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Over the last few decades, the terrific development in the field of consumer electronics, paralleled by wider access to technology, short device lifetime and replacement cycles, has generated an unsustainable amount of waste of electrical and electronic equipment. Accumulation of e-waste is posing serious environmental and health concerns for the present and future generations. Abundant, bio-sourced, biocompatible, solution-processable organic materials are promising for promoting the development of low eco- and human-toxic electronic technologies. Therefore, unraveling the structure-to-property relationships in bio-sourced materials is paramount. The study of the charge carrier transport properties of bio-sourced materials is challenging. The presence of ions, and among them protons, in these systems profoundly affects the local molecular environment and, in turn, their charge carrier transport properties. In this context, the question whether the classical concept of semiconductivity developed for inorganic materials applies to protonic as well as mixed protonic–electronic counterparts is a matter of debate. In this review, we shed light on the elusive concept of semiconductivity for nature-inspired materials and provide new perspectives on protonic transport on the definition of bio-sourced semiconductors.

1. Introduction

We are living in an era of tremendous technological achievements. The field of electronics is progressing at a terrific pace to a point where our lives are becoming more and more dependent on it. Despite that we undoubtedly benefit from electronic technologies and devices, such a tremendous boom poses environmental and health concerns. Quoting SONY’s founding chairman A. Morita, “we are moving from consumer to consumable electronics”: replacement cycles and lifetime of electronics are becoming shorter and shorter.\(^1,2\) According to the Global e-Waste Monitor 2020, 53.6 Mt of e-Waste were produced worldwide in 2019 and this amount is expected to reach 74.7 Mt by 2030.\(^3\) A global effort is now in place for achieving wider access to technology, short device lifetime and replacement cycles, has generated an unsustainable amount of waste of electrical and electronic equipment. Accumulation of e-waste is posing serious environmental and health concerns for the present and future generations. Abundant, bio-sourced, biocompatible, solution-processable organic materials are promising for promoting the development of low eco- and human-toxic electronic technologies. Therefore, unraveling the structure-to-property relationships in bio-sourced materials is paramount. The study of the charge carrier transport properties of bio-sourced materials is challenging. The presence of ions, and among them protons, in these systems profoundly affects the local molecular environment and, in turn, their charge carrier transport properties. In this context, the question whether the classical concept of semiconductivity developed for inorganic materials applies to protonic as well as mixed protonic–electronic counterparts is a matter of debate. In this review, we shed light on the elusive concept of semiconductivity for nature-inspired materials and provide new perspectives on protonic transport on the definition of bio-sourced semiconductors.

In 2000 A. Heeger, A. MacDiarmid, and H. Shirakawa were awarded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry for their discovery that the electrical conductivity of conjugated materials (i.e. materials featuring single–double carbon bonds) can increase by several orders of magnitude via chemical doping.

Since then, bio-sourced or bio-inspired materials have been applied in devices such as organic field-effect transistors,\(^7,8\) (e.g. indigo, epindolidione, quinacridone, and tyrian-purple), organic solar cells,\(^9\) light-emitting diodes,\(^10,11\) phototransistors (e.g. eumelanin),\(^12\) organic sensors,\(^5\) p–n ionic junctions (i.e. chitosan)\(^13\) and many others.\(^14–16\) Organic electronics are a promising complement of Silicon (Si) technologies for printable, stretchable and flexible applications.\(^17\)

Bio-sourced materials are generally wet, that is they can contain protons and mobile ions. In bio-sourced materials, the nature of current can therefore be ionic or mixed ionic–electronic, unlike their inorganic counterparts wherein electronic transport (electrons and holes) prevails.\(^18–21\) The presence of ionic species modifies the structural environment of bio-sourced materials (e.g. by generating electronic traps and local dipole moments and/or by changing the dielectric constant) and impacts their mesoscopic properties.

The question whether the models of transport physics developed for inorganic materials apply to bio-sourced ones is evergreen. Given the classical definition of inorganic semiconductors, based on exclusive electronic transport, several questions can be raised: what is the impact of protonic...
transport in defining the semiconducting properties of bio-sourced, nature-inspired materials? What are the factors that define bio-sourced materials as semiconductors? Does the nature of the predominant charge carrier (electrons/holes vs protons/proton-holes) determine whether a bio-sourced material is a semiconductor or not? Unravelling the mesoscopic properties of bio-sourced materials is a burning need to exploit their full technological potential.

In this review, we tentatively try to answer these questions. We first provide the fundamentals of electronic and protonic transport mechanisms in bio-sourced materials, including the Mott–Davis (MD) amorphous semiconductor model\textsuperscript{22} and the quantum model of the Groththus mechanism,\textsuperscript{23} which leads to the protonic semiconductor model. We then discuss the examples of bio-sourced devices (i.e. protonic p-n junctions, field-effect transistors and light-emitting diodes) whose working principles can be described by using the protonic semiconductor model. We conclude with a case study on the biopigment eumelanin, which for decades has been considered as a model. We bring to light novel perspectives on semiconductivity and calls for a new, holistic definition of bio-sourced semiconductors.

2. Electronic transport in bio-sourced materials

Herein, we present the introductory aspects of band theory for ordered (crystalline) and disordered (amorphous) materials that are fundamental, for a broad readership, to understand the transport physics of bio-sourced materials. To this aim, we briefly present an overview of the band theory of electrical transport in defining the semiconducting properties of bio-sourced materials (Section 2.1) and then its modification for amorphous materials (Section 2.2).

The charge carrier transport properties of any material can be described by using the basic conductivity (\(\sigma\)) equation:

\[
\sigma = n q \mu
\]

where \(\sigma\) is the conductivity, \(n\) is the charge density, \(q\) is the fundamental charge, and \(\mu\) is the mobility of the charge carrier. Indeed, any discussion on the charge carrier transport properties in solids can be carried out only by understanding \(\sigma\) in terms of \(\mu\) and \(n\). At the end of Sections 2.1 and 2.2, we shortly discuss the relevance of these transport models to bio-sourced materials (Section 2.3).

Detailed analysis of band theory and its extension to amorphous materials is out of scope in this review. For the literature regarding these topics, we invite readers to refer to 18–21.

2.1 Band theory of electronic transport

The properties of electrons in crystalline solids are explained by using band theory. In crystalline solids (inorganic and organic) the structure is composed of units (e.g. atoms, ions, and molecules) arranged in periodic planes of arrays (Bravais lattice). This periodicity is responsible for highly ordered structures and profoundly impacts the foundations of band transport theories.\textsuperscript{18} The energy levels of an electron are determined considering a steady state single-electron Hamiltonian with the periodicity of the underlying lattice. Indeed, the electron interacts with the lattice units through periodic potential wells. In this framework, the long-range order enables extended delocalization of the electronic wavefunction, and the motion of electrons is described by Bloch waves.

