



Cite this: *Soft Matter*, 2020,
16, 5106

Received 20th March 2020,
Accepted 17th May 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0sm00489h

rsc.li/soft-matter-journal

Epoxide and oxetane based liquid crystals for advanced functional materials

Davey C. Hoekstra, ^{ab} Albert P. H. J. Schenning ^{*ab} and Michael G. Debije ^a

Liquid crystalline elastomers (LCEs) and liquid crystalline networks (LCNs) are classes of polymers very suitable for fabricating advanced functional materials. Two main pathways to obtain LCEs and LCNs have gained the most attention in the literature, namely the two-step crosslinking of LC side-chain polymers and the photoinitiated free-radical polymerisation of acrylate LC monomers. These liquid crystal polymers have demonstrated remarkable properties resulting from their anisotropic shapes, being used in soft robotics, responsive surfaces and as photonic materials. In this review, we will show that LCs with cyclic ethers as polymerisable groups can be an attractive alternative to the aforementioned reactive acrylate mesogens. These epoxide and oxetane based reactive mesogens could offer a number of advantages over their acrylate-based counterparts, including oxygen insensitivity, reduced polymerisation shrinkage, improved alignment, lower processing viscosity and potentially extended resistivity. In this review, we summarise the research on these materials from the past 30 years and offer a glimpse into the potential of these cyclic ether mesogens.

^a Laboratory of Stimuli-responsive Functional Materials and Devices, Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Eindhoven University of Technology, Groene Loper 5, 5612 AE Eindhoven, The Netherlands. E-mail: a.p.h.j.schenning@tue.nl

^b Institute for Complex Molecular Systems, Eindhoven University of Technology, Groene Loper 5, 5612 AE Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Introduction

Advanced functional materials are interesting from both scientific and industrial points of view. These materials can have several properties, including, but not limited to, shape memory,^{1,2}



Davey C. Hoekstra

Davey Hoekstra received his Master's degree in Chemical Engineering in 2016 after having studied mechanoluminescent polymers under supervision of Prof. Dr Rint Sijbesma. Afterwards, he joined the group of 'Stimuli-responsive Functional Materials and Devices' at the same University for his PhD studies. He is currently working on advanced functional materials from oxetane liquid crystal monomers under supervision of Prof. Dr Albert Schenning and Dr Michael Debije.



Albert P. H. J. Schenning

Albert Schenning studied chemistry at Radboud University Nijmegen, where he obtained his master's degree in 1992 and his doctorate in 1996. His PhD thesis on supramolecular architectures based on porphyrin and receptor molecules was supervised by Dr Martin Feiters and Prof. Roeland Nolte. Between June and December 1996, Schenning was a post-doctoral fellow in the group of Prof. Bert Meijer at Eindhoven University of Technology (TU/e), working on dendrimers. In 1997, he investigated pi-conjugated oligomers and polymers based on triacetylenes with Prof. François Diederich at the ETH in Zurich. From 1998 until 2003, Schenning was a fellow of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW) at the TU/e, active in the field of supramolecular organization of pi-conjugated systems. In 2003 he was appointed assistant professor, in 2005 associate professor and in 2014 full professor of Stimuli-responsive Functional Materials and Devices at TU/e.



reversible adhesion,³ self-healing,⁴ energy conservation,^{5–7} soft robotics^{8,9} and photonic characteristics.¹⁰

Many organic building blocks can be used to construct advanced functional materials. Liquid crystalline networks (LCNs) and liquid crystalline elastomers (LCEs) are particularly interesting and suitable classes of materials; their fabrication is often straightforward, and a wide variety of LC ordered phases and orientations can be employed, allowing the resulting polymers to be used in a range of applications, including actuators,^{11–13} switching surfaces,¹⁴ sensors,^{15,16} shape memory¹⁷ and anti-counterfeit coatings.¹⁸

LCNs and LCEs can be constructed from a variety of starting materials and polymerisation methods. Over time, however, two distinct approaches have gained the most attention in the literature. In the first, LC side-chain linear polymers are cross-linked in a two-step process.¹⁹ Initially, the material is only slightly crosslinked, after which the mesogenic groups in the polymer chains are aligned by mechanical stretching. The monodomain alignment obtained is then fixed with a second crosslinking step to obtain the final materials. Usually, LC materials prepared in this way have the mechanical properties of elastomers. These LCEs have mainly found applications as actuators and sensors.²⁰

The second approach uses reactive LC monomers consisting of a mesogenic group with one or more polymerisable groups, typically acrylates, attached to the core by a flexible spacer.^{21,22} The low molar mass of these reactive mesogens allows for their alignment in the monomeric state. The aligned monomers are then immobilised by (photo)polymerisation resulting in moderately-to-highly crosslinked LCNs that have been applied as advanced functional materials. Examples include as birefringent films for optical applications,²³ responsive surface topographies,²⁴ energy saving reflecting films²⁵ and soft robotics.^{26–28} Recent efforts have led to the development of less crosslinked LCEs based on reactive mesogens by first forming a linear prepolymer through step-growth polymerisation that then is crosslinked at a later stage.²⁹

In addition to side-chain polymers and acrylate-based reactive mesogens, alternative building blocks for LCE or LCN-based

stimuli responsive materials have been developed. While they may not have attracted as much attention in the literature, LC monomers that contain epoxide or oxetane groups offer potentially intriguing advantages to the classic acrylic monomers. As an example, epoxy monomers can be used in the synthesis of vitrimer-based LCEs, opening the pathway to self-healing, easier processing, soft actuators, with possibilities for recyclability. In free-radical (photo)polymerisation, oxygen acts as a polymerisation inhibitor by reacting with the generated free-radicals, resulting in the need of an inert atmosphere, something that is often difficult to achieve on a large scale. Although a variety of solutions have been industrially employed to prevent this inhibition,³⁰ these solutions are not always suitable for LC systems. Additionally, acrylates suffer from polymerisation shrinkage. In contrast, epoxide and oxetane LC monomers that link through a cationic ring-opening mechanism are insensitive to the presence of oxygen and suffer significantly less from polymerisation shrinkage.³¹ Therefore, cationic ring-opening polymerisation may have significant advantages over free-radical polymerisations of LC monomers. When epoxide LC monomers are used as a step-growth monomer in the production of LC thermosets, they may prove to have interesting physical properties, including better alignment,³² extended lifetimes or resistivity,³³ and lower viscosity during processing.³⁴

In this work, we describe the current catalogue of available reactive cyclic ether LC monomers and, when available, describe their physical characteristics to provide a type of ‘cookbook’ for the researcher interested in this class of materials. We will discuss materials prepared by step growth and cationic polymerisation, respectively. We offer suggested pathways towards future research that will lead to advanced materials with intriguing functional properties.

