

Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 16336Received 20th October 2021,
Accepted 21st October 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1dt03540a

rsc.li/dalton

A stable ring-expanded NHC-supported copper boryl and its reactivity towards heterocumulenes†

Thomas M. Horsley Downie,^{id} Rex S. C. Charman, Jonathan W. Hall,
Mary F. Mahon, John P. Lowe^{id} and David J. Liprot^{id} *

Reaction of bis(pinacolato)diboron with (6-Dipp)CuO^tBu generates a ring-expanded N-heterocyclic carbene supported copper(i) boryl, (6-Dipp)CuBpin. This compound showed remarkable stability and was characterised by NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography. (6-Dipp)CuBpin readily dechalcogenated a range of heterocumulenes such as CO₂, isocyanates and isothiocyanates to yield (6-Dipp)CuXBpin (X = O, S). In the case of CO₂ catalytic reduction to CO is viable in the presence of excess bis(pinacolato) diboron. In contrast, in the case of iso(thio)cyanates, the isocyanide byproduct of dechalcogenation reacted with (6-Dipp)CuBpin to generate a copper(i) borylimidinate, (6-Dipp)CuC(=NR)Bpin, which went on to react with heterocumulenes. This off-cycle reactivity gives selective access to a range of novel boron-containing heterocycles bonded to copper, but precludes catalytic reactivity.

Nucleophilic boron fragments are increasingly important “umpolung” reagents applied in the generation of synthetically useful boron-element bonds.^{1–8} Copper(i) boryls are, by far, their pre-eminent class, emerging from chemical curiosities to become important synthetic intermediates in the generation of a huge number of new, boron-containing organic molecules.^{9–15} Synthetic access to copper boryls has principally relied upon two synthetic routes; salt metathesis reactions of isolable boryl anions with copper halides^{16–18} and Cu–O/B–B σ -bond metathesis. Whilst the former route is limited by the extremely small number of R₂BM (M = Li, Na, K) fragments thus reported, the latter has emerged as a generalisable route to copper boryl systems under both stoichiometric and catalytic regimes.^{13,14}

Despite this significant interest, well-defined compounds containing explicit Cu–BR₂ bonds are still sparse.^{9,12,19–23} Although the first of these species to be crystallographically characterised, two coordinate copper(i) boryls are an even more rarefied sub-class of these molecules. In 2006 Sadighi reported the synthesis of (IPr)CuBpin (IPr = 1,3-bis-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene; pin = (OCMe₂)₂) from (IPr)CuO^tBu and pin₂B₂.⁹ This has been followed by a small number of more recent reports of related monomeric (NHC)

CuBR₂ species.^{22–24} (IPr)CuBpin was shown to readily reduce CO₂ to CO, with concomitant formation of (IPr)CuOBpin. This species could then be converted back to (IPr)CuBpin *via* its reaction with bis(pinacolato)diboron, resulting in a net catalytic deoxygenation of CO₂ to carbon monoxide and (pinB)₂O.^{9,25} This remarkable transformation belongs to the broader class of dechalcogenation of heterocumulenes which, under homogeneous conditions, was previously restricted to the stoichiometric application of a limited number of reactive phosphorus compounds and electrophilic metals.^{26–30}


The breakdown pathways of copper boryls to copper clusters and boryl radicals have been intensively investigated, and these studies imply that the Cu–B bond is inherently thermolabile.^{21,22} Nevertheless, the steric demands of the ancillary ligands both bonded to copper and around the boron centre have been shown to significantly influence the stability of these bonds. For example, having found its IPr analogue to be unstable, Kleeberg and co-workers were able to synthesise (IDipp*)CuBneop (neop = (OCH₂)₂CMe₂), supported by the extremely bulky 5-membered NHC, IDipp* (1,3-bis(2,6-(diphenylmethyl)-4-methylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene).³¹ Ring-expanded NHCs are a class of ligands that have shown enhanced steric demand relative to their 5-membered analogues, with a consequent ability to enhance the stability of ligated fragments and isolate otherwise inaccessible reaction intermediates.^{32–34} To our knowledge, no such exploration of RE-NHC supported copper boryls has yet been described.

