Catalysis Science & Technology

PAPER



Cite this: Catal. Sci. Technol., 2021, 11, 5518

Received 22nd May 2021, Accepted 19th July 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1cy00913c

rsc.li/catalysis

Steering the methanol steam reforming reactivity of intermetallic Cu–In compounds by redox activation: stability vs. formation of an intermetallic compound-oxide interface[†]

Kevin Ploner,^a Andrew Doran, ^b Martin Kunz,^b Albert Gili, ^{cd} Aleksander Gurlo,^c Nicolas Köwitsch, ^e Marc Armbrüster,^e Johannes Bernardi,^f Maximilian Watschinger^a and Simon Penner ^b*^a

To compare the inherent methanol steam reforming properties of intermetallic compounds and a corresponding intermetallic compound-oxide interface, we selected the Cu-In system as a model to correlate the stability limits, self-activation and redox activation properties with the catalytic performance. Three distinct intermetallic Cu-In compounds - Cu₂In₃, Cu₂In and Cu₁₁In₉ - were studied both in an untreated and redox-activated state resulting from alternating oxidation-reduction cycles. The stability of all studied intermetallic compounds during methanol steam reforming (MSR) operation is essentially independent of the initial stoichiometry and all accordingly resist substantial structural changes. The inherent activity under batch MSR conditions is highest for Cu₂In, corroborating the results of a Cu₂In/In₂O₃ sample accessed through reactive metal-support interaction. Under flow MSR operation, Cu₇In₃ displays considerable deactivation, while Cu₂In and Cu₁₁In₉ feature stable performance at simultaneously high CO₂ selectivity. The missing significant self-activation is most evident in the operando thermogravimetric experiments, where no oxidation is detected for any of the intermetallic compounds. In situ X-ray diffraction allowed us to monitor the partial decomposition and redox activation of the Cu-In intermetallic compounds into Cu0.9In0.1/In₂O₃ (from Cu₇In₃), Cu₇In₃/In₂O₃ (from Cu₂In) and Cu₇In₃/Cu0.9In0.1/In₂O₃ (from Cu₁₁In₉) interfaces with superior MSR performance compared to the untreated samples. Although the catalytic profiles appear surprisingly similar, the latter interface with the highest indium content exhibits the least deactivation, which we explain by formation of stabilizing In₂O₃ patches under MSR conditions.

1. Introduction

Methanol represents a promising candidate for the reversible storage and release of hydrogen, which is itself a suitable

 ^a Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Innsbruck, Innrain 52c, A-6020
 Innsbruck, Austria. E-mail: simon.penner@uibk.ac.at; Tel: +4351250758003
 ^b Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA carrier of renewable energy. It is liquid at ambient conditions and, thus, easier to implement into the existing fuel infrastructure.^{1,2} For the efficient and fast on-demand release of hydrogen, which can be utilized in a proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) in automotive applications, methanol steam reforming is the most desirable reforming reaction with the highest H₂ yield.^{1,3,4} For the application of on-board MSR and the direct use of the reformate in a PEMFC, several criteria have to be satisfied.¹ The catalysts have to be optimized with respect to activity, long-term stability and selectivity toward MSR (eqn (1)):^{3,5}

$$CH_3OH_{(g)} + H_2O_{(g)} \rightleftharpoons 3H_{2,(g)} + CO_{2,(g)}$$

 $\Delta H_r^0 = 49.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (1)

Competing side reactions lead to the formation of electrode-poisoning CO, where only a concentration in the low ppm regime is considered to be tolerable in most fuel

C ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

View Article Online

^c Chair of Advanced Ceramic Materials, Institut für Werkstoffwissenschaften und – Technologien, Technical University Berlin, Hardenbergstr. 40, D-10623 Berlin, Germany

^d Institute of Chemistry, Technical University Berlin, Sekretariat TC 8, Straße des 17. Juni 124, D-10623 Berlin, Germany

^e Institute of Chemistry, Materials for Innovative Energy Concepts, Technical University Chemnitz, Straße der Nationen 62, D-09111 Chemnitz, Germany

^f University Service Centre for Transmission Electron Microscopy, TU Wien, Wiedner Hauptstr. 8-10, A-1040 Vienna, Austria

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ d1cy00913c

cells.^{6,7} Therefore, CO-forming reactions like methanol dehydrogenation (eqn (2)) or the reverse water–gas shift reaction (eqn (3)) have to be avoided.^{3,5}

$$CH_{3}OH_{(g)} \approx 2H_{2,(g)} + CO_{(g)}$$

 $\Delta H_{r}^{0} = 90.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (2)

$$CO_{2,(g)} + H_{2,(g)} \rightleftharpoons H_2O_{(g)} + CO_{(g)}$$
$$\Delta H_r^{\ 0} = 41.1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$
(3)

A Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalyst that is mainly utilized for the reverse reaction, *i.e.*, methanol synthesis, is currently the only commercially available system for MSR applications.⁸ It provides a comparably high activity, but suffers from deactivation by progressive metallic Cu particle sintering and produces a too high level of CO for direct use of the reformate in a PEMFC without an additional cleaning step.^{3,8} Hence, alternative materials must be explored to provide a catalyst fulfilling all requirements.

Intermetallic compounds (IMCs) have recently gained increasing attention in MSR, especially systems based on Pd.⁹⁻¹⁴ High emphasis has been given to the increasing dynamics of the intermetallic compound structure during catalytic operation, eventually leading to their partial or full decomposition and the formation of an IMC-oxide or metaloxide interface.^{15,16} The formation of the intermetallic compound (or metal)-oxide interface can be triggered via two main routes.¹⁵ (i) Deliberate preparation of the interface by reactive metal-support interaction, i.e., a catalytic pretreatment usually involving reduction treatments of a conventional metal-oxide system in hydrogen. Many specific IMC-oxide systems, e.g. ZnPt/ZnO,¹⁷ ZnPd/ZnO,¹⁶ PdGa/ Ga₂O₃,^{18,19} PdIn/In₂O₃,²⁰ or PtIn/In₂O₃,¹⁷ can be accessed through this preparation routine.²¹ (ii) In situ self-activation of an IMC precursor in the reaction mixture.²²⁻²⁵ In some cases, the decomposition can also be triggered by targeted pre-treatments.¹⁵ As an illustrative example, showing the potential of this approach, we recently reported selfactivation of a bimetallic Cu₅₁Zr₁₄/Cu precursor during MSR operation.^{22,23} The resulting active state, composed of metallic Cu nanoparticles embedded in an oxidized tetragonal ZrO₂ matrix, displayed high activity and CO₂ selectivity in MSR.22,23

The specific Cu–In system has already been in the focus of research due to the combination of Cu as a methanol activator with an element whose oxide (resulting from potential decomposition) also exhibits a promising methanol steam reforming performance. In₂O₃ was studied regarding its catalytic properties in methanol synthesis by CO₂ hydrogenation, as well as MSR, exhibiting a promising performance in both reactions.^{4,26,27} Cu is well known to be active in MSR and is a constituent in many high-performance MSR catalysts.^{3,8,22} Hydrogen reduction of a CuO/In₂O₃ precursor at different temperatures allowed us to access two distinct states, *i.e.*, metallic Cu on In₂O₃ and Cu₂In on In₂O₃.

specific Cu_2In/In_2O_3 interface, which exhibited an enhanced activity at a similar CO_2 selectivity, compared to Cu/In_2O_3 .²⁸

The present study aims at elucidating the specific stability limits of several selected intermetallic Cu–In compounds of different stoichiometry during methanol steam reforming operation and assessing the respective self-activation capability *vs.* redox activation by selected oxidation and reduction treatments. This will allow assessing the intrinsic activity of the respective IMCs and directly relating it to the MSR performance of the potentially resulting metallic Cu (or intermetallic Cu–In compound)–oxide interface.

To accomplish this task, three exemplary intermetallic Cu–In compounds, Cu₇In₃, Cu₂In and Cu₁₁In₉, were synthesized as bulk materials by high-temperature synthesis and their MSR performance in the as-synthesized state was assessed. Subsequently, we conducted targeted oxidation and reduction pre-treatments prior to catalytic operation to assess the reactivity differences. Monitoring of the structural changes with respect to bulk stability of the IMCs, surface chemistry and segregation behavior was based on *in situ* X-ray diffraction (XRD), *operando* thermogravimetric analysis, quasi *in situ* X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and electron microscopy combined with activity/selectivity and long-term stability measurements in methanol steam reforming.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis of the intermetallic compounds

We prepared the IMCs by melting metallic Cu (ChemPUR, 99.999%) and In (ChemPUR, 99.999%). The educts were weighed and mixed in an Ar-filled glovebox according to the target stoichiometry and subsequently transferred to quartz-glass ampules. Evacuation to a final pressure below 10^{-5} mbar and sealing using a hydrogen/oxygen flame followed. On the basis of the Cu–In phase diagram,^{29,30} the Cu₇In₃ sample was annealed at 600 °C for 30 days and Cu₂In, as well as Cu₁₁In₉, at 270 °C for 90 days. The resulting reguli were crushed to obtain samples for catalytic testing and the associated analyses.