Advanced functional materials through step-growth polymerisation

LC monomers containing cyclic ethers as polymerisable groups can be cured through step-growth polymerisation, resulting in both highly crosslinked LC thermosets (*i.e.* LCNs) as well as more flexible LCEs.

LCNs from liquid crystalline epoxy resins

Conventional thermosets are polymers that irreversibly harden by curing and have been abundant in industrial applications. In thermoset resins containing cyclic ethers, epoxide-functionalised monomers (*i.e.* epoxy resins) are the most utilised and most studied. The first reports on LC epoxy resins date back to the 1990s and were mainly targeted to improve the mechanical and thermal stabilities of thermosets.^{32–34}

The introduction of LC behaviour in the monomer gives rise to anisotropic properties in the resulting polymer networks. As is the case for all LC systems, the properties of thermosets based on LC epoxy resins are highly dependent on the molecular structure of the mesogenic group. For this reason, LC epoxy resins based on a wide variety of mesogenic units have



Michael G. Debije

Michael G. Debije received a MSc in High Energy Physics from Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa in 1994 and a PhD in Biophysics from the University of Rochester in Rochester, NY, USA in 2000. As an Assistant Professor he is currently responsible for the energy cluster within the ‘Stimuli-responsive Functional Materials and Devices’ (SFD) group at Eindhoven University of Technology (TU/e). His interests include the control of light in urban and horticultural settings using responsive materials.



Table 1 Chemical structures and properties of common LC epoxy monomers


Name	Abbreviation	Chemical structure	T_m^a (°C)	T_{N-I}^b (°C)	Ref.
Biphenyl	BP		155	No LC phase	35–37
Methylstilbene	DOMS		130	115 (monotropic)	36 and 38–41
Phenyl benzoate	PBHQ		113	97 (monotropic)	31, 32, 42 and 43
Binaphthyl	EPBN		240	270	33 and 44
Azomethine	EF3		176	No LC phase	45–47

^a T_m : melting temperature. ^b T_{N-I} : nematic to isotropic transition temperature.

been reported. The most common structures among them are biphenyl (BP),^{35–37} methylstilbene (DOMS),^{36,38–41} phenyl benzoate (PBHQ),^{31,32,42,43} binaphthyl (EPBN)^{33,44} and azomethine (EF3).^{45–47} An overview of these mesogenic epoxides is given in Table 1.

Although it is common to refer to these monomers as LC epoxy resins, on their own they do not necessarily exhibit LC phase behaviour. In fact, most of the common monomers either have no LC phase, or only a monotropic LC phase (Table 1). To obtain a crosslinked LCE, they may be cured with curing agents or hardeners. Diamines are the most popular class of curing agents for epoxy based LCEs. A few examples of common curing agents are shown in Chart 1. Generally, the LC phase of the LCE is formed during the curing reaction. Consequently, to a large extent the conditions during curing determine the final properties of the LCE obtained. As an example, curing

methylstilbene epoxy together with sulphanilamide (SAA) gives a smectic LC thermoset,³⁹ while curing the same monomer with diaminotoluene (DAT, Chart 1) results in a nematic LCE.⁴⁰ The reason for this is the two amines in sulphanilamide have different reactivities, so in the curing process linear chains are initially formed, before the second amine group reacts to give crosslinks, resulting in longer chain formation and a smectic phase.³⁹ In diaminotoluene, the amine groups have similar reactivities, leading to shorter chain lengths and hence a nematic phase in the methylstilbene epoxy-diaminotoluene cured LCE. As another example, a rigid aromatic diamine such as diaminodiphenyl sulphone (DDS) results in a stiffer polymer network, and thus a higher glass transition temperature (T_g), than a more flexible curing agent such as diaminodiphenylmethane (DDM), *trans*-1,4-diaminocyclohexane (*t*-DAC), or even diaminobutane

**Chart 1** Chemical structures of common aromatic diamine curing agents for LCEs.

(DB) (Chart 1).⁴⁸ In summary, the vast number of combinations of LC epoxy monomers and curing agents allows for the development of epoxy-based LCEs with a large variety of thermal, mechanical and LC properties.

Several other factors in the curing reaction play a role in determining the structure of the final network. For example, the temperature at which the curing reaction is performed determines which LC phase is present in the final network: when a mixture of methylstilbene epoxy and diaminotoluene is cured below 180 °C, a nematic phase is observed in the polymer network. However, curing at temperatures above 190 °C leads to an isotropic network.⁴⁰ Another study showed that a mixture of methylstilbene epoxy and diaminodiphenylmethane can be cured from the isotropic melt to a nematic network, and from a nematic monomeric phase to a smectic network.⁴⁸ Hence, not only does the choice of monomer determine the properties of the LC thermosets, but also the conditions at which they are cured. An extensive overview of these temperature and kinetic effects is given in a review article by Carfagna *et al.*⁴⁹

As mentioned earlier, LC epoxy resins were initially developed to improve the properties of conventional epoxy resins. The LC domains in the LC epoxy resins lead to an increase in fracture toughness and resistance to brittle crack propagation.^{50–52} Furthermore, the presence of an LC phase provides a higher resistance to permanent creep deformation.⁵³ Other interesting aspects of LC

epoxy resins include increased thermal stability,^{33,54} increased thermal conductivity^{55,56} and moisture barrier properties.⁵⁷

Epoxide-based LCEs as shape memory polymers

After the development of LC epoxy resins as improvements to classical epoxy resins, researchers progressed to developing soft actuators from these resins. LC epoxy resins have found to be very useful in the fabrication of shape memory polymers (SMPs). SMPs are a class of polymer that can be programmed into a temporary shape through deformation and return to their original (permanent) shape upon exposure to an external stimulus. Multiple classes of SMPs exist, with one-way and two-way SMPs being the most important. A one-way SMP can be programmed in a temporary shape and irreversibly recover to its original shape upon increasing the temperature.⁵⁸ Usually, a phase transition taking place at this temperature triggers the recovery of the permanent shape. Reprogramming of the one-way SMP is required to adopt the temporary shape again (Fig. 1a). Two-way SMPs can reversibly contract upon heating and extend on cooling.⁵⁸ LCEs are particularly suitable for the fabrication of SMPs, as they exhibit a reversible isotropic-LC transition that can be tuned relatively easily.