Delocalization of electronic charge carriers generates energy bands, namely the valence band (VB) and the conduction band (CB), for the occupancy state of holes and electrons, respectively. The states are extended within the band \(i.e\). the wavefunction occupies the entire volume. The energy difference between the top of the VB (\(E_C\)) and the bottom of the CB (\(E_V\)) defines the crystalline band gap region (\(E_G\)) where no electronic states are found. In metals, the Fermi energy level (\(E_F\)) lies in between one or more filled bands (\(e.g\). there is no energy gap) and there are already available charge carriers for conduction at any temperature (Fig. 1A). In both semiconductors and insulators, the Fermi energy level \(E_F\) lies between \(E_C\) and \(E_V\). The value of \(E_G\) distinguishes semiconductors (\(E_G \sim 1 \text{ to } 3 \text{ eV}, \) Fig. 1B) from insulators (\(E_G \text{ greater than } 3 \text{ eV}, \) Fig. 1C).

In semiconductors and insulators, electronic conduction arises from the excitation of an electron from the VB to the CB by providing the electron with an energy greater than \(E_G\) for every electron in the CB a hole (electron counterpart) is left in the VB.

Therefore, the study of charge transport involves understanding the relationship between \(E_G\) and the density of the available charge carriers for conduction (\(n\)). In intrinsic semiconductors, \(n\) depends on \(E_G\) and temperature (\(T\)) with a Maxwell–Boltzmann relation of the form \(n(T) = n_0(T) e^{-E_G/k_B T}\), where \(n_0(T)\) are the density of electrons and holes in the CB and the VB, respectively and \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant.

As a result, the electric conductivity can be written as

\[
\sigma \sim q n e^{-E_G/k_B T},
\]

where \(q\) and \(\mu\) are the elementary charge and the charge mobility, respectively. In semiconductors, \(\sigma\) is temperature-dependent and \(E_G\) is an activation energy barrier for charge carrier transport.

2.2 Modifications of band theory: the Mott–Davis (MD) amorphous semiconductor model

The word amorphous, for the case of both inorganic and organic solids, refers to the presence of long-range (extended) disorder. For inorganic materials, structural disorder originates from fluctuations of the bond length and the presence of interstitial defects and vacancies. In organic amorphous materials, positional disorder originates, for instance, from shorter (or longer) than average conjugation lengths of molecular chains not arranged into periodic structures.\textsuperscript{18–21} Twists of molecular backbones are an additional source of disorder (\(i.e\). conformational disorder).\textsuperscript{16,27}

A key aspect to understand the transport physics of amorphous systems is that disorder strongly localizes the electronic states. The most accredited description of the electrical
properties of amorphous semiconductors is given by the Mott–Davis (MD) model. According to it, disorder confines Bloch’s waves around a few lattice units and introduces band tails of states at the band edge of VB ($E_{\text{VBE}}$) and CB ($E_{\text{CBE}}$). These states extend in the gap around $E_F$ with a Gaussian distribution (shaded blue area, Fig. 1D). In crystals, only band-like conduction is expected because $E_G$ is the only activation energy appearing in the analytic expression for $\sigma(T)$. Conversely, for amorphous solids, several activation energies exist, each one corresponding to a given transport mechanism. For instance, a localized electron can hop to its closest localized empty state (the nearest neighbor hopping (NNH)) or to an empty localized state further away but within the energy landscape around the $E_F$ level (variable range hopping (VRH)). For an electron to hop from a fully occupied localized state to an unoccupied localized state, it requires an activation energy such that the conductivity is described by the following equation:

$$\sigma = A \exp(-B/T^k)$$  (3)

where $B$ is the activation temperature (K), $A$ is the pre-exponential factor related to the mobility in localized states and $k$ is an exponent determined by the temperature (i.e. at room temperature $k = 1$ and at lower temperatures $k = 1/4$).

By applying strong electric fields (e.g. thousands of V cm$^{-1}$ as in resistive switching experiments) and/or by increasing the temperature, electrons can hop from the occupied localized states, located within $E_{\text{CBE}}$ and $E_F$, to empty the delocalized states in the CB (band-like conduction, Fig. 1D). The conductivity in the band-like conduction regime is temperature-dependent through an exponential relation similar to eqn (3) but with the exception that $k = 1$ and $B$ indicates the minimum required energy for the electrons to hop from the localized to delocalized states. The same discussion holds for hopping of holes in the localized states (NNH and VRH) and from the localized to delocalized states beyond the VB (hole band-like conduction).

### 2.3 Relevance and limitations of the MD model to bio-sourced materials

The relevance of the MD model to bio-sourced materials is an open debate in the field of organic electronics. In biomaterials, the presence of intrinsic and extrinsic (e.g. adsorbed water in the molecular structure) disorder impacts their charge transport properties. Particularly, it has been reported that the presence of adsorbed water perturbs the structural environment of these systems by locally changing the dielectric constant and the capacitance. In addition, the interplay of hydration and temperature effects makes the assignment of an exclusive temperature (or hydration)-dependent analytical expression challenging for the electrical conductivity of bio-sourced materials. Despite these complications, several studies on proteins, dry and hydrated hemoglobin and DNA have showed that the conductivity in these systems is strongly temperature-dependent through hopping of electrons across activation energy barriers, in analogy to amorphous semiconductors. In accordance with these studies, the mobility for mixed electronic–protonic bio-molecular systems can be described as follows:

$$\mu = \mu_0 \exp(-E_H/k_B T)$$  (4)

$\mu_0$ is the pre exponential factor and $E_H$ is the average energy barrier height for a hopping event. It is worth noticing that there is no $\exp(-B/T^{1/4})$ behaviour at low temperature, as observed in amorphous semiconductors. This appears to be a
3. Protonic transport in bio-sourced materials

Biomaterials are intrinsically ionic and wet. Biological signals naturally arise from the movement of ions (e.g. Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\), and Cl\(^-\)) and protons (H\(^+\)). In these systems, the presence of ionic species affects the charge transport properties by locally modifying the molecular environment, which is intensely dependent upon the hydration/solvation conditions.

Protons are the most mobile charges among ionic species with a close radius and similar mass.\(^{39}\) In nature, protonic transport plays a pivotal role in several biological energy conversion processes, which occur via protonation/deprotonation reactions across hydrogen (H) bonds. The most common example is the formation of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) via oxidative phosphorylation of adenosine diphosphate (ADP), driven by proton gradients during photosynthesis in mitochondria.\(^{40,41}\) Additional examples include proton gradients in rhodopsin,\(^{42}\) proton activated flagella in a few species of bacteria,\(^{43}\) and pH regulation in cellular proton channels.\(^{44}\)

Aside from specific biological functions, protonic (ionic) transport arouses tremendous interest in the field of organic bio-sourced iontronics. Particularly, protonic (ionic) and mixed conductors can be integrated into logic circuits to mimic, register and stimulate biological functionalities.\(^{45,46}\) Besides converting biochemical (ionic) into electronic signals, they can be active components in bioprotonic field-effect transistors,\(^{47}\) light-emitting diodes\(^{11}\) and switching memory devices.\(^{49,50}\) Therefore, understanding the role and the impact of mixed transport on the mesoscopic properties of bio-sourced materials is the underpinning to designing and developing high performance (bio) electronic devices. In protonic and mixed protonic–electronic biomaterials, H-bonds build up molecular chains that serve as pathways for protons.

