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A novel process involving the \textit{in situ} electrochemical generation of ferrate and permanganate oxidants, in circumneutral conditions, from low concentration aqueous iron (Fe\textsuperscript{2+}) and manganese (Mn\textsuperscript{2+}), is investigated for the treatment of the ubiquitous and highly recalcitrant micro-pollutant, perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS). The present study investigated the efficacy of both electro-oxidation (EO), and the simultaneous EO and ferrate/permanganate generation and oxidation, of PFOS as a potential drinking water treatment technology. While permanganate was shown to have little effect on PFOS removal, significantly increased degradation was observed when EO was coupled with ferrate generation and oxidation, significantly exceeding that of solely EO. From an initial concentration of 0.80 \(\mu\)M, final PFOS concentrations of 0.53 (±0.004), 0.43 (±0.01) and 0.27 (±0.01) \(\mu\)M were yielded during 10, 40 and 80 mA cm\(^{-2}\) electrolysis and an initial Fe\textsuperscript{2+} = 1.79 \(\mu\)M. In general, PFOS degradation rates increased with both increasing current density and initial Fe\textsuperscript{2+} concentration. Degradation was observed to follow mixed zero- and pseudo-first-order reaction kinetics for both the EO and simultaneous EO and ferrate oxidation. Finally, PFOS oxidation was not inhibited by the presence of low and high molecular weight organic scavenger species, and high concentrations of natural organic matter (NOM) improved PFOS removal due to hydrophobic interaction. Reduced ferrate species were also observed to increase NOM removal after electrolysis, by iron coagulant formation and subsequent flocculation.

1. Introduction

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are a wide class of synthetically made chemicals, characterised by an aliphatic carbon backbone with fluorine atom substitutions on the alkyl chain in place of hydrogen. Perfluoroctane sulfonate (PFOS) is a particularly ubiquitous PFAS species, consisting of an eight carbon chain and hydrophilic sulfonate functional group, previously used in a number of applications including as a mist suppressant agent for carcinogenic aerosols,\(^1\) aqueous film-forming foams, surfactants and lubricants,\(^2\) as well as various household products such as carpet, clothing and non-stick cookware.\(^3\) PFOS is also known to be an especially stable PFAS species due to its long carbon chain and strong hydrophobicity when compared to other common PFAS species like perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA).\(^4,5\) The prevalence of PFOS in natural waters varies largely and is dependent on contaminant source location. In a worldwide survey conducted in 15 countries and 41 cities during 2004–2010, in both industrialised and non-industrialised areas, PFOS levels ranged from trace to 70.1 ng L\(^{-1}\).\(^6\) A United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) survey found PFOS contamination ranging from 40–43 ng L\(^{-1}\) as an average in 50 US states in contaminated waters, with individual levels ranging from trace to over 1800 ng L\(^{-1}\).\(^7\) Other studies have found PFOS at concentrations of 132 ng L\(^{-1}\) in US surface waters,\(^8\) and as high as 0.011–2270 \(\mu\)gL\(^{-1}\) in waters near the release of aqueous film fire-fighting foam.\(^9\)
In general, PFAS are known to be chemically stable and resistant to biodegradation and conventional treatment processes, leading to the accumulation of perfluorinated compounds in the environment, as well as in wildlife and humans. Moreover, their toxic nature presents many harmful health effects to humans, leading many governments to impose health-based notification levels. Due to their widespread contamination and recalcitrant nature, some communities may be particularly susceptible to PFOS contamination. In particular, small and remote communities may be especially vulnerable owing to difficulties in implementing sophisticated centralised treatment approaches, due to the lack of economy-of-scale, availability of trained operators, or limitations in supplying the necessary process chemicals.

The development of efficient decentralised water treatment options has increased in recent years, in part due to their potential applicability for vulnerable communities like small and remote systems. In particular, electrochemical processes are of especial interest due to their favorable economy-of-scale and ability to eliminate chemical supply chains associated with conventional water treatment unit operations like coagulation and disinfection, with technologies such as electrocoagulation and electrochemical oxidation (electro-oxidation or EO), respectively. The latter technology has the capability of eliminating the chemical supply chain associated with conventional oxidation processes such as those based on chlorine, hydrogen peroxide, permanganate and ferrate. Additionally, through the advancement of powerful high oxygen overpotential electrode materials such as boron-doped diamond (BDD), EO processes have become exceedingly more effective. BDD is reported as having the greatest electrical potential range of water stability (−1.25–2.3 V SHE), which minimises the anodic oxygen evolution reaction (OER) ([(R1)]), while facilitating the generation of powerful reactive oxygen species, principally hydroxyl radicals (‘OH) ([(R2)]):

\[
2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4e^- \quad [(R1)]
\]

\[
\text{BDD} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{BDD(OH)} + \text{H}^+ + e^- \quad [(R2)]
\]

