



Cite this: *RSC Chem. Biol.*, 2021, 2, 1073

Received 1st April 2021,
Accepted 27th May 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1cb00072a

rsc.li/rsc-chembio

Interfacing non-enzymatic catalysis with living microorganisms

Joanna C. Sadler,^{id}^a Jonathan A. Dennis,^{id}^{†ab} Nick W. Johnson^{id}^{†a} and Stephen Wallace^{id}^{*a}

Interfacing non-enzymatic catalysis with cellular metabolism is emerging as a powerful approach to produce a range of high value small molecules and polymers. In this review, we highlight recent examples from this promising young field. Specifically, we discuss demonstrations of living cells mediating redox processes for biopolymer production, interfacing solar-light driven chemistry with microbial metabolism, and intra- and extracellular non-enzymatic catalysis to generate high value molecules. This review highlights the vast potential of this nascent field to bridge the two disciplines of synthetic chemistry and synthetic biology for a sustainable chemical industry.

1. Introduction

Chemical catalysis is a powerful tool to efficiently access high value small molecules and materials. Compared to many biocatalysts, non-enzymatic catalysts offer wide substrate scope, high turnover numbers, and stability to a wide range

of process conditions. However, chemical syntheses often rely on fossil fuel-derived starting materials which limits the long-term sustainability of this approach. Synthetic biology offers a promising alternative by accessing high value chemicals from low cost renewable or waste feedstocks under mild conditions.^{1–3} However, biological pathways are inherently limited by the reactions known to Nature, precluding access to large areas of chemical space. This has prompted researchers to explore opportunities in merging these two traditionally discrete disciplines to benefit from the best of both worlds.^{4,5}

Through the union of non-enzymatic catalysis and microbial metabolism, reaction sequences may be accessed that would not be possible using either technology in isolation (Fig. 1A and B).

^a Institute of Quantitative Biology, Biochemistry and Biotechnology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Roger Land Building, Alexander Crum Brown Road, King's Buildings, Edinburgh, EH9 3FF, UK. E-mail: stephen.wallace@ed.ac.uk

^b School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Joseph Black Building, David Brewster Road, King's Buildings, Edinburgh, EH9 3F, UK

† Equal contribution.



Joanna C. Sadler

she is developing new microbial approaches to the valorisation of plastic waste using a combination of synthetic biology and biocompatible chemistry.

Joanna obtained a MSc in Chemistry from the University of Bristol in 2013 and a PhD in Biocatalysis and Organic Chemistry from GSK and the University of Strathclyde in 2017. She has held postdoctoral positions at the University of Manchester and the University of St Andrews where she specialised in directed evolution and metabolic engineering. She is currently a BBSRC Discovery Fellow in the Wallace Lab where



Jonathan A. Dennis

Jonathan obtained a BSc(Hons) in Chemistry from Heriot-Watt University in 2017 and a MSc in Synthetic Biology and Biotechnology from the University of Edinburgh in 2018. He is currently a third-year PhD student in the Wallace Lab as part of the EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Critical Resource Catalysis (CRITICAT), where he is investigating the use of biocompatible chemistry to manipulate microbial metabolites in *E. coli* and other microorganisms.






Fig. 1 (A) Synthetic biology blended with synthetic chemistry enables access to larger areas of chemical space than synthetic biology alone. (B) Biocompatible chemistry involves the use of chemical synthetic tools in a biological synthetic environment. (C) Biocompatible chemistry refers to abiotic catalysis which is directly interfaced with cellular metabolism, whereas bioorthogonal chemistry does not interact directly with cellular metabolism.

A key article by Wallace and Balskus in 2014 summarised early contributions and opportunities in this exciting field.⁴ Therefore, the purpose of the present article is to summarise progress in this area in the period 2014–2021. Specifically, the scope will include: (i) living cell mediated electron transfer processes, (ii) solar-light driven chemistry interfaced with microbial metabolism and (iii) intra- and extracellular non-enzymatic catalysis for the production

of high value small molecules. Whilst the field of artificial metalloenzymes is acknowledged as a promising approach to widen the scope of synthetic biology, it is not considered to be 'non-enzymatic'; therefore it falls outside the scope of this review and instead we direct the reader to recent review articles on the topic.^{6–9} Finally, we will outline possible future directions of this emerging field.



Nick W. Johnson

Nick obtained a MChem in Chemistry from the University of Glasgow in 2017, during which time he spent one year developing new biocatalysts at Bayer Crop Science in Frankfurt. He is currently a second-year PhD student in the Wallace Lab at the University of Edinburgh, where he is investigating the development of new biocompatible reactions in collaboration with AstraZeneca.



Stephen Wallace

Stephen is a UKRI Future Leaders Fellow and Senior Lecturer in Biotechnology at the Institute of Quantitative Biology, Biochemistry and Biotechnology in the School of Biological Sciences at the University of Edinburgh. He obtained a MChem in Medicinal and Biological Chemistry with Industrial Experience from the University of Edinburgh in 2008 and a DPhil in Organic Chemistry from the University of Oxford in 2012. He has held postdoctoral research fellowships at the MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Harvard University and the University of Cambridge. His independent research spans the study and manipulation of microbial chemistry for use in sustainable chemical synthesis.



First, it is important to define the terms ‘biocompatible chemistry’ and ‘bioorthogonal chemistry’ in the context of this review. Biocompatible chemistry is defined as non-enzymatic catalysis interfaced with the metabolism of a living organism. This may operate in one or more of three ways: non-enzymatic modification of a microbial metabolite; non-enzymatic generation of a microbial substrate; or non-enzymatic modification of a metabolite within a metabolic pathway. In contrast, bioorthogonal chemistry is defined as non-enzymatic modification of a small molecule or biopolymer which occurs independently of cellular metabolism (Fig. 1C).

