Monolithic metal–organic frameworks for carbon dioxide separation†
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Carbon dioxide (CO2) is both a primary contributor to global warming and a major industrial impurity. Traditional approaches to carbon capture involve corrosive and energy-intensive processes such as liquid amine absorption. Although adsorptive separation has long been a promising alternative to traditional processes, up to this point there has been a lack of appropriate adsorbents capable of capturing CO2 whilst maintaining low regeneration energies. In the context of CO2 capture, metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) have gained much attention in the past two decades as potential materials. Their tuneable nature allows for precise control over the pore size and chemistry, which allows for the tailoring of their properties for the selective adsorption of CO2. While many candidate materials exist, the amount of research into material shaping for use in industrial processes has been limited. Traditional shaping strategies such as pelletisation involve the use of binders and/or mechanical processes, which can have a detrimental impact on the adsorption properties of the resulting materials or can result in low-density structures with low volumetric adsorption capacities. Herein, we demonstrate the use of a series of monolithic MOFs (monoUiO-66, monoUiO-66-NH2 & mono-HKUST-1) for use in gas separation processes.

Introduction

Anthropogenic emissions of carbon dioxide (CO2) are acknowledged to be a significant risk to the global climate. The atmospheric CO2 concentration has surpassed 400 ppm on several occasions since 2013, which represents an increase
of over 100 ppm compared to pre-industrial revolution levels. Carbon capture will be a crucial technology in achieving carbon neutrality by 2050. While clean technologies such as wind and solar power will play a major role in energy provision over the coming decades, increasing energy demands dictate that fossil fuels will remain a key component of the global energy system into the second half of this century. Additionally, CO$_2$ represents a significant impurity in industrial processes and its removal from important gases such as methane (CH$_4$) can help improve the overall quality of pipeline grade natural gas (NG). The upgrading of alternative forms of CH$_4$ such as biogas and landfill gas to produce biomethane is also an incredibly attractive source of renewable NG that, once purified, can be pumped directly into the national grid. The development of next-generation carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) technologies in the coming decades will be imperative in the fight against global climate change.

To date, CCS has been hampered by high costs (>100 US$ per t CO$_2$ captured) and the techno-economic uncertainties of liquid amine-based technologies. Liquid amine-based CCS technologies have been around for over half a century. However, liquid amine chemical capture relies upon chemical reactions and is energy intensive, therefore reducing the overall efficiency of a power plant by up to 40%. Additionally, liquid amines are volatile and prone to foaming, leading to the corrosion of industrial equipment. Liquid amines are thus not economically viable and offer little room for innovation. With the advent of the 2015 Paris agreement, there has been a political shift towards reducing CO$_2$ emissions globally. In particular, Canada recently announced a direct tax on carbon emissions of at least 10 C$ per t, rising by 10 C$ per t per year until it reaches 50 C$ per t by 2022. At 50 C$ per t, this will significantly improve the competitiveness of CCS technologies. Similar ambitious CO$_2$ emission reduction targets have been set in Europe and, right now, a new approach to CCS technologies is required, since renewable and new energy technologies alone will be unable to sustain the growing demand for energy in Europe. This requires a new paradigm for CCS technologies that will fundamentally improve the environmental footprint and cost effectiveness of CSS technologies.

As an alternative to traditional amine-based technologies, solid adsorbents represent a viable alternative for the next generation of low-temperature CCS technologies. To date, much of the research into solid adsorbent based CO$_2$ capture has focused on traditional porous materials such as zeolites, activated carbons and amine-modified silicas. While significant progress has been made, there are many drawbacks to these materials. In the case of zeolites and activated carbons, these materials lack the tunability and chemical functionalities to improve important process parameters such as chemical interactions, selectivity and hydrophobicity, leading to high CO$_2$ capture costs as a result of their low working capacities and high regeneration costs. Similarly, amine-modified adsorbents rely on similar capture mechanisms to liquid amines and require elevated temperatures (>100 °C) for adsorbent regeneration. Besides, gas constituents such as NO$_x$, SO$_x$ and CO$_2$ itself can negatively impact amine-modified solids by poisoning the chemisorbent and deactivating the amine adsorption sites. Furthermore, amine-modified materials are sometimes subject to thermal and oxidative degradation.