To increase the stimuli-responsivity of LC epoxy resins by reducing the crosslink density, Li *et al.* have used a combination of biphenyl epoxy and a dicarboxylic acid curing agent, sebacic acid, to produce a smectic main-chain LCE.⁵⁹ The step-growth




Fig. 1 (a) Definitions of one-way, and two-way SMPs. A one-way SMP can irreversibly revert to its permanent shape after a stimulus, after which reprogramming is required. A two-way SMP shows reversible actuation. Reproduced from ref. 58 with permission from Elsevier, copyright 2015. (b) Mechanism of the step-growth polymerisation of a diepoxide monomer and a dicarboxylic acid to obtain LCEs. Reproduced (adapted) from ref. 59 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2015. (c) Two-way shape memory behaviour of a typical diepoxide-dicarboxylic acid LCE (left), a similar LCE with CNTs grafted on the epoxide monomer (middle) and the behaviour of both LCEs under decreasing tensile loadings, revealing a lower threshold for the CNT-epoxy LCE composite. Reproduced from ref. 60 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2015.



polymerisation mechanism is shown in Fig. 1b. In this process, two parallel reactions are taking place. In a first step, the epoxide is ring-opened by the carboxylic acid, giving a linear oligomer with additional hydroxy groups. These hydroxy groups can then, in a second step, react with another carboxylic acid in an esterification reaction, or with an epoxy monomer in an etherification reaction. The authors assumed that the nature of the chemical bonds formed in this second step had a significant influence on the liquid crystallinity and network structure of the LCE. Therefore, by varying the ratio between the biphenyl epoxy monomer and the curing agent sebacic acid, they were able to tune, to a large extent, both the LC transition and thermomechanical properties of the generated LCE. The authors concluded that their approach could help in the practical application of LCEs as two-way SMPs, as the actuation properties can be easily adjusted by varying the stoichiometric ratio between epoxide monomer and dicarboxylic acid curing agent.

Inspired by this work, other researchers have found new ways to tune the thermomechanical response of shape memory materials based on smectic epoxy networks. Lama *et al.* have incorporated carbon nanotubes into a similar smectic main-chain epoxy LCE to tune the actuation properties of the obtained elastomer.⁶⁰ They achieved this by grafting methylstilbene epoxy with multiwalled carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and subsequently curing the grafted epoxy monomer with curing agent sebacic acid, which led to the formation of homogeneous composites. It turned out that the incorporation of multiwalled CNTs led to a significant enhancement of the thermal actuation behaviour of the elastomer alongside a decreased stress threshold required to achieve actuation (Fig. 1c). The authors attributed these effects to the better alignment of the LC domains when nanotubes are present in the elastomer. Hence, it was possible to tune the transition temperatures and stress thresholds required for actuation by varying the amount of multiwalled CNTs dispersed into the LCE. Another publication by the same group studied the effect of varying the aliphatic chain length in the dicarboxylic acid curing agent.⁶¹ By increasing the chain length, the isotropisation temperature (T_i) of the LC domains in the elastomer increased as well. Effectively, this means that the temperature at which actuation will occur can be adjusted. The authors also showed that it is possible to tune the amount of strain actuation in this way. Hence, the shape changing properties can be easily tuned in several ways. However, in all the actuators described in this section, reversible shape memory behaviour was only achieved when a constant load was applied in the actuation direction to revert the polymer to its original shape.⁶¹

Belmonte *et al.* claim that autonomous two-way SMPs can be obtained by preparing a bilayer of an epoxide-based LCE with a glassy thermoset.⁶² In this system, the glassy thermoset was composed of an excess of bisphenol A-based epoxy crosslinker and a tri-functional thiol. This mixture was first cured at a relatively low temperature to retain the excess of epoxy and obtain a sticky intermediate network. A programmed LCN actuator based on biphenyl epoxy and sebacic acid was attached, and the bilayer was heated to 90 °C to further cure the glassy thermoset and connect it to the LCN actuator. The resulting

bilayer was now able to bend to a temporary shape upon heating above the LCN's T_i , and back to its original shape upon cooling due to the stress induced in the glassy thermoset, making this material a true two-way SMP.

Vitrimer LCEs

All the materials we have described so far are thermosets: polymers with high mechanical stability. This mechanical stability, however, comes at a price. The crosslinks in these polymer networks are permanent, making it virtually impossible to remould, reprocess, or recycle them. In 2011, Leibler and co-workers published their pioneering article on vitrimers: polymer networks based on epoxy and carboxylic acid or anhydride monomers, to which they have added a transesterification catalyst.⁶³ This resulted in a crosslinked epoxy thermoset in which transesterification reactions occur at higher temperatures (Fig. 2a). Effectively, this means that the crosslinks in the material become dynamic at elevated temperatures (Fig. 2b). This phenomenon introduces an additional viscoelastic transition temperature, the “topology freezing temperature”, T_v . Above this temperature, the timescale of the transesterification reactions becomes shorter than the timescale of material deformation, which effectively means that the material can flow. Hence, vitrimers have the unique property of the mechanical stability of a classical thermoset at room temperature, yet can be processed or reprocessed at elevated temperatures. Therefore, the invention of vitrimers has opened the way to the development of thermosetting polymers that can be remoulded, repaired and recycled in a similar manner to glasses and metals.⁶⁴

Initially, vitrimers were based on conventional epoxy resins. A few years after their invention, Ji and co-workers realised that the same approach should also be possible for LC epoxy resins, since the earlier approach of curing LC epoxy resins with dicarboxylic acids for applications such as shape memory polymers gives an LCE with ester bonds that are susceptible towards transesterification. In 2014, Pei *et al.* reported the development of liquid crystal elastomers with exchangeable links (xLCEs).⁶⁵ The epoxy LCE network they used consisted of a combination of the biphenyl LC epoxy monomer and curing agent sebacic acid (Fig. 3a). A transesterification catalyst was




Fig. 2 (a) Transesterification reactions in thermosets based on epoxy resins and carboxylic acids. (b) In these thermosets, crosslinks become dynamic at elevated temperature. Figures reproduced from ref. 63 with permission from AAAS, copyright 2011.



added to this monomer mixture, which was subsequently cured. This resulted in a polydomain nematic LCE. Similar to LCEs based on these monomers, the xLCE has a T_g at about 55 °C and an isotropic transition at 100 °C. However, upon further heating, the transesterification catalyst introduced dynamic crosslinks into the xLCE, giving it a T_v of 160 °C. This made the xLCE re-shapeable and re-processable, a feature that had not been seen in LCEs before. The authors demonstrated that they could use the dynamic crosslinks to re-join two pieces of xLCE film by compression moulding. Not only did this work with two pieces of the same xLCE, but also with a conventional epoxy elastomer cured with a diacid. This allowed for the fabrication of bi- or multilayers of elastomers with different properties. The authors also demonstrated that the xLCEs showed

two-way shape memory behaviour under a constant load, and that this behaviour was reversible without any signs of creep (Fig. 3b). In contrast, a non-LC analogue of the xLCE only showed creep upon repeated exposure to high temperatures. Furthermore, a remoulded-xLCE sample showed almost identical thermal actuation behaviour to a virgin sample (Fig. 3c).

