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Organic–inorganic hybrid metal halides have received extensive attention owing to their versatile structures and optoelectronic properties. Herein, we report two lead-free metal halides, \((\text{PMA})_3\text{BiBr}_6\) and \((\text{PMA})_3\text{SbBr}_6\) [PMA+: \((\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3)^+\), as the abbreviation of phenylmethylammonium], which possess iso-structural zero-dimensional structures and crystallize in the monoclinic space group \(\text{P2}_1/\text{c}\). \((\text{PMA})_3\text{BiBr}_6\) and \((\text{PMA})_3\text{SbBr}_6\) exhibit optical band gaps of \(\sim 3.50\) and \(3.40\) eV, respectively, and density functional theory calculations reveal their indirect bandgap behaviors. Upon \(350\) and \(425\) nm excitation, \((\text{PMA})_3\text{BiBr}_6\) and \((\text{PMA})_3\text{SbBr}_6\) exhibit broadband emission peaking at \(510\) nm and \(625\) nm with wide full-widths at half-maximum of \(\sim 153\) and \(175\) nm, respectively. The emission mechanism of the metal halides is attributed to self-trapped exciton emission. The relationship between the crystal structure and luminescence intensity is also discussed. Finally, both metal halides have high decomposition temperatures and are stable for long-term storage under ambient conditions, demonstrating their potential for optoelectronic applications.

1. Introduction

Recently, organic–inorganic metal halides (OIMHs) have attracted extensive attention, and great progress has been made in the fields of material development, interface engineering, and device design. This can be attributed to the excellent optoelectronic properties of OIMHs originating from their band gap tunability, low defect density, long carrier lifetime, and high carrier mobility.\(^1\) Moreover, their solution processability at room temperature makes OIMHs attractive for various applications,\(^2\) including solar cells,\(^3\) lasers,\(^4\) photodetectors,\(^5\) quantum dots,\(^6\) and light-emitting diodes.\(^7\)

Despite the great potential of OIMHs for commercial application in solar cells and optoelectronic devices, two shortcomings should be addressed: (i) most OIMHs contain highly toxic Pb element and (ii) their instability against moisture and temperature shorten the lifetime of the devices. Two approaches have been proposed to overcome these issues: (i) the design of lead-free metal halides by replacing Pb\(^{2+}\) with other cations and (ii) the exploration of low-dimensional metal halide materials by introducing hydrophobic macromolecular organic cations. Specifically, for approach (i), attempts have been made to replace Pb\(^{2+}\) with less toxic Sn\(^{2+}\) or Ge\(^{2+}\); however, both Sn\(^{2+}\) and Ge\(^{2+}\) are easily oxidized to Sn\(^{4+}\) and Ge\(^{4+}\) in air.\(^8\) In addition, monovalent and trivalent cations can be used to replace Pb\(^{2+}\) to synthesize double perovskites with the general formula of A\(_2\)B\(_2\)X\(_6\) (A = CH\(_3\)NH\(_3\) or Cs\(^+\); B\(^+\) = Ag\(^+\) or Cu\(^+\); B\(^+\) = Bi\(^{3+}\), Sb\(^{3+}\), or In\(^{3+}\)).\(^9\) Bi\(^{3+}\) or Sb\(^{3+}\) and a vacancy co-substitution of Pb\(^{2+}\) is another appealing option, as Bi\(^{3+}\) and Sb\(^{3+}\) possess the same electronic structure as Pb\(^{2+}\);\(^10\)\(^11\)\(^12\) preserving the advantages of Pb\(^{2+}\) with highly anisotropic electronic behavior, low conduction-band effective mass, and high electron mobility.\(^13\)\(^14\) For approach (ii), the bulky organic cations can cut the inorganic octahedra into \(2\)-, \(1\)-, or even 0-dimensional structures.\(^15\) This reduction of the dimension...
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is beneficial for improving the stability. Additionally, low-dimensional structures can induce efficient wide-band luminescence, which originates from the enhanced self-trapped excitons (STEs). These approaches provide various options for improving metal halides in terms of the structural dimension and the selection of organic cations.