Herein we report the synthesis of a copper boryl supported by a RE-NHC, 6-Dipp (Scheme 1). This compound shows significantly enhanced stability relative to its 5-membered NHC

Department of Chemistry, University of Bath. Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.
E-mail: d1260@bath.ac.uk

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: General synthetic experimental details, NMR spectra, X-ray analysis of compounds 1–5, 7 and 8. CCDC 2099327–2099333. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1dt03540a





Scheme 1 Dechalcogenation of heterocumulenes by (6-Dipp)CuBpin.

supported analogue, but shares a similar reactivity profile; it deoxygenates CO_2 to generate CO. This reaction can be also rendered catalytic in the presence of an excess of diboron *via* a Cu–O/B–B σ -bond metathesis. Whilst stoichiometric C=X bond cleavage reactivity can be extended to other heterocumulenes such as isocyanates, isothiocyanates and carbodiimides, catalytic turnover for these systems was not observed. The high stability imparted by the 6-Dipp ligand facilitates isolation of reaction intermediates and off-cycle products which provide insight into these distinct sets of reactivity.

Synthesis of (6-Dipp)CuBpin, **1**, and its reduction of CO_2

In an initial reaction, (6-Dipp)CuO^tBu was added to an equimolar amount of bis(pinacolato)diboron in C_6D_6 (see Fig. S1 and S2[†]), with a number of new resonances observable after 30 minutes. After 18 hours, growth of these resonances in the ^1H NMR spectrum implied the formation of a single 6-Dipp supported species and in the ^{11}B NMR spectrum showed a sharp singlet at 21.4 ppm attributed to pinBO^tBu, alongside a broad resonance centred on 41.2 ppm (FWHM = 700 Hz), similar to the ^{11}B peak observed for (IPr)CuBpin (41.7 ppm). Repetition of this reaction in toluene, followed by diffusion of hexane into the resultant solution provided material suitable for single crystal X-ray crystallography which confirmed the formation of (6-Dipp)CuBpin, **1** (Fig. 1).

Compound **1** could be synthesised on a preparative scale using the methodology described for (IPr)CuBpin, and isolated as a brown powder. Unlike its 5-membered analogue, C_6D_6 solutions of which decomposed to elemental copper and unidentified byproducts within days at room temperature,⁹ (6-Dipp)CuBpin appears to be essentially indefinitely stable in both the solid- and solution state. A C_6D_6 solution of this compound evidenced only very limited decomposition over the course of weeks, as assessed by ^{11}B NMR spectroscopy (see Fig. S3 and S4[†]).

The metric parameters for the C1–Cu1 (1.9587(12) Å) and Cu1–B1 (2.0017(15) Å) distance, as well as the C1–Cu1–B1 angle (164.24(6)°) (Fig. 1) are commensurate with those previously reported for two-coordinate NHC copper(i) boryls: (IPr)CuBpin⁹ C–Cu 1.937(2) Å, Cu–B 2.002(3) Å, C–Cu–B 168.1(2)°;




Fig. 1 Molecular structure (30% probability ellipsoids) of compound **1**. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) C1–Cu1 1.9587(12); Cu1–B1 2.0017(15); B1–O1 1.3977(18); B1–O2 1.3928(18); C1–Cu1–B1 164.24(6); Cu1–B1–O1 129.85(11); Cu1–B1–O2 121.08(10); O1–B1–O2 108.32(11); $\angle_{(\text{N,C,N,Cu})/(\text{O,B,O,Cu})}$ 70.41(5).

and (IDipp*)CuBneop³¹ C–Cu 1.935(2) Å, Cu–B 2.020(2) Å, C–Cu–B 177.36(8)°. The interplanar angle at copper $\angle_{(\text{N,C,N,Cu})/(\text{O,B,O,Cu})}$ of 70.41° is much closer to that of (IDipp*)CuBneop (82.6°) which showed a similarly enhanced stability profile relative to (IPr)CuBpin (40.0°), reflecting the consequences of enhanced steric protection offered by the IDipp* and 6-Dipp ligands.

