



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, 57, 10139

Entering new chemical space with isolable complexes of single, zero-valent silicon and germanium atoms

Shenglai Yao, Yun Xiong, Artemis Saddington and Matthias Driess*

Monatomic zero-valent silicon and germanium complexes (silylones and germylones), stabilised by neutral donating ligands, emerged only recently as a new class of low-valent group 14 element compounds. Featuring four valence electrons in the form of two lone pairs at a single site, silylones and germylones represent a molecular resting state of single Si and Ge atoms, which are typically only observed at high temperature in the gas phase or in interstellar matter. These species are capable of transferring single Si and Ge atoms to unsaturated substrates and acting as building blocks for novel group 14 species. After introducing this type of compound and the examples known to date, this feature article highlights some chelating bis N-heterocyclic carbene (bis(NHC)) and bis N-heterocyclic silylene (bis(NHSi)) supported Si^0 and Ge^0 complexes, for which a range of unprecedented reactivity has been discovered. The characteristic behaviour of these silylones and germylones discussed here consists of (i) coordination to Lewis acids, (ii) oxidation with elemental chalcogens, (iii) bond activation of common organic substrates and inert small molecules; and (iv) homocoupling of the Si^0 and Ge^0 centres. This wealth of reactivity has opened the door to a series of Si and Ge compounds, which would be otherwise difficult to realise.

Received 28th July 2021,
Accepted 9th September 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1cc04100b

rsc.li/chemcomm

1. Introduction

Our understanding of molecular complexes of single, zero-valent group 14 elements (ylidones, Chart 1) began following the calculations of Frenking and co-workers. They examined the donor-acceptor interactions in carbodiphosphorane $\text{Ph}_3\text{P} \rightarrow \text{C} \leftarrow \text{PPh}_3$ with quantum chemical analysis in 2006.¹ The bonding situation between the carbon centre and both phosphorus atoms in $\text{C}(\text{PPh}_3)_2$, prepared already in 1961 by Ramirez,^{2,3} was previously described as containing electron-sharing bonds (double Wittig ylide). However, a theoretical analysis of the frontier orbitals of $\text{C}(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ demonstrated the presence of a p-type lone pair orbital corresponding to the HOMO (Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital) and an s-type lone pair orbital as the HOMO-1, both located at the central carbon atom.¹ As a result, $\text{C}(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ was reinterpreted as a single carbon atom complex due to the donor-acceptor interactions between two electron-donating phosphines and a “bare” carbon(0) atom, which retains its four valence electrons as two lone pairs. The term “carbones” was subsequently suggested by Frenking for such monatomic C^0 complexes coordinated by Lewis




Chart 1 The general form of ylidone and its ylidene and bent allene forms, and the resonance structures A and B of the first isolable carbodicarbene.

donors.^{4,5} In addition, the terms silylone, germylone, stannylone, and plumblylone were suggested to label such complexes of the corresponding heavier group 14 elements in the zero oxidation state.⁶ Carbones, featuring two lone pairs at the carbon centre, differ significantly electronically from carbenes with a singlet carbon centre in the +2 oxidation state. Such carbenes possess only one lone pair of electrons and one formally vacant orbital at the carbon centre, with the remaining two electrons involved in two electron-sharing bonds.⁷ In 2007, Tonner and Frenking

Department of Chemistry, Metalorganics and Inorganic Materials, Technische Universität Berlin, Strasse des 17. Juni 135, Sekr. C2, D-10623 Berlin, Germany.
E-mail: matthias.driess@tu-berlin.de



predicted that carbodicarbenes $\text{NHC} \rightarrow \text{C} \leftarrow \text{NHC}$ ($\text{NHC} = \text{N-heterocyclic carbene}$)⁸ should be stable enough to be synthesised.⁹ They were in fact correct with the group of Bertrand reporting the synthesis of the first isolable carbodicarbene in 2008, which has two resonance forms as shown in Chart 1 (bottom). This carbodicarbene is able to coordinate to $\text{RhCl}(\text{CO})_2$ via the carbon(0) centre, demonstrating the predominance of the carbene resonance form **A**, with active lone pairs at the carbon centre, over the bent allene **B**.¹⁰

Moving down group 14 to silicon and germanium, in 2003 heavy ylidenes began to be explored following the investigations of Kira and co-workers, who reported the first trisilaallene **C** ($\text{M}' = \text{Si}$, Chart 2).^{11–14} Similar to the above-mentioned case of carbodiphosphorane, a theoretical analysis of the bonding situation in related model compounds suggested that the $\text{Si}=\text{Si}$ bonding in **C** should also be reconsidered as donor-acceptor interactions between the central silicon atom and two

silylene ligands.¹⁵ Accordingly, the trisilaallene **C** ($\text{M}' = \text{Si}$) was then described as a silylone and the 1,3-disilagermaallene **D** ($\text{M}' = \text{Si}$, Chart 2)¹⁶ as a germylone. In 2013, experimental realisation of the silylone **E** (also known as a siladibarbene, Chart 2) supported by two cyclic alkyl amino carbenes (cAACs)¹⁷ was achieved by Roesky, Stalke, and Frenking.¹⁸ In the same year, our group reported the first cyclic silylone **1** (Chart 2) with the central silicon atom coordinated by a neutral chelating bis(NHC) ligand,¹⁹ followed by the analogous germylone **2** (Chart 2, also known as germadibarbene) with the same bis(NHC) ligand.²⁰ Meanwhile, the isolation of cAAC-supported acyclic germylone **F** (Chart 2) was achieved by Roesky, Zhu, Stalke, and Andrada,²¹ and subsequently the germanium(0) complexes **G**,²² **H**,²³ and **I**²⁴ ligated by imino containing systems were obtained by Nikonov and Kinjo, respectively.

A deeper understanding of the bonding situation in heavy group 14 zero-valent complexes was probed by Turek *et al.* in 2017. By combining valence bond (VB) theory and maximum probability domain (MPD) approaches, they concluded that the bonding between the central group 14 atom and the donating ligands should be described as a resonating combination of “ylidone” and “ylidene” structures with a minor contribution of the “bent allene” structure (Chart 1 top).⁷ The stabilisation of silicon(0) and germanium(0) complexes with various silylene and germylene ligands was also investigated by Phukan and Gadre using density functional theory (DFT) and molecular electrostatic approaches. They concluded that such Si^0 and Ge^0 complexes contain very strong donor-acceptor bonds and are thermodynamically stable.²⁵ In 2017 Sasamori and Tokitoh isolated the bent 1,3-digerma-2-silaallene **J** which could be better described as a germylene-coordinated Si^0 compound with pronounced silylone character, rather than as a $\text{Ge}=\text{Si}=\text{Ge}$ heterocumulene, due to the cyclic skeleton and the σ -donating properties of the germylene moieties.²⁶ In addition, the group of Lips synthesised the bis-silylene stabilised silylone **K**.²⁷ Very recently, utilising the strongly donating bis(NHSi) (N-heterocyclic silylene) ligands we developed two new silylones (**3**²⁸ and **5**²⁹) and two new germylones (**4**³⁰ and **6**³¹).

Akin to carbenes, the central silicon and germanium atoms of silylones and germylones are in the zero oxidation state and retain their four valence electrons as two lone pairs. They represent a molecular resting state of single Si and Ge atoms, which are typically only observed at high temperature in the gas phase or in interstellar matter.^{32–34} This type of chemical species was proposed to represent a soluble “allotrope” of the respective elements.³⁵ Owing to the peculiar bonding situation and high electron-richness of the central atoms, these complexes exhibit diverse reactivity with access to novel compounds. Cyclic ylidenes developed in our group using bis(NHC) and bis(NHSi) ligands have allowed us to more widely explore the reactivity of genuine silylones and germylones, as well as enabling some comparison with already existing acyclic analogues. This feature article is focused on the chemistry of existing isolable monatomic silicon(0) and germanium(0) complexes, highlighting their synthesis, structure, and reactivity, with a particular focus on the cyclic bis(NHC) and bis(NHSi) supported silylones and




Chart 2 Known monatomic silicon(0) and germanium(0) complexes **C–K** and **1–6**.



germylones. Previous results of isolable zero-valent Si, Ge, and Sn were reviewed by Sasamori³⁶ and our group.³⁷ The dative bonding in zero-valent group 14 complexes has also been reviewed by Frenking and co-workers recently.^{38–40}

