



Cite this: *Green Chem.*, 2021, **23**, 5150

Protein from renewable resources: mycoprotein production from agricultural residues[†]

Thomas Upcraft,^a Wei-Chien Tu,^a Rob Johnson,^b Tim Finnigan,^b Nguyen Van Hung,^b Jason Hallett^b *^a and Miao Guo^b *^{a,d}

Globally, one in nine people suffer from undernourishment with evidence that this number is increasing. Additionally, due to the projected 50% increase in global population, the demand in worldwide animal-sourced protein is expected to double by 2050. Furthermore, not only are global animal protein supply chains susceptible to the effects of climate change, but they are also a significant contributor to greenhouse gas emissions and require large areas of arable land. Single cell proteins (SCPs) are an alternative protein source that offer a potential route to reduce the environmental impact of global protein consumption. One such SCP is *Fusarium venenatum*, which is a strain of mycoprotein widely sold under the brand name Quorn and is produced through the fermentation of the microorganism on glucose. However, this glucose still has a significant arable land-use burden associated with it. In order to mitigate this burden, sugars derived from agricultural lignocellulosic residues could be used, however, additional processing steps are required. In this work, exploratory research on fermentation of *F. venenatum* on sugars derived from lignocellulosic residues is presented. The food-grade ionic liquid [Ch][HSO₄] was employed in combination with food-grade Celluclast 1.5L to extract glucose from rice straw residues, which resulted in an overall glucose yield of 42.4% compared to using non-food certified ionic liquid [TEA][HSO₄], which yielded 92.8%. Based on these results, a Techno-Economic Analysis (TEA) and Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) were conducted after synthesising a biorefinery process model. TEA modelling outcomes highlighted that the crude mycoprotein paste product could be produced for \$5.04 kg⁻¹ (\$40.04 per kg-protein). By conducting a retro-techno-economic analysis, it was shown that there is reasonable scope for reducing this price further by improving saccharification yields and utilising feedstocks with high cellulose contents. Furthermore, LCA results demonstrated significant sustainability benefits of lignocellulosic-derived mycoprotein, with greenhouse gas emissions less than 14% that of protein from beef. However, the most significant advantage of this technology is the minimal dependence on arable land compared to animal-sourced and plant-sourced proteins such as beef and tofu.

Received 23rd March 2021,
 Accepted 24th June 2021
 DOI: 10.1039/d1gc01021b
rsc.li/greenchem

1. Introduction

The projected 50% increase in global population combined with global south growth is expected to bring over a 50% rise in food demand by 2050 with global animal-sourced protein demand estimated to nearly double.¹ Despite the efforts to tackle malnutrition, one in nine people (821 million) in the world are still undernourished² and current trends suggest that global malnourishment is increasing. Furthermore, the

positive feedback loops posed by the effects of climate change³ may risk severe disruption to agricultural activities and threaten protein security as an estimated 56% of global protein nutrition is derived from crops in which the protein content is particularly sensitive to elevated CO₂ levels.⁴ Furthermore, plant-sourced and animal-sourced protein contributes significantly to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and is highly dependent on arable lands. Notably, the global livestock sector is responsible for substantial GHG emissions and is responsible for 30% of terrestrial land use for grazing and compound feeding.⁵ Research has emerged in recent years on alternative protein sources with minimal environmental damage, in particular food-grade single cell proteins (SCPs). SCPs refer to the protein derived from microorganisms, such as bacteria, algae and fungi that can be used as a protein supplement for human consumption or animal feeds. Animal-feed SCP research has utilised feed-safe waste such as agro-industrial

^aDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ, UK.
 E-mail: j.hallett@imperial.ac.uk, miao.guo@kcl.ac.uk

^bQuorn Foods, Station Road, Stokesley, North Yorkshire TS9 7AB, UK

^cInternational Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Laguna 4031, Philippines

^dDepartment of Engineering, King's College London, WC2R 2LS, UK

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [10.1039/d1gc01021b](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1gc01021b)



residues; sugarcane molasses,⁶ soy molasses,⁷ wheat bran,^{8,9} starch processing wastes^{9,10} and mixed-food industry wastes.¹¹ Whereas, food-grade SCP are mainly sourced from arable-land-dependent food crops due to strict regulatory requirements¹² and predominantly used as food-supplements rather than meat-substitutes. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Pichia jadinii* are examples of successful SCPs produced from starch-derived glucose for human consumption. There has been an increasing research interest in alternative non-food feedstocks such as carbon dioxide¹³ which were investigated for SCP for human consumption on a technical level.^{13,14} However, food-grade SCP production from non-crop feedstocks, which are independent of arable lands and offer low-carbon solutions, remains an open area for investigation.

This study presents exploratory research on mycoprotein derived from food-grade lignocellulosic agricultural residues, which offers potential sustainable solutions for future protein security. Mycoprotein, developed in response to global protein deficiency since 1967, is derived from the fermentation of glucose with *Fusarium venenatum A3/5* and has been produced by Marlow Foods Ltd under the trade name QuornTM since 1985, with current annual sales over £200m.^{14,15} Containing high protein (45% mass) and essential amino acids (44% of total protein), mycoprotein offers a range of positive attributes compared with animal-sourced protein such as favourable fatty acid profile and high fibre content. However, its starch-derived glucose sourced from food crops is still dependent on arable land. Alternatively, lignocellulosic-sourced sugar offers a promising solution. Cellulose the most abundant organic material on earth, can be derived from agricultural residues and other food-safe lignocellulosic resources such as rice straw. Rice as a staple crop feeds over 45% of the world's population.¹⁶ With continuous global growth in rice demand, there is a corresponding increase in rice straw production. Much of this straw is deemed as waste and is burned, leading to severe health complications, adverse impacts to the atmospheric quality and soil pollution.¹⁷ Several pretreatment technologies have been investigated to fractionate lignocellulose enabling glucose production, amongst which, the use of ionic liquids (ILs) is one of the most promising technologies due to its high sugar release.¹⁸ Furthermore, the use of promising low-cost food-grade ILs may eliminate many hurdles relating to food safety and enabling lignocellulosic-SCP. Despite the advancements in lignocellulosic fractionation and biochemical production, no publicly available research has been found on food-grade lignocellulosic-glucose extraction for SCP production.

For establishing such a process at industrial scale, biorefinery modelling is an important area of research, however, biorefinery models with IL pretreatment are a scarcity. Baral and Shah¹⁹ investigated IL pretreatment for ethanol production and concluded that IL recovery and cost were significant economic factors. SCP production modelling also represents an open research area with very few published studies focusing on feed-grade SCP. Strong, Kalyuzhnaya²⁰ discussed the potential for a methanotroph-based multiple product biorefinery

which considered protein production *via* a biogas-derived methane-fed fermentation. Their modelling suggested a technically feasible system producing 0.54 tonnes of SCP per tonne of methane feed. Molitor, Mishra¹³ presented an economic viability study for a two-stage power-to-protein process which fixed CO₂ in the presence of electrolysis-derived H₂ in the first stage, followed by *S. cerevisiae* fermentation on the acetate effluent from stage A. The biorefinery process proposed by Aggelopoulos, Katsieris¹¹ for solid state fermentation of food industry wastes to feed SCP revealed economically competitive waste-derived SCP (~\$460–550 per tonne *vs.* \$425 per tonne (soybean meal)).²¹ However, a research gap remains on modelling of lignocellulosic-derived food-grade single cell protein.

In this work, through both experimental investigation and modelling, we investigate, for the first time, the technical feasibility and sustainability of lignocellulosic-SCP, in particular, lignocellulosic-mycoprotein production. We employ food-grade ionic liquids for sugar extraction from rice straw to assess the pretreatment performance of the ionic liquid. Our experimental data then forms the basis of the biorefinery model developed to assess the techno-economic feasibility and environmental sustainability of the process. Finally, the model was probed to highlight the key variables for process optimisation and intensification. The novelty of this exploratory research is based on the particular application of food-grade ionic liquids and enzyme in the treatment of rice straw with the aim of valorisation of the recovered fermentable sugar through producing mycoprotein for human consumption.

