The application of amine-based materials for carbon capture and utilisation: an overarching view†
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In the ongoing research campaign to reduce the global atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} concentration, technologies are being developed to enable the capture of CO\textsubscript{2} from dilute sources and conversion into higher-value products. Amine and polyaniline-based materials feature widely in the literature as solid CO\textsubscript{2} sorbents and as catalyst modifiers for CO\textsubscript{2} electrochemical reduction; however, advancing lab-scale research into a pilot or industrial-scale application is fraught with challenges, starting with the definition and identification of an effective adsorbent. This multidisciplinary review serves as an essential introduction to the role of amines in carbon capture and utilisation for scientists entering and advancing the field. The chemical and engineering principles of amine-based CO\textsubscript{2} capture are considered to define the parameters required of an adsorbent, describe adsorption testing methods, and introduce the reader to a range of amine-based adsorbents and how they can be specialised to overcome specific issues. Finally, the application of electrocatalysts modified with nitrogen-containing compounds and polymers is reviewed in the context of CO\textsubscript{2} utilisation.

1 Introduction

Although 2020 saw a reduction on the previous years’ daily global carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) emissions due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the global average atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} concentration continues to increase.
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change, it is necessary to significantly reduce this CO₂ concentration to a level commensurate with a global average temperature rise of less than 2 °C, and preferably no more than 1.5 °C, above pre-industrial times.³ The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)’s 2018 special report describes the involvement of carbon dioxide removal (CDR) technologies to some extent in all pathways that limit warming to 1.5 °C.⁴ CO₂ capture is considered an economically feasible technology that has been proposed to negate the emission of CO₂ produced from fossil fuel combustion, and which can also remove CO₂ from the air.⁵ In order to reduce the absolute amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere, it is proposed that the majority of the captured gas will be sequestered by injecting it underground into disused oil and gas reservoirs, where it is expected to be stored on timescales of thousands of years.⁶ In addition, combining CO₂ capture with utilisation offers the potential for a circular economy of carbon itself, with CO₂ being converted back into chemicals such as carbon-based fuels to be used again with no net increase in the atmospheric CO₂ concentration.

1.1 CO₂ capture
Carbon dioxide capture is not a new concept. Absorption technologies involving aqueous amines have existed for many decades to capture and release CO₂ and H₂S, owing to the reversible reaction between amines and acidic gases.⁷ These technologies have been applied in industrial processes, which primarily use aqueous MEA (monoethanolamine) to capture CO₂ from natural gas (pre-combustion purification). Presently, CO₂ absorption by such solutions is the most advanced technology available for CO₂ capture on an industrial scale.⁸,⁹

There are currently 51 large scale carbon capture and storage facilities around the world, 19 of which are in operation.¹⁰ These include Canada’s Boundary Dam facility, the USA’s Petra Nova facility in Texas and Norway’s Sleipner-T platform in the North Sea, which use mature aqueous amine-based capture systems. However, there is a multitude of drawbacks with aqueous amine solutions: their CO₂ uptake needs to be significantly improved upon,⁸ they are highly corrosive in nature¹¹ and they suffer poisoning and deactivation from SOₓ and NOₓ gases.⁹ Also, they incur a high energy penalty for regeneration, at one point reportedly up to 40% of the energy output of a power plant,¹² although costs are reducing as improvements are made.¹⁰ Therefore, to expand CO₂ capture globally to reduce the hundreds of megatons of post-combustion CO₂ emitted from powerplants each year, these technologies need to be adapted and advanced. The UK power station Drax is pilot-testing a new amine-free solvent developed by C-Capture for post-combustion CO₂ capture. This technology is superior to traditional amine solutions in that it is less corrosive and has...
a longer lifetime. Evolving beyond solvents altogether however, the materials research community has a growing interest in solid adsorbents. These can have certain advantages over the proven aqueous amines such as their relative ease of handling, higher adsorption capacities, reduced regeneration energy penalties and good recyclability. Many solid sorbents are being studied for CO2 capture, including physiosorbents such as MOFs (metal–organic frameworks), COFs (covalent organic frameworks), zeolites, AC (activated carbon) and N-doped carbon black, and chemisorbents such as alkali-metal-carbonates, and amine-functionalised adsorbents that frequently feature polyamines. Since amines chemically react with CO2, they are often highly selective and are therefore suited to adsorb CO2 from dilute and ultra-dilute sources such as flue gas or air. Therefore, much research is committed to amine-based adsorbents, and in understanding and optimising their CO2 sorption and separation processes from the lab to an industrial scale.

1.2 CO2 utilisation

To incentivise investment in CO2 capture technologies and find a mechanism by which to exploit a readily available chemical feedstock, CO2 utilisation is now a rapidly growing area, and research in the field has expanded dramatically over the last decade. The reduction of CO2 to higher-value products is an important process for utilising some of the enormous quantity of CO2 that needs to be removed from the atmosphere. Creating a circular economy, where carbon can be recycled again and again, will provide a financial incentive for CO2 capture. Besides, it should mean that traditional fossil fuels, currently removed from the Earth, could be synthesised instead by using CO2 utilisation.

Carbon dioxide is already utilised at a commercial scale through Enhanced Oil Recovery. In this process, compressed CO2 is injected into porous rock to displace crude oil and force it into a production well. Some of the utilised CO2 is then stored underground in the rock spaces. Several commercial companies capture CO2 directly from the air and utilise it in different ways. Some, including Climeworks, pipe captured CO2 to nearby greenhouses. The CO2-rich atmosphere promotes the growth of food crops and the carbon dioxide is converted into carbohydrates, which stores it temporarily before the food is consumed. Other companies, including Carbon Engineering, are creating synthetic fuels, such as gasoline, diesel and jet fuel. This is achieved by obtaining hydrogen from water, then combining it with CO2 to make synthetic crude, or ‘syncrude’, then processed into fuels. The company claims that the produced fuels are cleaner-burning than fossil fuels and add ‘no new carbon dioxide to the atmosphere’.

The electrochemical reduction of CO2 is another promising, but emerging, technique. Rather than making long-chain hydrocarbons (such as diesel), it makes shorter chain hydrocarbons such as methane (the main component of natural gas), ethane and ethanol, which are high-value commodity feedstocks. All of these short-chain molecules are in wide use today but are largely obtained from fossil fuels. Production of such molecules from CO2 would not only financially incentivise carbon capture technology but also has the potential to significantly reduce our dependence on fossil fuels and their high carbon footprint.

1.3 Amine-based materials for CO2 capture and utilisation (CCU)

Amines and polyamines have been identified as a group of compounds that are highly promising for CO2 capture and have found increasing application in methods to enable CO2 utilisation. This review will discuss the role of amine-based materials in CCU, with some focus on polyamines. In Section 2, we consider the parameters that define an ideal adsorbent and introduce the practicalities of analysing new adsorbents during the lab-based development stage. This includes comparing common practices used in CO2 capture performance evaluation and highlighting the factors that are most important in developing new or improving existing CO2 sorbents. In Section 3, we introduce a range of amines and amine-based CO2 adsorbents, and look at how these materials are developed and how their CO2 uptake behaviours are optimised. In Section 4, we look at methods by which the best adsorbents are identified for industrial scale-up. Section 5 considers some of the most successful commercial CO2 capture ventures to date, comparing their sorption technologies and CO2 markets. Finally, in Section 6, we review literature examples in which amines and related nitrogen-containing compounds have been applied in CO2 utilisation via electrochemical reduction.

2 Adsorbent: parameters and analysis for CO2 capture

In this section, various parameters and performance indicators that are important for the evaluation of the separation potential of an adsorbent are defined. Also considered are the various methods and operating conditions used for the analysis of proposed CO2 adsorbents. This information is essential to the researcher for the improvement of existing, or discovery of new, carbon capture materials.

2.1 Essential parameters of an adsorbent

The separation potential of an adsorbent for a given application is determined using three major parameters, namely: adsorption capacity, selectivity, and heat of adsorption. Adsorption capacity is defined as the amount of the adsorbate taken up by the adsorbent surface per unit mass of the adsorbent. It is the absolute amount of gas adsorbed and can be referred to as equilibrium or breakthrough capacity depending on the method of measurement (as discussed in Section 2.2). Researchers commonly focus on the adsorption capacity of an adsorbent as a screening metric. However, an equally important factor is the material’s regenerability for it to be relevant in a real-time separation process. For this purpose, the concept of the working capacity (WC) of an adsorbent has been developed. Adsorbent working capacity is defined as the difference in
adsorbate loading at adsorption and desorption conditions. In addition to separation potential, it is an essential parameter for sizing the separation unit and determining the capital cost involved.

An important factor for evaluating an adsorbent separation potential is its selectivity. This is the preferential affinity of the adsorbent towards the desired adsorbate (CO₂ for example) over other components present in the gas mixture (such as nitrogen) to achieve high product purity. Selectivity is defined as the mole fraction ratio of the desired component in the adsorbed phase to that in the gas phase at a certain condition. The heat of adsorption is another important adsorbent characteristic. This is a measure of the heat generated during the adsorption process and the heat required to carry out the desorption step. It determines the extent of thermal effects and the cost of separation in terms of the energy requirement.

An ideal adsorbent must have a high working capacity and preferential affinity/high selectivity with a low heat of adsorption. However, an adsorbent exhibiting a high heat of adsorption indicates a strong adsorbent-adsorbate interaction, and therefore high selectivity, but potentially high energy-demanding regeneration conditions. Hence, there is always a trade-off between these adsorbent performance parameters and it is challenging to obtain an adsorbent that meets all the desired criteria.

2.2 CO₂ adsorption analysis methods and conditions

Carbon capture materials are analysed for their CO₂ uptake behaviour by measuring adsorption on exposure to CO₂ by different methods including gravimetric, volumetric, and breakthrough analyses. In gravimetric analysis, the sample is placed in a chamber into which a CO₂-containing gas flows, and the relative weight increase of the sample is interpreted as CO₂ uptake, Fig. 1a. These experiments are frequently carried out under atmospheric pressure by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), or a custom-built system in which the sample is weighed before and after exposure to CO₂. Examples include the CO₂-filled balloon set-up used by Xu et al.,27 or the U-shaped glass tube gas delivery system used by Chen and co-workers.28 In volumetric analysis, the sample is placed in a cell and CO₂ is introduced at certain increasing partial pressures, with corresponding pressure loss in the cell interpreted as CO₂ uptake by the adsorbent, Fig. 1b. A breakthrough analysis is carried out by packing the sample into an adsorption column, or tube, through which pure CO₂ or a CO₂-containing gas of concentration C₀ is passed. The CO₂ concentration (C) in the gas exiting the adsorption column is measured using gas chromatography, mass spectrometry or infrared spectroscopy (IR) and this is recorded as a function of time to plot a ‘breakthrough curve’ from which the adsorption capacity is calculated, Fig. 1c. TGA is the most common adsorption analysis method, however, breakthrough analysis is the method most representative of the industrial gas adsorption process.

In developing new adsorbents, researchers primarily focus on measuring CO₂ capacity for various conditions of temperature, CO₂ partial pressure and humidity. Often, CO₂ adsorbents are tested under pure CO₂ at 1 bar, as they perform better both thermodynamically and kinetically under a higher concentration of CO₂.29 However researchers also set up conditions commonly designed to replicate either post-combustion/flue gas or DAC conditions. The relevant adsorption temperatures for DAC are from ambient to around 35 °C, and for post-combustion conditions from 40 °C to above 100 °C.31 Industrial flue gases and air contain a mixture of gases, of which CO₂ is a minor component, ranging in concentration from a global average of just above 410 ppm in air, to 5–15% for post-combustion emissions, depending on the fuel source.31 The remaining gas is mainly composed of various amounts of N₂, H₂O and O₂. Therefore, for adsorption tests under a low partial pressure of CO₂, a dilute source of 400 ppm or 10% CO₂ within a mixed gas system is used. The balance is often composed of an inert gas such as N₂ to best represent working conditions, however, Ar or He are also used in some cases. Alternatively, for DAC conditions, Goeppert et al. used ambient air directly from the laboratory, drying over silica gel prior to adsorption tests,32 while Gebald and co-workers used technical grade pressurised air with a CO₂ concentration of 400–530 ppm.33 Under a volumetric apparatus set-up, a single component (CO₂ only) gas system may be used to test for adsorption under low partial pressures. CO₂ is introduced at increasing pressures to measure an adsorption isotherm, and adsorption at 400 ppm or 10% CO₂ can be interpolated at 0.0004 bar or around 0.1 bar for DAC and post-combustion conditions respectively.34

Adsorption–desorption experiments are commonly conducted on new adsorbents, sometimes with up to 100 cycles.33, 35, 36 These are particularly valuable for extended-use stability studies. In designing these experiments, the times set for the adsorption and desorption steps vary widely, with the adsorption step not always sufficiently long enough to allow the adsorbent to achieve its equilibrium capacity, as would be most likely under industrial applications.

2.3 Reporting of CO₂ adsorption data

CO₂ adsorption capacity is commonly reported in the format of mmol CO₂ per g adsorbent but is also reported as g or mg CO₂ per g adsorbent or as weight% (wt%) of adsorbent. Studies on polyethyleneimine (PEI)-loaded fibre adsorbents, by Lebrache et al.,37,38 and Sujan et al.,39 reported the CO₂ capacity of amine-loaded fibres as mmol CO₂ per g fibre. The ambiguity of this unit suggests that the reported uptake may not take into account the weight of the polyamine itself, which would be...
informative as to the overall efficiency of the adsorbent and enable clearer comparison to adsorbents outside of the study. Similarly, Kwon et al. have reported the CO$_2$ adsorption of PEI impregnated silica in terms of mmol CO$_2$ per g SiO$_2$.29

Cross-study comparisons of adsorbents’ reported CO$_2$ capacities are not straightforward partly due to widely differing physical analysis conditions, but furthermore, adsorption is a dynamic process and defining boundaries is somewhat arbitrary, therefore, every capacity value comes with certain caveats. Researchers frequently carry out one adsorption event, which is continued until equilibrium capacity, or close to equilibrium capacity, is reached. This may take a matter of minutes or hours depending on the adsorbent and conditions, but the researcher must select an adsorption threshold at which point the capacity is measured. In reporting the results of their breakthrough analyses, Sujan and co-workers39 usefully recorded both a breakthrough and a pseudo-equilibrium capacity and defined these as uptake at the time at which 5%, and 95%, respectively, of $C_0$, is detected in the column eluent ($C$). It followed that the breakthrough capacity was lower than pseudo-equilibrium uptake, at about two thirds. In contrast, Kwon et al. took the final capacity of a pore-expanded hyperbranched aminosilica adsorbent at the point at which the uptake rate became less than 0.2 $\mu$mol CO$_2$ per min, which gave a higher value than for when the breakthrough curve reached 95% of $C_0$.

Although it is informative to determine the maximum uptake potential of an adsorbent, a high equilibrium capacity may not indicate a ‘good’ adsorbent if the CO$_2$ uptake is slow. Knowledge of adsorption kinetics is necessary to fully understand the potential of an adsorbent. Chen et al. considered the CO$_2$ adsorption kinetics of a PEI impregnated resin by looking at its adsorption behaviour over a range of temperatures from 25 °C to 90 °C.28 Building a model to describe adsorption with both a fast step (surface CO$_2$-amine reactions) and a slow step (sub-surface CO$_2$-amine reactions), it was found that 75 °C was the optimum temperature in terms of the adsorption rate and diffusion parameter, so further cyclic adsorption–desorption experiments were conducted at this temperature.

### 2.4 Adsorbent metrics and process performance indicators

The industrial deployment of CO$_2$ separation presents many challenges to the scientist and engineer. One of these is the identification of suitable candidate adsorbents that perform favourably at industrially relevant conditions.

To measure the CO$_2$ uptake of an adsorbent, adsorption–desorption isotherms (primarily single component isotherms) are frequently collected. Isotherms of other gas components such as N$_2$, CH$_4$ and water may also be evaluated, often as single-component isotherms. Experimental single component adsorption data is fitted using isotherm models, e.g., Langmuir, Freundlich, Dual site Langmuir etc. Empirically extended forms of isotherm models, sometimes including the Ideal Adsorbed Solution Theory (IAST), are used to predict the multi-component adsorption isotherms to evaluate the adsorbent CO$_2$ separation potential. The experimental measurement of multi-component adsorption isotherm data is a labour-intensive task. Therefore, very often, the more limited single-component adsorption isotherm data is used to assess adsorbents. However, single-component adsorption data cannot exhibit competitive adsorption between gas components [e.g. for CO$_2$/N$_2$]; different gases can compete strongly for the same sites, especially in the case of physisorbents such as zeolites or MOFs. This could lead to uncertainty in the agreement between the experimental multi-component adsorption data and the predicted data based on the isotherm model. Hence, it is imperative to accurately predict the CO$_2$ separation ability of the sorbents in the most industrially relevant conditions, which can be achieved by identifying and calculating the adsorbent screening metrics that are critical to recognising the best adsorbents at the process level. An adsorbent screening metric can assist in the initial screening of multiple adsorbents.

Over the last few decades, several metrics have been defined to determine the efficacy of adsorbents to accurately categorise them based on their equilibrium isotherm data, Table 1. Common metrics are the adsorbent working capacity and selectivity.