2. Synthesis of monatomic silicon(0) and germanium(0) complexes

2.1 Silylones and germylones C–K

The first isolable silicon compound with silylone character to be reported (mentioned above) is the trisilaallene **C** (Chart 2, $M' = \text{Si}$) obtained by Kira and co-workers in 2003.¹¹ It was synthesised from silicon tetrachloride and a cyclic dialkylsilylene⁴¹ in a two-step reaction, including reduction with potassium graphite. The molecular structure of **C** established by X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) at -150°C revealed a significantly bent Si–Si–Si angle [$136.49(6)^\circ$] and two relatively short Si–Si bonds [$2.177(1)/2.188(1) \text{ \AA}$]. With a similar synthetic strategy, Kira and co-workers developed the first examples of 1,3-digermasilaallene **C** (Chart 2, $M' = \text{Ge}$),⁴² 1,3-disilagermaallene **D** ($M' = \text{Si}$),¹⁶ and trigermaallene **D** ($M' = \text{Ge}$).⁴² It is of note that the related tristannaallene ($t\text{Bu}_3\text{Si}$)₄Sn₃ was actually already reported by Wiberg and co-workers a few years earlier in 1999.⁴³

The acyclic silylone (cAAC)₂Si **E** (Chart 2) was isolated by the groups of Roesky, Stalke, and Frenking in 2013.^{18,44} The precursor of this silylone is a stable carbene-centred biradical (cAAC)₂SiCl₂⁴⁵ resulting from the reaction of SiCl₂(^DNHC)⁴⁶ [^DNHC = 1,3-bis(Dipp)-imidazol-2-ylidene, Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl] and the free cAAC¹⁷ ligand. Reduction of the Si(IV) species (cAAC)₂SiCl₂ with potassium graphite in THF furnished the silylone **E** in good yield. It was later revealed that this silylone can additionally be obtained from either the reaction of cAAC with H₂SiI₂ or the reduction of the Si(I) radical (cAAC)₂SiI with LiN(iPr)₂.⁴⁷ Although the silylone **E** features a silicon atom in the formal oxidation state zero, it exhibits remarkable stability. The amorphous powder of **E** is stable for an hour while its crystals are stable for about a day in air, according to the observed colour change. The germanium homologue (cAAC)₂Ge **F** (Chart 2) was isolated by Roesky, Zhu, Stalke, and Andrada through a one-pot synthesis with GeCl₂(dioxane), cAAC, and potassium graphite in a 1:2:2.1 molar ratio.²¹ According to DFT calculations of (cAAC)₂Si and (cAAC)₂Ge, the predominant contribution to the HOMO–1 is an s-type lone pair orbital and to the HOMO is a p-type orbital with largest extension at the central atoms, indicating their ylidone character.^{18,21} However, as a result of the highly π -accepting nature of the cAAC ligand, the ylidone character of **E** and **F** is considerably reduced, which accounts for the remarkable stability of silylone **E**.

Utilising a tridentate bis(imino)pyridine ligand, which has also been employed for stabilising iron(0) complexes,⁴⁸ Nikonov and co-workers were able to isolate the germanium(0) complex **G** (Chart 2).²² This three-coordinate germanium complex **G** was generated in moderate yield by the reduction of the

bis(imino)pyridine [GeCl]⁺ adduct resulting from autoionisation of germanium dichloride. Owing to the non-innocent character of the bis(imino)pyridine platform, this formal zero-valent germanium species possesses some multiple-bond character between the Ge atom and imine-N atoms as a result of delocalisation of one Ge lone pair into the $\pi^*(\text{C}=\text{N})$ orbitals. A related three-coordinate tin(0) complex, resulting from the transamination reaction of a bis(imino)pyridine ligand with Sn[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂ in diethyl ether, was described by Fischer and Flock.⁴⁹

Very recently, the group of Nikonov succeeded in the synthesis of the germanium(0) complex **H**, in which the germanium atom is stabilised by a tridentate diimino-NHC (dimNHC) ligand.²³ In contrast to the bis(imino)pyridine-supported Ge⁰ **G**, complex **H** exhibits some interesting reactivity towards organic substrates with the involvement of the NHC-carbon(*n*) atom [C(*n*) oxidised to C(*iv*), *vide infra*].

Starting from a related bidentate (mono)imino-NHC ligand system, Kinjo and co-workers prepared a chlorogermilymylidene *via* autoionisation of germanium dichloride as a suitable precursor for the germanium(0) complex **I** (Chart 2).²⁴ Reduction of this precursor with potassium graphite afforded the cyclic germanium complex **I**. DFT calculations revealed that one of the lone pairs of the central Ge atom significantly delocalises over the five-membered C₂N₂Ge ring. Thus compound **I** may be viewed as both a germanium(0) species and a mesoionic germylene.


Sasamori and Tokitoh recently achieved the highly bent 1,3-digerma-2-silaallene **J** (mentioned previously, Chart 2).²⁶ The (tetra)chlorinated precursor of **J** (1,1,2,5-tetrachloro-2,5-digerma-1-sila-cyclopentane) was synthesised by heating the germanium-based ligand 1,2-Bbt₂-1,2-digermacyclobutene⁵⁰ (Bbt = 2,6-[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂-4-[C(SiMe₃)₃]-C₆H₂) in neat SiCl₄. The subsequent reduction of this precursor with four molar equiv. of potassium graphite in benzene afforded **J** in moderate yield. Significantly enhanced silylone character has been assumed compared to that of acyclic trimetallallene such as **C** and **D**, based on the relatively smaller Ge–Si–Ge angle [$80.08(4)^\circ$].

Finally, Lips and co-workers described recently a carbene-induced elimination of the tertiary amine NDipp(SiMe₃)₂, to afford the bicyclic amido- and carbene-substituted silicon ring compound **K** (Chart 2) with a Si=N double bond and a two-coordinate Si atom.²⁷ Spectroscopic investigations and DFT calculations of this bifunctional compound revealed significant silylone properties for the two-coordinate Si atom.

2.2 Bis(NHC)-supported silylone 1 and germylone 2

Since the beginning of last decade, we have been interested in developing silicon(0) and germanium(0) complexes with strongly sigma-donating and chelating ligands. Such compounds would allow us to realise a highly bent angle on the central Si⁰ and Ge⁰ atoms with enhanced reactivity, compared with non-chelating ligands and ligands featuring poorer sigma donors *e.g.* imines. Our first attempt was in 2012 with the isolation of the first chlorosilyliumylidene [SiCl]⁺ complex **7** with bis(tributylphosphazenylnaphthalene) as the chelating





Scheme 1 Reduction of chlorosilyliumylidene **7** and chlorogermiylumylidene **8** supported by bis(tributylphosphazenylnaphthalene).

ligand (Scheme 1).⁵¹ Compound **7** seemed to be a promising precursor for the hypothetical complex **9**, however, its reduction with different reducing reagents such as potassium graphite and sodium naphthalenide led merely to unidentified mixtures of products. DFT calculations of **7** revealed that the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) was mainly localised on the naphthalene moiety of the supporting ligand, implying that the naphthalene backbone would be reduced over the silicon(II) centre. The same is true for our first attempt to prepare germanium(0) complex **10**, starting from the corresponding bis(tributylphosphazenylnaphthalene)-ligated chlorogermiylumylidene $[\text{GeCl}]^+$ **8** with its LUMO localised also on the supporting ligand (Scheme 1).⁵²

Considering the fact that NHCs have been employed for stabilising the diatomic silicon(0)⁵³ and germanium(0)⁵⁴ complexes in the form of $(\text{P}^{\text{NHC}})\text{M}=\text{M}:(\text{P}^{\text{NHC}})$ (M = Si, Ge), we envisioned that a chelating bis(NHC) might be capable of supporting a monatomic silicon(0) or germanium(0) species. Starting with this bidentate bis(NHC),⁵⁵ a ligand exchange reaction with $\text{SiCl}_2(\text{P}^{\text{NHC}})$ ⁴⁶ in THF afforded the expected chlorosilyliumylidene $[\text{SiCl}]^+$ complex **11** (Scheme 2).¹⁹ As intended, both the HOMO and LUMO of **11** are mainly localised on the Si centre. A similar synthetic approach resulted in isolation of the analogous complex, bis(NHC)-ligated chlorogermiylumylidene **12** (Scheme 2).²⁰ The HOMO and LUMO of this germanium(II) compound are also mainly located on the Ge(II) atom. With **11** and **12** as long-sought precursors for the corresponding zero-valent complexes in hand, we conducted their dechlorination with two molar equiv. of sodium


naphthalenide. Indeed, the reduction of **11** in THF at -60°C furnished the desired silylone **1** which was isolated as a dark red powder (Scheme 2).¹⁹

The molecular structure of silylone **1** established by XRD (Fig. 1) reveals two Si–C distances (av. 1.869 Å), both slightly longer than those observed for the acyclic silylone $(\text{cAAC})_2\text{Si}$ [1.841(2) Å] reported by Roesky, Stalke, and Frenking,¹⁸ in which the cAACs act as much stronger π electron acceptors than NHCs. Consequently, the Si^0 centre of **1** is more electron-rich than that in $(\text{cAAC})_2\text{Si}$ as shown by the drastic upfield shift of the ^{29}Si NMR resonance [**1**: $\delta = -80.1$ ppm vs. $\delta = 66.7$ ppm for $(\text{cAAC})_2\text{Si}$]. Moreover, silylone **1** shows larger first and second proton affinities [$\text{PA}(\text{1}) = 283.4$, $\text{PA}(\text{2}) = 168.3$ kcal mol $^{-1}$] in comparison to those of $(\text{cAAC})_2\text{Si}$ (268.8, 155.3 kcal mol $^{-1}$) as suggested by DFT calculations based on molecular models.¹⁹ In line with these calculations, two lone pairs of electrons are confirmed at the silicon centre of silylone **1**. The HOMO involves a silicon π -orbital with small degree of Si–C π bonding and the HOMO–1 corresponds to a silicon σ -lone pair orbital. Notably, silylone **1** shows a deep-red colour in toluene and an absorption maximum at $\lambda = 547$ nm ($\epsilon = 7.5 \times 10^3$) was observed in the UV-vis spectrum.

