Introduction

In the area of coordination chemistry, a strategy that has gained increasing interest in the last few years is the control of the magnetic properties of molecular magnetic units by their insertion into coordination polymers (CPs). For instance, lanthanide- and transition metal-based single-ion magnets (SIMs) and single-molecule magnets (SMMs) have been incorporated into the nodes or encapsulated into porous CPs. Another example is that of assembling single-chain magnets (SCMs) inside the pores of CPs. This hybrid approach has resulted in the creation of multifunctional materials with multiple physical properties. In this context, oxalate-based networks have been widely used to prepare multifunctional compounds. They are formed by 2D or 3D anionic magnetic networks which can host different functional cations. These compounds combine the cooperative magnetism of the oxalate network with paramagnetism, photochromism, electrical conductivity, proton conductivity, ferroelectricity, chirality, spin-crossover (SCO) or fluorescence from the counter-cation. In these structures the dimensionality of the coordination network is controlled by the relative configuration of the adjacent metal ions linked by an oxalate bridge. Thus, a 2D honeycomb structure is obtained when the relative configurations are opposite, e.g. (Δ, Δ), leading to non-chiral networks. In contrast, if they are identical, (Δ, Δ) or (Δ, Δ), a chiral 3D network is formed. The counter-ions associated with the formation of these 3D chiral networks have been essentially chiral dicaticionic complexes such as \( [Z^{2+} (bpy)_2]^+ \) (\( Z = \text{Ru, Fe, Co and Ni; bpy = bipyridine} \)) or \( [\text{Fe}^{III} (\text{pyimH})_2]^2+ \) (\( \text{pyimH} = 2-(1H\text{-imidazol-2-yl})\text{pyridine} \)), while non-chiral 2D networks can be formed with a larger variety of templating mononuclear Co(II) complexes into ferromagnetic network results in the enhancement of the magnetic hysteresis of the compound. In a previous work, we showed that the \( [\text{Fe}^{II} (L)_2]^{2+} \) complex with \( L = 3,5\text{-diamino-2,4,6-triazinyl}2,2\text{-bipyridine} \) stabilized both a 2D oxalate network and a chiral 3D structure. Interestingly, the \( [\text{Fe}^{III} (L)_2]^{2+} \) complex exhibited a photo-induced spin transition.
Table 1  Examples of cations leading to chiral or achiral 3D oxalate-based networks and Curie temperatures ($T_C$) of the 3D compounds formed with these cations containing oxalate-based Mn$^{III}$/Cr$^{III}$ networks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cation</th>
<th>$T_C$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achiral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C$_2$H$_4$)$_2$NH$^+$ [ref. 17]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[M^{II}$(sal$_3$-trien)$]_2^{2+}$ (M = Fe or In)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[Mn$(II)(salen)(CH$_3$OH)$_2]_2$</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[H_2$PPD]$^+$</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[Mn^{III}$(salen)[H$_2$O]$_2]_2$</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[Fe^{II}(5-X$-sal$_3$-trien)]$^+$ (X = MeO)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[Fe$(bpp)$_2]^+$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chiral</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$[Z$(bpp)$_3]^{2+}$ (Z = Ca$^{III}$, Co$^{III}$, Ru$^{III}$, Fe$^{III}$, Co$^{II}$ and Ni$^{II}$)</td>
<td>2.2–4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[Ru$(py)$_3$(bpp)$_2]^+$</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[Ir$(py)$_3$(bpp)$_2]^+$</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[Mn^{III}$(salm)$_3$-trien)]$^+$ (M = Fe or In, X = Cl, Br)</td>
<td>4.8–5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[Fe^{II}(pyimH)$_3]^+$</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[Mn$(salen)solvent)$_2$]$^+$</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[Mn^{III}$(salpn)solvent)$_2$]$^+$</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$a$ H$_2$PPD$^+$ = p-phenylenediammonium. $b$ ppy = 2-phenylpyridine.

Scheme 1  Structural view of the L ligand.

In this paper, we have explored the preparation of analogous precursors with Co$^{II}$ instead of Fe$^{II}$ and their insertion into an oxalate-based network. These Co$^{II}$ analogues present interesting magnetic properties such as SMM behavior, or SCO, which could interact or coexist with that of the oxalate-based network. In this work, the syntheses, structures and magnetic properties of the 1 : 1 and 1 : 2 Co$^{II}$: L complexes are reported together with those of the bimetallic oxalate-based compounds obtained with the 1 : 2 complex.