The use of BDD for electro-oxidation has been previously explored for a large number of organic micropollutants, including cyclic, heterocyclic and aliphatic compounds (such as pesticides, dyes, pharmaceuticals, phenols, aliphatic acids, humic substances and perfluorinated acids) including PFOS. In general, researchers have found that PFOS degradation via BDD-EO is a mass transfer limited process, which proceeds primarily through direct oxidation at the electrode surface, rather than mediated by ‘OH oxidation. Moreover, batch electrolysis experiments showed that PFOS degradation was unaffected when the ‘OH scavenger tert-butanol was present, further indicating that the PFOS oxidation mechanism proceeds by direct electron transfer, as well as suggesting the effectiveness of BDD-EO for PFOS degradation in the presence of low molecular weight (MW) organic scavenger species. More recently, researchers have found that boron doping levels in BDD electrodes did not effect PFOS degradation, however modified BDD materials with SnO2-F and BDD/SnO2-F anodes provided improved PFOS degradation by increasing the oxygen evolution potential ([R1]) during electrolysis.

Because the main mechanism of PFOS degradation during BDD EO has been associated with direct oxidation at the electrode surface, this renders the process a point-source application for treatment (i.e., limited to the electrode surface). However, a potentially powerful aspect of the BDD-EO process, yet to be investigated for PFOS degradation, is the simultaneous direct oxidation and synthesis of in situ chemical oxidants for downstream treatment. The generation of in situ oxidants via BDD anodic oxidation has been previously investigated for chemicals such as persulfate (E° = +1.96 V SHE), peroxydiphosphate (E° = +2.07 V SHE) and various chlorine species. More recently, the circumneutral generation of powerful iron and manganese-based oxidants, namely ferrate(Ⅵ) (Fe6+, FeO42-) and permanganate(Ⅶ) (Mn7+, MnO4-) from low concentrations of aqueous Fe2+ and Mn2+ typical of groundwater sources, respectively, have been reported. Both ferrate and permanganate are known to have high redox potentials (E°(Fe(VI)) = +2.2 V SHE, E°(Mn(VII)) = +1.5 V SHE), with non-toxic reduction products (e.g., hydrolysis species of Fe3+ and Mn3+), whereby the former has been observed to function as an effective coagulant chemical for further treatment. Furthermore, ferrate (dosed as potassium ferrate) and its reduced species Fe(Ⅲ) and Fe(Ⅱ) have previously been observed to oxidise PFOS in neutral and alkaline pH conditions, with maximum removals of 34%. Furthermore, a synergistic effect with ferrate and zero-valent iron for PFOS degradation has recently been reported in the literature. Permanganate has received limited attention as a potential oxidant for PFOS degradation, but has previously been observed to degrade PFOS in high temperature (65 °C) and acidic (pH 4.2) conditions. In addition to the largely unknown simultaneous effects of electro-generated oxidant species like ferrate and permanganate on PFOS degradation, the oxidation scavenging effect in the presence of high concentrations of both low and high MW fractions of dissolved organic species, particularly natural organic matter (NOM), has not been thoroughly investigated to date.

The current study has investigated the simultaneous EO of PFOS and generation of ferrate and permanganate from low oxidation state, low concentration iron (Fe2+) and manganese (Mn2+), for enhanced PFOS removal. The study presents a novel reaction pathway for both ferrate and permanganate generation, in circumneutral pH conditions, as well as the demonstration of such a process for applications concerning the abatement of PFOS in model surface and groundwater sources. The separate effects on PFOS oxidation by EO, EO–ferrate and EO–permanganate systems were investigated. Finally, the scavenging effects of a
representative low MW hydrophilic NOM analogue species (resorcinol), as well as real water NOM with a large range of MW fractions, were also considered.

2. Materials & methods

2.1 Electrochemical reactor setup and procedures

Electro-oxidation experiments were conducted using a parallel plate, batch-recycle, flow through reactor. The anolyte water temperature was held constant throughout electrolysis at 21.0 ± 0.8 °C using thermo-regulated glass and an applied thermal control (ATC) Kt recirculating chiller. A single-drive Watson-Marlow 505S peristaltic pump with dual-heads controlled both the anolyte (1000 mL) and catholyte (1000 mL) flow rate at 355 mL min⁻¹. The anolyte solution was stirred throughout electrolysis with a magnetic stirrer at an approximate rate of 60–120 rpm. A thin-film (2–3 μm) monocrystalline BDD anode (NeoCoat®) on a 1 mm silicon substrate, prepared by a chemical vapour deposition process, was used for all experiments. The cathode was an austenitic, face centred cubic crystal stainless steel 304 alloy. Both the anode and cathode had dimensions of 50 × 50 × 1 mm. The anolyte and catholyte were separated by a 10 mm inter-electrode gap and a Nafion-324 perfluorinated proton exchange membrane (Sigma-Aldrich), to eliminate the electrochemical reduction of ferrate and permanganate at the cathode surface. The custom electrochemical cell was fabricated with electrically inert polyvinyl chloride material, with stainless steel hardware and braces for assembly. Inert rubber was used for the o-ring and gaskets in the assembled cell. A Keithley 2460-EC Electrochemistry Lab System potentiostat was used for current/potential control and measurements.