2. Living cell mediated redox processes

2.1. Microbe mediated polymerisation

One of the simplest and most powerful applications of biocompatible chemistry is the use of metabolic electrons to catalyse abiotic reactions. This approach uses membrane-bound redox proteins found in obligate and facultative anaerobic

microorganisms to drive non-enzymatic reactions at the cell surface (Fig. 2A). A key example is the use of microbe-initiated radical polymerisations to produce high value synthetic polymers. Such reactions avoid the use of stoichiometric reductants and harsh processing conditions typically required for this chemistry *in vitro*,^{10,11} and have the potential to create entirely new products through the controlled polymerisation of substrates within the architecture of the cell membrane.

Perhaps the most well studied of these is the Gram-negative anaerobic bacterium *Shewanella oneidensis*, which possesses extracellular electron transport (EET) machinery that can use a variety of molecules and metals as electron acceptors.^{12–14} This reactivity has recently been harnessed by Fan and co-workers, who demonstrated the use of *S. oneidensis* as ‘living electrodes’ in an atom transfer radical polymerisation (ATRP) of an oligo(ethylene oxide) methyl ether methacrylate (OEOMA) monomer under anaerobic conditions.¹⁵ Electrons from native respiratory pathways were able to reduce Cu(II), Fe(II) and Co(II) catalysts to Cu(I), Fe(I) and Co(I), respectively, which could then react with a brominated initiator to produce a radical species and trigger olefin polymerisation (Fig. 2B).¹⁵ Further




Fig. 2 Microbe-mediated redox driven polymerisation reactions. (A) Extracellular electron transport proteins mediate redox processes using a wide range of metals and small molecules as electron acceptors. (B) Microbe mediated atom-transfer radical polymerisation for polymerisation of oligo(ethylene oxide) methyl ether methacrylate (OEOMA). (C) Controlled reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerisation of an oligo(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate (OEGMA) monomer using *E. coli* MC4100.



optimisation highlighted that a Cu(II) tris(2-pyridylmethyl) amine (TPMA) complex was able to accelerate polymerisation and improve control of polymer chain length. The membrane-bound decaheme c-type cytochrome MtrC was found to be an essential electron donor to Cu(II)-TPMA during the reaction, with a *S. oneidensis* $\Delta mtrC$ knockout strain demonstrating significantly decreased polymerisation rates. In a subsequent study, the authors demonstrated *S. oneidensis* mediated radical polymerisation under aerobic conditions.¹⁶ This is a significant achievement as radical polymerisation reactions are typically quenched by molecular oxygen. Interestingly, *S. oneidensis* was found to rapidly consume dissolved oxygen under polymerisation conditions *via* aerobic respiration, before directing extracellular electron flux to the metal catalyst at the membrane to initiate polymerisation. This natural ability to decrease oxygen levels enabled preparation of various synthetic polymers under aerobic conditions with comparable yields and chain lengths to those prepared under anaerobic conditions.¹⁶

Radical polymerisation has also been demonstrated using the metal-reducing Gram-negative bacterium *Cupriavidus metallidurans* and the model laboratory bacterium *Escherichia coli*.¹⁷ Native membrane reductases in both organisms were able to reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II) to activate a series of radical initiators for ATRP. Minimal polymerisation was observed in the absence of live cells, indicating the importance of cellular metabolism to the catalytic cycle to regenerate active Fe(II).¹⁷ It is noteworthy that polymerisation was also observed with the Gram-positive anaerobe *Clostridium sporogenes*, however only 34% conversion was achieved in comparison to 61% and 78% for *C. metallidurans* and *E. coli*, respectively. This was hypothesised to be due to *C. sporogenes* being less efficient at reducing Fe(III) than Gram-negative strains. The choice of ligand was also critical to the success of this reaction, with a balance between ligand dissociation, microbial toxicity and the overall rate of polymerisation being necessary to achieve optimum productivity and polydispersity.^{15,17} Finally, whilst this system focusses exclusively on the use and generation of water-soluble substrates and products, the integration of biphasic solvents systems or reaction compartmentalisation strategies could be used to broaden the scope of this approach, including the polymerisation of metabolic substrates generated from sustainable feedstocks.

More recently, microbe mediated polymerisation has also been demonstrated in the absence of a metal catalyst.¹⁸ In this seminal study, Nothling and co-workers demonstrated the reduction of an aryl diazonium initiator to generate a carbon-centred aryl radical species using the enteric Gram-negative pathogen *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium TAS2010 and the common laboratory bacterium *Escherichia coli* MC4100. This triggered controlled reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerisation of an oligo(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate (OEGMA) monomer using the trithiocarbonate chain-transfer agent, TTC-1 (Fig. 2C), with 100% monomer consumption in 24 hours. The reaction was shown to be initiated by redox-active shuttles in the cell membrane and facilitated by cellular metabolism. The initiator,

4-bromobenzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate (4-BT), was shown to be remarkably biocompatible at concentrations $\leq 800 \mu\text{M}$, and both strains exhibited stationary phase redox potentials less than -200 mV (*vs.* Ag/AgCl) under aerobic and anaerobic conditions in a variety of growth media. Interestingly, both the cell membrane and intracellular reducing agents were required for maximum productivity, and the increased activity of *E. coli* MC4100 was attributed to favourable interactions between 4-BT and the cell membrane due to a decreased lipopolysaccharide (LPS) phenotype. Overall, this opens up exciting opportunities for laboratory strains of bacteria to participate in a wide range of metal-free abiotic polymerisation reactions to produce new sustainable materials and raises the intriguing possibility that diazo-containing natural products could initiate such reactivity in Nature.¹⁸

