Emerging interface materials for electronics thermal management: experiments, modeling, and new opportunities
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Thermal management is becoming a critical technology challenge for modern electronics with decreasing device size and increasing power density. One key materials innovation is the development of advanced thermal interfaces in electronic packaging to enable efficient heat dissipation and improve device performance, which has attracted intensive research efforts from both academia and industry over the past several decades. Here we review the recent progress in both theory and experiment for developing high-performance thermal interface materials. First, the basic theories and computational frameworks for interface energy transport are discussed, ranging from atomistic interface scattering to multiscale disorders that contributed to thermal boundary resistance. Second, state-of-the-art experimental techniques including steady-state and transient thermal measurements are discussed and compared. Moreover, the important structure design, requirements, and property factors for thermal interface materials depending on different applications are summarized and exemplified with the recent literature. Finally, emerging new semiconductors and polymers with high thermal conductivity are briefly reviewed and opportunities for future research are discussed.

1. Introduction

With decreasing size and increasing power densities of modern electronics, heat dissipation is becoming one of the most critical technological challenges.1–7 A key part of thermal management is to enhance the heat transfer between heterogeneous materials interfaces, which is critical to the overall thermal performance of nanoscale devices.8–11 For example, in typical microprocessor packaging (Fig. 1), heat generated from the chip is expected to be dissipated to the heat spreader and to the heat sink, however, through a multilayer device structure. At each interface, an additional thermal boundary resistance (TBR)1–4 exists to impede heat dissipation. In addition, the electronic performance and device lifetime can degrade dramatically under continuous overheating and large thermal stress at the interfaces. Therefore, for the last several decades, there have been intensive efforts in
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developing advanced thermal interface materials (TIMs) with the aim of minimizing the TBR between layers and enhancing thermal management performance, as well as tackling application requirements such as low thermal stress between materials of different thermal expansion coefficients, low elastic modulus or viscosity, flexibility, and reusability.

This review focuses on the transport mechanisms and materials aspects of TIMs. We begin by introducing the basic theories of interface thermal transport based on atomistic scattering to the lumped TBR contributed by multiple factors at practical materials interfaces. Then we describe state-of-the-art experimental techniques for thermal measurement and characterizations. We further summarize recent progress in the material design of TIMs including fillers, matrixes, and structural optimization. In addition, emerging new high thermal conductivity materials including boron arsenide, boron phosphide, and conducting polymers are highlighted to show the potential in developing advanced TIMs. Key achievements, challenges, and the future opportunities in this field are discussed.
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2. Basic theory and mechanism for interface energy transport

TIMs are functional materials for addressing heat dissipation issues brought by the TBR at a junction between two components. The TBR has become one of the main technological bottlenecks in modern electronics and is responsible for the temperature drop \( \Delta T \) across an interface when a heat flux \( q^0 \) is applied:

\[
TBR = \frac{\Delta T}{q^0}
\]  

The total TBR consists of several parts (Fig. 2): one is the intrinsic interface scattering of energy carriers (e.g., phonons and electrons) attempting to traverse the interface—the probability of transmission after scattering will depend on the available energy states on both sides of the interface; and the other part is the near-interfacial volume resistance, due to incomplete contact or disorders near the interface, such as interface roughness and voids, poor crystalline quality, defects, grain boundaries, and impurities. The latter part can be minimized through improved materials quality, but the first part has been found to be existing at all heterogeneous interfaces even with atomic perfection. Swarts and Pohl studied metal/dielectric interfaces at temperatures lower than 100 K, in which range the phonon transmission across the interface was found to be similar to acoustic waves. Since then, various factors which may affect the TBR have been intensively explored, including interface disorder, roughness, bonding strength, vibrational spectrum mismatch, material anisotropy, external field and so on.

2.1.1 Theoretical foundation to consider interface energy transport and TBR

Despite decades of efforts, understanding and improving interface thermal transport still remains challenging. One general approach to consider energy transport across an interface is based on the Landauer–Rüttiker formulation. This formulation treats interface problems by considering the flux of energy carriers (i.e. electrons, phonons, etc.) irradiating the interface, scattered, and transmitted through (Fig. 3). The initial Landauer formula\(^{15,16}\) was suggested to study transport phenomena in the quantum regime and relate the electrical resistance of a
quantum conductor to the scattering properties. Later, a generalized Landauer–Büttiker formula\textsuperscript{17,18} was developed to further expand the description in terms of transmission probabilities. With the progress in nanotechnology and condensed matter physics, experiments\textsuperscript{19–21} have shown good agreement with the formula predictions, for example in the ballistic transport regime. Later, theory was applied to analyze thermal energy transport across the interface under equilibrium at temperature $T$\textsuperscript{22}

$$q^\prime = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \sum_i \int_k \left( \tau_{AB} \cdot E \cdot \nu \cdot n(f_A - f_B) \right) dk$$

where $E$, $k$, $i$, $f$, and $\nu$ are the energy, momentum, band branch, distribution function and group velocity of heat carriers and $n$ is the unit vector normal to the interface between material A and material B. The transmission coefficient $\tau_{AB}$ for heat carriers is the key parameter to determine the TBR. Eqn (2) can be applied to both phonons and electrons, where the distribution function is respectively the Bose–Einstein or Fermi–Dirac distribution.\textsuperscript{23}

One implication of this formulation is the absence of coupling effects between different carriers and inelastic scattering. For example, since the phonons are quasiparticles, two phonons can merge into a single phonon, or one single phonon can split into two phonons – the number of quasiparticles in this process is not conserved, which breaks the underlying assumption of the Landauer–Büttiker formulation. However, the Landauer–Büttiker formulation is still widely applied because of its success regarding the consistency with certain experimental results and the lack of better established methods to consider the interaction of carriers at the interface.

### 2.1.2 Phonon picture

In dielectric solids such as semiconductors, ceramics, oxides, and even polymers, heat is mainly carried by lattice vibrations, and therefore TBRs are usually quantified based on phonons, i.e. the quantum mechanical modes of lattice vibrations.\textsuperscript{24} The resistance to heat flux can be understood as resulting from the breakdown of coherence of energy carriers’ transport across the interface. When the incident phonons encounter the interface, reflection and transmission happen simultaneously as illustrated in Fig. 3. The Landauer–Büttiker formulation can be adapted to the phonon system\textsuperscript{14,23}

$$\frac{1}{\text{TBR}} = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \sum_i \int_k \tau_{AB}(k,i)\nu(k,i)n|\frac{df}{dT}|dk$$

In the phonon picture, all parameters are a function of phonon mode or frequency: $\tau_{AB}(k,i)$, $\nu(k,i)$, $n(k,i)$, $f$ are the mode-dependent transmission coefficient, phonon frequency, group velocity and equilibrium Bose–Einstein distribution function corresponding to phonons with wavevector $k$ and polarization $i$. From the Landauer–Büttiker formulation, it can be pointed out that the TBR is significantly affected by the phonon density of state and group velocity, both of which can be obtained from the phonon band structure. Temperature can also affect the TBR. Other important factors that could affect the transmission coefficient or the TBR include the interface bonding, roughness, and disorder. In the following, these major factors determining the TBR are discussed.