Results

Synthesis

L was synthesized as described in the literature. $^{19a}$ It was reacted with Co$^{II}$ in acetonitrile with 1 : 2 and 1 : 1 metal : ligand ratios to give the mononuclear Co$^{II}$ complexes $[Co^{II}(L)$_2$][ClO$_4$$_2$]·0.5MeCN·Et$_2$O (1) and $[Co^{III}(L)(CH$_3$CN)$_2$][H$_2$O] (ClO$_4$)$_2$ MeCN (2), respectively, which were crystallized by layering with diethyl ether. This method is similar to that used to obtain the analogous Fe$^{II}$ complexes. $^{19,20}$ In a further step, the hybrid compound $[Co^{II}(L)$_2$][Mn$^{III}$Cr$^{III}$(ox)$_2$]$_2$ (solvate) (3) formed by an achiral 3D network was prepared using a protocol similar to that reported for other bimetallic oxalate-based compounds. $^{13}$ The preparation method is based on slow diffusion of a solution containing the Co$^{II}$ complex 1 dissolved in nitromethane into another solution with the precursors of the oxalate network dissolved in methanol. Long diffusion times led to the formation of crystals of a different compound with the same formula $[Co^{II}(L)$_2$][Mn$^{III}$Cr$^{III}$(ox)$_2$]$_2$ (solvate) (4) but with a 2D oxalate network. This last compound appears as a minor product always mixed with 3. A similar diffusion method using 2 in place of 1 led to the formation of crystals of compound 3. Therefore, the synthesis conditions used for the growth of the bimetallic oxalate network provoked the decomposition of the 1 : 1 Co$^{II}$:L complex and the formation of the 1 : 2 Co$^{II}$:L one. The crystal structures of 1, 2, 3 and 4 were solved by single-crystal X-ray diffraction at 120 K. The composition of crystals of these compounds, examined by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDAX), shows a Co : Cl ratio of 1 : 2 for 1 and 2 and a Co : Mn : Cr ratio of 1 : 2 : 2 for 3 and 4. Elemental analysis indicates the possible replacement of the MeCN and Et$_2$O molecules of 1 by water molecules after filtering the crystals (see the Experimental section). In the case of 3, elemental analysis is more consistent with the presence of H$_2$O after filtering the crystals (see the Experimental section). The structure of 4 is reported in the ESI† (Fig. S10 and S11, Table S1† and the associated text).

Structure of $[Co^{II}(L)$_2$][ClO$_4$$_2$]·0.5MeCN·Et$_2$O (1)

1 crystallizes in the monoclinic $P2_1/ c$ space group. The unit cell of this compound is different from that of the Fe$^{II}$: L 1 : 2 complex $[Fe^{II}(L)$_2$][ClO$_4$$_2$]$·CH$_3$CN$. $^{19a}$ The asymmetric unit at 120 K is composed of two $[Co^{II}(L)$_2$]$ complexes, four perchlorate anions, some of them disordered, one acetonitrile molecule, and two disordered diethyl ether molecules (see Fig. S1 in the ESI†). The central cobalt$^{II}$ ions (Co1 and Co2) of the two crystallographically independent Co$^{II}$ complexes are coordinated by six nitrogen atoms from two tridentate L ligands with a distorted octahedral coordination geometry with two shorter Co$^{II}$–N axial bond distances to the inner pyridine rings (2.065(2) Å (Co1) and 2.046(2) Å (Co2)) for the external pyridine and triazine rings, respectively (Fig. 1). A similar distortion is observed in another octahedral Co$^{II}$ SIM such as $[Co^{III}$(dimphen) (NCS)$_2$]$. $^{19a}$ These distances are typical of Co$^{II}$ in the high-spin state although Co–N distances in this type of compound are not very sensitive to the metal ion spin state. Calculation of the degree of distortion with respect to an ideal six-vertex polyhedron using SHAPE software $^{24}$ lead to shape measures relative to the octahedron (OC-6) and trigonal prism (TPR-6) with the values of 4.8 and 9.0 for Co1 and 4.5 and 9.0 for Co2, respectively. SHAPE measures relative to other reference polyhedra are significantly larger. SHAPE calculations show that the coordination geometry of the two crystallographically inde-
pendent Co presents a deviation close to 25% from the minimal distortion path between a perfect octahedron and a perfect trigonal prism. Due to this, the generalized coordinates between the two structures have not been calculated, as they are approximate for structures that significantly deviate from that path. The trans N(pyridyl)–Co–N(pyridyl) angles between the two ligands (167.47(10)° for Co1 and 167.64(10)° for Co2) deviate from the ideal octahedron. This deviation is more important for the trans N(triazine)–Co–N(pyridyl) intraligand angles (150.88(9) and 151.77(9)° for Co1 and 153.07(9) and 151.47(10)° for Co2).

Two neighbouring [CoII(L)2]2+ complexes form hydrogen bonds between the NH2 groups of complexes with Co2 and a N atom from the triazine ligand of a complex with Co1 (dN2⋯N20 = 3.02 Å). Besides these interactions, the two neighbouring complexes are linked through several short contacts involving their two triazine rings. These dimers of complexes are linked through short contacts between a CH group from the central pyridine ring and a triazine ring (see Fig. S2 in the ESI†). This gives rise to chains of complexes running along the c axis, which are surrounded by perchlorate anions and solvent molecules. Perchlorates form hydrogen bonds with the triazine rings of [CoII(L)2]2+ complexes. Three of the four crystallographically independent perchlorate anions with Cl2, Cl3 and Cl4 are disordered. The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) pattern measured in contact with the mother liquor agrees with the simulated pattern for the structure at 120 K (Fig. S3 in the ESI†).

Fig. 1 Structural view of the [CoII(L)2]2+ complex in 1.

[CoII(L)(CH3CN)2(H2O)](ClO4)2·MeCN (2)