2.2. Harnessing microbial chemistry for solar light driven reactions

In addition to use as a source of electrons, microorganisms can also accept electrons to drive cellular processes. Such systems are classical examples of microbial syntrophy,¹⁹ but more recently have also used biocompatible reactions to enable CO₂ utilisation in an ongoing drive towards a sustainable, circular economy.²⁰⁻²² Work in this field has focussed on hybrid photosynthesis systems that harness solar energy for H₂ production from water.^{23,24} These use non-enzymatic photocatalysts which exhibit high solar-to-chemical energy conversion²⁴ and have shown biocompatibility with various microorganisms.²⁵ Seminal studies from Torella and co-workers and Liu and co-workers demonstrated the use of bioelectrochemical cells containing *R. eutropha* to produce PHB and fusel alcohols from CO₂ and H₂O.^{26,27} Using a reactive oxygen species (ROS)-resistant Co-P alloy cathode, the authors achieved CO₂ reduction efficiencies exceeding that of natural photosynthesis.²⁶ In a later study, Tremblay and co-workers interfaced a biocompatible graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) photocatalyst and catalase-mediated H₂O₂ degradation with the facultative autotrophic Gram-negative bacterium *Ralstonia eutropha* to produce the biopolymer polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) (Fig. 3A). When combined, the photocatalyst formed large cell membrane-associated aggregates which enabled charge transfer to intracellular hydrogenases in the presence of light, increasing H₂ and O₂ availability to the cell. This increased PHB titres from CO₂ by 2.2-fold and 1.7-fold when g-C₃N₄/catalase and CdS nanorods were used, respectively.^{28,29}

In a related study, the non-enzymatic production of H₂ has been used to support methanogenesis in *Methanosarcina barkeri*, an autotrophic archaeon of interest to the biofuel community. However, current processes are not efficient enough to be industrially viable.^{22,30} To address this, Nichols and co-workers increased methane production by interfacing the metabolism of *M. barkeri* with a biocompatible water-splitting catalyst. The authors developed a two-compartment biocompatible electrochemical cell using a *n*-TiO₂ photoanode and *p*-InP photocathode submerged in a culture of *M. barkeri* (Fig. 3B). Using solar energy, the microorganism converted CO₂






Fig. 3 Biohybrid photosynthesis systems utilising solar-to-chemical energy conversion. (A) Use of a water-splitting photocatalyst for H₂ production to increase PHB production in *R. eutropha*. (B) Two-compartment biocompatible electrochemical cell interfaced with a culture of living *M. barkeri* for biofuel production. r.e refers to the reference electrode. (C) CdS mediated photoexcited electron transfer for enhanced biofuel production in *M. barkeri*. (D) Biosynthesis of SA from DHS enabled by *in vivo* NADPH regeneration mediated by photogenerated electrons from InP nanoparticles.

and water to methane with high faradaic efficiency (74%) in minimal media, a 3-fold increase in methane production compared to unilluminated controls.³¹

More recently, Ye and co-workers increased methane production in *M. barkeri* using solar energy and a CdS nanoparticle catalyst (Fig. 3C).³² The authors hypothesised that photoexcitation of CdS would enable electron transfer to membrane-bound proteins cytochrome b and [NiFe] hydrogenase (Ech) in *M. barkeri*.³³ After 3 days, a 13-fold increase in methane production was observed compared to controls cultured in the absence of CdS. Transcriptomic experiments showed a 1.5-fold increase in mRNA copies encoding *mcrA* within cells cultured in the electrochemical bioreactor. The *mcrA* gene encodes the α -subunit of methyl coenzyme M reductase, which catalyses the final step of methane biosynthesis. This demonstrates that a dynamic and cooperative interaction exists between the microbe and non-enzymatic catalyst during the reaction, and suggests that transcriptomic approaches may be used to guide the design of engineered hosts for new biocompatible reactions in the future.³² Further studies showed that the photocatalytic performance of the catalyst could be enhanced 3.5-fold by doping CdS with nickel. The authors hypothesised that nickel increases the stability of the nanoparticle under the reaction conditions, promoting the transfer of electrons from CdS* to *M. barkeri*.³³

In addition to the generation of H₂, Guo and co-workers recently used photogenerated electrons from InP nanoparticles

to support cofactor regeneration in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.³⁴ The knock-out strain *S. cerevisiae* $\Delta zwf1$ was used to impair NADP⁺ reduction in the cytosol, which could be rescued *via* non-enzymatic photoelectron transfer from InP located at the cell membrane. Illumination of cells resulted in a 35-fold increased NADPH-dependent reduction of dehydroshikimic acid (DHS) to shikimic acid (SA) and this continued for 72 h to exceed native SA yields from unmodified *S. cerevisiae* by 3-fold. Although the precise mechanism of electron transport from InP* to NADP⁺ is still under investigation, the increased redox activity of spent reaction cultures after *S. cerevisiae* $\Delta zwf1$ growth suggests the presence of additional redox-active species *in vivo*. Together this work highlights the use of biocompatible chemistry to complement and enhance native metabolic reactions in various microorganisms, and suggests a wider role of cellular metabolites in non-enzymatic catalysis in the cell interior.

3. Biocompatible chemistry for small molecule synthesis

3.1. Intracellular non-enzymatic catalysis

The examples discussed so far harness extracellular or cell surface mediated electron transfer processes to feed into microbial metabolism or abiotic polymer synthesis. A complementary form of biocompatible chemistry is the use of non-enzymatic catalysis to interface directly with native and engineered microbial metabolism to access reaction pathways that would not be accessible using synthetic chemistry or synthetic biology in isolation. If the catalyst can be generated by the microorganism or efficiently cross the cell membrane, this process may occur intracellularly. Whilst examples in this field are currently limited, there is considerable potential for this strategy to bridge native enzymatic pathways to enable new-to-nature metabolic transformations using both transition metal- and organocatalysis.³⁵