2.2 Synthetic water

A phosphate buffer (pH = 7.1, 0.1 M) composed of ultrapure reverse osmosis (RO) water, NaH₂PO₄ and Na₃HPO₄ (Fisher Scientific) were used for all experiments. The desired initial Fe²⁺ and Mn²⁺ concentrations were attained by addition of FeCl₂ (Acros Organics) and MnCl₂ (Acros Organics), respectively. Initial Fe²⁺ concentrations of 179, 54, 18 and 9 μM (10, 3, 1 and 0.5 mg L⁻¹, respectively) and Mn²⁺ concentrations of 182 and 55 μM (10 and 3 mg L⁻¹) were used for the anolyte solution during ferrate and permanganate tests, respectively. Stock PFOS (Aldrich, ≥98.0%) solutions were prepared at ~25 mg L⁻¹ in ultrapure RO water and stored in the refrigerator at 4 °C. An initial PFOS concentration of 400 μg L⁻¹ (0.8 μM) was used throughout the study, except for experiments investigating the initial PFOS concentration effect on degradation, where an initial concentration of 4.6 mg L⁻¹ (9.2 μM) was used. Selected conditions were investigated using resorcinol (analytical reagent grade, Fisher Scientific) as a model NOM surrogate, representative of low MW oxidation by-product precursor species. Resorcinol stock solutions were prepared at 20 mg L⁻¹ and stored in the refrigerator at 4 °C to avoid degradation due to increased temperature and light exposure. Finally, the synthetic ‘real’ water experiments were conducted using a RO isolated natural organic matter, collected from the raw water source at the Chellow Heights Water Treatment Plant (United Kingdom). The NOM extract was dissolved in high purity water to reach an initial dissolved organic concentration (DOC) of 3.00 mg L⁻¹, with a corresponding UV-absorbance at 254 nm (UV₂₅₄) of 0.100 cm⁻¹.

2.3 Analytical methods

2.3.1 Ferrate and permanganate quantification.

An indirect spectrophotometric method, employing an ABTS (2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) reagent (Sigma-Aldrich), was used for ferrate and permanganate quantification. When present in excess, ABTS is oxidized by ferrate and permanganate with a 1:1 M ratio, producing a light-absorbing radical cation (ABTS⁺) with a visible UV-absorption maximum at 415 nm. The ABTS method is a sensitive method for ferrate and permanganate quantification, with levels of detection and quantification of 0.1 and 0.4 μM, and 0.01 and 0.03 μM, respectively. Ferrate and permanganate concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically to avoid both the degradation of ferrate and permanganate (prior to a subsequent addition to ABTS) and self-decay of ABTS.

2.3.2 PFOS quantification.

PFOS was quantified by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS), using negative electrospray ionization (Waters Synapt G2-Si high definition mass spectrometry) with the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) analysis. Two PFOS fragments were monitored (99.000 and 80.000 Da), with a trap collision energy of 38–40 eV. An Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.7 μm particle size), with a column temperature of 50 °C and mobile phase flow rate of 0.50 mL min⁻¹ was used. The mobile phase was composed of 2 mM ammonium acetate (Sigma-Aldrich, eluent additive for LC-MS) buffer in LC-MS grade water (Fisher Chemicals) and LC-MS grade methanol (Fisher Scientific).
Chemicals), at a gradient composition over 6 minutes of analysis per sample (ESI† Table S1), with an injection volume of 15 μL. All other relevant UPLC and MS analysis conditions are given in the Supplemental Materials (Tables S1 and S2†). PFOS concentrations were determined throughout each oxidation experiment, at electrolysis times of 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes.

2.3.3 Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) quantification. The DOC concentration was determined in order to quantify the extent of organic carbon degradation/mineralization during the oxidation processes. All water samples were filtered using a 0.45 μm cellulose nitrate membrane syringe filter prior to DOC analysis, conducted using a Shimadzu ASI-V total organic carbon analyzer. The non-purgeable organic carbon (NPOC) method was employed for all samples, with an injection volume of 2500 μL and four injections per sample.

2.3.4 Resorcinol quantification. For selected experiments using the resorcinol scavenger, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Waters 2695 separations module and Waters 2996 photodiode array detector) equipped with a Phenomenex Luna C18 column (250 × 2.0 mm, 5 μm) and a UV detector at 280 nm was employed for quantification. A column temperature of 55 °C and mobile phase flow rate of 0.45 mL min⁻¹ and composition of 20%/80% LC-MS grade water (Fisher Chemicals) and LC-MS grade methanol (Fisher Chemicals) was used. An injection volume of 10 μL was used with an overall runtime of 6 minutes for each sample.