Herein, we first discuss the fundamental aspects of proton transport mechanisms (e.g. vehicle and Grothuss) in hydrated protonic bio-conductors (Section 3.1). Afterwards, we present the concept of protonic semiconductors by means of the recently developed quantum mechanical description of protonic transport in H-bonded chains of proton conductors (Section 3.2). In the forthcoming section, we discuss the experimental techniques commonly used to measure the flow of protonic currents in bio-sourced conductors (Section 3.3). We then present the examples of a few bio-protonic devices whose working principles are explained by the protonic semiconductor model (e.g. proton p-n junctions, field-effect transistors and light-emitting diodes, Section 3.4).

We conclude with a special case of eumelanin, a mixed conductor, which for decades has been described as an amorphous semiconductor and only recently considered a predominant proton conductor with the limited contribution of electronic transport to conductivity.

In Section 2, we raised the questions whether the MD model could be applied to bio-sourced conductors and whether the definition of semiconductivity can be extended to protonic and mixed protonic–electronic conductors. We tentatively try to answer these questions by discussing the intriguing case of the biopigment eumelanin (Section 3.5).

3.1 Proton transport mechanisms

H-bonding is a noncovalent and directional interaction where a H atom is shared between two electronegative atoms that take part to form a covalent bond. Due to different bonding configurations, many types of H-bonds exist, approaching a typical energy range from 0.05 eV (i.e. van der Waals bonds) to about 0.7 eV (i.e. covalent bonds).\(^{51}\) In several hydrated bio-sourced materials, molecular chains known as proton wires form via H-bonding between water molecules and between water molecules and proton donor (e.g. N–H, NH\(_2\)) and/or proton acceptor (e.g. O–H, −O) functional groups. The acidity increases with the content of proton donors and the basicity with the content of proton acceptors.\(^{52}\) The acidity and basicity can also be increased upon hydration, i.e. the increase of water %/w/v via water absorption in the molecular backbone.

Depending on the level of acidity/basicity (and/or hydration), two hopping mechanisms are possible for protonic transport along the proton wires. At low acidity/basicity (and/or hydration), sequential hops take place via diffusion processes induced by the local charge gradient concentration (vehicle mechanisms). In vehicle mechanisms, the diffusion coefficient (D) depends on...
the frequency of proton hopping; and the proton mobility ($\mu$) and conductivity ($\sigma$) are given by the Einstein–Stokes relations:\cite{53}

$$\mu = \frac{qD}{k_BT}$$

(5)

$$\sigma = nq \mu = nq^2D/k_BT$$

(6)

where $q$ is the proton charge and $D$ is the diffusion coefficient. Thus, in vehicle mechanisms, protons can be thought as localized charges diffusing across proton wires featuring short H-bond networks.

At high acidity/basicity (and/or hydration), proton wires form continuous pathways such that cooperative proton transport via the Grotthus mechanism takes place (Fig. 2A).\cite{54,55}

In the Grotthus mechanism, the injected protons (H$^+$) and hydroxyl ions (OH$^-$) (considered as ionic defects, with respect to conventional electronic charge carriers) can hop from one molecular site to another (Fig. 2A and B). Each hopping event locally modifies the charge neutrality along the chain. Consequently, a sequence of hopping events can occur only if the molecule donating (receiving) the ionic defect rotates to reverse the direction of its dipole moment. As soon as a rotation occurs, the H-bond losing (receiving) a proton generates an orientational negatively (positively) charged defect, i.e. Bjerrum L (Bjerrum D) in which no protons (two protons) are shared in the H-bond (Fig. 2C). In this framework, the fast-cooperative proton transport is driven by the sequential motion of ionic and orientational defects along the proton wires. The generation and translation of ionic and orientational defects requires energy that can be provided to the system by applying an electric field and/or by raising the temperature. Considering the contribution of the Grotthus transport and vehicle mechanism together, the protonic conductivity can be written as follows:

$$\sigma = \frac{nq \mu = (C/k_BT) \exp(-E_a/k_BT)}$$

(7)

where $C$ is a constant including the diffusion coefficient ($D$) and the elementary charge ($q$), and $E_a$ is the activation energy barrier for protonic hopping, which is approximatively equal to the energy required to break a H-bond (i.e. 0.1 eV).\cite{55}

It is worth noticing that there is a similarity between eqn (3) and (7) (Section 2) for the transport physics of amorphous semiconductors, experimentally verified for a wide range of biological systems and bio-sourced materials, including mixed conductors.\cite{28,30,33,56,57}

### 3.2 Protonic semiconductor model

The concept of protonic semiconductivity was formulated for the first time in 1958 after the experimental observation of p–n rectification effects in ice crystals doped with donor–acceptor

---

**Fig. 2** (A) Hopping and reorientation of H-bonded chains in Grotthus’s theory of transport (reproduced with permission from ref. 54, copyright (2006) Elsevier); (B) ionic and (C) orientational defects in H-bonded proton wires containing water molecules (reproduced and adapted with permission from ref. 68, copyright (2014) European Geosciences Union); (D) schematic representation showing the double-well potential of the quantum model for Grotthus transport and the sequence of proton hopping events between H-bonded molecules in chains forming proton wires. Protons and water molecules are represented by black and blue circles, respectively.
functional groups.\textsuperscript{58} \textit{p–n} rectification effects as well as the \textit{p} and \textit{n}-type field-effect behavior of chitosan films have been recently reported by M. Rolandi’s group.\textsuperscript{13} These observations, paralleled by recent quantum mechanical models,\textsuperscript{57–60} led us to describe the protonic transport in proton wires by considering \textit{H\textsuperscript{+}} and \textit{OH\textsuperscript{−}}, respectively, as the electron and hole counterparts of electronic semiconductors. In this framework, the protons occupy the protonic valence band leaving the protonic conduction band empty, in analogy to the intrinsic semiconductor case. The protonic band gap corresponds to the energy required to generate a proton–proton hole pair. As in the case of electronic intrinsic semiconductors, such an ensemble of proton wires is poorly conductive because the energy required to excite a proton to the conduction band is about two orders of magnitude higher than the room temperature thermal energy ($k_BT \sim 25$ meV). However, the conductivity of proton wires can be enhanced by injecting ionic defects into them. This process is in analogy with the case of electronic semiconductors, where the addition of electron donor or electron acceptor groups transforms intrinsic semiconductors into \textit{n} or \textit{p} type extrinsic ones (doped).\textsuperscript{20} In a similar fashion, proton wire is doped with proton donor–proton acceptor groups to obtain \textit{n–p} type wires. Upon \textit{n} (\textit{p}) doping, the proton chemical potential, conceptually equivalent to the electronic Fermi energy level, shifts from mid gap toward the protonic conduction (valence) band edge.