**Phonon band structure.** The spectral phonon heat flux across interfaces requires a detailed knowledge of the phonon band structures of materials. Experimental techniques such as neutron scattering and X-ray scattering can be used to measure the phonon dispersions but are limited due to the high economic and time cost. For a long time, the Debye approximation had been used for the approximation to the phonon band structure, in which the phonon frequency is linear with its wave vector. A simplified estimation from the Debye model is that a better match of phonon density of states, or Debye temperature, can usually reduce the TBR. However, the Debye approximation oversimplifies the calculation using a single phonon group velocity along each direction, therefore gives an overestimated strong temperature dependence of the TBR due to the incorrect treatment of high-frequency phonons, and cannot account for anisotropic materials properties. Reddy and Majumdar\textsuperscript{25} improved the Debye approximation by using the Born–von Karman model instead of the linear assumption in the Debye model. Dames and co-workers\textsuperscript{26} proposed elliptical dispersion relations to account for the anisotropic phonon band structure. More recently, Li and Hu\textsuperscript{13} performed $ab\text{ initio}$ calculations using Density Functional Theory to obtain the full phonon dispersion relationship over the entire Brillouin zone and successfully constructed the phonon mode-dependent modeling of the interfacial thermal transport. With the $ab\text{ initio}$ calculated phonon band structures from density functional theory and molecular dynamics simulation, this atomistic modeling work in combination with the experimental measurement together clearly quantifies the fundamental structure–property relationships between the TBR and the intrinsic phonon band structures.\textsuperscript{13} For example, the phonon band structure of highly anisotropic black phosphorus can also be accurately calculated for illustrating the anisotropy effects on the TBR in Fig. 4a–d. The velocity distribution and phonon density of states can be extracted from the phonon band structure as shown in Fig. 4e and f. The distinct phonon group velocity along different directions partially determines the anisotropic thermal energy transport across interfaces. The calculation based on the Landauer–Büttiker formulation, facilitated by $ab\text{ initio}$ calculated phonon band structures, shows consistency with the experimental measurements in predicting the anisotropic TBRs (Fig. 4g and h), with a record-high anisotropic ratio of up to 327% between different crystal orientations of a metal–semiconductor interface.\textsuperscript{13}
Temperature. Temperature can have a direct impact on the TBR, for example, through the phonon distribution functions and heat capacity. In general, higher temperature can excite more phonons at high energy levels and enhance the total thermal energy across the interface. Experimentally, the TBR is usually measured to increase along with temperature and it saturates at high temperatures. The temperature dependence of TBRs is important to reveal the underlying transport mechanisms across different interfaces.

Interface conditions. In general, strong interface bonding can reduce the TBR and improve heat dissipation. Intuitively, the interface bonding is expected to affect the transmission coefficients. Efforts in enhancing interface bonding include improving bonding from the van der Waals to the covalent type, tuning the surface wetting properties from hydrophobic to hydrophilic using functional groups, or high pressure. However it remains challenging to quantify the relationship. Other interface conditions such as roughness and disorder are also expected to modulate the TBR.

2.1.3 Analytical calculations for interface transmission

It has remained challenging to consider interface transmission, especially under practical materials conditions. In the phonon picture, the most popular approaches developed over decades to analyze TBRs are the acoustic mismatch model (AMM) and diffuse mismatch model (DMM), which essentially treat the interface transmission in the following extreme cases and assume specular or diffusive interface scattering, respectively.

Acoustic mismatch model. AMM was first introduced by Khalatnikov in 1952 to understand the TBR between helium and a solid. For AMM, all the phonons are assumed to transmit through the interface like the acoustic waves, i.e. acoustic phonons near the Brillouin zone center. The transmission probability of acoustic waves has been well solved from the continuum elasticity equations

\[
\tau_{AB} = \frac{4 \cdot Z_A Z_B}{(Z_A + Z_B)^2} \tag{4}
\]

where \(Z\) is the acoustic impedance, the product of density and sound velocity. Thus for AMM, the mass density and sound velocity of materials at the interface are the two deterministic factors for the TBR. AMM usually works well at temperatures lower than 30 K, where only low-frequency phonons near the Brillouin zone center are excited, meeting the assumption. At high temperature, AMM would significantly underestimate the TBR.

Diffuse mismatch model. In Swarts and Pohl’s classic paper, DMM was proposed to predict the TBR for a diffusive interface. DMM assumes that all the phonon are reflected diffusively and elastically to lose their memory after the scattering at the interface. This implies that every phonon has the same probability of transmission from one side and reflection from the other side. Mathematically, it can be described as

\[
\tau_{AB}(k,i) = 1 - \tau_{BA}(k,f) \tag{5}
\]

Considering the detailed balance of heat flux at the interface, the transmission coefficient can be derived as

\[
\tau_{AB}(k,i) = \frac{\sum \int_{k}^{i} |v(k,i)\eta|\delta_{\omega_{AB}(k,i)} dk}{\sum \int_{k}^{A} |v(k,i)\eta|\delta_{\omega_{AB}(k,i)} dk + \sum \int_{k}^{B} |v(k,f)\eta|\delta_{\omega_{AB}(k,f)} dk} \tag{6}
\]
where $\delta_{q_q,\mathbf{k}_i}$ is the Kronecker delta function. In general, DMM predicts the lower limit for the TBR. For materials with a large mismatch of phonon density of states, DMM usually overestimates the TBR because it doesn’t account for the inelastic phonon scattering.

**Radiation limit for interface transmission.** Another model called radiation limit has been commonly used for evaluating the lower limit of the TBR considering only elastic phonon transmission across an interface. In the radiation limit model, as long as they are allowed by the phonon band and density of states, all the phonons can transmit across the interface with a unitary transmission coefficient, i.e. $\Gamma_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, i) = 1$. The radiation limit usually underestimates the TBR.

2.1.4 Contributions from electrons and other energy carriers to TBR

It should also be noted that energy carriers other than phonons could also contribute to heat transfer depending on materials. In addition to phonons, other particles (or quasiparticles), such as electrons, spinons, and magnons, can also carry thermal energy. When multiple heat carriers are present at the interface, more heat conduction channels may be opened and the coupling between them would make the interfacial thermal transport more complicated. For example, a metal–dielectric interface may involve the coupling between phonons and electrons.

2.2 Modeling frameworks

Over the last few decades, atomistic models have been developed to gain a more fundamental understanding of TBRs, such as molecular dynamics (MD) simulation, and atomistic Green’s function (AGF). In the following, we briefly summarize the progress in interatomic potentials and ab initio calculations as important modeling input, as well as different models with the discussions on their respective advantages and disadvantages in modeling TBR.

**Empirical modeling and interatomic potentials.** For most atomistic modeling techniques, the interatomic force between different atoms needs to be known for integrating the dynamical motion of atoms or constructing a set of Newtonian equations under the framework of lattice dynamics. Empirical interatomic potentials are commonly used for describing the interatomic force due to their simplicity and low cost of time. Classic empirical potentials include the Lennard-Jones potential for van der Waals interactions, the embedded atom model for metallic interactions, the Stillinger–Weber potential and Tersoff potential for covalent bonding. All these empirical potentials are fitted from experimentally measured materials properties or quantum mechanical calculations. Unfortunately, there is no universal potential that is capable of describing all the materials. An appropriate selection of empirical potentials is important for calculating thermodynamic properties. Nowadays, more advanced potentials are developed to give better accuracy in describing interatomic forces. For example, a reactive force field based on the principles of quantum mechanics can even predict the bond breaking and formation. However, there could be a tradeoff between accuracy and simulation cost.

**Ab initio calculations.** With the rapid development of computational power and techniques, ab initio calculations, for example, based on density functional theory (DFT) have been applied for calculation to avoid empirical potentials or fitting parameters. In DFT calculations, the multi-particle problem of n electrons can be simplified as a set of one-electron equations, i.e. Kohn–Sham equations. Once the electron density is solved, the force between different atoms can be computed from the Hellmann–Feynman theorem. The ab initio method can improve the accuracy of interatomic forces and be used to construct the harmonic matrix despite an increased computational cost. Such a DFT-based approach has been applied for electronic calculations for decades but for phonons more recently.