As an alternative to traditional porous materials, metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) or porous coordination polymers (PCPs) represent a broad class of
materials that have received a great deal of attention over the past two decades. MOFs are composed of metal ions or clusters, commonly referred to as nodes, bridged by organic ligands and in some cases organic and inorganic pillars, to form various structures and networks. There are currently ca. 100 000 MOF\textsuperscript{20,21} structures included in the Cambridge Structural Database – MOF subset vs. ca. 1000 silicas and zeolites; their tuneable nature enables the precise control of the material design at the molecular level. Using crystal engineering and reticular chemistry approaches, it is possible to tailor the pore size and chemistry by the rational selection of the organic ligand, functional group, metal ion and activation method. MOFs have already displayed exceptional performance for a wide array of applications including gas storage,\textsuperscript{22-24} catalysis\textsuperscript{25,26} and drug delivery.\textsuperscript{27-30} Their performance for gas separation has also been widely studied, with MOFs having benchmark physisorptive performances for numerous processes including carbon capture,\textsuperscript{9} CO\textsubscript{2} direct air capture (DAC),\textsuperscript{31-33} C2/C3/C4 separation\textsuperscript{34-36} and natural gas processing.\textsuperscript{37-39} The tunability of MOFs and the vast array of platforms available gives MOFs great promise for revolutionising industrial processes in the coming decades.

Despite their potential, the lack of a suitable method for production scale-up and shaping has thus far been a barrier to maximising the potential of MOFs for numerous applications. Their synthesis traditionally relies on solution-based methods, \textit{i.e.} layering or solvothermal synthesis, both of which are time-consuming and require large amounts of solvent. Mechanochemical synthesis has recently garnered attention for adsorbent scale-up,\textsuperscript{22-29} where the synthesis can be conducted at scale using continuous processes such as twin-screw extrusion (TSE).\textsuperscript{30-36} While the scale-up of MOFs has been well-studied, these processes generally lead to powdered materials that require post-synthetic shaping. Indeed, the shaping of MOF powders into bulk samples with desired sizes, shapes, densities and mechanical stabilities is a critical step for their industrial deployment, as it is required to minimise pressure drops and to increase the volumetric adsorption capacity in adsorption columns (Fig. 1).\textsuperscript{22} To date, mechanical shaping
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\caption{(A) Schematic of a dual-column pressure swing adsorption/temperature swing adsorption (PSA/TSA) system with MOF packed beds. (B) Representation of the abundant void spaces amongst pressed MOF particles compared with a densified monolithic MOF.}
\end{figure}
using binders has already been widely utilised for shaping MOFs. While mechanical shaping is relatively simple and fast, the resulting materials often display two major issues. On one hand, extruded materials where low mechanical pressures are applied display low bulk densities due to the presence of large void spaces. Conversely, in powder pressing, the delicate crystalline structures of MOFs are prone to collapsing under high mechanical pressures, leading to crumple zones of amorphous material. These amorphous phases can give way to pellets with high bulk densities but with large reductions in the overall porosity. The development of strategies that can address the issues of powder processing whilst maintaining the gas separation performance is critical for the real-world applications of solid adsorbents.

In contrast to traditional shaping, self-shaping methods can effectively circumvent the issues related to the extrusion and high-pressure pressing of MOFs. Self-shaping can eliminate the need for additives and/or the use of mechanical presses or extruders. These unique methodologies hold promise for reducing the performance-related issues of MOF shaping whilst simultaneously reducing the cost for shaped MOF production. So far, there have been a limited number of reports on self-shaping MOFs. In early self-shaping MOFs, researchers utilised precursor MOF gels to form self-shaped materials via synthesis when the MOF gel was dried under ambient conditions, while elevated drying temperatures gave way to powder formation. These materials displayed similar properties to xerogels, displaying large volumes of hierarchical porosity and low bulk densities. The development of MOF xerogels gave way to the development of the first monolithic MOFs (monoMOFs). Similar to previously reported monolithic gels, monoMOFs have been formed via a sol–gel synthesis approach which has offered a viable alternative to traditional MOF shaping processes. MonoMOFs enable the synthesis of high-density, mechanically and chemically stable, centimeter-scale shaped materials, which retain their porosity during synthesis. The first such report on monoMOF synthesis came with the development of monoZIF-8. The transparent, glassy-looking material displayed a high BET area ($S_{\text{BET}} = 1423 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) and a density of $\rho_b = 1.05 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ (single crystal $\rho_b = 0.95 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$).

