A two-step resin based approach to reveal survivin-selective fluorescent probes†
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The identification of modulators for proteins without assayable biochemical activity remains a challenge in chemical biology. The presented approach adapts a high-throughput fluorescence binding assay and functional chromatography, two protein-resin technologies, enabling the discovery and isolation of fluorescent natural product probes that target proteins independently of biochemical function. The resulting probes also suggest targetable pockets for lead discovery. Using human survivin as a model, we demonstrate this method with the discovery of members of the prodiginine family as fluorescent probes to the cancer target survivin.

Nature contains a remarkable collection of spectroscopically active materials, including fluorescent compounds.1 Although many fluorescent dyes2 are derived from natural scaffolds,3 the translation of fluorescent natural products into biochemical probes remains particularly slow, with epicocconone providing the first among the IAPs shown to have a function outside of caspase inhibition.4 In fact, survivin is likely incapable of directly inhibiting caspase activity, but instead binds a series of other proteins to carry out this anti-apoptotic function in vivo.5,6

Survivin is the smallest member of the IAP family of proteins, and the first among the IAPs shown to have a function outside of caspase inhibition.10 In fact, survivin is likely incapable of directly inhibiting caspase activity, but instead binds a series of other proteins to carry out this anti-apoptotic function in vivo.
survivin binders. We began by loading His6-tagged full length marine microbial and sponge extracts to discover fluorescent IAPs, including survivin. For untangling the complex physiologic actions associated with throughput screening efforts for IAP antagonists but also allowing development of an agnostic method to identify fluorescent ligands to therapeutic targets,12 drugging survivin or related IAPs has yet to reach fruition, and presents a drug discovery challenge we felt our strategy would be particularly suited to facilitate.13 Here, we develop an agnostic method to identify fluorescent ligands to survivin. The hope is that these tools will not only enable high-throughput screening efforts for IAP antagonists but also allow for untangling the complex physiologic actions associated with IAPs, including survivin.

Our studies began by screening a panel of 548 fluorescent marine microbial and sponge extracts to discover fluorescent survivin binders. We began by loading His6-tagged full length survivin to homogenous size Ni2+NTA agarose beads (step 1, Scheme 1; see Fig. S1, ESI†) for survivin purification and purity analysis. The resulting resins were then presented with the fluorescent extracts (step 2, Scheme 1) and evaluated for fluorescence using CONA and image analysis (BREAD).8,9 Two hits were observed as given by the appearance of fluorescent halos. The brightest (strong halos in Fig. 1), an extract from Actinomadura sp. BRA 177 at 200× (25 µg mL−1) and 1000× (5 µg mL−1) dilutions from a DMSO stock generated a dose-dependent fluorescent response on the Ni2+NTA-resin with His6-survivin, but not on resins without survivin (steps 3–4, Scheme 1).

We then turned to the second or FC resin to isolate the active fluorescent material. Beginning with step 5 (Scheme 1), the FC resin was prepared by covalently attaching His6-survivin to Affi-Gel 10 resin. Using established methods,5 we were able to prepare a resin with 5 mg mL−1 (310 µM) of His6-survivin. A 300 µL aliquot of FC resin was then incubated with 1.6 mL of 10 mg mL−1 BRA 177 extract in pH 7.2 PBS containing 5% DMSO. After shaking at 4 °C (step 6, Scheme 1) for 12 h, the resin was washed with 1.6 mL of PBS (step 7, Scheme 1) and the bound materials were eluted by denaturing the protein in 200 µL of ethanol (EtOH) (step 8, Scheme 1). We then confirmed we captured the desired fluorescent material by screening the survivin-bound fractions from the FC resin with the CONA resin (steps 3–4, Scheme 1). As shown in Fig. 2b, we observed the same fluorescent response from the affinity-purified fraction as compared to controls (Fig. 2a).

Using a 1.7 mm probe for microscale NMR analysis, we were able to obtain a 1H-NMR spectrum from the affinity-isolated fraction evaluated in Fig. 2b. This spectrum contained aromatic
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Scheme 1 Target protein-guided hit prioritization and probe identification. Two different resins, a scanning confocal microscopy (CONA) resin and a functional chromatographic (FC) resin, are applied to screen extracts (a–d) for protein binders and isolate them. (step 1) The process begins by preparing Ni2+NTA resin loaded with a target protein (CONA resin). (step 2) The resulting CONA resin is presented with natural product extracts (a–d). (step 3) Compounds in target-protein active extracts bind to the target on the surface of the CONA resin. Upon excitation, fluorescent natural products on the CONA resin fluoresce as shown by a halo (green). (step 4) Extracts (a–d) are then scored based on the level of fluorescence observed on the CONA resin surface. (step 5) A second resin (FC resin) is prepared by covalently attaching the target protein to a porous resin (Affi-Gel 10 or 15). (step 6) Prioritized extracts from the CONA screen (steps 1–5) are then presented to the FC resin. (step 7) This resin is then washed with buffer to remove non-specific compounds. (step 8) The bound materials are eluted by denaturing the protein on the FC resin with an organic solvent (EtOH). (step 9) The resulting EtOH fractions containing hits are evaluated for fluorescence, and non-fluorescent hits are excluded (non-dyes). (step 9) The fluorescent hits are evaluated by capillary NMR, and if sufficiently pure are used as is. If not they are purified by HPLC or flash chromatography. (step 10) The binding of each candidate probe (hit) to its target protein is then validated.