The structure of 2 is similar to that of the Fe(II): L 1:1 compound of formula [FeII(L)(CH3CN)2(H2O)](ClO4)2·CH3CN.20 Both compounds crystallize in the triclinic P1 space group with similar unit cells. The asymmetric unit is composed of one [CoII(L)(CH3CN)2(H2O)]2+ cation, two perchlorate anions and one acetonitrile solvent molecule (Fig. S4 in the ESI†). The cobalt(II) ion of the complex present a distorted N5O octahedral coordination. It is coordinated by three nitrogen atoms from a tridentate L ligand, one water molecule in trans to the N atom from the inner pyridine ring of L and two nitrogen atoms from two acetonitrile molecules in trans positions (Fig. 2). The Co–N bond distances are 2.0644(17), 2.1340(18) and 2.1564(17) Å for the inner pyridine ring, the external pyridine ring and the triazine ring of L, respectively. The Co–N distances to the two acetonitrile coordinating molecules are similar (2.1108(18) and 2.1368(19) Å), while the Co–O distance to the water molecule is the shortest one (2.0423(17) Å). As in 1, there are two axial ligands (N from the inner pyridine ring and the water molecule) at shorter distances than the four equatorial ones. These distances are typical high-spin Co(II) bond lengths. The trans N(acetonitrile)–Co–N(acetonitrile) and O(water)–Co–N(pyridyl) angles (167.72(7)° and 174.32(7)°, respectively) are closer to the ideal one for a perfect octahedron (180°) than the trans N(triazine)–Co–N(pyridyl) intraligand angle (151.27(7)°), which presents a stronger distortion similar to that of 1. Calculations using SHAPE software24 lead to shape measures relative to the octahedron (OC-6) and trigonal prism (TPR-6) with the values of 2.4 and 10.6, respectively, while those relative to other reference polyhedra are significantly larger. This indicates that the Co(n) coordination polyhedron in 2 is closer to a perfect octahedron than that in 1. A possible explanation is that the two L ligands per complex in 1 impose more important geometrical restrictions than the one L ligand found in 2. SHAPE calculations show that the generalized coordinate between these two ideal polyhedra is 36.8%. However, as it presents a deviation close to 15% from the minimal distortion path between the two ideal geometries, this value has to be taken with caution.

Fig. 2 Structural view of the [CoII(L)(CH3CN)2(H2O)]2+ complex in 2.

The [CoII(L)(CH3CN)2(H2O)]2+ complexes present short contacts with the four neighbouring complexes (Fig. S5 in the ESI†). These contacts involve the triazine rings of two of them, the coordinated acetonitrile molecules and the triazine or pyridine rings. Finally, the L rings of all complexes are almost parallel but they do not present intermolecular contacts shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (shortest contacts dc4–c8 = 3.63 Å and dc5–c7 = 3.57 Å). Due to this, significant π–π stacking interactions could be excluded. The coordinated water molecule form hydrogen bonds with the two perchlorate anions. On the other hand, one of the two amino groups from triazine forms hydrogen bonds with an acetonitrile solvent
molecule and perchlorate anions. The PXRD pattern agrees with the simulated pattern for the structure at 120 K (see Fig. S6 in the ESI†).

**Structure of [CoII(L)2][MnIIICrIII(ox)3]2·(solvate) (3)**

3 crystallizes in the centrosymmetric orthorhombic space group Pnna. In contrast to [FeII(L)2]2+, which gives rise to a chiral 3D bimetallic oxalate-based network under the same synthesis conditions,20 the structure of 3 contains a 3D network involving metals of both chiralities. This network contains two crystallographically independent Mn(II) and Cr(III) ions with occupancies of 0.5. The Mn–O distances lie between 2.137(5) and 2.199(4) Å, while the Cr–O ones lie between 1.970 (4) and 1.986(4) Å. These are typical MnII–O and CrIII–O distances similar to those found in other oxalate networks. [CoII(L)2]2+ and disordered solvent molecules occupy the cavities of this network (Fig. 3). Similar achiral 3D oxalate networks have been found in the compounds of formula [FeIII(bpp)3]MnCr(ox)1.5·bpp·CH3OH that crystallizes in the centrosymmetric P212121 space group.13a [FeIII(sal2-trien)] [MnIII(ox)](CH3OH) and [InIII(sal2-trien)]MnIII[FeIII(ox)]3·0.25(H2O)·0.25(CH3OH)·0.25(CH3CN) that crystallize in the acentric Cc space group.13c [InIII(sal2-trien)][FeIII(ox)]3·(CH3NO2)·0.5(H2O)13c and [FeIII(5-CH3Osal2-trien)][MnIII(ox)]1.5d that crystallize in the acentric orthorhombic space group Pna21 or [MnIII(salen)(H2O)]2[MnIII(ox)]3·(CH3OH)(CH3CN), that crystallizes in the centrosymmetric C2/c space group (see Table 1).16 This network is formed by bis-chelating oxalate ligands connecting MnIII and CrIII ions in such a way that each MnIII is surrounded by three CrIII and vice versa, building ten-membered rings in a (10, 3) topology. The (10, 3) decagon rings perpendicular to the a axis are formed by metal centers with the same chirality (see Fig. 4) forming layers along the bc plane. In these layers, the Cr and Mn ions are not in the same plane. Two neighbouring (10, 3) decagon rings belonging to different layers are linked through two oxalate ligands and present opposite chirality (see Fig. 4).

Projection of the oxalate network on the ac plane is almost identical to that of the chiral 3D oxalate network in the ab, bc or ac plane (see Fig. S7 in the ESI†). However, projection on the bc (Fig. 3) or ab (Fig. 4) plane is different as a result of the different chiralities of the neighbouring (10, 3) decagon rings. Thus, in the bc projection an eclipsed disposition of the neighbouring oxalate rings is observed as a result of the heterochiral oxalate junctions among them (see Fig. 3).

The [CoII(L)2]2+ complexes and solvent molecules are enclosed in the channels described by this 3D oxalate network. There is half crystallographically independent [CoII(L)2]2+ complex. Co(n) presents a distorted N6 octahedral coordination to the two tridentate L ligands similar to that of 1. The Co–N bond lengths of the inner pyridine and triazine rings are 2.089(6) and 2.152(5) Å, respectively, while that of the external pyridine ring is 2.168(6) Å. These distances are very similar to those of 1 and typical of Co(n) in the high-spin state. The trans N(pyridyl)–Co–N(pyridyl) angle between the two ligands (165.2(3)°) deviates from the ideal octahedron. This deviation is more important for the trans N(triazine)–Co–N(pyridyl) intraligand angle (150.5(2)°) as in 1. Calculations performed using SHAPE software24 lead to similar results to those of 1 for shape measures with respect to a perfect octahedron and a trigonal prism with the values of 5.0 and 7.1, respectively. The insertion into the 3D oxalate-based network does not give rise to a higher distortion of the complex than that of 1 (see above).

