



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, 57, 4436

Received 3rd March 2021,
Accepted 23rd March 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1cc01166a

rsc.li/chemcomm

Enantioselective nickel-catalyzed *anti*-arylm metallative cyclizations onto acyclic electron-deficient alkenes†

Simone M. Gillbard,^{ab} Harley Green,^{ab} Stephen P. Argent ^{‡b} and
Hon Wai Lam ^{*ab}


Enantioselective nickel-catalyzed reactions of (hetero)arylboronic acids or alkenylboronic acids with substrates containing an alkyne tethered to various acyclic electron-deficient alkenes are described.

The metal-catalyzed addition of an arylboron reagent to an alkyne, followed by enantioselective intramolecular nucleophilic addition of the resulting alkenylmetal species onto a tethered electrophile, is a versatile domino reaction sequence for the synthesis of diverse chiral carbo- and heterocycles.¹ We² and others³ have recently described nickel-catalyzed variants of these reactions in which reversible *E/Z* isomerization of the intermediate alkenyl-nickel species enables enantioselective arylation cyclizations to proceed that would otherwise be impossible because of geometric constraints. Variants of these reactions that give achiral products,⁴ and several related processes,^{5–7} have also been described.


We have previously described enantioselective desymmetrizing nickel-catalyzed arylation cyclizations onto cyclohexa-2,5-dienones, which give fused bicyclic products with high diastereo- and enantioselectivities (Scheme 1A).^{2a} However, the use of a broader range of acyclic electron-deficient, conjugated alkenes in cyclizations would be valuable in providing less complex, non-fused products, and would substantially increase the synthetic utility of this methodology. Herein, we demonstrate that acyclic enones, nitroalkenes, α,β -unsaturated esters, and α,β -unsaturated nitriles can be used as electrophiles in the enantioselective preparation of various non-fused chiral carbo- and heterocycles (Scheme 1B). Collectively, these results represent a substantial increase in the scope of nickel-catalyzed *anti*-carbometallative cyclizations.

This study began with the reactions of PhB(OH)_2 with substrates **1a–1p** (Table 1). An evaluation of conditions⁸ led to the finding that heating the substrate **1**, PhB(OH)_2 (1.2 equiv.), and 5 mol% each of $\text{Ni(OAc)}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and (*S*)-*t*-Bu-NeopHOX (**L1**)^{2b,9} in TFE at 100 °C for 16–19 h gave the desired products **2** in generally good yields and high enantioselectivities.¹⁰ In some cases (**2j**, **2o**, and **2p**), using 2.0 equivalents of PhB(OH)_2 and increasing the catalyst loading were required for acceptable yields. Aromatic ketones with halide (**2a** and **2b**), nitro (**2c** and **2e**), or trifluoromethyl (**2d**) substituents at various positions of the benzene are tolerated, as are 2-furyl (**2f**), 2-thienyl (**2g**), and methyl ketones (**2h** and **2k–2n**). Notably, an α,β -unsaturated aldehyde underwent arylation cyclization to give **2i** in 58% yield and >99% ee. An α -chloroketone, containing a potentially labile carbon–chlorine bond, is also tolerated (**2j**). The alkynyl group can be changed from phenyl (**2a–2j**) to 4-chlorophenyl (**2k**), 3-methylphenyl (**2l**), 2-thienyl (**2m**), and vinyl (**2n**), although **2m** was formed in lower yield and enantioselectivity. Aryl- and alkenyl-substituted alkynes are usually required for high regioselectivities in the initial arylnickelation step, presumably because the resulting alkenylnickel intermediates are better stabilized by an adjacent sp^2 -hybridized group. Therefore, it was of interest to evaluate the reaction of methyl-substituted alkyne **1o**,

A. Enantioselective nickel-catalyzed desymmetrizing arylation cyclizations (ref. 2a)



B. Arylation cyclizations onto acyclic electron-deficient alkenes (this work)



Scheme 1 Enantioselective nickel-catalyzed arylation cyclizations onto electron-deficient alkenes.


^a The GlaxoSmithKline Carbon Neutral Laboratories for Sustainable Chemistry, University of Nottingham, Jubilee Campus, Triumph Road, Nottingham, NG7 2TU, UK. E-mail: hon.lam@nottingham.ac.uk

^b School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, full spectroscopic data for new compounds, and crystallographic data for **2a**, **2r**, **2s**, and **2y**. CCDC 2040010–2040013. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1cc01166a

‡ To whom enquires regarding X-ray crystallography should be addressed.