2.3.5 UV-absorbance. During synthetic ‘real’ water experiments, the UV-absorbance at 254 nm (UV254) was analysed using a Shimadzu UV-4201PC spectrophotometer. All samples were analysed in a quartz cuvette with a 1 cm path length.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Electrochemical ferrate and permanganate synthesis

Previous studies have demonstrated the circumneutral electrochemical generation of ferrate and permanganate from low initial concentrations of Fe²⁺ and Mn²⁺, respectively. Through the use of radical scavengers and cyclic voltammetry, these previous studies demonstrated that ferrate and permanganate generation was attributed to both ·OH mediated and direct oxidation at the electrode surface. In the current study, the same initial Mn²⁺ concentrations (182 and 55 μM) and Fe²⁺ concentrations (54, 18 and 9 μM), at three current density conditions of 10, 40 and 80 mA cm⁻² over 120 minutes of electrolysis, were used for the PFOS degradation experiments; however, an additional Fe²⁺ initial concentration of 179 μM was investigated. For both ferrate and permanganate synthesis processes, the operating cell potentials were relatively stable throughout the entirety of electrolysis (120 minutes), regardless of the initial iron or manganese concentration, but were dependent on the current density: 6.0–6.6 V, 14.1–14.7 and 18.0–18.6 V during 10, 40 and 80 mA cm⁻² operations.

It was previously observed that no significant differences in ferrate synthesis were observed during electrolysis at the three current density conditions, despite increased generation of ·OH as the current density increased, as described elsewhere. A mathematical model was developed to describe ferrate and permanganate synthesis under mass transport limitations, which agreed well with the experimentally derived data, yielding mass transfer coefficients between 0.24–2.8 × 10⁻⁸ m s⁻¹, depending on current density and initial iron/manganese concentration. These results demonstrated that the rate-limiting step under these low iron concentration conditions was the diffusion of Fe²⁺ to the BDD electrode surface from the bulk water solution, through the Nernst diffusion layer. When the initial manganese concentration was increased to 182 μM, the maximum permanganate concentration generated was 0.926 ±0.01 μM for 40 and 10 mA cm⁻² operations.

When the initial Fe²⁺ concentration was increased to 179 μM, the process was no longer diffusion limited, whereby ferrate generation was observed to be affected by the operating current density. Like previous studies investigating the circumneutral electro-synthesis of ferrate using BDD electrodes and high concentrations of Fe³⁺ (3–30 mM), an increase in current density correlated with an increase in ferrate synthesis, reaching maximum concentrations of 28.7 ±4.5, 18.4 ±0.7 and 13.9 ±0.5 μM after 120 minutes during 80, 40 and 10 mA cm⁻² electrolysis, respectively (Fig. 1). These levels of ferrate generation at 80, 40 and 10 mA cm⁻² correspond to a yield of 16.0, 10.3 and 7.8%, and faradaic efficiencies of 0.069, 0.089 and 0.268%, respectively.

![Fig. 1 Variation of electrochemical ferrate generation with current density at initial Fe²⁺ = 179 μM (pH = 7, T = 21.0 ± 0.8 °C).](image-url)
The majority of the initial aqueous iron and manganese was not converted to ferrate and permanganate, respectively, with yields of only 4.7±16.0% and 0.01±0.51%, depending on current density and initial iron and manganese concentrations, respectively. The resulting faradaic efficiencies for ferrate and permanganate generation were 2.5–0.27 × 10⁻³% and 0.4–3.1 × 10⁻⁴%, respectively. Although these efficiencies are low, they have been shown previously to be sufficient to produce an increased oxidation of atrazine.⁴⁹,⁵⁰ Moreover, strategies to improve the faradaic efficiency of both ferrate and permanganate synthesis have been previously reported and determined via the aforementioned mathematical model, which include increasing reactor residence time, decreasing the inter-electrode gap or increasing the anode area.³⁸,⁴⁰ For example, if the electrochemical cell was redesigned to accommodate a 100 × 300 mm and a 5 mm inter-electrode gap, ferrate synthesis would double, in half of the time.³⁸

3.2 PFOS degradation

The degradation of PFOS was investigated during EO with, and without, iron and manganese addition, to determine the effect of ferrate and permanganate during the simultaneous EO and oxidant generation process. Experiments were conducted using the same current densities and iron/manganese conditions used in the previously discussed ferrate and permanganate synthesis study. The EO of PFOS has generally been shown to be slow due to the high electronegativity of fluorine atoms bonded to the carbon backbone. Dehalogenation of PFOS by electrochemical reduction at the cathode has been previously observed to increase the susceptibility of subsequent oxidation (at the anode),³¹−⁵⁷ however, in this study the objective was to understand the oxidation effect of EO, EO–Fe(vi) and EO–Mn(vi) only, and limit reactions to the anolyte (hence the separated cell).

3.2.1 EO degradation. For the EO experiments (no Fe²⁺ or Mn²⁺ addition), increased PFOS degradation was observed with an increase in current density, a widely reported phenomena during BDD EO of organic micro-pollutant species.²⁴ PFOS was observed to be relatively recalcitrant to the EO process, yielding reductions of 23.7 (±8.2), 34.5 (±2.7) and 36.8 (±7.3) %, correlating to final PFOS concentrations of 0.61 (±0.09), 0.54 (±0.06) and 0.49 (±0.06) µM during 10, 40 and 80 mA cm⁻² operations after 120 minutes of electrolysis, respectively (Fig. 2).