**Molecular dynamics.** In MD simulations, the dynamical trajectories, velocities and forces of atoms are simulated following Newton’s equation of motion. The macroscopic thermodynamic properties, like temperature and heat flux, can be derived from the simulated microscopic properties. The interaction between atoms is described under an empirical force field or derived from quantum mechanical calculations, as the higher order anharmonic force is included in MD simulations and the inelastic scattering of phonons is implicitly included. Moreover, because MD simulations attempt to simulate the temporal evolution of an atomic system in real space, the phonon picture is not necessary. The interfacial thermal transport across materials where the definition of phonons is ambiguous, e.g. liquid and amorphous polymers, can also be studied. Note that MD is currently the only method that can treat interface systems with practical conditions; other modeling methods such as Green’s function formalism idealizes the settings such as perfect epitaxial interfaces. There are mainly two different approaches for studying interfacial thermal transport, i.e. nonequilibrium MD (NEMD) and equilibrium MD (EMD). In NEMD, the steady state thermal response near the interface to a certain temperature gradient can be monitored as illustrated in Fig. 5a and b. By recording the heat flux and temperature drop at the interface, the TBR can be directly calculated according to eqn (1). By combining the NEMD and DMM with experimental measurements, the effect of anisotropic structure on the TBR has been revealed recently. NEMD has been applied to calculate the TBR along different crystal orientations and show its anisotropy in agreement with the experimental results and DMM calculations. In EMD, the fluctuation of heat flux across the interface is monitored when the system is under thermal equilibrium at a given temperature $T$. The TBR can be derived from the fluctuation–dissipation theorem and the Green–Kubo formula

$$\frac{1}{TBR} = \frac{1}{A k_B T^2} \int_0^\infty \langle Q(t) Q(0) \rangle dt \quad (7)$$

where $A$, $k_B$, $Q$ and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denote respectively the interface area, Boltzmann constant, interfacial heat exchange at a certain time $t$ and ensemble average. Fig. 5c illustrates the autocorrelation function of heat exchange at the interface between Si and Ge, i.e. $\langle Q(t) Q(0) \rangle$, from EMD. The TBR can be calculated from eqn (3) as shown in Fig. 5d. To analyze the modal contributions
to the TBR, the interfacial heat exchange can be projected to different phonon modes and the TBR calculated from eqn (3) can be decomposed into different phonon modes.

Nowadays, due to the availability of many open-source codes for MD simulation and the simplicity to implement MD simulation for TBR calculations, it has been applied to different TIM systems.

**Wave packet.** The wave-packet method is a numerical method for studying the transmission of a single wave packet across an interface within the framework of MD simulation. In this method, the wave packet is created by linear combinations of vibrational eigenstates. The atoms are carefully displaced to generate a wave packet with a certain wave vector and polarization. This method was first implemented by Schelling et al. to study the phonon scattering at imaginary interfaces (Fig. 5e). The main advantage of this method is to study the transmission of single phonon mode and obtain the spectral transmission coefficient by varying the wavevector and polarization as illustrated in Fig. 5f. One limitation of the wave packet is that it cannot be used at high temperature because the thermal noise would overwhelm the wave packet signal.

**Atomistic Green’s function.** AGF is another atomistic modelling method for the TBR based on the Landauer formalism. In the field of thermal transport, it was previously implemented for phonon transport in a Si nanowire coated with an amorphous layer and gained its popularity for the TBR calculation of numerous interfaces, e.g. Si/Ge, Cu/graphite and MgSi/Mg$_2$Si$_{1-x}$Sn$_x$. Essentially, the AGF method obtains the phonon transmission coefficient by solving a set of Newtonian equations within the framework of lattice dynamics. Due to the complexity brought by anharmonic forces, most calculations for TBRs based on AGF only consider harmonic forces. The transmission function $\tau_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ can be obtained from the Caroli formula:

$$\tau_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \text{Trace}(G_{A}G_{B}G^\dagger)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $\Gamma_A$ and $\Gamma_B$ are escape rates, and $G$ is the Green’s function, representing the response of the system to an infinitesimal perturbation. Since the harmonic matrix in the AGF calculation can be constructed from force constants from the DFT calculation, the AGF method is believed to correctly capture the interfacial interaction especially at near perfect interfaces. The main advantage of AGF is to resolve phonon mode information at the interface. However, the first-principles AGF calculations can be computationally formidable if the supercell of the interface is too large due to large lattice mismatch of the materials interface; to include anharmonic effects for practical interface conditions may pose additional challenge.

### 2.3 TBR at practical materials interfaces

The above discussions are focused on the atomistic consideration of interface scattering and the TBR. For practical materials interfaces, however, additional thermal resistance may come from other factors such as incomplete contacts and disorders near the interface. As illustrated in Fig. 2, the materials components of TIM and different contributions to a (lumped) TBR are discussed in the following.

**Disorder near the interface.** The TBR could also be caused by disorder interfaces of different materials. Due to the materials growth or fabrication processes, it may consist of an interphase region of appreciable thickness with properties substantially different than those of the materials on either side. For example, for the chemical growth of GaN, GaN/AlN transition or adhesion layers are usually used for diamond or silicon carbide substrates because of the large lattice mismatch. The thermal stack from these transition layers produces additional TBR and prevents achieving the full benefit of using high-conductivity substrates.

**Incomplete contact.** The TBR could be caused by incomplete physical contact between two sides of the interfaces. When two
similar or different fillers are in contact and form a junction, only a small portion of the actual surface area is involved due to the non-planarity and roughness of the contact surface; this causes a contact thermal resistance. At this time, heat transfer at the junction can only occur through the actual contact area. In a more extreme case, it can even result in some air-filled gap trapped within the rest of the area, which is depicted in Fig. 2. If we neglect the heat transfer by radiation between the surfaces, the heat flux go through this interface by two different heat conduction paths: solid-to-solid conduction through the contact points and conduction through the air trapped within the rest of the area, which is a poor thermal conductor compared to the solid materials. The heat transfer between different materials is limited by two different heat conduction paths defined as the thermal interfacial resistance. Therefore, in order to improve the heat transfer performance between heterogeneous interfaces and minimize the temperature drop across the junction, controlling the TBR using TIMs is one primary solution.

3. Experimental methods to characterize TIMs

In this section, we summarize the typical experimental techniques for characterizing the thermal properties of TIMs by starting with the following equations governing the temperature and heat transfer,

\[ Q = \kappa A \frac{\Delta T}{L} \]  
\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = \frac{k}{\rho c_p} \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \right) \]

Eqn (9) and (10) are respectively the Fourier law and heat diffusive equation, where \( \kappa \), \( A \), \( \Delta T / L \), \( \rho \), and \( c_p \) are the thermal conductivity, cross-sectional area of heat flux, temperature gradient along the heat conduction direction, density and specific heat respectively. Experimental techniques can be categorized into steady-state methods when the measurement is performed after the thermodynamic properties of the system become time independent, or transient methods when the measured signals are temporal evolution of temperature. Most steady-state methods directly measure thermal conductivity according to Fourier’s law. The key parameters to be measured are heat flux and temperature gradient. For example, the reference bar method and microbridge method are heat conduction experiments along a one-dimensional direction of interest. When two- or three-dimensional heat conduction experiments are performed, the thermal conductivity can be fitted by comparing the steady state temperature profile with the solution of the time-independent heat conduction equation. For example, the Raman thermometry and infrared camera are commonly used in these indirect steady-state experiments. For transient measurement methods, the experiment is analyzed based on the measured temperature with the solution of the differential heat conduction equation. The key signals for the transient method are the temporal and/or spatial temperature evolution. For example, common transient measurement methods include the hot-wire method, laser flash method, 30 method, photoacoustic method, Angstrom methods and thermoreflectance methods. In the following, we discuss, in more detail, different experimental methods.