2. Methodology

We experimentally investigated food-grade lignocellulosic sugar production from rice straw using the food-safe ionic liquid, [Ch][HSO₄], and cellulase enzyme Celluclast 1.5L where a non-food grade ionic liquid ([TEA][HSO₄]) and enzyme (Novozyme CELLIC® CTEC 2) were used as a benchmark. The methodology of this paper (Fig. 1) consists of laboratory experiments and modelling. The data from the experimental work formed the basis of the process design and modelling. The developed modelling framework consists of three components: process design and simulation, techno-economic analysis, and sustainability performance.

The process was synthesised in the process simulation software Aspen Plus V9. Coupling Aspen Plus with Microsoft Excel and MATLAB, the economic performance of the process including capital and operating costs were evaluated. Subsequently, the minimum selling price of the protein product was determined as part of the economic evaluation of the process. Finally, a life cycle assessment of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein was conducted in the software SimaPro to evaluate the sustainability performance.

2.1 Experimental

Compositional analyses of rice straw samples. The straw samples from three rice varieties cultivated by International






Fig. 1 Simplified schematic of research scope of this article divided into experimental investigations, process design and simulation, techno-economic analysis, and sustainability analysis. Within process design and simulation, the main process areas are shown and the input and outputs to the process are shown with solid arrows. The process was synthesised in the process simulation software Aspen Plus V9. Coupling Aspen Plus with Microsoft Excel, the economic performance of the process including capital inputs and operational inputs were evaluated. Subsequently, the minimum selling price of the protein product was determined as part of the economic evaluation of the process. Finally, a life cycle assessment of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein was conducted in the software SimaPro to evaluate the sustainability performance.

Rice Research Institute (IRRI) Philippines were sent to the UK for analyses. Prior to compositional analyses, samples were ground using a Retsch (M200) cutting mill and sieved to a defined particle size of between 180–850 µm to achieve a homogenous mixture of samples. The moisture content of each ground biomass sample was determined by removal of a sub-sample and oven-drying at 105 °C overnight (ESI S1.1†). The chemical composition analyses for cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin and ash contents of the untreated samples were conducted by Celignis using Near Infrared Analysis (NIR) following P10 analytical methods developed by Hayes.^{22,23} The compositional analyses results were used as data input for process simulation. Similarly, chemical composition analysis of the pretreated pulp was conducted by Celignis using the same protocol.

Ionic liquids synthesis and ionoSolv pre-treatment. Ionic liquids [Ch][HSO₄] (food-grade) and [TEA][HSO₄] (not food-certified) with 20% water weight were synthesised in the lab (detailed in ESI S1.2 and Fig. S1†). We conducted ionoSolv pre-treatment²⁴ (Fig. S2†) to fractionate rice straw samples into three distinct components – a cellulose-rich pulp, lignin, and hemicellulose liquor. The hemicellulose and lignin were dis-

solved during the process into the ionic liquid, from which lignin was recovered with the addition of water as antisolvent.

Lignocellulose fractionation to determine ionic liquid performance. To bridge the knowledge gap on the optimum condition for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass using [Ch][HSO₄]. We have designed experiments based on our previous research on other ILs, where effective fractionation could be achieved at 170 °C for 30 min.²⁵ However, reports concerning the general thermal stability of choline based ionic liquids have demonstrated that degradation will begin to occur at temperatures above 150 °C, although this is anion dependent. Therefore, due to concerns for the stability of the ionic liquid, a lower temperature was selected (150 °C for 1 hour) than previously published optimal temperature on grass-type lignocellulosic biomass.

IonoSolv fractionation experiments were performed in triplicates, where both ionic liquids ([Ch][HSO₄] and [TEA][HSO₄]) were investigated. Each fresh straw sample equivalent to 1 g oven dry weight (ODW) with 9 g ionic liquid was placed into a 15 mL pressure tube and thoroughly mixed using a vortex mixer. Pressure tubes were placed in the oven at 150 °C for 1 hour. After cooling for 15–20 min to room temp-



erature, the sample was transferred to a 50 ml centrifuge tube and washed with absolute ethanol 4 times. At each wash cycle, 40 ml ethanol was added to each tube; after mixing with the vortex mixer, they were rested at room temperature for one hour. Next, the samples were centrifuged (VWR Mega Star 3.0 centrifuge) at 3000 rpm for 50 minutes. Supernatants were removed at each wash whereas pellets (*i.e.* pretreated pulp) remained in the centrifuge tube. After 4 washes the pulp was transferred to a Whatman cellulose thimble for soxhlet extraction with 150 ml of absolute ethanol at 135 °C for 20 hours in a fume hood. After the completion of the extraction the ethanol was removed, and the contents of the thimbles were transferred to a pre-weighed 50 ml centrifuge tube for two water-wash cycles. Water and pulp mixture were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 50 minutes in each cycle. The water was removed *via* decanting and the tube containing pulp was weighed to determine the moisture content of the pulp and the cellulose pulp recovery yields calculated.

Saccharification assay to recover lignocellulosic glucose. Saccharification assay was performed in triplicates to determine the yield potential of food-grade lignocellulosic glucose from rice straw. Ionic liquid pre-treated pulp equivalent to 100 mg ODW was incubated in a 15 ml sterile plastic vial with 5 ml sodium citrate buffer (0.1 M at pH 4.8), 40 µl tetracycline antibiotic (10 mg mL⁻¹ in 70% ethanol), 30 µl cycloheximide antibiotic (10 mg mL⁻¹ in purified water), 3.4 ml of purified water and 20 µl cellulase enzyme (Novozyme CTEC 2 or Celluclast 1.5L). A reaction blank was prepared containing the aforementioned reagents. Samples were incubated for 7 days at 50 °C at 250 rpm in a Stuart Orbital Incubator. The glucose concentrations were determined with the HPLC (Shimadzu Prominence) equipped with a UV detector (SPD-M20A) as well as a RI detector (RID-10A). The installed column was the Aminex HPX-87P produced by Bio-Rad, operated at 85 °C with deionized water as the mobile phase with a flow rate of 0.6 mL min⁻¹.

Elemental analyses to determine *Fusarium venenatum* biomass composition. To bridge the knowledge gap on the *Fusarium venenatum* A3/5 biomass composition, we performed elemental analysis to provide data for process simulation. Live fermentation broth was collected from a fermenter at Quorn's production site and was frozen at -30 °C. The sample was then freeze-dried for 2 days in a vacuum at less than 0.01 bar at room temperature. Elemental analyses were performed by OEA Laboratories Ltd using an automatic combustion elemental analyser (Thermoquest EA1110 elemental analyser) to determine carbon (C), hydrogen (H), nitrogen (N), oxygen (O), sulphur (S) and phosphorus (P) content alongside other elements (sodium (Na), potassium (K), ash content). The detailed experimental methods of this analysis are given in ESI S1.3.†

2.2. Process design and simulation

Based on our experimental results supplemented by publicly available data, we modelled a lignocellulosic-mycoprotein biorefinery with process simulation software Aspen Plus V9. The production capacity was based on an estimate of the current

annual production capacity obtained from literature^{13,26} and information regarding the capacity increase due to new investments at the production site.²⁷ Overall, the expected capacity, and thus the production rate used in this work is 40 000 tonnes per year. The process design and simulation aimed to understand the technical feasibility to scale-up mycoprotein production using food-grade lignocellulosic sugar derived from rice straw. As shown in Fig. 1, the process was decomposed into distinct processing areas, of which, pretreatment, separation and fermentation are a primary focus of this model whilst the other sections are adapted from previous work.²⁸ The design for each processing area is detailed in result section 3.2. The origin of the physical properties for the compounds used in this work is described in (ESI 5.2†). Four scenarios were developed based on the experimental data in which either the ionic liquid or cellulase differed in each case ([TEA][HSO₄], [Ch][HSO₄] and Cellic CTEC 2, Celluclast 1.5L).