The sol–gel synthesis approach in MOFs was subsequently extended to other classical MOFs such as HKUST-1 and UiO-66. The remarkable physical properties displayed by monoHKUST-1 ($\rho_b = 1.06 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ and $S_{\text{BET}} = 1288 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) resulted in an outstanding volumetric methane uptake capacity of 261 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$ (65 bar, 298 K). This was found to substantially exceed the previously reported results for pelletised HKUST-1 compacted under a range of pressures and effectively rendered it as the first material to reach the DOE target for NG storage. Recently, the formation of monoUiO-66 was achieved by varying the sol–gel drying conditions employed during synthesis. The bulk physical properties of monoUiO-66 were tuned with a high level of experimental control, resulting in materials with bulk densities varying between 0.43 and 1.05 g cm$^{-3}$ (single crystal $\rho_b = 1.20 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$). The inclusion of mesoporosity and its resultant alteration of the adsorptive properties of the MOF yielded outstanding improvements in the methane working capacity of monoUiO-66 (261 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$, 5–100 bar, 298 K). This demonstrated that unprecedented levels of synthetic control can be exerted on local structures of monoMOFs, enabling the enhancement of the gas adsorption properties beyond those of purely microporous materials. While the field of
mono-MOFs is relatively new, these materials have shown potential as viable alternatives to traditional shaping methods to produce high-density materials for industrial use.

In this contribution, we examine the gas separation performance of mono-MOFs in comparison to their powdered variants under both gravimetric and volumetric conditions. The performances of all of the materials were then evaluated using single-component isotherms, gravimetric gas uptake and mixed gas dynamic breakthrough experiments. We used single-component isotherms to determine important parameters such as the gas uptake and mixed gas selectivity values, while we used gravimetric uptake experiments to determine the gas uptake kinetics. Finally, we analysed these materials for mixed gas separation in gas streams associated with carbon capture (15/85 v/v CO₂/N₂, dry and 74% relative humidity) and natural gas/biogas upgrading (50/50 v/v CO₂/CH₄). This work represents the first demonstration of the use of mono-MOFs for gas separation applications.