Table 1 Scope of alkynes tethered to electron-deficient alkenes^a

^a Reactions were conducted using 0.30 mmol of **1** in TFE (3 mL). Yields are of isolated products. Enantiomeric excesses were determined by HPLC analysis on a chiral stationary phase. ^b Using 2.0 equivalents of PhB(OH)₂. ^c Using 20 mol% each of Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O and **L1**. ^d Using 10 mol% each of Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O and **L1**.



which gave **2o** in 31% yield and 92% ee. This reaction also gave a mixture of other unidentified products, presumably because of low regioselectivity in the initial arylnickelation. A nitroalkene can also be used as the electrophile (**2p**).

The results of evaluating different boronic acids in reactions with substrates **1g** or **1p** are shown in Table 2. Substituted phenylboronic acids with various groups at the *para* (**2t**), *meta* (**2q**), or *ortho* (**2r**) positions successfully underwent the reaction to give products with reasonable to high yields and high enantioselectivities, as did 3,4-dichlorophenylboronic acid (**2u**) and 3-thienylboronic acid (**2s**). Various alkenylboronic acids also reacted with **1p** to give products **2v–2x** in >99% ee but in low yields because of competitive protodeboronation.

Table 2 Scope of boronic acids^a

^a See footnote *a* of Table 1. ^b Using 2.0 equivalents of boronic acid and 10 mol% each of Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O and **L1**.


Further investigations into the scope of these reactions revealed some interesting findings. For example, the reaction of 2-fluorophenylboronic acid with substrate (*E*)-**1q**, which contains an α,β -unsaturated *t*-butyl ketone, gave the arylylative cyclization product **2y** in only 25% yield but in >99% ee (eqn (1)). This reaction also gave the alkyne hydroarylation products **3** in 30% yield, which were isolated as a 0.7:1 mixture of inseparable *E*- and *Z*-isomers, respectively. Evidently, the steric hindrance imparted by the *t*-butyl group had a negative effect on the efficiency of arylylative cyclization. Interestingly, however, the analogous reaction with the stereoisomeric substrate (*Z*)-**1q** gave **2y** in 90% yield and >99% ee (eqn (2)). The markedly different propensity of (*E*)-**1q** and (*Z*)-**1q** to undergo the desired reaction is reminiscent of our prior work in enantioselective nickel-catalyzed intramolecular allylic alkenylations, where *Z*-allylic phosphates gave arylylative cyclization products but the corresponding *E*-isomers did not.^{2b} The reasons for the differing results obtained from (*E*)-**1q** and (*Z*)-**1q** are not clear, but perhaps the lower thermodynamic stability of (*Z*)-**1q** is manifested in greater reactivity toward nucleophilic attack, and/or the steric requirements of the reaction are better accommodated by (*Z*)-**1q**. Moreover, the major enantiomer of **2y** is identical for both reactions (see the ESI† for tentative stereochemical models). These results contrast with several other examples of enantioselective 1,4-additions of carbon nucleophiles to electron-deficient alkenes where *E*- and *Z*-isomers of the substrates give opposite enantiomers of the products.¹¹ However, reactions where *E*- and *Z*-isomers give the same major enantiomers of 1,4-addition products are also known.^{1j,11b}



Thus far, only enones or nitroalkenes had been used as electrophiles. Interestingly, use of an α,β -unsaturated ester gave other types of products (eqn (3)). Substrate **1r** reacted with PhB(OH)₂ (2.0 equiv.) in the presence of 10 mol% each of Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O and (*S*)-*t*-Bu-NeopHOX (**L1**) to give the arylylative cyclization product **2z** (14%, >99% ee), conjugated dienes **5** (23% yield) and **6** (15% yield) resulting from Heck-type cyclizations,^{12,13} and what appeared to be the reductive cyclization product **4**, which could not be isolated cleanly. These results can be





explained by considering the mechanism of nickel-catalyzed *anti*-carbometallative cyclizations that we have proposed previously (Scheme 2).^{2,4c} Reaction of **1r** and PhB(OH)₂ would, after arylnickelation and reversible *E/Z* isomerization,^{2,4c} lead to alkenylnickel species **7**. A *syn*-stereospecific migratory insertion of the alkene^{2b} would then give the *C*-bound nickel enolate **8**. Protodenickelation of **8** by TFE gives the arylative cyclization product **2z**. However, the low yield of **2z** suggests that this step is slow compared with substrates containing ketones or nitro groups (Tables 1 and 2).¹⁴ In competition with protodenickelation of **8**, bond rotation to give **8'** and stereospecific *syn*-β-hydride elimination gives diene **5** and a nickel hydride species **9**. The nickel hydride **9** can then enter analogous reaction pathways with substrate **1r** but *via* alkyne hydronickelation to give **10** and eventually, the reductive cyclization product **4** and diene **6**.