2.3 Economic evaluation

We used the Minimum Selling Price (MSP) to assess the economic viability of the process to produce lignocellulosic-mycoprotein from rice straw. The capital costs, denoted as Fixed Capital Investment (FCI), were determined based on detailed sizing and costing of each process section described in the process area (ESI S2.1†). The input and output streams of reagents, utilities, products and byproducts contribute to the annual operating costs (ESI S2.2†). To determine the MSP accurately, we split the process into upstream and downstream segments. For the 'upstream' process, which involves pretreatment of rice straw, enzymatic hydrolysis and subsequent fermentation to mycoprotein paste and the auxiliary facilities, we calculated a MSP of the paste (MSP_{paste}) by finding the MSP of the paste that makes the upstream Net Present Value (NPV) 0 (ESI S2.4. and S2.5†). As the mycoprotein paste is not the final supermarket product, and in the interest of finding the true cost of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein to the consumer, we pursued an estimate of the MSP of the final product (MSP_{product}) by analysing the published Quorn revenue figures. Furthermore, we made a prediction of the current production price of the mycoprotein paste using the same NPV analysis based on several assumptions relating to the input materials to the process. These are summarised in ESI S2.6.†

The process design for the conversion of rice straw to mycoprotein paste excludes the subsequent texturisation steps required to produce the final consumer product. In this study, the lignocellulosic mycoprotein paste was modelled as the crude protein and compared to other crude protein sources, namely, livestock. The crude protein contents of mycoprotein and live-stock-sourced protein are given in ESI S2.7.†

To investigate the effects of certain process parameters, economic assumptions, a sensitivity analysis was carried out considering an upper and a lower bound of the design space. The bounds of most process parameters were selected based on representative literature data; whereas for the price of cellulase enzyme and rice straw were varied ±20% of the base-case value.

2.4 Surrogate-based retro-techno-economic analysis

In this study, a novel surrogate-based retro-techno-economic analysis (RTEA) was developed to identify the feasible design space for performance optimisation of the lignocellulosic-mycoprotein process. Reversing the TEA process *i.e.* determination of economic key performance indicators (KPI), RTEA^{29–31} allows the declaration of a minimum acceptable KPI, in order to identify the combination and value of operating conditions and process targets (feasible design space) that would result in the desired KPI *e.g.* MSP. Thus, RTEA offers a powerful tool to provide performance targets enabling process design to effectively screen alternatives and focusing on performance-limiting steps. RTEA has been applied in previous research to equation-orientated simulators^{29–31} in which the economic model is implemented explicitly in equation form, and the model converges only when all equations are satisfied. In this study, the simulation and design were conducted with a sequential-modular programme, where iterative procedures are used to converge the flowsheet. Thus, we employed a novel RTEA approach. Kriging surrogate models³² were constructed using the Surrogate Modeling (SUMO) Toolbox in Matlab.³³ A latin hypercube sampling method with corner points was selected as the initial design where the sample space was constructed with a combination of input variables (cellulase dosage, rice straw cellulose and xylan composition, and saccharification yield) and the output variable was the MSP. In all cases, the feedstock flowrate was fixed at 378 000 tonnes per year.

2.5 Life cycle assessment (LCA)

To assess the cradle-to-factory gate environmental sustainability of the lignocellulosic-mycoprotein process, we conducted a life cycle assessment using SIMAPRO V9. The input-output flows derived from process simulation were fed into the LCA as inventory data. The inputs and assumptions for the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) are detailed in ESI S3.† Through contributonal analyses, LCA comparison and sensitivity analyses, we aimed to (a) identify the main environmental contributors and performance-limiting processes and outline potential improvements, (b) compare lignocellulosic-mycoprotein with food-crop derived mycoprotein and traditional protein sources, highlighting benefits and drawbacks, and (c) investigate the most sensitive parameters on the environmental performance of the lignocellulosic-mycoprotein system.

The system boundary defined in this ‘cradle-to-gate’ study is shown by the black dashed line in Fig. 1 and includes the biorefinery process, converting rice straw to mycoprotein, as well as cultivation of rice, and ionic liquid synthesis. The functional unit was defined as 1 kg mycoprotein paste at biorefinery gate, with a solids content of 25% based on a production capacity of 40 000 tonnes per year. We applied an economic allocation approach where multiple-products occur at feedstock cultivation (rice grain and straw) and lignocellulosic-mycoprotein production stages (mycoprotein paste and furfural). A problem oriented (midpoint) approach ReCiPe 2016 Midpoint (hierarchist version) was adopted as the baseline

Life Cycle Impact Analysis (LCIA) method. Under this approach, the impact categories of acidification, climate change, depletion of abiotic resources, ecotoxicity, eutrophication, human toxicity, ozone layer depletion, particulate matter, and photochemical oxidation. Land use consumption characterisation methods are detailed in ESI S3.1† to account for arable and pastureland occupation by arable crop cultivation and livestock grazing/feeding to meet protein demand including animal-sourced and plant-based protein.

Sensitivity analyses were conducted to understand the implications of varying process parameters and economic assumptions on LCIA results. The LCA results of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein derived from rice straw was compared to potential lignocellulosic-mycoprotein derived from other sources, namely, miscanthus, switchgrass and corn stover to highlight sustainability improvements that could be attained by switching feedstock.

3 Results

3.1 Experimental results – food-grade lignocellulosic glucose extraction from rice straw

Compositional analyses were performed to determine the lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose content of the straw samples from rice varieties cultivated at IRRI Philippines. Three rice varieties (Rc 25, Rc 442, and Rc 400) were selected from an initial screening to represent high and low grain yield varieties (ESI S4.1†). Their chemical composition is presented in Table S13.† The cellulose and hemicellulose components vary between 25.25–27.55% and 14.8–17.3% respectively, which represents the sugar release potential from ionic liquid pretreatment and subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis.

A high pulp recovery was observed from the rice straw pretreated with [Ch][HSO₄] ranging between 70.9–74.0% (Fig. 2A) (Table S14†). The high recovery rates compared to [TEA][HSO₄] (50.3 ± 1.3%) indicated a lower delignification and hemicellulose removal achieved by [Ch][HSO₄], which was confirmed by compositional analysis of the pulp (Table S15†). Delignification with [Ch][HSO₄] was only 37.5% compared to 68.4% with [TEA][HSO₄]. Hemicellulose removal was also less, at 80.3% for [Ch][HSO₄] as opposed to 87.9% for [TEA][HSO₄]. Additionally, using [Ch][HSO₄] resulted in a cellulose loss in the pulp of 29.3% compared to 12.3% using [TEA][HSO₄]. The lower delignification and hemicellulose removal combined with a loss of cellulose have a direct effect on subsequent enzymatic saccharification and glucose yields which was confirmed in the treated saccharified samples. Saccharification of the [TEA][HSO₄] treated Rc 400 sample resulted in over double the glucose yield (92.8 ± 1.7%) compared to the [Ch][HSO₄] treated samples (42.4 ± 1.8%). However, for rice varieties, pretreatment with either ionic liquid improves the saccharification yield compared to the untreated sample significantly (glucose yield increases by 10%–67%) (Table S16†).

Of the three varieties pretreated with [Ch][HSO₄], Rc 400, which presented the highest glucan content, lowest ash, and






Fig. 2 Yields from ionic liquid pretreatment and subsequent saccharification of rice straw varieties Rc 400, Rc 25 and Rc 442 (A) and time course experiments on rice straw variety Rc 400 to determine the optimum time for pretreatment with $[\text{Ch}][\text{HSO}_4]$ at 150 °C (B). Pretreatment pulp yield = recovery of solid fraction from pretreatment; saccharification yield = glucose yields from untreated straw and pre-treated samples with food-grade choline hydrogen sulphate $[\text{Ch}][\text{HSO}_4]$ and non-food grade triethylamine hydrogen sulphate $[\text{TEA}][\text{HSO}_4]$. Food-grade Celluclast 1.5L used for saccharification. Detailed data given in ESI Tables S14, S16 and S17.†

lignin content of the three varieties, showed the lowest pulp recovery yield. Rc 400 straw was selected for time course experiments (Fig. 2B) to understand the limiting step for food-grade lignocellulosic sugar release, including the performance of $[\text{Ch}][\text{HSO}_4]$ with varying reaction time and performance of Celluclast 1.5L. The sample was pretreated with $[\text{Ch}][\text{HSO}_4]$ under constant temperature at 150 °C for 30, 45, 60, and 75 min. Rc 400 showed 67–74% cellulose pulp recovery yield (Fig. 2B). Further saccharification experiments using Celluclast 1.5L delivered 31.5–42.4% glucose release from Rc 400 straw. The highest pulp ($73.5 \pm 2.0\%$) and glucose yields ($42.4 \pm 1.8\%$) were observed at 45 min and 60 min respectively (Table S17†).