It should be noted that absolute capacity is not a suitable measurement of a material’s performance; rather working capacity is more informative. The working capacity of an adsorbent can be calculated for the $i$-th component of both single component (WC$_{i,\text{pure}}$) and multi-component (WC$_{i,\text{mix}}$) adsorption processes, with the multi-component WC being more relevant to CO$_2$ separation processes. Harlick and Tezel used the working capacity to rank 13 zeolites for CO$_2$ separation from flue gas in a pressure swing adsorption (PSA) process.46 In another study, the adsorption capacity of CO$_2$ was used to rank over 120 adsorbents including zeolites, carbons, silica and MOFs under flue gas relevant conditions of 35 °C and 0.1 bar CO$_2$.47

Selectivity is analogous to relative volatility in distillation and is another commonly used metric. It has been defined in different ways in the literature while the most regularly used form is given in Table 1. Again, it can be calculated for both pure-component and mixed-component gas adsorption processes. The difference between these two selectivities is with regards to accounting for competitive adsorption while calculating the equilibrium loading. One of the methods used to calculate an adsorbent selectivity is by simply taking the ratios of Henry’s law constants. However, this is a very raw method for adsorbent selection based on single-component data.41

Many researchers have considered either of the metrics (working capacity/selectivity) while evaluating an adsorbent under a given set of operating conditions. Nevertheless, these metrics alone are not sufficient to evaluate the performance of the adsorbents, especially at the process level.48 Also, thermal fluctuations caused by the exothermic adsorption process are not accounted for by either of the parameters.49 Thus, other adsorbent performance metrics have been developed by encompassing both of these parameters with a weighing factor to each$^{42,44}$ and are usually referred to as figures of
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption capacity (mmol g(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single component working capacity, WC(_{i,pure}) (mmol g(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-component working capacity, WC(_{i,mix}) (mmol g(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-component selectivity, (\alpha_{i})</td>
<td>&amp; (q_{i,ads}) &amp; Present work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-component selectivity, (\alpha_{i2,mix})</td>
<td>&amp; (q_{i,ads,pure} - q_{i,ads}) &amp; Present work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry selectivity, (\alpha_{i})</td>
<td>&amp; (q_{i,ads,mix} - q_{i,ads,pure}) &amp; Present work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiabatic separation factor, (\alpha)</td>
<td>&amp; (q_{i,ads,mix,F_{i2}}) &amp; Present work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption figure of merit (AFM) (mmol g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>&amp; (q_{i,ads,pure,F_{i2,bed}}) &amp; Present work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA parameter, (S)</td>
<td>&amp; (q_{i,ads,mix,F_{i}^{feed}}) &amp; Present work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorbent performance indicator (API)</td>
<td>&amp; (H_{i})</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp; (H_{i2})</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp; (WC_{i,1,mix})</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp; (WC_{i,2,mix})</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp; (WC_{i,1,mix}^{2,mix,ads}) &amp; (\alpha_{i2,mix,ads}) &amp; 45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp; (WC_{i,2,mix}^{2,mix,des}) &amp; (\alpha_{i2,mix,des}) &amp; 45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp; ((\alpha_{i2,mix} - 1)^{4}WC_{i,mix}) &amp; (</td>
<td>\Delta H_{ads,1})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(q_{i,ads,pure}\) and \(q_{i,ads}\) - single-component adsorption capacity for component \(i\) with \(i = 1, 2\) under adsorption and desorption conditions, respectively. \(q_{i,ads,mix}\) and \(q_{i,ads,mix}\) - multi-component adsorption capacity for component \(i\) with \(i = 1, 2\) under adsorption and desorption conditions, respectively. \(y\) - molar gas phase composition (mole fraction) of component \(i\) with \(i = 1, 2\). \(H_{i}\) - Henry's law constant for component \(i\) with \(i = 1, 2\). \(WC_{i,pure}\) - single component working capacity of component \(i\) with \(i = 1, 2\). \(WC_{i,mix}\) - multi-component working capacity of component \(i\) with \(i = 1, 2\). \(\alpha_{i2,mix,ads}\) and \(\alpha_{i2,mix,des}\) - equilibrium multi-component selectivity of component 1 over component 2 at adsorption conditions & feed composition and desorption conditions & feed composition, respectively. \(\alpha_{i2,mix}\) - equilibrium multi-component selectivity of component 1 over component 2. \(\Delta H_{ads,1}\) - heat of adsorption of component 1 (strongly adsorbed component).

merit/performance indicators. These metrics have been defined for a binary system with component 1 and 2 where component 1 is the more strongly adsorbed species than component 2.

Ackley and co-workers proposed the concept of the adiabatic separation factor (\(\alpha\)) to evaluate the bulk separation performance of an adsorbent at or near the actual process conditions. This factor was defined as the ratio of the working capacities of two adsorbate components under non-isothermal multi-component conditions. For bulk gas separation, an adsorbent or a range of adsorbents can be selected using the adiabatic separation factor, the adiabatic working capacity of the desired product and/or the product of these two parameters. In this work, two adsorbents NaX and LiX were used for separating air into N\(_2\) and O\(_2\). Based on the adsorption isotherms, NaX is a weaker adsorbent for N\(_2\) adsorption compared to LiX. The adiabatic separation factor was calculated for both the adsorbents at various temperatures (240–340 K) and the weaker NaX turned out to be the preferred adsorbent for low temperature applications of <265 K on account of its higher \(\alpha\) value up to 265 K.\(^{42}\)

Notaro et al.\(^{42}\) proposed a lumped parameter called the adsorption figure of merit (AFM) for adsorbent selection for different sections of a bed, containing different adsorbents, to separate N\(_2\) from air using a PSA system. It uses the multi-component equilibrium loadings at adsorption and desorption conditions instead of pure component equilibrium data. For O\(_2\)-N\(_2\) separation, the AFM was calculated for four different zeolite adsorbents at various adsorption temperatures (250–320 K). For a given adsorption temperature, the suitable adsorbent was the one with the highest AFM value at that temperature. For instance, NaX having the highest AFM value of 2.5 was the preferred adsorbent at the lowest temperature of 250 K while LiX and CaLiX were the preferred adsorbents for temperatures higher than 270 K.\(^{43}\) The rationale behind this metric is not explained and it is being used as an empirical rule of thumb.\(^{45}\)

The PSA parameter (\(S\)) was proposed by Rege and Yang for adsorbent selection in a PSA system to separate a binary mixture. For this metric, the working capacity of both components was combined with the equilibrium selectivity of component 1 over component 2. The extended Langmuir isotherm model was used for calculating this metric implying \(\alpha_{i2,mix}\) constant for the entire partial pressure range and is equal to the ratio of Henry’s constants (also called Henry’s selectivity, \(\alpha_{i1}\)) of the two components, though other models can be used to calculate the selectivity. A direct relationship between the PSA parameter and product recovery, purity and throughput for air separation using molecular sieve zeolites has been demonstrated. The PSA parameter \(S\) was calculated for LiX and NaX adsorbents for N\(_2\) and O\(_2\) separation for pressure ratios (adsorption pressure/desorption pressure) ranging from 2 to 10. An increase in the product (O\(_2\)) recovery was observed with an increase in the value of the parameter \(S\) over the entire pressure ratio. Also, a higher value of \(S\) indicated better separation performance of the adsorbent with LiX being superior to NaX for O\(_2\) and N\(_2\) separation as reported in previous studies.\(^{44}\) However, the PSA parameter does not take into consideration the different objectives of the separation process such as bulk separation (concentration of the desired component in feed stream >10%) or gas purification (concentration of the desired component in feed stream <10%; usually <2%).\(^{45}\) This was accounted for by another lumped parameter known as the adsorbent performance indicator (API) proposed by Wiersum et al.\(^{45}\)
It accommodates for the relative significance of various adsorbent properties, i.e. working capacity, selectivity and heat of adsorption (as shown in Table 1). The objective of this metric is to provide an initial assessment of adsorbents at an early stage for a given gas separation, thus, identifying the most promising adsorbents for additional assessment at the process level. The heat of adsorption (of the strongly adsorbed component) is in the denominator of the metric as heat produced during the adsorption process is deleterious to adsorption performance. A weighing factor \((A, B\) and \(C\)) is assigned to each parameter to adjust their relative significance. For bulk separation, an adsorbent’s working capacity is more significant, while for gas purification selectivity has higher significance. The default value of the weighing factors is set to 1 though they can be chosen arbitrarily or from experimental data for a particular gas separation. For all the above-mentioned metrics, the higher the value of the calculated metric, the better the separation performance of the adsorbent under given operating conditions.

Wiersum et al.\(^4\) used their API for comparing various adsorbents (zeolite, molecular sieve carbon, MOFs) for \(\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4\) separation under two different processes (bulk separation and natural gas purification) and compared their results with those obtained by Rege and Yang’s PSA parameter.\(^4\) Operating conditions involved adsorption and desorption pressures of 80 bar and 1 bar, respectively, with a feed composition of 50% \(\text{CO}_2\) and 5% \(\text{CO}_2\) (rest \(\text{CH}_4\)) for bulk separation and natural gas purification, respectively. Both the parameters indicated that the zeolite adsorbent, having the highest selectivity, was the preferred adsorbent for natural gas purification. Values of \(S\) and API for the best performing zeolite adsorbent were very high, ca. 40 and ca. 200 respectively, compared to the rest of the adsorbent materials (with values of \(S\) and API in the range of 0–8 and 0–40 respectively); however, in the case of bulk separation, the API indicated that two mesoporous MOFs with higher volumetric working capacities were better choices having API values in the range of 8–10 compared to values of <6 for other adsorbents. In contrast, Rege and Yang’s PSA parameter suggested the zeolite with a value of \(S\) ca. 75 was the preferred adsorbent though the zeolite had a much lower volumetric working capacity than the reported MOFs (the values of \(S\) for the MOFs were ca. 30). This could possibly be due to non-inclusion of the energy requirement for the large amount of \(\text{CO}_2\) in the PSA parameter. Krishna and co-workers defined a new metric called the separation potential by combining the adsorption capacity and IAST-based selectivity to screen and rank MOFs for \(\text{CO}_2\) separation in a fixed-bed adsorber. Diffusion limitations were ignored while deriving this separation potential and thus it can only be used for preliminary screening purposes without the need to perform detailed breakthrough experiments/simulations at the laboratory scale.\(^5\)

Although limited research has been conducted on the specific grading of amine-based adsorbents according to their metrics as discussed above, these metrics are entirely applicable to such materials. One commonly asserted advantage of amine-based sorbents over physisorbents is that the strong interaction between \(\text{CO}_2\) and amine groups, as discussed in Section 3 and illustrated in Fig. 2, results in highly selective \(\text{CO}_2\) adsorption. Theoretically, this translates into high separation potential, however, this cannot be assumed as many amine-based adsorbents can have physisorbent components or character which may promote the uptake of molecules such as \(\text{N}_2\), water and \(\text{CH}_4\), therefore thorough selectivity analysis is essential.\(^5\)

Fig. 2  Mechanism of reaction of \(\text{CO}_2\) with (a) primary- or secondary-amines in the absence of water and (b) tertiary amines in the presence of water.
 fuller understanding of their behaviours. The extent to which the balance between purity and recovery, productivity and parasitic energy lies in favour of these adsorbents’ application at the process level ultimately depends on their design and the resulting material properties and characteristics.

Performance targets for CO2 capture systems have been set by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) at 95% CO2 purity and 90% recovery. It is more feasible to achieve these targets where the desired product is the weakly adsorbed component (also called the light product) and is collected during the adsorption step, for instance H2 purification. During the adsorption step, the heavy product is adsorbed on the adsorbent surface while the light product exits the bed and is collected. Hence, the purity and recovery of the desired light component will not be impeded here by the heavy component. However, given that CO2 is the heavy product in a given gas mixture, i.e. it adsorbs first on almost all the applicable adsorbents, during regeneration/blowdown (collection of the heavy component), the CO2 is accompanied by some light product (usually N2) present in the gas phase which makes it more difficult to reach these targets.

Productivity and parasitic energy define the economic feasibility of the capture process. A separation cycle must be configured such that maximum productivity is achieved with the minimum parasitic energy. However, there is often a trade-off between these two parameters, i.e. an adsorbent meeting the technical requirements of a CO2 separation process could have high productivity with a high energy requirement or low productivity but with a low energy requirement.

Berger and Bhown developed a simplified model to calculate the parasitic energy of CO2 separation using a TSA process for the in silico screening of a huge number of zeolite-based adsorbents (both physisorbents and chemisorbents). Lin et al. also used parasitic energy as a metric for screening thousands of zeolite and zeolitic imidazolate framework adsorbents in a PTSA (pressure-temperature swing adsorption) process for dry flue gas separation.

### 3 Carbon dioxide adsorbents

#### 3.1 The role of amine functionality in CO2 capture

In the solution phase, amines react with CO2 by an established acid–base reaction via a zwitterion mechanism, published by Caplow in 1968, and outlined in Fig. 2a. In the case of primary or secondary amines, the reaction involves the initial formation of a C–N bond to form carbamic acid, followed by transfer of the amine proton of the carbamic acid to a free base such as a second amine (or water), forming the carbamate salt. Tertiary amines do not have a free proton so cannot form carbamic acid to react with CO2 by the same route taken by primary and secondary amines. Rather, they form highly unstable carboxylates due to steric hindrance, as well as such, tertiary amines react via a different route, only possible in the presence of water, as outlined in Fig. 2b. This mechanism, which was proposed by Donaldson and Nguyen in 1980, involves the tertiary amine acting as a base catalyst to deprotonate water, which, during deprotonation, reacts with CO2. The final product of the reaction is ammonium bicarbonate. Generally, the kinetics of the formation of the bicarbonate is slow, therefore tertiary amines have the lowest reaction rates with CO2.

For both mechanisms, the presence of water enables each amine to adsorb one CO2 molecule, therefore giving an amine efficiency (moles of CO2 per moles of amine) of 1. Under dry conditions, two molecules of primary or secondary amines are required to react with one molecule of CO2, giving a lower maximum amine efficiency of 0.5. The formation of the bicarbonate ion is also beneficial in the transport of CO2 through an adsorbent, as the bicarbonate ion is able to ‘hop’ efficiently between fixed quaternary ammonium sites.

The products of the adsorbed CO2 on reaction with amines in the solid phase have been elucidated primarily with the application of FTIR studies and solid state NMR. Under dry conditions, carbamate and carboxylic acid species have been identified, and in the presence of moisture, a number of structures can form including monodentate carbonate, bidentate carbonate, monodentate bicarbonate and bidentate bicarbonate. These different species may be formed under slightly variable conditions depending on the duration of adsorption runs, molar ratios of CO2 and water molecules, and factors specific to the amines such as density and mobility. In order to optimise CO2 adsorption, it is desirable to understand and control the adsorption products.

Studies by Zelenak et al. and Hahn et al. compared the mechanism of CO2 adsorption by (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (APTS) and trimethoxysilyl-propylsilane. Zelenak et al. looked at the effect of basicity on CO2 adsorption and found that under dry conditions, the lower reactivity of the secondary amine to CO2,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance indicator</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product purity (%)</td>
<td>Moles of desired component in the product stream (\times 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product recovery (%)</td>
<td>Total moles of all components in the product stream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product productivity (mol kg(^{-1}) s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>Moles of desired component in the feed stream (\times 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasitic energy (kW h per ton)</td>
<td>Moles of desired component in the product stream (\times 100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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First contact between the adsorbent and CO₂, the heats of adsorption for the model primary and secondary amines, (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane and N-methylaminopropyl-trimethoxysilane, were calculated as 130 kJ mol⁻¹ and 88 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. Their higher reactivity to CO₂, and the higher heat of adsorption of primary amines can be advantageous in increasing adsorption rate, and would explain the higher amine efficiencies of primary amines when exposed to CO₂ at ultra-low partial pressures. However, since the heat of adsorption represents the energy required to remove CO₂ to re-generate the adsorbent, the lower heat of adsorption of secondary and tertiary amines would be advantageous in reducing regeneration energy. More recent work by the Jones group, in which heats of adsorption were measured directly by calorimetry, found that the heats of adsorption of strongly basic primary and secondary amines were approximately equal, at around 90 kJ mol⁻¹. Considering the CO₂ uptakes and heats of adsorption for a range of aminosilanes of varying steric constraints, the authors postulated that the higher CO₂ adsorption observed for primary amines under dilute conditions is more likely to be dependent on entropic rather than enthalpic factors.

Fundamental CO₂-reactivity studies are often carried out on adsorbents with discrete amino molecules of defined functionality. Results of these studies do not consistently translate for many polyamine-based adsorbents, which may contain a range of amine functionalities. For example, in contrast to Didas et al.’s study on smaller molecule amine adsorbents, a study on two large polymer-based adsorbents featuring either only primary amines or a mixture of primary, secondary and tertiary found that the former was not superior in terms of CO₂ capacity especially at higher loading. It was suggested this was due to the primary amines occurring on pendent chains of the hydrocarbon backbone, while the mixed amine adsorbent featured primary amines at the ends of the chains, thus being far more accessible to CO₂. Accessibility is a crucial factor in adsorbent performance, along with stability. These are highly influenced by many elements including different supporting materials or modifiers, which can affect the chemistry of the amine, loading – which is related to density, and molecular size, often affecting mobility. These topics, with respect to polyamine adsorbents in particular, are discussed in Section 3.3 after an introduction to the variety of polyamine-based CO₂ adsorbents in Section 3.2.