Under the guidance of the above ideas, we selected Bi\(^{3+}\)/Sb\(^{3+}\) and CH\(_3\)CH\(_2\)NH\(_3\)+ [phenylmethylammonium, abbreviated as PMA\(^+\)] to design new low-dimensional OIMHs and studied the photoelectric properties. Presently, some Sb- or Bi-based OIMHs have been reported to show great potential for optoelectronic applications, such as (C\(_2\)N\(_2\)H\(_4\))\(_2\)Bi\(_3\)Br\(_7\)\(\cdot\)SnX\(_n\) (X = Br or I)\(^{16}\) and (C\(_3\)NH\(_2\))\(_2\)Bi\(_6\)O\(_4\)Br\(_6\).\(^{15}\) Other reported Sb- and Bi-based OIMHs include (C\(_4\)H\(_9\))\(_2\)Sb\(_2\)I\(_9\),\(^{20}\) (C\(_6\)H\(_{10}\)N\(_2\))\(_2\)BiI\(_6\),\(^{21}\) (C\(_2\)H\(_4\)N\(_2\))\(_2\)BiI\(_6\),\(^{22}\) (C\(_2\)H\(_4\)N\(_2\)O)\(_2\)Sb\(_4\)I\(_{18}\)H\(_2\)O\(_2\),\(^{23}\) and (C\(_2\)H\(_4\)N\(_2\)O)Sn\(_4\)I\(_{18}\)H\(_2\)O\(_2\).\(^{25}\) However, despite their crystal structures being reported, their luminescence properties have not yet been comprehensively studied.

Herein, we prepared two environmentally friendly lead-free 0-dimensional (0D) OIMHs with the chemical formulae (PMA)\(_3\)BiBr\(_6\) (1) and (PMA)\(_3\)SbBr\(_6\) (2) using a facile solution method. Both compounds exhibit typical semiconducting behavior with experimental band gaps of ~3.50 and 3.40 eV for 1 and 2, respectively. Upon 350 and 425 nm excitation, large Stokes-shifted broad emission, which originates from the enhanced self-trapped excitons (STEs). These approaches provide various options for improving metal halides in terms of the structural dimension and the selection of organic cations.

Characterization

A selected single crystal was subjected to X-ray diffraction (SXRD) analysis using a Rigaku XtaLAB PRO single-crystal diffractometer with Mo K\(_\alpha\) radiation. XPRE software was used to determine the crystal structure using the direct method, and the SHELXTL package was used for structure refinement. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were collected using a D8 Advance powder diffractometer (Bruker Corporation, Germany) equipped with a Cu K\(_\alpha\) radiation source, operating at 40 kV and 40 mA. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis were performed using a JEOL JSM-6510A scanning electron microscope.

3. Results and discussion

Synthetic methods

Both compounds exhibited good crystal quality, with crystal sizes reaching the centimeter level (Fig. 1). They were synthesized via direct combination of the organic cation precursor and MBr\(_3\) (M = Bi or Sb) in an aqueous solution of HBr at 92 °C followed by slow cooling of the solution to ~25 °C at a cooling rate of 1.0 °C h\(^{-1}\). Fig. S1 (ESI\(^+\)) presents the SEM images and EDS analysis results of compounds 1 and 2. The EDS elemental analysis indicates that the atomic ratio of M : Br (M = Bi and Sb) is approximately 1 : 5.6, which is consistent with the crystallographic analysis.
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Fig. 1. (a) Crystal structures of 1 and 2. (b) Structure of the organic cation of PMA\(^+\), photographs of single crystals of 1 and 2, and distorted octahedra in 1 and 2, respectively.
Crystal structure