As previously mentioned, this phenomenological description of protonic transport is well explained by quantum mechanics, as for electronic transport in band theory.\textsuperscript{22} In this context, the protonic energy levels are determined by considering the proton in the potential of the lattice made of H-bonded water molecules (Fig. 2D). The problem considers the displacement of protons and water molecules as well as mutual interactions in the system (\textit{i.e.} proton–water molecule and water molecule–water molecule interactions, including the dipole–dipole ones generated by the perturbation of charge neutrality in the chain).

Intuitively, the range of periodicity and the spatial coherence of the lattice potential strongly depend on the total concentration of proton wires available for transport. The proton can be found in two degenerate ground states of a double-well potential separated by a barrier which represents the energy required for the proton to hop across two equilibrium positions in the H-bond (intra-bond barrier, black arrow, Fig. 2D). The second barrier represents the energy required to rotate the molecule and reverse its polarity to eventually generate a Bjerrum defect (inter-bond barrier, red arrow, Fig. 2D). Therefore, the protonic valence band is equivalent to the ensemble of energy levels (\textit{i.e.} potential wells) generated by the H-bonded water molecules. If no energy is provided to the system, these levels are fully occupied by protons sitting in the double-well.

On the other hand, \textit{e.g.} by applying an electric field, the Hamiltonian of the quantum system admits the propagation of two delocalized wavefunctions. Depending on the direction of the electric field, a delocalized positive (negative) wavefunction is generated from the overlap between the wavefunctions of positive (negative) ionic and orientational charge defects.\textsuperscript{59,61}

In this view, the definition of the protonic conduction band given by M. Rolandi’s group\textsuperscript{48} as the “excess of protons fluctuating between hydrogen bonds” naturally arises from the delocalized character of the protonic wavefunction.

In conclusion, the protonic semiconductor model can be potentially extended to any bio-sourced materials featuring a significant presence of H-bonded molecular chains, \textit{e.g.} by absorbing water molecules through hydration.\textsuperscript{40,55,62,63} As we shall see in detail in Section 3.4, the protonic semiconductor model can nowadays explain the working principles of protonic field-effect transistors (FETs), \textit{p–n} junctions and light-emitting diodes, \textit{i.e.} the devices based on \textit{H\textsuperscript{+}/OH\textsuperscript{−}} doped bio-sourced conductors.\textsuperscript{11,13,64}

### 3.3 Measuring electronic and protonic currents in bio-sourced materials

Good contacts and efficient charge transfer processes (injection) at the electrode/active layer interface are essential requirements for carrying out reliable charge transport studies and developing optimized architectures for high-performance devices. For electronic conductors, electron/hole injection at metal electrodes (\textit{e.g.} gold (Au), aluminum (Al), copper (Cu), platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd) and silver (Ag)) is affected by energy band-bending.\textsuperscript{20} In other words, an offset exists between the metal work function and the valence (highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO))-conduction band (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO)) edge levels of the electronic conductor. This energy mismatch causes the formation of energy barriers due to electron/hole injection, known as the Schottky barrier.\textsuperscript{20} Schottky barrier effects can be considerably reduced by tuning the metal work function \textit{e.g.} via chemical functionalization\textsuperscript{45} or, as recently demonstrated, by using carbon nanotube (CNT)-based electrodes.\textsuperscript{86}

The choice of using metal electrodes to measure protonic currents, both for protonic and mixed conductors, entails several challenges. Indeed, metal electrodes do not effectively transfer protons at the contact/active layer interface; they are known as ion (proton)-blocking electrodes. The electron and proton transfer across this type of interface occurs with variable efficiency, \textit{i.e.} from complete blocking (lowest efficiency) to ohmic injection (highest efficiency).\textsuperscript{55}

During charge carrier injection, chemical reactions may occur at the metal/active layer interface, causing blocking effects and unbalanced charge injection rates. These two effects cause polarization of the metal electrodes and the formation of space charge layers at the interface (defined as the accumulation of charge carriers in a region of the space). The application of moderate or high electric fields can cause discharge effects in the form of space charge currents in the protonic (ionic) conductor. It is important to notice that space charge currents can also flow in amorphous electronic conductors between metal electrodes because their disordered nature favors charge trapping phenomena facilitating injection at the interface.\textsuperscript{21} In this regard, our group recently found evidence of exclusive electronic transport for dry Sepia melanin pellets, pressed between copper and stainless steel electrodes.\textsuperscript{67} Thus, at least for mixed conductors, the formation of space charge layers...
during direct current measurements (DC) is not conclusive to disentangling the single contribution of different carrier types (e.g. protonic/ionic versus electronic) to the total electrical conductivity.

DC measurements of protonic conductors between blocking electrodes should be accompanied by alternate current (AC) measurements i.e. impedance spectroscopy (IS).\textsuperscript{39,55} In principle, IS measurements can distinguish between protonic (ionic) and electronic contributions to the conductivity of a mixed protonic/electronic conductor between ion-blocking electrodes. By means of IS, the impedance of the system is acquired by applying a small amplitude AC excitation (typically 1–10 mV), while sweeping the frequency over a defined range (i.e. from MHz to mHz). The experimental results are then usually given in terms of the Nyquist plot, where the negative imaginary part of the impedance ($-\text{Im}(Z)$) is plotted against its positive real counterpart ($\text{Re}(Z)$). In this way, direct polarization currents are transformed into alternate currents featuring different dielectric relaxation times. Each relaxation time is a signature of a given protonic (ionic/electronic process (i.e. charge transfer at the interface, charge transport in the bulk of the active layer and diffusion). To each process correspond different values of impedance. Nonetheless, it is challenging to provide an accurate description of the electrical properties of a material using solely IS. Indeed, a major drawback is that the same Nyquist plot can correspond to different circuit configuration models.\textsuperscript{69}

Over the last four decades, researchers have evaluated the protonic and electronic conductivity of biomaterials,\textsuperscript{29,30,49,57,63,70,71} bio-sourced protonic and mixed protonic–electronic conductors,\textsuperscript{28,35,37,38,72} using metal electrodes.