3.2 Solid polyamine CO₂ adsorbents

Solid polyamine sorbents are a broad and varied family of materials. In the most common instances, amines are supported on another material, described as supports, which impart the solid structure. There is a vast range of materials that are used as supports including, but not limited to, inorganic oxides, polymeric fibres, carbon-based materials and organic and coordination polymers. Polymamines may also be cross-linked to form a solid network by reaction with a minor component co-polymer.

In this section, we reflect on the diversity of solid polyamine CO₂ capture materials. These have been divided according to support material: (i) polyamines supported on inorganic oxides; (ii) polyamines supported on other materials; and (iii) polyamine adsorbents that do not feature a support material. We then consider the major factors affecting their adsorption behaviours and how challenges have been overcome from a materials design perspective. Table S1 (see ESI†) presents the CO₂ adsorption data for a range of selected adsorbents where it is reported for low partial pressures relevant to direct air capture (DAC) conditions (~400 ppm CO₂) and post-combustion conditions (5–15% CO₂).

3.2.1 Inorganic-oxide supported polyamine adsorbents

To be employed as an effective solid CO₂ sorbent, polyamines are frequently supported on high surface area materials such as silica or alumina featuring meso or macroporosity. Advantages of inorganic-oxide supported amine adsorbents include their relatively low-cost precursors, straightforward preparation procedure and potentially high amine loading, with mesoporous silicas being a particularly attractive support due to their defined surface properties, such as surface area, and structure. These adsorbents are typically categorised into three classes depending on their method of preparation (Fig. 3).

Class 1 materials are based on a high surface area porous support physically impregnated with monomeric or polymeric amine species, bonding through hydrogen bonds and/or dipole interactions. There are countless examples of these types of materials, with Xu et al. among the first to report a CO₂ sorbent composed of PEI impregnated into the pores of a mesoporous silica, MCM-41, in 2002. These materials are generally prepared by conventional wet impregnation routes. One example of this technique is that used by Niu et al. in preparing silica nanotube/PEI nanocomposites; the polyamine is first dissolved in methanol then added to silica and stirred to form a slurry before drying under vacuum and/or under heat. The most ubiquitous polyamine used in class 1 adsorbents, and indeed many other types, is polyethyleneimine (PEI), shown in Fig. 4, an easily synthesised, multipurpose polyamine. PEI has a high amine content, with one amine for every two carbon atoms and is most often used in the branched rather than linear form, with an average molecular weight from $M_n$ 400 Da to $M_n$ 25 000 Da. In the branched form, the ratio
of primary: secondary: tertiary amines is 1:1.2:0.76. Class 1 PEI adsorbents have proven highly promising candidates for industrial CO$_2$ capture. Class 2 materials feature low molecular mass amines tethered to a support, commonly as amine-containing organosilanes covalently bonded to silica, or via amine side chains of a polymeric support. These adsorbents were first reported in 1992 by Tsuda et al. In one paper, amino silica gels were prepared by hydrolysis condensation of the products of polyethyleneimines or macrocycle polyamines reacted with trimethoxy(2-phenylethyl)silane. In the other paper, adsorbents were prepared by hydrolysis condensation of aminosilyltrimethoxysilanes. Class 2 materials can also be prepared by grafting amino silanes such as 3-{2-{2-aminoethylamino}ethylamino}propyl trimethoxysilane to a silica support under hydro/solvothermal conditions as described in papers by the group of Sayari – particularly recognised for research on class 2 materials. Within the past decade, a ‘hybrid’ between a class 1 and a class 2 material has emerged in which a porous silica support is both impregnated with PEI and also grafted with (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES). In 2016, this new type of material was proposed as a ‘Class 4’ sorbent, and research has been focussed particularly on understanding the origins of its high thermal stability (vide infra). Class 3 materials feature a porous support within which amine monomers are polymerised in situ within the support. These first emerged in 2008 when Hicks et al. reported a hyperbranched aminosilica material synthesised from the reaction of aziridine with surface silanols of calcined SBA and their subsequent polymerisation in anhydrous toluene. In 2013 Chaikittisilp and co-workers reported a novel vapour-phase transport synthesis method to produce a class 3 sorbent using SBA-15 mesoporous silica and alumina supports with aziridine and azetidine monomers.

3.2.2 Other supported polyamine adsorbents. Polyamines have been utilised with a multitude of other materials and in a variety of combinations to form effective CO$_2$ adsorbents. Composite hollow fibres are attractive supports due to their potential for very high polyamine loading and open porous network. Labreche et al. infused PEI ($M_w$ 800) into hollow fibres composed of cellulose acetate and porous silica particles. Composite hollow fibres impregnated with PEI ($M_w$ 800 Da) have been prepared using poly(amide-imide) (PAI, $M_w$ 55 000 Da) as an alternative to cellulose acetate. PEI ($M_w$ 10 000 Da) cross-linked by the epoxy resin bisphenol A or epichlorohydrin has also been impregnated onto glass fibres.

Graphene has been employed in a polyamine sorbent in several examples. Yang et al. prepared sandwich-like graphene oxide-based silica sheets on which they impregnated PEI ($M_w$ 800 Da), and in 2017, Gadipelli et al. reported an extremely effective CO$_2$ sorbent composed of a highly hierarchical meso- and macroporous graphene-oxide network impregnated with triethylenetetramine (TETA), shown in Fig. 5. In exploring other carbon based substrates, Dillon et al. compared the CO$_2$ adsorption of PEI ($M_w$ 25 000 Da)-functionalised carbon nanotubes and graphite/graphene composites.

Fig. 3 Representation of the three classes of supported amine-based adsorbents. Reprinted with permission from ref. 75. Copyright (2015) American Chemical Society.

Fig. 4 Polyethyleneimine (PEI) in its (a) branched, and (b) linear form.

Fig. 5 SEM micrograph of exfGO-D_7.0TETA, exfoliated graphene-oxide impregnated with 7.0 g g$^{-1}$ TETA. Reproduced from ref. 94 with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry.
Versatile CO₂ sorbents have been synthesised by impregnating PEI onto resins and polymers of intrinsic microporosity (PIMs), with the benefit of PIMs being the ability to dissolve and process the support into desired geometries appropriate for the adsorption system (Fig. 6). There are several examples of polyamines being used in conjunction with MOFs and MOF/SiO₂-hybrids.

An amine-functionalised nanofibrilated cellulose sorbent has been prepared by adding N-(2-aminoethyl)-3-aminopropylmethylmethoxysilane to a cellulose hydrogel, and acrylamide modified polypropylene fibres have been grafted with hyperbranched polyamines synthesised from the reaction between pentaethylenhexamine (PEHA) and methyl acrylate.99

In an innovative approach to utilising polyamines in a CO₂ physisorbent, PEHA and tetraethylenepentamine (TEPA) and have acted as templating reagents in the synthesis of an inorganic gallium phosphte framework featuring 18-membered-ring channels.

3.2.3 Unsupported polyamine adsorbents. A fundamental drawback of supported polyamine sorbents is that the support adds mass, which may increase costs and energy demand during regeneration, without contributing to chemisorption capacity. To be free of a support represents a major advantage in improving the overall efficiency of solid CO₂ sorbents. Cross-linked polyamines may instead be synthesised to produce a solid adsorbent; one method is via the reaction of selected monomers to form porous polymers with amine groups.

In 2012, Wang et al. formed porous polyamine particles by the precipitation polymerisation of N-methyl-N-vinylformamide and di[2-(N-vinylformamido)ethyl].101 Later in 2015, Lee et al. reported the synthesis of a CO₂-sorbent mesoporous resin by the condensation polymerisation of melamine and phenol resin monomers.102 Also in 2015, Sun, Liu and co-workers published two papers in which polymers were synthesised by nucleophilic substitution between small molecule primary diamines and the alkyl chloride monomer 2,4,6-tris(chloromethyl)-mesitylene.71,103 The resulting covalent organic frameworks had secondary amine functionality. Kumar et al. also explored this synthetic approach in developing the polyamine component for supported adsorbents in 2020, by reacting ethylenediamine and propylenediamine with 1,3,5-tris(bromomethyl)benzene and hexakis(bromomethyl) benzene.104 In a different approach, Huang et al. incorporated amine functionality into existing polymer networks by the post-modification of a divinylbenzene–maleic anhydride (DVB–MAH) copolymer by reaction between the acyl chloride groups of the polymer and a series of small molecule and linear amines.105

Another method to synthesise cross-linked polyamines is via the reaction of an existing polyamine with a cross-linking unit. Varying the relative amounts of reactants can control the extent of cross-linking – and therefore the abundance of primary and secondary amines, influencing the material properties. This technique has given rise to a new and diverse group of unsupported materials, which could be considered a new ‘class’ of polyamine-based adsorbent.

In 2014, Andreoli et al. formed a solid CO₂-sorbent by cross-linking PEI (Mₚ 25 000 Da) with fullerene C₆₀, and by Thompson et al. in cross-linking PEI (Mₚ 600 Da) and TEPA using polyaldehyde phosphorous dendrimers. In 2018, Mane et al. further developed the work of Sun et al. from 2015, using nucleophilic substitution of an aromatic alkyl chloride, by switching the diamines for PEI (Mₚ 1800 Da) (Fig. 7). Epichlorohydrin, used to cross-link PEI supported on glass fibres in 2008, was employed in 2018 by Xu and co-workers to form unsupported PEI (Mₚ 25 000 Da) hydrogel beads.27 2019 saw the publication of three studies in which diepoxy crosslinkers yielded effective CO₂ adsorbents. The Andreoli group studied a range of materials synthesised from the cross-linking of PEI (Mₚ 25 000 Da)
with the epoxy resin, bisphenol-A diglycidyl ether (DER), while the Jones group used poly(ethylene glycol)diglycidyl ether to cross-link PEI (Mw 750 000 Da) via an ice-templating method, Fig. 8. In 2020, the researchers further developed this work by employing the triepoxy–triglycidyl trimethylolpropane ether as the cross-linker which could yield a powdery material.

3.3 Factors affecting CO₂ adsorption

3.3.1 Temperature and humidity. The performance of a CO₂ adsorbent is significantly affected by the temperature and humidity of its external working environment. Adsorption is an exothermic phenomenon, therefore, lower temperatures are beneficial for CO₂ uptake. The working temperatures for DAC are generally lower than for post-combustion CO₂ adsorption conditions. However, although the thermodynamics in itself would favour lower temperature conditions, CO₂ adsorption is heavily influenced by adsorption kinetics, which controls the diffusion process – as well as thermodynamics.

Water has also been shown to have a beneficial effect on CO₂ adsorption capacity and kinetic uptake, to a greater or lesser extent, in many studies in which a polyamine adsorbent is exposed to moisture prior to and/or during adsorption. Water may promote adsorption in several ways. Firstly, in the presence of water, the theoretical overall amine efficiency is improved as one mole of CO₂ can react with one mole of amine to form one mole of ammonium bicarbonate, Fig. 2. Secondly, in promoting the formation of the bicarbonate ion, water may suppress the formation of ionic cross-linking between alkylammonium carbamate entities, which has been suggested form a surface diffusion barrier to CO₂. Thirdly, it has been proposed that water can act as a diffusive intermediate, aiding adsorption by acting as a free base for the stabilisation of the zwitterion during carbamate formation, in place of a second amine. This adsorption enhancing effect has also been demonstrated in the presence of methanol, which may also act as a diffusive intermediate in the same way as water. Fourthly, by disrupting inter/intra molecular interactions within the polyamine layer and weakening hydrogen bonds between polyamine chains, water may have a plasticizing effect and increase polymer flexibility.

Hyperbranched polyamine grafted acrylamide-modified polypropylene fibres had a high adsorption capacity of 5.64 mmol CO₂ per g at 25 °C in 10% CO₂/N₂ due to low mass transfer resistance after swelling in water. Similarly, while dry PEI hydrogel beads adsorbed negligible amounts of CO₂ under 15% CO₂/N₂, at ambient temperature, they adsorbed 1.37 mmol g⁻¹ CO₂ when soaked to 70 wt% water content due to better amine accessibility via the water filled pores. CO₂ has also been shown to act as a plasticiser; when incorporated into the structure of PAI/silica/PEI hollow fibre adsorbents, breakthrough CO₂ capacity was improved by 60%. Finally, water can inhibit the formation of urea, which is a common issue in the deactivation of polyamine adsorbents, and a urea-deactivated adsorbent may become fully restored to the active amine adsorbent by hydrolysis under a flow of humid gas.

3.3.2 Design of CO₂ adsorbents. Primarily, the development of CO₂ sorbents has been focussed on optimising the interaction between the sorbent and CO₂ to maximise adsorption capacity and rate of uptake. As amine functionality (specifically primary and secondary) translates into theoretical chemisorption potential, the amine content, or loading, is a key factor to address. Intimately related to polyamine loading are surface area, support (or cross-linker) chemistry, polyamine dispersion and layer thickness. The extent to which these alter adsorption is ultimately down to their effect on diffusion, therefore they cannot be decoupled from temperature and humidity.

3.3.2.1 Polyamine loading. The relationship between polyamine loading and CO₂ adsorption has been explored frequently. Increased polyamine does not necessarily translate into higher adsorption capacity, as excess loading causes the pores of a support to become susceptible to blockages due to thick agglomerations, hindering the diffusion of CO₂. Ionic cross-linking between alkylammonium carbamate ions at the surface of the polyamine layer may contribute to this diffusion barrier, therefore high dispersion of the polyamine is advantageous.

Niu et al. reported adsorption by nanocomposites of mesoporous silica nanotubes impregnated with PEI (Mw 800). At 50 °C under 60% CO₂, adsorption increased with PEI loadings up to 50 wt%, but at 60 wt% PEI, CO₂ adsorption reduced from 1.83 mmol g⁻¹ to <1.5 mmol g⁻¹. Similarly, PEI (Mw 800) impregnated PIM-1 in powdered form was found to have higher CO₂ uptake and amine efficiencies with a 21 wt% PEI loading, compared to 25 wt% loading at 35 °C, under CO₂ partial pressures corresponding to 400 ppm CO₂ and 10% CO₂. The 21 wt% loaded sample adsorbed 0.23 mmol g⁻¹ (0.048 amine efficiency) and 1.15 mmol g⁻¹ (0.24 amine efficiency) at pressures representing 400 ppm and 10% CO₂, respectively. Goepert et al. tested the CO₂ adsorption of PEI (Mw 25 000) deposited on fumed silicas under air at 25 °C. Although the sample with 50 wt% polyamine loading displayed a higher dry CO₂ capacity of 1.71 mmol g⁻¹, compared to 1.18 mmol g⁻¹ for the sample with 33 wt% loading, the latter completely adsorbed CO₂ from the gas flow over a longer period of time (8.3 hours).
then had a much faster saturation rate, as shown in Fig. 9. This was likely due to better CO₂ diffusion and better dispersion of PEI, reflected in a surface area and pore volume almost three times those of the 50 wt% sample at 79.9 m² g⁻¹ and 1.057 m³ g⁻¹, respectively. Interestingly, it was observed that under humid conditions, the 33 wt% adsorbent showed increased uptake to 1.77 mmol g⁻¹, the 50 wt% PEI loaded adsorbent had a reduced CO₂ uptake of 1.41 mmol g⁻¹. This was attributed to the water blocking the pores of the 55 wt% adsorbent, reducing access to the already least exposed amine groups.

Maresz et al. reported decreased CO₂ adsorption (under pure CO₂ at 75 °C) with increased PEI loading on hierarchically porous silica monoliths with surface areas of 275 m² g⁻¹ and 344 m² g⁻¹. Using a larger surface area support of 651 m² g⁻¹ resulted in improved adsorption with increased polyamine loading, up to 4.16 mmol CO₂ per g for the 60 wt% PEI adsorbent. The authors calculated that the lower surface area materials had double the number of PEI layers thus hindering CO₂ diffusion.

Lin et al. compared the CO₂ uptake capacity and the amine efficiency of three composite materials consisting of PEI (Mw 600) impregnated into SBA-15 with a 2-D hexagonal mesostructure, ethane-bridged organosilicas with a 2-D hexagonal mesostructure and ethane-bridged organosilica nanotubes of diameter ~6 nm. Materials of various PEI loadings were tested under 0.1 bar CO₂ at 30 °C, and it was found that the nanotube-based adsorbents displayed the highest adsorption (Fig. 10). Unlike the other adsorbents, increasing the amine loading increased CO₂ capacity, and the highest amine efficiency of 0.22 was achieved at a loading of 11.2 mmol N per g for this material. The authors suggest that the high CO₂ uptake and amine efficiency is achieved despite the high PEI loading, which resulted in pore blockage for the other adsorbents, due to the hierarchical bimodal porous nanotube structure promoting high dispersal of the PEI, and thus good access to CO₂ at low pressure.