As expected, the reduction of the bis(NHC)-supported chlorogermiylumylidene $[\text{GeCl}]^+$ **12** with two molar equiv. of sodium naphthalenide in THF at -30°C furnishes the germiylone **2** as a dark red powder (Scheme 2).²⁰ The molecular structure established by XRD is isostructural with that of the silicon analogue **1** (Fig. 1). The two Ge–C distances of 1.967(2) and 1.962(2) Å in **2** are slightly longer than those in the acyclic $(\text{cAAC})_2\text{Ge}$ complexes [1.9386(16)–1.954(2) Å] described by Roesky, Zhu, Stalke, and Andrada,²¹ indicating again the stronger electron accepting effect of cAACs vs. NHCs as supporting ligands. As suggested by the DFT calculations, the HOMO of **2** corresponds to a π -type orbital located at the Ge centre with appreciable Ge–C π bonding character, while the HOMO–1 involves a σ lone pair orbital at the Ge centre.²⁰ The proton affinities of compound **2** [$\text{PA}(\text{1}) = 279.6$, $\text{PA}(\text{2}) = 175.0$ kcal mol $^{-1}$] confirm the presence of two lone pairs at the germanium(0) centre.

2.3 Bis(NHSi)-ligated silylones and germiylones 3–6

Silylenes are divalent silicon species with two valence electrons as a lone pair and a formally empty orbital at the silicon centre.^{56–59}



Scheme 2 Synthesis of the bis(NHC)-supported silylone **1** and germiylone **2** via chlorosilyliumylidene **11** and chlorogermiylumylidene **12**, respectively, starting from the bis(NHC) ligand.





Fig. 1 Molecular structures of the bis(NHC)-supported silylone **1** (M = Si) and germiylone **2** (M = Ge) (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).



Three-coordinate amidinato silylenes, $\text{PhC}(\text{N}t\text{Bu})_2\text{SiR}$ (R = organic substituent), derived from $[\text{PhC}(\text{N}t\text{Bu})_2\text{SiCl}]$,⁶⁰ can serve as strong donating ligands in coordination chemistry due to the intramolecular electron donation of an imino moiety to the silylene centre. For instance, a digermanium(0) complex stabilised by the tricoordinate silylene $[\text{PhC}(\text{N}t\text{Bu})_2\text{Si}(\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2)]$ was reported by So and co-workers.⁶¹ Based on this type of NHSis, we recently developed several chelating bis(NHSi) systems and successfully applied them in transition metal coordination and catalysis.^{62–65} As the latter NHSis are generally stronger Lewis donors with respect to NHCs,⁶⁶ we realised that such chelating NHSi ligands could also be applied for synthesising more reactive silylones and germylones. Starting with the pincer-type tridentate bis(NHSi)-pyridine **13**,⁶⁷ we synthesised the germanium(II) complex **14** as a precursor for a bis(NHSi) supported germylone (Scheme 3). While attempts for bis(NHSi)pyridine- Ge^0 complex **15** via reductive dechlorination of **14** failed, the reaction with $\text{K}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ resulted in the isolation of **16** as an iron(0) complex of the desired bis(NHSi)pyridene- Ge^0 species, whereby the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ moiety acts as a Lewis acid to stabilise one of the germylone lone pairs. The molecular structure of **16** shows a three-coordinate germanium atom bearing an $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ moiety in addition to two NHSis, adopting a trigonal-pyramidal geometry with a lone pair of electrons occupying the apex. Interestingly, insertion of GeCl_2 into the $\text{Ge} \rightarrow \text{Fe}$ donor-acceptor bond of **16** occurred when **16** was reacted with $\text{GeCl}_2(\text{dioxane})$, furnishing complex **17** (Scheme 3).

Considering that the failure to achieve the bis(NHSi)-supported germylone **15** may be owing to the flexibility of the bis(NHSi)pyridine ligand, we selected the rigid bis(NHSi) **18**⁶⁸




Scheme 3 Attempt to synthesise the bis(NHSi) supported germylone **15** via chlorogermyliumylidene **14**, starting from bis(NHSi) **13**, and the formation of **16** and **17**, respectively.

with a xanthene scaffold in order to synthesise a bis(NHSi)-supported silylone and germylone (Scheme 4). Dropwise addition of one molar equiv. of $\text{SiCl}_2(\text{D}^{\text{NHC}})$ ⁴⁶ in diethyl ether into a solution of **18** at room temperature leads to the formation of chlorosilyliumylidene chloride **19** as a yellow precipitate.²⁸ It is of note that further reaction of **19** with one additional molar equiv. of $\text{SiCl}_2(\text{D}^{\text{NHC}})$ can occur *via* the insertion of $:\text{SiCl}_2$ into the Si–Cl bond of **19**, affording the chlorosilane complex **20**.

Starting from **19**, reduction with two molar equiv. of potassium graphite in THF at ambient temperature affords a dark purple solution, from which the desired bis(NHSi)-supported silylone **3** is isolated as dark purple crystals (Scheme 4).²⁸ Compound **3** is considerably sensitive towards air and moisture. Its ^{29}Si NMR spectrum exhibits a resonance at $\delta -187.5$ ppm in C_6D_6 assignable to the silicon(0) atom. This signal is significantly upfield shifted with respect to that of the bis(NHC)-supported silylone **1** ($\delta -80.1$ ppm in C_6D_6), indicating the stronger σ -donating nature of the NHSi–Si(II) atoms.

In the crystal structure, two independent molecules of **3** with nearly identical geometric parameters are present in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 2).²⁸ The Si–Si bond distances of **3** ranging from 2.2451(7) to 2.2586(7) Å are substantially longer than the analogous Si–Si distances observed in trisilaallene **C** (Chart 2, $\text{M}' = \text{Si}$) [2.177(1) and 2.188(1) Å] with two cyclic alkyl silylenes,¹¹ implying the weaker π -accepting properties of an NHSi compared to that in a cyclic alkyl silylene. The Si–Si–Si bond angles [104.38(3)° and 103.87(3)°] in the two independent molecules of **3** are considerably narrower than that in trisilaallene **C** (Chart 2 $\text{M}' = \text{Si}$) [136.49(6)°].¹¹ The electronic structure of silylone **3** has been investigated by DFT calculations and Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) analysis, which revealed the presence of two perpendicular lone-pairs of electrons on the central silicon(0) atom, *i.e.*, an $\text{sp}^{0.41}$ -type lone-pair and a delocalised p lone-pair.²⁸ Despite the longer Si–Si distances mentioned above, the Wiberg Bond Index (WBI) of the Si–Si



Scheme 4 Reaction of the bis(NHSi)xanthene **18** with $\text{SiCl}_2(\text{D}^{\text{NHC}})$ to afford the silicon(II) chloride complexes **19** and **20** as well as the synthesis of bis(NHSi)-supported silylone **3** from **19**.






Fig. 2 Molecular structures of the xanthene-based bis(NHSi)-supported silylone **3** (M = Si) and germlylone **4** (M = Ge) (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).

bonds in **3** is 1.40, indicating there is still some double bond character to the Si-Si bonds.

Akin to the silicon analogue **19**, the chlorogermylumylidene chloride **21** supported by (bis-NHSi)xanthene can be prepared by treatment of the bis(NHSi) ligand **18** with one molar equiv. of $\text{GeCl}_2(\text{dioxane})$ in diethyl ether at room temperature (Scheme 5).³⁰ In contrast to the silicon analogue, no further reaction of **21** with $\text{GeCl}_2(\text{dioxane})$ was observed, which makes the synthesis of **21** easier. Dechlorination of **21** with two molar equiv. of potassium graphite in THF at room temperature furnishes the desired bis(NHSi)-supported germlylone **4** as dark blue crystals (Scheme 5). In the molecular structure of **4**, established by XRD (Fig. 2), the Ge-Si distances of 2.3147(9) and 2.3190(9) Å are considerably longer with respect to those in 2-germadisilaallene **D** [Chart 2, $M' = \text{Si}$, 2.2366(7) and 2.2373(7) Å] again due to the weaker π -accepting ability of the NHSis compared to the cyclic alkyl silylenes. Moreover, the Si-Ge-Si angle [102.87(3)°] of **4** is much more acute than that of **D** [132.38(2)°]. The calculated WBI of the Si-Ge bonds in **4** is 1.34, indicating a partial double bond character.³⁰ Similar to the silicon analogue **3**, the computational analysis suggests that germlylone **4** features a Ge^0 atom with two perpendicular lone



Scheme 5 Synthesis of bis(NHSi)xanthene-supported germlylone **4** from **18** via the chlorogermylumylidene **21**.