A key example of intracellular biocompatible chemistry is the use of the Fe(III) complex hemin to bridge two parts of an engineered biosynthetic pathway for high-yield production of the industrially valuable compounds diacetyl and (*S,S*)-2,3-butanediol by *Lactococcus lactis*.³⁶ A knockout strain of *L. lactis* was generated to inactivate competing pathways and direct metabolic flux toward α -acetolactate formation. Hemin then catalysed the biocompatible non-enzymatic oxidative decarboxylation (ALOX) of α -acetolactate to form diacetyl, which could be reduced to (*S,S*)-2,3-butanediol by expression of a heterologous reductase. The bridging of these two pathways enabled redox-balanced and growth-coupled (*S,S*)-2,3-butanediol production under aerobic conditions (Fig. 4A). In a subsequent study, Liu and co-workers demonstrated extension of this system for production of (*3S*)-acetoin. In this work, a hemin-catalysed ALOX reaction was used to produce diacetyl which was coupled with a diacetyl reductase *in vivo* to achieve redox balancing and obtain high titres (5.8 g L⁻¹) of the target compound.³⁷






Fig. 4 Intracellular non-enzymatic catalysis for production of high value small molecules. (A) Fe(II)-catalysed non-enzymatic oxidative decarboxylation (ALOX) of acetolactate in *L. lactis* enables efficient access to diacetyl, acetoin and butanediol. DAR refers to diacetyl reductase from *Enterobacter cloacae*. (B) Native oxidation of short chain alcohols by *G. oxydans* coupled with an organocatalytic aldol condensation gives access to valuable α,β -unsaturated compounds.

Beyond ALOX systems, many reported abiotic intracellular metal-catalysed reactions are examples of bioorthogonal, rather than biocompatible, chemistry. However, some of these systems do indirectly influence cellular metabolism, therefore serving as interesting examples of the interplay between biocompatible and bioorthogonal reactions *in vivo*. For example, bis[tri(2-furyl)phosphine]PdCl₂ encapsulated in poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid)-*b*-polyethyleneglycol (“nano-Pd”) catalyses the uncaging of allyloxycarbonyl protected prodrugs and can trigger tumour cell death by release of the active agent, which interferes with cell replication processes.³⁸ In a second example, an intracellular bis-allyl Ru(IV)-catalysed redox isomerisation of allylic alcohols led to the accumulation of α,β -unsaturated ketones, which deplete intracellular glutathione levels *via* trapping in a Michael addition reaction.³⁹ In both examples, however, the key difference from biocompatible systems is that the substrate for non-enzymatic catalysis is exogenous and the product does not feed into cellular metabolism.

A complementary approach to metal-catalysed biocompatible chemistry is interfacing microbial metabolism with organocatalysis. In 2016, Domaille and co-workers demonstrated an amino acid-catalysed aldol condensation reaction that was compatible with *E. coli* growth conditions.⁴⁰ A range of short-chain aldehydes could be converted into the corresponding self-aldol condensation product at room temperature in bacterial growth media using β -alanine and other biogenic amines as an organocatalyst. Addition of glyceryl tributyrates enabled yields of up to 70% and improved cell viability by alleviating toxicity of the α,β -unsaturated products by *in situ*

product removal (ISPR). The reaction products are also industrially valuable; the self-aldol condensation product of butanal dimerization is a key intermediate in the synthesis of 2-ethyl-2-hexanol, which is currently produced on multimillion-tonne scale annually using Rh catalysis from fossil fuel derived feedstocks. In a subsequent study, Stewart and co-workers showed that the aldehyde substrate could be produced *via* the oxidation of primary alcohols by wild-type *Gluconobacter oxydans*.⁴¹ Coupling this with a lysine organocatalyst at 900 mol% provided the target α,β -unsaturated products in up to 88% yield over 24–48 h, redirecting metabolism away from further oxidation of the aldehyde to the carboxylic acid by native dehydrogenases. A range of cross-aldol products could also be obtained through addition of other C₂–C₆ alcohol substrates (Fig. 4B). Although the precise location of the biocompatible reaction in *E. coli* and *G. oxydans* was not confirmed in either study, primary alcohol oxidation in *G. oxydans* is known to occur at the cytosolic membrane^{42,43} and amino acid importers are known in both microorganisms, suggesting that organocatalysis could occur in the periplasmic space. However, the absence of any enhanced rate in the presence of cells due to substrate/catalyst co-localisation and the high catalyst loading required for product formation *in vivo* suggests that organocatalysis, in this case, likely occurs in the extracellular media after export of the aldehyde.

This work is an important example of using organocatalysis for biocompatible chemistry and lays the foundation for future development of more efficient systems and novel synthetic pathways. For example, Brewster and co-workers have recently demonstrated that α,β -unsaturated compounds such as 2-ethylhexenal can undergo enantioselective C=C bond reduction in the presence of unmodified *E. coli*.⁴⁴ Since bio-based routes to *n*-butanol are known,^{45–48} it is therefore possible to envisage a system for the bio-based synthesis of 2-ethyl-2-hexanol using microbial cell factories.⁴⁴ Further to enabling production of high value products under very mild processing conditions, these studies also illustrate the potential of unmodified microorganisms to generate substrates for biocompatible reactions. Whilst the study of biocompatible chemistry inside living cells is still in its infancy, these examples set a precedent for the development of powerful and elegant bio-based routes to high value small molecules using low cost small molecule catalysts.

