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The behavior of an enediyne photoswitch is modulated with halogen bonding, coordinative bonding and hydrogen bonding. Through NMR and computational studies we demonstrate that the relative strength of the secondary bonding directly influences the rate of photoisomerization and the photostationary state.

Controlling molecular conformation is critical to the function of both synthetic and biological systems. For example, molecular machines have received enormous recognition for their unique ability to adopt multiple conformations that can access different functions.1,2 As such, using external stimuli to control the conformation of molecular machines and functional materials has been critical to their development.3,4 Using light as an external stimulus is especially desirable as it is a clean reagent that does not leave residues in a reaction mixture, allowing for simple work up and purification.5,6 Additionally, light can be used to control isomerization in both a temporal and spatial manner. Thus, understanding new ways to control molecular switches will enable the further refinement of the function of molecular machines. Herein, we illustrate that halogen bonding, coordinative bonding and hydrogen bonding can be used to modulate an enediyne photoswitch (Fig. 1). To study the physical properties, the systems were non-selectively irradiated with a Xe ARC light source, and the relative cis–trans isomerization rates and photostationary states were compared using NMR spectroscopy.

For experimental evaluation of the ability of weak interactions to influence photoisomerization, we synthesized ligand 1 following a previously described route.7 This ligand contains an enediyne photoswitch with two appended pyridine rings, appropriately spaced to interact with a halogen(i), silver(i) or a proton via a three-center, four electron bond.8 From 1, the halogen bonded system 1-I, and the metal coordinated system 1-Ag, were obtained following a literature protocol.9–11 Specifically, ligand 1 was mixed with AgBF4 to obtain 1-Ag. Sequential addition of I2 in dry conditions produced 1-I. To obtain the hydrogen bonded system 1-H, 1-I was reacted with water, which typically results in rapid decomposition of three-center [N–X–N]+ halogen bonds12,13 to the corresponding [N–H–N]+ complex. The halogen bond of 1-I decomposed after 4 days, and thus, appears unusually stable. Adding trifluoroacetic acid to 1-I immediately produced some 1-H, and after a day almost full conversion was noted. The formation of 1-H was validated by LCMS analysis. Observation of the major peak corresponding to the mass of the ligand (M + 1, 231 g mol−1) revealed that the I− had not added covariantly to the enediyne moiety. The formation of 1-H was further validated by raising the pH with NaOH to produce 1. The control 2-I (Fig. 1) was synthesized as previously described.10,14

Hydrogen15–18 and halogen bonding19–22 as well as metal coordination23,24 have been used to control photoisomerization processes. However, they have not yet been evaluated for controlling enediyne photoswitches, and neither have they been directly compared within a similar system. Additionally, incorporating three-center bonds12,13,25 into photoswitches has not been reported, despite the strong nature of these halogen bonds that makes them useful to prepare spectacular supramolecular complexes.26,27 With the cis-compounds 1, 1-I, 1-Ag and 1-H in hand, we were interested in assessing how the [N–X–N]+ halogen, [N–Ag–N]+ coordination and [N–H–N]+ hydrogen bonds, respectively, influence the kinetics and thermodynamics of cis to trans enediyne photoisomerization. For the photoradiation experiments, ~0.02 mmol compound was dissolved in 0.6 mL of CD2Cl2 in an NMR tube, and the solution was irradiated with non-selective light from a 1000 W Xe ARC light source (Fig. S2, ESIF).

Ligand 1 does not isomerize at room temperature, or upon heating. However, photo-irradiating the noncoordinated enediyne 1 caused the ligand to reach its photostationary state (1: 0.76, cis : trans) within ≤15 min (Fig. 2). In comparison, the
parent enediyne with phenyl rings instead of pyridines have a nearly equal mixture of cis and trans isomers at the photostationary state, when irradiated with 366 nm light.\(^{28}\)

The hydrogen bond in \(1\text{-H}\) caused the enediyne to photoisomerize at a significantly slower rate than 1, taking 54 minutes to reach full conversion. Additionally, the photostationary state deviated substantially from the parent ligand 1, reaching a cis : trans ratio of 1 : 0.2 (Fig. S38 and S39, ESI†). Thus, the hydrogen bond retards photoisomerization and biases 1 towards its cis conformation at the photostationary state.