A remarkable aspect of xLCEs is that polydomain elastomers that are obtained after curing can be processed into a monodomain alignment, and that even this is reversible.⁶⁵ One of the main challenges with LCEs is obtaining monodomain alignment, which is generally achieved with external fields in LC monomers, or mechanical stretching in a two-step crosslinking process. Both methods, however, have their disadvantages. Alignment using an external field generally only works for thin




Fig. 3 (a) Composition of the xLCE: biphenyl epoxy monomer, curing agent sebacic acid and transesterification catalyst triazabicyclodecene are cured to obtain a polydomain xLCE. (b) Thermal actuation behaviour under constant load of a polydomain xLCE compared to a non-LC analogue containing exchangeable crosslinks. The xLCE shows reversible actuation without any creep, whereas the non-LC analogue only shows repeated creep. (c) Reversible thermal actuation behaviour under constant load of a virgin polydomain xLCE compared to a remoulded sample. Both samples show near-identical actuation behaviour. (d) Programming a polydomain xLCE. From left to right: a polydomain sample (L_0) is heated above T_v under load, giving isotropic sample L_1 which is subsequently cooled under load to $< T_i$ to obtain monodomain alignment (L_2). This xLCE can be actuated between L_0 and L_m by heating above and cooling below T_i . Reprogramming the alignment is possible by heating above T_v again. Figures reproduced from ref. 65 with permission from Springer Nature, copyright 2014.



films. In the two-step crosslinking method, it is difficult to obtain three-dimensional shapes. The xLCEs are a promising solution to these challenges, as the presence of a T_v allows monodomain alignment by stretching a film at a temperature above T_v , shown schematically in Fig. 3d. From left to right: when the polydomain sample is loaded above T_v , the LC domains will become isotropic, and the crosslinks reversible. Subsequent cooling of the sample then results in reforming the smectic LC domains that are now aligned (L_2). Effectively, the sample is now an actuator that will shrink to L_0 upon heating above T_i and expand back to L_m upon cooling. The unique feature of the xLCE is the monodomain alignment can be reprogrammed by again heating to above T_v and loading the sample. Furthermore, the authors showed that xLCEs could be formed into virtually any shape by heating them in a mould. In summary, the development of xLCEs has led to responsive polymers that can be more easily processed than conventional LCEs and can be repaired, reprogrammed, and remoulded.

Since the initial report of xLCEs by Ji and co-workers, researchers have improved on the concept with alternatives for transesterification reactions. Li *et al.* have shown that xLCEs can also be obtained by adding disulphide chemistry into the LCE.⁶⁶ They found it was possible to make use of disulphide–disulphide

exchange reactions to reprocess the LCE. Furthermore, they showed that the material can be fully recycled by adding small thiol compounds to break down the network structure.

Another strategy to improve the functionality of xLCEs is to make nanocomposites. Yang *et al.* showed that by incorporating carbon nanotubes (CNTs) into the xLCE, a mould was no longer required to program or reprogram the shape of the xLCE.⁶⁷ The CNTs made the LCE responsive to light, as illumination resulted in photothermal heating, a concept that has been previously employed in conventional LCEs to achieve actuation⁶⁸ and transesterification in regular epoxy vitrimers.⁶⁹ Here, the authors used infrared (IR) light to heat the elastomer above its T_v and activate the transesterification reactions.⁶⁷ Therefore, a film could be processed into a dynamic 3D shape by stretching it into the desired shape and subsequently illuminating it (Fig. 4a). Again, this process could be repeated many times, as was demonstrated by the repeated reconfiguring of a six-petal flower into more than 20 dynamic 3D structures (Fig. 4b). Furthermore, segments of different chemical compositions could be welded together to obtain even more complex actuators.⁶⁷ This welding principle was employed in another study by Pei *et al.* to increase the number of shapes that can be ‘remembered’ in an SMP.⁷⁰ To this end, vitrimer films consisting of sebacic acid and different




Fig. 4 (a) Programming of a xLCE–CNT composite through generation of heat by shining light whilst keeping the film under load. Reproduced from ref. 67 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2016. (b) Processing and reprocessing of a six-petal flower into various dynamic 3D shapes. Reproduced from ref. 67 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2016. (c) Quadruple shape memory behaviour of a cross consisting of pieces of LCE vitrimers with different chemical compositions that are welded together. Reproduced from ref. 70 with permission from Wiley-VCH, copyright 2016. (d) Partial reprogramming of a welded strip by only twisting the BA part of the film, and the related shape memory behaviour. Reproduced from ref. 70 with permission from Wiley-VCH, copyright 2016. (e) Cutting and reassembling welded strips to obtain new structures. Reproduced from ref. 70 with permission from Wiley-VCH, copyright 2016.



epoxy monomers (bisphenol A, methylstilbene, biphenyl) were prepared. A multi-SMP was then prepared by welding pieces of these films together by hot pressing, and then giving the combined film its temporary shape. As each part had a different transition temperature, they would recover their original shapes at different temperatures. This can, for instance, be applied to engineer a quadruple SMP that can fold from a cross into a cube by strategically placing the different LCE compositions in the cross (Fig. 4c). Furthermore, CNTs can be incorporated in parts of the multi-SMP to make those parts responsive to IR light. The approach of welding pieces of LCE vitrimers with different chemical compositions allows for partial reprogramming of a strip (Fig. 4d) and reuse of strips by cutting and reassembling them into new structures (Fig. 4e).