It was observed that PFOS degradation did not follow pseudo-first-order reaction kinetics throughout the entirety of electrolysis, particularly within the first 15–20 minutes of electrolysis. Beyond this initial period of degradation (15–20 minutes) which was characterised by a linear relationship between PFOS and electrolysis time (zero-order kinetics), pollutant degradation began to follow a first-order reaction kinetic relationship (ln\(\frac{\text{PFOS}_0}{\text{PFOS}_t}\)) with time (Fig. 3). Further tests were repeated with an increased initial PFOS concentration of 4.60 mg L⁻¹, an order of magnitude greater than that used for the previous experiments, to determine whether diffusion limitations were associated with the non-first-order behavior. At the increased initial concentration, after 120 minutes of electrolysis and 10, 40 and 80 mA cm⁻² current density operations, PFOS was observed to decrease by 22.0 (±2.0), 32.6 (±1.4) and 35.3 (±2.4) % respectively, which was within the standard deviation of reductions observed at low initial PFOS tests, indicating no significant differences in degradation at the two initial PFOS concentrations. Similar results have been observed previously by other researchers,³² and correspond well to the linear region of the Langmuir–Hinshelwood model for surface chemical reactions.⁵⁸ Moreover, PFOS degradation did not differ significantly between the three current densities investigated during the initial electrolysis period, suggesting the concentration of OH or available direct oxidation sites on the electrode.

Fig. 2 Variation of EO of PFOS with current density (PFOS₀ = 400 µg L⁻¹, pH = 7, T = 21.0 ± 0.8 °C).

Fig. 3 Pseudo-first-order degradation analysis of PFOS during EO operations (shaded area indicating zero-first-order kinetic behavior). The corresponding reaction order and coefficient of determination for each EO operation is summarised in Table 1.
surface did not affect PFOS degradation early in the process. Because this initial period (0–20 minutes) of degradation is linear with time and not dependent on PFOS or oxidant (·OH or direct electrode surface sites) concentration, it is consistent with zero-order kinetics, a phenomenon observed in previous PFOS degradation by BDD-EO studies during non-mass transport limited conditions using a rotating disk electrode.11 This mixed reaction kinetic system has been observed previously during BDD-EO with micro-pollutants like sulfamethoxazole (SMX)59 and the abatement of chemical oxygen demand,60 as well as previously described in a kinetic model.64 After 15 minutes of electrolysis characterised by a zero-order reaction rate of $k_0 = 0.0088$ μM min$^{-1}$, degradation followed pseudo-first order kinetics with reaction rate constants of $k_1 = 0.0028$, 0.0020 and 0.0007 min$^{-1}$ during 80, 40 and 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ operations, respectively. An overview of the mixed-order reaction kinetic data is summarised in Table 1. No clear PFOS degradation pathway could be determined or confidently proposed based on the oxidation by-products identified throughout electrolysis, however, the predominant species formed throughout EO were: perfluorooctane sulfonic acid, perfluorooctanoic acid (PFHxS), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), perflurooctanoic acid (PFOA), perfluorhexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS), perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS), pentafluoroethane sulfonic acid, perfluorooctanol sulfonic acid (PFBS) and pentafluorpropionic acid (PFPrA). More information on oxidation by-product formation is provided in the Supplemental Materials.

### 3.2.2 Coupled EO and electro-ferrate degradation

Under similar conditions to those used during control EO experiments, the degradation of PFOS was investigated in a simultaneous EO and ferrate oxidation system, using four initial Fe$^{2+}$ concentrations of 179, 54, 18 and 9 μM. When iron was added to the water matrix, the PFOS degradation was observed to increase significantly when compared to the EO only process, with reductions of 34.0 (±2.0), 45.7 (±0.5) and 66.5 (±0.1) % after 120 minutes of electrolysis at 10, 40 and 80 mA cm$^{-2}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ = 179 μM, correlating to final PFOS concentrations of 0.53 (±0.004), 0.43 (±0.01) and 0.27 (±0.01) μM, respectively. Under these increased degradation conditions, of particularly high current density and iron concentration, the previously observed mixed reaction kinetics tended to be better described by a first order reaction degradation over the entire 120 minutes of electrolysis, as previously observed with SMX degradation.59 In particular, degradation at the highest current density (80 mA cm$^{-2}$) for all conditions [Fe$^{2+}$ = 0, 9, 18, 54 and 179 μM] provided an increasing $R^2$ for the linear ln([PFOS]$_0$) versus electrolysis time plot, which approached 1 as the current density increased from 10 to 80 mA cm$^{-2}$. This phenomenon suggests that PFOS degradation did not depend on oxidant (direct, ·OH and/or ferrate) concentrations, as conditions facilitating increased oxidant formation were achieved (i.e., high current density and/or high initial iron concentration). The two conditions which provided the greatest correlation to pseudo-first-order reaction kinetics ($R^2 > 0.99$) over the entirety of electrolysis were the two conditions which provided the fastest PFOS degradation: 80 mA cm$^{-2}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ = 179 and 54 μM (Fig. 4). The complete set of PFOS degradation data, at all current densities investigated, can be found in the ESI† (Fig. S1). The resulting pseudo-first-order reaction rate constants at these two conditions were 0.0095 and 0.0086 min$^{-1}$, respectively. For all other conditions, linear ln([PFOS]$_0$) versus time plots were yielded between 15 and 120 minutes of electrolysis, with mixed-reaction kinetics for all current densities and initial iron conditions. A summary of the reaction rate orders and conditions is given in Table 1 and Fig. S2.† To better understand the greatly increased degradation of PFOS when even a small amount of iron is present in the water matrix, the degradation due to ferrate, independently of EO, was investigated by first electrochemically generating ferrate oxidants and then mixing with PFOS-containing waters. Electrolysis proceeded with an initial Fe$^{2+}$ concentration of 179 μM and 80 mA cm$^{-2}$, reaching an initial ferrate concentration of 17.3 μM. A PFOS stock solution was
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Fig. 4 Pseudo-first-order degradation analysis of PFOS during simultaneous EO and ferrate synthesis operations (PFOS$_0$ = 400 μg L$^{-1}$, pH = 7, T = 21.0 ± 0.8 °C).
then added to the electrochemically generated ferrate solution to reach an initial PFOS concentration of 0.80 μM. PFOS and ferrate concentrations were monitored over 120 minutes of mixing in a glass beaker, without recirculation through the electrochemical reactor, to understand the independent effect of ferrate degradation on PFOS (i.e., without EO occurring). PFOS was observed to degrade quickly, reaching a final concentration of 0.58 (±0.02) μM within 30 minutes of stirring (Fig. S3f).