Scheme 6 Synthesis of the (bis-NHSi)carborane supported silicon(0) (**5**) and germanium (**6**) complexes from bis(NHSi) ligand **22** via the bis(NHSi) dianion **23**.

pairs, with some electron delocalisation into both silicon(II) formally vacant orbitals.

To realise a silylone and a germlylone with an even more rigid bis(NHSi) ligand, we recently paid our attention to the bis(NHSi)-*o*-carborane **22**⁶⁹ (Scheme 6) with a Si...Si distance of *ca.* 3.3 Å documented by our group in 2016. Notably, *o*-carboranes can easily undergo cluster core opening *via* two-electron reduction with alkaline metals to form *nido*-carborane dianions.^{70,71} Accordingly, the reaction of **22** with two molar equiv. of potassium graphite leads expectedly to the desired dipotassium 1,2-bis(silylenyl)-*nido*-carborane salt **23**. Compound **23** undergoes a metathesis reaction with $\text{SiI}_2(\text{DNHC})$ ⁴⁶ or better $\text{SiI}_2(\text{NHC})$ ⁷² in THF to afford the bis(NHSi)-supported silylone **5** as a red powder.²⁹

In the $^{29}\text{Si}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of **5**, the signal of the central Si^0 atom was observed at $\delta -263.8$ ppm, which is even more strongly shielded by 76 ppm compared to that of NHSi-supported silylone **3** ($\delta -187.5$ ppm).²⁹ The molecular structure of **5** has a C_{2v} symmetry with a planar five-membered C_2Si_3 ring with two Si-Si distances of [2.2272(6) and 2.2225(6) Å] (Fig. 3), which are comparable to those observed for **3** [2.2451(7)–2.2586(7) Å]. Remarkably, silylone **5** exhibits a very narrow Si-Si-Si angle [82.75(2)°] relative to that in **3** (104°).²⁸ According to quantum chemical calculations, the HOMO and the




Fig. 3 Molecular structures of the *o*-carborane-based bis(NHSi) supported silylone **5** (M = Si) and germlylone **6** (M = Ge) (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).

HOMO–1 of **5** correspond to the silylone π - and σ -symmetry lone pairs, respectively, resembling the situations of silylones **1**¹⁹ and **3**.²⁸

Treatment of the *nido*-carborane dianions **23** with one molar equiv. of GeCl_2 (dioxane) at room temperature in THF resulted in formation of the carborane-based germylone **6** which is isolated as a brown-red powder (Scheme 6).³¹ Germylone **6** is isostructural with its silicon analogue **5** (Fig. 3) with Ge–Si distances [2.2896(5) and 2.2846(5) Å] close to those observed for the xanthene-based germylone **4** [2.3147(9) and 2.23190(9) Å].³⁰ However, the Si–Ge–Si angle of 80.59(2)° in **6** is much more acute with respect to that in the xanthene-based germylone **4** [102.87(3)°]³⁰ and even smaller than that of bis(NHC)-supported germylone **2** [86.6(1)°].²⁰ As a genuine germylone, the HOMO and the HOMO–1 of **6** correspond to the germylone lone pairs with π - and σ -symmetry respectively.³¹

3. Reactivity of monatomic silicon(0) and germanium(0) complexes

3.1 Acting as a Lewis base

Since silylones and germylones feature two lone pairs of electrons at the central silicon and germanium atoms, they are expected to act as Lewis bases to form stable donor–acceptor adducts with appropriate Lewis acids. This was first proven by the reaction of silylone **1** with one molar equiv. of GaCl_3 in THF, affording the silylone– GaCl_3 complex **24** as a yellow solid (Scheme 7).⁷³ The molecular structure of **24** exhibits a three-coordinate silicon atom adopting a pseudotetrahedral coordination geometry with a lone pair of electrons at the vertex position (Fig. 4). This suggests that one of the lone pairs at the silicon centre is donated to the GaCl_3 moiety.

By analogy, coordination of **2** with GaCl_3 yields the germanium complex **25** as a yellow solid (Scheme 7).⁷⁴ Compound **25** is isostructural to its silicon analogue **24** and possesses also a lone pair on the germanium centre. It is worth mentioning that coordination of the germanium compound **1** (Chart 2) with $\text{M}(\text{CO})_5$ ($\text{M} = \text{Cr}, \text{Mo}, \text{W}$) leads also to 1 : 1 adducts.⁷⁵ As shown by Kinjo and co-workers, however, the germanium centres





Fig. 4 Molecular structure of the silylone– GaCl_3 adduct **24** (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).

therein possess a trigonal planar geometry without any indication for a lone pair on the germanium centre.

The bis(NHC)-supported silylone **1** and germylone **2** react also readily with other group 13 Lewis acids such as AlBr_3 and BCl_3 , but the desired products could not be isolated, presumably due to the formation of both mono and bis-Lewis-acid adducts. To our delight, a bis-Lewis-acid adduct **26** with silylone acting as a 2-fold donor toward ZnCl_2 has been obtained from silylone **1** and characterised structurally (Scheme 7).³⁷ In the molecular structure of **26** the central silicon atom, coordinated by a chelating bis(NHC) and two ZnCl_2 molecules, adopts a pseudotetrahedral coordination environment (Fig. 5). The coordination environment of the two Zn atoms in **26** is different: one in a trigonal planar geometry, the other additionally coordinated by a THF molecule.

Although the xanthene-based bis(NHSi)-supported silylone **3** and germylone **4** possess some double bond character between the silylene–Si atoms and the central silicon or germanium atom, they show coordination ability toward one and even two Lewis acids. This has been demonstrated by the Lewis adduct formation with AlBr_3 . The reaction of germylone **4** with one molar equiv. of AlBr_3 leads smoothly to the mono- AlBr_3 adduct **27** which can be isolated as an orange solid (Scheme 8).³⁰ In the molecular structure of **27**, the germanium atom adopts a pseudotetrahedral coordination geometry, implying the presence of a lone pair of electrons at the apex.



Scheme 7 Formation of GaCl_3 adducts of bis(NHC)-supported silylone **24** and germylone **25** from **1** and **2**, respectively, and the two-fold ZnCl_2 adduct **26** from **1**.





Fig. 5 Molecular structure of the silylone– ZnCl_2 adduct **26** (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).



Scheme 8 Formation of AlBr_3 adducts **27** and **28** with xanthene-based bis(NHSi) germlyone **4**.

Further coordination of AlBr_3 with the mono- AlBr_3 adduct **27** to form the bis- AlBr_3 adduct **28** is dependent on the solvent used (Scheme 8).³⁰ Due to the stronger coordination ability of the donor solvents than that of **27**, the desired bis- AlBr_3 adduct **28** could not be formed in ethereal solvent such as Et_2O and THF even in the presence of the 20-fold molar excess of AlBr_3 . In benzene solutions, however, the adduct **28** can be obtained in the form of a white precipitate and characterised with XRD.

Interestingly, the *o*-carborane-bis(NHSi)-supported germlyone **6** can react with GeCl_2 -dioxane, yielding exclusively complex **29** as a yellow solid regardless of the ratio of starting materials (Scheme 9).³¹ The crystal structure of **29** reveals a four-coordinate germanium centre attached to two germlyone-Ge atoms and two chlorine atoms. The central germanium centre adopts a seesaw coordination geometry with both chloride atoms located at the axial positions, suggesting that one of the three equatorial positions is occupied by a lone pair (Fig. 6). Compound **29** can be viewed as a $\text{Ge}^0\text{Ge}^{\text{II}}$ adduct, in which each Ge^0 atom still features a stereochemically active lone pair, as indicated by its pyramidal coordination geometry. Notably, this complex can serve as a precursor for the novel bis(NHSi)-supported





Fig. 6 Molecular structure of the GeCl_2 -germylone adduct **29** (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).

neutral Ge_2 complex **30** (Scheme 9), which is obtained as a minor isolable product along with germlyone **6** when reduced using two molar equiv. of potassium naphthalenide.