2.2. Catalytic testing

2.2.1. Recirculating batch reactor. This setup for characterization of the catalyst performance is specialized for small sample amounts (\approx 10–100 mg) and the detection of trace by-products due to its small reactor volume (\approx 13.8 ml). Continuous quantification of the gas phase composition is ensured by a quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS, Balzers QMG 311) connected to the reactor *via* a capillary leak. The entire sample compartment consists of quartz glass and can be heated with a Linn High Term furnace up to 1100 °C. The temperature is monitored with a K-type thermocouple (NiCr-Ni) placed close to the catalyst.

The supply of the MSR mixture is achieved by a liquid solution of methanol and water prepared in a ratio to obtain an equilibrium gas phase composition of methanol:water =

1:2 at room temperature. Gas and liquid phase were purified by three consecutive freeze-pump-thaw cycles before the mixture was expanded into the pre-evacuated reactor. Each MSR reaction (termed "MSR400" with 400 °C denoting the final isothermal temperature) was conducted by introducing the mixture (\approx 28 mbar) into the reactor at 100 °C (to avoid condensation), followed by the addition of Ar (\approx 6 mbar). Ar is used for intensity correction of all mass signals with respect to thermal expansion and the steady gas withdrawal through the capillary to the mass spectrometer. To enhance the recirculation efficiency, as well as the heat transfer between the gas phase and the catalyst, the reactor is finally backfilled with He to 1 bar total pressure.

After baseline and Ar intensity correction, external calibration of the relevant gases, including the relative fragmentation patterns (*e.g.*, for the m/z = 28 fragment of CO₂), enables the calculation of reactant partial pressures. Differentiation of the partial pressures with respect to time yields formation rates in mbar min⁻¹. Application of the ideal gas law and normalization to the copper mass gives the specific activities in µmol g_{Cu}^{-1} s⁻¹ to ensure direct literature comparability.³ Normalization to the accessible number of Cu surface sites, which would yield turnover frequencies (assuming that Cu is the active site) could not be performed, since established methods for the determination of the specific Cu surface area, like dissociative N₂O adsorption, are not feasible for intermetallic Cu–In compounds.

The integral CO₂ selectivity was calculated by dividing the partial pressure of CO₂ by the sum of the partial pressures of CO₂, CO and CH₄. Values larger than 1, caused by slight variation of the baseline at small values of the sum (leading to huge spikes in the integral CO₂ selectivity) were set to 1. The methanol conversion is expressed relative to the m/z = 31 intensity at the start of the temperature program.

The apparent activation energy (E_a) of CO₂ formation was determined by plotting the specific activity in µmol $g_{Cu}^{-1} s^{-1} vs$. the temperature in K. The corresponding profile is fitted with the Arrhenius function below conversions of 10%. As the simultaneous independent fits of *A* and E_a yielded values ranging from $1.1 \times 10^7 \mu mol g_{Cu}^{-1} s^{-1}$ to $2.1 \times 10^8 \mu mol g_{Cu}^{-1} s^{-1}$ for the pre-exponential factor, *A* was fixed at $10^8 \mu mol g_{Cu}^{-1} s^{-1}$ for enhanced relative comparability of the obtained values for the apparent activation energy.

2.2.2. Continuous flow reactor. A fixed-bed reactor (PID Eng&Tech, Microactivity Reference) was employed for continuous flow experiments. It is connected to a MicroGC (Varian CP 4900, equipped with a 10 m back-flushed M5A column, a 20 m back-flushed M5A column and a 10 m PPU Agilent Technologies) column, for simultaneous quantification of H2, CO, CO2 and CH4. The catalysts were diluted with graphite (ChemPUR, <100 µm, 99.9%) to ensure a homogeneous gas flow through the sample and placed on top of a quartz-glass fleece in the reactor tube (stainless steel coated with silicon oxide, inner diameter 7.9 mm). For the MSR experiments, a 1:1 molar H₂O/MeOH mixture (0.01 ml min⁻¹ DI-H₂O₍₁₎, 0.0225 ml min⁻¹ MeOH₍₁₎, Fisher Scientific, HPLC grade) was loaded onto a 10% He in N₂ carrier gas (45 ml min⁻¹, Air Liquide, 99.999%) by quantitative evaporation. The unreacted vapors were removed from the gas phase by condensation in a cooling trap and the gas stream was dried with a Nafion® membrane with a counter flow of 100 ml min⁻¹ N₂. The gas phase composition was analyzed by online gas chromatography, yielding the specific activity toward H₂, CO, CO₂ and CH₄. The CO₂ selectivity was obtained by division of the specific activities of CO, CO₂ and CH₄.

2.3. X-ray diffraction (XRD)

2.3.1. *Ex situ* powder XRD. A Stadi P diffractometer (STOE & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) in transmission geometry was employed for *ex situ* powder XRD experiments. It is equipped with a MYTHEN2 DCS6 detector system (DECTRIS Ltd., Switzerland) and a Mo X-ray tube (GE Sensing & Inspection Technologies GmbH, Ahrensburg, Germany) operated at 50 kV and 40 mA. A curved Ge(111) monochromator crystal selects the Mo K_{a1} radiation with a wavelength of 0.7093 Å. Data evaluation was performed with the WinX^{POW} software³¹ and phase analysis was based on reference diffractograms either retrieved from the ICDD database³² or calculated using the software *VESTA* 3 (ref. 33) and the corresponding cif-files.

2.3.2. In situ powder XRD. The evolution of the sample bulk structure under oxidizing and reducing conditions was studied with synchrotron-based in situ powder XRD at beamline 12.2.2 of the Advanced Light Source (ALS) at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL). The employed setup has been described in detail elsewhere.34,35 The diffraction patterns were recorded in transmission mode with a DECTRIS PILATUS3 detector (collecting one pattern per 30 s) and utilizing a monochromatic beam with a spot size of $\approx 20 \ \mu m$ and an energy of 25 keV. The Dioptas software³⁶ was used for radial integration of the 2D detector images, yielding diffraction patterns, as well as calibration of the exact wavelength (0.4905 Å), the sample-to-detector distance (345 mm) and the detector tilt by measuring and analyzing the LaB₆ NIST standard reference material (SRM) 660b.

The crushed sample (≈ 1 mg) was placed at the bottom of a quartz-glass capillary (700 µm in diameter) that was inserted into a SiC sleeve, which was heated with two infrared lights. The required gases were supplied by Alicat mass flow controllers and directed to the heated samples through another capillary (for details see ref. 34). The oxidative treatments were conducted with a gas mixture of 2 ml min⁻¹ O₂ and 8 ml min⁻¹ He and applying a heating ramp from 25–800 °C with 10 °C min⁻¹, an isothermal period of 30 min and cooling to 25 °C with 20 °C min⁻¹. Reduction was accomplished in 4 ml min⁻¹ pure H₂ and a temperature program with heating from 25–500 °C with 10 °C min⁻¹, an isothermal period of 30 min and cooling to 25 °C with 20 °C min⁻¹ was executed.

2.4. Operando thermogravimetric analysis (operando TGA)

TGA/MS characterizations under MSR conditions were performed with a Netzsch STA 449F3 Jupiter in Al_2O_3 crucibles using a defined amount of ground sample. Each measurement was corrected by a reference experiment with an identical temperature program in the same gas atmosphere with empty Al_2O_3 crucibles. A mass spectrometer (Pfeiffer, Omnistar GSD 301 O3) was employed for monitoring of selected mass/charge signals characteristic for MSR. At the start of the *operando* TG experiments, the samples were heated in 40 ml min⁻¹ He flow to 150 °C with a rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ and kept at that temperature for 15 min. Then, 0.39 g h⁻¹ of liquid methanol/water mixture (50 mol% methanol (Fisher Scientific, HPLC grade) and 50 mol% deionized water), which was continuously evaporated at 200 °C, was loaded onto the He stream. Successively, the temperature was increased to 600 °C in this atmosphere with a rate of 5 °C min⁻¹, followed by an isothermal period of 60 min and cooling to 300 °C with 5 °C min⁻¹. At 300 °C the flow of the MSR mixture was stopped and cooling to room temperature was continued.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Sample synthesis and characterization of $\rm Cu_7In_3,\,Cu_2In$ and $\rm Cu_{11}In_9$

Based on a previous study regarding the reactive metalsupport interaction of Cu and In_2O_3 , where the Cu₂In–oxide interface particularly stood out in MSR performance,²⁸ three intermetallic compounds, Cu₂In and two adjacent to this stoichiometry, were selected in this work. Two versions of the Cu–In phase diagram, one provided by Subramanian *et al.*²⁹ and the other by Bahari *et al.*,³⁰ are depicted in Fig. 1. The