Overall, our results highlight the importance of pretreatment in lignocellulosic-sugar production, where the ionic liquid performance plays a significant role. The performance between $[\text{Ch}][\text{HSO}_4]$ and $[\text{TEA}][\text{HSO}_4]$ on Rc 400 fractionation shows that the ionic liquid is a driving factor for glucose yield in this study, where a significant difference in glucose yields was observed for the $[\text{TEA}][\text{HSO}_4]$ -pretreated and $[\text{Ch}][\text{HSO}_4]$ -pretreated Rc 400 Straw ($92.7 \pm 1.2\%$ and $42.4 \pm 1.8\%$ respectively). Similar glucose yields were found for different cellulase enzyme ($97.8 \pm 1.7\%$ and $92.7 \pm 1.2\%$ for Cellic® CTEC2 and Celluclast 1.5L respectively).

3.2 Process design

Based on our experimental work, we modelled a lignocellulosic-mycoprotein biorefinery (40 000 tonnes per year) using Aspen Plus V9. The design overview is presented in Fig. 3 and below with more detail in (ESI S5†).

Feed handling. Milled rice straw is delivered *via* the 'uniform-format feedstock supply system'³⁴ at 20% moisture content. Therefore, the dry-composition value of rice straw was adjusted to account for the moisture content in the process

model. In modelling the biomass, a normalised average ash content of 17.5%³⁵ (Table S21†) was used. In the elemental analysis, acetyl groups were not explicitly measured, however, hemicellulose acetyl groups account for between 1–2% of cereal straws.³⁶ In our study, 1.7% was assumed. The reception and processing of the milled straw is based on previous models³⁷ where rice straw is delivered from delivery trucks to receiving bins at the pretreatment reactor. At the same time, recycled ionic liquid is conditioned with fresh water and ionic liquid to achieve a specified water loading of 20% and sufficient quantity of solvent mixture for pretreatment (rice straw = 10%, solvent = 90%). More details about feedstock handling are detailed in ESI S5.3.†

Pretreatment. The rice straw and the ionic liquid solvent mixture (ionic liquid/water: 80 wt%/20 wt%) are mixed before entering the reactor. Stoichiometric equations define the conversion of rice straw constituents (Table S22†). Conversions of the feedstock constituents (cellulose, xylan, mannan, galactan, arabinan and lignin) were calculated based on the conversions that would result in the pulp composition of the samples that was determined experimentally (section 3.1 and Table S15†). Previous experimental results reveal degradation of xylose to furfural in acidic conditions at longer pretreatment times.³⁸ Although the pretreatment time in this work is relatively short, it was assumed that all xylose released from the straw was further converted to furfural, this then provides an optimistic case for furfural recovery for sale as a co-product. The reactor effluent is immediately flashed in a tank at the reactor temperature to utilise the simple heat of the stream to evaporate volatiles (furfural, HMF, acetic acid) and some water, which is sent to furfural recovery. This reduces the volumetric flow through the rest of the pretreatment sequence, reducing energy demand in the evaporation of water from the ionic






Fig. 3 Overview of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein process with feed handling, pretreatment, enzymatic hydrolysis, separation, and fermentation shown in detail. A stream table is provided (Table S18†) in the ESI. For sizing and costing of labelled process equipment, refer to Table S19.†

liquid as discussed later. After the flash, the liquid stream enters a thickener followed by a filter to remove the IL-rich liquid from the solid pulp; subsequently, the pulp enters a counter-current belt washer which was modelled to reflect current pulp washing technology³⁹ producing a washed pulp stream sent to enzymatic hydrolysis, and a stream containing the recovered solutes.

Enzymatic hydrolysis. Cellulases are used to hydrolyse cellulose to produce a 10% glucose concentration for feed to fermentation (reaction and conversion detailed in ESI Table S23†). The design is based on previously published models.²⁸ The washed pulp from pretreatment is heated to 48 °C. The pulp is first partially saccharified to less than 20 wt% solids so that the slurry is pumpable, followed by saccharification in a batch reactor for 72 hours. Afterwards, the hydrolysate is filtered and passed through a triple-effect evaporator to recover a liquid hydrolysate containing soluble sugars with a specification of maximum 10% glucose. The solid cake is sent to the boiler for energy recovery.

Fermentation. The fermentation unit for mycoprotein production was modelled, in which the definition of the reactor and the required reagents were based on the continuous air-lift fermenter currently in operation at Quorn Foods.⁴⁰ The diluted glucose stream is heated to 30 °C and pumped to the fermenter. Air, ammonia and a nutrient medium are added to the fermenter to assist *F. venenatum* A3/5 growth. Elemental analysis data described in Moore, Robson⁴⁰ combined with our elemental analysis of the macro-elements were used to determine the stoichiometric requirement of reagents (ESI

S5.6†). The main elements required for biomass growth are carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen and phosphorus, which are supplied by glucose, O₂, ammonia and phosphoric acid. The remaining 4% of the biomass consists of potassium, sodium, calcium, magnesium, iron, copper, manganese and zinc, therefore, this provided the basis to determine the remaining nutrients for the growth medium (ESI S2.2.2†). The compounds considered in the nutrient mix were based on study by Moore, Robson,⁴⁰ with any missing compounds taken from those used in Vogel's medium.⁴¹ After the fermenter, steam is added directly to the stream and the temperature is raised to 68 °C to simulate RNA reduction, which is necessary to reduce RNA content to avoid health effects due to excessive RNA consumption in the diet. As a consequence, 30% of biomass is lost. Subsequently, additional steam raises the temperature to 90 °C, before centrifugation to produce a mycoprotein paste containing 25% solids (cell mass), and a liquid centrate. The centrate has potential for concentration as a flavouring product,¹⁴ however, in our design it is sent to wastewater treatment for energy recovery.

Separation. Three separation steps can be distinguished: lignin precipitation, IL recovery, and furfural recovery. The ionic liquid-rich filtrates from pretreatment are treated with anti-solvent (water) to precipitate lignin to recover solid lignin which is washed with water, and sent to the boiler for energy recovery. The IL rich stream is diverted to IL recovery, and the spent-wash stream is sent to wastewater treatment. Small amounts of glucose and hemicellulose sugars are also in solution with lignin at the precipitation stage. In this design the



sugars are also assumed to be precipitated in the same stage as the lignin, therefore, in a similar fashion to Klein-Marcuschamer, Simmons,⁴² this separation is partially defined. In future work, further investigation, both experimentally and from a design perspective should be carried out.

The IL rich stream is treated through a three-effect evaporator to reduce the water content to 20%. The vapour stream from the first effect is mixed with the vapours from the pretreatment flash. This combined stream is the feed to the furfural recovery section. The vapours from the second and third effects are sent to wastewater treatment.

A minimum-boiling azeotrope, which exists between water and furfural at 97.78 °C (1 bar), complicates the recovery of furfural. However, the presence of acetic acid acts as an entrainer itself to facilitate distillation *via* heteroazeotropic distillation by taking advantage of the vapour–liquid–liquid equilibrium formed between the 3 components. Previous work has investigated this separation problem,^{43,44} achieving a 95% pure furfural product. In this case 99% purity is desired, therefore an extra column was employed. The sequence used in this work involved a first column of 7 stages with no reboiler where the distillate splits into a furfural rich phase and a water rich phase in the decanter, from which the furfural rich phase is sent to a smaller column (5 stages) where the purity is increased from 95% to 99% and is recovered in the bottoms. The distillate is recycled to the first column. There is an opportunity for recovery of the acetic acid with an alternative distillation configuration, however, Galeotti, Jirasek⁴⁴ concluded that it was not economically justified.