Results & discussion
Synthesis, characterisation and physicochemical properties

Monolithic and powdered variants of HKUST-1, UiO-66 and UiO-66-NH₂ were initially synthesised via previously reported methodologies. The crystallinities and thermal stabilities of the pristine samples were investigated using powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), respectively. The experimental PXRD patterns were found to match the calculated PXRD patterns for each material. The PXRD patterns for the monolithic samples display Scherrer line broadening, caused by the non-convergence of the diffraction line in nano-size particles (Fig. S1–S3†). Besides, monolithic HKUST-1, UiO-66 and UiO-66-NH₂ were found to be thermally stable up to 300 °C, 400 °C and 280 °C, respectively (Fig. S4†), which is consistent with previous reports for these materials.
Table 1  Textural and adsorption properties of the monolithic and powdered MOF samples. BET area ($S_{\text{BET}}$), total pore volume ($V_{\text{Tot}}$), bulk density ($\rho_{\text{bulk}}$) and gravimetric and volumetric CO$_2$ uptake measured for monolithic, pelletised and powdered HKUST-1, UiO-66 and UiO-66-NH$_2$ via single component adsorption isotherms and dynamic mixed gas breakthrough studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>$S_{\text{BET}}$ m$^2$ g$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{Tot}}$ cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{bulk}}$ g cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{Crystal}}$ g cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>$S_{\text{BET}}$ m$^2$ cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{Tot}}$ cm$^3$ cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>CO$_2$ uptake (0.15 bar) cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$</th>
<th>CO$_2$ uptake (0.5 bar) cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$S_{\text{CN}}$ c</th>
<th>$S_{\text{CM}}$ d</th>
<th>CO$_2$ uptake 15/85 CO$_2$/N$_2$ cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ (cm$^3$ cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>CO$_2$ uptake 50/50 CO$_2$/CH$_4$ cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ (cm$^3$ cm$^{-3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>monoHKUST-1</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>1.060</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>18.5 (19.6)</td>
<td>53.8 (57.0)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21.3 (12.6)</td>
<td>53.3 (56.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>powdHKUST-1</td>
<td>1871</td>
<td>1.290</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>23.8 (11.9)</td>
<td>76.2 (38.1)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>24.7 (12.4)</td>
<td>61.7 (30.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pelletHKUST-1</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monoUiO-66</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>1.237</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>14.9 (15.6)</td>
<td>33.1 (34.8)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15.2 (16.0)</td>
<td>42.0 (44.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>powdUiO-66</td>
<td>1288</td>
<td>2.050</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>1.237</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>1.148</td>
<td>18.1 (10.1)</td>
<td>39.9 (22.3)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>17.9 (10.0)</td>
<td>44.0 (24.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pelletUiO-66</td>
<td>1459</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>1.237</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>15.1 (18.9)</td>
<td>34.9 (43.6)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>16.0 (20.0)</td>
<td>36.2 (45.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monoUiO-66-NH$_2$</td>
<td>1226</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>1.246</td>
<td>1533</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>15.1 (18.9)</td>
<td>34.9 (43.6)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>17.6 (11.6)</td>
<td>38.6 (25.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>powdUiO-66-NH$_2$</td>
<td>1094</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>1.246</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>17.2 (11.4)</td>
<td>39.7 (26.2)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pelletUiO-66-NH$_2$</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>1.246</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Obtained at $P/P_0 = 0.98$. $^b$ Quantified using Hg porosimetry. $^c$ Quantified using ideal adsorption solution theory (IAST). $^d$ Physical properties for pelletHKUST-1 obtained from the study by Peng et al. Physical properties for pelletUiO-66 and pelletUiO-66-NH$_2$ obtained from the study by Dhainaut et al.
Further characterisation of the synthesised materials was performed using 77 K \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption isotherms (Fig. 2) and Hg porosimetry (Table 1) to analyse the porosity and bulk densities, respectively, of the powdered and monolithic materials. Table 1 displays the BET areas \( (S_{\text{BET}}) \) calculated using our extended Rouquerol’s criteria using our BETSI protocol (Fig. S8–S13†)\(^6\), as well as the total \( (V_{\text{tot}}) \) pore volumes and bulk densities \( (\rho_{\text{bulk}}) \) of each material synthesised. All of the materials were fully activated by heating under vacuum before performing the porosimetry experiments. The experimental BET areas calculated for the powdered materials were consistent with those previously reported for HKUST-1, UiO-66 and UiO-66-NH\(_2\).\(^{62-64} \) The BET areas of the monoMOFs were also consistent with previous reports.\(^{57,58} \) For both the powdered and monolithic variants, the \( \text{N}_2 \) isotherms displayed high gas uptake below 0.1 \( \text{P}/\text{P}_0 \) indicating extensive microporosity within the samples. \( \text{N}_2 \) uptake was also observed at higher relative pressures (>0.8 \( \text{P}/\text{P}_0 \)) for the Zr-MOFs, indicating the presence of mesoporosity. \( \text{mono} \)UiO-66-NH\(_2\) displayed a relatively large mesoporous step, while the lower \( \text{N}_2 \) uptake above 0.8 \( \text{P}/\text{P}_0 \) for \( \text{mono} \)UiO-66 indicates a relatively low amount of mesoporosity. This mesoporosity has been previously observed for \( \text{mono} \)Zr-MOFs and can be attributed to the void spaces between the crystallites and UiO-type material defects.\(^{57} \)

To investigate the bulk density properties of the synthesised materials, we performed Hg porosimetry on the monolithic and powdered MOF materials (Table 1). We have shown previously that monoMOFs display higher bulk densities than powders and pelletised materials due to the exceptional control and close packing of the primary particles \( (\text{i.e. crystallites}) \) during the sol–gel synthesis. In turn, this leads to materials that maintain their porosity and performances and overcomes the limitations of traditional shaping techniques \( (\text{i.e. pore collapse or low density due to high or low pressure, respectively, during the compression or pore blockage due to the use of binders}) \). The bulk densities observed for \( \text{mono} \)HKUST-1 \( (\rho_{\text{bulk}} = 1.06 \text{ g cm}^{-3}) \), \( \text{mono} \)UiO-66 \( (\rho_{\text{bulk}} = 1.05 \text{ g cm}^{-3}) \), and \( \text{mono} \)UiO-66-NH\(_2\) \( (\rho_{\text{bulk}} = 1.23 \text{ g cm}^{-3}) \) were comparable to previous reports.\(^{57,58} \) In contrast, the bulk densities of the powdered materials were significantly lower for HKUST-1 \( (\rho_{\text{bulk}} = 0.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}) \), UiO-66 \( (\rho_{\text{bulk}} = 0.56 \text{ g cm}^{-3}) \) and UiO-66-NH\(_2\) \( (\rho_{\text{bulk}} = 0.66 \text{ g cm}^{-3}) \). The lower density can be attributed to the poor packing, leading to large amounts of void space in the powder samples. When the bulk density is taken into account to calculate the volumetric BET area and volumetric total pore volume of each material (Table 1), the monolithic materials display significantly higher values compared to the powdered variants. In terms of performance, the monolithic materials display volumetric BET areas which are 79%, 48% and 150% higher for HKUST-1, UiO-66 and UiO-66-NH\(_2\), respectively, compared to their powdered variants.