The high stability of **1** facilitates the exploration of its chemistry by allowing well-defined stoichiometric investigations of its reactions with various substrates (*vide infra*). It may, however, have profound effects on its reactivity by decreasing access to the copper centre relative to less sterically encumbered complexes. In order to compare it to (IPr)CuBpin, a C_6D_6 solution of pin₂B₂, in the presence of 10 mol% (6-Dipp)CuO^tBu, was exposed to an atmosphere of $^{13}\text{CO}_2$. NMR spectroscopic analysis indicated catalytic deoxygenation analogous to that reported by Sadighi and co-workers for (IPr)CuO^tBu.⁹ Over the course of several days, the peak associated with pin₂B₂ in the ^{11}B NMR spectrum attenuated, with a concurrent growth of the peak attributed to (pinB)₂O (see Fig. S5[†]). Interrogation of the ^{13}C NMR spectrum indicated the formation of a resonance at 184.8 ppm attributed to ^{13}CO (see Fig. S6[†]). Complete consumption of pin₂B₂ occurred after 96 hours of reaction at room temperature, a significant decrease in rate relative to (IPr)CuO^tBu where 2 mol% of the catalyst completed the same transformation in 20 hours. This diminished rate could originate from variations in the experimental procedure (solvent, concentration, pressure) but is more likely to reflect the



increased steric demand of the 6-Dipp ligand *versus* its 5-membered cousin, IPr.

Dechalcogenation reactivity of (6-Dipp)CuBpin, **1**, towards other heterocumulenes

The generality of this transformation to other heterocumulenes was then explored through the reaction of **1** with isocyanates and isothiocyanates. Initially, **1** generated *in situ* from (6-Dipp)CuO^tBu and pin₂B₂ in C₆D₆ was exposed to one equivalent of isopropyl isocyanate (see Fig. S7 and S8†). After mixing, the ¹¹B NMR no longer contained the resonance associated with **1**, but direct observation of resonances associated with a new B–O containing species were hindered by the residual pinBO^tBu present in the reaction mixture. Overnight, colourless crystalline material precipitated from the reaction mixture and heating of the resultant suspension followed by slow cooling yielded colourless blocks which, *via* single crystal X-ray crystallography, were found to be (6-Dipp)CuOBpin, **2** (Fig. 2). The bond lengths and angles in **2** are similar to those reported for (IPr)CuOBpin⁹ and the ¹¹B NMR spectroscopic resonance of 21.2 ppm (FWHM = 650 Hz) also corresponds closely.




Fig. 2 Molecular structure (30% probability ellipsoids) of compound **2**. Hydrogen atoms and the minor disordered component are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) C1–Cu1 1.8834(14); Cu1–O1 1.8320(11); O1–B1 1.308(2); B1–O2 1.416(2); B1–O3 1.394(2); C1–Cu1–O1 173.87(6); Cu1–O1–B1 128.24(11); O1–B1–O2 127.08(16); O1–B1–O3 123.16(16); O2–B1–O3 109.74(17).

Extension of this chemistry to isothiocyanates proceeded in a similar fashion; **1**, generated *in situ* as above, was reacted with one equivalent of ^tBuNCS (see Fig. S9 and S10†). The ¹¹B NMR spectrum of this reaction mixture contained a new resonance at *ca.* 34 ppm, as well as residual pinBO^tBu. Overnight, colourless material precipitated from this solution which was found to be suitable for single-crystal X-ray crystallography. The results of this analysis indicate that dechalcogenation can be extended to isothiocyanates to yield **3**, (6-Dipp)CuSBpin (Fig. 3).

Compound **3** is one of only a limited number of structurally characterised borylthiolates, only two of which contain a terminal SBpin moiety and which display similar S–B bond lengths and M–S–B angles.^{29,30} Its ¹¹B NMR spectrum contains a single resonance at 34.3 ppm (FWHM = 220 Hz), which is comparable to other SBpin-containing compounds^{30,35–37}

The formation of (6-Dipp)CuXBpin (X = O, **2**; S, **3**) hinted at the potential of (6-Dipp)CuBpin to dechalcogenate a wide range of heterocumulenes to yield isocyanides, although attempts to confirm the presence of these side products *via* NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry proved fruitless. Nevertheless, it was hoped that **2** and **3** would react with pin₂B₂ to reform **1** and facilitate such reactivity under catalytic conditions. The reaction of either ^tBuNCO or ^tBuNCS with an equimolar amount of bis(pinacolato)diboron in the presence of 10 mol% of (6-Dipp)CuO^tBu, however, provided no evidence of catalytic activity. During many of the reactions between isocyanates and **1**, resonances around 10–5 ppm in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum were observed. It was proposed that such peaks




Fig. 3 Molecular structure (30% probability ellipsoids) of compound **3**. Solvent and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) C1–Cu1 1.9038(17); Cu1–S1 2.1426(5); S1–B1 1.788(2); B1–O1 1.373(2); B1–O2 1.381(3); C1–Cu1–S1 172.81(5); Cu1–S1–B1 101.43(7); S1–B1–O1 125.45(16); S1–B1–O2 123.06(15); O1–B1–O2 111.48(18).