Auxiliary sections. The auxiliary sections of the process design include wastewater treatment (WWT), the combustor, and utilities. These sections are based on previous designs and specifications.²⁸ Wastewater treatment includes an anaerobic digestion step, producing biogas, followed by aerobic treatment, which produces a clean water stream that is recycled back to the process, and a solid sludge that is sent to the combustor.²⁸ In the combustor, the solid waste streams produced from pretreatment (lignin), enzymatic hydrolysis (non-hydrolysed pulp), and the solid sludge produced from wastewater treatment undergo combustion to generate heat for the on-site production of steam and electricity. Fly-ash and stack gas are produced. Finally, the utilities include the process water, cooling tower, chilled water and electricity requirement for the plant. A cooling tower system is used to provide cooling water at 28 °C with a maximum temperature change to 37 °C, with a tower blowdown of 0.15%. The chiller system required 0.56 kW per ton of refrigeration for the compressor electricity demand.

3.3. Lignocellulosic mycoprotein viability

Based on the experimental data, pretreatment with $[\text{Ch}][\text{HSO}_4]$ for 1 hour, and saccharification with Celluclast 1.5L were selected to be the base case food-grade scenario (scenario 1) for the lignocellulosic-mycoprotein biorefinery model. This scenario was compared to the three other combinations of ionic liquid ($[\text{Ch}][\text{HSO}_4]$ and $[\text{TEA}][\text{HSO}_4]$) and cellulase (Celluclast 1.5L and Cellic CTec 2).

The fixed capital investment for scenarios 1, 2, 3 and 4 is \$756m, \$737m, \$575m and \$575m respectively (Fig. 4A and Table S26†), and total annual operating costs are \$125m, \$122m, \$92m and \$92m respectively (Fig. 4B and Table S27†). Overall, these costs are largely dependent on the pretreatment performance, with significant economic improvements due to the lower pulp yield (thus greater lignin and hemicellulose removal), which facilitates saccharification to glucose. The choice of enzyme also affects costs, with the food-grade CELLIC® CTEC2 enzyme scenarios being economically inferior to the equivalent non-food grade cellulase scenarios, however, the effect is less pronounced than the degree of pretreatment.

The $\text{MSP}_{\text{paste}}$ reflects the trend in costs, with the resulting prices of \$5.04 kg⁻¹, \$4.95 kg⁻¹, \$3.78 kg⁻¹ and \$3.78 kg⁻¹ for scenarios 1–4 respectively. This reveals that significant improvements in the $\text{MSP}_{\text{paste}}$ can be achieved with selection of a high performance ionic liquid solvent. However, of greatest interest is the present possibility of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein production, in which case, food-grade reagents are a necessity, therefore, scenario 1 is the focus of our analyses.

Sensitivity analysis. The sensitivity analysis is presented in Fig. 5 (Table S28†), in which a set of process parameters and economic assumptions were varied to understand their implications on the system performance of food-grade lignocellulosic mycoprotein (expressed as MSP). Sensitivity analysis results revealed that the maximum variation in price was +1.40 \$ kg⁻¹ and -0.85 \$ kg⁻¹ (+27.8%/-16.9%). The dominant parameter influencing the MSP was the uncertainty in the overall capital investment, which at this level of process design is generally $\pm 25\%$.⁴⁵ The other economic assumptions (*i.e.* discount rate, tax rate and applied operating costs) are sensitive parameters, producing significant effects on the MSP , highlighting the need for detailed economic analysis. The most sensitive process parameter is the saccharification yield of glucose from rice straw. This demonstrates the importance of ionic liquid selection and pretreatment performance for the process viability.

The process variables with the greatest impact are the saccharification yield and the potential utilisation of xylose in tandem with glucose by *F. venenatum* A3/5. The scenario with greater glucose saccharification yield is modelled to represent the improvement in food grade ionic liquid performance or enhancement in cellulase activity. Xylose utilisation represents a promising future scenario with an increased carbon utilisation efficiency by *F. venenatum* A3/5 where the strain is capable of growth on a mixed sugar substrate derived from lignocellulosic resources. This alters the aim of the pretreatment process design and ionic liquid selection. For the case in which only glucose can be utilised by *F. venenatum* A3/5, pretreatment should aim to remove both lignin and hemicellulose using high-performing IL *e.g.* $[\text{TEA}][\text{HSO}_4]$. However, if xylose can be utilised, pretreatment should target lignin removal and limit hemicellulose loss which can be achieved by the low-performing food grade $[\text{Ch}][\text{HSO}_4]$. Our modelling results suggest the potential degree of process improvement and highlight the






Fig. 4 Fixed capital investment (A) and operating costs per tonne of mycoprotein paste (B) for the four described scenarios (scenario 1: food grade ionic liquid and cellulase; scenario 2: food grade ionic liquid, non-food grade cellulase; scenario 3: non-food grade ionic liquid, food grade cellulase; scenario 4: non-food grade ionic liquid, non-food grade cellulase).




Fig. 5 Sensitivity analysis of the minimum selling price (MSP \$ kg⁻¹) of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein paste to a set of process parameters and economic assumptions (shown on the y-axis). Exact values given in Table S28.†



future research frontier for lignocellulosic mycoprotein technology, *i.e.* ionic liquid performance and *F. venenatum* A3/5 growth on a wider variety of sugar substrates.

The impacts of rice straw prices on the MSP_{product} suggest the importance of feedstock screening in particular, considering the dependence of agro-crops on environmental variables (*e.g.* climate). Therefore, an ideal feedstock would be the lignocellulosic plant species with resilient traits towards environmental change and other extreme events. The ionic liquid price has been factored in with a range of $\$0.5\text{ kg}^{-1}$ and $\$25\text{ kg}^{-1}$ to represent the general cost of various ionic liquids. The extent to which the MSP is affected by ionic liquid price is reduced with ionic liquid recycling. However, at a high price of $\$25\text{ kg}^{-1}$, solvent costs could hinder economic feasibility significantly. Fortunately, protic ionic liquids, as demonstrated in our study, offer a cost-effective alternative to costly ionic liquids.^{46–48} Finally, benefiting from the high recycle rate of ionic liquids, biomass loading of the solvent has a negligible effect on the MSP, water loading of the solvent has a greater effect, however, this model does not account for any change in pretreatment performance due to the change in water present, as has been found in experimental studies.

3.4 Surrogate-based retro-techno-economic analysis

A surrogate-based RTEA was performed to highlight the feasible design space of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein processes, where key variables such as biomass composition, ionic liquid selectivity, cellulase dosage, and carbon source utilization by *F. venenatum* were investigated. Kriging surrogate models were constructed by running the model with 54 samples spanning the design space and the corresponding resulting MSP. The surrogate models were then used to conduct the RTEA. The training data and model details can be found in ESI S29–S32.[†] The results of the RTEA are shown in Fig. 6.

In Fig. 6A, contours representing different MSP prices are shown for combinations of saccharification yield and cellulase dosage. The primary driver for economic improvement in this scenario is the saccharification yield. Trivially, for a single cellulase mixture, as dosage increases one would expect the saccharification yield to increase. However, in this case, Fig. 6A can be used as a tool to cross-reference with existing cellulase formulations to identify alternatives that can be used to reduce MSP.