In addition to these roles, survivin regulates signal transduction pathways associated with cancer.11 While suggested as a therapeutic target,12 drugging survivin or related IAPs has yet to reach fruition, and presents a drug discovery challenge we felt our strategy would be particularly suited to facilitate.13 Here, we develop an agnostic method to identify fluorescent ligands to survivin. The hope is that these tools will not only enable high-throughput screening efforts for IAP antagonists but also allow for untangling the complex physiologic actions associated with IAPs, including survivin.
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Fig. 1 Confocal fluorescence scanning images arising from the incubation of unloaded Ni2+NTA resin or survivin-loaded CONA resin (Scheme 1) with 200 pmol (200 µL of 1 µM stock) of His6-survivin with extracts BRA 177 and X0192. (a) Brightfield (BF) and fluorescence (FL) images are shown for experiments using Ni2+NTA resin without survivin. (b) BF and FL images are shown for experiments with CONA resin. Two extract dilutions 1000× (high dilution) and 200× (low dilution) were compared to show fluorescence correlates with concentration. Fluorescence (FL) in both panels was collected by excitation at 561 nm and collecting emission at 585 nm. Fluorescence was not observed in control experiments using a non-IAP protein, NusB/E (see Fig. S2, ESI†).
protons between 5.5–7.5 ppm, typical of what one would anticipate from a fluorescent compound (Fig. 3a). We were then able to use NMR-guided flash chromatography to isolate 3.1 mg of pure cyclononylprodigiosin (1) from the BRA 177 extract. The assignment of 1 was further validated by high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) returning \( m/z \) for \( C_{23}H_{30}N_3O \) \([M + H]^+\) calculated: 364.2383; found: 364.2382. Full characterization of this extract was then conducted. While 1 was clearly evident in the FC resin-bound fraction (Fig. 3b), we also observed unsaturated fatty acid signatures (*, Fig. 3a) within this fraction, a common non-specific protein binder found during FC.

Overall, the NMR spectrum from 1 (Fig. 3b) displayed excellent correlation with that observed in the FC-enriched survivin-bound fraction (Fig. 3a) and gave a positive CONA result. Here, the union between CONA for hit screening and FC for compound enrichment and purification enabled rapid hit identification (CONA) and isolation (FC).

As a further confirmation of the power of this CONA–FC coupled approach (Scheme 1), we examined a second sample, X0192, a 1:1 EtOAc/hexanes fraction from an extract of the sponge Xestospongia testudinaria, that displayed weak fluorescence in the parent screen with the CONA resin (Fig. 1b) versus controls (Fig. 1a). Purification with the FC resin using the same methods as used for the BRA 177 extract, provided comparable fluorescence from the affinity-selected fraction (Fig. 2d) versus controls (Fig. 2b). Microscale NMR analysis again returned a \(^1\)H NMR spectrum that contained aromatic residues from the FC resin-bound fraction (Fig. 4b). Remarkably, this compound was only observed as a trace material in the X0192 fraction (Fig. 4a). Analysis of these data along with 1.2 mg of pure material purified by flash chromatography indicated that 2 was prodigiosin. This observation was further supported by HRMS data with an \( m/z \) for \( C_{20}H_{26}N_3O \) \([M + H]^+\) calculated: 324.1998; found: 324.1987.