**Single-component gas adsorption isotherms and kinetic studies**

The exceptional physical properties of the monoMOFs prompted us to examine their \( \text{CO}_2 \) adsorption and separation performances. We collected \( \text{CO}_2 \), \( \text{N}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) single-component isotherms at 298 and 273 K for all monolithic materials (Fig. 3 & S14–S16†). We also collected \( \text{CO}_2 \) single-component isotherms at 298 K for the powdered variants for comparison (Fig. 3 & S17–S19†). The \( \text{CO}_2 \) uptake values at 298 K and 1 bar observed for \( \text{mono} \)HKUST-1, \( \text{mono} \)UiO-66 and \( \text{mono} \)UiO-66-NH\(_2\) were found to be 4.2, 2.2 and 2.1 mmol g\(^{-1} \), respectively. The \( \text{CO}_2 \) uptake values for the
monolithic MOFs were found to be consistent with values reported for the powdered variants of each material in the literature and in the NIST/ARPA-E Database of Novel and Emerging Adsorbent Materials.\(^9,69,70\) Similarly, the lower uptake values for N\(_2\) and CH\(_4\) gases observed for the monoliths were also consistent with previous reports for powdered variants of each MOF material.\(^9,69,70\)

Although the powdered materials display higher gravimetric CO\(_2\) adsorption performances compared to the monolithic materials for each MOF variant, this trend is once again reversed when the bulk density is used to calculate the volumetric CO\(_2\) adsorption performance (Fig. 3). In this case, the monolithic variants display a superior volumetric performance. While many studies report the gravimetric CO\(_2\) uptake performances of MOF materials, the volumetric performances of MOFs are often reported based on the crystal densities of the MOFs as opposed to the experimental bulk densities. To the best of our knowledge, the volumetric CO\(_2\) uptake value for \(\text{monoHKUST-1}\) of 99.7 cm\(^3\) cm\(^{-3}\) at 1 bar represents a new benchmark for volumetric performance under these conditions for MOF materials.

To examine the effect of MOF shaping on the adsorbent–adsorbate interactions, we collected variable temperature gas adsorption isotherms to determine the CO\(_2\) isosteric heats of adsorption (\(Q_{st}\)) for the \(\text{mono}\)MOF materials. To obtain the CO\(_2\) adsorption energies for these compounds, we fitted the CO\(_2\) adsorption data at 273 and 298 K using the virial equation (Fig. S20–S22\(^\dagger\)), calculating the \(Q_{st}\) using the Clausius–Clapeyron equation (Fig. S23\(^\dagger\)). \(\text{monoHKUST-1}\) displays a CO\(_2\) \(Q_{st}\) of ca. 25 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), while \(\text{monoUIO-66}\) and \(\text{monoUIO-66-NH}_2\) display values of ca. 25 and 37 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, similar to previous reports for the powdered variants of each MOF material.\(^69,70\) The higher \(Q_{st}\) displayed by \(\text{monoUIO-66-NH}_2\) can be attributed to the higher electrostatic contribution of the amino group which, in turn, leads to stronger adsorbent–adsorbate interactions.

To estimate the CO\(_2)/\text{N}_2 (S_{CN}) and CO\(_2)/\text{CH}_4 (S_{CM}) selectivities of the materials, we first fitted the adsorption isotherms to a dual-site Langmuir–Freundlich