Fig. 1 Phase diagram of Cu and In adapted from Subramanian *et al.*²⁹ at the top and the version adapted from Bahari *et al.*³⁰ at the bottom including the synthesized compounds of this study highlighted in green. The crystal structures of $Cu_7 In_3$, $Cu_2 In$ and $Cu_{11} In_9$ are illustrated on the right.^{39–41}

are two main differences between the two versions of the Cu-In phase diagram. The first concerns the room temperature stability of Cu₂In and Cu₁₁In₉, which is proposed by Bahari et al.³⁰ and supported by data from Bolcavage et al.³⁷ The second difference is the existence of several phases in the region of Cu₂In according to Subramanian et al.²⁹ and Jain et al.,³⁸ who proposed five phases in this region, as opposed to the two phases given by Bahari et al.³⁰ and Bolcavage et al.37 The IMCs synthesized in this study are marked in green in both versions of the phase diagram and are listed in Table 1. Cu_7In_3 (denoted as δ phase in Fig. 1) exhibits a triclinic crystal structure (space group $P\bar{1}$) and a homogeneity range of 2 at%.³⁹ The latter is also true for Cu_2In (η phase in Fig. 1), a high-temperature phase according to Subramanian et al.,²⁹ but stable at room temperature according to Bahari et al.,³⁰ with a hexagonal structure (space group $P6_3/mmc$).⁴⁰ Several other compounds at this composition have been proposed by Subramanian et al.29 and Jain et al.38 around this composition, indicated by the dashed lines in Fig. 1 in the phase diagram at the top. A more In-rich compound is monoclinic Cu₁₁In₉ (ψ phase in Fig. 1, space group C2/m),⁴¹ which exhibits no homogeneity range according to both versions of the phase diagram.

The unambiguous identification of the phase composition of intermetallic Cu-In compounds and their decomposition products is a generally highly complex task due to two reasons. Firstly, the most intense reflections of the three investigated phases as well as other intermetallic compounds - e.g. Cu_{1.535}In,⁴² Cu_{1.568}In,⁴² Cu_{1.81}In,⁴³ Cu₁₀In₇,⁴⁴ Cu₄In (ref. 40) and Cu₉In₄ (ref. 40) - are located between 18.5° and 19.5° 2θ (λ = 0.7093 Å), including the alloy Cu0.9In0.1.⁴⁵ The latter exhibits the same space group as metallic Cu and the incorporation of indium leads to lattice expansion, shifting the most intense reflection to $2\theta \approx 19.1^{\circ}$ ($\lambda = 0.7093$ Å). This renders the assignment of potential trace phases difficult. Secondly, the Cu-In phase diagram provided by Subramanian et al.,²⁹ supported by a study of Jain et al.,³⁸ suggests the existence of several yet uncharacterized phases at a similar composition as Cu₂In, introducing additional complexity and uncertainty to any phase assignment. For these reasons, acronyms for the respective stoichiometries of the samples were defined (see Table 1).

3.2. MSR performance and structural evolution of the untreated intermetallic compounds

Fig. 2 depicts the MSR performance of the untreated crushed catalysts. As a general result, the low specific surface area of

Table 1	List of prepared intermetallic Cu-In compounds							
Phase	Nominal	Sample	Copper	Copper				
	stoichiometry	acronym	content/at%	content/wt%				
δ	Cu ₇ In ₃	CuIn70	70.00	56.36				
η	Cu ₂ In	CuIn67	66.67	52.54				
Ψ	Cu ₁₁ In ₉	CuIn55	55.00	40.35				

the crushed IMCs translates into a correspondingly low specific mass activity of all samples, especially compared to conventional powder catalysts. Based on the sieve fraction of 20–32 μ m employed in the MSR flow measurements, the specific surface area can be estimated to lie between 0.020 m² g⁻¹ and 0.035 m² g⁻¹, assuming spherical particles. While the onset temperatures of H₂ and CO₂ formation (both \approx 320 °C) are almost independent of the Cu–In stoichiometry, the intermediate composition, CuIn67, exhibits the best MSR performance in terms of specific activity, integral CO₂ selectivity and methanol conversion. Due to the generally low activity, the determination of the CO₂ selectivity is very sensitive to baseline fluctuations and the specific activity toward CO is close to the detection limit (especially for CuIn55).

The ex situ collected XRD patterns of the untreated intermetallic compounds, as well as their structural state after MSR, are shown in Fig. 3. CuIn70 is present as singlephase Cu₇In₃ after synthesis. After MSR, Cu₇In₃ is remarkably stable, as we detect no significant decomposition products such as crystalline In₂O₃ or Cu (oxide). CuIn67 is mainly represented by Cu₂In.⁴⁰ In line with the Cu-In phase diagram of Subramanian et al.,^{29,38} unassignable phases were present before contact to the MSR reaction mixture, but vanished during MSR. Cu₂In remained almost unaltered. CuIn55 was obtained as Cu₁₁In₉ according to the XRD pattern, containing traces of metallic In as by-product. Upon MSR, intensification of the reflections assigned to metallic indium occurred, accompanied by an associated decrease in the Cu₁₁In₉ reflections. Since we detect no In2O3 or Cu (oxide) and less intense Cu₁₁In₉ reflections are visible after MSR, a partial transformation to a Cu-richer IMC with higher symmetry (caused by the segregation of indium) could account for these changes in the diffraction pattern.

We assessed the activation and long-term stability of CuIn70, CuIn67 and CuIn55 under MSR operation using catalytic continuous flow measurements (Fig. 4). CuIn70 exhibits the smallest specific activity toward H₂ formation, the worst CO₂ selectivity and displays rapid deactivation onstream (from initially 14 μ mol g_{Cu}^{-1} s⁻¹ at 400 °C in the dynamic temperature steps to approximately 1 μ mol g_{Cu}⁻¹ s⁻¹ at the end of the isothermal period lasting 19 h) accompanied by a decrease in the CO_2 selectivity. On the contrary, CuIn67 exhibits an activation behavior observed as an increase in the specific activity of H₂ formation from the dynamic 400 °C step (\approx 30 µmol g_{Cu}⁻¹ s⁻¹) to the start of the isothermal period ($\approx 54 \ \mu mol \ g_{Cu}^{-1} \ s^{-1}$) at a stable CO_2 selectivity of approximately 98%. From 17 h to 32 h onstream, a slight decrease in the specific activity of H2 formation from $\approx\!54~\mu mol~g_{Cu}^{-1}~s^{-1}$ to $\approx\!45~\mu mol~g_{Cu}^{-1}~s^{-1}$ and the methanol conversion from 14% to 12% is visible. CuIn55 shows a similar behavior as CuIn67, where the specific activity of H₂ formation increases from \approx 42 µmol g_{Cu}^{-1} s⁻¹ at 400 °C in the dynamic segment to \approx 74 µmol g_{Cu}^{-1} s⁻¹ after a total of 19 h on-stream in the isothermal period. No significant deactivation can be observed for



Fig. 2 MSR profiles of CuIn70, CuIn67, CuIn55 measured between 100 °C and 400 °C including an isothermal period of 170 min at 400 °C. Color code: orange – methanol conversion, blue – integral CO₂ selectivity, specific activity of brown: H₂, black: CO, green: CO₂, gray: CH₄. Heating rate: $5 \circ C \min^{-1}$; sample mass: CuIn70 – 47.2 mg, CuIn67 – 51.8 mg, CuIn55 – 51.5 mg.

CuIn55, which displays both a stable methanol conversion of 15% and CO_2 selectivity of 98%. As a rule, the catalysts were cooled before the steady-state operation to minimize changes of the material during catalytic steady-state operation. In that way, pre-heating the catalyst to higher temperatures changes the material faster (especially the "healing of edges", which is referred to as sintering in the manuscript below) and then a lower steady-state operation temperature does not lead to such changes anymore.



Fig. 3 *Ex situ* collected XRD patterns of the untreated IMCs and after two MSR cycles, the first up to 350 °C and the second up to 400 °C. The references for Cu₇In₃,³⁹ Cu₂In,⁴⁰ Cu₁₁In₉ (ref. 41) and In (ref. 46) were calculated with the software *VESTA* 3 (ref. 33) using the respective cif-files ($\lambda = 0.7093$ Å).

The utilization of both batch and flow measurements grants access to complementary information on the catalytic behavior of the samples. In each reactor, the amount of MSR mixture with which the catalysts are in contact with is considerably different, resulting in a distinct activation/ deactivation behavior. Therefore, we interpret the MSR performance observed in the recirculating batch reactor as an initial state that is already transformed in the continuous flow reactor before its catalytic implications arise through significant formation rates. Hence, the deactivation of CuIn70 is not visible in the batch reactor, while the activation of CuIn55 is not yet complete. An attentive reader will notice apparent differences in the hydrogen production especially for CuIn55 between the recirculating batch and the flow measurements. We attribute this essentially to the very rich redox chemistry occurring on intermetallic compounds in MSR, which is not only temperature-dependent, but also depends upon conversion (i.e., the change of the redox potential of the MSR atmosphere with conversion). In addition, oxidic species are very likely to be involved in the catalytic cycle. Small differences, as different total pressure or the removal/non-removal of products will have an influence on the mechanism of the reaction at the atomic level, manifesting itself differently in the catalytic characterization.