Compounds 1 and 2 are isostructural, and the unit cells and detailed crystallographic data are shown in Table 1. Both compounds crystallized in the monoclinic space group \( P2_1/c \) other structural details (Tables S1–S8, ESI†) and crystallographic information files (CIFs) for 1 and 2 are presented in the Supporting Information. As illustrated in Fig. 1a, compounds 1 and 2 possess a 0D structure with isolated octahedra. Each Bi atom is coordinated with six adjacent Br atoms, forming distorted octahedra with bond lengths ranging from 2.829 to 2.941 Å (Fig. 1b). These bond lengths are in the same range as those reported for \([C_6H_{20}N_3]BiBr_6\cdot H_2O\) (2.829–2.864 Å) and \(C_6H_{16}N_3BiBr_6\) (2.829–2.864 Å).28,29 The Br–Bi–Br bond angles range from 85.75° to 99.69° (Fig. 1b). The deviation of these values from 90° indicates that the inorganic octahedra are distorted. In compound 1, the Sb–Br bond length ranges from 2.732 to 2.932 Å (Fig. 1b), which is slightly shorter than the Bi–Br bond length; this result is consistent with the Shannon radii of 1.03 Å for Bi and 0.76 Å for Sb in six coordination.\(^{26,27}\) The \(\Delta\)C Shannon radii of 1.03 Å for Bi and 0.76 Å for Sb in six coordination are observed, indicating that both the inorganic octahedra are distorted (Fig. 1b).

Comparing 1 and 2 with the reported \([C_6H_{20}CH_2CH_2NH_3]_3BiBr_6\) with the \( P2_1/c \) space group, all of them belong to the centrosymmetric monoclinic system with a 0D structure consisting of alternating \([MBr_6]_3^-(M = Bi or Sb)\) octahedra and organic cations linked by hydrogen bonding. As illustrated in Fig. S2 (ESI†), the hydrogen bond length ranges from 2.541 to 2.986 Å (Fig. S2a, ESI†) for compound 1 and from 2.536 to 2.990 Å (Fig. S2b, ESI†) for compound 2, which are in accordance with the bond lengths reported for [[(CH)\(_3\)\(_2\)NH\(_2\)]\(_6\)BiBr\(_6\)]\(_2\) (2.500–2.770 Å),\(^{11}\) and from 2.556 to 2.990 Å (Fig. S2b, ESI†) for compound 2, which are in accordance with the bond lengths reported for \([C_6H_{20}N_3]BiBr_6\cdot H_2O\) (2.440–3.110 Å),\(^{28}\) and \([C_7H_{10}NO]_2BiBr_5\) (2.470–2.980 Å).\(^{24}\)

### Stability studies

The experimental PXRD patterns of 1 and 2 and calculated patterns based on single-crystal structures are compared in Fig. 2a and b. The experimental PXRD and calculated peaks fit well, and no extra peaks were observed, indicating the high purity of the obtained samples. In addition, we compared the PXRD patterns of 1 and 2 samples stored in air for 3 months to those of the as-synthesized samples. No peak position or intensity changes were observed, indicating that both 1 and 2 are stable in air.

To investigate the thermal stability of the two compounds at elevated temperature, TGA measurements were conducted under nitrogen flow from room temperature to 500 °C. Sample 1 was stable below 273 °C, and a multiple-step decomposition profile was obtained for the compound (Fig. 3a). In the first stage, approximately 32% of the initial weight was lost, which can be attributed to the volatilization of the PMA (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)NH\(_3\)) (the theoretical calculation is approximately 32.00%). There was an obvious platform when the temperature was higher than 325 °C, and until 325 °C, the weight loss was approximately 61%. To verify the residual content, fresh samples were heated to ~325 °C in a muffle furnace and held for 2 h; then, the residues were collected and examined using PXRD (Fig. 5a, ESI†). After searching in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) database, the product was identified as a mixture of BiBr\(_3\) and Bi\(_8\)Br\(_7\). Thus, the weight loss of sample 1 may be attributed to the volatilization of PMA and subsequently Br\(_2\).