The possibility of making LCE vitrimers responsive to light of other wavelengths has also been investigated. In conventional LCEs and LCNs, chromophores are commonly used to convert light energy into mechanical work. A classic example is the azobenzene moiety that changes its geometry from *trans* to *cis* upon illumination with ultraviolet (UV) light. This effect has been used extensively to achieve shape changes in LCEs and

LCNs, such as bending, twisting and oscillating.⁷⁰ Li *et al.* incorporated azobenzene into an LCE vitrimer by curing an azobenzene epoxy monomer with sebacic acid and adding a transesterification catalyst (Fig. 5a).⁷¹ This led to the formation of a multifunctional LCE that had the shape memory behaviour of an LCER, the (re)processability of an LCE vitrimer and the light-responsivity of an azobenzene LCN. The authors have demonstrated this by programming a cross-shaped sample into a cube (Fig. 5b). Heating of this cube above T_g led to unfolding, whilst further heating above T_i led to refolding. The same shape memory behaviour could be achieved by illuminating the cube with UV light. The isomerisation of the azobenzene moieties heated the cube photothermally to unfold above T_g and further heating to above T_i caused refolding (Fig. 5b). The authors also showed that the material could be reprocessed or repaired by heating above T_v , and that this could also be locally achieved by UV illumination. Furthermore, in a follow-up publication, they showed that the material could also be prepared with exchangeable disulfide bonds as the dynamic crosslinks.⁷²

Lu *et al.* have developed the system further by synthesising a light responsive LCE vitrimer from the azobenzene epoxy




Fig. 5 (a) Synthesis of a photoresponsive LCE vitrimer by step-growth polymerisation of an azobenzene epoxy monomer (AE) and a dicarboxylic acid, and with adding a transesterification catalyst. Reproduced (adapted) from ref. 71 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2016. (b) Programming of a photoresponsive LCE vitrimer cross into a cube, and its thermal and UV induced folding and unfolding behaviour. Reproduced from ref. 71 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2016. (c) Light-driven forward motion of an azobenzene LCE vitrimer 'vehicle' with a spring-like 'motor'. Reproduced from ref. 73 with permission from Wiley-VCH, copyright 2017. (d) 'Polymer crane' constructed from a gold nanorod – azobenzene LCE vitrimer nanocomposite that is capable of grasping, lifting, lowering, and releasing objects upon illumination with UV and NIR light. Reproduced from ref. 74 with permission from Wiley-VCH, copyright 2018.



monomer and dodecanedioic acid, which is a slightly longer dicarboxylic acid than sebacic acid.⁷³ They showed the UV-induced contraction force generated in the LCE vitrimer was higher when the film strain was higher, due to enhanced orientation of the azobenzene mesogens. They also demonstrated the contraction force generated originates from both mechanical action by *trans-cis* isomerisation of the azobenzene mesogens, as well as a photothermal effect of heating the film above a transition temperature. By making bilayers of the LCE vitrimer with transparent polypropylene, they were able to construct wheels that could move away from or towards a light source *via* a photoinduced shifting of its centre of mass. Taking this a step further by twisting the bilayer into a spring and attaching it to wheels of polyurethane foam (Fig. 5c), it was possible to construct a 'vehicle' that is light driven by UV-induced winding/unwinding of the spring.⁷³

To further increase the potential of this system, the same group doped the azobenzene LCE vitrimer with gold nanorods to expand responsivity to near-infrared light (NIR).⁷⁴ This resulted in a nanocomposite capable of two light-triggered molecular changes: a transition from the LC to isotropic state by absorption of NIR and subsequent heat release by the gold nanorods, as well as photoisomerisation of the azobenzene moieties. The authors demonstrated the full potential of this system by creating a polymer 'crane' capable of performing 'light-controlled sophisticated, concerted robot-like, macroscopic motions to produce useful work' (Fig. 5d).⁷⁴ To this end, they attached a bilayer film acting as a gripper upon UV illumination to a telescopic arm capable of contracting upon NIR illumination. The resulting polymer crane could grasp and lift objects, move them, and then lower and release the cargo, demonstrating the potential applications of LCE actuators in soft robots.

Stability of LCE vitrimers

Dynamic crosslinks in LCE thermosets have been shown to have many advantages in the processing, reprocessing, and repairing of LCE thermosets. However, they also limit the stability and durability of these materials, as operation of the functional material above its T_v will activate the dynamic crosslinks and remove the monodomain alignment. To improve the stability of the LCE vitrimer, a balance must be found for the T_v : it should be sufficiently high to operate the functional material at high temperatures, yet not too high to allow for easy (re)processability. One strategy is to optimise the amount of transesterification catalyst in the material. Chen *et al.* have published a systematic study where they reduced the amount of catalyst and monitored the effects on processability and actuation stability of an LCE vitrimer actuator.⁷⁵ They found that a lower catalyst concentration indeed led to a higher T_v and thus a higher actuation stability. Processing and reprogramming of the actuator, however, remained possible. Another strategy pursued by researchers is to make a re-processable actuator without incorporation of any transesterification catalyst. Yang *et al.* have demonstrated that, unlike the common perception that a catalyst is required to trigger the transesterification reactions, they still occur in LC epoxy thermosets that do not contain a catalyst, albeit at a much lower rate.⁷⁶ These LC epoxy


thermosets could be processed, reprogrammed and welded, similarly to the xLCEs described earlier, with the difference that these processes take longer in the LC epoxy thermosets. However, due to the slower transesterification reactions at high temperatures, actuators made from these LC epoxy thermosets have a significantly higher stability than the xLCEs.

Advanced functional materials through cationic (photo)polymerisation of LC epoxides and oxetanes

So far, we have mainly discussed the step-growth polymerisation of LC epoxy resins with curing agents. The second major pathway towards LCEs and LCNs is the photoinitiated polymerisation of LC monomers. Low molar mass reactive mesogens can be photopolymerised into a crosslinked LCE or LCN. The advantage of this approach is that the temperature at which the photopolymerisation is initiated can be, within limits, freely chosen. Therefore, it is relatively easy to bring the reactive mesogens in the desired phase (*e.g.* smectic, nematic) by controlling the temperature and subsequently photopolymerising it. Furthermore, the mesogens can be aligned (prior to polymerisation) by a variety of alignment techniques, such as surface alignment, shear force, electric fields, or magnetic fields. This allows for a wide variety of possible alignments (*e.g.* planar, homeotropic) and orientations (*i.e.* homogeneous, splay, twisted). A possible disadvantage, though, is the use of photopolymerisation is limited to relatively thin films.