It is of note, due to the electron-rich nature of silylones and germlyones, some of them can also act as reducing agents towards germanium(II) and silicon(II) dichloride complexes to generate elemental germanium and silicon, respectively, as shown in Scheme 10. For instance, the reaction of silylone **1** or germlyone **2** with $\text{SiCl}_2(\text{D}^{\text{NHC}})$ leads to the known disilicon(0) complex $(\text{D}^{\text{NHC}})\text{Si}=\text{Si}(\text{D}^{\text{NHC}})$,⁵³ along with elemental silicon. In both cases, **1** and **2** convert back to their respective precursors **11** and **12** during the process. Similarly, utilising the germlyone **K** (Chart 2), Nikonov and co-workers explored very recently the reaction with $\text{GeCl}_2(\text{dioxane})$, giving rise to the corresponding $[\text{GeCl}]^+$ complex with $[\text{GeCl}_3]^-$ as counterion.²³

3.2 Oxidation with elemental chalcogens

Since silylones bear a silicon(0) centre, they may be transformed with elemental chalcogens to monomeric silicon(II) and silicon(IV)



Scheme 9 Formation of the two-fold germlyone- GeCl_2 adduct **29** and its reduction affording germlyone **6** and the diradical dimer **30**.



Scheme 10 Reaction of silylone **1** and germlyone **2** with $\text{GeCl}_2(\text{dioxane})$ and $\text{SiCl}_2(\text{D}^{\text{NHC}})$ complexes, respectively.



chalcogenide complexes. In fact, facile reaction between silylone **1** with elemental sulphur leads to the bis(NHC)-supported SiS₂ complex **31** as a colourless powder (Scheme 11).⁷³ Moreover, compound **31** can coordinate with GaCl₃ to form a push-pull complex **32** as colourless crystals suitable for XRD characterisation. Alternatively, adduct **32** can be prepared through the reaction of the GaCl₃ complex **24** with elemental sulphur. The molecular structure of **32** reveals a strongly bent S=Si=S unit with GaCl₃ coordinating to one of the sulphide atoms and the new silicon(IV) centre remains ligated to the bis(NHC).


In contrast to the reaction of **24** with sulphur, which leads directly to a silicon(IV) species, the reaction of **24** with red selenium (Se₈) is controllable and solvent dependent, affording the two silicon selenide complexes **33** and **34** (Scheme 11).⁷⁶ In acetonitrile, **24** reacts with red selenium at room temperature for 3 h to afford the silicon(II) selenide **33** as an isolable product, while the reaction of **24** with red selenium in THF furnishes directly the silicon(IV) selenide **34**. Conversion of the silicon monoselenide **33** to the diselenide **34** with red selenium is observed in THF. As expected, compound **34** is isostructural with its sulphur analogue **32**, bearing a strongly bent SiSe₂ moiety. The molecular structure of **33** features an :Si=Se moiety with a terminal =Se and a tetrahedrally coordinated

silicon centre, ligated by the bis(NHC) and the gallium atom of GaCl₃. The silicon–selenium distance (*ca.* 2.14 Å) of **33** suggests appreciable Si–Se double bond character. It should be mentioned that complexes of heavier analogues of CO are rare. Known examples include SnO,⁷⁷ PbO,⁷⁷ and PbSe⁷⁸ coordinated by supporting ligands at both ends of the heavy CO analogues. Complex **33** represents the first example of a heavy homologue of CO complexes only supported at the group 14 (silicon) site.

In sharp contrast to the reaction of **24** with elemental sulphur and selenium, **24** does not react with elemental tellurium. Utilising Te=P(^{*n*}Bu)₃ as a more reactive tellurium source, however, **24** can be transformed to the isolable bis(NHC)SiTe₂ **35** as orange crystals (Scheme 11).⁷⁶ The molecular structure of **35** established by XRD exhibits a strongly bent Te=Si=Te moiety (Te–Si–Te angle: 128.4°) with the silicon centre additionally coordinated by the bis(NHC) ligand (Fig. 7). The two Si–Te distances are slightly different [2.389(4) and 2.436(2) Å]. Compounds **32**, **34**, and **35** represent the first series of monomeric SiX₂ (X = S, Se, Te) complexes as heavy homologues of CO₂. Very recently, starting from the silicon(0) complex **K**, Lips obtained another series of SiX₂ complexes **K-1** (Chart 3) with one of the chalcogen atoms interacting with the silicon atom of the SiN(Dipp) moiety of the ligand as well.⁷⁹ It is noted that dimeric SiX₂ (X = S,⁸⁰ Se,⁸¹ Te⁸²) stabilised by NHC or cAAC have also been structurally characterised. A donor and acceptor supported SiO₂ complex has also been described recently.⁸³

Akin to **24**, the germanium analogue **25** reacts readily with elemental sulphur to afford the GeS₂ complex **36** as a colourless solid (Scheme 12).⁷⁴ While attempts to get the germanium(II) monosulphide complex from **25** failed, the complexes of germanium(II) monoselenide **37** and monotelluride **38** result from the reaction of **25** with red selenium and elemental tellurium, respectively. Moreover, the germanium(II) complex **37** can be converted to the germanium(IV) species **39** with red selenium in THF. Remarkably, treatment of **37** and **39** with elemental sulphur leads to the GeS₂ complex **36** under elimination of elemental selenium and tellurium, respectively.

The molecular structures of the germanium(IV) dichalcogenides **36** and **39** resemble those of the silicon analogues **32** and **34**. In contrast, the molecular structures of germanium(II) complexes **37** and **38** show completely different structures compared with that of the silicon monoselenide complex **33**. Complexes **37** and **38** possess a lone pair at the germanium



Scheme 11 Reaction of silylone **1** and its GaCl₃ complex **24** with elemental sulphur, selenium, and TeP(^{*n*}Bu)₃, respectively.




Fig. 7 Molecular structure of the bis(NHC)-supported SiTe₂ complex **35** (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).







Chart 3 Oxidation products K-1, E-1, I-1,2, H-1,2,3,4,5 resulting from silylones K and E as well as germylones I and H, respectively.




Scheme 12 Reaction of germylone-GaCl₃ adduct 25 with elemental Sulphur, selenium, and tellurium to afford 36-39, respectively.

centre with the GaCl₃ moiety coordinated to the Se and Te atoms, while 33 features a Si=Se bond and has the GaCl₃ attached to the silicon centre. This marks a significant difference between divalent silicon and germanium centres in terms of coordination to a Lewis acid: the lone pair of silicon(II) is much more active than that of germanium(II).

3.3 Activation of CO₂ and N₂O

Although silicon(0) and germanium(0) complexes are extremely sensitive toward dioxygen, leading to SiO₂ or GeO₂ with the



Scheme 13 Reaction of silylone 1 with CO₂ to furnish 40 via the SiO and SiO₂ intermediates 41 and 42.


liberation of their respective ligands,⁴⁴ the bis(NHC)- and bis(NHSi)-supported silylones allowed us to control the extent of reaction, from which we were able to isolate several novel silicon compounds by using CO₂ and N₂O as oxygenation agents. For instance, the reaction of silylone 1 with CO₂ at low temperature affords the silicon(IV) dicarbonate complex (bis-NHC)Si(CO₃)₂ 40 (Scheme 13).⁸⁴ Indeed, the latter product represents the first isolable molecular silicon dicarbonate complex. According to DFT calculations, (bis-NHC)SiO 41 and (bis-NHC)SiO₂ 42 are formed as reactive intermediates during the reaction. The formation of 42 proceeds exergonically by only -88 kJ mol⁻¹ and 41 is even formed endergonically (12 kJ mol⁻¹). Due to the extremely polar Si-O bonds in 42, the reaction with two additional molecules of CO₂ to afford 40 occurs with a free-energy gain of -81 kJ mol⁻¹. The calculated reaction free energies for the reaction of 1 with dioxygen are -236 and -583 kJ mol⁻¹ for the mono- and di-oxygenation respectively, rationalising the uncontrollable reaction with O₂ mentioned above. It should be mentioned that the reaction of germylone 2 with CO₂ led merely to the Lewis adduct (bis-NHC)(CO₂)₂ along with a precipitate of GeO₂.

While the reaction of bis(NHC)-supported silylone 1 with N₂O remains uncontrollable, slow exposure of a diethyl ether solution of the bis(NHSi)-stabilised silylone 3 to two molar equiv. of N₂O leads to the gradual crystallisation of the novel silicon oxide complex 43 as yellow crystals (Scheme 14).²⁸ In the presence of excess N₂O, silylone 3 is also partially oxidised to 43 by only two equiv. of N₂O. Presumably, further oxidation by N₂O is prevented by the poor solubility of the product 43. In contrast, when a diethyl ether solution of 3 is mixed with N₂O gas in a molar ratio of 1:1, compound 44 precipitates gradually as colourless crystals. Interestingly, the Ph group of the amidinato group is reduced/undergoes a cycloaddition. Notably, exposing 44 to excess N₂O only causes its decomposition and no further oxidation to 43 is observed, excluding compound 44 as an intermediate in the formation of 43.