TGA revealed that compound 2 was stable up to ~323 °C

---

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement of 1 and 2 at 100(2) K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(PMA)(_2)BiBr(_6)</th>
<th>(PMA)(_2)SbBr(_6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>(C_6H_{20}N_3)BiBr(_6)</td>
<td>(C_6H_{20}N_3)SbBr(_6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>925.69</td>
<td>925.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>100(2) K</td>
<td>100(2) K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>0.71069 Å</td>
<td>0.71073 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>(P2_1/c)</td>
<td>(P2_1/c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>(a = 15.425 \AA, b = 8.174 \AA, c = 24.610 \AA, \gamma = 99°)</td>
<td>(a = 15.246 \AA, b = 8.0503 \AA, c = 24.211 \AA, \gamma = 99°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>3059.6 Å(^3)</td>
<td>2938.19 Å(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (calculated)</td>
<td>2.197 g cm(^{-3})</td>
<td>2.093 g cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient</td>
<td>3.112 mm(^{-1})</td>
<td>1.913 mm(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>1876</td>
<td>1752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta) range for data collection</td>
<td>2.314 to 25.00°</td>
<td>1.98° to 29.34°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index ranges</td>
<td>(-18 \leq h \leq 18, -9 \leq k \leq 9, -29 \leq l \leq 29)</td>
<td>(-18 \leq h \leq 20, -10 \leq k \leq 10, -32 \leq l \leq 32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected</td>
<td>26982</td>
<td>35727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>5375 ([R_{int} = 0.0553])</td>
<td>7269 ([R_{int} = 0.0304])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness to (\theta = 25.00°)</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement method</td>
<td>Full-matrix least-squares on (F^2)</td>
<td>Full-matrix least-squares on (F^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>5378/10/283</td>
<td>7296/18/283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit</td>
<td>1.130</td>
<td>1.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final (R) indices ([I &gt; 2\sigma(I)])</td>
<td>(R_{obs} = 0.0801, wR_{obs} = 0.1869)</td>
<td>(R_{obs} = 0.0334, wR_{obs} = 0.0559)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R) indices [all data]</td>
<td>(R_{all} = 0.0109, wR_{all} = 0.2023)</td>
<td>(R_{all} = 0.0506, wR_{all} = 0.0593)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak and hole</td>
<td>2.770 and -3.836 e Å(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.673 and -0.648 e Å(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ R = \frac{\Sigma |F_o| - |F_c|}{\Sigma |F_o|} \times \frac{\Sigma w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2}{\Sigma w(|F_c|^2)^2}^{1/2} + w \frac{1}{\sigma^2(F_o^2)} + (0.0462P)^2 \]
respectively. Thus, the thermal stability of OIMHs containing large organic molecules appears to be higher than that of compounds containing small organic molecules such as MA3Bi2Br9, (C5H10N)2BiBr5, and (C8NH12)4Bi0.57Sb0.43Br7.

Optical properties and DFT calculations

The Raman spectra of compound 1 was studied by Fehlner et al., but no structure or other properties were characterized.

Herein, the spectroscopic properties of both 1 and 2 were investigated in detail. Fig. 5a presents the absorption spectra of polycrystal powders 1 and 2 and the band gaps of 1 and 2 were estimated to be ~3.50 and ~3.40 eV, respectively. As illustrated in Fig. 5a, the absorption peaks are slightly lower than the band gaps of compounds 1 and 2 corresponding to the exciton absorption peaks with peak energies of ~3.28 and 3.38 eV, respectively, at room temperature. The presence of sharp exciton peaks at room temperature indicates that the compound has high exciton binding energy. The absorption band at higher energy corresponds to the interband absorption. These absorption peaks are similar to those of previously reported OIMH compounds, including [(CH3)2NH2][BiI6] (~2.60 and 3.04 eV), (C6H20N3)BiBr6·H2O (~2.36 and 3.10 eV), C4H16N3BiBr6 (~3.22 and 4.08 eV), and [(CH3)2NH2][BiBr6]2 (~3.30 and 3.97 eV).