In this regard, Ashkenasy and co-workers recently showed that protonic currents can be efficiently detected with Au and Pd blocking electrodes, especially at high hydration (high relative humidity atmosphere (RH)).\textsuperscript{73}

They measured the electrical response of αβ-Thi peptide thin film proton conductor fibers between Au and Pd electrodes at 60% RH in the presence of water (H$_2$O) and deuterium oxide (D$_2$O). The $I$–$V$ curves of the fibers hydrated in an H$_2$O atmosphere exhibited higher currents with respect to those hydrated in a D$_2$O atmosphere (Fig. 3A). Remarkably, the conductivity of the fibers hydrated in a D$_2$O atmosphere was lower than that of the fibers hydrated in a H$_2$O atmosphere by a factor of 50. These results indicate that the detected currents were of protonic nature because of the higher proton mobility with
respect to the deuterium mobility. The conversion of protonic into electronic currents at the external circuit (inset, Fig. 3A) was explained in terms of undesirable electrochemical processes responsible for water splitting and proton depletion (generation) at the metal cathode (anode)/active layer interface. Gorodetsky et al. investigated the AC response of thin films of the protein reflectin included between the Au electrodes at 90% RH and measured the lower impedance in the films hydrated by H$_2$O vapor with respect to those hydrated by D$_2$O vapor (Fig. 3B).\(^{71}\)

To get rid of ion-blocking effects when acquiring DC in bio-protonic devices, the use of contacts capable of (i) injecting (drain) H$^+$ at the source (drain) active layer/contact interface and (ii) transporting electrons towards the external circuit is paramount. These types of contacts are often referred to as protodes. Palladium has been widely used as a proton source because of its ability to inject and extract protons as well as conduct electronically.\(^{13,48,55,64,74,75}\) Palladium forms proton conducting palladium hydride (PdH$_x$) protodes upon exposure to a molecular hydrogen atmosphere.

Protonic and electronic transport across the PdH/active layer interface and in the bulk is monitored by keeping the protodes under a constant H$_2$ atmosphere during the acquisition. This operation ensures the presence of a pool of protons during the measurements. Therefore, palladium hydride protodes act as a proton source (or proton sinks) in a hydrogen atmosphere. Accordingly, PdH$_x$ injects protons into the active layer at the source terminal and extracts protons from the channel at the drain terminal (Fig. 3C). The flow of protonic currents is monitored because for each proton exchanged with the active layer an electron is exchanged with the external circuit. This mechanism has been well illustrated by Rolandi’s group. They measured the protonic conductivity of maleic chitosan deposited on SiO$_2$ and included between PdH$_x$ terminals, showing that the conductivity of maleic chitosan increased with the increase of absorbed water upon hydration (Fig. 3C and D).\(^{1,3,64}\) The same group compared the protonic currents for the hydrated chitosan films sandwiched between PdH$_x$ electrodes and Pd contacts.\(^{26}\) Direct current measurements were done by enclosing the samples in a chamber with controllable relative humidity (ca. 75% RH). The $I$-$V$ response, acquired from 0.2 V to 1 V, featured higher currents with PdH$_x$ than with Pd contacts (Fig. 3E and F).

Our group measured the electrical response of hydrated eumelanin films deposited between coplanar Pd and PdH$_x$ protodes.\(^{25}\) Transient current measurements were done at 0.5 V and at relative humidity between 60% RH and 80% RH. The $I$-$V$ response at all RH showed higher steady state currents with PdH$_x$ with respect to Pd contacts. Our results pointed the predominant protonic transport for eumelanin films at high relative humidity.

In conclusion, the extensive body of work herein reported shows that PdH$_x$ electrodes are suitable for detecting protonic currents as well as for disentangling protonic and electronic contributions to the electrical conductivity of bio-sourced conductors.\(^{64,71,75}\)

### 3.4 Protonic semiconductor model: from bio-protonic rectifiers to field-effect transistors and light-emitting diodes

Nature “speaks the language of protons and ions”: in many biological systems, electrical signals propagate through the modulation of ionic and protonic currents rather than electronic currents. Bio-sourced protonic and mixed protonic/electronic conductors are widespread in flora and fauna. Despite such ubiquity, only a few examples of protonic devices have been currently demonstrated. In this section, we briefly discuss the recent advances on the design and development of a few bio-sourced protonic conductor-based devices i.e. protonic rectifiers, protonic field-effect transistors (FETs) and protonic light-emitting diodes (PLEDs). The protonic semiconductor model, described and revisited in the previous sections, explains the working principles of these devices where the flow of protonic currents is controlled using an electric field analogous to the electrons and holes in electronic semiconductors.

The holistic description of the working principles of these devices is based on the following assumptions, in accordance with the protonic semiconductor model: (i) the active material (e.g. the channels in FETs and biopolymeric junctions in rectifier diodes and PLEDs) is a protonic semiconductor. The band gap of the active material corresponds to the energy required to produce a proton–proton hole pair; the activation energy for cooperative proton transport along the hydrogen bonds connecting several proton wires is approximatively equal to the energy required to break a H-bond (ca. 0.1 eV) (i.e. Grothuss mechanism, Sections 3.1 and 3.2); (ii) the conductivity of the active material increases upon injecting protons and proton-holes by applying an external electric field, performing chemical doping or both. Chemical doping involves functionalizing the molecular backbone of an active material with acidic (H$^+$ donors) or basic (H$^+$ acceptors) groups. If the active material is hygroscopic, doping can also be performed by exposing it to water vapour (i.e. hydration). Water absorption into the molecular structure forms H-bonded pathways between the water molecules and hydrophilic residues of the active material’s backbone. As we shall see later, one of the most interesting examples of water-based doping is observed in the case of eumelanin, for which the presence of water has a terrific impact on the electrical properties\(^{36}\) (iii) upon doping, the density of the charge carriers of proton wires (e.g. protons in the protonic conduction band and proton-holes in the protonic valence band) increases akin to the case of n-type and p-type extrinsic semiconductors.\(^{20}\) As a consequence, the proton chemical potential shifts from its equilibrium position to a position near the protonic conduction (valence) band edge. For protons, the new position of the proton chemical potential $\mu_{H^+}$ (not to be confused with the proton mobility!) is predicted using the Nernst equation as follows:\(^{53}\)

$$\mu_{H^+} = qV_0 + \mu_0 + k_B T \ln(a_{H^+})$$

where $qV_0$ is the external electric field, $a_{H^+}$ is the activity of H$^+$, and $\mu_0$ is the position of the proton chemical potential at
equilibrium (i.e. in the mid gap, under unbiased conditions, for intrinsic protonic semiconductors).

The first attempt to assemble a protonic p–n junction dates back to 1958 when Eigen and De Mayer classified ice as the first natural protonic semiconductor. The protonic rectifier consisted of an active layer of a hydrofluoric acid (HF) ice crystal (proton donor) interfaced with a lithium hydroxide (LiOH) ice crystal (proton acceptor). The active layer, sandwiched between PdH_x electrodes, showed appreciable rectification behaviour at a relatively high voltage. The device was conceived as a proof of principle and neither the turn-on voltage nor the breakdown voltage was reported. A few years later, in 1984, Langer’s group fabricated p–n protonic rectifiers by interfacing Dowex-50 (Dx50) and Dowex-1 (Dx1) polymers between gold and silver electrodes. The acidic groups –[SO_3H] present in Dx50 make it a proton donor and the basic groups –[N(CH_3)_3]+OH in Dx1 make it a proton acceptor.