In addition to the characterization of the MSR performance in a continuous flow setup, we conducted *operando* TGA under similar conditions on the untreated samples. The relative mass change of all samples does not exceed 0.3 wt%, highlighting the general high stability of the intermetallic Cu–In compounds under MSR conditions. At low temperature, we observe an intermediary reversible mass



Fig. 4 Continuous flow MSR measurements using the untreated samples CuIn70 (panel A), CuIn67 (panel B) and CuIn55 (panel C). The dynamic fraction (0–14 h on-stream) consists of temperature steps from 200 °C to 400 °C, followed by an intermediate cooling before increasing the temperature to 400 °C again for an isothermal long-term performance test. Color code: orange – methanol conversion, blue – CO_2 selectivity, red – temperature, specific activity of brown: H₂, black: CO, green: CO_2 , gray: CH₄. GHSV: 1800 h⁻¹; sample mass: CuIn70 – 150.0 mg, CuIn67 – 150.0 mg, CuIn67 – 150.1 mg.

increase, probably related to a transient oxidation step. It proceeds at lower temperatures for CuIn70 compared to CuIn67 and CuIn55. At the final temperature of 400 °C, the mass change of CuIn70 has decreased once again to 0.02 wt% (maximum mass increase 0.28 wt% at 230 °C), while the maximum values of CuIn67 (0.15 wt% at 400 °C) and CuIn55 (0.17 wt% at 380–395 °C) are approached approximately at 400 °C. The *operando* TGA experiments help to interpret the catalytic performance tests: CuIn70 displays a mass decrease at temperatures below 400 °C, which we ascribe to a reduction of the previously formed oxide phases responsible for the initial mass increase. Assuming, that only indium



Fig. 5 *Operando* TGA characterization of Culn70, Culn67 and Culn55 under continuous flow MSR conditions. The temperature program involves heating from 160 °C to 600 °C at a rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ and includes an isothermal period of 60 min. As a guide to the eye, we marked the introduction of the MSR mixture by vertical dashed green lines for each measurement.

Catalysis Science & Technology

oxidation occurs, this would yield 1.6 wt% In₂O₃ for CuIn70, 0.9 wt% In2O3 for CuIn67 and 1.0 wt% In2O3 for CuIn55 at maximum. This suggests that CuIn70 is fully reduced during the isothermal period in the flow MSR measurements and therefore more prone to deactivation by sintering (see Fig. 4). In this regard, sintering does not only refer to the reduction of the total specific surface area, but also to the reduction of the number of potentially reactive edge sites by rounding or "healing" of the particles. This process starts at the Hüttig temperature, which is the border for surface mobility and can be estimated as 0.3 times the melting temperature in Kelvin.⁴⁷ Vice versa, as the maxima of mass increase for CuIn67 and CuIn55 are located around 400 °C, a prolonged exposure to the MSR mixture at 400 °C in the flow MSR experiments might lead to the formation of a stable oxidized phase, which could improve the sintering resistance and long-term stability and potentially stabilize edge sites, even if only the surface-near region is affected. This is suggested by the low total mass increase in the operando TGA characterization (Fig. 5).

3.3. Redox activation of the Cu-In intermetallic compounds

As we apparently did not achieve *in situ* self-activation of the Cu–In compounds during MSR operation up to 600 °C, we subjected the samples to targeted redox activation, *i.e.*, to alternating cycles of pre-oxidation and pre-reduction treatments. The phase evolution was monitored by *in situ* XRD as well as *in situ* TGA and combined with TEM investigations.

The *in situ* TGA experiments during pre-oxidation in 10 vol% O_2 are depicted in the ESI[†] in Fig. S1. We heated CuIn70, CuIn67 and CuIn55 from 25 °C to 800 °C at 5 °C min⁻¹ including an isothermal period of 30 min before switching to pure Ar and cooling down, preserving the state reached after the isothermal period. According to the mass increase, the degree of total oxidation of the IMCs to CuO and In₂O₃ amounts to approximately one third in all samples (Table S1[†]). *Ex situ* XRD after the *in situ* TGA experiment (Fig. S2[†]) confirms the partial oxidation, where we detect varying amounts of Cu⁰ and Cu₂O, alongside remnants of the intermetallic compounds. The only formed indium phase is In₂O₃ and thus, no metallic indium is observed in any sample.

STEM-EDX investigations of the samples before and after the oxidation during the *in situ* TGA treatments reveal a distinct segregation behavior (Fig. S3†). While the untreated catalysts exhibit a homogeneous distribution of Cu and In, formation of In oxide particles and islands (as well as Cudepleted areas) is observed in the oxidized state.

To gain more insight into the *in situ* formation of a potentially more active $IMC-In_2O_3$ interface, we subjected all samples to alternating oxidation and reduction treatments during *in situ* XRD experiments. This is an important step in redox activation by targeted (partial) decomposition of the intermetallic compound into an active $IMC-In_2O_3$ interface,

exhibiting a superior MSR performance compared to Cu/ ${\rm In_2O_3.}^{28}$

During the experiments, the samples were periodically shifted in the plane perpendicular to the propagation direction of the beam, increasing the number of crystallites contributing to the diffraction patterns even further. However, this procedure can lead to artifacts caused by the beam hitting the SiC sleeve. Despite these measures, the patterns of untreated CuIn70 before the first transformation appear spotty, meaning that the diffraction rings recorded with the 2D detector are not continuous, but rather consist of individual diffraction spots indicating large crystallites. The reason behind this observation is the texture of the sample, as large crystallites result in spotty diffraction rings, whereas finely dispersed nanocrystals result in continuous Debye rings.

As demonstrated in Fig. 3, untreated CuIn70 is singlephase Cu7In3, which is confirmed by the initial diffraction pattern in the in situ XRD experiment of the oxidation pretreatment (Fig. 6 panel A). At around 400 °C, the formation of In₂O₃ sets in, accompanied by the segregation of metallic copper. As the reflections of Cu⁰ successively shift to much lower diffraction angles than would be anticipated from simple thermal lattice expansion, progressive incorporation of the larger In into the Cu lattice occurs between 400 °C to 550 °C and causes the formation of a similar composition as Cu0.9In0.1 at 550 °C. At 550 °C, the reflections of Cu7In3 start to vanish, accompanied by an increase in the background signal in the diffraction angle region of the main reflection, indicating decomposition and melting of the remnants of the IMC. At 700 °C, Cu0.9In0.1 is oxidized to In₂O₃ as well, leading to the formation of metallic Cu as well as Cu₂O and CuO. Successively, formation of Cu₂O and CuO occurs and we reach full oxidation after 15 min during the isothermal period, where the amount of Cu₂O saturates. After the oxidative pre-treatment, CuIn70 consists of In₂O₃, CuO and traces of Cu₂O (see bottom panel of Fig. 6 panel A), indicating that oxidation into a Cu-In composite oxide takes place.

Starting the subsequent reduction treatment, the concerted reduction of the Cu2O and CuO to metallic Cu starts at 350 °C, yielding Cu⁰/In₂O₃ (Fig. 6 panel B). At 480 °C, most of Cu⁰ starts to incorporate indium, leading to the formation of a quasi-continuous array of phases analogous to Cu0.9In0.1. This state essentially represents a substitutional alloy of Cu and indium exhibiting the crystal structure of Cu⁰ with an expanded lattice due to the incorporation of In with a larger atomic radius, with the limiting compositions of elemental Cu and an alloy with close to 10 at% indium. Due to the significantly lower indium content of the alloy compared with Cu₇In₃, the decrease of the In₂O₃ reflections is hardly detectable. Upon reaching 400 °C in the cooling period, the range of Cu_xIn_y alloys narrows down and the highest indium content is lowered, reducing the separation of the reflections of the limiting compositions. Around the same temperature of 400 °C during the cooling phase,



Fig. 6 Redox activation of Culn70 followed by *in situ* XRD. Panel A: Oxidation in 2 ml min⁻¹ O₂ and 8 ml min⁻¹ He. Temperature program: heating from 25 °C to 800 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, followed by an isothermal period of 30 min and cooling to 25 °C at 20 °C min⁻¹. Panel B: Reduction in 4 ml min⁻¹ H₂ starting from the state after oxidation. Temperature program: heating from 150 °C to 500 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, followed by an isothermal period of 30 min and cooling to 25 °C at 20 °C min⁻¹. Panel B: Reduction in 4 ml min⁻¹ H₂ starting from the state after oxidation. Temperature program: heating from 150 °C to 500 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, followed by an isothermal period of 30 min and cooling to 25 °C at 20 °C min⁻¹. The top panels depict contour plots of the temperature-dependent evolution of the diffraction intensity (color-coded with legend on the top) plotted vs. the diffraction angle at the calibrated wavelength ($\lambda = 0.4905$ Å). In the middle panels, the references for Cu₇In₃,⁴⁸ Cu0.9In0.1,⁴⁵ Cu2,0,⁵⁰ Cu (ref. 51) and In₂O₃,⁵² calculated with the software *VESTA* 3 (ref. 33) using the respective cif-files, are presented. Additionally, artifacts resulting from the beam hitting the SiC sleeve are marked with black triangles. The bottom panels show selected diffractograms at selected points of the experiment axis.

formation of small or highly strained Cu_2O crystallites sets in, which is indicated by its broad reflections. In summary, the redox activation of CuIn70 led to the formation of Cu0.9In0.1 and In₂O₃, providing the aimed for IMC-oxide interface.