### Fig. 3
Gravimetric (A–C) and volumetric (D–F) CO\(_2\) adsorption isotherms at 298 K for monolithic and powdered HKUST-1, UIO-66 and UIO-66-NH\(_2\) materials. Closed symbols represent adsorption while open symbols represent desorption.
(DSLF) model (Tables S1–S3†) and then we used the ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST).46 We estimated the selectivities under the relevant conditions for carbon capture and natural gas purification (CO₂ mole fractions of 0.15 and 0.5, respectively) for all monolithic MOF materials (Fig. S24–S26†). For the CO₂ separations associated with carbon capture, \text{monoHKUST-1}, \text{monoUiO-66} and \text{monoUiO-66-NH₂} exhibited IAST \(S_{\text{CN}}\) values (at 1 bar and 298 K) of 23, 28 and 30, respectively. For the CO₂ separations associated with natural gas purification, \text{monoHKUST-1}, \text{monoUiO-66} and \text{monoUiO-66-NH₂} exhibited IAST \(S_{\text{CM}}\) values (at 1 bar and 298 K) of 12, 36 and 54, respectively. The high \(S_{\text{CN}}\) and \(S_{\text{CM}}\) values exhibited by the monolithic MOFs suggest that they have potential for use in gas separations relevant to post combustion carbon capture (15/85 v/v CO₂/N₂) and natural gas purification (50/50 v/v CO₂/CH₄).

We examined further the CO₂ adsorption performances of the monolithic materials using kinetic studies on pristine samples of \text{monoHKUST-1}, \text{monoUiO-66} and \text{monoUiO-66-NH₂} (Fig. S30–S32†). We exposed the activated samples of the monolithic MOFs to a constant 20 ml min\(^{-1}\) flow of 1.0 bar CO₂ at 308 K, while constantly recording the weight change. We found that, despite having shaped the MOFs into larger bodies than the microcrystalline powder, the CO₂ uptake kinetics were consistent with previous reports,\(^{32,33}\) with \text{monoHKUST-1}, \text{monoUiO-66} and \text{monoUiO-66-NH₂} achieving 90% saturation loading in 60, 80 and 40 min, respectively. This demonstrates negligible loss in terms of the gas adsorption kinetics between the powdered and monolithic materials, something that is critical when evaluating the utility of monolithic materials for use in gas separation applications.

**Dynamic mixed gas breakthrough studies**

At this point, we examined the gas separation performances of the \text{monoMOFs} using experimental breakthrough studies on the pristine samples of powdered

---

**Fig. 4** Gravimetric (A–C) and volumetric (D–F) CO₂ breakthrough curves for a 15/85 v/v CO₂/N₂ gas stream at 298 K for monolithic and powdered HKUST-1, UiO-66 and UiO-66-NH₂ materials.
and monolithic variants of \textit{\text{mono}}\textsubscript{HKUST-1}, \textit{\text{mono}}\textsubscript{UiO-66} and \textit{\text{mono}}\textsubscript{UiO-66-NH\textsubscript{2}}. We examined gas mixtures associated with post-combustion carbon capture (15/85 v/v CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2}, dry and 74% relative humidity) and natural gas purification (50/50 v/v CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4}) at room temperature (Fig. 4, 5 & S33–S41). All of the materials examined under both dry and moist conditions achieved efficient CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} separation. N\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} gases eluted through the bed immediately, whereas CO\textsubscript{2} was retained in the adsorbent bed. For the 15/85 v/v CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} gas mixture, \textit{\text{mono}}\textsubscript{HKUST-1} and the powdered variant achieved CO\textsubscript{2} uptake capacities of 21.3 and 24.7 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively, under dry conditions (Table 1). The CO\textsubscript{2} uptake value for \textit{\text{mono}}\textsubscript{HKUST-1} was in agreement with the IAST predicted value (Fig. S27). Importantl, when the bulk densities of the monolithic and powdered variants of HKUST-1 were taken into account, the \textit{\text{mono}}\textsubscript{HKUST-1} material displayed a volumetric CO\textsubscript{2} uptake of 22.6 cm\textsuperscript{3} cm\textsuperscript{-3}, which is nearly double that of the HKUST-1 packed powder at 12.4 cm\textsuperscript{3} cm\textsuperscript{-3}. Similar trends were observed for the volumetric CO\textsubscript{2} uptake performance of the UiO-66 (monolith = 16.0 cm\textsuperscript{3} cm\textsuperscript{-3}; powder = 10.0 cm\textsuperscript{3} cm\textsuperscript{-3}) and UiO-66-NH\textsubscript{2} (monolith = 20.0 cm\textsuperscript{3} cm\textsuperscript{-3}; powder = 11.6 cm\textsuperscript{3} cm\textsuperscript{-3}) materials for the 15/85 v/v CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} gas mixture (Table 1 & Fig. S34, S35). When we exposed the materials to a humid (ca. 74% relative humidity) 15/85 v/v CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} gas stream, both the packed powder and monolithic MOF materials displayed a ca. 40% drop in performance compared to the dry gas mixture (Fig. S36–S38). The drop in performance is attributed to the competitive adsorption between CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O molecules.\textsuperscript{9,32,33} Interestingly, despite this reduction in performance, the \textit{\text{mono}}MOFs exhibited nearly double the volumetric CO\textsubscript{2} uptake performance under humid conditions compared to the powders for each of the MOF variants studied.