3.1 Steady-state measurement

3.1.1 Reference bar method. Thermal conductivity is determined by measuring the temperature difference \( \Delta T \) at a given distance under the steady state heat flow \( Q \). The testing sample is placed in series with the reference materials with known thermal conductivity between a heat source and a heat sink. A steady state power input is applied and the resulting temperature profile across the sample and reference materials is measured by temperature sensors after a steady state temperature distribution is established.\(^{24}\) The temperature sensors can be thermocouples, thermistors or infrared thermometers. As the heat flow remains the same for the reference materials and the sample, it can be calibrated from the temperature gradient in the reference materials. Thereafter, the thermal conductivity \( \kappa \) of the TIM sample can be determined using Fourier’s law of heat conduction with the measured temperature gradient and calibrated heat flow. Note that the reference bar method usually requires the testing sample to be relatively large and in standard shape, thus may not be suitable for micro/nanoscale samples. To ensure a steady state temperature distribution, sometimes the test can take a long waiting time. In addition, the heat dissipation from other pathways such as convention needs to be negligible.

3.1.2 Microbridge method. The microbridge method was originally developed to reveal the high thermal conductivity of individual carbon nanotubes\(^ {55,56} \) and further expanded to measure other nanostructures.\(^ {57-60} \) In this method, the sample is suspended between two micrometer pads, like a microbridge. Platinum wires are coated on these two pads to provide uniform Joule heating to the pad and also serve as a resistance thermometer. The heat flux through the sample is usually applied by a Joule heating power. Meanwhile, the temperature drop across the sample is measured using a microfabricated thermometer. The thermal conductivity can then be determined from Fourier’s law. This method can be applied to nanostructures, especially in the 1D or 2D form, such as carbon nanotubes, graphene, and black phosphorus. However, this method usually requires complicated microfabrication processes and the careful calibration of the contact resistance between the sample and the pads.

3.1.3 Raman thermometry. Raman peaks are related to the phonon properties of materials as they usually result from the interaction between optical phonons and incident photons.\(^ {61} \) The peak position, intensity or full-width at half-maximum can be calibrated with temperature dependence. The temperature response of the sample to incident laser, for example under different powers can be obtained and used to extract the thermal properties. Raman spectroscopy has been applied in the literature to measure varied bulk materials and nanostructures. However, a reliable thermal measurement using Raman
thermometry requires careful calibration of laser absorption and temperature measurements. In addition, the coupling between the thermal effect and other contributions such as thermal expansion can complicate the data analysis and measurement reliability.

3.2 Transient methods

3.2.1 Hot-wire method. The hot wire method is based on the transient heat conduction model of an infinitely long cylinder with a line heat source at the center. 62 Due to the convenience of implementation, it has been applied on a wide range of materials, including polymer composites. In this method, a metal wire is embedded in the sample, serving as a line heat source. After a constant current is supplied, the uniform Joule heating of the platinum wire will start to heat up the whole sample. Once the sample radius is much larger than the heat diffusion length during sampling time and the diameter of the platinum wire is thin and long enough, the differential heat conduction can be solved to give the temperature evolution described by the following equation

\[ T(r, t) = \frac{P}{4\pi k L} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{2t}{r^2} \right) - \gamma \right] \]  

where \( P \) is the Joule heating power, \( k \) the thermal conductivity, \( L \) the length of the hot wire, \( a \) the thermal diffusivity, \( t \) the time, \( r \) the distance between the wire center and interested point, and \( \gamma \) higher order terms regarding \( t \) and \( r \), which can be neglected in most experiments. Thus, the thermal conductivity of the sample can be calculated if the slope of temperature rise versus the logarithmic value of time is known:

\[ k = \frac{P}{4\pi L \cdot \text{slope}} \]  

To measure the temperature rise in the sample, a thermocouple or thermoresistor can be embedded inside the sample. The resistance change of platinum wire can also be used for sampling temperature change, so a more convenient way is to use a platinum wire as both heating source and temperature sensor as illustrated in Fig. 6a. 63 For hot-wire measurements, it is important to ensure a good thermal contact between the metal wire and the sample material.

3.2.2 Laser flash method. The laser flash measurement is a non-contact method to measure the thermal diffusivity of materials. A typical measurement schematic of the laser flash method is depicted in Fig. 6b. 64 A pulsed light source is used to uniformly heat up the sample’s front side, and a temperature detector measures the time dependent temperature response rise at the rear side. Heat conduction inside the material is taken as one-dimensional (i.e., no lateral heat loss). The time-dependent rear-side temperature response curve is fit to obtain the thermal diffusivity. Theoretically, the temperature rise at the rear side as a function of time can be written as:

\[ T(t) = \frac{q}{\rho c_p d} \left[ 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \exp \left( -\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{d^2} \frac{t}{t_{1/2}} \right) \right] \]  

where \( d \) is the TIM thickness, and \( \alpha \) is the TIM’s thermal diffusivity. By recording the temperature rise at the rear side, the thermal diffusivity \( \alpha \) can be calculated by:

\[ \alpha = \frac{1.38d^2}{\pi^2 t_{1/2}} \]  

where \( t_{1/2} \) is the characteristic time that takes for the sample to heat to one-half of the maximum temperature on the rear surface and is usually used for analysis. The mass density \( \rho \) and specific heat \( c_p \) are needed for extracting the thermal conductivity \( k = \alpha \rho c_p \). During laser flash measurements, a good thermal insulation between the sample and the environment is important; otherwise, the characteristic time of the temperature peak would be incorrectly estimated.

3.2.3 \( 3\omega \) method. In the \( 3\omega \) method, an AC at frequency \( \omega \) passes through the heater/sensor \( I(t) = I_0 \cos(\omega t) \), where \( I_0 \) is the current amplitude, which results in Joule heating of the resistive heater at \( 2\omega \) frequency. \( \Delta T(t) = \Delta T_0 \cos(2\omega t + \phi) \), where \( \Delta T_0 \) is the temperature change amplitude, and \( \phi \) is the phase. The temperature change produces the heater/sensor’s electrical resistance at \( 2\omega \): \( R(t) = R_{e,0} [1 + z_R \Delta T_0 \cos(2\omega t + \phi)] \), where \( z_R \) is the temperature coefficient of resistance of the heater/sensor, and \( R_{e,0} \) is the heater/sensor’s
electrical resistance at the initial state. When multiplied by the $1/\omega$ driving current, a small voltage signal across the heater/sensor at $3\omega$ frequency can be detected:

$$V(t) = R_{c,0}I_0\cos(\omega t) + \frac{1}{2}R_{c,0}I_0\Delta R \cdot \Delta T_0 \cos(\omega t + \phi)$$

This change in voltage at $3\omega$ frequency is measured to analyze the thermal properties of the sample. Fig. 6c shows a typical schematic of the $3\omega$ measurement. A metallic strip (e.g., aluminum, gold, and platinum) is deposited as both an electrical heater and a temperature sensor. Depending on the width of the heater, both the cross-plane and the in-plane thermal conductivity of the sample can be measured using the $3\omega$ method. For isotropic materials with thickness larger than the heat diffusion length, the temperature rise can be approximated as

$$\Delta T_0 = \frac{p}{\pi L k} \left[ 0.5 \ln \left( \frac{a}{\omega} \right) - 0.5 \ln(\omega) + \eta \right] - i \left( \frac{p}{4 L k} \right)$$

where $p/L$ is the peak electrical power per unit length, $2a$ the width of the metallic strip, $\eta$ a constant. Thermal conductivity is obtained by fitting the experimentally measured temperature rise data under a variety of heating frequencies $\omega$ to eqn (13).