Fig. 5 Molecular structure (30% probability ellipsoids) of compound 5. Hydrogen atoms and the minor disordered component are omitted for clarity. Wireframe view has been employed for Dipp groups, also for visual simplicity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) C1–Cu1 1.955(2); Cu1–C29 1.925(2); C29–N3 1.314(3); N3–C34 1.493(3); C34–N4 1.315(3); N4–B1 1.574(3); B1–C29 1.656(3); C34–O1 1.209(3); B1–C29–N3 106.83(18); C29–N3–C34 113.96(18); N3–C34–N4 107.73(19); C34–N4–B1 113.30(18); N4–B1–C29 97.61(17).

The product, 5, is the mixed phenyl/*tert*-butyl analogue of 4 and provides strong experimental support for the mechanistic hypothesis. No significant structural deviations from that of 4 are observed for this minor variation in ancillary R groups.

In contrast to the reactions with isocyanates, no unexpected resonances were observed in the borate region of the ^{11}B NMR spectrum during catalytic attempts to dethiolate *tert*-butyl isothiocyanate which reflected only the presence of pin_2B_2 and pinBO^tBu generated from activation of the catalyst. The presence of 3 could not be confirmed as its ^{11}B NMR spectroscopic peak overlaps that of pin_2B_2 . Nevertheless, when an equimolar mixture of previously isolated 1 and $^t\text{BuNCS}$ were reacted in C_6D_6 , the ^{11}B NMR spectrum reflected the presence of 3 and the copper(i) boraniminomethanide, 6 (see Fig. S13 \dagger). Fractional crystallisation from this solution provided analytically pure samples of 3 and 6. Although its solid state structure could not be confirmed, reaction of isolated 6 with PhNCS in toluene provided, after filtration, crystalline material found to be compound 7 (Fig. 6).

Compound 7 comprises a 6-Dipp supported copper(i) centre bonded to a 4-membered C–S–C–N ring. Examination of




Fig. 6 Molecular structure (30% probability ellipsoids) of compound 7. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, with the exceptions of those pertaining to the phenyl ring based on C35. Wireframe view has been employed for Dipp groups, also for visual simplicity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) C1–Cu1 1.9271(12); Cu1–C29 1.9430(13); C29–B1 1.555(2); C29–S1 1.8740(13); S1–C34 1.7856(13); C34–N3 1.3454(18); N3–C29 1.4944(17); C34–N4 1.2958(18); C29–S1–C34 74.77(6); S1–C34–N3 95.37(9); C34–N3–C29 102.91(10); N3–C29–S1 86.96(8).

the metric parameters associated with this structure imply a bonding motif with a conjugated N3–C34–N4 fragment but otherwise localised bonds. C29 is bonded to four different substituents and consequently chiral, although the material crystallises in the centrosymmetric $P\bar{1}$ space group as a racemic mixture. The structure of 7 can once again be rationalised as the product of activation of a heterocumulene by the boraniminomethanide, 6, albeit through the electrophilic imine carbon instead of the Bpin fragment. The formation of this net [2 + 2] cycloaddition product likely reflects the weak S=C bond in the isothiocyanate starting material, and the limited thiophilicity of boron.

Whilst this off-cycle reactivity could act as a sink for any copper present under catalytic conditions, attempts to react isolated 3 with pin_2B_2 were unsuccessful (see Fig. S14 \dagger), implying that whilst isocyanide-centred reactivity may be present, a major stumbling block to dethiolation is the inaccessibility of Cu–S/B–B metathesis. This contrast to the activity of the boroxide analogue can most likely be attributed to the softer nature of copper(i), and a consequent affinity for the borylthiolate in 3.