The in situ XRD experiment of the oxidation treatment of CuIn67 is shown in Fig. 7 panel A. The unidentified phase that decomposed in the MSR test in the recirculating batch reactor is stable in the oxidizing atmosphere up to approximately 350 °C. At this temperature, the initially present Cu₂In as well as the unidentified phase are both converted to Cu₇In₃ in addition to In₂O₃. The intermediately formed Cu₇In₃ decomposes once more at around 550 °C. A similar phenomenon as in CuIn70 regarding the incorporation of In into the Cu lattice is observed in CuIn67. At around 450 °C, the corresponding reflections appear and continuously shift to lower diffraction angles with increasing temperature, indicating an expansion of the Cu lattice by incorporation of indium, up to approximately 550 °C. Successively, these alloys decompose to Cu⁰ and In₂O₃, with the former being oxidized quantitatively during the isothermal period. Cu2O as well as CuO start to form concomitant to the decomposition of Cu₇In₃ at 550 °C. From approximately 750 °C to 5 min in the isothermal period,

 Cu_2O reaches an intermediate maximum concentration, which we explain by comproportionation of CuO and the concurrently converted Cu to Cu₂O. Then, CuO is re-formed and the amount of Cu₂O decreases in the isothermal period, again saturating after 20 min during the isothermal period. The resulting phase composition of the sample is very similar to CuIn70 and comprised of In₂O₃, CuO and small amounts of Cu₂O.

Fig. 7 panel B shows the diffractograms of CuIn67 collected during the reductive treatment. Although the qualitative phase composition of CuIn70 and CuIn67 after oxidation is similar, the phase evolution in the subsequent reduction is clearly different. The formation of Cu⁰ starts at 180 °C and continues up to 300 °C, where both Cu2O and CuO are quantitatively converted to metallic copper, again yielding a Cu⁰/In₂O₃ system. At approximately 380 °C, the incorporation of In into Cu⁰ starts, yielding Cu0.9In0.1 at the start of the isothermal period. The intensity of In₂O₃ is progressively reduced from the start of the isothermal period, reaching a minimum at the start of cooling. This increasing availability of indium facilitates the conversion of Cu0.9In0.1 to Cu₇In₃ starting after 15 min in the isothermal period. The final state after cooling is composed of the IMC Cu₇In₃ with remnants of In₂O₃ (see bottom panel



Fig. 7 Redox activation of Culn67 followed by *in situ* XRD. Panel A: Oxidation in in 2 ml min⁻¹ O₂ and 8 ml min⁻¹ He. Temperature program: heating from 25 °C to 800 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, followed by an isothermal period of 30 min and cooling to 25 °C at 20 °C min⁻¹. Panel B: Reduction in 4 ml min⁻¹ H₂ starting from the state after oxidation. Temperature program: heating from 25 °C to 500 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, followed by an isothermal period of 30 min and cooling to 25 °C to 500 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, followed by an isothermal period of 30 min and cooling to 25 °C at 20 °C min⁻¹. The top panels depict contour plots of the temperature dependent evolution of the diffraction intensity (color-coded with legend on the right) plotted vs. the diffraction angle at the calibrated wavelength ($\lambda = 0.4905$ Å). In the middle panels, the references for Cu₂ln,⁴⁰ Cu₇ln₃,⁴⁸ Cu0.9ln0.1,⁴⁵ Cu0,⁴⁹ Cu₂O,⁵⁰ Cu (ref. 51) and ln₂O₃,⁵² calculated with the software VESTA 3 (ref. 33) using the respective cif-files, are presented. The bottom panels show selected diffractograms at selected points of the experiment axis.

Fig. 7 panel B). Hence, the redox activation yielded an interface of $\rm Cu_7 In_3$ and $\rm In_2 O_3.$

The oxidative treatment of CuIn55 is highlighted in Fig. 8 panel A. The initial state is composed of the IMC Cu_{11} -In₉ and traces of metallic In, which vanishes above 140 °C. No In₂O₃ is formed, indicating that In is either incorporated into the IMC or it simply melts (melting point of In = 156.6°C (ref. 29)). At 300 °C, Cu₁₁In₉ is partially transformed to the high-temperature phase Cu₂In and the rest melts, observable as a significant increase in the background signal from 2θ = 10-15°, while In₂O₃ starts to form. At around 490 °C, the background intensity again changes, suggesting that another fraction of the IMC melts. A small amount of Cu0.9In0.1 forms at around 550 °C and vanishes again at 730 °C, while the rest of Cu₂In melts at 660 °C. The melt remains stable during the isothermal period, resisting oxidation to In₂O₃ even at 800 °C. At 620 °C in the cooling period, recrystallization of an unidentified phase next to Cu0.9In0.1 sets in. The former compound vanishes again at 410 °C, giving rise to the formation of Cu₇In₃ as well as the formation of a Cu-richer Cu0.9In0.1 phase. The final state after cooling consists of In₂O₃, Cu₇In₃ and a range of Cu_xIn_y alloys (see bottom panel in Fig. 8 panel A), whereas no Cu₂O or CuO forms at any stage of the experiment. Thus, the oxidative treatment of CuIn55 already leads to the formation of an IMC-oxide interface, distinguishing it from the respective states of CuIn70 and CuIn67 after the oxidation step of the redox activation.

The reductive treatment of the oxidized CuIn55 is depicted in Fig. 8 panel B and reveals only slight alterations over the course of the entire experiment. At approximately 450 °C, the stoichiometry of the Cu0.9In0.1-analogous phases changes to higher In content. The final state after cooling is composed of In_2O_3 , Cu_7In_3 and various Cu0.9In0.1-analogous alloys, representing an interface of two IMCs with In_2O_3 , distinguishing CuIn55 from CuIn70 and CuIn67, which feature only one distinct IMC after redox activation (see bottom panel of Fig. 8 panel B).

The ultimate goal of the targeted redox activation was the preparation of intermetallic Cu–In compound/In₂O₃ systems. Summarizing the results of the redox activation of the intermetallic Cu–In compounds, all the initially present phases could either be completely decomposed (CuIn70 and CuIn67) or melted and transformed upon recrystallization (CuIn55) during the oxidation treatment. For CuIn70 and CuIn67, which were both quantitatively decomposed to In₂O₃, CuO and small amounts of Cu₂O in the oxidation step, the reductive treatment leads to the re-formation of intermetallic compounds different from their initial ones, however retaining In₂O₃ in their final state. Both CuIn70 and



Fig. 8 Redox activation of Culn55 followed by *in situ* XRD. Panel A: Oxidation in 2 ml min⁻¹ O₂ and 8 ml min⁻¹ He. Temperature program: heating from 25 °C to 800 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, followed by an isothermal period of 30 min and cooling to 25 °C at 20 °C min⁻¹. Panel B: Reduction in 4 ml min⁻¹ H₂ starting from the state after oxidation. Temperature program: heating from 100 °C to 500 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, followed by an isothermal period of 30 min and cooling to 25 °C at 20 °C min⁻¹. Panel B: Reduction in 4 ml min⁻¹ H₂ starting from the state after oxidation. Temperature program: heating from 100 °C to 500 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, followed by an isothermal period of 30 min and cooling to 25 °C at 20 °C min⁻¹. The top panels depict contour plots of the temperature-dependent evolution of the diffraction intensity (color-coded with legend on the right) plotted vs. the diffraction angle at the calibrated wavelength (λ = 0.4905 Å). In the middle panels, the references for Cu₁₁In₉,⁴¹ Cu₂In,⁴⁰ Cu₀.9In₀.1,⁴⁵ Cu₂In₃,⁴⁸ In,⁴⁶ Cu₀,⁴⁹ Cu₂O,⁵⁰ Cu (ref. 51) and In₂O₃,⁵² calculated with the software *VESTA* 3 (ref. 33) using the respective cif-files, are presented. Additionally, artifacts resulting from the beam hitting the SiC sleeve are marked with black triangles. The bottom panels show selected diffractograms at selected points of the experiment axis.

CuIn67 exhibit an intermediary Cu/In₂O₃ state during the reductive step in accordance with the studies on the reactive metal–support interaction of Cu and In_2O_3 .²⁸ However, in contrast to this study, where Cu₂In was the main evolving IMC, Cu0.9In0.1 and Cu₇In₃ form for CuIn70 and CuIn67, respectively. For CuIn55, we reach the formation of an IMC/In₂O₃ interface already after the oxidation step, as two types of intermetallic compounds, namely Cu₇In₃ and Cu0.9In0.1, in addition to In₂O₃ are present. Hence, the redox activation was successful for all samples, with CuIn70 and CuIn67 consisting of one and CuIn55 of two distinct intermetallic compounds in combination with In₂O₃.