A significant advantage of the $3\omega$ method compared to the steady-state methods is that the error due to radiation heat loss is greatly reduced. For electrically conducting and semiconducting materials, samples need to be electrically isolated from the metallic heater/sensor with an additional insulating layer, the extra thermal resistance introduced by which needs to be considered in terms of sensitivity and measurement accuracy.

### 3.2.4 Photoacoustic technique

A test fixture for a photoacoustic measurement is shown in Fig. 6d. In the photoacoustic technique, a laser heats a sample that is in a photoacoustic cell.\(^6\) This results in the sample heating and through conduction with the surrounding gas, a change in pressure of the gas occurs which is sensed in the form of an acoustic wave. An attached microphone senses this wave and its phase and amplitude are recorded, which is used to determine the thermal properties of the sample. One advantage of this method is its applicability to thin layer samples, for example carbon nanotube array TIMs.\(^6\)

### 3.2.5 Angstrom and infrared microscopy enhanced method

The thermal measurement based on the steady-state temperature oscillation to periodic heating was first invented by Angstrom in 1861\(^6\) and has been widely applied on thin films afterwards. As shown in Fig. 6c,\(^6\) the film sample is usually suspended and heated using a sinusoidal heat source. The temperature responses of the thin film sample at two different locations are monitored. By comparing the temperature oscillation amplitude $T_1$ and $T_2$ and phase difference $\phi$, the thermal diffusivity can be calculated from the following equation

$$\alpha = \frac{\omega \Delta x^2}{2 \Delta \phi \ln(T_1/T_2)}$$

where $\omega$ and $\Delta x$ are the angular frequency of heating power and the distance between two detection points. By utilizing infrared microscopy, the Angstrom method can be extended to films with lateral size of millimeters and thickness of micrometers as long as the sample can be suspended. The Angstrom method is usually limited to thin film samples, in addition to the challenge in suspending the sample and minimizing heat loss by thermal radiation from the sample surface.

### 3.2.6 Ultrafast optical pump-probe measurements

Thermal measurement techniques based on ultrafast optics include time-domain thermoreflectance (TDTR),\(^70-72\) frequency-domain thermoreflectance (FDTR)\(^73\) and transient thermal grating (TTG) methods.\(^74\) In each of these techniques, a pump laser beam deposits heat into the sample, which consists of the substrate of interest coated with a thin metal transducer film. The change in temperature due to this heat input is monitored with a probe beam. The thermal properties can be extracted by fitting the measured data with the prediction of a thermal model.

The time-domain thermoreflectance (TDTR) technique is a non-contact optical method to measure thermal properties (thermal conductivity, interfacial thermal conductance, and heat capacity).\(^70-72\) As illustrated in Fig. 6f, in the TDTR method, a femtosecond ultrafast pulse laser beam is split into two laser beams, called pump and probe beams. A metal thin film is usually coated on the sample surface to serve as a transducer that absorbs the pump laser energy to heat up the sample and generate an instantaneous temperature rise. The surface temperature can be detected from the reflectance of the metal transducer as its reflectivity is linearly proportional to temperature changes. During the TDTR measurement, the transient temperature decay is continuously recorded versus the delay time between the pump and probe with a sub-picosecond temporal resolution. The temperature decay is fitted with a thermal model to obtain the thermal properties of the sample. FDTR is a frequency-domain technique where the pump laser beam is a sinusoidally modulated CW laser beam.\(^72\) This sinusoidal heat input creates a temperature wave with the same amplitude and phase relative to that of the heat input. We measure the amplitude and phase of this temperature wave as a function of modulation frequency with a second probe beam and a lock-in amplifier. The thermal conductivity is obtained by comparing the observed response with the predictions of a thermal model. The TTG technique uses two crossing pump pulses to create a sinusoidal interference pattern, which induces a sinusoidal temperature response on the sample surface after absorption.\(^74\) The temperature profile and the resulting acoustic wave modulate the complex index of refraction of the material to create a sinusoidal time dependent grating that diffracts the probe beam. The diffracted signal is detected and analyzed for thermal properties. TTG can be used for thermal conductivity measurements but usually requires the sample to be a thin film with specific optical properties and is not suitable for TBR measurements. Compared with microfabricated devices and $3\omega$ methods, these optical methods can be used to perform non-contact and fast thermal conductivity measurements on both bulk and nanoscale samples.

The TDTR technique, in particular due to its high sensitivity and flexible adaptability, has been widely applied to explore the
thermal properties of different materials ranging from low thermal conductivity silica aerogels7,75 (~0.01 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹) and van der Waals crystals66,77 (~0.5 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹) to high thermal conductivity boron phosphides6 (~500 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹) and boron arsenides5 (~1300 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹), and to thermal boundary resistance.11 Anisotropic thermal properties are of both fundamental and practical interest, but remain challenging to characterize using conventional techniques. Note that conventional TDTR assumes isotropic heat conduction; most other techniques require the alignment of sample orientation of interest along with the temperature gradient when dealing with anisotropic samples. Recently, a new technique based on asymmetric beam time-domain thermoreflectance, i.e., AB-TDTR,78 has been developed to accurately measure three-dimensional anisotropic thermal transport by extending the conventional TDTR technique. Using an elliptical laser beam with controlled elliptical ratio and spot size, the experimental signals can be exploited to be dominantly sensitive to measure thermal transport along the cross-plane or any specific in-plane directions.78

4. Materials design for TIMs

The design of TIMs is a multi-disciplinary problem, requiring physical consideration of the thermal properties, contact mechanics, and even electrical and optical properties depending on applications. For mechanical properties, the TIMs are typically made of materials that show good mechanical compliance, wetting capability, or can fill out the voids between the two surfaces, thereby increasing the effective contact area. The deformability requirement leads to their most fundamental engineering requirement: low mechanical shear strength to allow shape change and conformal interfacial contact. For electrical properties, some electronics applications require TIMs to be of low dielectric constant, high electrical resistivity, and high breakdown strength. The breakdown electric field of insulating materials is an important factor. The incorporation of inorganic fillers can significantly modify the breakdown electric field of a composite depending on the loading, shape, size, surface chemistry, dispersion, and electrical characteristics of the fillers. The electric field distortion and enhancement are caused by the difference in dielectric constant (for alternating current) or electrical conductivity (for direct current) between the fillers and polymer matrix. As the difference becomes greater, the field distortion is intensified and the field enhancement is greater. Therefore, in order to obtain high-breakdown-strength composites, one must choose fillers having similar electrical characteristics to the polymer matrix. For thermal properties, there are several key parameters to be considered for the design of a TIM: contact resistances at the interface, thermal conductivity, and its thickness, which together determines a lumped TBR. First, the thermal contact resistance between the TIM and substrate is an important factor and can be affected by the conformity, how well the TIM fills out voids, and the atomistic scattering from mismatch as discussed in Section 2.1. It can also be affected by the compliancy of the TIM, how well it attaches to the substrates, and the applied pressure at the interfaces. To improve the overall thermal performance, this thermal contact resistance needs to be minimized. Second, the thickness of the TIM needs a balance. It is desirable to minimize the bond line thickness to reduce the whole thermal interfacial resistance; in addition, lower thickness means less material consumed and a lower cost. However, in practice, a too thin bond line can result in voids due to uneven TIM coverage as well as reliability issues during thermal cycling due to mismatch in the coefficient of thermal expansion. In addition, for certain applications such as a thermal pad, the thickness can be limited by the handling and mechanical requirements. Therefore, the thickness design needs a balance over requirements from all these aspects. Third, the thermal conductivity of the TIM layer plays an important role in contributing a strain thermal resistance in series to the contact resistance. The thermal conductivity of the TIM determines how well the material conducts heat within the TIM itself, and thus is desired to be high. Commonly used TIMs are a variety of polymer-based matrix filled with high thermal conductivity particle inclusions, typically with diameter 2–25 μm. The effective thermal conductivity of TIMs itself is typically on the order of 2 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ (i.e. a TBR of 5 × 10⁻⁵ m² K⁻¹ GW⁻¹ for a 100 μm thick TIM layer). The resistance of commercial TIM products however is even lower due to interfaces between the TIM and the device layer, as well as additional structural disorders and voids during integration. Another research area is using solders, which can provide better TBR and thermal conductivity using metallic alloys, however, are less attractive due to their mechanical stiffness and electrical conduction.