Quinhydrone was used as an electron-to-proton converter to separate the cathode (negative electrode) and the anode (positive electrode) from the active Dx50/Dx1 layer (inset, Fig. 4A). Under unbiased conditions, the initial charge carrier density gradient causes diffusion of protons into Dx1 and proton-holes into Dx5. During this process, a depletion region forms because the protons and proton-holes accumulate on the contact interface with an associated potential difference (i.e. voltage). The application of a forward bias (i.e. a positive bias at the anode electrode) reduces the diffusion barrier enabling injection of protons (proton-holes) into Dx50 (Dx1) and their diffusion across the junction. For each injected proton, an electron is collected at the metal anode. Such a rectifier diode featured a low turn-on voltage (about 0.1 V) and a relatively high breakdown voltage (about 7 V) (Fig. 4A).

Rolandi’s group assembled a chitosan-based rectifier using PdH_x contacts. Chitosan is a bio-sourced, biodegradable, hygroscopic protonic conductor that can be n (H^+) or p (OH^-) type doped via chemical functionalization (maleic-chitosan and proline chitosan, respectively) and its working principle are shown. In the inset of (B) the molecular structure of maleic and proline chitosan, respectively. n-Type proline chitosan (i.e. H^+ rich) was prepared in a mixture of toluene sulfonic acid and formamide solvent, by a one-step chemical reaction between chitosan and maleic anhydride. p-Type proline chitosan (i.e. OH^- rich) was synthesized by dissolving it into acetic acid and by mixing the obtained solution into a proline 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide (EDC) solution in deionized water. The authors evaluated a protonic band gap of about 0.8 eV for maleic and proline chitosan using the Gibbs–Helmoltz’s equation:

\[ E_g = -k_B T \ln(K_w) \]  

where \( K_w \) is the dissociation constant of water.

Maleic and proline chitosan were hydrated at 75% relative humidity (RH), corresponding to 20% and 15% water content by weight. The current (\( I_{MP} \))–voltage (\( V_{MP} \)) response of the device featured rectification properties (Fig. 4B) akin to those of the conventional n–p electronic semiconductors. As in the case of the Dx50/Dx1 junction, upon contact between maleic and proline chitosan, proton and proton-hole gradients drive H^+ into the proline chitosan and OH^- into the maleic chitosan until an equilibrium is reached. Protonic charge carriers thus recombine at the junction to form H_2O and create a depletion region at the contact interface with an associated potential difference \( V_0 \). This potential difference represents the barrier for H^+ (OH^-) diffusion into proline (maleic) chitosan. The application of a forward bias (i.e. a positive voltage at the H^+ side of the rectifier) reduces \( V_0 \) of a factor \(-V_{MP}\), favouring the flow of current across the junction. The application of a reverse bias (i.e. a negative voltage at the H^+ side of the rectifier) increases \( V_0 \) of a factor \(+V_{MP}\), resulting in low or no current flow. A turn-on
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\[ I–V \] characteristics of (A) Dowex-50 (Dx50)/Dowex-1 (Dx1) (reproduced and adapted with permission from ref. 77, copyright (1985) Springer-Verlag) and (B) maleic/proline chitosan (H^+-OH^-) rectifiers. Here, the dotted black curve shows the simulated \( I–V \) response of a semiconductor-based rectifier (reproduced and adapted with permission from ref. 13, copyright (2013) Nature Publishing Group). In the inset of (A) the rectifier’s architecture and its working principle are shown. In the inset of (B) the molecular structure of maleic and proline chitosan.
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voltage of about 0.1 V and a breakdown voltage of about 0.3 V were measured (Fig. 4B).

Rolandi’s group also showed that maleic and proline chitosan feature protonic semiconductivity as channels on Si-bottom gated FETs (Fig. 5A and B). Maleic and proline chitosan were fabricated following the same protocol used to prepare chitosan-based rectifiers. The n and p-type chitosan were deposited on SiO2 patterned with the PdH2 source and drain electrodes. The output characteristics of maleic (proline) chitosan H+(OH−)-FET (i.e. the modulation of the drain–source current (I_Ds) as a function of drain–source voltage (V_Ds) at a different gate–source voltage (V_GS)) conformed to those of the conventional n-type (p-type) semiconductor-based FET. Indeed, in the case of maleic chitosan, the application of a negative (positive) V_GS results in an increase (decrease) of the channel current I_Ds and the device can be turned on (off) (Fig. 5C). The increase (decrease) of the current in the channel for negative (positive) V_GS originates from the increase (depletion) of positive charge carriers at the maleic chitosan/SiO2 interface. The output characteristics of proline chitosan showed an opposite V_GS-dependence (Fig. 5D). As in the case of n (p)-type semiconductors, the total proton/proton-hole density (nH+/OH−) was calculated as follows:

$$n_{H^+/OH^-} = n_0^{H^+/OH^-} + C_G V_{GS}/qt$$ (10)

where $n_0^{H^+/OH^-}$ is the proton/proton-hole density at zero V_GS, $C_G$ is the gate specific capacitance, $t$ is the thickness of the channel and $q$ is the elementary charge. Maleic and proline chitosan featured an on-off ratio of about 3–4 and a field-effect mobility, respectively, of (5.3 ± 0.5) × 10^{-3} cm² V^{-1} s^{-1} and (0.40 ± 0.06) × 10^{-3} cm² V^{-1} s^{-1}, which are similar to those reported for hydrated conducting polymers.

From the values of the mobility, the authors measured a value of $n_0^{H^+}$ and $n_0^{OH^-}$ of about (8.0 ± 0.4) × 10^{17} cm^{-3} and (4.0 ± 0.1) × 10^{17} cm^{-3}, respectively. The higher field-effect mobility and charge carrier density of maleic chitosan with respect to proline chitosan were explained by the higher water content by weight after hydration for maleic (20% w/w) than for proline chitosan (15% w/w). The results support the hypothesis that maleic and proline chitosan are protonic semiconductors.