Significant improvements in the MSP can be achieved by combining a high cellulose composition and saccharification




Fig. 6 Results of the retro-techno-economic analysis (RTEA). A surrogate model of the process was created for certain input variables to find the response of the minimum selling price (MSP) for a change in the input variables. Figures (A–C) are for a two variable RTEA in which the variables on the axes were varied within their displayed ranges. The contour lines for A, B and C show a number of MSP targets ($\$ \text{kg}^{-1}$) and the arrow represents the direction of improvement of the MSP. The solid lines of (C) are for the scenario where cellulose and xylan composition of rice straw is varied where xylose cannot be utilised by *F. venenatum*. The dashed lines represent the scenario in which xylose can be utilised by *F. venenatum*. Finally, (D) is a three variable RTEA where rice straw cellulose and xylan composition were varied as well as the saccharification yield of cellulose and xylan to glucose and xylose respectively. For this scenario, *F. venenatum* utilisation of xylose was assumed. The solid contour lines show the cellulose and xylose compositions required at selected saccharification yield (80% and 90%) to achieve an MSP than is no more than 100% of the predicted current minimum selling price (CMSP – see ESI S2.6[†] for derivation). 100% increase was chosen as there is no combination of variables (feasible region) capable of achieving the CMSP.



yield (Fig. 6B), which maximises the glucose yield per unit of feedstock. Fig. 6B also offers a tool to quickly screen potential alternative feedstocks and ionic liquid pretreatment combinations based on experimental results in literature to highlight their potential for implementation in the lignocellulosic-mycoprotein process to reduce the MSP.

Fig. 6C shows the effects of varying feedstock cellulose and xylan composition and implication of carbon use efficiency by *F. venenatum*, two scenarios were modelled, where maximised carbon utilisation can be achieved if *F. venenatum* A3/5 is capable of growth on both lignocellulosic glucose and xylose (dashed line) in contrast to glucose utilisation only (solid line). For the case of xylose utilisation, instead of xylan being a hindrance on economic performance, it becomes desirable for a feedstock to maximise cellulose and xylan composition. Our experimental results suggested the importance of IL selection for lignocellulosic glucose extraction, where food-grade IL [Ch][HSO₄] pretreatment showed low xylose and lignin removal efficiency in comparison to [TEA][HSO₄]. However, such a strategy for xylose utilisation through appropriate strain selection offers a potential pathway for large improvements in the MSP.

Finally, a three variable RTEA was conducted where saccharification yield was also investigated alongside feedstock cellulose and xylan composition (Fig. 6D) as these are the most promising parameters to reduce the MSP. In this scenario xylose utilisation by *F. venenatum* was also assumed. The (predicted) current minimum selling price (CMSP) of the paste (see ESI S2.6† for derivation) was used as a benchmark to judge how competitive lignocellulosic-mycoprotein could be. No feasible region could be determined for achieving the CMSP. Therefore, the RTEA was run for a 100% increase of the CMSP. This was selected as it was deemed to be potentially acceptable by consumers for a product that could be produced with a reduced environmental impact (to be discussed later). As can be seen, even at a modest 80% saccharification yield, high cellulose feedstocks could be used to achieve that is no

greater than twice the CMSP. If 90% yields could be obtained, then feedstocks with a cellulose content greater than 43% would be promising.

Overall, our RTEA modelling results suggest the potential degree of process improvement through improvement of certain process variables and highlight the future research frontier for lignocellulosic mycoprotein technology, *i.e.* ionic liquid performance and *F. venenatum* A3/5 growth on a wider variety of sugar substrates.

3.5 Comparative protein sources

In this study, the mycoprotein paste was modelled as the crude protein and compared to other crude protein sourced from livestock. Therefore, lignocellulosic-mycoprotein was compared both economically and environmentally to livestock at the slaughterhouse gate.

From an economic perspective (Fig. 7), lignocellulosic-mycoprotein is comparable to Lamb and Beef on a mass basis, however on a protein basis (Table S7†) the cost of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein is approximately twice that of beef, and almost 5 times more expensive than chicken. At supermarket prices, the predicted consumer price of the final mycoprotein product (\$21.80 kg⁻¹/\$173.02 per kg-protein) follows a similar trend compared to the cost of livestock protein (Table S8†). On the other hand, when we compare this price to an average price across a range of current Quorn products, the cost of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein is predicted to be around 1.9 times the current average Quorn supermarket selling price.

3.6 Life cycle assessment

Based on experimental data and process simulation (inventory detailed in ESI 3.2†), life cycle assessment has been conducted to investigate the environmental profiles of lignocellulosic mycoprotein. As shown in Fig. 8A, from a sustainability perspective, lignocellulosic-mycoprotein delivers significant advantages over beef in all impact categories except water con-




Fig. 7 Comparison of minimum selling price (MSP) of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein (L-mycoprotein) to other protein sources on a basis of their production costs per kg protein (white bar) and kg product (grey bar). Error bars for comparative protein sources are standard deviation of monthly prices over a 12-month period (June 2019–May 2020). For L-mycoprotein, error bar represents the largest price change from responses to sensitivity analysis inputs (Table S28†).






Fig. 8 (A) LCIA comparison of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein (L-mycoprotein) vs. animal-sourced protein (beef and chicken) and plant-based protein (Tofu) on 5 impact categories; global warming, terrestrial acidification, freshwater eutrophication, arable land, and water consumption (Table S33†). LCIA results have been normalised to 100%. The breakdown of the process contribution to each impact category for lignocellulosic-mycoprotein is shown in the circular charts (Table S34†). (B) Sensitivity analysis for process assumptions used in Fig. 5 compared to the base case scenario (Tables S35 and S36). 'High' and 'Low' values of impact categories represent the bounds of the sensitivity range tested (i.e. water consumption – high represents the water consumption for the higher value of each sensitivity parameter shown on the y-axis). (C) LCIA comparison of lignocellulosic-mycoprotein from rice straw compared to three other feedstocks: switchgrass, miscanthus and corn stover. ReCiPe2016 Midpoint (H) V1.02, economic allocation, functional unit = 1 tonne protein.

sumption and delivers similar environmental footprint to chicken on global warming and terrestrial acidification. However, in comparison to animal-sourced protein and plant-

based protein (Tofu), lignocellulosic-mycoprotein shows significant environmental benefits in arable land-use. Thus, lignocellulosic mycoprotein offers a promising protein solu-

tion which is largely independent of arable land in comparison to land-demanding protein sourced from animal and arable crops (*e.g.* meat and tofu).

The performance-limiting steps over the lignocellulosic-mycoprotein life cycle vary between impact category. Straw production dominates the impacts on water consumption (75.7%), due to rice irrigation. A less water-demanding feedstock would offer a mitigation solution to reduce water consumption.

For global warming, total GHGs of 516 kg CO₂ eq. per tonne protein are attributed to process emissions, after accounting for biogenic emissions (ESI S.8†), that is to say, 516 kg CO₂ eq. per tonne of is emitted that does not originate from biogenic sources, representing only 2.4% of overall contribution to global warming. The main contributor is the emissions due to external electricity use and production representing 72.9% of emissions. Therefore, a potential decarbonisation solution would be to integrate renewable energy resources in lignocellulosic mycoprotein system to target over a third of energy-related emissions.

For terrestrial acidification the largest contributions to overall acidification are electricity and process emissions which contribute 58.4% and 26.3% respectively. In the case of marine eutrophication, 67.58% is due to straw production.

In terms of arable land, over 95% is associated with rice straw production which is due to allocating some of the rice growth burden to the rice straw itself (1.56% of total impact of rice production). However, as the straw is considered a waste product of rice growth, it is worth considering the change in impact if no burden is allocated to the straw. Therefore, sensitivity analyses were performed to understand the implications of the allocation approach on LCA results. With zero burden of rice production allocated to rice straw, a significant reduction of the impact of lignocellulosic mycoprotein was observed on land-use (209 ha per tonne-protein), significantly less than the 4390 ha per tonne-protein when the straw is still considered to have an impact associated with its production. This analysis highlights the difficulties in assigning an exact arable land consumption due to allocation of burden to byproducts. Furthermore, the allocation sensitivity analysis also revealed a significant reduction in water consumption (542 m³ water per tonne-protein, 76% reduction) (Fig. S5†) due to water use in the rice production stage being mitigated in a zero burden scenario. This result highlights the advantage of utilising lignocellulosic feedstocks compared to starch-derived glucose, as much of the impact from crop growth is mitigated.