Remarkably, the silver(I) complex \(1\text{-Ag}\) did not isomerize at all upon irradiation (Fig. S35, ESI†). Some precipitate was formed during the experiment, but re-dissolving it in \(CD_2Cl_2\) proved this to be the cis-isomer \(1\text{-Ag}\) (Fig. S36, ESI†). Further investigation showed that adding AgBF\(_4\) to a mixture of isomers 1 and \(t\text{-1}\) produced \(1\text{-Ag}\) and \(t\text{-1}\), and irradiating this new mixture converted \(t\text{-1}\) into \(1\text{-Ag}\) (Fig. 3). Thus, while hydrogen bonding provides a means to modulate conformational equilibria and photoisomerization rate, silver(I) coordination affects the hydrogen bond, and a unique strategy could also be used as a method for isomeric resolution in future applications.

Photoirradiation of the halogen bonded complex \(1\text{-I}\) highlighted the sensitivity of this complex to conformation. When \(1\text{-I}\) was photoirradiated, it was converted to the hydrogen bonding \(1\text{-H}\) complex over the course of \(~31\) minutes. This can be rationalized: photoisomerization to the \(t\text{-1}\text{-I}\) complex would produce an unstable dimer, owing to the instability of N-iodopyridinium cation.\(^{29,30}\) The fact that \(1\text{-I}\) was converted to the \(1\text{-H}\) complex, however, indicates that isomerization was occurring, since control experiments with the halogen bonded complex \(2\text{-I}\) proved that irradiation alone does not cause decomposition of an \([\text{N-X-N}]^+\) complex to any detectable extent (Fig. S34, ESI†).

To estimate the rotational barriers, and to understand how the different bond strengths influence photoisomerization, we performed calculations at the DLPNO-CCSD(T)/def2-TZVP//\(\omega\)B97X-D/aug-cc-pVDZ level of theory. The aug-cc-pVDZ basis set was chosen as it is known to provide a good balance between accuracy and cost in predicting geometries.\(^{31–33}\) We used the one nuclear coordinate with respect to torsional angle
approach (see ESI† for details) that singles out the torsional motion around the C–C bond that is isomerized, and allows the one-dimensional description of the decay from the excited state and the fate of the molecule in the ground state following irradiation, suggesting providing an approximation of the photoisomerization process for a reasonable computational cost. Topological analysis of electron density shows that the three-center \( [\text{N–Ag–N}]^+ \) and \( [\text{N–I–N}]^+ \) bonds are covalent in nature, whereas the hydrogen bonded complex possess one covalent and one noncovalent interaction (see ESI†).

This observation is in agreement with the previous literature. The free ligand showed the lowest barrier to rotation, \( 269 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \), whereas the \( 1\text{-Ag} \) complex the highest barrier, \( 530 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \), 26% higher than the barrier of the \( 1\text{-I} \) complex, \( 422 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \). In good agreement with the experiments, the barrier of the hydrogen bonding \( 1\text{-H} \) complex was found to be lower, \( 347 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \). This trend indicates that the rotational barrier for isomerization tracks with the relative strengths of the three-center, four-electron bonds, namely \( 1\text{-H} < 1\text{-I} < 1\text{-Ag} \). Here it should be noted that \( 1\text{-H} \) does not form a true three-center bond; this has been discussed in detail in ref. 8. Whereas the computed barrier heights are high, due to the use of the simple one nuclear coordinate with respect to torsional angle approach, the trends in energies fit well to the experimental observations.

In conclusion, the photoisomerization of an enediyne photo-switch is modulated by secondary interactions at the periphery of the molecule. Hence, the photoisomerization rate is retarded using a hydrogen bond, and is eliminated using silver(i) coordination. The halogen bonded complex photoisomerizes, but is simultaneously converted to the hydrogen bonded complex. Additionally, the photostationary state of the system can be adjusted away from the complex. Additionally, the photostationary state of the system can be adjusted away from the complex. Additionally, the photostationary state of the system can be adjusted away from the complex. Additionally, the photostationary state of the system can be adjusted away from the complex. Additionally, the photostationary state of the system can be adjusted away from the complex. Additionally, the photostationary state of the system can be adjusted away from the complex. Additionally, the photostationary state of the system can be adjusted away from the complex.
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