[CoII(L)2]2+ complexes present two short contacts with the two neighbouring ones. These interactions involve a CH group from the external pyridine ring and a N atom from the triazine ring (see Fig. S8 in the ESI†). This gives rise to chains of [CoII(L)2]2+ complexes running along the a axis in the channels formed by the bimetallic oxalate-based network. Therefore, the insertion of the complex into the 3D oxalate-based network restricts the intermolecular interactions among the complexes to one dimension. Indeed, these chains of [CoII(L)2]2+ complexes present many short contacts with the surrounding oxalate-based network. Thus, there are hydrogen bonds between the NH2 groups from the triazine rings and the

---

**Fig. 3** Projection on the bc plane of the structure of 3: Co (dark blue), C (black), N (blue), O (red), Mn (pink) and Cr (green); hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.
oxygen atoms from the oxalate network. As in the oxalate-based compounds obtained with [Fe(II)L]$_2$,3 contains disordered solvent molecules in the structure. This is a consequence of the 2+ charge of these Co(II) and Fe(II) complexes used as templating cations of the oxalate-based network. Thus, when In(III) or Fe(III) complexes with the 1+ charge are inserted into similar Mn$^{III}$Cr$^{III}$ 3D achiral oxalate networks, there is a double number of inserted complexes. Due to this, in 3, as in similar compounds, there is more empty space available for solvent molecules. Due to disorder in the solvent molecules they could not be modelled. This disorder has been treated with PLATON/SQUEEZE (see the Experimental section). Indeed, the crystals of 3 lose solvent very quickly after filtering, leading to a featureless PXRD pattern (data not shown). In contrast to this, the PXRD pattern measured in contact with the mother liquor shows a good agreement with the simulated pattern for the structure at 120 K (see Fig. S9 in the ESI).

**Magnetic properties**

The thermal dependence of the product of the molar magnetic susceptibility and the temperature ($\chi T$) of 1 and 2 is shown in Fig. 5. In both cases $\chi T$ shows the value of 2.7 emu K mol$^{-1}$ at 300 K, which is higher than the spin-only value for a high-spin Co(II) (d$^7$) due to considerable orbital angular momentum contribution to the magnetic moment. Upon cooling, $\chi T$ of 1 and 2 decreases continuously with a more abrupt decrease below 50 K due to the strong zero-field splitting (ZFS) to reach the values of 1.6 for 1 and 1.7 emu K mol$^{-1}$ for 2 at 2 K. The isothermal field ($H$) dependence of the magnetization ($M$) was measured up to 5 T at temperatures of 2 and 5 K (the inset of Fig. 5). The magnetization at 5 T (2.1 and 2.3 B. M. for 1 and 2, respectively) is significantly lower than the expected saturation for a system with $S = 3/2$ and $g > 2$. In general, for six-coordinated Co(III) complexes, the orbital moment is either not quenched or partially quenched. In that case the Griffith Hamiltonian should be considered, but in the case of large positive axial orbital splitting (easy-plane) a spin Hamiltonian with $D$ and $E$ parameters can be used. Simultaneous fit of both the susceptibility and magnetization data was performed using the MAGPACK program. The best fit values are $g = 2.37$, $D = 48$ cm$^{-1}$ and $R = 2.7 \times 10^{-4}$ for 1 and $g = 2.47$, $D = 55$ cm$^{-1}$ and $R = 7.8 \times 10^{-5}$ for 2. For $E/D$ different from zero, other solutions with less quality can be found (an increase in the residual value of less than an order of magnitude). These solutions give rise to $E/D$ less than $10^{-3}$ and a small decrease in the $D$ values and an increase in the $g$ value. This correlation between the parameters is due to the mixing in the composition of the Kramers doublet functions (pure for $E = 0$ but mixture $[−3/2, 1/2]$ and $[−1/2, 3/2]$ for $E ≠ 0$). More precise spectroscopic measurements should be necessary to avoid this correlation and to determine more precisely the $E$ parameter. These parameters are similar to those found for the related compounds.

The relaxation properties of 1 and 2 were studied by susceptibility measurements performed with an alternating magnetic field (AC susceptibility). In the absence of a magnetic field, no signal in the out of phase molar susceptibility ($\chi''$) is observed. This behavior is not uncommon in mononuclear SMMs and has been attributed to tunnelling, dipolar interactions and/or hyperfine interactions. When magnetic dc fields of 0.1 T are applied for 1 and 2, strong frequency-dependent peaks in both the in phase molar susceptibility ($\chi'$) and $\chi''$ appear with clear maxima of $\chi''$ below 4 K for 1 and 7.5 K for 2 (Fig. 6). This is a clear indication that the two compounds present a field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization. The variable-frequency AC data at different temperatures of 1 and applied fields of 0.1 T show a single relaxation event with a maximum at 6000 Hz at 3.5 K (see Fig. S12 in the ESI). There is likely no quantum tunneling occurring, as the relaxation frequency moves to lower values as temperature is decreased with a maximum near 3000 Hz at 2.5 K. In the case of 2 with an applied field of 0.1 T, maxima at 8200 Hz at 8 K and 115 Hz at 2.5 K are observed. The Cole–Cole plots ($\chi''$ vs. $\chi'$) of 1 and 2 at higher temperatures confirm the presence of a single relaxation process (Fig. 7). Thus, at fixed temperatures between 2.5 and 4.5 K for 1 and 2.5 and 8.0 K for 2, the semi-circular plots were obtained and fitted using a generalized Debye model, yielding the $\alpha$ parameter in the range of 0.02–0.03 at 2.5–4.5 K.