3.2. Extracellular non-enzymatic catalysis for small molecule synthesis

Microorganisms have evolved sophisticated mechanisms to control the entry of abiotic molecules into the cell interior.^{49,50} Whilst essential for cell survival in native environments, this can present as a major challenge when engineering novel pathways using biocompatible chemistry. Conversely, using extracellular non-enzymatic catalysis to modify metabolites obviates the requirement for small molecule catalysts to accumulate within the cell. Many metabolites are also excreted from the cell, such that extracellular modification is preferable for maximum efficiency. Additionally, modification of metabolites can be






Fig. 5 Extracellular non-enzymatic biocompatible catalysis. (A) Designer micelles increase flux through an engineered styrene pathway from D-glucose and can be interfaced with iron-catalysed cyclopropanation. (B) Inverse phase transfer catalysis accelerates the reaction between lipophilic OMPs and hydrophilic glutamate to produce high value OMPs. (C) The ‘GenoChemetics’ platform couples microbial production of non-natural brominated natural products with extracellular Pd-catalysed cross-coupling to generate abiotic molecules. (D) Generation of high value cycloalkenes *via* interfacing biocatalytic decarboxylation of olive oil derived feedstocks with Ru-catalysed ring-closing metathesis. (E) Use of biocompatible micelles to interface a microbial styrene production pathway from L-Phe with Pd- and Ru-catalysis to generate stilbene and stilbene derivatives.

an effective method of driving flux through a metabolic pathway towards the product of interest.⁵¹ Furthermore, microbes are able to alter the chemistry of their external environment (*e.g.* pH and/or O₂ levels⁵²), potentially facilitating non-enzymatic reactions. These factors make extracellular non-enzymatic catalysis an attractive strategy in many biotechnological applications.

A seminal example from Wallace and Balskus in 2016 is the use of biocompatible vitamin E-derived DL- α -tocopherol polyethylene glycol succinate (TPGS) micelles to interface styrene production in *E. coli* with Fe(III)-catalysed cyclopropanation (Fig. 5A).⁵¹ The micelles were shown to associate non-covalently with the bacterial outer membrane, which was hypothesised to increase membrane permeability and increase the rate of styrene removal from the cell cytosol. This ISPR strategy increased flux through the pathway, leading to a 2-fold increase in cyclopropane product titres whilst also mitigating the toxicity of styrene to *E. coli*. This study was the first example

of micellar catalysis in the presence of a living cell and has prompted considerable interest in exploring further applications of biocompatible micelles and ISPR.^{53–56}

In a second example, Gu and co-workers used inverse phase transfer catalysis to facilitate production of red *Monascus* pigments (RMPs) from fermentation derived orange *Monascus* pigments (OMP) (Fig. 5B). In their model system, a biphasic aqueous-tetradecane system was established using a β -cyclodextrin derivative as an inverse phase transfer catalyst (IPTC) to accelerate the reaction between the lipophilic OMPs and hydrophilic glutamate.⁵⁷ Directly interfacing a fermentation of the mould *Monascus aurantiacus* with this system enabled product titres of almost 2 g L⁻¹, a 6- and 1.5-fold increase on controls lacking the IPTC catalyst and tetradecane, respectively. Furthermore, this approach gave high reaction selectivity for RMPs and very low levels of OMPs and side-products from competing pathways. These examples demonstrate the potential of



compartmentalising microbial metabolites to enable biocompatible chemistry to take place.

There have also been notable examples of interfacing microbial metabolism with aqueous phase, extracellular transition metal catalysis. In 2017, Sharma and co-workers reported a 'GenoChemetics' platform in which halide tagged metabolites could undergo Pd-catalysed Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling *in situ* to generate non-natural analogues.⁵⁸ In the first model system, 7-bromotryptophan produced by an engineered strain of *E. coli* was cross-coupled in a one-pot process with *p*-tolylboronic acid, whilst a second example demonstrated production and subsequent cross-coupling of a brominated derivative of the antibiotic pacidamycin from *Streptomyces coelicolor* (Fig. 5C). Further to applications in chemical synthesis, this platform may have uses in directed evolution assays and studying the fate of tagged natural products in biological systems.⁵⁸

More recently, Wu and co-workers developed a one-pot process for the conversion of oleic acid to cycloalkenes, bulk petrochemicals which are widely used in industrial processes.⁵⁹ The membrane bound desaturase-like enzyme UndB from *Pseudomonas sp.* was found to efficiently catalyse the bis-decarboxylation of azelaic, sebatic and undecanedioic acids when heterologously expressed in *E. coli*. The resultant bis-alkene products could then undergo biocompatible Ru-catalysed cyclization *via* ring-closing metathesis in an aqueous-*n*-dodecane two-phase system. This enabled access to cyclopentene, cyclohexene and cycloheptene from olive oil derived feedstocks, unlocking a new area of chemical space in the field of synthetic biology (Fig. 5D). In a related study, Maaskant and co-workers reported use of the biocompatible micelle strategy to merge microbial styrene production with (i) Ru-catalysed metathesis and (ii) Pd-catalysed cross-coupling with a boronic acid.⁵⁶ For the metathesis reaction, use of an immobilised Zhan-II Ru catalyst enabled ~30% overall conversion from phenylalanine to stilbene *via* styrene produced by engineered *E. coli*. The addition of 0.25% w/v or 2.5% w/v biocompatible micelles led to 2- or 3-fold increase in styrene titres, respectively. Interestingly, however, the addition of micelles did not lead to an increase in stilbene yields, which was hypothesised to be due to sequestration of styrene by the hydrophobic immobilisation resin. For the Pd-catalysed cross-coupling methodology, yields of ~30% were obtained *via* a two-step process comprising initial production of styrene by engineered *E. coli*, followed by addition of the Pd(COD)Cl₂ catalyst, micelles and boronic acid. This methodology was further extended to the cross-coupling of styrene with a panel of substituted arylboronic acids (Fig. 5E). These studies are excellent examples of the power of biocompatible chemistry in enabling overall chemical transformations that would not be accessible using synthetic biology or synthetic chemistry in isolation and unlocks exciting possibilities to access new areas of chemical space from renewable feedstocks.

