## ChemComm

## COMMUNICATION

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

**View Article Online** 

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2021, 57, 5090

Received 1st April 2021, Accepted 20th April 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1cc01741a

rsc.li/chemcomm

Fragmentation, catenation, and direct functionalisation of white phosphorus by a uranium(IV)-silyl-phosphino-carbene complex†

Josef T. Boronski, (D) John A. Seed, (D) Ashley J. Wooles (D) and Stephen T. Liddle (D) \*

Published on 20 April 2021. Downloaded on 7/30/2025 8:22:51 AM.

Room temperature reaction of the uranium(IV)–carbene [U{C(SiMe<sub>3</sub>) (PPh<sub>2</sub>)}(BIPM<sup>TMS</sup>)(µ–CI)Li(TMEDA)(µ–TMEDA)<sub>0.5</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (1, BIPM<sup>TMS</sup> = C(PPh<sub>2</sub>NSi Me<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) with white phosphorus (P<sub>4</sub>) produces the organo-P<sub>5</sub> compound [P<sub>5</sub>{C(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)(PPh<sub>2</sub>)}<sub>2</sub>][Li(TMEDA)<sub>2</sub>] (2) and the uranium(IV)–methanediide [U{BIPM<sup>TMS</sup>}{Cl}{µ–Cl}<sub>2</sub>{Li(TMEDA)}] (3). This is an unprecedented example of cooperative metal–carbene P<sub>4</sub> activation/insertion into a metal– carbon double bond and also an actinide complex reacting with P<sub>4</sub> to directly form an organophosphorus species. Conducting the reaction at low temperature permits the isolation of the diuranium(IV) complex [{U(BIPM<sup>TMS</sup>)([µ–η<sup>2</sup>:η<sup>2</sup>-P<sub>2</sub>]C[SiMe<sub>3</sub>][PPh<sub>2</sub>])}<sub>2</sub>] (4), which then converts to 2 and 3. Thus, surprisingly, in contrast to all other actinide P<sub>4</sub> reactivity, although this reaction produces catenation overall it proceeds *via* P<sub>4</sub> cleavage to functionalised P<sub>2</sub> units. Hence, this work establishes a proof of concept synthetic cycle for direct fragmentation, catenation, and functionalisation of P<sub>4</sub>.

Organophosphorus compounds have numerous vital uses.<sup>1</sup> Industrially, organophosphorus compounds are derived from PCl<sub>3</sub>, which is in turn formed by chlorination of white phosphorus (P<sub>4</sub>). Thus, there is significant interest in discovering new, direct paths to the derivatisation of P<sub>4</sub>. Indeed, the activation and functionalisation of P<sub>4</sub> by complexes of the transition and lanthanide metals<sup>2</sup> and main group elements,<sup>3</sup> and even by free singlet carbenes,<sup>4</sup> has received significant attention. However, the catalytic derivatisation/functionalisation of P<sub>4</sub> in processes which directly yield organophosphorus compounds is challenging and only beginning to be realised.<sup>2c</sup>

In contrast to burgeoning studies of  $P_4$  activation by transition metal and main group compounds, reports of  $P_4$  activation by actinide elements are scarce, being limited to six examples.<sup>5</sup> Also, although P–P bonds are broken in almost all cases,  $P_4$  is

not fragmented into smaller phosphorus-containing units which are suited for functionalisation. In previous work, we reported uranium-mediated aggregation of P<sub>4</sub>, generating the triuranium-[P<sub>7</sub>] Zintl complex [{U(Ts<sup>Tol</sup>)}<sub>3</sub>( $\mu_3$ - $\eta^2$ : $\eta^2$ : $\eta^2$ -P<sub>7</sub>)] (I, Ts<sup>Tol</sup> =  $HC(SiMe_2NC_6H_4-4-Me)_3)^{5d}$  as well as the diuranium inverted-sandwich cyclo-P<sub>5</sub> complex  $[{U(Tren^{TIPS})}_2(\mu-\eta^5:\eta^5-cyclo-P_5)]$ (II, Tren<sup>TIPS</sup> = N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NSiPr<sup>i</sup><sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>).<sup>5c</sup> Complex I is the only actinide-mediated P4 activation product which has been functionalised further, in two steps overall, to generate compounds containing P-C and P-Si bonds.<sup>5d</sup> Recently, we described the synthesis of a family of uranium(IV)-silyl-phosphino-carbene complexes,<sup>6</sup> which are the first actinide carbene complexes to be free of carbenestabilising phosphorus(v)-substituents.7 We have found the silvlphosphino-carbene ligand to be nucleophilic and readily transferred, allowing the formation of unusual bonding linkages, such as a uranium(v)-dinitrogen complex, or a uranium-rhodium double-dative bond.<sup>8,9</sup> Therefore, we set out to examine whether the nucleophilic-nature of the silvl-phosphino-carbene ligand would enable direct functionalisation of P<sub>4</sub>.