The specific band gap types were determined by DFT calculation. The band structures of compounds 1 and 2 consist of indirect (H to X) band gaps of 3.24 and 2.77 eV, respectively, thus exhibiting weak PL emission (Fig. 4a and b). For compound 1, the valence band maximum (VBM) consists of Bi 6s and Br 4p states at H, and the conduction band minimum (CBM) consists of Bi 6p and Br 4p states at X (Fig. 4c). Similarly, the VBM of 2 mainly consists of Sb 5s and Br 4p states at H, and the CBM consists of Sb 5p and Br 4p states at X (Fig. 4d).

Fig. 5b presents the normalized PL emission spectra of compounds 1 and 2. Upon 350 nm excitation, the PL spectrum of compound 1 exhibited two broad emission bands peaking at 405 and 510 nm; and the one with low energy is much stronger and possesses a large Stokes shift of 160 nm and a FWHM of ~153 nm. Upon excitation with 425 nm light, the PL spectrum of compound 2 also exhibited two bands peaking at 453 and 625 nm, and the one with low energy is stronger with a large Stokes shift of 200 nm and a large FWHM of ~175 nm. This broad emission is commonly observed in low-dimensional OIMHs with strong electron–phonon coupling. For example, (C6NH12)Bi0.57Sb0.43Br7·H2O exhibits white light emission with a FWHM of ~160 nm, and (C6H20N3)BiBr6·H2O exhibits broadband emission with a FWHM of ~154 nm.

In order to explore the emission mechanism, experiments were conducted on the dependence of emission intensity and excitation power. As shown in Fig. 5c, a linear relationship without saturation is observed, which indicates that the broadband emission does not originate from permanent defects. In addition, the PL spectrum of the staring material PMABr was collected under the same conditions to prove that the luminescence does not come from the emission of organic molecules. The emission band of PMABr peaks at 425 nm (Fig. 4e, ESI†) which is very different from the emission characteristics of compounds 1 and 2. The PL spectra of the two compounds can be attributed to singlet and triplet emissions of isolated ns2 metal centers, which are also known as STE emission. In the present work, the ground state is $^5$S0 (here is $^5$S0 of Bi$^{3+}$ or Sb$^{3+}$), and the excited states are derived from the 6s6p (Bi$^{3+}$) or 5s5p (Sb$^{3+}$) orbital, which splits into four levels, namely $^3$P1, $^3$P0, $^3$P2, and $^3$P0. According to the transition rules, the high energy emission bands...
of 1 and 2 peaking at 405 and 453 nm, respectively, are attributed to the $^1P_1 \rightarrow ^1S_0$ transition (Fig. 5d). The low energy broadband emission of compounds 1 and 2 peaking at 510 and 625 nm, respectively, is attributed to the $^3P_n \rightarrow ^1S_0$ ($n = 0, 1, 2$) transitions owing to intersystem crossing (ISC). This ISC is accelerated in heavy elements due to larger spin–orbit coupling (SOC), leading to the mixing of $^3P$ and $^1P$ states. These emissions are previously discussed in the compounds of Bmpip$_2$MBr$_4$ (Bmpip is the abbreviation of 1-butyl-1-methyl-piperidinium; M = Ge, Sn, and Pb)$^{39}$ and Cs$_2$SnCl$_6$:Sb$^{3+}$ (Sb/Sn = 0.1).$^{40}$ Upon higher energy photoexcitation at 330 and 400 nm for compounds 1 and 2, respectively, the high energy emission from the singlet state becomes stronger (Fig. S5, ESI†), which is in accordance with that reported by Morad, V. et al.$^{39}$ The luminescence decay curves of 1 and 2 are shown in Fig. S6 (ESI†). Due to the low PL intensity, only the lifetimes of the triplet broadband emissions are detected, which are 1.031 and 1.508 ns for 1 and 2, respectively. The triplet lifetime is short, which is the result of
a mixture of singlet and triplet states. This can be verified with a relatively small Stokes shift of 1.11 eV of 1 and 0.94 eV of 2.39
The CIE color coordinates of 1 and 2 are (0.3118, 0.4164) and (0.4981, 0.4445), respectively [Fig. 5e].