From the results, a second-order reaction rate constant of 33.8 (±1.4) M⁻¹ s⁻¹ was observed between the electrochemically-derived ferrate and PFOS (Fig. S4f). From the EO-only and ferrate-only degradation results, a greater PFOS degradation was observed during the simultaneous process (coupled EO and electro-ferrate degradation) compared to that which would be predicted from the yielded first-order reaction rate of the EO system and the second-order reaction rate of the ferrate system. While a 66% reduction in PFOS was observed during the simultaneous EO–Fe(v) process, a theoretical reduction of 63% would be expected from the yielded k₁ and k₂. This increased degradation can be associated with a few potential phenomena, including the electrochemical regeneration of ferrate from reduced iron species (i.e., ferrate reduction byproducts, Fe(II)–Fe(v)), as well as oxidation by lower cationic state iron species including Fe(v) and Fe(vi). Previous researchers have also observed efficient degradation of PFOS from lower oxidation state ferrate species, particularly Fe(v) and Fe(vi) species. Moreover, the presence of iron in the form of Fe²⁺ and ZVI has been observed to have synergistic oxidation, respectively. Although a benefit of ferrate production exists for the overall degradation of PFOS, as the initial iron concentrations increases, both direct oxidation sites and adsorbed ‘OH will be more readily scavenged (by iron cations). This scavenging effect may decrease the availability of oxidation sites (direct surface sites and ‘OH) which may be involved in the degradation of PFOS. However, even at the lowest initial Fe²⁺ concentration of 9 μM, significantly increased removal of PFOS was observed when compared to the EO-only process.

3.2.3 Coupled EO and electro-permanganate degradation. Unlike the enhanced PFOS degradation observed during simultaneous EO and ferrate generation conditions, waters containing manganese at both 182 and 55 μM did not provide additional contaminant removal. PFOS degradation was observed to be the same at both initial Mn²⁺ concentrations during 80 mA cm⁻² electrolysis. Moreover, no additional benefit was observed from permanganate compared to the EO control condition (no manganese addition), with PFOS reductions after 120 minutes of electrolysis of 36 (±8), 38 (±1) and 37 (±7)% for Mn²⁺ = 182, 55 and 0 μM (Fig. S5f). The pseudo-first-order reaction rate constant was the same for all initial Mn²⁺ conditions (182, 55 and 0 μM): k = 0.004 min⁻¹. The lack of enhanced degradation may be due to permanganate’s lower reduct potential compared to ferrate, and/or the relatively lower generation under the same current density and initial reactant concentrations used during ferrate generation studies. Previous permanganate studies observed PFOS degradation via permanganate under acidic conditions at elevated water temperature, both of which are unfeasible for drinking water treatment applications.

3.3 Scavenging effect of NOM The effect of NOM on the EO and ferrate oxidation processes was investigated with the addition of a NOM surrogate, namely, resorcinol (section 3.3.1), and real surface water NOM (section 3.3.2). For the tests with resorcinol, the compound was added to the water matrix at a concentration of 9.1 μM, an order of magnitude greater than PFOS (0.80 μM). For the real water NOM tests, a RO isolated NOM was added to achieve an initial DOC concentration and UV₂₅₄ absorbance of 3.00 mg L⁻¹ and 0.100 cm⁻¹, respectively.