**Fig. 5** Temperature dependence of the product of the molar magnetic susceptibility and temperature ($\chi T$) at 0.1 T for compounds 1 (a) and 2 (b). Inset: Magnetization vs. magnetic field for both compounds measured at $T = 2$ and 5 K. The blue solid lines are the best-fitting curves.
Additional relaxation mechanisms become inoperative and therefore there is a deviation from the Debye model.

The relaxation times ($\tau$) of 1 were determined from the maximum of $\chi''$ at a given frequency ($\tau = 1/(2\pi f)$ fitting to the Arrhenius expression for a thermally activated process (Orbach, $\tau = \tau_0 \exp(U_{\text{eff}}/k_B T)$) leading to $\tau_0 = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ s and $U_{\text{eff}} = 5.8$ cm$^{-1}$ at 0.1 T. The $U_{\text{eff}}$ values are smaller than the experimental energy gap between two Kramers doublets. This may be indicative of the existence of other relaxation mechanisms. In fact, the slow relaxation of magnetization for this type of Kramers ions shows a combination of a direct mechanism assisted by very effective hyperfine coupling ($I = 7/2$), spin-lattice relaxation and Raman processes.$^{21d}$ This temperature dependence of relaxation can be fitted with an expression containing two terms: a field-dependent mechanism and Raman terms ($\tau^{-1} = \tau_{\text{Raman}}^{-1} + 1/F$). The best fit for 1 is obtained with $C = 1207$ s$^{-1}$ K$^{-2.9}$, $n = 2.92$ and $\tau_{\text{Raman}}^{-1} = 3589$ s$^{-1}$ at 0.1 T; $n$ parameters are far from the expected value for the Raman term ($n = 9$), but similar values have been obtained recently for other Co$^{II}$ complexes with large positive $D$ values (see Fig. S13 in the ESI$^*$).$^{21c,22a,c,f,30–32}$ This reduction in the value of $n$ can be due to different mixtures in the eigenvector of the Kramers doublets and the consideration of other phonons.$^{33}$

In the case of 2, the higher value of $U_{\text{eff}}$ obtained from the Arrhenius expression ($\tau_0 = 2.7 \times 10^{-7}$ s and $U_{\text{eff}} = 20.5$ cm$^{-1}$ at 0.1 T) allows the addition of the other two relaxation processes (field-dependent mechanism and Raman terms) to the Orbach process. The best fit obtained indicates that only two processes have an important contribution, the Orbach and the field-dependent processes. The best fit is obtained with $\tau_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{-7}$ s, $U_{\text{eff}} = 25.8$ cm$^{-1}$ and $\tau_{\text{Raman}}^{-1} = 6060$ s$^{-1}$ with a Raman contribution that is very weak due to a significantly small $C$ parameter, $C = 0.21$ s$^{-1}$ K$^{-4.7}$ (see Fig. S13 in the ESI$^*$). The values for the pre-exponential factor and activation energy are consistent with those of the other Co$^{II}$ complexes showing this behavior.$^{34–36}$

The magnetic properties of 3 were measured in contact with the mother liquor in view of the loss of crystallinity observed in PXRD measurements after extracting the crystals from the mother liquor. The thermal dependence of $\chi T$ shows a value of 15.3 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 300 K (see Fig. 8). This value is approximately equal to the sum of the contributions from the two magnetic components: the bimetallic oxalate-based network and the isolated paramagnetic Co$^{III}$ ions (expected spin-only value of $\chi T = 12.5$ cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ for two Mn$^{II}$ and two Cr$^{III}$) plus the $\chi T$ of 1 at 300 K (2.7 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$). At lower temperatures, $\chi T$ shows a gradual increase, which is very sharp below 50 K. This indicates the presence of ferromagnetic interactions between neighboring Mn$^{II}$-Cr$^{III}$ magnetic centers leading to long-range ferromagnetic ordering at low temperatures, as observed for other 3D bimetallic oxalate networks. The $M$ vs. $H$ plot of 3 at 2 K shows a very abrupt increase at low fields, as expected for a ferromagnet, reaching a saturation value of 19.5 B. M. at 5 T (see Fig. 8). This increase is more gradual at higher fields, which is in agreement with the presence of a paramagnetic Co$^{III}$ component. This saturation

![Fig. 6](image) Temperature dependence of $\chi'$ and $\chi''$ of 1 (a) and 2 (b) in an applied dc field of 0.1 T at frequencies in the range 100 to 10 000 Hz.

![Fig. 7](image) Cole–Cole plots of 1 (left) and 2 (right) measured at the same temperatures as those of Fig. 7 under an applied dc field of 0.1 T. The solid lines represent the best fit.
value is close to the expected contributions for the ferromagnetic oxalate network (16 B. M. for two MnII and two CrIII ferromagnetically coupled) plus one paramagnetic Co(II) (2.1 B. M. for 1 at 2 K). This indicates that $M$ of 3 is a superposition of the magnetization of each of the two components, with no significant interactions between them. The hysteresis loop at 2 K shows a coercive field of ca. 3 mT (see Fig. S14 in the ESI†), which is typical of a soft ferromagnet and very close to that of other oxalate-based compounds with similar achiral 3D networks and inserted diamagnetic or paramagnetic cations.13c

To confirm the presence of long-range magnetic ordering and to obtain a more accurate determination of the Curie temperature, AC susceptibility measurements were carried out. A maximum of $\chi'$ near 4.6 K and a $\chi''$ signal that starts to appear at temperatures just below this temperature are observed (Fig. 9). From this data the Curie temperature ($T_C$) of 3 is found to be 4.6 K, a value that is similar to those reported for other MnII-CrIII 3D networks (see Table 1).