Conjugate dienes were also obtained from substrates containing an α,β -unsaturated nitrile (eqn (4) and (5)). The reaction of PhB(OH)₂ with (*E*)-**1s** gave an inseparable 13:1 mixture of dienes (*E*)-**11** (18% yield) and **12** (1.4% yield), and the remainder of the material was a mixture of unidentified products (eqn (4)). None of the desired product **2aa** was detected. In contrast, the stereoisomeric substrate (*Z*)-**1s** gave **2aa** in 60% yield and 99% ee, along with diene (*Z*)-**11** in 25% yield (eqn (5)). The observation that the *Z*-isomer of the substrate is more effective in providing the product **2aa** is similar to the results shown in eqn (1) and (2). For a mechanistic rationale of the production of different stereoisomers of dienes (*E*)-**11** and


(*Z*)-**11** from (*E*)-**1s** and (*Z*)-**1s**, respectively, see the ESI.†




Next, the formation of six-membered rings was attempted. However, reaction of **13** (a higher homologue of substrate **1h** that successfully gave product **2h** (see Table 1)) with PhB(OH)₂ (2.0 equiv.) in the presence of 10 mol% each of Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O and (*S*)-*t*-Bu-NeopHOX (**L1**) failed to provide the desired six-membered arylative cyclization product. Instead, a 2.3:1 mixture of inseparable stereoisomeric alkyne hydroarylation products (*E*)-**14** and (*Z*)-**14**, respectively, was obtained in 57% yield (Scheme 3). Replacing (*S*)-*t*-Bu-NeopHOX (**L1**) with other chiral phosphine-oxazoline ligands did not lead to any improvement.⁸ However, use of the achiral ligand pyphos (**L2**) gave racemic **15** in 47% yield (Scheme 3).¹⁵

The reaction of PhB(OH)₂ with substrate **16a**, which contains a *para*-toluenesulfonamide group, gave only a complex mixture of unidentified products (Scheme 4). As with **13**, improved results were not obtained with other chiral phosphine-oxazoline ligands⁸ but use of pyphos (**L2**) gave the racemic arylative cyclization product **17** in 52% yield.

Given the results shown in Schemes 3 and 4, it was not surprising that substrate **16b** (see eqn (6)), which contains an α,β -unsaturated ester rather than an α,β -unsaturated ketone, did not provide the desired arylative cyclization product when it was reacted with PhB(OH)₂ using **L1** as the chiral ligand. However, unlike for substrates **13** and **16a**, it was interesting to observe that (*S*)-*t*-Bu-NeopHOX (**L3**) was an effective chiral ligand in the arylative cyclization of **16b**, which reacted smoothly with PhB(OH)₂ (2.0 equiv.) in the presence of 10 mol% each of Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O and **L3** to give tetrahydropyridine




Scheme 2 Mechanistic rationale of the formation of **2z** and **4–6**.




Scheme 3





Scheme 4

18 in 59% yield and 89% ee (eqn (6)).



In summary, we have reported enantioselective nickel-catalyzed *anti*-carbometallative cyclizations of (hetero)arylboronic acids and alkenylboronic acids with acyclic substrates containing an alkyne tethered to an enone, nitroalkene, α,β -unsaturated ester, or α,β -unsaturated nitrile. The products are various non-fused chiral carbo- and heterocycles, and the enantioselectivities are excellent in most cases (often $\geq 99\%$ ee). These results represent a substantial increase in the scope over our previous work.² Interesting findings comparing the efficiencies of *E/Z* stereoisomers of certain substrates, and the isolation of products resulting from β -hydride eliminations and reductive cyclizations have also been described (eqn (1)–(5)).¹⁶