3.4 Activation of σ bonds in organic substrates

With two high energy lone pairs, silylones and germylones are expected to readily activate a range of chemical bonds from







Scheme 14 Reaction of silylone **3** with N_2O under different conditions to yield **43** and **44**.

suitable organic substrates, including E–H (E = B, C, N) and C–X (X = halogen) bonds. In these addition reactions, the group 14(0) centre can be oxidised to the +2 or +4 oxidation state, whilst the ligand acts in a cooperative manner. With the reported ylidenes featuring a variety of supporting ligands, the acceptor orbitals are specific to each complex and substrate, affecting the outcome of reaction significantly. Although $(\text{cAAC})_2\text{Si E}$ is relatively stable, Roesky and co-workers described an intramolecular insertion of the silicon(0) centre into one of the Dipp C–H bonds of the supporting cAAC ligands, yielding the cyclic cAAC-stabilised silylene **E-1** (Chart 3).⁸⁵ This transformation was induced by treating the silylone **E** with elemental potassium and believed to proceed *via* a cAAC-carbon-centred radical anion, rather than the result of an intrinsic reactivity of the Si^0 site in the silylone. In a nucleophilic substitution type reaction, germlylone **I** can be controllably methylated with one molar equiv. of methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (MeOTf) to furnish the germlyliumylidene ion **I-1** (Chart 3) and with two equiv. of MeOTf to afford the $\text{Ge}(\text{IV})$ species **I-2**, shown by Kinjo and co-workers.⁷⁵ In the case of the $(\text{dimNHC})\text{Ge H}$ developed in 2020 by Nikonov,²³ the carbene donor of the tridentate ligand is involved in H/C–X (X = halogen) activation. In reactions with HCl, MeI, PhI and $\text{C}_5\text{F}_5\text{N}$, a Ge–X bond and a C–H or C–C bond form at the carbene-C to give the new species **H-1-4** (Chart 3) respectively.²³ Additionally, the germlylone **H** also undergoes a cycloaddition reaction with the C=O moieties in tetrachloro-*o*-benzoquinone to afford an NHC-supported $\text{Ge}(\text{IV})$ bis(catecholate) **H-5** (Chart 3).

Using the bis(NHSi)-supported germlylone **4**, B–H activation can be achieved. Treating compound **4** with 1 molar equiv. of 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9-BBN) in toluene at room temperature yields the unexpected silylene-stabilised boryl(silyl)germylene **45** as dark red crystals (Scheme 15).³⁰ It became evident from the structure of **45** that the boron-bound hydrogen atom of 9-BBN is



Scheme 15 Reaction of germlylone **4** with 9-BBN to give **45**.



Scheme 16 Activation of NH_3 with silylone **3** to generate **46**.


added onto the carbon atom of the amidinate ring. At the Ge^0 site we see oxidation of Ge^0 to Ge^{II} with a new Ge–B and Ge–Si bond. The NHSi ligand acts as the hydride acceptor in this case leading to the formation of an electron-sharing Ge–Si bond and saturation of the amidinato ring.

Inspired by the recent reports using low-valent group 14 species for activating NH_3 ,^{86–88} we investigated the reactivity of silylone **3** toward NH_3 . Exposure of **3** to 1 bar of ammonia at room temperature leads to the isolation of 1,3-diaminotrissilane **46** as colourless crystals (Scheme 16).²⁸ Here we see two N–H bonds from two ammonia molecules add across the Si^0 – Si^{II} bond to form two new Si–H bonds and two new Si–N bonds. All the silicon centres are fully oxidised to the +4 oxidation state. Notably, activation of NH_3 by germlylone **4** is also possible according to *in situ* NMR, affording a germanium analogue of **46** that is unstable and gradually converted to an unidentified mixture.

3.5 Forming FLPs for small molecule activation

Low valent silicon compounds such as acyclic silylenes and silicon–silicon multiple bond-containing species have recently demonstrated their ability for activating H_2 and ethylene.^{89–95} This progress prompted us to examine the reactivity of silylones toward these gases. Unfortunately, monitoring of the ^1H NMR spectra of silylone **3** in H_2 or C_2H_4 atmosphere reveals that the silylone is inert toward either of these gases, due to the lack of an appropriate acceptor orbital at the Si^0 centre or on the ligand. Frustrated Lewis Pairs (FLPs) take advantage of the potential for cooperation between unquenched bulky Lewis bases and Lewis acids to activate poorly reactive small molecules and have recently attracted much attention.^{96,97} Considering the electron-rich character of the bulky silylone **3** and germlylone **4**, we expected that it either could behave as a suitable Lewis base partner in conjunction with a bulky Lewis acid for the activation of H_2 . Accordingly, a mixture of **3** and





Scheme 17 Activation of H_2 and C_2H_4 with silylone **3** and germlylone **4**, respectively, in the presence of BPh_3 to generate **47–49**.





Fig. 8 Molecular structures of the cations of **47** (M = Si) and **48** (M = Ge) [hydrogen atoms (except for that at M atom) are omitted for clarity].

BPh_3 was exposed to 1 bar of hydrogen gas, which results in heterolytic H_2 cleavage and the formation of compound **47** as a yellow salt (Scheme 17).²⁸ Formation of the Si–H and B–H moieties have been unambiguously confirmed by NMR spectroscopy and XRD (Fig. 8). The cation of **47** represents the first $[\text{SiH}]^+$ complex stabilised by a bis-silylene.

Similarly, the bis(NHSi)-supported germlylone **4** can also serve as a bulky base with BPh_3 to perform FLP activity for hydrogen activation, affording compound **48** as an isolable product (Scheme 17 and Fig. 8).³⁰ We have also shown that silylone **3** and BPh_3 can activate ethene *via* a similar FLP mechanism leading to the novel zwitterionic complex **49**.²⁸ For the first time, we have shown that ylidenes can also be applied as bulky bases in FLP chemistry, following the previous success of silylenes and germlylenes in this area already.^{98–101}

3.6 Si–Si and Ge–Ge coupling reactions

Generally, disilicon and digermanium complexes result from the reduction of monatomic silicon or germanium halides with alkaline metals through Si–Si and Ge–Ge coupling,



Scheme 18 Formation of the NiGe_2 complex **50** via reaction of **4** with $\text{Ni}(\text{cod})_2$.

respectively.^{53,54,102,103} However, the homocoupling of isolable monatomic Si^0 and Ge^0 was still unknown. Remarkably, treatment of germlylone **4** with $\text{Ni}(\text{cod})_2$ (cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) in a molar ratio of 2 : 1 in diethyl ether allows the isolation of the novel Ge_2Ni complex **50** as dark-brown crystals (Scheme 18).³⁰ In the crystal structure, **50** features a three-membered Ge_2Ni ring with the Ni centre adopting a square planar geometry (Fig. 9). The Ge–Ge distance [2.470(1) Å] and the Ni–Ge distances [2.425(2) and 2.443(2) Å] suggest that **50** can be described as a bis(NHSi)-stabilised Ge_2Ni complex bearing a $\text{Ge}(\text{I})\text{–Ge}(\text{I})\text{–Ni}(\text{II})$ metallacycle. This metallacycle must be favoured over a $[\eta^2\text{-(digermene)}]\text{Ni}(0)$ π -complex.¹⁰⁴ It is generated after $\text{Ge} \rightarrow \text{Ni}$ coordination through the reductive coupling of the two germlylone– Ge^0 centres and insertion of the Ni atom to two of the Si \rightarrow Ge dative bonds.

Without the presence of transition metals, the Si–Si coupling of silylone **5** occurs when **5** is reduced with one molar equiv. of potassium naphthalenide, furnishing the intriguing bis(NHSi)-supported disilicon complex **51** as a red crystalline solid (Scheme 19).²⁹ Overall, the one-electron reduction leads to the net movement of two electrons into the carborane cage with the loss of one electron at Si^0 to give Si^{I} . According to DFT calculations, the latter reduction process can be encoded with four sub-steps: (i) one-electron reduction of one amidinato ligand of **5** to form **5a** (Scheme 19); (ii) electron transfer to the *ortho*-carborane cluster in **5a** to afford **5b** with a radical carborane cluster; (iii) intramolecular one-electron oxidation of the Si^0 atom in **5b** and rearrangement of the carborane cluster core to form the intermediate **5c** with a radical silicon centre





Fig. 9 Molecular structure of **50** (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).






Scheme 19 One-electron reduction of silylone **5** and germylone **6** to generate the bis(NHSi)-supported disilicon(i) complex **51** and digermanium(i) **52** via the proposed radical species **5a–c**.

and a dianionic nido-carborane core; and (iv) Si-Si homocoupling of **5c** to yield the final product **51**.