Fig. S7 (ESI†) presents the PL spectra of 1 and 2 at low temperatures, respectively. As the temperature decreases, the intensity of the emissions increases monotonically, which can be attributed to the reduction of the nonradiative decay. With increasing temperature, the band widening of compounds 1 and 2 can be observed, and the increase of the FWHM can be described by the following law:41

\[
f(T) = 2.36 \times \sqrt{S \times E_{ph} \times \left[\coth\left(\frac{E_{ph}}{2kT}\right)\right]}^{1/2},
\]

where \(f(T)\) is the FWHM at different temperatures, \(S\) is the Huang-Rhys parameter, \(k\) is the Boltzmann constant, and \(E_{ph}\) is the effective phonon energy. The fitting results are presented in Fig. S7c and d (ESI†), and the FWHM shows thermal dependence. We can determine that compound 1 has \(S = 13.7\) and \(E_{ph} = 83.2\) meV and that compound 2 has \(S = 12.5\) and \(E_{ph} = 56.9\) meV. The \(S\) values of compounds 1 and 2 are larger than those of inorganic compounds, such as 6.4 for SrSe,42 8.4 for YAlO\(_3\),43 and 9.0 for LuAl\(_2\)O\(_3\),44 indicating that 1 and 2 have strong electron-phonon coupling. Moreover, the values of \(E_{ph}\) are much higher than those of all-inorganic metal halides, such as Cs\(_3\)Bi\(_2\)Cl\(_6\) (\(E_{ph} = 4.0\) meV)\(^{45}\) and Cs\(_3\)AgInCl\(_6\) (\(E_{ph} = 17.4\) meV),\(^{46}\) implying that the energies of the lattice vibration for 1 and 2 are relatively large and the structural rigidity is relatively weak.

Both compounds 1 and 2 exhibit relatively weak PL intensity compared with that of their In-based analogue (PMA)\(_3\)InBr\(_6\), which exhibited a photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) of ~35%.27 To reveal the structural effects of PL intensities in these 0D compounds, we compared our result with those for other previously reported 0D compounds with six coordinated S\(^2\) metal cations. First and foremost, the effect of the band nature should be considered. (PMA)\(_3\)InBr\(_6\) is isostructural with 1 and 2, showing an efficient broadband orange emission with the mechanism of STE emission. DFT calculation indicated that (PMA)\(_3\)InBr\(_6\) possesses a direct bandgap. Thus, compared with indirect-band-gap compounds 1 and 2, in light of the absorption process of (PMA)\(_3\)InBr\(_6\), no phonon processes are needed, which is more efficient, resulting in higher PLQY. In addition, previous works have shown that the formation of the STE states of OIMHs with the same structure dimensionality may be related to the distortion level of the metal coordination geometry, and also related to many other factors, such as the structural disorder, organic molecules, PL quenching temperature, etc.46,49 Herein, it is of interest to discuss the PL characterization and structural relationship of (PMA)\(_3\)MBr\(_6\) (M = Bi, Sb, and In). With the purpose of characterizing the difference in octahedral distortion, we defined the distortion based on the M-X bond length and X-M-X (M and X are metal cations and halogen anions) angle variance,50

\[
\lambda_{oct} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{n=1}^{6} [(d_0 - d_n)/d_0]^2
\]

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{n=1}^{12} (\theta_n - 90')^2
\]

where \(d_0\) denotes six individual M-X bond lengths, \(d_n\) is the average of the M-X bond distance, and \(\theta_n\) denotes the bond angles of each X-M-X. The results are tabulated in Table 2.