The development of acrylate reactive mesogens at Philips Research in the 1980s opened the way to moderately to highly crosslinked LCNs that have proven useful in many practical applications.²³ Use of multifunctional monomers will result in a high crosslink density, which can be reduced by adding mono-functional monomers. The relatively straightforward synthetic methods to obtain reactive mesogens have led to a large molecular toolbox of different monomers that can be used to tune the properties of the resulting LCN. They do, however, suffer from the aforementioned drawbacks of oxygen inhibition and polymerisation shrinkage. Cationic (photo)polymerisation of cyclic ether LC monomers such as epoxides and oxetanes can be used to solve these issues. These monomers can polymerise through a ring-opening mechanism that is shown in Scheme 1. Upon illumination with UV light, the photoinitiator (typically arylsulfonium or iodonium salts) will decompose, generating a radical cation (Scheme 1a). This radical cation will abstract a hydrogen atom from its surroundings. Subsequently the counter anion (*e.g.* PF_6^-) can attack this hydrogen atom to obtain a strong acid (*e.g.* HPF_6). For this reason, cationic photoinitiators are sometimes referred to as photoacid generators. Only after generation of the acid can the actual polymerisation mechanism start, shown in Scheme 1b. One of the lone pairs on a monomer can attack the acid, turning the monomer into a cation that is susceptible to being attacked by the next monomer, which happens many times in the propagation phase to obtain the polymer chain. Eventually, this growing chain will be terminated by, for instance, an alcohol.








Fig. 6 (a) Chemical structures of oxetane LC monomers. (b) Patterned birefringent-isotropic retarder for transfective displays based on oxetane LCs. Reproduced from ref. 78 with permission from Wiley-VCH, copyright 2005. (c) Patterned birefringent-isotropic retarder for transfective displays based on acrylate LCs. Reproduced from ref. 79 with permission from AIP Publishing, copyright 2006. (d) Patterned Ch-LC-isotropic coatings based on Ch-LC oxetane LCs. The colour can be tuned by changing the amount of the chiral oxetane monomer. Reproduced from ref. 80 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2019. (e) Changing the viewing of a Ch-LC coating changes the observed colour. Reproduced from ref. 80 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2019.

phonon scattering, which substantially enhanced the thermal conductivity.

Combining free-radical and cationic ring-opening polymerisations

Free-radical and cationic polymerisations are orthogonal mechanisms. This means that, under the right conditions, both polymerisation mechanisms can be performed independently, either simultaneously or sequentially. As an example, this could be done by using a radical and a cationic photoinitiator that have different activation wavelengths. Combining cationic and free-radical polymerisations could prove useful in producing chiral nematic LC systems. Generally, the reflection band of a Ch-LC is quite narrow, limiting the effectiveness of a Ch-LC coating designed to reflect IR light for energy saving. To solve this, researchers have set out to broaden the reflection band of Ch-LCs by photopolymerisation-induced diffusion.^{83,84}

By combining diacrylate with monoacrylate LCs, and illuminating at a low UV intensity during polymerisation, the highly reactive diacrylates diffuse towards the top of the film, leading to a gradient in the concentration of the chiral LC through the film thickness, and hence to a pitch gradient and a broadened reflection band. Zhang *et al.* have shown that the same principle can be applied to a Ch-LC mixture of epoxide and acrylate LCs.⁸⁵ In their approach, a free-radical initiator was activated first by illumination with 365 nm UV light, inducing diffusion of the acrylate LCs to the top of the film, which induced a pitch gradient in a similar way to the diacrylate-monoacrylate approach (Fig. 7a). In a second polymerisation step, the cationic photoinitiator was activated by 254 nm UV light, freezing the pitch distribution in the Ch-LC, and resulting in broadening of the reflection band (Fig. 7b). Since the difference in reactivity

between the acrylate and epoxide LC in the free-radical polymerisation step is essentially infinite, obtaining a pitch gradient is potentially more effective than when a mixture of mono- and diacrylate LCs is used.

Another area where cationic ring-opening polymerisations could be of importance is additive manufacturing. Since epoxy and oxetane LC monomers can be polymerised in oxygen-rich environments, obtaining complex form factors or easier fabrication protocols become possible where oxygen inhibition of acrylate monomers poses an issue. Recently, McCracken *et al.* published a study where they used epoxy LCs with a mesogenic structure analogous to common acrylate reactive mesogens to obtain LCE micro actuators.⁸⁶ By using two-photon direct laser writing, they were able to prepare microstructured LCEs from aligned epoxy LC monomers in an oxygen-rich environment. An extensive study of the thermal- and mechanical properties of bulk films of these epoxy monomers revealed that the thermal response of epoxy-based LCEs is complementary to acrylate-based LCEs: the epoxies actuated at higher temperatures than the acrylates. This, in combination with the orthogonality of the polymerisation mechanisms of the epoxy and acrylate LCs, allowed them to prepare hybrid microactuators that were effectively composites of epoxy and acrylate LCEs. To this end, they first prepared the microstructure by using two-photon direct laser writing of the epoxy LCE, where the oxygen-insensitivity of the cationic polymerisation aided substantially in obtaining complex structures at a high resolution. This microstructure was then filled with the acrylate LC monomer, which was subsequently polymerised through a free-radical polymerisation. The resulting hybrid microactuator could be actuated at a wide temperature range, owing to the thermal properties of both components.






Fig. 7 (a) Mechanism of photoinduced diffusion by sequential polymerisation of acrylate and epoxide LC monomers to obtain a broadband reflecting Ch-LC film. (b) Transmission spectra of the Ch-LC film before and after the sequential polymerisation process. Reproduced from ref. 85 with permission from Taylor & Francis Ltd, copyright 2011.

Conclusions and future perspectives

Advanced functional materials based on cyclic ether liquid crystals have great potential of being applied in fields such as soft actuators, self-healing, and optical materials. Liquid crystalline epoxy resins that polymerise through step-growth were initially developed in the 1990s to improve the properties of conventional epoxy resins. They were found to exhibit an increased fracture toughness and resistance to creep, higher thermal stability, increased thermal conductivity and better moisture barrier properties. Later, LC epoxy resins have been applied in smart, responsive materials by curing them with dicarboxylic acids, resulting in LCEs that can act as actuators and shape memory materials. By making nanocomposites of these LCEs

with carbon nanotubes, for instance, may further increase the responsivity and functionality.

A major breakthrough in the development of advanced functional materials from LC epoxy resins came with the development of LCE vitrimers. These materials have the mechanical and thermal stability of conventional LCEs, but the dynamic crosslinking at higher temperatures allows for easily processability, re-processability and repairability. Moreover, the alignment of the LC domains can be easily reprogrammed, making the resulting actuators very versatile in use. In a world where people will have to move to a circular economy, recyclable network polymers will become increasingly important. LCE vitrimers are therefore very suitable candidates as durable materials in soft robotics and other micromechanical systems.