Recently, Langer’s group designed and developed the first light-emitting diode (PLED) based on protonic conducting materials. The active layers of PLEDs were assembled interfacing hydrated proton donor-proton acceptor (H+/OH−) conductors between the Pt electrodes. Dowex-50 (Dx50) and two types of sulfonated crosslinked polystyrenes (SPS) were used as proton donor layers and Dowex-1 (Dx1) as proton acceptor layers. Dx50/Dx1 and SPS/Dx1 PLEDs of about 4 mm-thick were fabricated (Fig. 6A). The emission characteristics (i.e. the dependence of light intensity on the emitted wavelength) featured a broad distribution within the whole vis-NIR range with the maximum centred around 700 nm. Based on quantum chemical studies, the authors related the energy of emission at 700 nm to the protonic band gap. In all the investigated cases, light was emitted far above the device threshold voltage (i.e. within 15 V and 30 V, corresponding to the device currents of about 0.5–0.8 A). The authors excluded any contribution of electrons and/or holes to light generation for the following reasons: (i) light emission was observed only if the active layers were hydrated (i.e. wet) and for the application of a forward bias (i.e. a positive voltage at the anode/proton
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**Fig. 5** Schematics (A) and (B) and the output characteristics (C) and (D) of maleic chitosan and proline chitosan FETs, respectively (reproduced and adapted with permission from ref. 13, copyright (2013) Nature Publishing Group).
donor interface of the rectifier, Fig. 6A). The need of wet active layers and a forward bias for observing light emission is perfectly explained by the protonic semiconductor model. Indeed, similar to what dopants do in electronic diodes, hydration increases the H⁺ and OH⁻ density, respectively, in the proton donor and proton acceptor wires of active layers, allowing the operation of PLEDs; (ii) light emission occurred in the middle of the junction and not at the Pt/active layer interfaces (Fig. 6A). These results led to conclude that electrons were only involved in H⁺ and OH⁻ generation at the Pt/active layer interface (polarization).

Accordingly, light generation in PLEDs is due to the synergy between cooperative transport and recombination of highly mobile protons and proton holes in the middle of the junction (Fig. 6B). The above discussion indicates that the protonic semiconductor model is far from being just a theoretical speculation. The existence of functional bio-protonic devices operating just as their electronic counterparts reminds us how subtle and elusive the concept of semiconductivity is for bio-sourced conductors. The extensive body of work herein presented also suggests that the nature of the predominant charge carrier does not rigorously determine whether a bio-sourced material is a semiconductor or not. In the next paragraph, we try to further stress on this idea by briefly reviewing the eumelanin case.

3.5 Mixed protonic–electronic conductors: the case of eumelanin

Among the plethora of bio-sourced conductors available in Nature, eumelanin, a ubiquitous black–brown subgroup of melanin pigments found in flora and fauna, is one of the most fascinating. From a biological point of view, eumelanin has several functions in living organisms, including antioxidant behavior and thermoregulation.82

In humans, besides photo-protection, eumelanin is involved in eye, hair and skin coloration as well as in accumulation and release of metal cations in the body.24,25,83 Remarkably, the interactions between iron and neuromelanin (i.e. a red–yellow core–shell phaeomelanin–eumelanin pigment84,85) in the human and primate brain have been associated with Parkinson’s disease.86 Apart from its biological importance, eumelanin features electronic conjugation (i.e. alternance of single–double carbon bonds), quinone-based redox properties, broadband optical absorption,87,88 free radical scavenging,89 metal-ion chelation,90–92 hydration-dependent electrical response93–96 and photoconductivity. At the same time, eumelanin is biocompatible97 and possibly biodegradable.98 Eumelanin originates from the oxidative polymerization of 5,6-dihydroxyindole (DHI) and 5,6-dihydroxyindole, 2-carboxylic acid (DHICA). DHI and DHICA organize into heteroaromatic, randomly cross-linked sheets.99,100 The two building blocks have several polymerization sites and co-exist in different quinonoid redox states i.e. hydroquinone (H2Q), semiquinone (SQ) and quinone (Q), (Scheme 1) such that eumelanin features chemical heterogeneity and physical disorder. Because of this heterogeneity, eumelanin can be considered a short-range ordered biopigment (i.e., to a given extent, an organic amorphous material). However, X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments performed on both natural and synthetic eumelanin evidenced the presence of π–π stacked structures made of sheets of about 15 Å and featuring an interplanar distance of about 3.7 Å.101–103
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Scheme 1 Redox forms of DHI and DHICA eumelanin building blocks. R represents –H in DHI and –COOH in DHICA.
The relative concentration of the H2Q, SQ and Q moieties is regulated by the comproportionation equilibrium, where H2Q and Q react to form hydronium ions and SQ extrinsic free radicals i.e. mobile electronic charge carriers in eumelanin (Scheme 2). The presence of redox groups in the molecular structure and the aforementioned physicochemical properties helps to conceive several eumelanin-based technologies including memory devices, flexible and light assisted-supercapacitors and phototransistors.

In Section 2.3, we raised the question whether the MD amorphous model, based on the modified band theory (Section 2.2), is an accurate description of the transport physics of bio-sourced materials.

In this context, the ease of eumelanin is rather unique and inspiring. For decades the biopigment has been considered an amorphous semiconductor for manifold reasons. Firstly, eumelanin features broadband UV-visible absorption, which in amorphous semiconductors is the consequence of the peculiar density of electronic states (Fig. 1D). Secondly, McGinness et al. observed, for synthetic and natural melanin pressed pellets, a reversible electrical resistive switching behavior, commonly observed in inorganic amorphous semiconductors (e.g. a-Si, a-Ge, a-Se and a-Te). It is noteworthy that the resistive switching behaviour was observed in a sandwich configuration, regardless of the type of electrical contact (C, Al, and Cu) but only if the powder were hydrated prior to the electrical tests. Remarkably, the pellets obtained from dried powders did not switch. McGinness’s results were interpreted with the modified dielectric constant theory formulated by Powell and Rosenberg. Accordingly, the presence of water increases the dielectric constant of the material (i.e. by ionizing acid groups). Such an increase lowers the activation energy barrier for charge transport and improves the coulombic screen, leading to resistive switching. Thirdly, the conductivity measurements conducted as a function of temperature performed on eumelanin pellets between 273 K and 343 K showed an increase of conductivity when the temperature was raised, akin to semiconductors.

A series of landmark studies showed that eumelanin is a photoconductor. Interestingly, negative photoconductivity was reported for eumelanin pellets (the photoconductivity being broadly defined as the change of the current under illumination $I_t - I_d$ normalized to the value of the current under dark conditions $I_d$). The presence of shallow and deep trap states in the band gap of eumelanin, as in the case of amorphous semiconductors, was invoked to explain the observed negative photoconductivity.

Last but not the least, C. J. Bettinger’s group fabricated solution-processable, biocompatible melanin thin films on silicon dioxide (SiO2) and measured, under hydrated conditions, the electrical conductivity which was about $7.00 \pm 1.10$ S cm$^{-1}$, falling in the range of semiconducting materials.

The application of the MD amorphous semiconductor model to eumelanin has been recently questioned in a series of studies published mainly by P. Meredith’s group. In these studies, the authors investigated the impact of absorbed water on the transport physics of eumelanin, by studying the water adsorption isotherms of the biopigment (i.e. the effective amount of water adsorbed by weight as a function of atmospheric RH). They measured the electrical conductivity of eumelanin pellets in sandwich and van der Pauw (vdP) configurations using Au electrodes. The pellets were hydrated in water atmosphere with values of relative humidity ranging from 0% to 90% RH, corresponding to a water content of about 0–20% by weight.