Extracellular non-enzymatic chemistry has also been demonstrated with other small molecule catalysts. In 2020, Liu and co-workers reported a phosphate-catalysed biocompatible

reaction to produce azaphilone alkaloids, a group of fungal polyketides with applications in drug discovery and as food colorants.⁶⁰ Phosphate (added as KH₂PO₄) was employed as a Brønsted acid catalyst in the presence of *Penicillium sclerotiorum* to enable the one-pot conversion of fermentation-derived azaphilone into the corresponding alkaloid *via* reaction with a primary amine. This method enabled rapid access to a library of non-natural azaphilone alkaloids under very mild conditions and was also hypothesised to alleviate product inhibition of the polyketide pathway.⁶⁰

Finally, it is also noteworthy that a 96-well plate assay has been developed to rapidly identify biocompatible transition metal catalysts.⁶¹ This system couples biocompatible catalyst activity with a fluorescence readout *via* incorporation of an *in situ* synthesized non-canonical amino acid into green fluorescent protein through Amber stop-codon suppression, a strategy that has also been used to 'addict' *E. coli* to abiotic reactions.⁶² This methodology was hypothesised to be widely applicable to any chemical transformation that yields a non-canonical amino acid and therefore presents a general platform for the identification of new biocompatible reactions in the future.

4. Conclusions and outlook

This review has highlighted recent examples (2014-present) of non-enzymatic catalysis interfaced with cellular metabolism to produce valuable non-natural chemicals and materials. In this short time, there has been remarkable progress in this young field, uniting the two traditionally discrete fields of synthetic chemistry and synthetic biology. Unmodified and engineered microbes can mediate redox processes for polymer synthesis and show biocompatibility with solar-light driven photochemical reactions, whilst non-enzymatic catalysts can be employed both intra- and extracellularly to access new-to-nature areas of chemical space. In an ongoing drive towards a more sustainable future, synthetic chemistry reactions are increasingly being demonstrated in aqueous conditions at ambient temperatures. In tandem, synthetic biologists continue to engineer microbes to valorise renewable and waste feedstocks and show resilience towards harsher processing conditions. Together with a better understanding of how to interface chemical and biological systems such as the use of biocompatible micelles and biphasic systems, we believe that this field holds exciting potential to deliver sustainable processes for the chemical industry.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

J. C. S. acknowledges a Discovery Fellowship from the BBSRC (BB/S010629/1). S. W. acknowledges a Future Leaders Fellowship



from UKRI (MR/S033882/1). J. A. D. acknowledges a PhD studentship from the EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Critical Resource Catalysis (CRITICAT) (EP/L016419/1). N. W. J acknowledges AstraZeneca and the EPSRC for the award of an iCASE studentship (EP/T517501/1).

References

- 1 R. Wei, T. Tiso, J. Bertling, K. O'Connor, L. M. Blank and U. T. Bornscheuer, Possibilities and limitations of biotechnological plastic degradation and recycling, *Nat. Catal.*, 2020, **3**, 867–871.
- 2 M. S. Belcher, J. Mahinthakumar and J. D. Keasling, New frontiers: harnessing pivotal advances in microbial engineering for the biosynthesis of plant-derived terpenoids, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2020, **65**, 88–93.
- 3 J. Kuska and E. O'Reilly, Engineered biosynthetic pathways and biocatalytic cascades for sustainable synthesis, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2020, **58**, 146–154.
- 4 S. Wallace and E. P. Balskus, Opportunities for merging chemical and biological synthesis, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2014, **30**, 1–8.
- 5 J. C. Sadler, The Bipartisan Future of Synthetic Chemistry and Synthetic Biology, *ChemBioChem*, 2020, **21**, 3489–3491.
- 6 F. Schwizer, Y. Okamoto, T. Heinisch, Y. Gu, M. M. Pellizzoni, V. Lebrun, R. Reuter, V. Köhler, J. C. Lewis and T. R. Ward, Artificial Metalloenzymes: Reaction Scope and Optimization Strategies, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 142–231.
- 7 M. T. Reetz, Directed Evolution of Artificial Metalloenzymes: A Universal Means to Tune the Selectivity of Transition Metal Catalysts?, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 336–344.
- 8 R. C. Brewster, E. Klemencic and A. G. Jarvis, Palladium in biological media: can the synthetic chemist's most versatile transition metal become a powerful biological tool?, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2021, **215**, 111317.
- 9 A. G. Jarvis, Designer metalloenzymes for synthetic biology: enzyme hybrids for catalysis, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2020, **58**, 63–71.
- 10 M. Ouchi, T. Terashima and M. Sawamoto, Transition metal-catalyzed living radical polymerization: toward perfection in catalysis and precision polymer synthesis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 4963–5050.
- 11 K. Parkatzidis, H. S. Wang, N. P. Truong and A. Anastasaki, Recent Developments and Future Challenges in Controlled Radical Polymerization: A 2020 Update, *Chem*, 2020, **6**, 1575–1588.
- 12 N. J. Kotloski and J. A. Gralnick, Flavin electron shuttles dominate extracellular electron transfer by *Shewanella oneidensis*, *mBio*, 2013, **4**, 553–565.
- 13 A. Hirose, T. Kasai, M. Aoki, T. Umemura, K. Watanabe and A. Kouzuma, Electrochemically active bacteria sense electrode potentials for regulating catabolic pathways, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1–10.
- 14 J. F. Heidelberg, I. T. Paulsen, K. E. Nelson, E. J. Gaidos, W. C. Nelson, T. D. Read, J. A. Eisen, R. Seshadri, N. Ward, B. Methe, R. A. Clayton, T. Meyer, A. Tsapin, J. Scott, M. Beanan, L. Brinkac, S. Daugherty, R. T. DeBoy, R. J. Dodson, A. S. Durkin, D. H. Haft, J. F. Kolonay, R. Madupu, J. D. Peterson, L. A. Umayam, O. White, A. M. Wolf, J. Vamathevan, J. Weidman, M. Impraim, K. Lee, K. Berry, C. Lee, J. Mueller, H. Khouri, J. Gill, T. R. Utterback, L. A. McDonald, T. V. Feldblyum, H. O. Smith, J. C. Venter, K. H. Nealson and C. M. Fraser, Genome sequence of the dissimilatory metal ion-reducing bacterium *Shewanella oneidensis*, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2002, **20**, 1118–1123.
- 15 G. Fan, C. M. Dundas, A. J. Graham, N. A. Lynd and B. K. Keitz, *Shewanella oneidensis* as a living electrode for controlled radical polymerization, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2018, **115**, 4559–4564.
- 16 G. Fan, A. J. Graham, J. Kolli, N. A. Lynd and B. K. Keitz, Aerobic radical polymerization mediated by microbial metabolism, *Nat. Chem.*, 2020, **12**, 638–646.
- 17 M. R. Bennett, P. Gurnani, P. J. Hill, C. Alexander and F. J. Rawson, Iron-Catalysed Radical Polymerisation by Living Bacteria, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 4750–4755.
- 18 M. D. Nothling, H. Cao, T. G. McKenzie, D. M. Hocking, R. A. Strugnell and G. G. Qiao, Bacterial Redox Potential Powers Controlled Radical Polymerization, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 286–293.
- 19 B. E. L. Morris, R. Henneberger, H. Huber and C. Moissl-Eichinger, Microbial syntrophy: interaction for the common good, *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 2013, **37**, 384–406.
- 20 S. K. Butti and S. V. Mohan, Autotrophic biorefinery: dawn of the gaseous carbon feedstock, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 2017, **364**, 166.
- 21 X. Chen, Y. Cao, F. Li, Y. Tian and H. Song, Enzyme-Assisted Microbial Electrosynthesis of Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) via CO₂ Bioreduction by Engineered *Ralstonia eutropha*, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 4429–4437.
- 22 A. R. Rowe, S. Xu, E. Gardel, A. Bose, P. Girguis, J. P. Amend and M. Y. El-Naggar, Methane-linked mechanisms of electron uptake from cathodes by *Methanosarcina barkeri*, *mBio*, 2019, **10**, 2448–2466.
- 23 K. Takane and Photocatalytic Water, Splitting: Quantitative Approaches toward Photocatalyst by Design, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 8006–8022.
- 24 Q. Wang and K. Domen, Particulate Photocatalysts for Light-Driven Water Splitting: Mechanisms, Challenges, and Design Strategies, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 919–985.
- 25 M. Xu, P. L. Tremblay, L. Jiang and T. Zhang, Stimulating bioplastic production with light energy by coupling: *Ralstonia eutropha* with the photocatalyst graphitic carbon nitride, *Green Chem.*, 2019, **21**, 2392–2400.
- 26 C. Liu, B. C. Colón, M. Ziesack, P. A. Silver and D. G. Nocera, Water splitting-biosynthetic system with CO₂ reduction efficiencies exceeding photosynthesis, *Science*, 2016, **352**, 1210–1213.