In the following part of this section, we discuss the materials design for TIMs with a focus on filler–matrix structures as most popularly investigated in literature studies. We first discuss about the filler material from typical state-of-the-art filler materials to emerging new high thermal conductivity materials that could potentially make significant improvement by replacing the current filler materials. Next, for matrix materials, we discuss about typical matrices based on polymers and metal solder matrices, as well as recent studies on developing high thermal conductivity polymers that could potentially contribute to the matrix design. Furthermore, we provide a summary of the recent progress in structural design over TIM layers and discuss about the understanding and design strategy on how to further improve the thermal performance of TIMs.

4.1 Filler materials

A filler–matrix structure is most widely used as a TIM due to its electrical insulation, easy processing, and low cost. Yet the commercial TIMs are still limited by the low thermal conductivity of matrix materials which are usually in the form of polymers due to the requirement in mechanics and filling efficiency. To improve its performance, high thermal conductivity fillers such as ceramics, metals, nanotubes and graphene are included usually in the form of nanoparticles into the matrix. Additionally, various methods in improving heat conduction have been investigated, ranging from surface functionalization, filler alignment, and structural optimization. More details of the recent progress are discussed in the following.
4.1.1 Typical filler materials. Several ceramic materials with a high thermal conductivity within 1–300 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ (Fig. 7), such as aluminum nitride (AlN), boron nitride (BN), silicon nitride (Si$_3$N$_4$), and silicon carbide (SiC) have been extensively explored as fillers due to their electrical insulation and stability. Aluminum oxide has a relatively lower thermal conductivity (\( \sim 30 \) W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), but is currently among the most commonly used materials for commercial thermally conductive fillers due to its low cost and high electrical resistivity (Fig. 8a).\(^{81}\) Boron nitride (BN) is another TIM filler extensively studied in the recent literature due to its high thermal conductivity (200–600 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) and dielectric properties (Fig. 8b).\(^{82}\) Graphene/graphite (Fig. 8c), carbon nanotubes (CNTs) (Fig. 8d) and nanofibers are also explored for TIM fillers due to their high thermal conductivity. Monolayer graphene was reported to have a high thermal conductivity of over 1000 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ at room temperature. However, when assembled into bundles, its thermal conductivity will degrade to be orders lower due to the intrinsic ambient scattering. The intrinsic anisotropic thermal conductivity, i.e., high conductivity along the in-plane direction but very low conductivity in the cross-plane direction (e.g., 5 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ for graphite due to weak van der Waals bonding), poses another challenge in their applications. In addition, the thermal interfaces between fillers and the matrix could involve additional scattering and significantly compromise the benefit from the high conductivity of fillers. For example, graphene–polymer and CNT–polymer composites often have thermal conductivities lower than 1 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ even with high filler concentrations. This can be attributed to weak van der Waals bonding at the filler junctions where the phonon transport along the strong covalent bonding is largely impeded. In order to improve the heat transfer properties, covalently-bonded junctions in filler networks are reported in recent studies.\(^{83–88}\)

Metals in general have higher thermal conductivity (10–400 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, Fig. 7) than polymers so they have been used as fillers when electrical insulation and lightweight are not considered for the applications. Typical metal fillers include aluminum [Al],\(^{90}\) silver (Ag) (Fig. 8e),\(^{93}\) copper (Cu),\(^{87}\) and nickel (Ni).\(^{92}\) Polymers modified with the inclusion of metallic particles include polyethylene, polypropylene, polyamide, polynylchloride, and epoxy resins, showing thermal conductivity performance depending on the thermal conductivity of the metallic fillers, the particle shape and size, volume loading, and alignment in the polymer matrix.

Hybrid fillers using mixtures of different materials or different structural and geometric parameters are studied to improve the TIM performance.\(^{93}\) New kinds of fillers having hybrid compositions with large formation of conduction path networks are helpful to form percolating networks and improve the maximum packing fraction, which increase the effective thermal conductivity. Different types of fillers with different shapes and sizes were used either alone or in combination to prepare new kinds of thermally conductive polymer composites, including BN/CNTs,\(^{94}\) BN/nanofibers\(^{95}\) and graphene oxide/CNT\(^{96,98}\) (Fig. 8f) hybrid composites.

4.1.2 Size effect of thermal conductivity and mean free path spectra. The size of fillers is also important for the overall performance of TIMs. The size of fillers in commercial TIMs spans usually from 2 to 25 \( \mu \)m. If the size of fillers is too large, the mechanical properties of TIMs may be compromised. On the other hand, the thermal conductivity of fillers can be lower than the bulk values when the filler size is too small. Such a reduced thermal conductivity can be attributed to the size effects introduced by boundary scattering.

In dielectric materials, thermal transport is dominated by a spectrum of phonons, which travel inside materials with different frequencies, wave vectors, and group velocities. The average distance travelled by a phonon between two successive collisions is called the phonon mean free path (MFP). The recent literature uses cumulative phonon MFP spectra to describe the accumulative contribution from phonon modes.
with specific MFPs. For example, the cumulative phonon MFP spectra of diamond, graphene, BN, silicon, GaN, and Al₂O₃ are plotted in Fig. 9a. These phonons that dominate heat conduction have MFPs ranging from 10 to 1000 nm in Al₂O₃, from 100 nm to 10 µm in GaN, BN, graphene and diamond, and from 100 nm to 100 µm for Si. When the particle size is smaller than the MFP, additional boundary scattering will further reduce the thermal conductivity. The MFP spectra can largely vary for different materials. Developing new techniques to achieve spectral mapping of thermal conductivity has been a significant advance in the recent literature. The general measurement mechanism is illustrated in Fig. 9b: thermal transport in materials with size-dependent heating down to the nanoscale is systematically measured. When the heating size is much larger than the phonon MFP, heat is transferred diffusively following classical Fourier’s law. When the heater size is comparable with or smaller than the phonon MFP, corresponding phonons with long MFPs would travel without scattering, experiencing ballistic transport. By utilizing the ballistic thermal transport caused by a nanostructured heater, Hu et al. developed a spectral mapping technique to experimentally determine the phonon MFP distributions of different materials. Moreover, the detailed diffusive to ballistic phonon transport information can be analyzed with multiscale modeling. For example, the size-dependent spectral heat flux in boron phosphide is carefully analyzed by Monte Carlo simulations and reconstructs the intrinsic phonon MFP spectra as shown in Fig. 9c. The fundamental understanding and experimental characterization of spectral phonon transport in filler materials would provide important guidance for optimizing the thermal and mechanical properties of TIMs.

