, D. Li, X. Zhang, X. Zhao, Y. Liu, J. Zhang and Y. Wang, *New J. Chem.*, 2011, **35**, 1534–1540; (b) Y. Zhang, S. L. Lai, Q. X. Tong, M. Y. Chan, T. W. Ng, Z. C. Wen, G. Q. Zhang, S. T. Lee, H. L. Kwong and C. S. Lee, *J. Mater. Adv.*, 2021, **2**, 5160–5170 | 5169



Chem., 2011, **21**, 8206–8214; (c) B. Liu, J. Zhao, C. Luo, F. Lu, S. Tao and Q. Tong, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 2003–2010.

31 J. Jayabharathi, S. Thilagavathy and V. Thanikachalam, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 8606–8618.

32 J. Jayabharathi, J. Anudeebhana, V. Thanikachalam and S. Sivaraj, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 8866–8879.

33 (a) Z. Y. Wang, J. W. Zhaob, P. Lia, T. Fenga, W. J. Wang, S. L. Taob and Q. X. Tong, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, **42**, 8924–8932; (b) R. Misra, T. Jadhav, B. Dhokale and S. M. Mobin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 9076–9078; (c) F. Liu, H. Liu, X. Tang, S. Ren, X. He, J. Li, C. Du, Z. Feng and P. Lu, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **68**, 104325–104365.