Reactivity of (6-Dipp)CuBpin, 1, towards isopropyl carbodiimide

Despite such reactivity being confined to a stoichiometric regime, it does add to the limited pool of dechalcogenations of



heterocumulenes. In order to probe the reactivity of Cu–B bonds towards other C=X bond cleavages, the reaction of **1** and diisopropyl carbodiimide was investigated. When ${}^i\text{PrNCN}{}^i\text{Pr}$ was added to **1** in C_6D_6 complete attenuation of the peak at 41.2 ppm in the ${}^{11}\text{B}$ NMR spectrum was observed over the course of 9 days alongside a colour change to yellow. The formation of two new resonances in the ${}^{11}\text{B}$ NMR at 25.6 and 8.0 ppm was interpreted as a promising sign that C=N bond cleavage may have occurred. The resultant solution was filtered, and hexane allowed to diffuse into it overnight resulting in the formation of yellow crystalline material. Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of this material (**8**) revealed it to be analogue of **4** where the exocyclic oxygen atom has been exchanged for an =N ${}^i\text{Pr}$ moiety (Fig. 7).

Compound **8** shares a similar cyclic C–N–C–N–B core with **4** and **5**, but has an exocyclic C=N bond, reflecting its nature as the product of the reaction of diisopropyl carbodiimide by a copper boraniminomethanide. ${}^{11}\text{B}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis of compound **8** indicated a single resonance at 8.0 ppm, and its formation should be concomitant with that of (6-Dipp)CuN(${}^i\text{Pr}$)Bpin to which the peak at 25.6 ppm in the ${}^{11}\text{B}$ NMR spec-




Fig. 7 Molecular structure (30% probability ellipsoids) of compound **8**. Hydrogen atoms and the minor disordered component are omitted for clarity. Wireframe view has been employed for Dipp groups, also for visual simplicity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) C1–Cu1 1.957(4); Cu1–C29 1.941(4); C29–N3 1.336(5); N3–C30 1.492(6); C30–N4 1.361(6); N4–B1 1.563(7); B1–C29 1.640(6); C30–N5 1.283(6); B1–C29–N3 105.4(4); C29–N3–C30 115.7(4); N3–C30–N4 106.2(4); C30–N4–B1 112.2(4); N4–B1–C29 100.0(4).





Fig. 8 A proposed reaction scheme and ${}^{11}\text{B}$ NMR spectra (ppm) for the reaction between **1** and ${}^i\text{PrNCN}{}^i\text{Pr}$ over 9 days.

trum of the formation of compound **9** (Fig. 8) can be attributed based on literature data of comparable compounds.^{30,39}

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have shown that the application of a RE-NHC ligand on copper can profoundly enhance the stability of a copper(i) boron compared to corresponding 5-membered NHCs. The generation of a stable copper(i) boron system, **1**, allowed us to explore the chemistry of the highly reactive Cu–B bond towards a range of heterocumulenes. We were, thus, able to show that the previously reported deoxygenation reactivity of the copper-boronyl moiety could be extended to a variety of C=X bonds, including the C=O bond in isocyanates, the C=S bond in isothiocyanates and the C=N in carbodiimides. Whilst we do not expect the mechanism of this bond cleavage to deviate significantly from that calculated for C=O bond cleavage in carbon dioxide by (NHC)CuBpin,²⁵ the promiscuity of the isonitrile side-products of each of these reactions results in the clean assembly of a range of unprecedented ring systems bonded to copper, the formation of which was probed mechanistically *via* stepwise stoichiometric reactions. Although currently confined to a stoichiometric regime, these ring systems comprise a number of novel structural motifs whose formation can be attributed to a mode of reactivity at the copper centre which has the potential for exploitation in productive catalysis, an area we



continue to explore. We expect this work to contribute to the ever-growing suite of productive transformations reliant on Cu–B bond containing intermediates.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

DJL thanks the Royal Society for the support of a University Research Fellowship. We acknowledge financial support from the EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Catalysis (EP/L016443/1) for JWH.