Here, we report activation and direct functionalisation of  $P_4$  by a uranium–silyl–phosphino–carbene complex. This is an unprecedented example of cooperative metal–carbene  $P_4$  activation/insertion into any metal–carbon double bond and also of an actinide complex reacting with  $P_4$  to directly generate an organophosphorus species.<sup>3e</sup> Overall, a diorgano- $P_5$  species is formed. Surprisingly however, by isolation of a reaction intermediate at low temperature, we find that this net catenation reaction actually initially proceeds by fragmentation of  $P_4$ , yielding functionalised  $P_2$  units that subsequently aggregate at room temperature. The main uranium by-product is also found to be a precursor to the active uranium–carbene starting complex, thereby establishing the components of a proof of principle synthetic cycle.

Treatment of the uranium( $_{IV}$ )-silyl-phosphino-carbene complex [U{C(SiMe\_3)(PPh\_2)}(BIPM<sup>TMS</sup>)( $\mu$ -Cl)Li(TMEDA)( $\mu$ -TMEDA)<sub>0.5</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (1, BIPM<sup>TMS</sup> = C(PPh<sub>2</sub>NSiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sup>6</sup> with one molar equivalent of finelydivided P<sub>4</sub> in toluene at room temperature afforded orange crystals of

Department of Chemistry, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK. E-mail: steve.liddle@manchester.ac.uk

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic, structural, and spectroscopic details. CCDC 2060876–2060878. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1cc01741a



Scheme 1 Activation of  $P_4$  by the uranium(v)-silyl-phosphino-carbene complex 1, yielding complexes 2, 3, and 4.

the diorgano-P<sub>5</sub> compound [P<sub>5</sub>{C(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)(PPh<sub>2</sub>)}<sub>2</sub>][Li(TMEDA)<sub>2</sub>] (2) in 32% isolated yield after work-up (Scheme 1).<sup>10</sup> Decanting and slow evaporation of the mother liquor resulted in isolation of yellow crystals of the uranium-containing product of this reaction, the methanediide complex [U{BIPM<sup>TMS</sup>}Cl}{\mu-Cl}\_2{Li(TMEDA)}] (3), isolated in 26% yield.<sup>10</sup>

The solid-state structure of 2 (Fig. 1) reveals it consists of a central cyclo-P5 core, which resembles the envelope conformation of cyclopentane. The P5-ring is non-planar and does not show signs of aromaticity, in contrast to the cyclo-P<sub>5</sub> unit in **II**.<sup>5c</sup> Two adjacent phosphorus atoms of the P5-ring are each coordinated by an individual silyl-phosphino-carbene ([SiPC]) ligand (C1-P3/C2-P4). The phosphine-substituent of each [SiPC] ligand coordinates to the P<sub>5</sub>-phosphorus atom adjacent to that coordinated by the other [SiPC]  $\alpha$ -carbon (P1-P5/P2-P7). The P-P distances of the P<sub>5</sub>-ring (P3 to P7) (av. 2.212(2) Å) are consistent with P-P single bonds.<sup>11</sup> The only two-coordinate phosphorus centre of the P<sub>5</sub>-ring (P6), features the two shortest P-P distances (P5-P6:2.174(2) Å; P6-P7:2.171(2) Å), with the other P-P distances ranging from 2.223(1) - 2.249(2) Å. The P1-P5 and P2-P7 interactions, between the carbene-phosphorus substituents and phosphorus atoms of the cyclo-P5 unit, of 2.1966(14) and 2.1984(15) Å, respectively, are also consistent



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of 2 at 100 K with displacement ellipsoids set at 30% probability. Hydrogen atoms and  $[Li(TMEDA)_2]^+$  counterion are omitted for clarity.

with P–P single bonds. The C1-P3 and C2-P4 distances are 1.786(4) and 1.776(4) Å, respectively. These values are inbetween the sum of Pyykkö's covalent single- and doublebond radii for carbon and phosphorus (1.86 and 1.69 Å, respectively).<sup>11</sup> However, the C1-P1 and C2-P2 distances are even shorter, at 1.710(4) and 1.705(4), respectively; suggesting significant P–C multiple bonding or dipolar bond-shortening effects. The geometry of C1 and C2 are near-perfect trigonal planar, with the sum of the angles around both carbon atoms totalling  $359.8(12)^{\circ}$ .