Drese et al. explored the use of pore-expanded, ordered mesoporous silicas of pore diameters 11.4–21.6 nm for the synthesis of class 3 adsorbents in order to increase polyamine loading without causing pore blockage. Aziridine polymerisation terminated without causing pore blockage and final amine loadings were lower than expected, therefore the adsorbents captured lower quantities of CO₂ compared to the non-pore expanded materials, with adsorption increasing with amine loading. The highest uptake was 0.85 mmol g⁻¹ for PEHAS13, which had an amine loading of 4.49 mmol N per g. Higher organic loadings were achieved on a class 3 adsorbent by Chaikittisilp et al. who employed a vapour-phase polymerisation technique to synthesise SBA-15 mesoporous silica-supported PEI adsorbents. In a 10% CO₂ source at 25 °C, the adsorbent with an organic loading of 31.1 wt% (7.23 mmol N per g) adsorbed 0.93 mmol CO₂ per g, with an amine efficiency of 0.13, while increasing PEI loading to 41.5 wt% (9.66 mmol N per g) decreased adsorption to 0.43 mmol CO₂ per g, and amine efficiency to 0.04.

As mentioned in Section 3.3.1, additives to the polyamine can significantly affect adsorption performance. Sakwa-Novak et al. reported that the incorporation of PEG 200 (polyethylene glycol 200) into a silica-supported PEI (Mw 800) adsorbent increased the amine efficiency at any polyamine loading by up to 60%. That the highest loaded adsorbents displayed overlapping data suggested that the amount of PEI on the silica wall or in the bulk phase might have been similar. Comparing their CO₂ uptakes from 30 to 50 °C, it was found that the adsorbents with PEG 200 showed a more drastic decrease in amine efficiency at higher temperature, suggesting that they were less subject to diffusion resistance and more thermodynamically controlled. It was suggested that this originated from the clustering of the PEI with the PEG, forming smaller aggregates, thus reducing CO₂-induced interamine cross-linking and associated diffusional limitations.

Self-supported cross-linked polyamine adsorbents behaviours are also heavily influenced by the relative polyamine content as demonstrated by Yoo and co-workers in a set of poly(ethylene glycol) diglycidyl ether cross-linked PEI (Mw 750 000) materials. Adsorption at lower temperatures (25 °C) increased with decreasing relative amine loading. E50-FZ, with the highest amine content at 16.6 mmol N per g, adsorbed 0.25 mmol CO₂ g⁻¹, whereas E200-FZ, with 8.2 mmol N per g
adsorbed 2.01 mmol g⁻¹. At 75 °C, however, the trend was reversed, with E50-FZ adsorbing up to 3.00 mmol CO₂ g⁻¹ (Fig. 11). This was due to the higher amine content, which, at lower temperature, more thermodynamically favourable to adsorption, was mostly inaccessible to CO₂ due to diffusion constraints, but at 75 °C, the additional energy enabled the CO₂ to overcome this diffusion barrier as kinetic effects became more dominant.117 Interestingly, Sun et al. improved the accessibility of the amines within a self-supported polyamine adsorbent by introducing a surfactant template during polymerisation between monomers 2,4,6-tris(chloromethyl)mesitylene and ethylene diamine.71 The adsorbent synthesised using the template showed mesoporosity and pore size of 4 nm. It displayed CO₂ uptake 19% higher than the non-templated adsorbent at 1.93 mmol g⁻¹ at 22 °C and 1 bar CO₂.

3.3.2.2 Effect of the support. As described above, polyamine loading is inextricably linked to the morphological character of the support material. However, the chemical modification of supports has been carried out to influence polyamine loading and improve adsorption. Heydari-Gorji et al. modified the surface of pore-expanded MCM-41 mesoporous silica with a layer of long-chain alkyltrimethylammonium cations, onto which they deposited PEI (Mₙ 423) and TEPA, shown in Fig. 12a. At 75 °C under pure CO₂, the support-modified material displayed 2.3 times higher CO₂ adsorption than the unmodified adsorbent, Fig. 12b. The authors suggested that the higher adsorption was due to decreased diffusion resistance arising from better dispersion of PEI in the modified material by penetration of the hydrophobic alkyl chain network layer. A similar technique was applied by Sanz et al. in optimising the adsorption performance of hybrid class 1/2 adsorbents by double functionalisation of pore-expanded MCM-41 silica supports by impregnation of PEI (Mₙ 800), PEHA, and TEPA over samples grafted with aminopropyl and diethylenetriamino organosilanes.128 Under 1 bar pure CO₂ at 45 °C, double functionalisation increased both adsorption capacity and amine efficiency for the 10% PEI loaded samples, and increased capacity for the 10% and 30% PEHA loaded samples. However, higher polyamine loadings led to reduced adsorption, as shown in Fig. 13, due to saturation/pore blockage. Double functionalisation improved adsorption for the TEPA impregnated samples at all loadings, with the highest capacity of 2.37 mmol CO₂ per g, and amine efficiency of 0.21, obtained for the 50 wt% TEPA, aminopropyl organosilane-grafted adsorbent. The pronounced benefit for the TEPA adsorbent was due to the lower viscosity of TEPA enabling greater dispersion of the amine without saturation.128

The chemical nature of the support itself can have a significant effect on the adsorbent behaviour. Chaikittisilp et al. attributed the superior adsorption performance of a γ-alumina-supported PEI (Mₙ 800) adsorbent relative to a silica supported adsorbent of similar PEI loading to the acid–base properties of the supports.129 The silanol surface groups of silica are slightly more acidic, reducing the basicity of the deposited PEI and weakening its interaction with CO₂, while the more basic γ-alumina allows the deposited PEI to interact more strongly with CO₂. Under 10% CO₂ at 25 °C, the γ-alumina supported adsorbent reached 1.73 mmol CO₂ per g capacity while the silica-supported adsorbent reached 1.61 mmol CO₂ per g. Under 400 ppm CO₂, the sorbents showed capacities of 1.33 mmol g⁻¹ and 1.05 mmol g⁻¹ for the γ-alumina and silica-supported adsorbent, respectively.129
Hydrophobicity of the support has been linked to adsorption behaviour in PEI ($M_w$ 600) impregnated resins, HP2MG and HP20. Although both supports have similar pore size, volume, and surface area, the latter, non-polar HP20-supported adsorbent, displayed the higher CO$_2$ uptake. It was supposed that adsorption was aided by the hydrophobic nature of HP20, repelling and creating space between the resin microspheres and PEI, and that since PEI occupied the mesopores of 43 nm, good diffusion of CO$_2$ was enabled via the micropores (Fig. 14). The most effective adsorbent with a PEI loading of 50 wt% showed CO$_2$ uptake of 2.29 mmol g$^{-1}$ under 15% CO$_2$ at 75 °C.

Hydrophobic interactions were also associated with the high CO$_2$ capacity of 4.55 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 90 °C under 1 bar pure CO$_2$ for unsupported PEI ($M_w$ 25 000) cross-linked with C$_{60}$. The adsorption at low partial pressures (<0.2 bar CO$_2$) surpassed that of Mg-MOF-74, and MOF-177 at all temperatures, and reached 3.41 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 90 °C at 0.15 bar CO$_2$ (Fig. 15). The surface area, at about 2.9 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, was considerably smaller than most supported adsorbents, thus it would suggest a very low degree of contact between internal amines and CO$_2$. However, the high CO$_2$ adsorption was attributed to repulsion between the hydrophobic C$_{60}$ cross-linker and the hydrophilic polyamine, causing the amine groups to be externalised and better exposed for reaction with CO$_2$. This hypothesis was further investigated in the study of a set of polypropylenimine dendrimers cross-linked with C$_{60}$. Increasing amounts of C$_{60}$ caused greater disorder of the amines, likely due to the disruption of hydrogen bonding between the amine groups. This freed the amines to react with CO$_2$ via a lower overall energy barrier, confirming the original theory that CO$_2$ enhancement was due to non-affinity repulsive interactions. However, the study also showed that increasing C$_{60}$ content decreased the probability of successful reactions due to a greater decrease in entropy required to achieve the transition state.

3.3.2.3 Type of polyamine. The type of polyamine has a significant effect on adsorption behaviour, in terms of both uptake capacity, and also stability, as discussed later. Branched chain polyamines containing tertiary as well as primary and secondary amines have reduced adsorption potential due to steric hindrance and the negligible CO$_2$ uptake of tertiary amines under dry conditions. Triethylenetetramine (TETA) supported on mesoporous activated carbon was found to have
a higher CO₂ capacity and amine efficiency compared to branched chain PEI (Mₘ 600) at equivalent mass loadings. Meso-AC-TETA(75) adsorbed 1.85 mmol CO₂ per g over 5 hours in 75 °C, 0.1 bar CO₂, compared to 1.30 mmol CO₂ per g for the more sterically hindered PEI adsorbent.¹³⁰ Reduced polyamine chain length improves dispersion on a support, avoiding saturation of pores and reducing diffusion resistance.¹²⁸ In a study on the effect of polyamine molecular weight, Zhang et al. compared CO₂ adsorption of silica-supported PEI (Mₘ 800, 1800 and 25 000 Da) at various loadings under 95% CO₂ at different temperatures. Generally, due to the lower viscosity and reduced diffusion resistance, adsorption decreased with increasing molecular weight, with the 75 wt% PEI (Mₘ 800) having the highest capacity of 6.00 mmol CO₂ per g at 85 °C. The disparity in adsorption between different molecular weights was most pronounced at a lower temperature, as at higher temperatures, the viscosity of all PEIs was reduced as mobility increased enabling higher adsorption.¹³¹

### 3.3.3 CO₂ selectivity

Amine-based adsorbents are chemisorbents and partake in an acid–base reaction with CO₂ to form ammonium carbamate, or bicarbonate salts (in the presence of H₂O), making them highly selective for CO₂ (Fig. 2) over other ammonium carbamate, or bicarbonate salts (in the presence of 1003 at 50 °C and a CO₂ partial pressure of 0.1 bar, up from 10 to 10 M₁,101(Cr) alone).⁹⁶

For polyamine chemisorbents, selectivity is dependent on primary and secondary amine abundance and accessibility, therefore, for cross-linked polyamines, where a number of amine groups are reacted with a cross-linker, the conversion of CO₂-reactive primary and secondary amines to less reactive tertiary amines can impact selectivity. Mane et al. cross-linked PEI (Mₘ 1800) with 2,4,6-tris(chloromethyl)mesitylene in a ratio for which there were 0.4 amine groups per alkyl chloride cross-linking reactive site. At the optimum adsorption temperature of 0 °C, CO₂/N₂ selectivity was 411, based on a partial pressure of 0.15 bar CO₂.¹¹⁵ In contrast, at the optimum adsorption temperature of 90 °C, based on a CO₂ partial pressure of 0.1 bar, bisphenol A diglycidyl ether cross-linked PEI (Mₘ 25 000) captured 0.101 g g⁻¹ CO₂ and showed CO₂/N₂ selectivity of 2666 (Fig. 16).¹¹⁶ In this case the amine was used in greater excess of the cross-linker, with an amine : epoxy ratio of 40 : 1, therefore, the higher reactive amine content resulted in higher chemisorbent-activity.

### 3.3.4 Stability

The stability of any adsorbent is fundamental to its successful application, and the “multifaceted puzzle” of amine-based CO₂ adsorbent stability was the subject of a comprehensive 2019 review by Jahandar Lashaki et al.¹³⁷ Recyclability is a basic criterion of CO₂ adsorbents that must maintain their functionality for many thousands of adsorption–desorption cycles. After CO₂ uptake, regeneration of the adsorbent is frequently carried out through an increase in temperature, often over 100 °C, to break the C–N bond of the carbamate formed on adsorption.⁷⁴ Therefore, thermal stability is a significant challenge for adsorbents for which a temperature-swing is required for the desorption step, and for those operated at elevated temperatures, e.g., post-combustion CO₂ capture. Chemical stability is also a major concern for polyamine adsorbents. Amines can oxidize to form lower-basicity amide, imide or imine species;¹³⁸ degrade via the formation of urea;¹³³ and deactivate by irreversible adsorption of sulphur oxide (SOₓ) and nitrogen oxide (NOₓ) pollutants.¹³⁷

#### 3.3.4.1 Thermal stability

High temperatures cause the leaching, i.e. loss or evaporation, of amine content with corresponding reduced adsorption potential over multiple adsorption–desorption cycles, and can be an issue for class 1 supported adsorbents.¹¹⁹ Hydrothermal stability is also an area for consideration as water vapour, present in flue gases, air, and proposed for desorption purposes,⁷⁴ has also been associated with amine leaching and structural collapse of supports.¹³⁷ Susceptibility to leaching generally increases as the molecular weight of the amine decreases.¹⁴⁰ Yan et al. observed that while PEI (Mₘ 800) impregnated onto silica mesoporous foam maintained its baseline weight during CO₂ adsorption–desorption cycles at 70 °C, with desorption at 100 °C, the TEFA impregnated adsorbent very rapidly lost weight and showed reduced CO₂ uptake, shown in Fig. 17.¹⁴¹ In studying amines impregnated on mesoporous activated carbons, Gibson and co-workers observed that a PEI (Mₘ 600) based adsorbent retained its capacity of 1.22 mmol CO₂ per g
over four adsorption–desorption cycles at 75 °C in 10% CO₂, with desorption under a flow of helium. However, the TETA-based adsorbent leached the more volatile amine under the sustained elevated temperature, resulting in a final CO₂ uptake of about 0.85 mmol g⁻¹, down from 0.93 mmol g⁻¹ in the first cycle shown in Fig. 18.¹³⁰ Similarly, a silica-supported PEI (Mₚ 1800) adsorbent was found to have greater stability than a poly(propylene guanidine) (PPG) silica-supported adsorbent.¹⁴² Over 5 adsorption–desorption cycles, with uptake at 30 °C in 10% CO₂, and regeneration at 120 °C in helium, the PEI material’s CO₂ adsorption reduced from 1.23 to 1.04 mmol g⁻¹ CO₂, while for PPG, uptake reduced more drastically from 1.12 to 0.49 mmol g⁻¹ CO₂. The thermal analysis did show, however, that the PPG adsorbent was significantly more stable at 100 °C.

In each of these examples, the observed reduction in adsorption behaviour was attributed to the instability of the smaller amine during desorption, leading to mass loss due to its lesser affinity for the support surface.

Thermal instability has been mitigated by essentially increasing the organic amine component molecular weight and forming a robust network structure through cross-linking, as demonstrated by Li et al. in preparing cross-linked PEI-impregnated glass fibre matrices.⁹¹ Double amine functionalisation has also been shown to improve the thermal stability of supported polyamine adsorbents. The modification of a PEI (Mₚ 800)-impregnated porous silica with the additives APTES and tetrapropyl orthotitanate to form hybrid adsorbents, A-PEI/silica and T-PEI/silica, were found to improve the thermal stability of PEI by raising its decomposition temperature by 40 °C and 50 °C, respectively (Fig. 19a).⁶⁷ During adsorption–desorption cycles in 400 ppm CO₂, between 25 °C and 110 °C, both the modified adsorbents displayed more stable adsorption capacities reaching 91% and 98% of their original capacities for the APTES and tetrapropyl orthotitanate modified sorbents respectively, compared to just 70% for the unmodified adsorbent (Fig. 19b). It is believed the additives prevented amine leaching through altering the configuration of the PEI and changing the nature of the interactions between the PEI and the support.⁶⁷ Wilfong et al. carried out NMR studies on similar hybrid or ‘class 4’ adsorbents based on N-[3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl]ethylenediamine (TMPED)/PEI and APTMS/PEI supported on silica. The hybrid adsorbents’ greater stabilities were attributed to a combination of hydrogen bonding between PEI, aminosilane and Si–OH, and to PEI-catalysed enhanced grafting and polymerisation of the aminosilane.⁸⁸

### 3.3.4.2 Oxidative degradation

Polyamines may undergo oxidative degradation to result in chain cleavage or to form weakly basic species such as amides or imides that are unreactive towards CO₂. This is a common problem for polyamine sorbents given that oxygen accounts for about one-fifth of dry air and is present in flue gases at levels of around 5%.⁸ The inclusion of hydroxyl groups into polyamine adsorbents has been shown to improve oxidative stability. TEPA supported on silica displayed higher oxidative stability when also impregnated with polyethylene glycol. This was found to be due to hydrogen bonding between amine and hydroxyl groups, evidenced by IR spectroscopy, which reduced amine reactivity towards oxygen.¹⁴³ Similarly, Goeppert et al. modified supported TEPA and pentaethylenhexamine (PEHA) by reaction with propylene oxide and/or a-butylene oxide, thus introducing a secondary hydroxyl group.⁷⁰ During treatment for 20 hours at
100 °C under 21% O2/N2, the unmodified adsorbents lost up to seven times more weight which was associated with oxidative chain cleavage and material loss. Subsequently, the modified adsorbents’ CO2 capacities were reduced less than the unmodified adsorbents for both the TEPA and PEHA- based adsorbents (Fig. 20). In 95% CO2/N2 at 25, 55, and 85 °C, the CO2 capacity of the TEPA adsorbent decreased by about 90%, while the propylene oxide-modified TEPA adsorbent reduced by a maximum of 18% at 85 °C. Control experiments under pure N2 confirmed that oxidation in air was more significant for capacity reduction than thermal treatment alone.