Notes and references

- R. D. Dewhurst, E. C. Neeve, H. Braunschweig and T. B. Marder, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 9594–9607.
- E. C. Neeve, S. J. Geier, I. A. I. Mkhaliid, S. A. Westcott and T. B. Marder, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 9091–9161.
- A.-F. Pécharman, M. S. Hill, C. L. McMullin and M. F. Mahon, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 16363–16366.
- A.-F. Pécharman, A. L. Colebatch, M. S. Hill, C. L. McMullin, M. F. Mahon and C. Weetman, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 15022.
- A.-F. Pécharman, M. S. Hill and M. F. Mahon, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, **47**, 7300–7305.
- A.-F. Pécharman, M. S. Hill, C. L. McMullin and M. F. Mahon, *Organometallics*, 2018, **37**, 4457–4464.
- A.-F. Pécharman, N. A. Rajabi, M. S. Hill, C. L. McMullin and M. F. Mahon, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 9035–9038.
- H. Shere, M. S. Hill, A.-F. Pécharman and M. F. Mahon, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 1283–1292.
- D. S. Laitar, P. Müller and J. P. Sadighi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 17196–17197.
- D. S. Laitar, E. Y. Tsui and J. P. Sadighi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 11036–11037.
- L. Zhang, J. Cheng, B. Carry and Z. Hou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 14314–14317.
- K. Semba, M. Shinomiya, T. Fujihara, J. Terao and Y. Tsuji, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 7125–7132.
- T. Fujihara, K. Semba, J. Terao and Y. Tsuji, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 1699–1709.
- D. Hemming, R. Fritzscheier, S. A. Westcott, W. L. Santos and P. G. Steel, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 7477–7494.
- Z. Li, L. Zhang, M. Nishiura, G. Luo, Y. Luo and Z. Hou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 1966–1974.
- Y. Segawa, M. Yamashita and K. Nozaki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 6710–6713.
- T. Kajiwara, T. Terabayashi, M. Yamashita and K. Nozaki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 6606–6610.
- Y. Okuno, M. Yamashita and K. Nozaki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 920–923.
- C. Borner and C. Kleeberg, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **2014**, 2486–2489.
- C. M. Wyss, J. Bitting, J. Bacsá, T. G. Gray and J. P. Sadighi, *Organometallics*, 2016, **35**, 71–74.
- C. Borner, L. Anders, K. Brandhorst and C. Kleeberg, *Organometallics*, 2017, **36**, 4687–4690.
- C. Kleeberg and C. Borner, *Organometallics*, 2018, **37**, 4136–4146.
- W. Drescher and C. Kleeberg, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 8215–8229.
- C. Kleeberg, L. Dang, Z. Lin and T. B. Marder, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 5350–5354.
- H. Zhao, Z. Lin and T. B. Marder, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 15637–15643.
- J. E. Baldwin, J. C. Bottaro, P. D. Riordan and A. E. Derome, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1982, 942–943.
- L. Yun-Shan, B. Mei-Zhi, Z. Zhi-Hua, T. Ken and F. Yuzo, *Chem. Lett.*, 1992, **21**, 1143–1144.
- S. V. Ley and S. J. Taylor, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2002, **12**, 1813–1816.
- T. Ohnishi, H. Seino, M. Hidai and Y. Mizobe, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2005, **690**, 1140–1146.
- S. I. Kalläne, T. Braun, M. Teltewskoi, B. Braun, R. Herrmann and R. Laubenstein, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 14613–14616.
- W. Drescher, C. Borner and C. Kleeberg, *New J. Chem.*, 2021, **45**, 14957–14964.
- L. Jie, S. Wang-xing and L. Xiang-rong, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **16**, 2879–2891.
- A. J. Jordan, C. M. Wyss, J. Bacsá and J. P. Sadighi, *Organometallics*, 2016, **35**, 613–616.
- T. M. Horsley Downie, J. W. Hall, T. P. Collier Finn, D. J. Liptrot, J. P. Lowe, M. F. Mahon, C. L. McMullin and M. K. Whittlesey, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 13359–13362.
- C. A. G. Carter, C. M. Vogels, D. J. Harrison, M. K. J. Gagnon, D. W. Norman, R. F. Langler, R. T. Baker and S. A. Westcott, *Organometallics*, 2001, **20**, 2130–2132.
- M. A. Esteruelas, A. M. López, M. Mora and E. Oñate, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 7543–7545.
- J. A. Fernández-Salas, S. Manzini and S. P. Nolan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 5829–5831.
- B. R. Barnett, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold and J. S. Figueroa, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 541–544.
- D. J. Liptrot, M. S. Hill, M. F. Mahon and A. S. S. Wilson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 13362–13365.