4.1.3 Emerging new high thermal conductivity materials. As summarized in Fig. 7, typical semiconductors, ceramics, and metals have thermal conductivity within the range of 10−400 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹, including SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Ge, Si, GaN, and Al. The industrial standard materials for high thermal conductivity are copper and SiC, both with a thermal conductivity of around 400 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹. Materials with thermal conductivity higher than 400 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ are rare and considered as ultrahigh thermal conductivity materials, such as diamond, cubic boron nitride, and carbon nanomaterials (CNTs and graphene).

Diamond is the most developed material for passive cooling of high-power electronics. However, the high cost, slow synthesis rates, and low quality of diamond limit its development in industrial application. For carbon nanomaterials, including graphene and carbon nanotubes, owing to their ambient interactions and disorder scattering as well as their anisotropic properties, their thermal conductivity would reduce when assembled into practical sizes.

Discovering new high thermal conductivity materials is an emerging research frontier of critical importance for thermal management technologies including TIMs. The conventional criteria include simple crystal structure, light atoms, and strong bonding, which are protyped by diamond but does not provide much insight into search for new candidates. Recently, ab initio calculations have been used to investigate the phonon spectra of binary compounds. In particular, a new semiconductor material, cubic boron arsenide (B₃As), has been experimentally synthesized and achieved a record-high room-temperature thermal conductivity of 1300 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹, beyond all common metals and semiconductors, as shown in Fig. 10a–c. Experimental work has also verified that the distinctive band structure of B₃As provides very long phonon mean free paths. More recently, the basic mechanical, optical, and thermal properties of this new semiconductor were systematically characterized for the first time, which further verifies its promising applications for thermal management. Boron phosphide (BP) is another emerging semiconductor with an ultrahigh thermal conductivity of ~ 500 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ (Fig. 10d–f).

In addition, BP possesses good refractory properties and exceptional chemical inertness, hardness, and high thermal stability, and holds great promise for many practical applications. The successful development of ultrahigh thermal conductivity materials enables new application opportunities for thermal management and the design of thermal interface materials.

4.2 Matrix materials

4.2.1 Typical polymer matrix. In electronic packages, the TIM is exposed to operating conditions which can adversely affect their properties and potentially lead to performance...
The package may undergo a shape change (convex to concave, or vice versa) which impacts the thermomechanical stress distribution. In addition, it is essential to ensure good adhesion between the polymer and metal in TIMs. Therefore, a small elastic modulus is usually desired for TIMs, as a soft and flexible matrix enables better filling with the workpieces, which is another type of TIM with the primary aim of reducing TBRs but compromised with the mechanical and electrical requirements. Due to the inherently high thermal conductivity of metals and the reflow process which largely eliminates voids and forms metallurgical bonds, solders can be applied either as a solder paste or as a thin foil sheet with or without flux. It provides a continuous metal phase for heat transfer with a high thermal conductivity, eliminating the internal interface resistance. However, their rigid structure can lead to poor stress absorption, further leading to cracking during thermal cycling due to the coefficient of thermal expansion mismatch. The most common solder used in thermal interface applications in industry today is indium. There are alternatives to solder for metal phase TIMs, such as Sn–Ag–Cu-based alloys, Sn–Bi alloys, sintering Ag nanoparticles/metal composites.

4.2.2 Metals solders and alloys. Solder is a fusible metal alloy used to create a permanent bond between metals and workpieces, which is another type of TIM with the primary aim of reducing TBRs but compromised with the mechanical and electrical requirements. Due to the inherently high thermal conductivity of metals and the reflow process which largely eliminates voids and forms metallurgical bonds, solders can be applied either as a solder paste or as a thin foil sheet with or without flux. It provides a continuous metal phase for heat transfer with a high thermal conductivity, eliminating the internal interface resistance. However, their rigid structure can lead to poor stress absorption, further leading to cracking during thermal cycling due to the coefficient of thermal expansion mismatch. The most common solder used in thermal interface applications in industry today is indium. There are alternatives to solder for metal phase TIMs, such as Sn–Ag–Cu-based alloys, Sn–Bi alloys, sintering Ag nanoparticles/metal composites.

4.2.3 High thermal conductivity polymers. Despite the poor thermal conductivity for typical forms of polymers, recent efforts have shown that it may be possible to improve the conductivity via forming high crystalline order and aligning molecular chains. Theoretical predictions based on MD suggests that a very high (350 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹) or even divergent thermal conductivity can be achieved in an individual polymer (polyethylene) chain. The single polyethylene nanofiber fibre is drawn from the heated gel using either a sharp tungsten tip or a tipless atomic force microscope cantilever. An experimental study on a single polyethylene nanofiber (Fig. 11a) shows a high thermal conductivity of about 104 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹. Other works show that the thermal conductivity of oriented polymer chains can be improved, for example through electro-polymerization, ultra-drawing, and electrospinning (Fig. 11c). For example, Xu et al. report ultra-drawn polyethylene films (Fig. 11b) with a high thermal conductivity of 62 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹, over two orders-of-magnitude more conducting than typical polymers (~0.1 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹). Additional efforts have been made to align polymer chains. For electro-polymerization, in Fig. 11d, Singh et al. utilized the electro-polymerization technique in order to achieve the array of polythiophene nanofibres, resulting in a bulk thermal conductivity of 4.4 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹, which is 20 times more than the bulk polymer value. The significant molecular chain orientation along the fibre axis is obtained during electro-polymerization using nanoscale templates, which makes this material well-suited for use in TIM applications.

4.3 Structural design for TIMs

In addition to materials choice, structural design and optimization is key to improving the thermal performance of TIMs. There are several important structural parameters needed to be considered, including filler loading, filler aspect ratio, filler dispersion, filler/polymer interface and alignment of fillers.
4.3.1 Surface functionalization of fillers. The surface chemistry of fillers has been investigated to show its importance in improving TIM performance. Filler surface functionalization to achieve better compatibility at the interface, for example, through covalent bonds between the filler and the matrix, will enable more efficient thermal energy transfer in between and reduce the TBR.

Surface functionalization methods can be divided into covalent functionalization, non-covalent functionalization, air plasma functionalization, and low oxide routes. Covalent functionalization is the most commonly adopted method and usually relies on a chemical reaction with a particular functional group. For example, inorganic substances (acids or peroxides), organic acids, isocyanate, phenylethylamine, silane derivatives and polysilazane are common chemicals used to functionalize BN, as shown in Fig. 12a. These chemicals are amphiphilic compounds having a group that is compatible with the filler and another group compatible with the matrix, which improve the compatibility at the filler–matrix interface. Non-covalent functionalization (Fig. 12b) modifies the interface using \( \pi-\pi \) interactions, ionic bonding, and hydrogen bonding. The non-covalent functionalization method is usually easy and reversible because it does not involve the sharing of electrons, but rather involves more dispersed variations of electromagnetic interactions between molecules or within a molecule. The common compounds used to non-covalently functionalize fillers include alkyl amines, alkyl phosphines, and aromatic groups. Air plasma treatment is a relatively simple functionalization method that requires no chemicals. As shown in Fig. 12c, this technique uses air flow to allow oxygen to react with water vapor forming ions such as \([H^+], [O_2^-], \) and \([OH^-]\). These ions are very reactive and will attack the filler surface, which controls the filler wettability and adhesion properties. Another approach is via a low oxidation route where fillers at high temperatures in the presence of air promote the introduction of oxygen groups onto...