We briefly want to focus on the striking feature that apparently Cu_7In_3 formed during reduction of CuIn67 is not further converted to Cu0.9In0.1 (as it was the case for the direct reduction of CuIn70). After the oxidation treatment monitored by *in situ* XRD, the qualitative phase composition of CuIn70 (Cu₇In₃ before oxidation) and CuIn67 (Cu₂In before oxidation) is similar, consisting of In₂O₃, CuO and traces of Cu₂O. However, the phase evolution in the oxidation and the quantitative composition are different. It is apparent from the diffractograms that much less In₂O₃ remains after the reduction in CuIn67, meaning that more In is available for the formation of the In-richer Cu₇In₃ phase. On the other hand, more In₂O₃ is retained in the reduction of pre-oxidized CuIn70, leading to the formation of In-poorer Cu0.9In0.1 alloys. The reasons for the different stability of the In₂O₃ phase are not obvious, but a different morphology of the samples (form and dispersion of the components) might play an important role next to the difference in total composition. We deem it also unlikely that longer exposure to the reductive atmosphere would lead to the transformation of the newly formed Cu₇In₃ to Cu0.9In0.1 alloys in CuIn67. The difference is that in CuIn70, Cu₇In₃ is first decomposed to In_2O_3 , CuO and Cu₂O in the oxidative treatment and the Cu0.9In0.1 alloys are formed from this composition in the following reductive treatment. In CuIn67, Cu₂In is decomposed to In2O3, CuO and Cu2O as well, but Cu7In3 forms in the reduction instead of the Cu0.9In0.1 alloys. It might be possible that the Cu₇In₃ phase in CuIn67 could be transformed to Cu0.9In0.1 alloys by repeating the whole cycle (oxidation + reduction), but the evolution of the newly formed Cu₇In₃ in CuIn67 upon prolonged exposure to the reduction mixture is unlikely.

3.4. MSR performance of the intermetallic compound-oxide interfaces after targeted redox activation

We elucidated the impact of the redox activation on the MSR performance by applying similar pre-treatment conditions



Fig. 9 MSR profiles of CuIn70 (solid lines), CuIn67 (dotted lines) and CuIn55 (dashed lines) between 100 °C and 400 °C including an isothermal period of 30 min at 400 °C. Color code: orange – methanol conversion, blue – integral CO₂ selectivity, specific activity of brown: H₂, black: CO, green: CO₂, gray: CH₄. Heating rate: 5 °C min⁻¹; sample mass: CuIn70 – 51.5 mg, CuIn67 – 51.6 mg, CuIn55 – 52.1 mg. Redox-activation in the recirculating batch reactor before the first MSR cycle performed as in the *in situ* XRD experiments.

Fable 2	Comparison of key	catalytic parameters of Culn	'0, Culn67	and Culn55 in MSR	with and without redox activation
---------	-------------------	------------------------------	------------	-------------------	-----------------------------------

State	Parameter	CuIn70	CuIn67	CuIn55
Untreated	Onset $T(H_2)/^{\circ}C$	260	300	270
	Onset $T(CO_2)/^{\circ}C$	280	300	270
	Onset $T(CO)/^{\circ}C$	380	340	400
	Max. activity H_2/μ mol g_{Cu}^{-1} s ⁻¹	0.018	0.051	0.009
	Max. activity $CO_2/\mu mol g_{Cu}^{-1} s^{-1}$	0.004	0.015	0.002^{a}
	Max. activity CO/ μ mol g _{Cu} ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	0.001^{a}	0.002^{a}	0.001^{a}
	$E_{\rm a}$ CO ₂ /kJ mol ⁻¹	128.54	122.70	129.56
MSR cycle 1 after redox activation	Onset $T(H_2)/^{\circ}C$	230	250	230
·	Onset $T(CO_2)/^{\circ}C$	230	250	230
	Onset $T(CO)/^{\circ}C$	280	310	310
	Max. activity H_2/μ mol $g_{C\mu}^{-1}$ s ⁻¹	0.130	0.165	0.154
	Max. activity $CO_2/\mu mol g_{Cu}^{-1} s^{-1}$	0.041	0.061	0.044
	Max. activity CO/ μ mol g _{Cu} ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	0.005	0.005	0.005
	$E_{\rm a}$ CO ₂ /kJ mol ⁻¹	115.93	118.55	120.57
MSR cycle 2 after redox activation	Onset $T(H_2)/^{\circ}C$	190	230	220
·	Onset $T(CO_2)/^{\circ}C$	190	230	220
	Onset $T(CO)/^{\circ}C$	270	310	310
	Max. activity H_2/μ mol $g_{C\mu}^{-1}$ s ⁻¹	0.090	0.133	0.129
	Max. activity $CO_2/\mu mol g_{CU}^{-1} s^{-1}$	0.029	0.047	0.035
	Max. activity CO/ μ mol g _{Cu} ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	0.002^{a}	0.003	0.002^{a}
	$E_{\rm a}$ CO ₂ /kJ mol ⁻¹	118.56	119.13	122.83

 E_a = apparent activation energy obtained by fitting the Arrhenius equation to the experimental specific rates.^{*a*} Close to noise level, therefore high uncertainty associated.

(pre-oxidation in O_2 up to 800 °C and pre-reduction in H_2 up to 500 °C, corresponding to the treatments in the *in situ* XRD experiments) in the recirculating batch reactor before the catalytic tests (Fig. 9 vs. Fig. 2). Table 2 summarizes the catalytic key parameters of the samples without and after one full cycle of redox activation. In contrast to the catalytic tests without pre-treatments (*cf.* Fig. 2), all three catalysts exhibit

similar H₂ and CO₂ onset temperatures, activity, and CO₂ selectivity. Differences in the deactivation behavior are evident even in the recirculating batch reactor from the first to the second MSR cycle without any intermediate treatments. CuIn70 displays the strongest deactivation (specific activity toward H₂ in isothermal period \approx 53% in the second cycle) followed by CuIn67 (\approx 76%), whereas CuIn55

suffers only a minor activity loss. The activity increase, compared to MSR tests without pre-treatments, is also most pronounced for CuIn55 (specific activity for H₂ increased by a factor of 12). According to in situ XRD, CuIn55 is the only sample exhibiting two bimetallic Cu-In compounds (Cu7In3 and Cu0.9In0.1 alloy structures) in contact with In₂O₃ after redox activation. Its structural stability under reducing conditions in the reductive step (Fig. 8 panel B) serves as an explanation for its superior stability in MSR, because a similarly reducing atmosphere is present at high conversions in the batch reactor. CuIn70 consists of a range of Cu_xIn_y alloy structures next to In2O3 and traces of Cu2O after reduction (Fig. 6 panel B). CuIn67 is mainly composed of Cu₇In₃ (exhibiting poor long-term stability in the MSR flow test in its untreated state, Fig. 4), with remnants of In₂O₃ after pre-reduction (Fig. 7 panel B).

To assess the surface chemistry before and after MSR, *ex situ* XP spectra of the state of the redox-activated IMCs after the MSR experiments were recorded and compared with the corresponding spectra of the untreated samples (see ESI† Fig.

S4). Note that the spectra of the untreated samples were collected under quasi in situ conditions due to the glovebox transfer discussed in the Experimental section. According to the high-resolution Cu 2p and Cu LMM spectra, the IMCs contain mainly intermetallic copper in their untreated state. The In 3d and In MNN spectra indicate the presence of partially oxidized In. After redox activation and two MSR cycles, indium is mostly oxidized in all samples. Copper is mostly present as CuO in CuIn70 after the abovementioned procedure according to both Cu 2p and Cu LMM regions and the atomic Cu/In surface ratio is reduced to 0.25 from initially 1.17 in the untreated state (see Table S2[†]). In contrast to CuIn70, Cu is still partially metallic in CuIn67 and the surface Cu/In ratio decreases from 1.20 to 0.15. No copper is present in the surface-near region in CuIn55 after redox activation and MSR anymore, implying that an In₂O₃ layer covers the sample surface. We emphasize that the exact quantification of oxidized In and Cu phases after the MSR treatment is hampered by the air contact of these samples, but the Cu/In surface ratios are unaffected. Additionally, the



MSR activity in the recirculating batch reactor

Fig. 10 Graphical summary of the most important findings of this study.

XP spectra of the C 1s region of the as-prepared and the post-MSR state depicted in Fig. S5[†] do not show a clear trend of carbon deposition for the most severely deactivating CuIn70. Therefore, carbon deposition is unlikely the main cause for deactivation. As a graphical overview, all key findings of this study have been illustrated in Fig. 10, visualizing the characterization of the as-prepared samples and the impact of the redox activation on the MSR performance.

4. Conclusions

Catalysis Science & Technology

Inspired by the activity increase of a Cu/In₂O₃ catalyst at a stable CO₂ selectivity in MSR upon formation of an Cu₂In/In₂O₃ interface by reactive metal–support interaction, the stability limits, self-activation and methanol steam reforming performance of three distinct intermetallic Cu–In compounds – Cu₇In₃, Cu₂In and Cu₁₁In₉ – were assessed and compared to the performance after a targeted redox activation. The latter allows us to judge the inherent catalytic properties of a Cu–In intermetallic compound–oxide interface resulting from this redox activation.