The solid-state structure of **3** was also determined.<sup>10</sup> The structural parameters of this complex are typical for uranium(v)-BIPM<sup>TMS</sup> complexes,<sup>7b</sup> and very similar to those of the closely related complex [U(BIPM<sup>TMS</sup>)(Cl)( $\mu$ -Cl)<sub>2</sub>Li(THF)<sub>2</sub>],<sup>12</sup> which is the starting material used to prepare **1**.<sup>6</sup>

Once isolated in crystalline form, **2** is insoluble in aromatic solvents and it decomposes in ethers and other donor solvents, forming oily residues, which precluded its characterisation by multinuclear NMR and UV/Vis/NIR spectroscopies. It would appear that **2** is formed *via* the catenation/aggregation of  $P_4$ , induced by **1**, with formation of two P–C bonds and two new P–P bonds.<sup>5</sup>

In order to gain greater insight into the formation of 2, we set out to isolate uranium-containing intermediates in its formation. Accordingly, reaction of 1 with a single molar equivalent of  $P_4$  in toluene was conducted at -35 °C. The mixture was stirred for 4 hours, then left to stand at -35 °C for 4 hours (Scheme 1), resulting in the formation of red crystals of the diuranium(v) complex [{U(BIPM<sup>TMS</sup>)([ $\mu$ - $\eta^2$ : $\eta^2$ - $P_2$ ]C[SiMe<sub>3</sub>][PPh<sub>2</sub>])}<sub>2</sub>] (4), isolated in 46% yield.<sup>10</sup>

The formulation of **4** was confirmed by its solid-state structure (Fig. 2), revealing the salient feature of two uranium ions bridged by two cyclo-1,2-diphosphapropan-1,2-diide  $[(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-P_2)C(SiMe_3)(PPh_2)]^{2-}$  groups. The formally dianionic  $R_2CP_2$  unit features a three-membered  $CP_2$ -ring, formed by insertion of 0.5 equivalents of  $P_4$  into the U= $C_{carbene}$  double bond. Additionally, each uranium centre in **4** is coordinated by the [BIPM<sup>TMS</sup>]<sup>2-</sup> methanediide and a  $\kappa^1$ -phosphino group.

The P2–P3 distance within the CP<sub>2</sub> ring of 4 (2.1762(11) Å) is similar to the average measured for the cyclo-P5 unit in 2 (2.212(2) Å), and typical of a P-P single bond.<sup>11</sup> Additionally, the C2-P2/C2-P3 distances in 4 (1.889(3) Å and 1.892(3) Å, respectively) are typical of P-C single bonds, and somewhat longer than the C1-P3 (1.786(4) Å) and C2-P4 (1.776(4) Å) distances in 2. In 4 the U1–C1 distance is 2.309(3) Å – somewhat shorter than the 2.405(9) Å measured for the parent uranium(IV) complex 1.6 This may be due to the loss of the stronglydonating silvl-phosphino-carbene ligand, allowing for an increase in the magnitude of the U=CBIPM bonding interaction. The U=C bond distance within 4 is typical of a uranium(IV) complex,<sup>7b</sup> with this oxidation state assignment supported by charge balancing of the coordinated ligands, and further evidenced by magnetometric measurements performed on 4 (vide infra). Within 4, P1 is clearly angled towards U1, with small P1-C2-P2 and P1-C2-P3 angles (100.84(15) and 102.94(15)°, respectively), and larger Si3-C2-P2/Si3-C2-P3 angles



**Fig. 2** Molecular structure of **4** at 100 K with displacement ellipsoids set at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and lattice solvent are omitted for clarity.