For filler loading, the filler loading can be measured either as weight percentage or volume percentage. Due to the much higher thermal conductivity of fillers compared with pure polymers, a higher filler loading leads to better thermal conductivity of the whole composite, but has severe drawbacks both in terms of cost and mechanical properties. Achieving the same great thermal conductive performance but at a lower filler loading would be usually desired in recent research. The filler size and aspect ratio are also important parameters to affect the thermal conductive performance of filler/polymer TIMs. The higher aspect ratio of one-dimensional (such as nanowires and nanofibers) or two-dimensional fillers (such as graphene and nanosheet) may be easier to be in contact with their neighbors and form a thermal conductive path; however, it also introduces anisotropic conductivity. For dispersions, a uniform and sufficient dispersion of fillers in the matrix is of importance to the thermal performance; however, fillers incorporated into a polymer matrix tend to agglomerate, especially during the curing process. For the filler–matrix interfaces, when fillers are dispersed in a polymer matrix, e.g., epoxy resin, many filler/matrix interfaces would be produced. As discussed in Section 2.1, these interfaces could lead to additional scattering over heat carriers resulting in increased TBR. Surface functionalization can improve the compatibility at the filler–matrix interface, and alignment of fillers can reduce the interface areas, which both will be discussed in the following sections.
the filler surface,\textsuperscript{143,144} as shown in Fig. 12d. By treating fillers at high temperature in air, an oxygen-rich amorphous layer was deposited on fillers. These functionalization methods can lead to better affinity with the matrix and minimize the TBR of TIMs.

### 4.3.2 Alignment of fillers

To form a good contact network, aligning fillers can form continuous heat conduction pathways and reduce the TBR. Many studies have sought to improve TIMs through the distribution of aligned fillers in matrix materials.

**Field-driven alignment.** One of the most straightforward approaches to align and form a filler network in a polymer matrix is through the application of an external field, such as vacuum force,\textsuperscript{146,147} shear force,\textsuperscript{98,148,149} magnetic fields,\textsuperscript{150,151} electric fields,\textsuperscript{152–155} electrostatic flocking\textsuperscript{156} and other external fields. For the force field-driven alignment, vacuum-filtration is a route to fabricate composites by assembling the aligned and interconnected fillers in a polymer matrix. During the vacuum filtration process, as shown in Fig. 13a, random fillers would assemble and align driven by the vacuum force. For the magnetic field-driven alignment, its advantage is the remote control of filler alignment and possibility of orienting fillers in arbitrary directions. A sufficiently high magnetic field is required to reduce the van der Waals forces that hold the fillers together. As shown in Fig. 13b, Wong and co-workers\textsuperscript{150} report magnetic alignment of hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) platelets. The magnetically responsive hBN is produced after surface modification by iron oxide, and their orientations can be controlled by applying an external magnetic field during polymer curing. The magnetically aligned hBN composite exhibits an improved thermal conductivity (5.05 W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) at relatively low loading (13.16 vol%).

**Templated alignment.** Templated assembly has been applied to fabricate well-ordered architectures with various types of materials such as polymers,\textsuperscript{157} ceramics, and their composites.\textsuperscript{98,157–159} Fig. 14a illustrates the fabrication route for ice-templated alignment.\textsuperscript{98} An aqueous suspension was frozen by a directional freezing technique. During the freezing process, guided by temperature gradients, ice crystals nucleated and grew into a long-range lamellar pattern along the vertical direction. At the same time, fillers were expelled and assembled to replicate the ice morphology. A filler/polymer composite was then obtained by freeze-drying and subsequent infiltration of a polymer matrix. Yao et al.\textsuperscript{98} prepared polymer composites by first constructing stacked boron nitride (BN) platelets reinforced with reduced graphene oxide (rGO) using the ice-templated approach and then infiltrating them into an epoxy matrix, which exhibit a better affinity with the matrix and minimize the TBR of TIMs. 146 Reproduced with permission from ref. 146, copyright 2014 American Chemical Society. (b) Magnetic field-driven alignment.\textsuperscript{150} Reproduced with permission from ref. 150, copyright 2013 American Chemical Society. (c) Electric field-driven alignment.\textsuperscript{154} Reproduced with permission from ref. 154, copyright 2013 Elsevier Ltd. (d) Electrostatic-driven alignment.\textsuperscript{156} Reproduced with permission from ref. 156, copyright 2013 Wiley-VCH.

**Electrospinning alignment.** Electrospinning is widely used in industry for fiber production and has been extended to assemble fillers including a wide range of polymers and polymer composites to fabricate nonwoven fibers in the form of membranes, coatings and films within submicron diameters.\textsuperscript{123,140,161} Incorporation of nanoscale fillers into electrospun polymeric fibers has been used to increase the functionality of fibers, such as thermal conductivity, mechanical and electrical properties. In a typical electrospinning process, as illustrated in Fig. 14b,\textsuperscript{161} a solution of polymer molecules dissolved in solvent is supplied to the tip of a sharp conductor, such as a syringe needle. A high voltage is applied between the conductor and a grounded collector. Under the influence of the strong electric field, the polymer solution forms a cone (often called a Taylor cone), from which a jet is accelerated towards the grounded collector. This jet is a strong elongational flow, and becomes thinner as it approaches the collector. Due to the very large surface area-to-volume ratio of the jet, the solvent evaporates quickly, leaving a solid fiber that is deposited on the collecting surface.

**Chemical growth alignment.** Another feasible approach is to align nanostructured fillers directly during materials growth. Individual carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have thermal
conductivities near 3000 W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\). Vertically aligned CNT films can have axial thermal conductivities as high as those of metals, with reported values reaching \(\sim 265\) W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\). For example, as shown in Fig. 14c, vertically aligned CNTs have been explored during chemical vapor deposition\(^{162,163}\) to achieve well aligned bundles. Later on, a polymer was infused and aligned interfaces with the axial direction, increasing heat transfer along the CNTs, resulting in promising combinations of thermal and mechanical properties. The axial thermal conductivity of the CNT–polymer composites ranges from 0.46 to 4.87 W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) as the nanotube density increases from 1 vol% to 16.7 vol%.

5. Summary and outlook

Over the past decades, tremendous efforts have been devoted to improving TIMs for electronics thermal management. From a theoretical perspective, recent studies have focused on better understanding the interface energy transport mechanisms and TBRs. Computational approaches to analyze, predict, and optimize TBRs will continue to play an important role in developing TIMs. The future theory work may not only aim at elucidating the fundamental limitations of ideal interfaces, but also enable the rational design over practical interfaces with imperfections, as well as the consideration of multi-physical (thermal, mechanical, electrical, optical) properties depending on applications. From an experimental perspective, measurement techniques have been developed to enable reliable thermal characterizations under varied conditions. Experimental efforts have also been devoted to investigating a large range of materials, including the conventional (e.g., BN, Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)) and carbon-based high thermal conductivity materials (nanotube, graphene/graphite, carbon fibers). Their structural and surface design involving chemistry, physics, and mechanics have been extensively explored to achieve better TIMs. Future opportunities also lie in new building blocks. New semiconductors with ultrahigh thermal conductivity (such as cubic boron arsenide and boron phosphide) are emerging as promising benchmark materials for thermal management. Developing conducting polymers with manufacturing compatibility will lead to significant improvement over conventional matrix materials and new applications. Fundamentally, the understanding of microscopic TBR–structure relationships is essential to guide rational control over nanostructures and interfaces. Therefore, an interdisciplinary approach that successfully combines experiments and theory, from atomistic and mesoscopic to macroscopic scales, is the key to understanding and developing high-performance TIMs.
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