We then turned our attention to validate the binding of 1 and 2 to survivin in solution. We began by characterizing the fluorescence of 1 and 2 (Fig. 5a) in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl containing 2% DMSO. Absorption and emission wavelengths were determined to be \( \lambda_{ex} \) 534 nm and \( \lambda_{em} \) 556 nm.
Fig. 5 Spectral properties of prodigiosin 2. (a) Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of 2 in 20 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, 2% DMSO. (b and c) Reduction of fluorescence emission of 2 in the above buffer versus time passed from preparation of the solution. (d) The change in fluorescence emission intensity of 2 in the presence of His6-survivin. (e) The binding experiment of 5 μM of 2 and Hist6-survivin yielded a K_D value of 11.5 ± 3.2 μM. (f) The comparison of the dissociation constant K_D value of 1 and 2 with survivin. (g) The competition of 2 and a BIR domain ligand AKER (see ESI†).

for 1 and λ_ex 534 nm and λ_em 555 nm for 2. However, we found that 2 exhibited a decay in fluorescence intensity once in solution (Fig. 5b and c). To ensure that this change in fluorescence did not interfere with the binding assay, the solution was left to equilibrate for 3–4 h until the fluorescence intensity stabilized. We next used Native-PAGE analysis to confirm the selective binding of survivin when compared to a similarly sized protein control, bromodomain 2 (BD2) of bromodomain containing protein 4 (BRD4). In addition, we saw survivin staining even when challenged with an E. coli lysate (Fig. S3, ESI†).

When survivin was added to 5 μM of 2 in assay buffer, the fluorescence intensity increased significantly, without a spectral shift (Fig. 5d). However, when the same amount of assay buffer was added to 2, a decrease in fluorescence was found due to dilution. This confirms that the increase in fluorescence intensity of 2 is due to binding to survivin, hence the change in the fluorescence properties of 2 can be used in a binding assay. The full binding curve for 2 and survivin, as well as for the buffer control, can be seen in Fig. 5e. A K_D value of 11.5 ± 3.1 μM was determined by fitting the fluorescence data with a 1:1 binding model, describing complex formation as a function of total survivin concentration. The same assay was repeated on 1, with a K_D value of 148.6 ± 8.3 μM (Fig. 5f).

Finally, we performed a competition binding experiment of 5 μM of 2 and 50 μM AKER, a peptide known to bind at the BIR domain of survivin.15 The resulting K_D values in the presence and absence of 50 μM AKER were almost the same (Fig. 5g) indicating that 2 and AKER bind survivin independently, with no overlap of their binding sites. Such outcome produces a rather exciting proof of concept, while potentially revealing a new binding pocket for this IAP.

Conclusions

This study demonstrates that a combination of a resin based CONA screen (Fig. 1 and 2) with FC enrichment/isolation (Fig. 3 and 4) returned two members of the prodiginine family of natural products from two distinct extracts as survivin binders. The spectral properties of prodigiosin (2) have a fascinating history as biological markers in the development of germ warfare and play a potential role in religious observations (bleeding statues and bread).16 Recent studies have shown that prodigiosin’s fluorescence is environmentally sensitive, responding to DNA17 and protein18 binding. An elegant application of this method was recently demonstrated for the in situ quantification of prodigiosin biosynthesis.19

It is relevant to mention that survivin up regulation renders cells resistant to prodigiosin and, moreover, that prodigiosin and other prodiginine pigments have been shown to decrease expression of survivin (and other IAPs) in various human cancer cell lines.20 In fact, prodigiosin (2) was able to resensitize breast carcinoma cells to paclitaxel which, in turn, up regulates survivin making cells resistant to apoptosis.21 Our data strengthen the evidence on the central role undertaken by survivin in triggering the apoptotic process induced by 2,22 potentially by directly targeting survivin.

Whilst prodigiosin (2) does not contain moieties which alert PAINS concerns, the presence of pyrroles of which there are three, does receive a special mention by Baell noting the hydrolysis of pyrrole containing compounds.23 Whilst often unstable due to polymerization to polypyrroles,24 the literature does allude to diverse biological activities for pyrrole containing compounds, such as antimicrobial, anti-viral, anti-malarial, anti-inflammatory, antipsychotic, anti-hypertensive, and finally anticancer agents.25 Additionally, a highly-substituted pyrrole moiety is also found in the approved drug atorvastatin (Lipitor). Using predicted oral-bioavailability as a measure of drug-likeness, the data suggests that 2 is a drug-like molecule for nearly all criteria;26 solubility: 56 μM, lipophilicity: logP 4.16, molecular weight: 323.43 g mol⁻¹, polarity: 53.17 Å², TPSA, flexibility: 7 rotatable bonds. The medical utility of this class is currently being explored through clinical trials on prodigiosin.27

Furthermore, the fact that prodiginines were found in two of the extracts, a microbial strain14 and a sponge known to contain prodiginines,28 suggests that mining the natural and synthetic diversity of this class could translate into probes for screening applications for survivin and related IAPs.29 Overall, this two-resin screening and enrichment approach, CONA–FC, provides a practical and cost-effective advance towards the
identification of new fluorescent probes from diverse natural resources.
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