When we examined the synthesized materials with the 50/50 v/v CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} gas mixture, \textit{\text{mono}}\textsubscript{HKUST-1}, \textit{\text{mono}}\textsubscript{UiO-66} and \textit{\text{mono}}\textsubscript{UiO-66-NH\textsubscript{2}} displayed exceptional volumetric CO\textsubscript{2} uptake values of 56.5, 44.1 and 45.2 cm\textsuperscript{3} cm\textsuperscript{-3}, respectively (Table 1 & Fig. S39–S41). The gravimetric performances of each material were in
agreement with the IAST predictions (Fig. S27–S29†). Once again, the monolithic materials significantly outperformed the packed powders in terms of the volumetric CO$_2$ uptake performance in the breakthrough studies, with each monolithic variant adsorbing nearly double the amount of CO$_2$ per cm$^{-3}$ compared to the powdered materials. The CO$_2$/CH$_4$ separation performances of monoHKUST-1, monoUiO-66 and monoUiO-66-NH$_2$ were comparable to a number of benchmark MOFs such as TIFSIX-3-Ni, NbOFFIVE-1-Ni and TIFSIX-2-Cu-i, with only materials such as Mg-MOF-74 and UTSA-16 displaying superior gravimetric CO$_2$ uptakes under similar conditions.$^{37-39,71}$ While many MOFs demonstrate benchmark performances for gravimetric CO$_2$ capture, their performances do not translate well to volumetric performance. Many studies rely on theoretical crystal densities when calculating volumetric performances, which are often not achievable during traditional MOF shaping and densification processes such as extrusion and pressing due to mechanical degradation and pore collapse.$^{38,50,54}$ Again, to the best of our knowledge, the CO$_2$ removal performance of monoHKUST-1 represents the highest CO$_2$ removal performance achieved by any adsorbent after successful pelletisation and shaping under these conditions. Finally, we carried out recyclability tests on monoHKUST-1, monoUiO-66 and monoUiO-66-NH$_2$ for the 50/50 v/v CO$_2$/CH$_4$ gas mixture (Fig. S42†). In order to examine the recyclability of the materials, we heated the adsorbents to 120 °C under a helium flow between tests. The monoMOFs were stable under dry conditions, displaying negligible reductions in performance over five successive adsorption/desorption cycles.

Conclusions

Adsorption based gas separation processes have shown huge potential for important industrial processes such as carbon capture and gas purification. The deployment of porous adsorbents in these processes, however, has been hampered by a lack of suitable shaping processes which allow high density materials while maintaining gas sorption performance. In conclusion, we have demonstrated that monoMOFs display superior volumetric gas separation performances compared to packed powder materials. Single-component gas adsorption isotherms suggest that all six materials examined herein are efficient at removing CO$_2$ from CO$_2$/N$_2$ and CO$_2$/CH$_4$ gas mixtures. However, when the bulk density of each material is evaluated to determine the volumetric performances in both gas adsorption isotherms and dynamic breakthrough studies, monoMOFs exhibit superior CO$_2$ separation performances under all conditions. monoMOFs display similar kinetics to their powdered variants, suggesting that kinetic limitations do not exist after monoMOF synthesis. While many benchmark MOFs display exceptional gravimetric CO$_2$ adsorption performances, these rarely translate to volumetric CO$_2$ adsorption due to issues regarding MOF shaping and densification. Many reports on MOF materials rely on theoretical crystal structure densities when reporting volumetric performances, which rarely translate to experimental bulk densities upon powder processing and pelletisation. While high-density monoMOFs have previously demonstrated benchmark performances for gas storage applications, this report represents the first demonstration of the gas separation performances of monoMOFs. This work further illustrates the potential of this unique class of materials for a myriad of commercially relevant gas separation applications and paves the way for the development of next generation.
monoMOFs with superior physical properties and enhanced gas adsorption performance.
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