These results indicate that the magnetic behavior at low temperatures is dominated by the ferromagnetic bimetallic oxalate network. This is an expected result if one takes into account that the main contribution to $\chi'$ and $\chi''$ arises from the strong response of the ferromagnetic fraction. To confirm this, we studied the magnetic field dependence of $\chi'$ and $\chi''$ (see Fig. S15 in the ESI†). Even relatively weak dc magnetic fields $H = 100$ G suppress the $\chi'$ divergence, as expected for a ferromagnetic phase transition. Notice that $\chi''$ tends to vanish in the presence of a magnetic field, showing that magnetic relaxation processes become also considerably faster. In addition to this, the magnetic measurements of the published compounds [In(sal2-trien)][MnII-CrIII(ox)]·solv and [MnIII(salen)(H2O)]2[MnIII-CrIII(ox)]3·solv (solv = solvents) with similar 3D ferromagnetic networks and diamagnetic or SMM cations, respectively, have been used as the reference. As shown in Fig. S16 in the ESI† clear frequency dependence of $\chi'$ and $\chi''$ and the presence of additional maxima in $\chi''$ are observed in the compound containing [MnIII(salen)(H2O)]2+ SMM and not in that containing diamagnetic [In(sal2-trien)]+. As the ac magnetic properties of 3 are more similar to those of [In(sal2-trien)][MnIII-CrIII(ox)]3·solv, we conclude that the effect of the inserted [CoIII(L)2]2+ complexes on the magnetic properties of 3 is negligible.

Finally, the magnetic properties of the filtered crystals of 3 change drastically with respect to those measured in contact with the mother liquor (see Fig. S17 to S19 and related discussion in the ESI†), which is probably due to the structural changes in the bimetallic oxalate network arising from the loss of solvent and the collapse of the structure.

**EPR spectroscopy**

X-band EPR spectra of the polycrystalline samples of 1 and 3 in contact with the mother liquor were measured to study the magnetic interactions between the two magnetic subnetworks in 3.

In the case of 1, the spectra below 20 K are similar to those of the other octahedral Co(II) complexes reported in the literature presenting a rhombic symmetry and large positive $D$ values ($g_{eff} = [5.62, 4.11, 2.15]$ at 4 K. See Fig. S20a in the ESI†).21d,30,37,38 A similar behavior is shown by the EPR spectrum of 2 ($g_{eff} = [6.17, 3.34, 2.04]$ at 4 K. See Fig. S20b in the ESI†).

The EPR spectrum of 3 at room temperature shows a single line centered at $g = 2.00$ with a peak-to-peak width $\Delta H_{pp} = 500$ G.

---

**Fig. 8** Temperature dependence of the product of the molar magnetic susceptibility and temperature ($\chi T$) at 0.1 T for compound 3. Inset: Magnetization vs. magnetic field for 3 measured at $T = 2$ K. The continuous line is a guide to the eye.

**Fig. 9** Temperature dependence of $\chi'$ (top) and $\chi''$ (bottom) of 3 at frequencies in the range 1 (blue) to 997 Hz (red).
Upon lowering the temperature, the intensity of the signal increases. Below $T_C$ (see the spectrum at 4 K in Fig. S21 in the ESI†), the signal splits into two components centered at $g = 1.69$ and $2.54$. These features coincide with that previously reported for the 2D $\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}$ oxalate-based compounds $[\text{Fe}^\text{III}\text{Cp}^*]_{2}$ $[\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]$ ($\text{Cp}^* = \text{pentamethylcyclopentadienyl}$) and $p$-$\text{rad}$ $[\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ($p$-$\text{rad} = \text{N-methylpyridinium cation bearing a nitronyl nitroxide moiety at position 4 of the pyridine ring}$), which also show splitting of the signal into two components below $T_C$. The characteristic intrinsic signal of the inserted $\text{Co}(i)$ complex is not observed. Comparing the EPR spectrum of the cobalt monomer 1 with that of compound 3, both normalized to one mole of each compound, we can see that the monomer signal is not observed when it is intercalated in the oxalate network (see Fig. S22 in the ESI† and the Experimental section for details). This suggests the presence of a weak coupling between both sublattices, as observed in the 2D compound $p$-$\text{rad}[\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and in contrast to $[\text{Fe}^\text{III}\text{Cp}^*]$ $[\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]$ which shows the intrinsic signals of the two sublattices.