In addition to curing LC epoxy resins with hardeners such as diamines and dicarboxylic acids in a step-growth manner, cyclic ether LC monomers such as epoxies and oxetanes can also be cured through cationic ring-opening (photo)polymerisation. Benefits of this approach include insensitivity to oxygen, allowing for polymerisation in air, and reduced polymerisation shrinkage. In particular, for applications such as coatings and additive manufacturing, where relatively thin layers need to be polymerised, the possibility of photopolymerisation in air is a major benefit over free-radical polymerisation of LC acrylates. Moreover, free-radical polymerisation and cationic ring-opening polymerisation are orthogonal mechanisms. Combining acrylate and cyclic ether LC monomers and independently addressing their polymerisation gives rise to new smart materials with unique properties. We expect that this will continue to promote the development of novel smart materials in the near future.

With this review, we show the potential of LC monomers with cyclic ethers as polymerisable groups in the fabrication of advanced functional materials. It is foreseeable that these materials will continue to expand at a rapid pace.

Abbreviations

LC	Liquid crystalline
LCN	Liquid crystalline network
LCE	Liquid crystalline elastomer
T_g	Glass transition temperature
T_i	Isotropisation temperature
T_v	Topology freezing temperature
SMP	Shape memory polymer
CNT	Carbon nanotube
xLCE	Liquid crystalline elastomer with exchangeable crosslinks
UV	Ultraviolet
IR	Infrared
NIR	Near-infrared
Ch-LC	Chiral nematic liquid crystal

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.



Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the inspiring discussions with and contributions of all current and former colleagues. This work was financially supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (TOP-PUNT 718.016.003).

References

- 1 A. Lendlein and S. Kelch, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 2034–2057.
- 2 A. Lendlein and O. E. C. Gould, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2019, **4**, 116–133.
- 3 L. F. Boesel, C. Cremer, E. Arzt and A. Del Campo, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 2125–2137.
- 4 A. J. R. Amaral and G. Pasparakis, *Polym. Chem.*, 2017, **8**, 6464–6484.
- 5 R. Klajn, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 148–184.
- 6 J. Kim, G. K. Ong, Y. Wang, G. LeBlanc, T. E. Williams, T. M. Mattox, B. A. Helms and D. J. Milliron, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 5574–5579.
- 7 A. Ghosh, B. Norton and A. Duffy, *Appl. Energy*, 2015, **159**, 362–369.
- 8 J. Shintake, V. Cacucciolo, D. Floreano and H. Shea, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1707035.
- 9 S. Nocentini, C. Parmeggiani, D. Martella and D. S. Wiersma, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2018, **6**, 1800207.
- 10 J. E. Stumpel, D. J. Broer and A. P. H. J. Schenning, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 15839–15848.
- 11 M. Yamada, M. Kondo, J. I. Mamiya, Y. Yu, M. Kinoshita, C. J. Barrett and T. Ikeda, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4986–4988.
- 12 A. H. Gelebart, D. Jan Mulder, M. Varga, A. Konya, G. Vantomme, E. W. Meijer, R. L. B. Selinger and D. J. Broer, *Nature*, 2017, **546**, 632–636.
- 13 M. Pilz da Cunha, Y. Foelen, R. J. H. van Raak, J. N. Murphy, T. A. P. Engels, M. G. Debije and A. P. H. J. Schenning, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2019, **7**, 1801643.
- 14 D. Liu, C. W. M. Bastiaansen, J. M. J. den Toonder and D. J. Broer, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 8005–8012.
- 15 D. J. Mulder, A. P. H. J. Schenning and C. W. M. Bastiaansen, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 6695–6705.
- 16 E. P. A. Van Heeswijk, A. J. J. Kragt, N. Grossiord and A. P. H. J. Schenning, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 2880–2891.
- 17 K. Nickmans, D. A. C. van der Heijden and A. P. H. J. Schenning, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2019, **7**, 1900592.
- 18 M. Moirangthem and A. P. H. J. Schenning, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 4168–4172.
- 19 J. Küpfer and H. Finkelmann, *Die Makromol. Chem., Rapid Commun.*, 1991, **12**, 717–726.
- 20 C. Ohm, M. Brehmer and R. Zentel, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 3366–3387.
- 21 D. Liu and D. J. Broer, *Langmuir*, 2014, **30**, 13499–13509.
- 22 D. L. Thomsen, P. Keller, J. Naciri, R. Pink, H. Jeon, D. Shenoy and B. R. Ratna, *Macromolecules*, 2001, **34**, 5868–5875.
- 23 J. Lub and D. J. Broer, in *Cross-Linked Liquid Crystalline Systems: From Rigid Polymer Networks to Elastomers*, ed. D. J. Broer, G. P. Crawford and S. Zumer, CRC Press, Boca Raton, United States, 1st edn, 2011, pp. 4–47.
- 24 F. L. L. Visschers, M. Hendrikx, Y. Zhan and D. Liu, *Soft Matter*, 2018, **14**, 4898–4912.
- 25 H. Khandelwal, A. P. H. J. Schenning and M. G. Debije, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2017, **7**, 1602209.
- 26 O. M. Wani, H. Zeng and A. Priimagi, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 1–7.
- 27 M. Pilz da Cunha, S. Ambergen, M. G. Debije, E. F. G. A. Homburg, J. M. J. den Toonder and A. P. H. J. Schenning, *Adv. Sci.*, 2020, **7**, 1902842.
- 28 A. Buguin, M. H. Li, P. Silberzan, B. Ladoux and P. Keller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 1088–1089.
- 29 T. H. Ware, Z. P. Perry, C. M. Middleton, S. T. Iacono and T. J. White, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2015, **4**, 942–946.
- 30 S. C. Ligon, B. Husár, H. Wutzl, R. Holman and R. Liska, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 577–589.
- 31 D. J. Broer, J. Lub and G. N. Mol, *Macromolecules*, 1993, **26**, 1244–1247.
- 32 S. Jahromi, J. Lub and G. N. Mol, *Polymer*, 1994, **35**, 622–629.
- 33 C. Carfagna, E. Amendola and M. Giamberini, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 1994, **195**, 2307–2315.
- 34 M. Giamberini, E. Amendola and C. Carfagna, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Sci. Technol., Sect. A*, 1995, **266**, 9–22.
- 35 W. A. Su, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 1993, **31**, 3251–3256.
- 36 W. Mormann and M. Brocher, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 1996, **197**, 1841–1851.
- 37 Y. L. Jun, J. Jyongsik, S. H. Seung, M. H. Soon and U. K. Kwang, *Polymer*, 1998, **39**, 6121–6126.
- 38 G. G. Barclay, C. K. Ober, K. I. Papathomas and D. W. Wang, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 1992, **30**, 1831–1843.
- 39 Q. Lin, A. F. Yee, J. D. Earls, R. E. Hefner and H. J. Sue, *Polymer*, 1994, **35**, 2679–2682.
- 40 E. Amendola, C. Carfagna, M. Giamberini and G. Pisaniello, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 1995, **196**, 1577–1591.
- 41 E. Amendola, C. Carfagna, M. Giamberini and L. Komitov, *Liq. Cryst.*, 1996, **21**, 317–325.
- 42 W. Mormann and M. Bröcher, *Polymer*, 1998, **39**, 4905–4910.
- 43 J. Y. Lee and J. Jang, *Polymer*, 2006, **47**, 3036–3042.
- 44 J. Y. Lee, J. Jang, S. S. Hwang, S. M. Hong and K. U. Kim, *Polymer*, 1998, **39**, 6121–6126.
- 45 W. Mormann, M. Brochec, P. Schwarz, U. Siegen and M. Chemie, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 1997, **198**, 3615–3624.
- 46 E. J. Choi, H. K. Ahn, J. K. Lee and J. Il Jin, *Polymer*, 2000, **41**, 7617–7625.
- 47 Z. Gao, Y. Yu, Y. Xu and S. Li, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2007, **105**, 1861–1868.
- 48 P. Xie and R. Zhang, in *Thermotropic Liquid Crystal Polymers*, ed. T.-S. Chung, Technomic Publishing Company, Lancaster, USA, 2001, pp. 281–302.
- 49 C. Carfagna, E. Amendola and M. Giamberini, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 1997, **22**, 1607–1647.
- 50 H. J. Sue, J. D. Earls and R. E. Hefner, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 1997, **32**, 4031–4037.
- 51 C. Ortiz, R. Kim, E. Rodighiero, C. K. Ober and E. J. Kramer, *Macromolecules*, 1998, **31**, 4074–4088.