(117.01(16) and 117.20(16)°, respectively). The orientation of the phenyl substituents on P1 suggest that the lone pair of this phosphorus atom is directed towards U1, allowing for a dative P  $\rightarrow$  U interaction. At 3.0748(7) Å, the U1–P1 distance is notably greater than the sum of the single bond covalent radii for uranium and phosphorus (2.81 Å).<sup>11</sup> However, values between 2.9 and 3.1 Å are typical of dative P(m)  $\rightarrow$  U(rv) interactions.<sup>13</sup> The U1-P2/P2' and U1-P3/P3' distances within 4 (2.9753(7)/2.9565(7) and 3.0459(7)/2.9974(8) Å, respectively) are somewhat longer than expected for U–P single bonds.<sup>11</sup> This is likely due to the bridging nature of the  $[(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-P_2)C(SiMe_3)(PPh_2)]^{2-}$  moieties between both uranium centres.

Variable temperature SQUID magnetometric measurements on a powdered sample of **4** in a 0.5 T magnetic field confirm the diuranium(w) formulation (Fig. S7, ESI<sup>†</sup>).<sup>10,14</sup> At 300 K the effective magnetic moment is 3.81  $\mu_B$  per molecule (2.70  $\mu_B$ per uranium ion). The effective magnetic moment decreases slowly with decreasing temperature from 300 to 50 K, at which point a much more rapid decrease in  $\mu_{eff}$  occurs to 1.06  $\mu_B$  per molecule (0.75  $\mu_B$  per uranium ion) at 2 K. The gradual decrease in effective magnetic moment between 300 and 50 K followed by a sharper decrease is indicative of the binding of strongly donating ligand(s) to uranium(w), and this behaviour has been observed for **1** and a growing range of uranium(w) complexes with strong donor ligands.<sup>6,7*a*,9,14,15</sup>

As for 2, 4 decomposes in ethers and is insoluble in aromatic solvents once isolated in crystalline form, which precluded its solution-phase characterisation. To examine whether 4 is an intermediate in the formation of 2, isolated crystalline 4 was combined with an excess of LiCl and TMEDA in toluene and allowed to stand for 24 hours (Scheme 1). After workup, both 2 and 3 were isolated in higher yields (66 and 54%, respectively) than from reaction of 1 with  $P_4$  at room temperature. This suggests that the formation of 2 does not involve the reaction of 4 with unreacted  $P_4$ , and is perhaps instead an intermolecular process between molecules of 4 and LiCl/TMEDA. Attempts to synthesise alternative organophosphorus products from the reaction of 4 with various molar quantities of  $P_4$  led to the isolation of 2 and 3, albeit in reduced yields.

To examine whether a closed synthetic cycle for the formation of **2** could be devised, the use of **3** as an alternative precursor to **1** was examined. Straightforward addition of [{Li(TMEDA)}C(H)(Ph) (SiMe<sub>3</sub>)], followed by [{Li(THF)}C(H)(PPh<sub>2</sub>)(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)], to **3** at -78 °C generates **1** in comparable isolated yield (39%) to the published procedure (36%).<sup>6</sup> Thus, the synthesis of **2** can be carried out within a synthetic cycle, with reuse of the uranium-containing products of the reaction.

To conclude, reaction of 1 with  $P_4$  at room temperature produces the organo-P<sub>5</sub> compound 2, as well as the uranium methanediide complex 3. If the reaction of 1 with  $P_4$  is carried out at low temperature an intermediate complex 4, which results from P<sub>4</sub> fragmentation/insertion across the U=C bond, can be isolated and then converted to 2 and 3, which is likely driven by the formation of strong P-C and U-Cl bonds. Furthermore, a proof of principle synthetic cycle has been established. This work reports the first example of cooperative metal-carbene P4 activation/insertion into any metal-carbon double bond and also of an actinide complex generating an organophosphorus compound directly from P<sub>4</sub>. Whereas actinide reactivity with P4 usually results only in reductive ringopening of P<sub>4</sub> and/or catenation, this work reveals a catenation that surprisingly proceeds via fragmentation of P4 to functionalised P2 units that subsequently aggregate. More broadly, these results suggest that the reactivity of metal-carbene complexes, particularly those of the early metals with polarised M = C bonds, with  $P_4$  should be investigated. Such studies could provide a new, divergent, approach from the traditional two-step method of reduction followed by functionalisation, to develop the synthetic strategy of direct preparation of organophosphorus compounds from P4 that would be a basis for further derivatisation.