In Table 2, we can find that with a direct band gap and very large structural distortion, (C\(_4\)N\(_2\)H\(_{14}\)Br\(_6\))\(_4\)SnI\(_6\), (PPh\(_4\))\(_2\)SbCl\(_5\), (PPh\(_3\))\(_3\)PbBr\(_6\)-4H\(_2\)O and (C\(_3\)N\(_3\)H\(_{11}\)O\(_2\))\(_2\)PbBr\(_6\)-4H\(_2\)O exhibit very high PL emission efficiency. However, PLQY is not linearly related to the degree of structural distortion. For example, (PMA)\(_3\)InBr\(_6\) shows a less distorted structure than (C\(_8\)NH\(_{12}\))\(_2\)Bi\(_0.57\)Br\(_7\)H\(_2\)O but higher PLQY; (C\(_3\)N\(_3\)H\(_{11}\)O\(_2\))\(_2\)PbBr\(_6\)-4H\(_2\)O but higher PLQY; (C\(_3\)N\(_3\)H\(_{11}\)O\(_2\))\(_2\)PbBr\(_6\)-4H\(_2\)O but higher PLQY.

To compare the PL intensities of compounds 1 and 2 (Fig. S8, ESI†), PL spectra were obtained under exactly the same conditions. The PL intensity of 2 is much larger than that of 1 (Fig. S8, ESI†), which correlates well with the distortion level of compound 2 (\(\lambda_{oct} = 7.1 \times 10^{-4}\) and \(\sigma^2 = 14.60\)) being greater than that of compound 1 (\(\lambda_{oct} = 2.1 \times 10^{-4}\) and \(\sigma^2 = 14.57\)). Notably, the distortion degrees of 1 and 2 are larger than that of (PMA)\(_3\)InBr\(_6\) which may theoretically indicate stronger emission than the latter, provided that the band gaps of 1 and 2 can be tuned to be direct ones through doping.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we prepared two new lead-free OIMHs (PMA)\(_3\)BiBr\(_6\) (1) and (PMA)\(_3\)SbBr\(_6\) (2), which crystallized in the monoclinic space group \(P2_1/c\). The two compounds are isostructural

---

**Table 2** Summary of bandgap behavior, PL emission, FWHM, bond length distortions, angle variance and PLQY for title compounds and 0D compounds with six coordinated metal cations reported in the literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Bandgap behavior</th>
<th>PL (nm)</th>
<th>FWHM (nm)</th>
<th>(\lambda_{oct} (\times 10^{-4}))</th>
<th>(\sigma^2)</th>
<th>PLQY (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(PMA)(_3)BiBr(_6)</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>14.57</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PMA)(_3)SbBr(_6)</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C(_2)N(_2)H(_4))(_3)SnBr(_6)</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>45.69</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ph(_4)P)(_2)SbCl(_5)</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C(_3)N(_3)H(_11))(_2)PbBr(_6)-H(_2)O</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>400-850</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C(_8)NH(_12))(_2)BiBr(_7)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C(_3)N(_3)H(_11))(_2)PbBr(_6)-4H(_2)O</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.00438</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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0D structures and are composed of discrete octahedra and organic cations linked by hydrogen bonding. The DFT calculations revealed the indirect band gap behavior of 1 and 2, and the optical band gap was experimentally determined to be ~3.50 and 3.40 eV, respectively. Both compounds 1 and 2 exhibited good ambient stability and relatively high decomposition temperatures under N₂ gas flow (>230 °C). Upon 350 and 425 nm excitation, compounds 1 and 2 exhibited large Stokes-shifted dual broad PL emission with the FWHMs of ~153 and 175 nm, respectively. This broad emission in the 0D Bi- and Sb-based OIMHs is attributed to STE (singlet and triplet) emission. The thermal dependence of the FWHM was discussed in detail. The discovery of environmentally stable 1 and 2 and their photoluminescence properties further demonstrate the importance of lead-free low-dimensional OIMHs for optoelectronic applications.
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