Amine structure is an important factor in susceptibility to oxidative degradation, with secondary amines being less stable than primary or tertiary amines under conditions relevant to flue gas CO2 capture. Furthermore, their degradation has been found to simultaneously deactivate neighbouring primary amines, leading to poorer CO2 uptake behaviour.

One approach to improving oxidative stability is to avoid the use of secondary amines altogether. Poly(allylamine) (PAA) features a linear hydrocarbon backbone and pendant primary amines; by weight, the basic nitrogen content of the polymer is 24%. In 2011, Chaikittisilp et al. demonstrated the use of PAA as an effective alternative to PEI for a class 1 polyamine adsorbent. Later, Bali et al. directly compared the oxidative degradation of PAA and PEI (Mw 800) supported on mesoporous γ-alumina under direct air capture conditions, observing that the PAA was far more stable to oxidation than PEI. After pretreatment in a humid flow of 21% O2/N2, followed by CO2 adsorption in 10% CO2/He, the PEI adsorbent’s CO2 capacity was reduced by 70.1% and 33.4%, while the PAA adsorbent’s CO2 capacity reduced by 10.9% and 7.0% at 110 °C and 70 °C, respectively. The reduction in adsorption of the PEI adsorbent pre-treated at 110 °C was associated with the formation of amide or imide species on oxidation. This was observed in the form of an absorption band at 1693 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum and an intense band at 1691 cm⁻¹ in the FT-Raman spectra, both indicative of the C=O stretching frequency.
Although often more prone to oxidation, secondary amines have lower heats of adsorption,\textsuperscript{79} therefore there is benefit in maintaining their functionality to reduce energy input on desorption. Pan \textit{et al.} demonstrated that oxidative stability is heavily influenced by the alkyl chain length between amines, with longer linkers associated with greater stability.\textsuperscript{145} To investigate this, the CO$_2$ uptake performance of pre-oxidised mesoporous silica-supported poly(propylenimine) and poly(ethyleneimine) linear polyamines, containing primary and secondary amines, were compared to the non-oxidised equivalents. The tripropylenetetramine (TPTA) adsorbent, with three carbons between amines, had a smaller reduction in CO$_2$ adsorption capacity compared to TETA adsorbent, with only two carbons between amines. Amine efficiency was reduced by 20\% for the TPTA adsorbent, while the TETA adsorbent lost 90\%. The authors hypothesised that during oxidation the adsorbents were compromised by the presence of ppm levels of NO, NO$_2$, which is more harmful, as it represents 85–95\% of the total NO$_x$ generated in the combustion process.\textsuperscript{153} However, a study by Rezaei and Jones has shown that although primary amines have the highest affinity for NO, adsorption is low and the corresponding CO$_2$ capacity loss is less than 5\%.\textsuperscript{150}

3.3.4.4 Poisoning by SO$_x$ and NO$_x$. Post-combustion CO$_2$ adsorbents are compromised by the presence of ppm levels of NO, NO$_2$, and SO$_2$, due to their strong interaction with amines, drastically reducing CO$_2$ uptake. Their tendency to compromise adsorption performance is dependent on the type of amine,\textsuperscript{150} as well as environmental factors of concentration,\textsuperscript{151} temperature,\textsuperscript{150} humidity,\textsuperscript{151,152} and the duration of exposure.\textsuperscript{151}

NO is more abundant in flue gases than NO$_2$, representing 85–95\% of the total NO, generated in the combustion process.\textsuperscript{151} However, a study by Rezaei and Jones has shown that although primary amines have the highest affinity for NO, adsorption is low and the corresponding CO$_2$ capacity loss is less than 5\%.\textsuperscript{150}

In terms of adsorbent stability, NO$_2$ is more harmful, as it has a higher affinity for amines due to its higher polarity and acidity.\textsuperscript{137} Rezaei and Jones investigated the adsorption of NO$_2$ by a class 1 PEI-impregnated silica adsorbent and class 2 adsorbents featuring grafted primary, secondary or tertiary amines.\textsuperscript{150} Treated under 200 ppm NO$_2$/N$_2$ at 35 °C, for materials with equivalent amine loadings, the adsorbent featuring only secondary amines adsorbed the highest amount of NO$_2$ at approx. 1.9 mmol g$^{-1}$. After regeneration at 110 °C under N$_2$ and subsequent adsorption under 10\% CO$_2$/He, none of the adsorbents re-gained their CO$_2$-sorption properties, adsorbing as little as 7\% of their original uptake, indicating irreversible
NO\textsubscript{2} adsorption. However, the NO\textsubscript{2} concentration used in this experiment was higher than that representative of post-combustion conditions. In a later paper, dual component experiments in which CO\textsubscript{2} uptake was measured under 20 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} in 10% CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} showed that the NO\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} breakthrough times for the secondary amine-grafted adsorbent were about equal and that the CO\textsubscript{2} working capacity was not significantly reduced in the presence of the lower concentration of NO\textsubscript{2} (Fig. 23).\textsuperscript{151}

The adsorption of SO\textsubscript{2} on various amine adsorbents is reported to increase with increasing SO\textsubscript{2} concentration and decrease with temperature. The highest capacity of 1.08 mmol SO\textsubscript{2} per g was observed for the secondary amine-grafted adsorbent, under the maximum SO\textsubscript{2} concentration applied of 200 ppm SO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} and at 35 °C, the lowest temperature investigated in this study. Adsorption performance then decreased in the order: primary amine-grafted > PEI-impregnated > tertiary amine-grafted adsorbents. Subsequent CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption analysis after regeneration at 110 °C in N\textsubscript{2} indicated that all adsorbents suffered capacity loss, however, this was lowest for the secondary amine-grafted adsorbent.\textsuperscript{150} Co-adsorption–desorption breakthrough experiments were carried out on the primary amine-grafted, secondary amine-grafted and PEI impregnated adsorbent under 200 ppm SO\textsubscript{2} in 10% CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2}. These showed that deactivation of the adsorbents could be reduced, and that CO\textsubscript{2} capacity could therefore be maintained over multiple cycles, if the adsorption step was continued only until SO\textsubscript{2} breakthrough, as opposed to a longer cycle continuing until CO\textsubscript{2} breakthrough was observed. During the shorter cycles, there was a slight reduction in CO\textsubscript{2} capacity for each adsorbent between the first and second cycle before uptake stabilised – this was attributed to irreversible binding of SO\textsubscript{2}, which could not be re-gained on desorption at 110 °C in N\textsubscript{2}. However, the secondary amine-grafted adsorbent showed the best performance with consistent CO\textsubscript{2} uptake above 0.5 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} over five cycles (without allowing SO\textsubscript{2} breakthrough) and the least CO\textsubscript{2} capacity loss (when SO\textsubscript{2} was allowed to break through).\textsuperscript{151}

SO\textsubscript{2} uptake by amine-based adsorbents increases under humid conditions, under which, they can form sulfite and sulfate salts.\textsuperscript{36,154} Studies by Fan and co-workers on amine-grafted cellulose acetate/silica hollow fibre adsorbents showed that for both the primary amine-grafted and secondary amine-grafted adsorbents, SO\textsubscript{2} uptake on exposure to 200 ppm SO\textsubscript{2} in 10% CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} at 35 °C increased when the adsorbent was pre-hydrated under 100% RH (relative humidity) in N\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{36} The primary amine-grafted adsorbent’s uptake of SO\textsubscript{2} increased from 0.15 to 0.28 mmol SO\textsubscript{2} per g, while for the secondary amine-grafted adsorbent uptake increased from 0.34 to 0.55 mmol SO\textsubscript{2} per g, going from dry to pre-hydrated conditions, respectively. In the presence of SO\textsubscript{2}, humidity had a detrimental effect on the CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption of both adsorbents. However, the secondary amine-grafted adsorbent performed better over 100 CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption–desorption cycles, with CO\textsubscript{2} uptake decreasing by 38% for the pre-hydrated secondary grafted-amine as compared to a 70% capacity loss for the pre-hydrated primary grafted-amine (Fig. 24). The authors attributed this decline to an increased reaction ratio of SO\textsubscript{2}/N,\textsubscript{36} and it is also likely due to the irreversible adsorption of SO\textsubscript{2} in the presence of water, with the formation of heat-stable salts, which pose a major challenge to amine stability.\textsuperscript{154}
In agreement with results reported by Fan et al., Luz et al. reported on the stability of a PEI-impregnated MOF/SiO₂ hybrid, PEI/Zn/ZIF-8/SiO₂ under a simulated flue gas of 15% CO₂, 4.5% O₂, 5.6% water in N₂ with 200 ppm SO₂. The CO₂ uptake capacity was reduced from 12.86 wt% (2.92 mmol g⁻¹) to below 2 wt% over 100 adsorption–desorption cycles, likely due to both the high SO₂ concentration and the presence of water; however, under the same flue gas except with 50 ppm SO₂, adsorption performance was better maintained with capacity reduced from 12.41% (2.82 mmol g⁻¹) to 8.55%.³⁸

Tertiary amines typically adsorb negligible quantities of CO₂ however, they show preferential and reversible adsorption of SO₂, it being a stronger Lewis acid. Therefore, the generally proposed solution to SO₂ deactivation has been the application of amine scrubbing using tertiary amines to selectively adsorb SO₂ to remove it from the flue gas. Wei et al. demonstrated an integrated two-stage removal experiment in which SO₂ and CO₂ were adsorbed sequentially from a gas mixture of 12% CO₂ and 600 ppm SO₂ in N₂.¹⁵⁵ The first adsorption column removed SO₂ before the gas entered the second adsorption column. The first column contained SBA-15 impregnated with the tertiary amine triethylolamine which had a breakthrough capacity of 2.28 mmol SO₂ g⁻¹ at 25 °C. The second column contained SBA-15 impregnated with TEPA and adsorbed 2.91 mmol CO₂ g⁻¹ at 80 °C. Tailor and Sayari also demonstrated an effective tertiary amine SO₂ adsorbent in synthesising a propyldiethanolamine-grafted pore-expanded mesoporous silica which could adsorb 2.84 mmol SO₂ g⁻¹ under dry 1% SO₂/N₂ at 23 °C, which was also effective in the presence of CO₂. On adsorption–desorption cycles under dry 0.1% SO₂/N₂, the adsorbent could be completely regenerated by heating to 130 °C under a flow of N₂.¹⁵² Under humid conditions, adsorption performance was improved, with uptake under 0.05% SO₂/N₂ at 23 °C increasing from 0.74 mmol g⁻¹ in dry conditions to 1.11 mmol g⁻¹ under 83% RH. However, after regeneration, subsequent adsorption was decreased on exposure to water. The authors inferred that this was due to the irreversible formation of heat-stable sulphites.

### 3.4 Challenges for industrial application of amine-based adsorbents

There is huge diversity in the make-up of amine-based CO₂ adsorbents and while all may fundamentally react with CO₂, each is suited to a particular set of working conditions in applications from industrial post-combustion CO₂ capture to DAC. However, any scenario comes with a high number of environmental variables, under which, adsorbents must be developed to meet all criteria: high working capacity, recyclability, selectivity, stability, fast kinetics, and low cost.⁸ How sorbents are analysed in the lab very often only aim to test one or two of the criteria, and for this reason rarely replicate the likely industrial environmental conditions. There are some criteria – particularly regarding selectivity and stability – which studies on new CO₂ adsorbents do not necessarily address ‘as standard’ at the initial research stages, since in many instances, adsorbents function as models to test specific characteristics or modifications in isolation.

Certain handicaps in analyses need to be addressed more rigorously, a major one of which is regeneration. Regeneration of the adsorbent must be possible under cost-effective, i.e. low energy conditions. In laboratory analyses, amine-based adsorbents are primarily regenerated by a temperature swing, often accompanied by mass displacement via an inert gas such as N₂, Ar or He. It has often been commented by leading experts in the field that this technique is irrelevant to an industrial process due to dilution of the CO₂ adsorbate, and that practical regeneration is a “critical missing link in the development of supported amine CO₂-adsorbents”.⁷⁴ One proposed solution to this has been to desorb under a flow of pure CO₂, however, this can lead to deactivation of the amines due to the formation of urea, as has been shown by Drage et al. on a PEI-impregnated silica.¹⁵⁶ The applicability of desorbing under pure CO₂ is subject to adsorbent properties, temperature of desorption and time under exposure to pure CO₂, as some adsorbents are more resistant to degradation than others. Hamdy et al. reported a good working capacity of 2.14 mmol CO₂ g⁻¹ over 29 cycles in a cyclic adsorption–desorption experiment on epoxy-crosslinked PEI (MW 25 000), adsorbing under 10% CO₂ at 90 °C, and desorbing in pure CO₂ at 155 °C. This consistent CO₂ uptake, however, was accompanied by a 1.3 wt% sample mass loss over the course of the cycles.¹¹⁶ A promising regeneration approach proposed by the Jones group in 2010, is the use of steam to act as both a thermal and partial pressure driving force to remove CO₂, followed by the separation of the water and CO₂ by compression and condensation.⁷⁴ ‘Steam stripping’ has been the focus of many stability-specific studies on adsorbents¹³⁷ and was explored by Fauth et al. on new class 1/2 polyanime adsorbents, using helium with 90 vol% water vapour for regeneration during cyclic adsorption–desorption experiments.⁸⁶ Another approach to regenerating a pure CO₂ product is by applying the combination of temperature with vacuum as has been demonstrated by Sujan et al. with PEI-functionalised cellulose acetate/silica fibres in a DAC process.³⁹ To investigate the recovery of CO₂, the authors carried out a vacuum-assisted desorption experiment in a TSA process at 25 °C, under a gas flow of 380 ppm CO₂/397 ppm of He/balance N₂. The CO₂ concentration at the outlet reached a steady reading after almost 3 hours of adsorption (Fig. 25a). Then, a vacuum was applied reducing the pressure from ambient down to 0.6 mbar, removing the interstitial gas containing He and N₂. The system was then sealed before heating the sample to 90 °C to desorb CO₂ in the ‘self-sweep’. Mass spectrometry data of the desorbed gas revealed a 88% pure CO₂ flow, but on introduction of a back-pressure pulse of N₂ in the N₂ sweep, CO₂ purity increased to 98% over the initial 5 seconds (Fig. 25b).

In designing new adsorbents, researchers are limited to small-scale samples for testing, using in the range of tens of mg to several grams of adsorbent; however, smaller sample sizes mask diffusion limitations of the gas through the bulk sample giving an unclear description of how the sample may behave once scaled up. In large-scale industrial application, several tonnes of adsorbent would be used, often processed into pellet form rather than powder form, which could cause...
large pressure drops in the adsorption column. Therefore, sorption analysis at the largest scale possible is necessary to determine the potential of an adsorbent.

Economics is also a decisive factor in the development of an adsorbent. Sorbents based on polyamines impregnated into silica, which are the most widely represented in the research literature, are currently portrayed as one of the most economical for industrial scale and leading the way under direct air capture conditions. In 2014, Zhang and co-workers reported on the first scale-up of a 40 wt% PEI (Mw 1800)-impregnated mesoporous silica adsorbent to 1 kg and its application in the ambient air capture of CO2 using a bubbling fluidized bed reactor system. More recently Luz et al. demonstrated the scale-up of a PEI-impregnated MOF/SiO2 hybrid to 1 kg. They carried out a cost evaluation study and estimated the price per kilo at approximately $20. With further research, the benefits of an increasingly diverse range of adsorbents, utilising better supports, higher capacity and higher stability amines, or the potentially more efficient self-supported adsorbents, will also become realised at a reduced cost.

4. Selection of adsorbents for scale-up

Due to the sheer diversity of amine-based adsorbents and the range of conditions under which they are tested for their separation potential, the evaluation of any adsorbent for application in an industrial process is not straightforward. Consequently, it is crucial to screen this wide range of materials and develop a simplified approach to evaluate and compare their potential for gas separation. The objective of this section is to guide material researchers and engineers in the screening of different candidate adsorbents for larger scale testing (bench/pilot scale). Before we dive into the detailed methodology for screening an adsorbent, it is important to note that this section is applicable to any adsorbent available for any gas separation process. Studies reported in this section are mainly pertaining to adsorbents such as MOFs, zeolites etc. on account of the lack of literature available for amine-based adsorbents. This signifies the gap present in the research on amine-based adsorbents for commercial CO2 capture. The intention of this section is to act as a guiding tool to bridge this gap between lab-based material research and the commercial process implementation of amine-based adsorbents while learning from the progress made with readily available adsorbents like MOFs and zeolites.

The task of selecting an adsorbent for a given separation is performed in two steps. The first step is to perform an initial screening of a large number of adsorbents. In terms of separation at lab scale, three main parameters are important at this stage of screening namely CO2 uptake capacity, selectivity, and heat of adsorption. These can be obtained from the adsorption isotherm data from either single component or multi-component conditions and can be achieved with milligrams or grams of adsorbent. There are two ways to carry out the initial screening of adsorbents: using the adsorbent selection metrics (Section 2.4) and/or high-throughput screening of materials (Section 4.1). The adsorbents with the best performance then move to the advanced evaluation step.