In the crystal structure of **51**, the two coupled silicon atoms are linked by a normal Si-Si single bond and each adopts a trigonal-pyramidal geometry with a lone pair of electrons.²⁹ Therefore, the coupling product **51** represents a bis(NHSi) supported $[Si^I-Si^I]^{2+}$ dication, significantly different from the NHC-stabilised neutral $[Si^0=Si^0]$ complex described by Robinson.⁵³ Starting from germylone **6**, the digermanium analogue **52** can also be achieved by following the same synthetic protocol mentioned above for **51** (Scheme 19).³¹

Although the latter homocoupling of the silylone and germylone results from reduction with potassium naphthalenide, the silicon and germanium centres are actually oxidised from zero to +1. This prompted us to conduct the one-electron oxidation of germylone **6** with $[Cp_2Fe][B(C_6H_3(CF_3)_2)_4]$ in a molar ratio of 1 : 1 (Scheme 20).³¹ To our delight, the oxidation led to the isolable $[Ge^I-Ge^I]^{2+}$ coupling compound **53** as an orange solid, with no reduction of the carborane. Compound **53** crystallised as a separate ion pair with a Ge_2 -containing dication and two borate counteranions. Similarly to the dianion of **52**, the dication of **53** features two germanium atoms in a



Scheme 20 One-electron oxidation of germylone **6** to give the bis(NHSi)-supported digermanium(i) complex **53**.




Fig. 10 Molecular structure of the cation in **53** (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).

trigonal-pyramidal coordination environment (Fig. 10). The dication in **53** and the dianion in **52** both can be considered as a $[Ge^I-Ge^I]^{2+}$ complex supported by the bis(NHSi) ligand. In sharp contrast to the dianionic *nido*-carborane core of **52**, compound **53** possesses a neutral *closo*-carborane core.

4. Conclusions and outlook

Judicious use of strongly donating ligands has enabled access to a new chemical space with isolable complexes of single, zero-valent silicon and germanium atoms. Several intriguing stable silylones and germylones have thus far been synthesised and structurally characterised by a few research groups in recent years. In this feature article, we have highlighted the chelating bis(NHC)- and bis(NHSi)-supported silylones and germylones. Due to their striking electronic and steric situations, the bis(NHC)- and bis(NHSi)-stabilised Si^0 and Ge^0 compounds allowed us to explore the intrinsic reactivities of such fascinating zero-valent species.

As expected for a genuine silylone and a germylone, the silicon(0) and germanium(0) species can form 1 : 1 and even 1 : 2 Lewis adducts with the two lone pairs of electrons at the Si^0 or Ge^0 centres. With the central silicon and germanium atoms in the zero oxidation state, they can serve as intriguing building blocks with elemental chalcogens for synthesis of isolable heavy CO and CO_2 homologues including complexes of $SiSe$, SiS_2 , and $SiTe_2$. Moreover, these silylones and germylones can readily mediate the activation of small molecules such as CO_2 , N_2O , and NH_3 in a controlled manner and other bonds such as E-H (E = B, C) and H/C-X (X = halogen), *etc.* They can also act as bulky Lewis bases to form FLPs with BPh_3 for H_2 and C_2H_4 activation. Finally, homocoupling of the central atoms of silylones and germylones has also been observed under reducing or oxidising conditions. The impressive reactivity of these bis(NHC)- and bis(NHSi)-supported silylones and germylones results from both the strongly donating nature and chelating structural effect of the bis(NHC) and bis(NHSi) ligands, which

make the central silicon or germanium atoms highly electron rich as well as providing necessary kinetic protection during each transformation.

Despite the current progress described in this feature article, the chemistry of monatomic zero-valent silicon and germanium complexes remains in its infancy. Discovery of their remarkable electronic structure, with two extremely active lone pairs at a single Si or Ge site, has begun to be translated to wide-ranging and unprecedented reactivity. With the increasing availability of new strongly donating ligands, more examples with differing reactivity are likely to be developed shortly. The coordination chemistry of silylones and germylones, in particular, is promising due to the electron-richness of the group 14(0) atom and its capacity to coordinate to 1 or 2 metal sites simultaneously. It seems possible that ylidenes could act as versatile ligands in the manner of cAACs or NHCs for stabilizing novel low valent main group and transition metal species. With these ylidenes acting as soluble allotropes of silicon and germanium, they could also serve as reagents for unusual transformations – for instance as silicon or germanium atom transfer agents. Moreover, the strategy of synthesising silylones and germylones may also extend to other single, zero-valent main-group elements such as tin, lead, and even with group 2, 12, 13, and 15 elements. Beyond potential future applications, the unique bonding situation in these ylidenes – where a central zero-valent Si or Ge atom is bound to neutral donating ligands – expands the field of main group chemistry, by demonstrating a new way in which very unusual oxidation states can be trapped and utilised.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Germany's Excellence Strategy–EXC 2008–390540038–UniSys-Cat and DR 226/21-1) for financial support.

Notes and references

- 1 R. Tonner, F. Öxler, B. Neumüller, W. Petz and G. Frenking, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 8038–8042.
- 2 F. Ramirez, N. B. Desai, B. Hansen and N. McKelvie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1961, **83**, 3539–3540.
- 3 G. E. Hardy, J. I. Zink, W. C. Kaska and J. C. Baldwin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **100**, 8001–8002.
- 4 G. Frenking and R. Tonner, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 597–614.
- 5 R. Tonner, G. Heydenrych and G. Frenking, *ChemPhysChem*, 2008, **9**, 1474–1481.
- 6 N. Takagi, T. Shimizu and G. Frenking, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 8593–8604.
- 7 J. Turek, B. Braïda and F. De Proft, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 14604–14613.
- 8 A. J. Arduengo III, R. L. Harlow and M. Kline, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 361–363.
- 9 R. Tonner and G. Frenking, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 8695–8698.
- 10 C. A. Dyker, V. Lavallo, B. Donnadiou and G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3206–3209.
- 11 S. Ishida, T. Iwamoto, C. Kabuto and M. Kira, *Nature*, 2003, **421**, 725–727.
- 12 M. Kira, T. Iwamoto, S. Ishida, H. Masuda, T. Abe and C. Kabuto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 17135–17144.
- 13 T. Veszprémi, K. Petrov and C. T. Nguyen, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 1480–1484.
- 14 M. Kosa, M. Karni and Y. Apeloig, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2006, **2**, 956–964.
- 15 N. Takagi, T. Shimizu and G. Frenking, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 3448–3456.
- 16 T. Iwamoto, T. Abe, C. Kabuto and M. Kira, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 5190–5192.
- 17 V. Lavallo, Y. Canac, C. Präsang, B. Donnadiou and G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 5705–5709.
- 18 K. C. Mondal, H. W. Roesky, M. C. Schwarzer, G. Frenking, B. Niepötter, H. Wolf, R. Herbst-Irmer and D. Stalke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 2963–2967.
- 19 Y. Xiong, S. Yao, S. Inoue, J. D. Epping and M. Driess, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 7147–7150.
- 20 Y. Xiong, S. Yao, G. Tan, S. Inoue and M. Driess, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 5004–5007.
- 21 Y. Li, K. C. Mondal, H. W. Roesky, H. Zhu, P. Stollberg, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Stalke and D. M. Andradá, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 12422–12428.
- 22 T. Chu, L. Belding, A. Van Der Est, T. Dudding, I. Korobkov and G. I. Nikonov, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 2711–2715.
- 23 M. T. Nguyen, D. Gusev, A. Dmitrienko, B. M. Gabidullin, D. Spasyuk, M. Pilkington and G. I. Nikonov, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 5852–5861.
- 24 B. Su, R. Ganguly, Y. Li and R. Kinjo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 13106–13109.
- 25 S. Sarmah, A. K. Guha, A. K. Phukan, A. Kumar and S. R. Gadre, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 13200–13209.
- 26 T. Sugahara, T. Sasamori and N. Tokitoh, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 9920–9923.
- 27 J. Keuter, A. Hepp, C. Mück-Lichtenfeld and F. Lips, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 4395–4399.
- 28 Y. Wang, M. Karni, S. Yao, A. Kaushansky, Y. Apeloig and M. Driess, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 12916–12927.
- 29 S. Yao, A. Kostenko, Y. Xiong, A. Ruzicka and M. Driess, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 12608–12612.
- 30 Y. Wang, M. Karni, S. Yao, Y. Apeloig and M. Driess, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 1655–1664.
- 31 S. Yao, A. Kostenko, Y. Xiong, C. Lorent, A. Ruzicka and M. Driess, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 14864–14868.
- 32 M. Jadan, A. R. Chelyadins and V. Y. Yavid, *Am. J. Appl. Sci.*, 2009, **6**, 1242–1245.
- 33 F. J. Lovas, *Astrophys. J.*, 1974, **193**, 265–272.
- 34 J. L. Turner and A. Dalgarno, *Astrophys. J.*, 1977, **213**, 386–389.
- 35 C. A. Dyker and G. Bertrand, *Science*, 2008, **321**, 1050–1051.
- 36 P. K. Majhi and T. Sasamori, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 9441–9455.
- 37 S. Yao, Y. Xiong and M. Driess, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **50**, 2026–2037.
- 38 G. Frenking, R. Tonner, S. Klein, N. Takagi, T. Shimizu, A. Krapp, K. B. Pandey and P. Parameswaran, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 5106–5139.
- 39 G. Frenking, M. Hermann, D. M. Andradá and N. Holzmann, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 1129–1144.
- 40 L. Zhao, M. Hermann, N. Holzmann and G. Frenking, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **344**, 163–204.
- 41 M. Kira, S. Ishida, T. Iwamoto and C. Kabuto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 9722–9723.
- 42 T. Iwamoto, H. Masuda, C. Kabuto and M. Kira, *Organometallics*, 2005, **24**, 197–199.
- 43 N. Wiberg, H. W. Lerner, S. K. Vasisht, S. Wagner, K. Karaghiosoff, H. Nöth and W. Ponikvar, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, 1211–1218.
- 44 K. C. Mondal, P. P. Samuel, M. Tretiakov, A. P. Singh, H. W. Roesky, A. C. Stückl, B. Niepötter, E. Carl, H. Wolf, R. Herbst-Irmer and D. Stalke, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 4736–4743.
- 45 K. C. Mondal, H. W. Roesky, M. C. Schwarzer, G. Frenking, I. Tkach, H. Wolf, D. Kratzert, R. Herbst-Irmer, B. Niepötter and D. Stalke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 1801–1805.
- 46 R. S. Ghadwal, H. W. Roesky, S. Merkel, J. Henn and D. Stalke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 5683–5686.