Finally, to understand the sensitivity of the LCA results due to process parameters, a sensitivity analysis was conducted for a range of process parameters (Fig. 8B). The results revealed that across all impact categories, the maximum variation is +23/−16% of the base case scenario. Improvements in saccharification yield, and xylose utilisation would provide the greatest benefits to the sustainability of the lignocellulosic mycoprotein process due primarily to large reductions in feedstock required to produce the same output of protein. Although the electricity grid mix was not considered in the sensitivity analysis, based

on the assumed electricity production mix (natural gas – 20.47%, coal – 41.55%, oil – 3.95%, nuclear – 11.27%, hydroelectric – 18.46%, wind – 3.22%, biomass – 0.42%, biogas – 0.31%, peat – 0.03% (Table S9†)), this work can be used as a baseline to understand how the sustainability of the process may change depending on the location of its implementation or changes in the grid mix due to future shifts in electricity generation sources. With the continuing progress towards an increasing share of renewably-sourced electricity, a significant improvement in the sustainability could be attained. On the other hand, if this technology is to be implemented in parts of the world still heavily reliant on fossil fuel resources, the sustainability of this process could be reduced. Therefore, future work should address the uncertainty regarding location-based parameters, such as the electricity grid mix, and their effect on the sustainability of the technology.

Lignocellulosic mycoprotein performance could be further optimised by utilising alternative lignocellulosic resources instead of rice straw (Fig. 8C). Benefiting from higher cellulose composition, three other feedstocks (switchgrass, miscanthus and corn stover) delivered an improved MSP between 83–91% that of rice-straw derived mycoprotein (Table S38†). However, significant reductions in water consumption would be realised for these alternative feedstocks due to their less water demanding growth. Alternative lignocellulosic resources (miscanthus and switchgrass) offer significant reduced arable land use compared with lignocellulosic agricultural residues. Finally, improvements in GHGs can be achieved with alternative feedstocks. Overall, non-food crops (miscanthus and switchgrass) represent environmentally competitive lignocellulosic resources for future exploratory research on lignocellulosic mycoprotein.

4. Conclusion

This study presents a new lignocellulosic mycoprotein solution, which has been demonstrated as a potential technically viable and environmentally sustainable meat substitute. A considerable amount of cellulose resources are available globally, offering potential underutilised resources for lignocellulosic mycoprotein production. Assuming the estimated annual global availability of rice straw of 520 million tonnes,⁴⁹ 8 million tonnes of rice straw-derived mycoprotein could be produced, which would represent 4% of the current predicted global protein demand.¹

Our experimental results suggested the importance of ionic liquid pretreatment in lignocellulosic mycoprotein production. In contrast with choice of cellulase enzyme, the ionic liquid produced greater impacts on the glucose release. Non food-grade IL [TEA][HSO₄] outperformed its food-grade counterpart ([Ch][HSO₄]), leading to significantly higher (over 50%) glucose release. The food-safety of [TEA][HSO₄] is unknown but potentially can be certified as food-grade ionic liquid.

Modelling results demonstrate that the untextured lignocellulosic mycoprotein paste could be produced at a competitive price of \$5.04 kg^{−1} (\$40.02 per kg-protein). Although more



expensive than meat-protein, lignocellulosic-mycoprotein offers significant potential for improving the sustainability of protein for human consumption. Lignocellulosic mycoprotein offers decarbonisation potential in comparison with protein derived from beef. However, the highlight of this technology is the minimum arable land usage required due to the main feedstock being agricultural residue.

This work can be seen as an initial study highlighting from both an economical and sustainability perspective the potential for this technology. Further work in this area is needed before full commercialisation could be envisaged. Primarily, further process optimisation should be undertaken from both an experimental and computational perspective. For example, increasing pretreatment yields through improving IL performance or selection of the ionic liquid/biomass combination, optimised separation design for cost-effective recovery of the ionic liquid by exploring alternative separation technologies and specifications. Ionic liquid has been researched as a promising pretreatment technology due to the customisable nature of the IL (selecting the cation-anion pair) and process safety offered by their low volatility. Notably, the food-grade ionic liquid (choline hydrogen sulphate) investigated in our research, can also be used to enhance *F. venenatum* fermentation; thus there exists a scope for future in-depth research to optimise the separation through synergistic process integration. Furthermore, instead of ILs, alternative food-safe pretreatment technologies offer another research frontier for future investigation.

Overall, our research suggests that lignocellulosic mycoprotein sourced from food-safe lignocellulose represents a transformative solution to animal and plant-sourced proteins which are carbon-intensive and natural resource-demanding. Both animal sourced and plant sourced proteins not only cause significant environmental concerns on climate change and arable land use, but also are constrained by long-production cycles; thus, their supply chains are vulnerable to global arable land scarcity and extreme events *e.g.* public health emergency. In contrast, lignocellulosic mycoprotein not only enables decarbonised protein supply, which is largely independent of arable land, but also offers future protein security due to its manufacturing in controlled environments and very short production cycles.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors would also like to acknowledge the Research England GCRF for providing financial support for research under the programme 'Production of single-cell proteins from agricultural and forestry waste'. We would also like to acknowledge the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) for providing financial support for research

under the DTP programme 'Systems modelling of ionic liquid biorefining – lignocellulosic mycoprotein process design' and project 'Resilient and Sustainable Biorenewable Systems Engineering Model' [EP/N034740/1].

In addition, the enzyme samples and data support from Novozymes A/S are hugely appreciated. The authors would also like to express gratitude to International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) for providing the rice straw samples in our collaborative research.

References

- 1 M. Henchion, M. Hayes, A. M. Mullen, M. Fenelon and B. Tiwari, Future Protein Supply and Demand: Strategies and Factors Influencing a Sustainable Equilibrium, *Foods*, 2017, **6**(7), 53.
- 2 FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO. *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2019*, FAO, Rome, 2019.
- 3 I. C. Prentice, S. Williams and P. Friedlingstein, *Biosphere feedbacks and climate change*, Grantham Institute, 2015.
- 4 M. R. Smith and S. S. Myers, Impact of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions on global human nutrition, *Nat. Clim. Change*, 2018, **8**(9), 834–839.
- 5 M. Herrero, B. Henderson, P. Havlík, P. K. Thornton, R. T. Conant, P. Smith, *et al.*, Greenhouse gas mitigation potentials in the livestock sector, *Nat. Clim. Change*, 2016, **6**(5), 452–461.
- 6 J. Yan, B. Han, X. Gui, G. Wang, L. Xu, Y. Yan, *et al.*, Engineering *Yarrowia lipolytica* to Simultaneously Produce Lipase and Single Cell Protein from Agro-industrial Wastes for Feed, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**(1), 758.
- 7 Y. Gao, D. Li and Y. Liu, Production of single cell protein from soy molasses using *Candida tropicalis*, *Ann. Microbiol.*, 2011, **62**(3), 1165–1172.
- 8 F.-u.-N. Yunus, M. Nadeem and F. Rashid, Single-cell protein production through microbial conversion of lignocellulosic residue (wheat bran) for animal feed, *J. Inst. Brew.*, 2015, **121**(4), 553–557.
- 9 B. Liu, J. Song, Y. Li, J. Niu, Z. Wang and Q. Yang, Towards industrially feasible treatment of potato starch processing waste by mixed cultures, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2013, **171**(4), 1001–1010.
- 10 B. Liu, Y. Li, J. Song, L. Zhang, J. Dong and Q. Yang, Production of single-cell protein with two-step fermentation for treatment of potato starch processing waste, *Cellulose*, 2014, **21**(5), 3637–3645.
- 11 T. Aggelopoulos, K. Katsieris, A. Bekatorou, A. Pandey, I. M. Banat and A. A. Koutinas, Solid state fermentation of food waste mixtures for single cell protein, aroma volatiles and fat production, *Food Chem.*, 2014, **145**, 710–716.
- 12 A. Ritala, S. T. Hakkinen, M. Toivari and M. G. Wiebe, Single Cell Protein-State-of-the-Art, Industrial Landscape and Patents 2001–2016, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2017, **8**, 2009.
- 13 B. Molitor, A. Mishra and L. T. Angenent, Power-to-protein: converting renewable electric power and carbon dioxide



into single cell protein with a two-stage bioprocess, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **12**, 3515–3521.