We gratefully acknowledge the UK EPSRC (grants EP/M027015/1, EP/P001386/1, and EP/S033181/1), ERC (grant CoG612724), Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (Friedrich Wilhelm Bessel Award to STL), and The University of Manchester for funding and support.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Notes and references

- (a) A. R. Jupp, S. Beijer, G. C. Narain, W. Schipper and J. C. Slootweg, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 87; (b) M. B. Geeson and C. C. Cummins, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2020, **6**, 848; (c) W. Schipper, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, 1567.
- Selected examples of activation of P4 by d transition and lanthanide metal complexes: (a) C. M. Hoidn, D. J. Scott and R. Wolf, Chem. – Eur. J., 2020, chem.202001854; (b) G. Hierlmeier, P. Coburger, N. P. van Leest, B. de Bruin and R. Wolf, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 14148; (c) U. Lennert, P. B. Arockiam, V. Streitferdt, D. J. Scott, C. Rödl, R. M. Gschwind and R. Wolf, Nat. Catal., 2019, 2, 1101; (d) F. Spitzer, M. Sierka, M. Latronico,

P. Mastrorilli, A. V. Virovets and M. Scheer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 4392; (e) C. Camp, L. Maron, R. G. Bergman and J. Arnold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 17652; (f) S. Heinl and M. Scheer, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 3221; (g) W. Huang and P. L. Diaconescu, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 2216; (h) C. Schwarzmaier, A. Noor, G. Glatz, M. Zabel, A. Y. Timoshkin, B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, R. Kempe and M. Scheer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 7283; (i) T. Li, J. Wiecko, N. A. Pushkarevsky, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, S. N. Konchenko, M. Scheer and P. W. Roesky, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 9491; (j) B. M. Cossairt, N. A. Piro and C. C. Cummins, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 4164; (k) M. Caporali, L. Gonsalvi, A. Rossin and M. Peruzzini, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 4178; (l) F. Dielmann, M. Sierka, A. V. Virovets and M. Scheer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 6860; (m) M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini and P. Stoppioni, C. R. Chim., 2010, 13, 935; (n) J. J. Curley, N. A. Piro and C. C. Cummins, Inorg. Chem., 2009, 48, 9599; (o) S. N. Konchenko, N. A. Pushkarevsky, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, H. Schnöckel and P. W. Roesky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 5740; (p) N. A. Piro and C. C. Cummins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 9524; (q) B. M. Cossairt and C. C. Cummins, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 8863; (r) M. Caporali, P. Barbaro, L. Gonsalvi, A. Ienco, D. Yakhvarov and M. Peruzzini, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 3766; (s) P. Barbaro, M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, S. Seniori Costantini and P. Stoppioni, Chem. - Eur. J., 2007, 13, 6682; (t) F. H. Stephens, M. J. A. Johnson, C. C. Cummins, O. P. Kryatova, S. V. Kryatov, E. V. Rybak-Akimova, J. E. McDonough and C. D. Hoff, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 15191; (u) M. Peruzzini, L. Gonsalvi and A. Romerosa, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2005, 34, 1038; (v) J. S. Figueroa and C. C. Cummins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 4020; (w) C. E. Laplaza, W. M. Davis and C. C. Cummins, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 2042.

- 3 Activation of P<sub>4</sub> by main group element complexes: (a) Y. Wang, T. Szilvasi, S. Yao and M. Driess, Nat. Chem., 2020, 12, 801;
  (b) D. Sarkar, C. Weetman, D. Munz and S. Inoue, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 6; (c) F. Hennersdorf and J. J. Weigand, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 7858; (d) L. Xu, Y. Chi, S. Du, W. X. Zhang and Z. Xi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 9187;
  (e) M. Arrowsmith, M. S. Hill, A. L. Johnson, G. Kociok-Köhn and M. F. Mahon, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 7882; (f) S. Khan, S. S. Sen and H. W. Roesky, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 2169;
  (g) N. A. Giffin and J. D. Masuda, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2011, 255, 1342; (h) M. Scheer, G. Balázs and A. Seitz, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 4236; (i) Y. Peng, H. Fan, H. Zhu, H. W. Roesky, J. Magull and C. E. Hughes, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 3443.
- 4 Activation of P<sub>4</sub> by carbenes: (a) D. Rottschäfer, S. Blomeyer, B. Neumann, H. G. Stammler and R. S. Ghadwal, Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 11078; (b) S. Gaillard and J. L. Renaud, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 7255; (c) C. D. Martin, C. M. Weinstein, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold and G. Bertrand, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 4486; (d) D. Martin, M. Soleilhavoup and G. Bertrand, Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 389; (e) O. Back, G. Kuchenbeiser, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 5530; (f) J. D. Masuda, W. W. Schoeller, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 7052; (g) J. D. Masuda, W. W. Schoeller, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 14180.
  5 Activation of P4 by actinide complexes: (a) A. Formanuik, F. Ortu,
- R. Beekmeyer, A. Kerridge, R. W. Adams and D. P. Mills, Dalton Trans., 2016, 45, 2390; (b) Z. Turner, Inorganics, 2015, 3,