- 27 J. P. Torella, C. J. Gagliardi, J. S. Chen, D. K. Bediako, B. Colón, J. C. Way, P. A. Silver and D. G. Nocera, Efficient solar-to-fuels production from a hybrid microbial-water-splitting catalyst system, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2015, **112**, 2337–2342.
- 28 P. L. Tremblay, M. Xu, Y. Chen and T. Zhang, Nonmetallic Abiotic-Biological Hybrid Photocatalyst for Visible Water Splitting and Carbon Dioxide Reduction, *iScience*, 2020, **23**, 100784.
- 29 M. Xu, *et al.*, Photo-augmented PHB production from CO₂ or fructose by *Cupriavidus necator* and shape-optimized CdS nanorods, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2021, **753**, 142050.
- 30 D. R. Lovley, The hydrogen economy of *Methanosarcina barkeri*: life in the fast lane, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2018, **200**, 445–463.
- 31 E. M. Nichols, J. J. Gallagher, C. Liu, Y. Su, J. Resasco, Y. Yu, Y. Sun, P. Yang, M. C. Y. Chang and C. J. Chang, Hybrid bioinorganic approach to solar-to-chemical conversion, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2015, **112**, 11461–11466.
- 32 J. Ye, J. Yu, Y. Zhang, M. Chen, X. Liu, S. Zhou and Z. He, Light-driven carbon dioxide reduction to methane by *Methanosarcina barkeri*-CdS biohybrid, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, **257**, 117916.
- 33 J. Ye, G. Ren, L. Kang, Y. Zhang, X. Liu, S. Zhou and Z. He, Efficient Photoelectron Capture by Ni Decoration in *Methanosarcina barkeri*-CdS Biohybrids for Enhanced Photocatalytic CO₂-to-CH₄ Conversion, *iScience*, 2020, **23**, 101287.
- 34 J. Guo, M. Suástegui, K. K. Sakimoto, V. M. Moody, G. Xiao, D. G. Nocera and N. S. Joshi, Light-driven fine chemical production in yeast biohybrids, *Science*, 2018, **362**, 813–816.
- 35 S. Wallace, E. E. Schultz and E. P. Balskus, Using non-enzymatic chemistry to influence microbial metabolism, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2015, **25**, 71–79.
- 36 J. Liu, S. H. J. Chan, T. Brock-Nannestad, J. Chen, S. Y. Lee, C. Solem and P. R. Jensen, Combining metabolic engineering and biocompatible chemistry for high-yield production of homo-diacetyl and homo-(*S,S*)-2,3-butanediol, *Metab. Eng.*, 2016, **36**, 57–67.
- 37 J. Liu, C. Solem and P. R. Jensen, Integrating Biocompatible Chemistry and Manipulating Cofactor Partitioning in Metabolically Engineered *Lactococcus lactis* for Fermentative Production of (*3S*)-Acetoin, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 2016, **113**, 2744–2748.
- 38 M. A. Miller, B. Askevold, H. Mikula, R. H. Kohler, D. Pirovich and R. Weissleder, Nano-palladium is a cellular catalyst for *in vivo* chemistry, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 1–13.
- 39 C. Vidal, M. Tomás-Gamasa, A. Gutiérrez-González and J. L. Mascareñas, Ruthenium-Catalyzed Redox Isomerizations inside Living Cells, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 5125–5129.
- 40 D. W. Domaille, G. R. Hafenstine, M. A. Greer, A. P. Goodwin and J. N. Cha, Catalytic Upgrading in Bacteria-Compatible Conditions via a Biocompatible Aldol Condensation, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2016, **4**, 671–675.
- 41 K. N. Stewart, E. G. Hicks and D. W. Domaille, Merger of Whole Cell Biocatalysis with Organocatalysis Upgrades Alcohol Feedstocks in a Mild, Aqueous, One-Pot Process, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **8**, 4114–4119.
- 42 L. J. Wei, J. L. Zhou, D. N. Zhu, B. Y. Cai, J. P. Lin, Q. Hua and D. Z. Wei, Functions of membrane-bound alcohol dehydrogenase and aldehyde dehydrogenase in the bio-oxidation of alcohols in *Gluconobacter oxydans* DSM 2003, *Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng.*, 2012, **17**, 1156–1164.
- 43 M. Mientus, D. Kostner, B. Peters, W. Liebl and A. Ehrenreich, Characterization of membrane-bound dehydrogenases of *Gluconobacter oxydans* 621H using a new system for their functional expression, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2017, **101**, 3189–3200.
- 44 R. C. Brewster, J. T. Sutor, A. W. Bennett and S. Wallace, Transition Metal-Free Reduction of Activated Alkenes Using a Living Microorganism, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 12409–12414.
- 45 E. M. Green, Fermentative production of butanol—the industrial perspective, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2011, **22**, 337–343.
- 46 S. Atsumi, A. F. Cann, M. R. Connor, C. R. Shen, K. M. Smith, M. P. Brynildsen, K. J. Y. Chou, T. Hanai and J. C. Liao, Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for 1-butanol production, *Metab. Eng.*, 2008, **10**, 305–311.
- 47 E. J. Steen, R. Chan, N. Prasad, S. Myers, C. J. Petzold, A. Redding, M. Ouellet and J. D. Keasling, Metabolic engineering of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for the production of *n*-butanol, *Microb. Cell Fact.*, 2008, **7**, 36.
- 48 X. Liu, R. Miao, P. Lindberg and P. Lindblad, Modular engineering for efficient photosynthetic biosynthesis of 1-butanol from CO₂ in cyanobacteria, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **12**, 2765–2777.
- 49 D. B. Kell, N. Swainston, P. Pir and S. G. Oliver, Membrane transporter engineering in industrial biotechnology and whole cell biocatalysis, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2015, **33**, 237–246.
- 50 J. E. Salcedo-Sora, S. Jindal, S. O'Hagan and D. B. Kell, A palette of fluorophores that are differentially accumulated by wild-type and mutant strains of *Escherichia coli*: surrogate ligands for profiling bacterial membrane transporters, *Microbiology*, 2021, 001016.
- 51 S. Wallace and E. P. Balskus, Designer Micelles Accelerate Flux Through Engineered Metabolism in *E. coli* and Support Biocompatible Chemistry, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 6023–6027.
- 52 C. Ratzke and J. Gore, Modifying and reacting to the environmental pH can drive bacterial interactions, *PLoS Biol.*, 2018, **16**, e2004248.
- 53 M. Cortes-Clerget, N. Akporji, J. Zhou, F. Gao, P. Guo, M. Parmentier, F. Gallou, J. Y. Berthon and B. H. Lipshutz, Bridging the gap between transition metal- and bio-catalysis via aqueous micellar catalysis, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 2169.
- 54 B. H. Lipshutz, Synthetic chemistry in a water world. New rules ripe for discovery, *Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem.*, 2018, **11**, 1–8.