14 M. G. Wiebe, Myco-protein from *Fusarium venenatum*: a well-established product for human consumption, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2002, **58**(4), 421–427.

15 Quorn. Quorn Foods sees 16% global growth in 2017 2018 [available from: <https://www.quorn.co.uk/company/press/quorn-foods-global-growth-in-2017>].

16 IRRI. Our Work: International Rice Research Institute; 2019 [available from: <https://www.irri.org/our-work/research>].

17 P. Kumar and L. Joshi, *Pollution Caused by Agricultural Waste Burning and Possible Alternate Uses of Crop Stubble: A Case Study of Punjab*, 2013, pp. 367–385.

18 W. Den, V. K. Sharma, M. Lee, G. Nadadur and R. S. Varma, Lignocellulosic Biomass Transformations via Greener Oxidative Pretreatment Processes: Access to Energy and Value-Added Chemicals, *Front. Chem.*, 2018, **6**, 141.

19 N. R. Baral and A. Shah, Techno-economic analysis of cellulose dissolving ionic liquid pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass for fermentable sugars production, *Biofuels, Bioprod. Bioref.*, 2016, **10**(1), 70–88.

20 P. J. Strong, M. Kalyuzhnaya, J. Silverman and W. P. Clarke, A methanotroph-based biorefinery: Potential scenarios for generating multiple products from a single fermentation, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2016, **215**, 314–323.

21 Animal feed prices. In: Department for Environment FRA, editor. <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistical-data-sets/animal-feed-prices2020>.

22 D. J. M. Hayes, Development of near infrared spectroscopy models for the quantitative prediction of the lignocellulosic components of wet *Miscanthus* samples, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2012, **119**, 393–405.

23 D. J. M. Hayes. Personal communication., 2019.

24 F. J. Gschwend, A. Brandt, C. L. Chambon, W. C. Tu, L. Weigand and J. P. Hallett, Pretreatment of Lignocellulosic Biomass with Low-cost Ionic Liquids, *J. Visualized Exp.*, 2016, 114.

25 F. J. V. Gschwend, F. Malaret, S. Shinde, A. Brandt-Talbot and J. P. Hallett, Rapid pretreatment of *Miscanthus* using the low-cost ionic liquid triethylammonium hydrogen sulfate at elevated temperatures, *Green Chem.*, 2018, **20**(15), 3486–3498.

26 D. Moore, G. D. Robson and A. P. J. Trinci, in *21st Century Guidebook to Fungi*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edn, 2020.

27 THE WORLD'S BIGGEST MEAT ALTERNATIVE PRODUCTION FACILITY OPENS IN THE HEART OF THE NORTH EAST [press release]. 15th November 2018 2018.

28 R. Davis, L. Tao, C. Scarlata, E. C. D. Tan, J. Ross and J. Lukas, *et al.*, *Process Design and Economics for the Conversion of Lignocellulosic Biomass to Hydrocarbons: Dilute-Acid and Enzymatic Deconstruction of Biomass to Sugars and Catalytic Conversion of Sugars to Hydrocarbons*, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 2015.

29 A. A. Longati, A. R. A. Lino, R. C. Giordano, F. F. Furlan and A. J. G. Cruz, Defining research & development process targets through retro-techno-economic analysis: The sugar-cane biorefinery case, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2018, **263**, 1–9.

30 M. G. Brondi, A. M. Elias, F. F. Furlan, R. C. Giordano and C. S. Farinas, Performance targets defined by retro-techno-economic analysis for the use of soybean protein as saccharification additive in an integrated biorefinery, *Sci. Rep.*, 2020, **10**(1), 7367.

31 F. F. Furlan, C. B. B. Costa, A. R. Secchi, J. M. Woodley and R. C. Giordano, Retro-Techno-Economic Analysis: Using (Bio)Process Systems Engineering Tools To Attain Process Target Values, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2016, **55**(37), 9865–9872.

32 D. G. Krige, A statistical approach to some basic mine valuation problems on the Witwatersrand, *J. South. Afr. Inst. Min. Metall.*, 1951, **52**(6), 119–139.

33 D. Gorissen, K. Crombecq, I. Couckuyt, T. Dhaene and P. Demeester, A Surrogate Modeling and Adaptive Sampling Toolbox for Computer Based Design, *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 2010, **11**, 2051–2055.

34 J. R. Hess, C. T. Wright, K. L. Kenney and E. M. Searcy, *Uniform-Format Solid Feedstock Supply System: A Commodity-Scale Design to Produce an Infrastructure-Compatible Bulk Solid from Lignocellulosic Biomass - Executive Summary*, Laboratory IN, 2009.

35 K. L. Kenney, W. A. Smith, G. L. Gresham and T. L. Westover, Understanding biomass feedstock variability, *Biofuels*, 2014, **4**(1), 111–127.

36 S. Harun, V. Balan, M. S. Takriff, O. Hassan, J. Jahim and B. E. Dale, Performance of AFEX™ pretreated rice straw as source of fermentable sugars: the influence of particle size, *Biotechnol. Biofuels*, 2013, **6**, 40.

37 D. Humbird, R. Davis, L. Tao, C. Kinchin, D. Hsu and A. Aden, *et al.*, *Process Design and Economics for Biochemical Conversion of Lignocellulosic Biomass to Ethanol: Dilute-Acid Pretreatment and Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Corn Stover*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 2011.

38 A. Brandt-Talbot, F. J. V. Gschwend, P. S. Fennell, T. M. Lammens, B. Tan, J. Weale, *et al.*, An economically viable ionic liquid for the fractionation of lignocellulosic biomass, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**(13), 3078–3102.

39 H. Sixta, *Handbook of Pulp*, Wiley, 2006.

40 D. Moore, G. D. Robson and A. P. J. Trinci, *21st Century Guidebook to Fungi*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2011.

41 H. J. Vogel, A Convenient Growth Medium for *Neurospora crassa*, *Microb. Genet. Bull.*, 1956, **13**, 42–47.

42 D. Klein-Marcuschamer, B. A. Simmons and H. W. Blanch, Techno-economic analysis of a lignocellulosic ethanol biorefinery with ionic liquid pre-treatment, *Biofuels, Bioprod. Bioref.*, 2011, **5**(5), 562–569.

43 L. Fele and V. Grilc, Separation of Furfural from Ternary Mixtures, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 2003, **48**(3), 564–570.

44 N. Galeotti, F. Jirasek, J. Burger and H. Hasse, Recovery of Furfural and Acetic Acid from Wood Hydrolysates in Biotechnological Downstream Processing, *Chem. Eng. Technol.*, 2018, **41**(12), 2331–2336.



45 W. D. Seider, D. R. Lewin, J. D. Seader, S. Widagdo, R. Gani and K. M. Ng. *Product and process design principles : synthesis, analysis, and evaluation*, 2017.

46 A. George, A. Brandt, K. Tran, S. M. S. N. S. Zahari, D. Klein-Marcuschamer, N. Sun, *et al.*, Design of low-cost ionic liquids for lignocellulosic biomass pretreatment, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**(3), 1728–1734.

47 L. Chen, M. Sharifzadeh, N. Mac Dowell, T. Welton, N. Shah and J. P. Hallett, Inexpensive ionic liquids: $[\text{HSO}_4^-]$ -based solvent production at bulk scale, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**(6), 3098–3106.

48 H. Baaqel, I. Díaz, V. Tulus, B. Chachuat, G. Guillén-Gosálbez and J. P. Hallett, Role of life-cycle externalities in the valuation of protic ionic liquids – a case study in biomass pretreatment solvents, *Green Chem.*, 2020, **22**(10), 3132–3140.

49 N. Van Hung, M. C. Maguyon-Detras, M. V. Migo, R. Quilloy, C. Balingbing and P. Chivenge, *et al.*, *Rice Straw Overview: Availability, Properties, and Management Practices*, in *Sustainable Rice Straw Management*, ed. M. Gummert, N. V. Hung, P. Chivenge and B. Douthwaite, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020. p. 1–13.