**Discussion**

The magnetic properties of 3 are very similar to those of other compounds with the same type of 3D network and diamagnetic counterion, with the contribution of the inserted $\text{Co}(i)$ complex being almost negligible. This could be explained by the presence of extremely weak magnetic interactions, which are not strong enough to induce the coupling of the magnetic moments of the counterion and bimetallic oxalate network observed in the compound $[\text{Mn}^\text{III}(\text{salen})]_2[\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]_2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ with a similar achiral 3D network and a $\text{Mn}(ii)$ SMM dimer counterion. However, these magnetic interactions are strong enough to modify the EPR spectra, which is not the sum of the contributions from the oxalate network and the inserted cation as observed in the 2D compound $[\text{Fe}^\text{III}\text{Cp}^*][\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]$. Therefore, 3 shows an intermediate behavior between those two compounds, in which the magnetic exchange interactions between the moments of the two subnetworks do not modify drastically the magnetic properties of the oxalate network as in $[\text{Mn}^\text{III}(\text{salen})]_2[\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]_2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, but they lead to a unique EPR signal in contrast to $[\text{Fe}^\text{III}\text{Cp}^*][\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]$. The stronger magnetic interactions found in $[\text{Mn}^\text{III}(\text{salen})]_2[\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]_2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ with respect to those of 3 could be explained by the intermolecular interactions between the two subnetworks in the structures of both compounds. Indeed, the intermolecular contacts between the atoms from the oxalate network and those of the counterion are closer to the magnetic center in $[\text{Mn}^\text{III}(\text{salen})]_2[\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]_2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ than in 3. Thus, $[\text{Mn}^\text{III}(\text{salen})]_2[\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]_2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ presents a hydrogen bond between O from the oxalate ligands and a NH$_2$ group from the L ligand, which is not directly coordinated to the magnetic center of the counterion as in the case of $[\text{Mn}^\text{III}(\text{salen})]_2[\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]_2\text{H}_2\text{O}$. This suggests a better magnetic isolation of the magnetic cation in the case of 3, which is in agreement with the magnetic properties of both compounds.

**Conclusions**

In this work, we have prepared two $\text{Co}(ii)$ complexes of the tridentate 6-diaminotriazyl-2,2-bipyridine (L) ligand. The magnetic and structural characterization shows that $[\text{Co}^\text{II}(L)_2]^{2+}$ in 1 and $[\text{Co}^\text{II}(L)(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2+}$ in 2 exhibit a field-induced slow relaxation of the magnetization due to the magnetic anisotropy of high-spin $\text{Co}(ii)$, as observed for other octahedral $\text{Co}(ii)$ complexes with similar tridentate ligands. This result demonstrates that the $\text{Co}(ii)$ complexes of this ligand may behave as SIMs. The main advantage of these complexes is that they open the way to study the interplay between the ferromagnetic ordering and SIM behavior in oxalate-based compounds containing $[\text{Co}^\text{II}(L)_2]^{2+}$ templating cations in an analogous way to that reported for the $\text{Fe}(ii)$ complexes with the same ligand. Interestingly, the structures of the oxalate-based compounds obtained with this cation change drastically with respect to those obtained with $[\text{Fe}^\text{II}(L)_2]^{2+}$. Thus, a 3D achiral oxalate-based network is obtained with $[\text{Co}^\text{II}(L)_2]^{2+}$ in compound 3, in contrast to the compounds obtained with $[\text{Fe}^\text{II}(L)_2]^{2+}$, which present regular 2D or chiral 3D oxalate-based networks. This demonstrates that subtle changes in the geometry of the templating cation can lead to a different structure of the oxalate-based network.

The magnetic properties of 3 are dominated by the ferromagnetic ordering of the oxalate-based network. This indicates that the magnetic isolation provided by the L ligand prevents strong magnetic interactions between the two subnetworks. However, EPR spectra suggest the presence of weak magnetic exchange interactions. Magnetic measurements at lower temperatures are needed to verify if these weak magnetic interactions could cause an increase in the coercive field of 3 with respect to similar networks containing cations with less magnetic anisotropy as observed in $[\text{Mn}^\text{III}(\text{salen})]_2[\text{Mn}^\text{III}\text{Cr}^\text{III}(\text{ox})_3]_2\text{H}_2\text{O}$.

**Experimental**

**Synthesis**

L was synthesized as reported in the literature.$^{190}$ $\text{Ag}_3[\text{Cr}(\text{ox})_3]$ was prepared by metathesis from the corresponding potassium salt.$^{39}$ $[\text{Co}^\text{II}(L)_2][\text{ClO}_4]_2$·0.5$\text{MeCN}$·$\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ (1)

L (70.0 mg, 0.264 mmol) was suspended in $\text{MeCN}$ (40 mL). This was followed by the addition of $\text{Co(ClO}_4)_2$·$\text{xH}_2\text{O}$ (35.0 mg, 0.096 mmol). After stirring for 15 minutes the reaction mixture was saturated with a few drops of $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$. This was followed by
vapour–liquid diffusion of diethyl ether. After three days
orange crystals were obtained and they were filtered (56 mg,
74%). Anal. Calcd for [CoII(L)2](ClO4)2·10H2O: C, 37.88; H, 3.18;
N, 21.09%. Found: C, 34.55; H, 3.27; N, 21.18%.

[CoII(L)2](CH3CN)2(H2O)2(ClO4)2·MeCN (2)

L (70.0 mg, 0.264 mmol) was suspended in MeCN (40 mL).
This was followed by the addition of Co(ClO4)2·6H2O (96.0 mg,
0.264 mmol). After stirring for 15 minutes the reaction mixture
was saturated with a few drops of Et2O. This was followed by
vapour–liquid diffusion of diethyl ether. After three days
orange crystals were obtained and they were filtered (86 mg,
42%). Anal. Calcd for [CoII(L)(CH3CN)2(H2O)]2(ClO4)2·MeCN: C,
34.35; H, 3.34; N, 21.09%. Found: C, 34.55; H, 3.27; N, 21.18%.