- 597–635; (c) B. M. Gardner, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, J. McMaster, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake and S. T. Liddle, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 7068; (d) D. Patel, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake and S. T. Liddle, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 13334; (e) A. S. P. Frey, F. G. N. Cloke, P. B. Hitchcock and J. C. Green, *New J. Chem.*, 2022, 2011, 35; (f) F. H. Stephens, PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA, 2004; (g) O. J. Scherer, B. Werner, G. Heckmann and G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1991, 30, 553.
- 6 E. Lu, J. T. Boronski, M. Gregson, A. J. Wooles and S. T. Liddle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 5506.
- 7 (a) J. A. Seed, H. R. Sharpe, H. J. Futcher, A. J. Wooles and S. T. Liddle, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, 59, 15870; (b) M. Gregson, A. J. Wooles, O. J. Cooper and S. T. Liddle, *Comments Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 35, 262.
- 8 E. Lu, B. E. Atkinson, A. J. Wooles, J. T. Boronski, L. R. Doyle, F. Tuna, J. D. Cryer, P. J. Cobb, I. J. Vitorica-Yrezabal, G. F. S. Whitehead, N. Kaltsoyannis and S. T. Liddle, *Nat. Chem.*, 2019, **11**, 806.
- 9 E. Lu, A. J. Wooles, M. Gregson, P. J. Cobb and S. T. Liddle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 6587.
- 10 See the ESI† for full details.
- 11 P. Pyykkö, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2015, 119, 2326.
- 12 O. J. Cooper, D. P. Mills, J. McMaster, F. Moro, E. S. Davies, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake and S. T. Liddle, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 2383.
- (a) B. S. Newell, T. C. Schwaab and M. P. Shores, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 50, 12108; (b) J. Brennan, R. Shinomoto, A. Zalkin and N. Edelstein, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, 23, 4143.
- 14 (a) S. T. Liddle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 8604;
  (b) D. R. Kindra and W. J. Evans, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 8865;
  (c) I. Castro-Rodríguez and K. Meyer, Chem. Commun., 2006, 1353.
- 15 (a) J. T. Boronski, L. R. Doyle, J. A. Seed, A. J. Wooles and S. T. Liddle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 295; (b) J. T. Boronski, L. R. Doyle, A. J. Wooles, J. A. Seed and S. T. Liddle, Organometallics, 2020, 39, 1824; (c) T. M. Rookes, B. M. Gardner, G. Balázs, M. Gregson, F. Tuna, A. J. Wooles, M. Scheer and S. T. Liddle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 10495; (d) M. Gregson, E. Lu, D. P. Mills, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, C. Hennig, A. C. Scheinost, J. McMaster, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake, A. Kerridge and S. T. Liddle, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 14137; (e) B. M. Gardner, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, J. McMaster, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake and S. T. Liddle, *Nat. Chem.*, 2015, 7, 582; (f) D. M. King, J. McMaster, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake and S. T. Liddle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 5619; (g) D. P. Halter, H. S. La Pierre, F. W. Heinemann and K. Meyer, Inorg. Chem., 2014, 53, 8418; (h) B. M. Gardner, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, J. McMaster, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake and S. T. Liddle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 4484; (i) D. Patel, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake and S. T. Liddle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 13334; (j) A. J. Lewis, U. J. Williams, P. J. Carroll and E. J. Schelter, Inorg. Chem., 2013, 52, 7326; (k) J. L. Brown, S. Fortier, R. A. Lewis, G. Wu and T. W. Hayton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 15468; (l) S. Fortier, J. L. Brown, N. Kaltsoyannis and T. W. Hayton, Inorg. Chem., 2012, 51, 1625; (m) D. Patel, F. Moro, J. McMaster, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake and S. T. Liddle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 10388.