- 55 L. Rakers, F. Schäfers and F. Glorius, In Water and under Mild Conditions: α -Alkylation of Ketones with Alcohols by Phase-Transfer-Assisted Borrowing Hydrogen Catalysis, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 15529–15532.
- 56 R. V. Maaskant, S. Chordia and G. Roelfes, Merging Whole-cell Biosynthesis of Styrene and Transition-metal Catalyzed Derivatization Reactions, *ChemCatChem*, 2021, **13**, 1607–1613.
- 57 B. Gu, H. Xie, X. Zhang and Z. Wang, Merging of a chemical reaction with microbial metabolism via inverse phase transfer catalysis for efficient production of red *Monascus* pigments, *React. Chem. Eng.*, 2019, **4**, 1447–1458.
- 58 S. V. Sharma, X. Tong, C. Pubill-Ulldemolins, C. Cartmell, E. J. A. Bogosyan, E. J. Rackham, E. Marelli, R. B. Hamed and R. J. M. Goss, Living GenoChemetics by hyphenating synthetic biology and synthetic chemistry *in vivo*, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 1–10.
- 59 S. Wu, Y. Zhou, D. Gerngross, M. Jeschek and T. R. Ward, Chemo-enzymatic cascades to produce cycloalkenes from bio-based resources, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 5060.
- 60 L. Liu, J. Zheng, X. Zhang and Z. Wang, Interfacing a phosphate catalytic reaction with a microbial metabolism for the production of azaphilone alkaloids, *React. Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **5**, 2048–2052.
- 61 R. Rubini, I. Ivanov and C. Mayer, A Screening Platform to Identify and Tailor Biocompatible Small-Molecule Catalysts, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 16017–16021.
- 62 R. Rubini and C. Mayer, Addicting *Escherichia coli* to New-to-Nature Reactions, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2020, **15**, 3093–3098.