- 47 Y. Li, Y. C. Chan, B. X. Leong, Y. Li, E. Richards, I. Purushothaman, S. De, P. Parameswaran and C. W. So, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 7573–7578.
- 48 S. C. Bart, E. Lobkovsky and P. J. Chirik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 13794–13807.
- 49 J. Flock, A. Suljanovic, A. Torvisco, W. Schoefberger, B. Gerke, R. Pöttgen, R. C. Fischer and M. Flock, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 15504–15517.
- 50 T. Sasamori, T. Sugahara, T. Agou, K. Sugamata, J. D. Guo, S. Nagase and N. Tokitoh, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 5526–5530.
- 51 Y. Xiong, S. Yao, S. Inoue, E. Irran and M. Driess, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 10074–10077.
- 52 Y. Xiong, S. Yao, S. Inoue, A. Berkefeld and M. Driess, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 12198–12200.
- 53 Y. Wang, Y. Xie, P. Wei, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer, P. von, R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, *Science*, 2008, **321**, 1069–1071.
- 54 A. Sidiropoulos, C. Jones, A. Stasch, S. Klein and G. Frenking, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 9701–9704.
- 55 K. A. Kreisel, G. P. A. Yap and K. H. Theopold, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 4670–4679.
- 56 Y. Mizuhata, T. Sasamori and N. Tokitoh, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 3479–3511.
- 57 M. Asay, C. Jones and M. Driess, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 354–396.
- 58 S. Yao, Y. Xiong and M. Driess, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 1748–1767.
- 59 C. Shan, S. Yao and M. Driess, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 6733–6754.
- 60 C. W. So, H. W. Roesky, J. Magull and R. B. Oswald, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 3948–3950.
- 61 Y.-L. Shan, W.-L. Yim and C.-W. So, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 13155–13158.
- 62 B. Blom, M. Stoelzel and M. Driess, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 40–62.
- 63 B. Blom, D. Gallego and M. Driess, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2014, **1**, 134–148.
- 64 S. Raoufmoghaddam, Y. P. Zhou, Y. Wang and M. Driess, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2017, **829**, 2–10.
- 65 Y.-P. Zhou and M. Driess, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 3715–3728.
- 66 Z. Benedek and T. Szilvási, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 5077–5086.
- 67 D. Gallego, S. Inoue, B. Blom and M. Driess, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 6885–6897.
- 68 Y. Wang, A. Kostenko, S. Yao and M. Driess, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 13499–13506.
- 69 Y.-P. Zhou, S. Raoufmoghaddam, T. Szilvási and M. Driess, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 12868–12872.
- 70 R. N. Grimes, *Carboranes*, Elsevier Science and Technology, Amsterdam, 2nd edn, 2011.
- 71 R. Núñez, M. Tarrés, A. Ferrer-Ugalde, F. F. De Biani and F. Teixidor, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 14307–14378.
- 72 A. C. Filippou, Y. N. Lebedev, O. Chernov, M. Straßmann and G. Schnakenburg, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 6974–6978.
- 73 Y. Xiong, S. Yao, R. Müller, M. Kaupp and M. Driess, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 10254–10257.
- 74 Y. Xiong, S. Yao, M. Karni, A. Kostenko, A. Burchert, Y. Apeloig and M. Driess, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 5462–5469.
- 75 B. Su, R. Ganguly, Y. Li and R. Kinjo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 613–616.
- 76 A. Burchert, R. Müller, S. Yao, C. Schattenberg, Y. Xiong, M. Kaupp and M. Driess, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 6298–6301.
- 77 A. V. Zabula, T. Pape, A. Hepp, F. M. Schappacher, U. C. Rodewald and R. Po, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 5648–5649.
- 78 G. Thiele, Y. Franzke, F. Weigend and S. Dehnen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 11283–11288.
- 79 L. C. Siemes, J. Keuter, A. Hepp and F. Lips, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 13142–13149.
- 80 C. Mohapatra, K. C. Mondal, P. P. Samuel, H. Keil, B. Niepötter, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Stalke, S. Dutta, D. Koley and H. W. Roesky, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 12572–12576.
- 81 K. Chandra Mondal, S. Roy, B. Ditttrich, B. Maity, S. Dutta, D. Koley, S. K. Vasa, R. Linser, S. Dechert and H. W. Roesky, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 5230–5234.
- 82 Y. C. Chan, B. X. Leong, Y. Li, M. C. Yang, Y. Li, M. Der Su and C. W. So, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 11565–11569.
- 83 R. Rodriguez, D. Gau, J. Saouli, A. Baceiredo, N. Saffon-Merceron, V. Branchadell and T. Kato, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 3935–3939.
- 84 A. Burchert, S. Yao, R. Müller, C. Schattenberg, Y. Xiong, M. Kaupp and M. Driess, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 1894–1897.
- 85 S. Roy, K. C. Mondal, L. Krause, P. Stollberg, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Stalke, J. Meyer, A. C. Stückl, B. Maity, D. Koley, S. K. Vasa, S. Q. Xiang, R. Linser and H. W. Roesky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 16776–16779.
- 86 G. D. Frey, V. Lavallo, B. Donnadieu, W. W. Schoeller and G. Bertrand, *Science*, 2007, **316**, 439–441.
- 87 A. Jana, C. Schulzke and H. W. Roesky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 4600–4601.
- 88 J. P. Moerdyk, G. A. Blake, D. T. Chase and C. W. Bielawski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 18798–18801.
- 89 A. V. Protchenko, K. H. Birjkumar, D. Dange, A. D. Schwarz, D. Vidovic, C. Jones, N. Kaltsoyannis, P. Mountford and S. Aldridge, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 6500–6503.
- 90 A. V. Protchenko, A. D. Schwarz, M. P. Blake, C. Jones, N. Kaltsoyannis, P. Mountford and S. Aldridge, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 568–571.
- 91 D. Wendel, A. Porzelt, F. A. D. Herz, D. Sarkar, C. Jandl, S. Inoue and B. Rieger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 8134–8137.
- 92 D. Wendel, T. Szilvási, C. Jandl, S. Inoue and B. Rieger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 9156–9159.
- 93 R. Rodriguez, D. Gau, T. Kato, N. Saffon-Merceron, A. De Cözar, F. P. Cossio and A. Baceiredo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 10414–10416.
- 94 F. Lips, J. C. Fetting, A. Mansikkamäki, H. M. Tuononen and P. P. Power, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 634–637.
- 95 J. S. Han, T. Sasamori, Y. Mizuhata and N. Tokitoh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 2546–2547.
- 96 D. W. Stephan and G. Erker, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 6400–6441.
- 97 D. W. Stephan, *Science*, 2016, **354**, 1248.
- 98 A. Schäfer, M. Reißmann, A. Schäfer, M. Schmidtman and T. Müller, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 9381–9386.
- 99 Z. Mo, T. Szilvási, Y. P. Zhou, S. Yao and M. Driess, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 3699–3702.
- 100 Z. Dong, Z. Li, X. Liu, C. Yan, N. Wei, M. Kira and T. Müller, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2017, **12**, 1204–1207.
- 101 N. Del Rio, M. Lopez-Reyes, A. Baceiredo, N. Saffon-Merceron, D. Lutters, T. Müller and T. Kato, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 1365–1370.
- 102 K. C. Mondal, P. P. Samuel, H. W. Roesky, R. R. Aysin, L. A. Leites, S. Neudeck, J. Lu, B. Ditttrich, N. Holzmann, M. Hermann and G. Frenking, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 8919–8922.
- 103 S. S. Sen, A. Jana, H. W. Roesky and C. Schulzke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 8536–8538.
- 104 S. Inoue and C. Eisenhut, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 18315–18318.