This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council and AstraZeneca [Industrial CASE Studentship, grant number EP/S513854/1]; the University of Nottingham; and GlaxoSmithKline.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- For representative examples, see: (a) R. Shintani, K. Okamoto, Y. Otomaru, K. Ueyama and T. Hayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 54–55; (b) R. Shintani, A. Tsurusaki, K. Okamoto and T. Hayashi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 3909–3912; (c) J. Song, Q. Shen, F. Xu and X. Lu, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 2947–2950; (d) X. Han and X. Lu, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 108–111; (e) Z.-T. He, B. Tian, Y. Fukui, X. Tong, P. Tian and G.-Q. Lin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 5314–5318; (f) J. Keilitz, S. G. Newman and M. Lautens, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 1148–1151; (g) Y. Li and M.-H. Xu, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 2712–2715; (h) F. Serpieri, B. Flamme, J.-L. Brayer, B. Foll  s and S. Darses, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 1720–1723; (i) A. Selmani and S. Darses, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 8122–8126; (j) A. Selmani and S. Darses, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2019, **6**, 3978–3982; (k) A. Groves, J. Sun, H. R. I. Parke, M. Callingham, S. P. Argent, L. J. Taylor and H. W. Lam, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 2759–2764; (l) A. Selmani and S. Darses, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 2681–2686.
- (a) C. Clarke, C. A. Incerti-Pradillos and H. W. Lam, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 8068–8071; (b) C. Yap, G. M. J. Lenagh-Snow, S. N. Karad, W. Lewis, L. J. Diorazio and H. W. Lam, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 8216–8220; (c) S. N. Karad, H. Panchal, C. Clarke, W. Lewis and H. W. Lam, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 9122–9125.
- Z. Lu, X.-D. Hu, H. Zhang, X.-W. Zhang, J. Cai, M. Usman, H. Cong and W.-B. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 7328–7333.
- (a) X. Zhang, X. Xie and Y. Liu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 5815–5820; (b) G. R. Kumar, R. Kumar, M. Rajesh and M. S. Reddy, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 759–762; (c) S. M. Gillbard, C.-H. Chung, S. N. Karad, H. Panchal, W. Lewis and H. W. Lam, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 11769–11772.
- For reviews on nickel-catalyzed difunctionalization of alkynes, see: (a) S. E. Bottcher, L. E. Hutchinson and D. J. Wilger, *Synthesis*, 2020, **52**, 2807–2820; (b) W. Liu and W. Kong, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **7**, 3941–3955.
- (a) M. Hari Babu, G. Ranjith Kumar, R. Kant and M. Sridhar Reddy, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 3894–3897; (b) M. Rajesh, M. K. R. Singam, S. Puri, S. Balasubramanian and M. Sridhar Reddy, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **83**, 15361–15371; (c) N. Iqbal, N. Iqbal, D. Maiti and E. J. Cho, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 15808–15812; (d) M. K. R. Singam, A. Nagireddy, M. Rajesh, V. Ganesh and M. S. Reddy, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **7**, 30–34; (e) J. Chen, Y. Wang, Z. Ding and W. Kong, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 1882; (f) Z. Zhou, W. Liu and W. Kong, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 6982–6987; (g) Z. Zhou, J. Chen, H. Chen and W. Kong, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 10204–10211.
- T. Igarashi, S. Arai and A. Nishida, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 4366–4372.
- Other phosphine – oxazoline ligands evaluated included (R)-Ph-*PHOX*, (S)-i-Pr-*PHOX*, and (S)-*t*-Bu-*PHOX* (**L3**).
- M. G. Schrems and A. Pfaltz, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 6210–6212.
- The absolute configurations of products **2a**, **2r**, **2s**, and **2y** were determined by X-ray crystallography, and those of the remaining products were assigned by analogy.
- For examples, see: (a) T. Hayashi, T. Senda, Y. Takaya and M. Ogasawara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 11591–11592; (b) S. R. Harutyunyan, F. L  pez, W. R. Browne, A. Correa, D. Pe  a, R. Badorrey, A. Meetsma, A. J. Minnaard and B. L. Feringa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 9103–9118; (c) S.-Y. Wang, S.-J. Ji and T.-P. Loh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 276–277; (d) P. Maule  n, I. Alonso, M. R. Rivero and J. C. Carretero, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 9924–9935; (e) R. Shintani and T. Hayashi, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 350–352.
- H. Yokoyama, T. Satoh, T. Furuhashi, M. Miyazawa and Y. Hirai, *Synlett*, 2006, 2649–2651.
- (a) J.-I. I. Kim, B. A. Patel and R. F. Heck, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1981, **46**, 1067–1073; (b) P. M. Wovkulich, K. Shankaran, J. Kiegiel and M. R. Uskokovic, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1993, **58**, 832–839; (c) O. Dirat, C. Kouklovsky and Y. Langlois, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1998, **63**, 6634–6642; (d) K. S. Yoo, C. H. Yoon and K. W. Jung, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 16384–16393; (e) A. N. Cuzzupe, C. A. Hutton, M. J. Lilly, R. K. Mann, K. J. McRae, S. C. Zammit and M. A. Rizzacasa, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, **66**, 2382–2393; (f) R. Manoharan, R. Logeswaran and M. Jeganmohan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **84**, 14830–14843.
- A possible reason is that protodienickelation proceeds faster via the O-bound, rather than the C-bound nickel enolate, and ketone-derived enolates are more likely to exist as the O-bound form compared with ester-derived enolates. Similarly, protodienickelation of nickel nitronates is likely to be more rapid than ester-derived nickel enolates because of a higher ratio of O- vs. C-bound forms.
- Product **15** contained an inseparable impurity and therefore the yield was calculated by ¹H NMR analysis using an internal standard.
- The research data associated with this publication can be found at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.17639/nott.7109>.