The stability of the IMCs under MSR conditions appears to be largely independent of the initial stoichiometry, as all three IMCs persist substantial changes during MSR operation. The inherent activity under batch MSR conditions is highest for Cu₂In, corroborating the results of the Cu₂In/ In₂O₃ sample accessed through reactive metal–support interaction. Under flow MSR operation, Cu₇In₃ displays considerable deactivation, while Cu₂In and Cu₁₁In₉ exhibit a stable performance at high CO₂ selectivity. *Operando* TGA experiments indicated no significant self-activation in terms of partial oxidation for any intermetallic compounds, emphasizing their structural stability.

Redox activation of the Cu–In intermetallic compounds yielded IMC/In₂O₃ interfaces with superior MSR performance compared to the untreated samples, although the catalytic profiles appear surprisingly similar. Cu₇In₃ converts to Cu0.9In0.1 alloys on In₂O₃, Cu₂In to Cu₇In₃ on In₂O₃ and Cu₁₁In₉ to Cu₇In₃ and Cu0.9In0.1 alloys in contact with In₂O₃. Cu₇In₃/Cu0.9In0.1/In₂O₃ with the highest indium content exhibits the least deactivation, which we explain by formation of stabilizing In₂O₃ patches under MSR conditions, alleviating particle sintering and rounding.

Although we demonstrated the proof-of-concept and successful use of targeted redox activation to prepare intermetallic compound-oxide interfaces with superior MSR properties, further studies need to focus on overcoming the drawbacks of unsupported intermetallic inherent compounds. There is a growing need for reliable and reproducible preparation techniques to enhance the surface area, and hence activity, of such intermetallic compounds. A pathway of using dedicated intermetallic compound sputter targets, enabling the preparation of supported intermetallic compound nanoparticles with defined and controlled stoichiometry that are stable under reaction conditions, is one of the most promising synthesis routines so far (e.g. as demonstrated by Zimmermann *et al.* employing $GaPd_2$ thin films for the selective hydrogenation of acetylene).⁵³

Furthermore, the formation of Cu0.9In0.1 analogous alloys in CuIn70 and CuIn55 provides an interesting starting point for future studies. The investigation of the behavior and intrinsic activity of these unordered intermetallic compounds (corresponding to the α phase in the Cu–In phase diagrams in Fig. 1), when present in their unsupported form, would be the logical next step in unraveling the best starting phase for the formation of a highly efficient CuIn–IMC-derived MSR catalyst.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The Austrian Science Foundation (FWF) financially supports the present work by SFB projects F4503-N16 and F4501-N16 and the DACH project I2877-N34. Further support is provided by the framework of the platform Materials- and Nanoscience and the special PhD program "Reactivity and Catalysis" at the University of Innsbruck. As a Marshall Plan Scholarship Recipient, K. Ploner acknowledges financial support provided by the Austrian Marshall Plan Foundation during his research stay at the ALS. The authors additionally thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for funding the investigations at the Technische Universität Chemnitz (project AR 617/12-1). This research used resources of the Advanced Light Source, which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231. A. Gili appreciates the support of Unifying Systems in Catalysis (UniSysCat), funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy - EXC 2008/1 - 390540038.

References

- 1 G. A. Olah, A. Goeppert and G. K. S. Prakash, *Beyond Oil and Gas: The Methanol Economy*, John Wiley & Sons Incorporated, Newark, 3rd edn, 2018.
- 2 G. A. Olah, Towards Oil Independence Through Renewable Methanol Chemistry, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 104–107, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201204995.
- 3 S. Sá, H. Silva, L. Brandão, J. M. Sousa and A. Mendes, Catalysts for methanol steam reforming — A review, *Appl. Catal.*, B, 2010, 99, 43–57, DOI: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2010.06.015.
- 4 M. S. Frei, C. Mondelli, M. I. M. Short and J. Pérez-Ramírez, Methanol as a Hydrogen Carrier: Kinetic and Thermodynamic Drivers for its CO₂-Based Synthesis and Reforming over Heterogeneous Catalysts, *ChemSusChem*, 2020, **13**, 6330–6337, DOI: 10.1002/cssc.202001518.
- 5 B. Frank, F. C. Jentoft, H. Soerijanto, J. Kröhnert, R. Schlögl and R. Schomäcker, Steam reforming of methanol over copper-containing catalysts: Influence of support material on microkinetics, *J. Catal.*, 2007, 246, 177–192, DOI: 10.1016/ j.jcat.2006.11.031.

- 6 H. Purnama, T. Ressler, R. Jentoft, H. Soerijanto, R. Schlögl and R. Schomäcker, CO formation/selectivity for steam reforming of methanol with a commercial CuO/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalyst, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2004, **259**, 83–94, DOI: 10.1016/j. apcata.2003.09.013.
- 7 K. Narusawa, M. Hayashida, Y. Kamiya, H. Roppongi, D. Kurashima and K. Wakabayashi, Deterioration in fuel cell performance resulting from hydrogen fuel containing impurities: poisoning effects by CO, CH₄, HCHO and HCOOH, *JSAE Rev.*, 2003, 24, 41–46, DOI: 10.1016/S0389-4304(02)00239-4.
- 8 M. Behrens and M. Armbrüster, in *Catalysis for Alternative Energy Generation*, ed. L. Guczi and A. Erdôhelyi, Springer, New York (NY), 2012, pp. 175–235.
- 9 M. Friedrich, D. Teschner, A. Knop-Gericke and M. Armbrüster, Influence of bulk composition of the intermetallic compound ZnPd on surface composition and methanol steam reforming properties, *J. Catal.*, 2012, **285**, 41–47, DOI: 10.1016/j.jcat.2011.09.013.
- 10 M. Armbrüster, M. Behrens, F. Cinquini, K. Föttinger, Y. Grin, A. Haghofer, B. Klötzer, A. Knop-Gericke, H. Lorenz, A. Ota, S. Penner, J. Prinz, C. Rameshan, Z. Révay, D. Rosenthal, G. Rupprechter, P. Sautet, R. Schlögl, L. Shao, L. Szentmiklósi, D. Teschner, D. Torres, R. Wagner, R. Widmer and G. Wowsnick, How to Control the Selectivity of Palladium-based Catalysts in Hydrogenation Reactions: The Role of Subsurface Chemistry, *ChemCatChem*, 2012, 4, 1048–1063, DOI: 10.1002/cctc.201200100.
- 11 H. Lorenz, C. Rameshan, T. Bielz, N. Memmel, W. Stadlmayr, L. Mayr, Q. Zhao, S. Soisuwan, B. Klötzer and S. Penner, From Oxide-Supported Palladium to Intermetallic Palladium Phases: Consequences for Methanol Steam Reforming, *ChemCatChem*, 2013, 5, 1273–1285, DOI: 10.1002/cctc.201200712.
- 12 M. Armbrüster, in *Encyclopedia of Catalysis*, ed. I. Horváth, Wiley, 2011.
- 13 N. Iwasa, S. Masuda, N. Ogawa and N. Takezawa, Steam reforming of methanol over Pd/ZnO: Effect of the formation of PdZn alloys upon the reaction, *Appl. Catal., A*, 1995, **125**, 145–157, DOI: 10.1016/0926-860X(95)00004-6.
- 14 N. Iwasa, T. Mayanagi, N. Ogawa, K. Sakata and N. Takezawa, New catalytic functions of Pd–Zn, Pd–Ga, Pd–In, Pt–Zn, Pt– Ga and Pt–In alloys in the conversions of methanol, *Catal. Lett.*, 1998, 54, 119–123, DOI: 10.1023/A:1019056728333.
- 15 M. Armbrüster, Intermetallic compounds in catalysis a versatile class of materials meets interesting challenges, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **21**, 303–322, DOI: 10.1080/ 14686996.2020.1758544.
- 16 M. Friedrich, S. Penner, M. Heggen and M. Armbrüster, High CO₂ selectivity in methanol steam reforming through ZnPd/ZnO teamwork, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 4389–4392, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201209587.
- 17 N. Iwasa and N. Takezawa, New Supported Pd and Pt Alloy Catalysts for Steam Reforming and Dehydrogenation of Methanol, *Top. Catal.*, 2003, 22, 215–224, DOI: 10.1023/ A:1023571819211.