4.1 High-throughput screening of materials

High-throughput screening is an easy, fast and cheap method of identifying promising adsorbents from an enormous pool/database of materials for a desired separation process. It can be performed either experimentally or computationally using molecular simulations, genetic algorithms, etc. Most of the research for high-throughput screening has been performed on MOFs and zeolites for various gas separations such as CO2/N2, CO2/CH4, CO2/H2, etc. and it is discussed in the following as a guidance for amine-based materials evaluation.

Han et al. reported the screening of MOFs for CO2/N2 adsorption and diffusion selectivity using an in-house designed, novel parallel high-throughput (HT) sorption screening system. In this method, the adsorption data were collected at only one pressure value relevant to the partial pressure regime in flue gases, rather than obtaining the isotherm for a complete pressure range. Pressure decay with respect to time was monitored in each of the sample chambers to measure CO2 and N2 adsorption by the adsorbent. The initial pressure of each system was fixed while the gas uptake by the adsorbent determined the exact pressure at which equilibrium adsorption was measured, and the equilibrium adsorption was obtained by pressure sensors at the end of the experiment.
This methodology allowed rapid screening of 8 MOF materials, using only 100 mg of adsorbent, and substantially accelerating the process of obtaining the separation data. Additionally, various adsorbate gases could be tested in their HT system and it can thus be implemented for screening various novel materials for a wide range of applications.\(^{159}\) In another study, the parallel evaluation of 6 adsorbents was conducted using a high-throughput gas adsorption apparatus, measuring complete adsorption isotherms up to a pressure of 40 bar. Cyclic adsorption experiments could also be performed with the apparatus, which used four different gases sequentially. With one of the main objectives being to use the minimum amount of adsorbent, reasonably accurate results (within 10% error) were obtained using 60 mg of adsorbent. In this study, optimal thermal activation conditions, adsorbent regenerability and separation properties were determined by obtaining gas uptakes for various MOFs. Adsorbent selectivities and heats of adsorption were obtained from the measured isotherms in combination with isotherm models such as IAST and the Clausius–Clapeyron equation respectively.\(^{160}\) The data were then used for initial adsorbent comparison for a given separation process using the various adsorbent metrics defined in Section 2.4. The same or similar approaches could be applied for the fast screening of amine-based carbon capture materials.

Mason et al.\(^ {162}\) developed a high-throughput instrument for measuring multi-component equilibrium adsorption isotherms of gas mixtures (including water) for up to 28 samples. A set of adsorbents including MOFs, zeolites, activated carbons and amine-functionalised silicas were tested. The volumetric-measured CO\(_2\) adsorption under pure-component conditions was compared to that under multi-component conditions (CO\(_2\), N\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O) using the HT instrument at the same partial pressure. Only the alkylamine functionalised adsorbents exhibited significant CO\(_2\) uptake under multi-component conditions with the highest uptake of 4.2 mmol g\(^{-1}\) at 0.1 bar and 40 °C in the presence of water, by the amine-appended MOF framework mmen-Mg\(_2\)(dobpdc) (mmen = N,N'-dimethylenelediamine, dobpdc\(^{4-}\) = 4,4-dioxdoo-3,3'-biphenylcarboxylate).\(^ {161}\)

HT adsorption apparatus can be helpful in screening adsorbents experimentally though it would be more impractical to use it to rank several thousands of adsorbents. In such a scenario, high-throughput computational screening can be used for identifying potential adsorbents and identifying structure–property relationships for a given separation process. Wilmer et al.\(^ {162}\) used grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations to screen their database of more than hundreds of thousands of hypothetical MOFs. They calculated their pure component adsorption isotherms, followed by calculation of adsorbent metrics including adsorption capacity (both absolute and working), selectivity, regenerability and a modified form of the PSA parameter, \(S\) [for non-Langmuir system \(x_{12,\text{mix}}\) is replaced with \((x_{12,\text{mix at ads}})^2/(x_{12,\text{mix at des}})\)].\(^ {163}\) The relationship between physical properties of the MOFs and the adsorbent metrics was evaluated providing insight otherwise not possible because of too small a sample set of MOFs.\(^ {162,163}\) In another study, GCMC simulations were performed for more than 3800 MOFs in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) to predict their binary CO\(_2\)/H\(_2\) mixture adsorption isotherms under PSA and VSA (vacuum swing adsorption) conditions. Subsequently, various adsorbent metrics were calculated to rank the MOFs’ performance, and the top 20 adsorbents for PSA and VSA processes – that surpassed the selectivity of many zeolite adsorbents – were identified. The best MOF adsorbents for CO\(_2\)/H\(_2\) separation were the ones with narrow pore sizes and low porosity as suggested by the structure–performance relation results.\(^ {164}\) Computational methods could potentially play a role in the screening of polyamines and amine-based materials and experts in these fields may consider the crucial impact their work would make to decarbonisation targets.

High-throughput screening of a very large number of adsorbents can be computationally expensive depending on the complexity of the simulation conditions. To screen the adsorbents faster, an initial screening can be done using one or more adsorbent metrics thereby reducing the sample set for simulation, which can be followed high-throughput screening for example by GCMC simulations to identify the best adsorbent for the given operating conditions. For instance, using GCMC simulations for estimating the adsorption of water on MOFs is time-consuming. This was avoided by computationally identifying the MOFs that showed high CO\(_2\) selectivity over H\(_2\)O, based on their Henry’s law constants, from a database of more than 5000 materials. This initial screening identified 15 high performing MOFs which were then used for GCMC simulations to determine their binary and ternary adsorption isotherms for CO\(_2\)/H\(_2\)O and CO\(_2\)/H\(_2\)O/N\(_2\) mixtures. Subsequently, these top MOF candidates performed well for CO\(_2\)/N\(_2\) separation also.\(^ {165}\)

Using adsorbent metrics and/or high-throughput screening are useful tools in quickly narrowing down a set of potential adsorbents for a given application. Additionally, high-throughput computational screening can guide the material researcher in synthesising adsorbents with bespoke characteristics. However, experimental studies would still need to be carried out to validate these models or resolve any discrepancies. Also, it should be kept in mind that this approach is to be used only for a preliminary screening and it is not enough to reflect the accurate separation performance in an actual PSA/TSA process. Models do not confirm whether the product purity and recovery targets as defined by the DOE are met. Moreover, adsorbents are ranked for fixed operating conditions, which are not necessarily their best conditions for optimum performance to achieve the purity and recovery targets. Hence, it is essential to account for these additional performance indicators for the selection of adsorbents and implementation at a large scale.

The second step for adsorbent screening also involves two possible approaches, (i) carrying out the testing of the material at the pilot scale, or (ii) performing comprehensive process modelling. For testing the materials at the pilot-scale, one has to synthesise large amounts of adsorbent (100s of grams) and perform process level characterisation i.e. formulation of adsorbent particle (shape and size), binary/multi-component adsorption isotherms at relevant process conditions (lab or bench scale), effect of heat and mass transfer, etc. The evaluation of
suitable process performance indicators including product purity, product recovery, throughputs, energy requirements, cost, etc. have to be based on the experimental data. Current pilot scale testing for CO₂ capture typically uses commercially available adsorbents that can be synthesized in large quantities like zeolites, MOFs and activated carbons. Researchers working in the development of novel adsorbents concentrate mainly on material development with the aim of enhancing material properties and their separation performance. The bulk production of these materials is not contemplated which includes a number of challenges, for example, material availability for large scale production, technical and economic feasibility of production, etc., thereby restricting further exploration of novel and potential materials at bench/pilot scales. However, it is practically not possible to scale-up the production of all the developed materials. Process modelling and simulation acts as a tool to explore the potential of newly developed materials without bulk production.

4.2 Process modelling and simulation

Some researchers have tried to identify the relationship between adsorbent properties and adsorption process targets by screening numerous adsorbents by performing process level modelling. This includes the full process modelling and optimisation of a PSA/TSA unit with the analysis of Pareto fronts giving the process performance. For a multi-objective optimisation problem, there is an optimal trade-off between the two competing objectives, for instance, a trade-off between the product purity and recovery in an adsorption process. A Pareto front represents a range of different sets of operating conditions for these competing objectives. Improvement in one objective leads to a decline in the other objective. Pareto front segregates the section of feasible operating conditions from the unfeasible operating conditions. The optimal performance is obtained by selecting the operating conditions lying on the Pareto front. In the present study, a Pareto front could be a plot between CO₂ purity and CO₂ recovery or energy consumption versus productivity.

Maring and Webley developed a simplified model for a 3-step pressure/vacuum swing adsorption system for rapid adsorbent screening of CO₂ adsorbents (zeolite 13X, Mg-MOF-74, activated carbon, PEI/MCF chemisorbent). The three steps included adsorption, blow down (desorption) and repressurisation. In this model, the adsorbent bed was simulated as a well-mixed adsorber with no axial gradients, i.e. no temperature, pressure, or concentration gradients either in radial or axial direction throughout the adsorbent bed. This assumption reduced the coupled algebraic partial differential equations of a PSA system to coupled algebraic ordinary differential equations. Furthermore, it was assumed that a cyclic steady state was achieved in a single adsorption–desorption cycle, which significantly reduced the computation time. Heat effects associated with each step were accounted for to capture adiabatic temperature swing associated with pressure swing, in addition to specific work required to pressurise and de-pressurise the adsorbent bed. The PSA process performance was evaluated in terms of CO₂ purity, CO₂ recovery, working capacity and specific work under both adiabatic and isothermal operating conditions. It was reported that increasing adsorbent selectivity in terms of reducing the adsorbent N₂ uptake capacity, and thermal effects concerning the adsorbent heat capacity, impacted both product purity and specific power consumption more substantially than CO₂ adsorption capacity.166

The model proposed by Maring and Webley166 was further extended by Balashankar et al. to develop a simplified proxy model for a 4-step isothermal VSA cycle. A set of four adsorbents was used for evaluating this model with a detailed VSA model under optimized conditions, which was followed by illustrating a graphical design approach, and a classification model to rank 79 adsorbents with the constraints of purity and recovery targets of 95% and 90%, respectively. Also, an approach to calculate the parasitic energy was developed for the adsorbents that met those performance targets.167 This model was further applied to screen thousands of adsorbents to classify the potential candidates for post-combustion CO₂ capture.167 Adsorbents with the lowest energy requirements demonstrated attributes of low N₂ affinity rather than high CO₂ affinity with heats of CO₂ and N₂ adsorption in the ranges of 32 to 42 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and 8 to 17 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively.

In another study, process optimisation was performed on four adsorbents (2 MOFs: Mg-MOF-74 and UTSA-16; zeolite 13X and a coconut shell-derived activated carbon) in a VSA system to compare the various adsorption metrics reported in the literature.49 A 4-step VSA cycle with a light product pressurisation step was used for post-combustion CO₂ capture from dry flue gas and the separation performance was reported in terms of CO₂ purity in the final product, recovery, energy requirements and productivity. Two approaches were implemented in this process optimisation study. The first approach was an unconstrained optimisation problem with maximisation of both CO₂ purity and recovery while the second approach focussed on maximizing the productivity and minimizing the energy consumption subject to constraints on CO₂ recovery and purity. It was found that all the literature reported adsorbent metrics (reported in Table 1) could identify the poorly performing adsorbent (the activated carbon in this case) from the given set of four adsorbents, while for the remaining three, their relative ranking was very different for each of the metrics. Among the reported adsorbent metrics, only the single-component selectivity and Rege and Yang’s parameter 5 predicted the same ranking in terms of the separation performance at the process level. In addition to the four adsorbents under study, three hypothetical adsorbents were also chosen for process optimisation studies to understand the impact of selectivity on process performance. It was found that for a selective CO₂ adsorbent, enhancing the CO₂ affinity further has a marginal impact on the achievable CO₂ purity and recovery. However, lowering the N₂ affinity had a huge impact on improving the process performance and decreasing the energy consumption,49 and similar observations have been reported elsewhere.166,168
The working capacity of N₂ was reported to be an important factor in post-combustion CO₂ capture due to the high CO₂ purity requirements. If the amount of N₂ adsorbed is high in the feed step, then the regeneration process requires a lower vacuum during counter-current depressurisation thereby increasing the energy requirements. This was deduced from a general evaluation metric (GEM) that was developed for screening MOFs using adsorption data from molecular simulations followed by full-scale process simulations for a PSA unit. The adsorbent ranking was based on the cost of CO₂ capture using the Spearman correlation coefficient for the developed GEM and literature reported adsorbent metrics reported in Table 1, subject to 90% CO₂ purity and recovery for a given PSA cycle. GEM was the best performing metric with respect to the cost of CO₂ capture. In this metric, the working capacity of N₂, selectivity at desorption conditions and heat of N₂ adsorption were accounted for in addition to the working capacity of CO₂. The CO₂/N₂ selectivity at desorption conditions is more relevant than at adsorption conditions because CO₂ is obtained during desorption and it is essential that it desorbs completely during this step – though it is always advantageous to have higher CO₂ adsorption than N₂ during the feed step. The heat of adsorption exhibits the effect of temperature change on the N₂ adsorption on the adsorbent during the PSA cycle. As comprehended from the above studies, an adsorbent’s N₂ loading and affinity for N₂ have a huge impact on meeting the purity and recovery targets and economic feasibility of the process. However, while studying/improving the selectivity of amine-based adsorbents, the emphasis is on the interaction of amines with CO₂ with no or little attention to N₂ loading/affinity on these adsorbents, indicating another missing characteristic required for selecting a suitable adsorbent. It is always beneficial to enhance the CO₂ adsorption capacity of a material but N₂ loading will strongly influence the achieved purity levels and energy requirements of the process. As amine-based adsorbents are viewed as potential materials for direct air capture, N₂ loading becomes a more important factor due to the high N₂ concentrations and very dilute CO₂ levels in such a separation process.

A neural network model has been developed to screen 74 adsorbents based on product purity and recovery requirements of 95% and 90%, respectively, for post combustion CO₂ capture using a 4-step VSA process. This was followed by second stage screening using meta-models with constraints of minimum energy requirement and maximum productivity. This two-step adsorbent screening method identified several promising adsorbents whose performance surpassed that of the current benchmark, zeolite 13X. These adsorbents could then be further tested for stability analysis and cost evaluation. Similarly to the previous section, it can be clearly seen that detailed process modelling has been carried out for MOFs, zeolites and activated carbons with studies on amine-based adsorbents again being missing. The above-mentioned simplified process models for a 3 to 4 step adsorption process can be employed for further screening of amine-based carbon capture adsorbents while meeting the product purity and recovery targets and/or optimizing the parasitic energy or cost of CO₂ capture involved. An optimization-based approach is essential for accurate adsorbent ranking but it is computationally very expensive and cannot be simply used at the initial screening stage with a large number of adsorbents. Thus, a two-step screening is needed starting with a simplified process model with focus on performance targets and then moving on to the full scale modelling with optimization of capture cost.

We know that most of these studies are for a pressure/vacuum swing adsorption system while amine-based adsorbents are more suitable for a temperature swing adsorption process or a hybrid PTSA process. However, for either type of adsorption process, the model equations remain the same, the only difference lies in the boundary conditions being used for solving these model equations. One example of a TSA study is as follows, which included different classes of adsorbents such as zeolites, zeolitic imidazolate frameworks, MOFs, and porous polymer networks. A simplified model for a 3-step TSA cycle was presented with purity, recovery, working capacity and energy demand as the performance metrics and validated using a detailed model of an adsorption bed. In this work, the adsorbent bed is assumed to be mixed well during the TSA cycle, i.e. there were no gradients for the various process variables in the axial or the radial direction. Simplifying the model assumptions thereby significantly reducing the computation time reduced the complexity of the TSA model. Hence, a parametric study can be carried out to identify the optimum operating conditions for an adsorbent in a much faster way. A parametric study was performed for screening 76 real and hypothetical adsorbents, including Zeolite 13X, for CO₂ separation from dry flue gas containing 12% CO₂, 88% N₂, with the TSA cycle operating at 1 bar. Screening was carried out over adsorption temperatures of 278–378 K (5–105 °C) and desorption temperatures of 318–418 K (45–145 °C). 22 out of 76 adsorbents met the CO₂ purity and recovery targets for post-combustion CO₂ capture. Additional ranking was carried out based on maximum working capacity and minimum energy requirement constraints. This model can be adapted for a PTSA cycle by adding blowdown and pressurisation steps into the TSA cycle and can be further employed for the screening of amine-based adsorbents. The current simplified TSA model can also be coupled with HT computational screening strategies for the fast screening of a large number of adsorbents (real and/or hypothetical).

It can be concluded that an adsorbent potential for a separation process depends heavily on the process parameters. There is a missing gap in the development of adsorbent materials pertaining to the requirements of the process. Hence, the material researcher and the process engineer must collaborate to perform comprehensive studies on candidate adsorbents.