3.3.1 NOM surrogate. Resorcinol has been used widely as an analogue for disinfection by-product precursor species, and is particularly representative of low MW, aromatic and hydrophilic NOM substances, which are the predominant organic residuals present after coagulation and flocculation unit operations. In general, the scavenging effect of resorcinol (decreased PFOS degradation) increased with increasing initial Fe²⁺ concentrations, indicating a large scavenging effect associated with ferrate (Fig. 5). A control study using the electrochemically generated ferrate dosed into a water matrix containing only resorcinol yielded a second-order reaction rate constant of 9.71 × 10⁻² M⁻¹ s⁻¹, similar to other studies investigating the oxidation of various phenolic compounds with ferrate, highlighting the greater relative reactivity compared to that with PFOS (33.8 M⁻¹ s⁻¹).

This phenomenon is further evidenced during electrolysis in waters containing no iron (Fe²⁺ = 0 μM), where no significant differences in PFOS degradation were observed when the resorcinol scavenger was added to the water matrix. Resorcinol has been found previously to be primarily degraded via ‘OH oxidation during BDD-EO and was observed to be quickly degraded in control studies containing no PFOS or Fe²⁺, yielding a pseudo-first-order rate constant of 0.184 min⁻¹. Owing to the high degradation of resorcinol via EO, and relatively low degradation of PFOS in the same system, with and without the presence of the resorcinol scavenger (i.e., no scavenging effect due to resorcinol), it is believed that PFOS degradation is primarily via direct EO, and not ‘OH attack, as previously observed in systems containing the ‘OH scavenger tert-butanol. The recalcitrant nature of PFOS with respect to ‘OH degradation has been previously reported, which may indicate no adverse effect on PFOS degradation due to the presence of low MW organic scavengers, which are predominantly oxidised by ‘OH attack. During similar studies investigating the degradation of atrazine, a pollutant found to be readily degraded by...
adsorbed OH on the BDD surface, the presence of resorcinol was found to inhibit the degradation of the target pollutant for both the EO and EO–ferrate systems.\(^5\) Moreover, even with ferrate being highly scavenged by the resorcinol, PFOS removal was still observed to be significantly greater for all initial Fe\(^{2+}\) concentrations compared to an EO-only (i.e., Fe\(^{2+}\) = 0 \(\mu\)M) process (Fig. 5). In general, the difference between PFOS degradation during resorcinol scavenged and non-scavenged processes decreased during electrolysis with the lower initial Fe\(^{2+}\) concentrations, highlighting the role of PFOS degradation via ferrate oxidation. While the differences were small during Fe\(^{2+}\) = 18 and 9 \(\mu\)M electrolysis conditions, PFOS degradations during scavenged and non-scavenged electrolysis were still significant.

### 3.3.2 RO-isolated NOM

A selected set of operating variables were investigated for the NOM conditions, comprising a current density of 80 mA cm\(^{-2}\), and initial Fe\(^{2+}\) concentrations of 54, 9 and 0 \(\mu\)M. Unlike the resorcinol scavenger, the RO isolated NOM provides a large range of molecular weight fractions of dissolved organic matter, with an initial specific ultraviolet absorbance (SUVA) of 3.3 (±0.1) L mg\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\). Raw waters characterised by high SUVA values (>3 L mg\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\)) generally indicates greater concentrations of NOM composed of large hydrophobic and aromatic structures, such as higher MW fractions of aquatic humic acid.\(^7\)

The tests showed that PFOS removal was not significantly different between all conditions tested, whereby a 67.3 (±0.7)% reduction was observed after 120 minutes of electrolysis, indicating no enhanced treatment due to ferrate production (Fig. S6†). The total organic carbon content was also substantially mineralised, with an average reduction in DOC of 70 (±3) % after 120 minutes of electrolysis (Fig. S7†). Unlike the previous resorcinol conditions, no significant difference in PFOS reduction was observed during EO–ferrate operations in the presence of NOM compared to the absence of NOM, suggesting no ferrate scavenging. However, it is unlikely that no scavenging occurred, as electrochemically generated ferrate oxidation has previously been observed to be adversely affected by NOM.\(^7\) Interestingly, PFOS removal during the EO-only process (i.e., Fe\(^{2+}\) = 0 \(\mu\)M) was observed to exceed that which was observed during non-NOM experiments, indicating greater PFOS abatement conditions in a high NOM containing waters. This is likely due to hydrophobic interaction between PFOS and NOM, which has previously been observed to facilitate physical adsorption.\(^7\) With PFOS–NOM hydrophobic interactions, the transport of PFOS to the site of oxidation at the electrode
surface may be increased due to the greater diffusive flux of high concentration NOM to the electrode.

To investigate this further, NOM experiments were repeated at a lower concentration, corresponding to an initial DOC and UV$_{254}$ of 1.00 mg L$^{-1}$ and 0.044 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. Consistent with the previous results, a decrease in PFOS removal occurred during EO, corresponding to the lower NOM concentration. A clear trend of increased PFOS removal was observed with an increase in initial NOM concentration, as seen in Fig. 6. With a decrease in NOM, less PFOS absorption by hydrophobic interaction would be expected and thereby decreased PFOS flux to the electrode surface. Another phenomenon that may account for the increased removal of PFOS during NOM-scavenged experiments is the increased stability of ferrate in the presence of NOM. While ferrate decomposition can increase due to interactions with its reduction by-products (i.e., Fe$^{3+}$ oxide and hydroxide species), some researchers have observed the complexation of dissolved organic matter with Fe$^{3+}$ (from reduced ferrate), which consequently increases the stability of ferrate in the presence of NOM substances. As a result of this increased stability, ferrate was able to interact with target pollutant species for a longer period compared to water matrices not containing dissolved organic matter. Moreover, recent studies have suggested that NOM may function as an electron shuttle in the presence of ferrate, whereby oxidized mediators such as phenoxy radicals or benzoquinone-like compounds are generated when the phenolic moieties are oxidized by ferrate, which was observed to improve the degradation of the organic pollutant 4-tert-butylphenol.