- 18 S. Penner, H. Lorenz, W. Jochum, M. Stöger-Pollach, D. Wang, C. Rameshan and B. Klötzer, Pd/Ga₂O₃ methanol steam reforming catalysts: Part I. Morphology, composition and structural aspects, *Appl. Catal.*, *A*, 2009, **358**, 193–202, DOI: 10.1016/j.apcata.2009.02.026.
- H. Lorenz, S. Penner, W. Jochum, C. Rameshan and B. Klötzer, Pd/Ga₂O₃ methanol steam reforming catalysts: Part II. Catalytic selectivity, *Appl. Catal.*, *A*, 2009, 358, 203–210, DOI: 10.1016/j.apcata.2009.02.027.
- 20 H. Lorenz, S. Turner, O. I. Lebedev, G. van Tendeloo, B. Klötzer, C. Rameshan, K. Pfaller and S. Penner, Pd-In₂O₃ interaction due to reduction in hydrogen: Consequences for methanol steam reforming, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2010, 374, 180–188, DOI: 10.1016/j.apcata.2009.12.007.
- 21 S. Penner and M. Armbrüster, Formation of Intermetallic Compounds by Reactive Metal-Support Interaction: A Frequently Encountered Phenomenon in Catalysis, *ChemCatChem*, 2015, 7, 374–392, DOI: 10.1002/ cctc.201402635.
- 22 L. Mayr, B. Klötzer, D. Schmidmair, N. Köpfle, J. Bernardi, S. Schwarz, M. Armbrüster and S. Penner, Boosting Hydrogen Production from Methanol and Water by in situ Activation of Bimetallic Cu–Zr Species, *ChemCatChem*, 2016, 8, 1778–1781, DOI: 10.1002/cctc.201600361.
- 23 L. Mayr, N. Köpfle, B. Klötzer, T. Götsch, J. Bernardi, S. Schwarz, T. Keilhauer, M. Armbrüster and S. Penner, Microstructural and Chemical Evolution and Analysis of a Self-Activating CO₂-Selective Cu–Zr Bimetallic Methanol Steam Reforming Catalyst, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 25395–25404, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b07824.
- N. Köpfle, T. Götsch, M. Grünbacher, E. A. Carbonio, M. Hävecker, A. Knop-Gericke, L. Schlicker, A. Doran, D. Kober, A. Gurlo, S. Penner and B. Klötzer, Zirconium-Assisted Activation of Palladium To Boost Syngas Production by Methane Dry Reforming, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 14613–14618, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201807463.
- 25 N. Köpfle, K. Ploner, P. Lackner, T. Götsch, C. Thurner, E. Carbonio, M. Hävecker, A. Knop-Gericke, L. Schlicker, A. Doran, D. Kober, A. Gurlo, M. Willinger, S. Penner, M. Schmid and B. Klötzer, Carbide-Modified Pd on ZrO₂ as Active Phase for CO₂-Reforming of Methane—A Model Phase Boundary Approach, *Catalysts*, 2020, **10**, 1000, DOI: 10.3390/ catal10091000.
- 26 O. Martin, A. J. Martín, C. Mondelli, S. Mitchell, T. F. Segawa, R. Hauert, C. Drouilly, D. Curulla-Ferré and J. Pérez-Ramírez, Indium Oxide as a Superior Catalyst for Methanol Synthesis by CO₂ Hydrogenation, *Angew. Chem.*, 2016, **128**, 6369–6373, DOI: 10.1002/ange.201600943.
- 27 H. Lorenz, W. Jochum, B. Klötzer, M. Stöger-Pollach, S. Schwarz, K. Pfaller and S. Penner, Novel methanol steam reforming activity and selectivity of pure In₂O₃, *Appl. Catal.*, *A*, 2008, **347**, 34–42, DOI: 10.1016/j.apcata.2008.05.028.
- K. Ploner, L. Schlicker, A. Gili, A. Gurlo, A. Doran, L. Zhang,
 M. Armbrüster, D. Obendorf, J. Bernardi, B. Klötzer and S.
 Penner, Reactive metal-support interaction in the Cu-In₂O₃ system: intermetallic compound formation and its

consequences for CO₂-selective methanol steam reforming, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **20**, 356–366, DOI: 10.1080/14686996.2019.1590127.

- 29 P. R. Subramanian and D. E. Laughlin, The Cu-In (Copper-Indium) System, Bull. Alloy Phase Diagrams, 1989, 10, 554–568.
- 30 Z. Bahari, E. Dichi, B. Legendre and J. Dugué, The equilibrium phase diagram of the copper–indium system: a new investigation, *Thermochim. Acta*, 2003, **401**, 131–138, DOI: 10.1016/S0040-6031(02)00500-2.
- 31 WinX^{POW}, STOE & Cie GmbH, 2008.
- 32 ICDD Database PDF-4+, International Centre for Diffraction Data, Newtown Square, PA 19073, USA, 2010.
- 33 K. Momma and F. Izumi, VESTA 3 for three-dimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2011, 44, 1272–1276, DOI: 10.1107/ S0021889811038970.
- 34 L. Schlicker, A. Doran, P. Schneppmüller, A. Gili, M. Czasny, S. Penner and A. Gurlo, Transmission in situ and operando high temperature X-ray powder diffraction in variable gaseous environments, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2018, **89**, 33904, DOI: 10.1063/1.5001695.
- 35 A. Doran, L. Schlicker, C. M. Beavers, S. Bhat, M. F. Bekheet and A. Gurlo, Compact low power infrared tube furnace for in situ X-ray powder diffraction, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2017, **88**, 13903, DOI: 10.1063/1.4973561.
- 36 C. Prescher and V. B. Prakapenka, DIOPTAS: a program for reduction of two-dimensional X-ray diffraction data and data exploration, *High Pressure Res.*, 2015, 35, 223–230, DOI: 10.1080/08957959.2015.1059835.
- 37 A. Bolcavage, S. W. Chen, C. R. Kao, Y. A. Chang and A. D. Romig, Phase equilibria of the cu-in system I: Experimental investigation, *J. Phase Equilib.*, 1993, 14, 14–21, DOI: 10.1007/BF02652157.
- 38 K. C. Jain, M. Ellner and K. Schubert, On the phases occurring near the composition Cu₆₄In₃₆, *Z. Metallkd.*, 1972, 63, 456–461.
- 39 A. S. Koster, L. R. Wolff and G. J. Visser, Structure of Copper–Indium Cu₇In₃, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.*, 1980, **36**, 3094–3096, DOI: 10.1107/S0567740880010886.
- 40 G. C. Che and M. Ellner, Powder Crystal Data for the High-Temperature Phases Cu₄In, Cu₉In₄(h) and Cu₂In(h), *Powder Diffr.*, 1992, 7, 107–108, DOI: 10.1017/S0885715600018340.

- 41 T. P. Rajasekharan and K. Schubert, Kristallstruktur von Cu₁₁In₉, *Z. Metallkd.*, 1981, 72, 275–278.
- 42 S. Lidin and S. Piao, Cu₅In₃-Cu₃In₂ Revisited, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **2018**, 3548–3553, DOI: 10.1002/ejic.201800316.
- 43 F. Laves and H. J. Wallbaum, Über einige neue Vertreter des NiAs-Typs und ihre kristallchemische Bedeutung, Z. Angew. Mineral., 1942, 4, 17–46.
- 44 S. Piao and S. Lidin, A New Compound in the Cu-In System the Synthesis and Structure of $Cu_{10}In_7$, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2008, **634**, 2589–2593, DOI: 10.1002/zaac.200800275.
- 45 S. C. Chatterjee and M. P. Gupta, Lattice parameters of some binary and ternary copper alloys, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1975, 8, 492–493, DOI: 10.1107/S0021889875011041.
- 46 H. E. Swanson, R. K. Fuyat and G. M. Ugrinic, Natl. Bur. Stand.(US) Circ. 539, 1954, vol. 1, p. 73.
- 47 Y. Dai, P. Lu, Z. Cao, C. T. Campbell and Y. Xia, The physical chemistry and materials science behind sinter-resistant catalysts, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, 47, 4314–4331, DOI: 10.1039/C7CS00650K.
- 48 S. Lidin, L. Stenberg and M. Elding-Pontén, The B8 type structure of Cu₇In₃, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 1997, 255, 221–226, DOI: 10.1016/S0925-8388(96)02878-2.
- 49 S. Asbrink and A. Waskowska, CuO: X-ray single-crystal structure determination at 196 K and room temperature, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 1991, 3, 8173–8180, DOI: 10.1088/ 0953-8984/3/42/012.
- 50 A. Kirfel and K. Eichhorn, Accurate structure analysis with synchrotron radiation. The electron density in Al_2O_3 and Cu_2O , *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.*, 1990, **46**, 271–284, DOI: 10.1107/S0108767389012596.
- 51 M. E. Straumanis and L. S. Yu, Lattice parameters, densities, expansion coefficients and perfection of structure of Cu and of Cu–In α phase, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.*, 1969, **25**, 676–682, DOI: 10.1107/S0567739469001549.
- 52 M. Marezio, Refinement of the crystal structure of In_2O_3 at two wavelengths, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1966, **20**, 723–728, DOI: 10.1107/S0365110X66001749.
- 53 R. R. Zimmermann, M. Siebert, S. Ibrahimkutty, R. Dittmeyer and M. Armbrüster, Intermetallic GaPd₂ Thin Films for Selective Hydrogenation of Acetylene, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2020, 646, 1218–1226, DOI: 10.1002/zaac.202000124.