5. Commercial ventures in direct air capture

The past ten to fifteen years have seen the emergence of several companies that specialise in the direct air capture of
CO₂ (Fig. 26). In each case, the CO₂ product is intended for commercial use in various applications such as the atmospheric enrichment of greenhouses, as a feedstock for the synthesis of new fuels, for application in enhanced oil recovery, or it is destined to be stored geologically. In 2011, DAC companies Carbon Engineering, Global Thermostat and Climeworks were announced among the finalists of the Virgin Earth Challenge, recognising them among the top organisations worldwide leading the successful commercialisation in the removal of atmospheric CO₂. These remain the most prominent DAC companies, and although they utilise – and have patented – various sorption technology processes, it is interesting to note that the latter two use a solid amine-based adsorbent. A Canadian company, Carbon Engineering, founded in 2009, employs a chemical looping system in which atmospheric CO₂ is absorbed by an aqueous KOH solution in an air–liquid contactor. The carbonate ions then react with Ca²⁺ to precipitate CaCO₃ which is calcined at 900 °C releasing 98% pure CO₂. Carbon Engineering’s DAC pilot plant, built in 2015 in Squamish, captures about 1 tonne of CO₂ per day, with a levelised cost ranging from $94 to $232 per tonne of CO₂. In 2022, the company plan on constructing their first large-scale commercial plant capable of capturing one million tonnes of CO₂ per year. Carbon Engineering intend on utilising captured CO₂ in the production of synthetic fuels via their ‘Air to Fuels’ process and are also interested in geological storage.

Global Thermostat, a USA-based company formed in 2010 by Eisenberger, targets the capture of CO₂ from both the air and from industrial emissions with a view to the commercial sale of CO₂. The technology involves an adsorbent composed of a porous silica or mesocellular foam substrate with a porous alumina coating, into which an amine-based compound is embedded, comprising 40–60% by volume of the alumina. The adsorbent is arranged in modules which can capture 50 000 tonnes of CO₂ per year and is regenerated by the application of heat from 85–100 °C releasing 98% pure CO₂. The heat can be sourced as residual heat from a power plant or from PV solar farms. Global Thermostat has been operating a demonstration facility since 2010 at SRI International, Menlo Park, California, and it also has a demonstration plant in Huntsville, Alabama. In 2019, the company partnered with ExxonMobil in order to scale up the technology.

Arguably the most established DAC venture with the largest portfolio of facilities is Climeworks. The Swiss based company, which was founded in 2009 by ETH Zurich alumni Gebald and Wurzbacher, aims to capture 1% of global CO₂ emissions by 2025. Their DAC technology centres around modular CO₂ collectors that draw air into contact with a porous adsorbent composed of aminosilane-modified cellulose nanofibers. In 2017, Climeworks launched the world’s first commercial DAC plant in Hinwil, Switzerland. The plant, which was projected to cost $3–4m to build, consists of 18 modular CO₂ collector units that together can capture 900 tonnes of CO₂ per year. In each adsorption–desorption cycle, the adsorbent is regenerated by heating at low temperature (<100 °C) using low grade heat provided by a municipal waste incinerator. The captured CO₂ is sold to a fruit and vegetable company to boost the growth of produce in large greenhouses. Together with Carbfix, Climeworks commissioned the world’s first negative CO₂ emissions facility which couples DAC with CO₂ storage in Iceland. Heat for regeneration is supplied by Hellisheiði geothermal power station and the captured CO₂ is dissolved in water and injected 700 meters underground. Here it reacts with the basaltic rock and within a few years forms calcite and other minerals. With scaling of the operation, the plant is targeted to capture and permanently store 4000 tonnes of CO₂ per year. Climeworks has also set up facilities at which captured CO₂ is utilised as a feedstock to synthesise fuels demonstrating ‘Power-to-Gas’ technologies. As part of a Horizon 2020 research project, Climeworks launched a DAC plant in Troia, Italy, at which 150 tonnes of CO₂ is captured each year. Project partners ATMLSTAT then catalytically combine CO₂ with hydrogen – produced from an electrolyser powered by photovoltaic energy – to produce methane, which is liquefied and used as a fuel. Waste heat from the process is utilised in the regeneration of the CO₂ adsorbent. Climeworks is also involved in the development of a plant to produce 1000 litres of renewable jet fuel per day using atmospheric CO₂. The project, initiated by Rotterdam The Hague Airport and involving a consortium coordinated by EDL Anlagenbau Gesellschaft mbH, aims to demonstrate the potential to decarbonise the aviation industry.
For the operation of their large-scale DAC process, Climeworks quoted typical energy consumption figures of approx. 2000 kW h heat and 650 kW h electricity per tonne of captured CO₂. The cost per tonne of captured CO₂ is estimated to be around $600, but Climeworks aims to reduce this to $100 by cheaper manufacturing on the scaling up of CO₂ capture machinery and continued commercialisation of the product.

Another European-based DAC company is Skytree, founded in 2008 in The Netherlands. A spin-off from the European Space Agency, Skytree use a process originally developed in the 90s as part of the spacecraft onboard life support system. The adsorbent is composed of a high porosity polymer with amino functionality and is regenerated using a temperature swing at 80–100 °C. The company aims to make this technology available at the small-scale level for applications including air purification systems in cars and for delivering CO₂ to aquariaums. Oy Hydrocell Ltd., based in Järfälla, Finland, also developed industrial CO₂ filters designed to improve indoor air quality. The adsorbent is composed of an amine-functionalised polystyrene resin and is used in the DAC pilot plant operated by the VTT Technical Research Center and Lappeenranta University as part of the Finnish SOLETAIR research project. The DAC plant adsorbs 1.39 tonnes of CO₂ per year and uses a regeneration temperature of up to 80 °C. The project aims to utilise the captured CO₂ in a renewable-energy fuelled process to produce hydrocarbon fuels.

A slightly different approach to DAC using a solid adsorbent has been adopted by the USA company, Intinfitec, founded in 2014. Intinfitec applies the ion exchange adsorption technology developed by Lackner and Wright in which CO₂ is adsorbed under dry conditions by a resin featuring ammonium counter ions, and is then released under humid conditions, utilising a moisture swing desorption process. Like some of Climeworks’ projects, the company is targeting the application of the technology for CO₂ enrichment in commercial agricultural greenhouses.

DAC companies are an emerging and growing sector and vary substantially depending on their processing systems, locations, partners and business models; however, it is not coincidental that the common denominator of Climeworks, Global Thermostat, Skytree, Oy Hydrocell and Intinfitec is their solid amine or ammonium-based adsorption technology. As interest in commercial DAC increases and the direct air capture of CO₂ becomes adopted more widely, amine-based chemisorbents may be regarded as advanced materials of the future and further investment and development in amine- and polyamine-based sorbents can be anticipated.

6. Carbon dioxide utilisation
6.1 Overview of carbon dioxide utilisation

During CO₂ reduction, an electric current (potentially obtainable from a renewable source) reduces carbon dioxide, which is dissolved in aqueous bicarbonate or alkaline solution. However, carbon dioxide is an extremely stable, linear molecule. Therefore, a catalyst is used for CO₂ reduction, decreasing the activation barrier for the reaction of CO₂ to *CO₂. Depending on the catalyst and the number of electrons and protons available, a variety of different reduction products can be formed. The main products discussed in this review are grouped as C₁, C₂ or C₃ products, in line with standard nomenclature and are as follows: C₁ (carbon monoxide, formic acid, methane), C₂ (ethane, ethylene), and C₃ (n-propanol).

A postulated mechanism for the reduction of CO₂ to methane is depicted in Fig. 27. The binding mode of the reaction intermediates ultimately determines the end CO₂ reduction product. Here, as there is a *HCO intermediate, methane is formed. If instead two *C═O intermediates were in close proximity to one another, a C₂ product would form.

Hydrogen is also formed from the aqueous solvent medium as a competitive by-product from the CO₂ reduction. It is undesirable because it consumes electrons without forming the desired carbon-containing products and can therefore be termed ‘parasitic’. Hydrogen can be made much more efficiently through water oxidation, which is why the focus of CO₂ reduction is on the formation of carbon-containing products. The measure of the success of the CO₂ reduction is the faradaic efficiency (FE), eqn (1), where \( Q_{\text{experimental}} \) = actual, measured charge passed for a particular molecule, \( Q_{\text{theoretical}} \) = expected charge passed for a particular molecule, \( n \) = number of electrons passed, \( F = \text{Faraday constant} (96 285 \text{ C mol}^{-1}) \), \( Q_{\text{TOT}} \) = total charge passed and product = amount of product generated. This describes the efficiency with which electrons (charge) are converted into products.

\[
\text{Faradaic efficiency} = \frac{Q_{\text{experimental}}}{Q_{\text{theoretical}}} = \frac{n \times F \times \text{product}}{Q_{\text{TOT}}} \tag{1}
\]

A number of techno-economic studies have been carried out to determine the economic viability of the various CO₂ reduction products, taking faradaic efficiency and overpotential into account. For example, ethylene production requires 89% faradaic efficiency at a 0.7 V overpotential to be commercially viable. This review focuses predominantly on faradaic efficiency because it is a useful standard to compare across different experimental set-ups when referenced to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE).

The CO₂ reduction catalyst is usually a metal. Additives can be used to change the properties of the catalyst by affecting the surface chemistry and/or reaction energetics. The additive can also be used to interact with carbon dioxide. Polymers are
advantageous for this purpose because they can be large and therefore able to cover a large surface area. This is exemplified in the work of Liu and co-workers who used poly(dopamine) to protect the surface of their copper nanowire catalyst from degradation during catalysis.205 They found that the poly(dopamine) wrapped catalyst was active for 14 hours and that there was a 2.4 fold enhancement of methane production.

Polymers are also advantageous because they can feature a number of functional groups and can therefore attach to the catalyst surface as well as interact with carbon dioxide molecules and/or stabilise chemical intermediates. An example of this is the work of Ahn et al., where poly(acrylamide) is added to a porous copper catalyst.206 The poly(acrylamide) binds to the copper surface through the C–O bond functionality whilst hydrogen bonding from the NH2 group stabilises the *CO intermediate which is also bound to the copper surface. However, the addition of polymers doesn’t always enhance the production of carbon-containing products. Zhao et al. report the addition of branched PEI (Mw ~ 800) to gold nanoparticles, supported on reduced graphene oxide (RGO).207 This led to an enhancement in the competitive hydrogen evolution reaction (52% to 80%), with a corresponding decrease in carbon monoxide formation (48% to 20%). The authors suggest that PEI forms a strong interaction with the gold nanoparticles, thereby blocking catalytic sites for CO2 adsorption and reduction. In the same paper, the authors observed that shorter chain linear amines had a positive effect on CO production. Using oleylamine the faradaic efficiency of CO production increased from 52% to 75% with a corresponding decrease of hydrogen production from 48 to 25%. The authors attribute this to the oleylamine’s “optimal coverage on the gold nanoparticles”.

In this section of the review, we compare the use of nitrogen-containing polymers and nitrogen-containing small molecules, including amines, pyridines, pyridinic nitrogen, imidazoles and methylcarbamate. The comparisons are categorised according to the products of the CO2 reduction reaction: C1, C2+ products and hydrogen evolution. In each category, two tables are presented summarising the experimental conditions and the faradaic efficiencies of product formation. Wherever possible the potentials are reported versus the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). In both tables, the additives are grouped according to the identity of the catalyst (copper, gold, silver, etc.). The categories are (1) nitrogen functionalinity promoting C1 products, (2) nitrogen functionality promoting C2+ products, and (3) nitrogen functionality promoting H2 evolution.

### 6.2 Nitrogen functionality promoting C1 products

There are two reports of PEI being combined with a catalyst to promote the formation of C1 products. Chung et al. found the addition of PEI (Mw 2000) to gold nanoparticles increased carbon monoxide production from 40% to 60% faradaic efficiency, at −1.1 V versus RHE.208 The authors state that the addition of PEI increases the surface area of the catalyst, thereby improving current density, and stabilising the catalyst to give 64 hours of stable performance. Zhang et al. used a much larger PEI (Mw 25 000) with N-doped multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) to reduce CO2 to formic acid.209 They found that the addition of PEI increased the FE from 59% to 85% and state that PEI acts as a co-catalyst, which in turn stabilises the CO2− intermediate. The combination of the PEI with the nitrogen-doped MWCNTs was also proven to be important; only 8% FE for formic acid production was achieved with PEI-coated undoped MWCNTs.

Copper catalysts have also been combined with amine-functionalised additives. Buckley and co-workers recently investigated a variety of additives. They found that hydrophilic additives promoted formic acid production, whereas hydrophobic additives enhanced CO selection. They combined molecular dynamic simulations with experimental data, concluding that “aprotic additives are necessary to hone CO2R selectivity”.

The additives studied included poly(vinylpyrrolidone) and didecyldimethylammonium bromide, the results of which are summarised in Tables 3 and 4, below. However, no additive that was combined with the copper catalyst led to the production of methane from CO2. Liu et al. used copper nanowires as the CO2 reduction catalyst, yielding a FE of 13% for CH4 production.205

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Metal/support</th>
<th>KHCO3/NaHCO3 (M)</th>
<th>CO2 (mL min−1)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poly(ethylenimine)</td>
<td>Au NPs on C</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinyl alcohol</td>
<td>Au NPs on C</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleylamine</td>
<td>Au NPs on RGO</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexylamine</td>
<td>Au NPs on RGO</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>Au NPs on CNTs</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cysteamine</td>
<td>Au foil</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Pyrindylethanim mercaptan</td>
<td>Au foil</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(dopamine) (Mw 9–10 000)</td>
<td>Cu nanowires</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(vinylpyrrolidone) and didecyldimethyl ammonium bromide</td>
<td>Oxide-derived Cu</td>
<td>K2CO3, 0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenethylamine</td>
<td>Cu nanowires</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl carbamate</td>
<td>Cu foil</td>
<td>NaHCO3, 0.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(ethyleneimine) (Mw ~ 25 000)</td>
<td>N-doped MWNts</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Aminomethyl benzene</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>KHCO3, 1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridinic-N</td>
<td>Sn fibres</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amine functionalised MWCNT</td>
<td>Sn oxide nanosheets</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cysteamine</td>
<td>Ag NPs on CB</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amine functionalised MWCNTs were used as gas diffusion electrodes. The SnOx@MWCNTs were used as gas diffusion electrodes. Although the increase in FE on the addition of pyridine to carbon nanotubes is insignificant (85% to 93%) the increase in current density from the addition of pyridine is substantial, increasing from only ~10 A g⁻¹ to ~75 A g⁻¹ at ~0.6 V versus RHE. Therefore, despite a modest difference in faradaic efficiencies, the current density improves dramatically. Supported by density functional theory (DFT) studies, the authors propose that this increase is due to the gold particles and pyridine groups synergistically stabilising the *COOH intermediate. Zhao et al. also found that the addition of amines (namely oleylamine) to gold nanoparticles stabilises the *COOH intermediate. They also propose that using the long-chain, branched, polyethylenimine results in too high a surface coverage (i.e. all the active sites are blocked) and instead suggest that smaller chain, linear amines, such as oleylamine, cover the surface less effectively therefore leaving active sites free for catalysis.

A cheaper and more effective method for the electroreduction of CO₂ to produce CO is the addition of pyridinic nitrogen to atomically dispersed tin nanoparticles on carbon fibres. The FE of CO production was 91% at the low potential of ~0.6 V versus RHE and the authors suggest that the Sn–N moieties have intrinsically high activity but acknowledge that the reaction kinetics can only be elucidated with further mechanistic studies. The discussed nitrogen-containing additives to catalytic metals are summarised in Tables 3 and 4.