[CoII(L)2]MnIIICrIII(ox)3L2·(solvate) (3)

Crystals of this compound were obtained by slow diffusion of two
solutions. The first solution was prepared by adding MnCl2·4H2O
(22 mg, 0.11 mmol) to a suspension of Ag3Cr(ox)3 (44.6 mg,
0.07 mmol) in 7 mL of methanol. The AgCl precipitate was filtered
off. The second solution was obtained by dissolving 1 (16 mg,
0.02 mmol) in 4 mL of nitromethane. After three days purple crystals
of 3 were obtained. If the diffusion process was continued for
longer times (two weeks), the crystals of the 2D compound
[CoII(L)2]MnIIICrIII(ox)3L2·(solvate) (4) appeared together with those
of 3. Anal. Calcd for [CoII(L)2]MnIIICrIII(ox)3L2·10H2O: C, 30.19; H,
2.80; N, 12.97%. Found: C, 30.72; H, 3.01; N, 12.57%.

Structural characterization

Single crystals of all compounds were mounted on glass fibers
using a viscous hydrocarbon oil to coat the crystal and then
transferred directly to a cold nitrogen stream for data collec-
tion. The reflection data of 1, 2, 3 and 4 were collected at 120 K
using a Supernova diffractometer equipped with a graphite-
monochromated Enhance (Mo) X-ray source (λ = 0.7107 Å). The
CrysAlisPro, Oxford Diffraction Ltd, program was used for unit
cell determination and data reduction. The empirical absorp-
tion correction was performed using spherical harmonics,
implemented in the SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm. The
structures were solved with the ShelXT40 structure solution
and refined with the SHELXL-2013 program,41 using Olex2.42
Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and hydrogen
atoms were placed in the calculated positions refined
using idealized geometries (riding model) and assigned fixed
isotropic displacement parameters. One molecule of diethyl
ether in 1 was found to be disordered over several positions
and could not be modelled satisfactorily. It was removed from
the electron density map using the OLEX solvent mask
command. The subroutine SQUEEZE from PLATON has been
used to calculate and remove the diffracting component of dis-
ordered solvents in 3 and 4.25 This results for 3 in a total
potential solvent accessible void volume per unit cell of
2790 Å3 (40.2% of the total unit cell volume). This volume
is distributed in one void in 0.091 0.015 0.409, which is occupied
by 1190 electrons. This roughly corresponds to 25 MeNO3
and 35 MeOH molecules per unit cell. In 4, the total potential
solvent accessible void volume per unit cell is 3495 Å3 (32.5% 
of the total unit cell volume) in a void of 3349 Å3 in –0.121
0.624 0.079 and other smaller voids. The largest void is occu-
pied by 1142 electrons, which roughly correspond to 30
MeNO3 and 10 MeOH molecules per unit cell. Due to this dis-
order and the small size of the crystals, the overall quality of
the data of 3 and 4 is poor and this result is high Rf factors
(0.0846, and 0.1444, respectively) with scan widths of 1 degree

Table 2 Crystallographic data for 1, 2 and 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>Co2H23.5Cl2CoN14.5O8</td>
<td>CoH4Co2Cl2N20O18</td>
<td>CoH4Co2Cl2N15O13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>808.93</td>
<td>1328.59</td>
<td>1504.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal colour</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Violet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (Å)</td>
<td>15.7252(2) 12.5420(4) 22.9545(9)</td>
<td>10.0029(4) 11.8949(4) 120(2)</td>
<td>10.0029(4) 11.8949(4) 120(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic, 8</td>
<td>Triclinic, 2</td>
<td>Orthorhombic, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P21/c</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>14.8849(2)</td>
<td>10.0029(4)</td>
<td>19.4566(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>33.6403(5)</td>
<td>11.8949(4)</td>
<td>15.5539(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>15.7252(2)</td>
<td>12.5420(4)</td>
<td>22.9545(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>65.515(3)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>82.037(3)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>78.397(3)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å3)</td>
<td>7779.42(19)</td>
<td>13277.9(9)</td>
<td>6946.5(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ(MoKα) (mm–1)</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>1.381</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflns collected</td>
<td>64 541</td>
<td>120(2)</td>
<td>120(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflns</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>14974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR2(F2)</td>
<td>0.0542</td>
<td>0.0475</td>
<td>0.0846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(F2)</td>
<td>1.0455</td>
<td>1.028</td>
<td>0.2988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. S. parameters 1046 417 368

ρcalc (Mg m–3) 1.381 1.662 1.439
| r | 0.0542 | 0.0375 | 0.0846 |
| wR2(F2) | 0.0542 | 0.0375 | 0.0846 |
| S(F2) | 1.0455 | 1.028 | 0.2988 |

a R1(F) = Σ||Fo|−|Fc||/Σ|Fo|, b wR2(F2) = Σ[w(Fo2−Fc2)]/ΣwFo2, c S(F2) = Σ[w(Fo2−Fc2)]/Σ(a−p)².
and exposure times of 17.5 s for 3 and 5 s for 4. CCDC-2063853–56† contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.

The collected data and refinement statistics are reported in Tables 2 and S1 in the ESL.† A 1 mm glass capillary was filled with the polycrystalline samples of 1, 2 and 3 in the mother liquor and mounted and aligned on an Empyrean PANalytical powder diffractometer, using CuKα radiation (λ = 1.54177 Å). A total of 3 scans were performed at room temperature in the 2θ range of 5–40°.

Physical measurements

Magnetic measurements were performed with Quantum Design MPMS-XL-5 SQUID and PPMS-9 magnetometers on powdered polycrystalline samples in contact with the mother liquor in the case of 2 and 3. EPR measurements of 1 and 3 were recorded using a Bruker ELEXYS E580 spectrometer equipped with an EDAX DX-4 microsonde. Elemental analyses of (C, H, and N) were performed with a CE Instruments EA 1110 CHNS elemental analyzer.
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