Furthermore, when iron is present in the water matrix, both low cationic state iron and chemically-reduced ferrate could provide additional PFOS removal due to coagulation effects, if the appropriate iron oxide and hydroxide species are formed, as previously observed. Therefore, the increased removal of PFOS in the presence of NOM may be associated with a coagulation effect, whereby NOM is removed via iron electrocoagulation, with PFOS absorbed to the formed flocs. To investigate this phenomenon, the same conditions used in the previous NOM experiments were applied (80 mA cm$^{-2}$, Fe$^{2+}$ = 54, 9 and 0 μM and DOC$_0$ = 3 mg L$^{-1}$). After 120 minutes of electrolysis, the electrical current was stopped, and the complete volume of anolyte water was pumped into the anolyte vessel and gently stirred for an additional 120 minutes to facilitate coagulation.

A further reduction in NOM was observed due to coagulation and flocculation effects after the initial DOC mineralisation during electrolysis, with reductions of 28.3 (+4.3) and 16.6 (+9.0) % for Fe$_0^{2+}$ = 54 and 9 μM conditions, respectively (Fig. 7). Control studies were also conducted with no iron addition (i.e., Fe$_0^{2+}$ = 0 μM) throughout electrolysis and coagulation. As expected, no effect of coagulation was observed, whereby all reductions in DOC occurred during EO.

The PFOS concentration was also monitored over the 120 minutes of mixing time, to determine whether any removal occurred due to coagulation effects. No additional PFOS removal occurred during this period, and even a small increase in concentration was yielded for both Fe$_0^{2+}$ = 54 and 9 μM (Fig. S8†). Previous coagulation studies found that coagulant doses needed to exceed 10 mg L$^{-1}$ for any significant reductions in PFOS to occur. Moreover, PFOS adsorption on NOM and removal by iron coagulation decreased with increasing humic and fulvic acid concentrations, due to both steric hindrance effects and competitive adsorption with NOM molecules, PFOS and the Fe$^{3+}$ coagulants. This helps to explain why a slight increase in PFOS was observed over the 120 minutes of mixing, as NOM (composed of both humic and fulvic substances) adsorbed to Fe$_3^+$ coagulants to form flocs, which was evidenced by the decrease in DOC for both initial Fe$_2^+$ concentrations; PFOS would then be competing for adsorption sites with both coagulants and other flocs.

4. Conclusions

In this study, a novel electrochemical oxidation process for the simultaneous circumneutral generation of ferrate and permanganate and degradation of PFOS is presented. The electro-synthesis of ferrate and permanganate was observed to be mass transport limited, with oxidant synthesis increasing with initial Fe$_2^+$/Mn$_2^+$ concentration. At the highest current density (80 mA cm$^{-2}$) and initial Fe$_2^+$ and Mn$_2^+$ concentrations of 179 and 182 μM, the corresponding concentrations of ferrate and permanganate generated were 28.7 and 0.926 μM, respectively.

PFOS degradation was best described by mixed-order reaction kinetics, with the first 15–20 minutes of electrolysis following zero-order kinetics, and the remaining 20–120 minutes of treatment well described by pseudo-first-order kinetics with reaction rate constants of 0.0007–0.0028 min$^{-1}$, as current increased from 10 to 80 mA cm$^{-2}$. When Fe$_2^+$ was added to the water matrix, increased PFOS degradation was observed at all initial concentrations and current densities.
Reaction rates increased with increasing initial iron concentration and current density, ranging from 0.0013–0.0095 min$^{-1}$. Although no significant differences in PFOS degradation were observed during EO with initial concentrations of 4.60 mg L$^{-1}$ and 400 µg L$^{-1}$, a further decrease in initial PFOS concentration will be considered for future studies, to better reflect natural pollutant concentrations which are commonly found in surface and groundwater sources. No increased removal of PFOS was observed during simultaneous permanganate synthesis experiments, when compared to the EO-only process. In the presence of naturally extracted NOM, increased reductions in PFOS were observed, suggesting hydrophobic interactions with PFOS and NOM increasing the diffusive mass flux of the target contaminant to the electrode surface.

Given the promising results highlighted, the simultaneous EO and ferrate oxidation process presents a potentially suitable alternative technology for small, remote and/or decentralised treatment applications. While further scale-up tests would be required to demonstrate the technology’s viability in practice, these bench-scale tests have demonstrated its potential suitability to treat recalcitrant organic pollutants like PFOS, even in highly challenging, high DOC water matrices.
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