For both the configurations, eumelanin’s conductivity increased rapidly if the water content was greater than about 12% by weight (hydration threshold). Particularly, the conductivity vs water content in the sandwich geometry (Fig. 7A) fitted the MD model whilst the vDP one did not (Fig. 7B). Such a discrepancy was explained assuming that in the sandwich geometry, the eumelanin pellets did not reach the hydration equilibrium because only the sides of the pellets were exposed to water vapor. Afterwards, focus was given on probing the microscopic nature of the charge carriers in the eumelanin pellets as a function of hydration and illumination. To this purpose, Mostert et al. performed muon-spin relaxation spectroscopy (µSR) and photoinduced electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy (p-EPR) surveys on the eumelanin pellets. The results evidenced that the µSR spectra were mainly associateable to the proton signature at higher water content; (i) both proton and SQ free spin populations (i.e. electronic charge carriers) increased with the increase of water content; and (ii) protonic and electronic charge carrier hopping rate did not change with the increase of water content. According to these observations, eumelanin was not associated with the amorphous semiconductivity anymore and was rather described as a mixed electronic–protonic conductor. In a sequence of papers published from 2013 to 2015, our group further confirmed the predominance of protonic over electronic transport for highly hydrated eumelanin films in a coplanar configuration between Pt and PdH electrodes. Therefore, the MD model for eumelanin was essentially ruled out because of the predominant protonic nature of the transport.
physics of eumelanin at high water content. In Mostert’s frame, water absorption causes, quoting the authors, a “chemical self-doping” where electrons (in the form of SQ) and protons are released by shifting the comproportionation equilibrium. Beyond the hydration threshold, water dopes eumelanin and considerably increases the density of charge carriers (protons and electrons). In turn, protons are transported across protonic pathways (proton wires) via the Grotthus mechanism.

These studies considerably advanced the knowledge on the impact of adsorbed water on the mesoscopic properties of eumelanin by clarifying the relationship between the microscopic and macroscopic conductivity. Recently, our group reported on the reversible resistive switching behavior of dry and wet Sepia melanin pellets in a sandwich configuration between copper and stainless-steel electrodes (Fig. 8A and B). In accordance with the body of work herein presented, we
focused on the electrical properties of eumelanin pellets at the opposite sides of the hydration scale (i.e. highly hydrated (19% w/w) vs. dry pellets).

By combining $I$-$V$ voltage sweeps at different voltage scan rates, transient current measurements and IS surveys before and after switching, we found strong indications of predominantly electronic and protonic transport, respectively, for dry and wet Sepia melanin pellets. We attributed the resistive switching behavior to the formation of electrical double layers of electronic and ionic (protonic) nature for dry and wet pellets, respectively. Assuming the MD model for dry pellets, we proposed that, under the action of the electric field, electronic space charge layers help in charge carrier injection thus shifting eumelanin's Fermi level and consequently improving the overlap of the CB and VB energy states (Fig. 1D and the inset Fig. 8A). Likewise, for wet pellets, the formation under bias of ionic (protonic) double layers increases the proton and SQ free radical density (as per procompartion equilibrium), leading to resistive switching and band-like conduction (inset, Fig. 8B).

By recalling the fundamentals of the protonic semiconductor model for bio-sourced conductors (Section 3.2), it appears that Mostert’s conclusion on the electrical properties of highly hydrated eumelanin describes a doped protonic semiconductor. Our recent results on dry and wet pellets, paralleled by the previous evidence on the support of the electronic and protonic transport model, strongly suggest that the mixed protonic-electronic conductivity of eumelanin is the signature of a special semiconducting behavior. Particularly, depending on the content of adsorbed water, eumelanin appears to “switch” from electronic to protonic semiconductivity. The recent demonstration of a eumelanin-based phototransistor and ion-to electron transducer also appeared to support such a fascinating hypothesis.12,47

The instructive case of eumelanin shows that the concept of semiconductivity for bio-sourced materials is elusive. Once again, ascribing semiconducting properties to bio-sourced conductors only longing for the predominant charge carrier (e.g. protons/proton holes and electrons/holes) may bring controversial outcomes. In a completely new perspective, it appears that, for bio-sourced materials, semiconducting properties reflect the presence of quite extended systems of energy bands, regardless of the nature of charge carriers.

4. Conclusions

In this review, we discussed the role of electronic and protonic transport in defining the semiconducting properties of bio-sourced materials. We briefly reviewed the classical band theories for semiconductors, including the MD model for the amorphous ones. We then discussed cooperative proton transport (Grotthus) in protonic and mixed ionic–electronic conductors. Our discussion led us to cast a doubt on the applicability of the “traditional” concept of semiconductivity, based on exclusive electronic transport, to biomaterials. It appears that, for biomaterials, the presence of extended systems of energy bands determines the semiconducting properties rather than the predominant charge carrier type. In this regard, we related the protonic Grotthus transport model with the protonic semiconductor model and presented several complementary bio-protonic based-devices (i.e. FETs, rectifiers and PLEDs), whose working principles are fully explained by this model.

We then analyzed the transport physics of eumelanin. The transport models herein reviewed, paralleled by the recent advancements on the electrical properties of eumelanin, suggest that this biopigment would feature both electronic and protonic semiconductivity, the “switching” from predominant electronic to predominant protonic conduction being dependent on the water content of the biopigment.

Nature offers a plethora of solution processable, flexible, conformable, bio-sourced electronic and protonic semiconductors (e.g. indigo, tyrian-purple, epindoliodione, quinacridone, and chitosan) promising to design and develop green organic functional devices. In addition, these materials feature electronic and protonic conductivity, as well as biocompatibility, the two key requirements for interfacing biology with conventional electronics. Nowadays, only organic light-emitting diodes have successfully accessed the marketplace (e.g. in TV monitors and laptop screens). In organic semiconductors, air instability and low charge carrier mobility (this last mainly due to the weak nature of the intermolecular interactions and due to intrinsic structural disorder) are among the key factors limiting the high-scale device production. In perspective, we need to extend the current knowledge on the structure–properties relationships of bio-sourced materials by combining theoretical simulations (i.e. density functional theory (DFT)) with supramolecular engineering (e.g. controlling the self-assembly of constituent building blocks as well as tuning the conjugation length and improving the structural order to enhance charge carrier delocalization).

In conclusion, our discussion provides the reader with a holistic description of the charge carrier transport properties of bio-sourced conductors wherein the presence of ionic species, mainly protons, deeply impacts the electrical response. We believe that this review offers new possibilities towards achieving a comprehensive, non-controversial definition of “bio-sourced semiconductors”.
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