### 6.3 Nitrogen functionality promoting C₂+ products

The addition of nitrogen-containing polymers and smaller molecules to electrocatalysts can also promote the formation of C₂+ products. A summary is presented in Tables 5 and 6.
copper was the only metal catalyst found capable of catalytically reducing carbon dioxide to C2 products. There has recently been a report of ethylene production from CO2 using a silver catalyst.219

The only reported use of a polymer with a copper catalyst to promote C2 formation was the use of poly(acrylamide) with a copper foam.206 The copper foam alone produced 13% FE for ethylene, this doubled on addition of poly(acrylamide) to 26%, at −0.96 V vs. RHE. The current density was also extremely high, 147 mA cm−2, due to the porous nature of the foam giving a high catalytic surface area. Using DFT calculations the authors propose that the poly(acrylamide) binds to the copper metal through the carbonyl moiety of the amide group, leaving the –NH2 protons free to hydrogen bond and stabilise the *CO intermediate (Fig. 28). Concurrently, the polymer encourages multiple *CO intermediates to form nearby leading to CO dimerisation and increased C–C bond formation. Ethylene is the dominant product from this reaction at potentials lower than −0.81 V versus RHE. Additional C2 products observed are ethane, ethanol and n-propanol. At potentials lower than −0.96 V versus RHE methane (a C1 product) is also observed. Recent studies have shown that modifying the catalyst layer of gas diffusion electrodes221 with nitrogen-containing polymers can indeed enhance CO2 to-ethylene conversion to very high faradaic efficiencies.222 Moreover, the incorporation of

Table 5 Experimental conditions for CO2 reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additive/support</th>
<th>Metal/support</th>
<th>KHCO3/NaHCO3 (M)</th>
<th>CO2 flow rate (mL min−1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Tolypyrindium</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzimidazole</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridinic-N rich graphene</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.5</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyamine</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-polymerised 2,5-diethynyl-pyridine with 1,3,5-tris(azidomethyl benzene)</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>KHCO3, 0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-polymerised 1,3,5-triethynylbenzene with 1,3,5-tris(azidomethyl benzene)</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Poly(acrylamide)</td>
<td>NaHCO3, 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 Comparison of faradaic efficiencies for C2H4, C2H6, and H2 through changing the nitrogen additive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Add. conc. (mM)</th>
<th>Metal/support</th>
<th>V vs. RHE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>0.1–10.0</td>
<td>Cu foil</td>
<td>−1.31c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>0.1–10.0</td>
<td>Cu nanowire</td>
<td>−1.31d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Tolypyrindinium</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Cu polycrystalline</td>
<td>−1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Aminophenylpyridinium</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Cu polycrystalline</td>
<td>−1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzimidazole</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>Cu Foil</td>
<td>−1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridinic-N rich graphene</td>
<td>1:1 w/w p-NG:Cu</td>
<td>Cu NPs (7 nm)</td>
<td>−0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridinic-N rich graphene</td>
<td>1:1 w/w p-NG:Cu</td>
<td>Cu NPs (7 nm)</td>
<td>−1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyamine (PANI)</td>
<td>5 g L−1 Nafion</td>
<td>Glassy carbon</td>
<td>−1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-polymerised 2,5-diethynyl-pyridine with 1,3,5-triethynylbenzene</td>
<td>9.5 mM in</td>
<td>Cu rod</td>
<td>−1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-polymerised 1,3,5-triethynylbenzene</td>
<td>4.3 mM solution</td>
<td>Cu rod</td>
<td>−1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(acrylamide)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>−0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(acrylamide)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>−1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amine-functionalised polyamide</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>Cu on carbon</td>
<td>−0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Approximately, Xie et al.224 reported their electrocatalysis vs. Ag/AgCl, without including ohmic drop measurements or corrections. See supporting information of the paper by Ahn et al.206 where Ahn converted the Xie data from Ag/AgCl to RHE. — n-Propanol also produced 2.8% FE without and 7.1% with N-tolypyrindinium, 8.6% with 4-aminophenylpyridinium. Ethanol also produced 7.2% FE without and 30.6% with N-tolypyrindinium, 26.7% with 4-aminophenylpyridinium. — n-Propanol without was 1.75% and with additive was 3.0% ethanol without was 2.0% and with additive was 28.2%. — Data provided by authors at −1.1 V vs. RHE without the additive but not at any other potential. No FE for hydrogen production is reported and so the number has to be extrapolated from data given in the text. Insufficient data is given to extrapolate hydrogen FE at this potential for this material.

Fig. 28 Depiction of the effect of adding poly(acrylamide) to a copper foam, as reported by Ahn et al. Reprinted with permission from ref. 206. Copyright (2018) American Chemical Society.
phenylpyridinium in porous random copolymers can increase polymer film robustness.\textsuperscript{233}

Xie et al. screened six amino acids as additives to copper nanowire catalysts.\textsuperscript{224} Glycine led to the greatest improvement, increasing the FE of ethylene production from 9.5% to 24.0%. The authors suggest that the zwitterionic character of the glycine (forming -NH\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{+}) can stabilise the *CHO intermediate in \text{CO}_2 reduction, thus promoting the formation of \text{C}_1 and \text{C}_2 products. However, as a number of the other tested amino acids, e.g., alanine, leucine, arginine, also show zwitterionic character, this theory is worth further investigation to determine whether the electron donating/withdrawing groups attached to the zwitterion also have an effect on catalysis. The N-tolypyridinium additive added to a copper electrode by Han et al. resulted in an impressive faradaic efficiency for \text{n-propanol production (7.1\% at -1.1 V versus RHE).\textsuperscript{225} Whilst reports of ethylene production are common in the literature,\textsuperscript{198} \text{n-propanol is still a sought after commodity. Han found that some of the N-tolypyridinium reacted during catalysis to form a film upon the catalytic surface. The film was found to be a dimer of the N-tolypyridinium compound. A conjecture was given that either the film suppressed methane and hydrogen production, or that the film restricted proton diffusion, thereby affecting the local surface pH. Further investigation was carried out by Ovalle and Waagele\textsuperscript{231} who used surface enhanced infrared absorption spectroscopy and scanning electron microscopy to understand the formation of the aryl pyridinium films on the copper surface. The hypothesis put forward by Han (that the film restricted proton diffusion) was corroborated by Ovalle and Waagele, who found that the N-tolypyridinium film “leads to an increase in the interfacial pH by limiting the mass transport to/from the interface” and that \text{C}_2\textsubscript{g} products form due to the lack of protons available at the interface. Interestingly, the authors’ findings also suggest that nitrogen lone pairs are a disadvantage as they can bind to active copper sites, thus blocking those sites for \text{CO}_2 reduction.\textsuperscript{231}

Research on arylpyridiniums was expanded by Li et al. to test the same range of pyridinium-containing salts that were reported in Han’s paper, but in a liquid-electrolyte flow cell, instead of a static H-cell.\textsuperscript{232} Through further theoretical and \textit{in situ} investigation the authors propose that “the nitrogen atoms of the N-aryl-substituted pyridinium ring influences the binding of the “CO” to the copper. Under these conditions the \textit{N,N’-(1,4-phenylene)bisp}yridinium salt was found to be the most active towards ethylene production, achieving 72\% efficiency at -0.83 V versus RHE, with an impressively high partial current density of 230 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}. The authors suggest that the high number of nitrogen atoms in the molecule contributed to the high ethylene efficiency. Overall, the cell was stable for 190 hours of ethylene production during which the faradaic efficiency dropped from \text{71 to \sim 55\%}. The corresponding hydrogen production increased from \sim 10\% to \sim 25\% faradaic efficiency.

Zhong et al. used comparable conditions (electrolyte, bubbling rate, potential) to the original 2017 Han paper, to investigate the addition of benzimidazole to a copper foil for \text{CO}_2 reduction.\textsuperscript{226} The addition of benzimidazole (BIM) significantly increases the formation of ethylene (from 15.0\% FE to 41.1\% FE) and ethanol (28.2\% FE versus 2.0\% without). These faradaic efficiencies are comparable with those observed by Han when using N-tolypyridinium and 4-aminomethylpyridinium. The only significant difference is that Han’s catalysts achieved double the faradaic efficiency of n-propanol production, compared to Zhong’s. Zhong et al. cite (i) the Cu(BIM)\textsubscript{x} layer restricting proton diffusion (similar to Han and the dimerisation of the N-tolypyridinium) and (ii) the benzimidazole reacting with water to obtain protons, which leads to the formation of the intermediate [COOH]\textsuperscript{+}, known to lead to \text{C}_2\textsubscript{g} products.

Recently, amine-functionalised polyamides incorporated in copper-based gas diffusion electrodes have achieved high \text{CO}_2- to-ethylene FE up to 87\%.\textsuperscript{222}

\subsection{6.4 Nitrogen functionality promoting parasitic \text{H}_2 evolution}

Some nitrogen-containing additives promote the competitive hydrogen evolution reaction. A summary is presented in Tables 7 and 8, where Table 7 summarises the experimental conditions used, and Table 8 compares the faradaic efficiency of hydrogen production.

It is important to understand what effect the nitrogen-containing additive has on the overall catalytic mechanism of hydrogen evolution. Zhao and co-workers proposed that PEI (\textit{Mn} = 800) could block active catalytic sites thereby prohibiting \text{CO}_2 from interacting with the gold nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{207} This led to an 80\% FE for \text{H}_2 production at \text{-0.7 V versus RHE}. Ahn et al. added poly(allylamine) to a copper foam and found that it completely suppressed \text{CO}_2 reduction, solely promoting the formation of hydrogen (100\% FE for \text{H}_2 production, up from 50\% without additive).\textsuperscript{206} A similar observation was made by Buckley et al. who added poly(allylamine) to oxide-derived copper – the faradaic efficiency for hydrogen production increased from 28\% without poly(allylamine) to 97\% with it. Ahn found that the addition of poly(allylamine) affected the morphology of the copper catalyst, leading to a rounded and

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Experimental conditions for \text{CO}_2 reduction}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\textbf{Additive} & \textbf{Metal/support} & \textbf{KHCO}_3/NaHCO\textsubscript{3} (M) & \textbf{CO}_2 (mL min\textsuperscript{-1}) & \textbf{Ref.}
\hline
Poly(allylamine) & Cu & NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, 0.1 & 40 & 206
Poly(allylamine) & Oxide-derived Cu & K\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} (0.5) & 0 & 210
Trimethylamine & Cu & KHCO\textsubscript{3}, 0.1 & 100 & 233
Pyridinium & Cu & KHCO\textsubscript{3}, 0.1 & 5 & 225
1,3-Bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-1,5-imidazol-1-ylimin & Cu & KHCO\textsubscript{3}, 0.1 & 5 & 225
Methyl carbamate & Cu & NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, 0.5 & 60 & 215
Poly(ethylene imine) & Au NPs on RGO & KHCO\textsubscript{3}, 0.1 & 20 & 207
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
compact structure.\textsuperscript{210} Buckley propose that the protic groups in the poly(allylamine) “act as proton shuttles, or that the groups have an electronic effect that promotes the hydrogen evolution reaction”. The promotion of the hydrogen evolution reaction was also observed when trimethylamine was added to a copper catalyst the FE for H\textsubscript{2} production increased from 15\% to 65\%. The strong bonding of amines to copper is cited as the reason for the deactivation of the catalyst.\textsuperscript{233} Similarly, Han et al. propose that the binding of the pyridine-moieties to the active copper sites causes deactivation of the catalyst.\textsuperscript{225} Interestingly methyl carbamate was found to have a positive and a negative effect on the CO\textsubscript{2} reduction depending on the applied potential. Qiu and co-workers found that at −1.10 V \textit{versus} RHE the addition of methylcarbamate increased the FE of H\textsubscript{2} production from 80\% to 90\%.\textsuperscript{215} However, at much more negative potentials (−2.20 V \textit{versus} RHE) the FE for H\textsubscript{2} production was inhibited.

### 7. Conclusions

For atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} levels to reduce and for global warming to be alleviated, technologies must be developed to capture CO\textsubscript{2} at an industrial scale. To help finance this endeavour, and to reduce our dependence on depleting fossil fuel resources, it is widely believed that CO\textsubscript{2} utilisation should be adopted and developed across the chemicals, materials and energy sectors, in parallel with carbon capture and storage technology.

Amines have been employed as the reactive components within a highly diverse and versatile family of CO\textsubscript{2} adsorbents, and these are an intensely researched group of materials. Amines and polyamines have been used heavily in combination with inorganic oxides as cheap and effective support materials, and they have also been applied to a host of other solids to produce novel and effective adsorbents. Polyamines have been supported on hollow fibres and resins, and they have also been used in conjunction with typically researched CO\textsubscript{2} physisorbents such as MOFs, carbonaceous materials and microporous polymers. New directions in amine-based adsorbents are evolving too, such as the rise of the ‘unsupported’ polyamine, by way of forming a solid, cross-linked polymer network. In terms of their CO\textsubscript{2} capacity, amine-based adsorbents have displayed uptakes in excess of 3 mmol CO\textsubscript{2} g\textsuperscript{−1}, even at a low CO\textsubscript{2} partial pressure equivalent to that of air.\textsuperscript{29} In addition to high uptake potentials at low CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations, amine-based adsorbents have certain advantages over other CO\textsubscript{2} capture technologies, such as their lower regeneration energy penalty compared to aqueous amine solutions, and their high moisture tolerance compared to some of their physisorbent counterparts such as MOFs. Thus, amine-based adsorbent technologies are currently employed by several new ventures commercialising the direct air capture of CO\textsubscript{2}. With governments worldwide setting increasingly ambitious climate commitments, and the fact that these often rely on atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} removal, it can be anticipated that CO\textsubscript{2} capture will receive ever-greater attention and investment. Amine-based adsorbents, much favoured by enterprises specialising in DAC, will very likely be an extremely important feature in the suite of industrial CDR technologies to be deployed in the coming years. However, despite their prominence as some of the leading industrial CO\textsubscript{2} capture technologies, challenges remain for the research and development of solid amine adsorbents. To further scale-up CDR operations, adsorbent and operational costs must be significantly reduced. These costs are dependent on a multitude of factors including the price of the component parts of the adsorbent system. As such, cheap support materials such as inorganic oxides are highly favoured, although new methodologies are demonstrating the potential of unsupported polyamine-based adsorbents. For economical adsorption processes, it is necessary for high working capacities to be maintained through optimal amine loading balanced with good availability \textit{via} favourable dispersion within high surface area adsorbents. Research continues in these directions to improve amine efficiencies through chemical modifications of the supporting materials or amines themselves, or \textit{via} the introduction of additives to positively influence adsorption behaviour. Amine-based adsorbents may be highly selective for CO\textsubscript{2} capture, however, due to this strong affinity, particularly for primary amine functionalities, regeneration of amine-based adsorbents is an issue that requires close attention to ensure the lowest possible energy input for desorption is achieved for economical viability of the process. Stability, and therefore adsorption potential, under the effects of harsh conditions present more trials for amine-based adsorbents. Research is showing how these can be overcome through creative chemical solutions such as altering amine mass or chemical structure to reduce leaching, oxidation and degradation, for example. Alternatively, new processing solutions are proposed such as

### Table 8

Comparison of faradaic efficiencies for H\textsubscript{2} production while changing the nitrogen additive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Add. conc. (mM)</th>
<th>Metal/support</th>
<th>V \textit{versus} RHE</th>
<th>FE H\textsubscript{2} (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poly(allylamine)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>−0.96</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(allylamine)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Oxide derived Cu</td>
<td>−0.70</td>
<td>28 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(allylamine)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>−1.35 (\textit{versus} SHE)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethylamine</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>−1.1</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridinium</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>−1.1</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-1,5-imidazol-1-ylium</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>−1.10</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl carbamate</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Au NPs on RGO</td>
<td>−0.7</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(ethylene imine) M\textsubscript{n} 800</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>−0.85</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ref.No add. With add. |
shortening adsorption steps or introducing pre-\(\text{CO}_2\) adsorption scrubbing to overcome issues of deactivation by acid–gas pollutants.

When it comes to evaluating an adsorbent for application at the process level, there exist several performance indicators relevant to the adsorbent behaviour, all of which may not consistently be established at laboratory scale, as described, or under the most industrially relevant conditions, as required. These performance indicators include a material’s working capacity, selectivity, and heat of adsorption as well as the purity of its product, its recovery, productivity, and the energy input required to regenerate the adsorbent, whether this is via a pressure or temperature swing, or both. These indicators can be applied to provide metrics that can be used to compare multiple adsorbents for a given separation process. Therefore, to enable the most accurate cross-study comparisons, adsorbents’ essential adsorption parameters must be analysed across a wide range of variables to gain a full account of their adsorption behaviours and ensure balance between the improvement of certain metrics over the expense of others. To this end, it is imperative that researchers involved in this area appreciate the full interplay between the fundamental chemical and engineering principles of \(\text{CO}_2\) capture technologies.

Due to the almost infinite number of adsorbent candidates for separation processes, the identification of the most suitable and highest performing adsorbents may be accelerated by comparison of the adsorbent selection metrics or via high-throughput screening. The latter may entail practical experimentation, potentially using a system in which useful data can be obtained from as small a sample mass as possible, or via a computational model using molecular simulations. The most effective adsorbents can then be subsequently subjected to rigorous pilot scale testing and comprehensive process modelling. To date, cross-study sorbent comparisons have primarily focussed on physisorbents. In order to further the development of amine-based adsorbents for industrial-scale \(\text{CO}_2\) capture, chemical engineering and processing knowledge must be adapted and advanced to understand their specific issues, especially about their heat of adsorption, product purity and regeneration energy requirements.

As amines gain momentum in developing \(\text{CO}_2\) capture applications, they also play an increasingly prominent role in \(\text{CO}_2\) utilisation technology. As components in electrocatalysts for \(\text{CO}_2\) utilisation, polyamines are highly effective in increasing the faradaic efficiency of product formation. Not only can they increase the proportion of ethylene produced but they can also suppress hydrogen evolution, a parasitic side reaction. In general, polyamines, and nitrogen-containing additives, interact with the surface of an electrocatalyst affecting its morphology, increasing its surface area, and improving its current density. Polyamine and nitrogen-containing additives can also affect electronic properties, influencing the binding of the intermediate products to the metal, and act as a co-catalyst, stabilising and promoting the formation of intermediate products. To this end, amines and polyamines are highly useful to the electrochemist as a tool for the manipulation of an electrocatalytic system to optimise the production of useful carbon compounds.

With the shift in paradigm from viewing \(\text{CO}_2\) as a waste product, to seeing it as a potentially useful reagent, there is ever-growing momentum and interest in the conversion of \(\text{CO}_2\) into higher-value chemicals. Solid amine-based materials have proven themselves highly applicable to both \(\text{CO}_2\) capture and utilisation. It can be expected that they will feature heavily in technologies of the future and play their part in the ‘Green Industrial Revolution’.
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