- 52 C. Ortiz, L. Belenky, C. K. Ober and E. J. Kramer, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2000, **35**, 2079–2086.
- 53 Y. Li and M. R. Kessler, *Polymer*, 2014, **55**, 2021–2027.
- 54 W. F. A. Su, K. C. Chen and S. Y. Tseng, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2000, **78**, 446–451.
- 55 M. Akatsuka and Y. Takezawa, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2003, **89**, 2464–2467.
- 56 M. Harada, M. Ochi, M. Tobita, T. Kimura, T. Ishigaki, N. Shimoyama and H. Aoki, *J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys.*, 2003, **41**, 1739–1743.
- 57 L. Nie, A. Burgess and A. Ryan, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **214**, 225–235.
- 58 M. D. Hager, S. Bode, C. Weber and U. S. Schubert, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2015, **49–50**, 3–33.
- 59 Y. Li, C. Pruitt, O. Rios, L. Wei, M. Rock, J. K. Keum, A. G. McDonald and M. R. Kessler, *Macromolecules*, 2015, **48**, 2864–2874.
- 60 G. C. Lama, P. Cerruti, M. Lavorgna, C. Carfagna, V. Ambrogio and G. Gentile, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 24417–24426.
- 61 A. Belmonte, G. C. Lama, G. Gentile, X. Fernández-Francos, S. De La Flor, P. Cerruti and V. Ambrogio, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, **121**, 22403–22414.
- 62 A. Belmonte, G. C. Lama, G. Gentile, P. Cerruti, V. Ambrogio, X. Fernández-Francos and S. De la Flor, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2017, **97**, 241–252.
- 63 D. Montarnal, M. Capelot, F. Tournilhac and L. Leibler, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 965–968.
- 64 W. Denissen, J. M. Winne and F. E. Du Prez, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 30–38.
- 65 Z. Pei, Y. Yang, Q. Chen, E. M. Terentjev, Y. Wei and Y. Ji, *Nat. Mater.*, 2014, **13**, 36–41.
- 66 Y. Li, Y. Zhang, O. Rios, J. K. Keum and M. R. Kessler, *Soft Matter*, 2017, **13**, 5021–5027.
- 67 Y. Yang, Z. Pei, Z. Li, Y. Wei and Y. Ji, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 2118–2121.
- 68 R. R. Kohlmeier and J. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 9234–9237.
- 69 Y. Yang, Z. Pei, X. Zhang, L. Tao, Y. Wei and Y. Ji, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 3486–3492.
- 70 Z. Pei, Y. Yang, Q. Chen, Y. Wei and Y. Ji, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 156–160.
- 71 Y. Li, O. Rios, J. K. Keum, J. Chen and M. R. Kessler, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 15750–15757.
- 72 Y. Li, Y. Zhang, O. Rios, J. K. Keum and M. R. Kessler, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 37248–37254.
- 73 X. Lu, S. Guo, X. Tong, H. Xia and Y. Zhao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1606467.
- 74 X. Lu, H. Zhang, G. Fei, B. Yu, X. Tong, H. Xia and Y. Zhao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1706597.
- 75 Q. Chen, Y. Li, Y. Yang, Y. Xu, X. Qian, Y. Wei and Y. Ji, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 3025–3030.
- 76 Y. Yang, E. M. Terentjev, Y. Zhang, Q. Chen, Y. Zhao, Y. Wei and Y. Ji, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 17474–17479.
- 77 J. Lub, V. Recaj, L. Puig, P. Forcén and C. Luengo, *Liq. Cryst.*, 2004, **31**, 1627–1637.
- 78 B. M. I. Van Der Zande, S. J. Roosendaal, C. Doornkamp, J. Steenbakkers and J. Lub, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2006, **16**, 791–798.
- 79 B. M. I. van der Zande, J. Steenbakkers, J. Lub, C. M. Leewis and D. J. Broer, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2005, **97**, 123519.
- 80 D. C. Hoekstra, K. Nickmans, J. Lub, M. G. Debije and A. P. H. J. Schenning, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 7423–7430.
- 81 J. A. Lv, W. Wang, J. Xu, T. Ikeda and Y. Yu, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2014, **35**, 1266–1272.
- 82 A. M. Islam, H. Lim, N. H. You, S. Ahn, M. Goh, J. R. Hahn, H. Yeo and S. G. Jang, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2018, **7**, 1180–1185.
- 83 D. J. Broer, G. N. Mol, J. A. M. M. Van Haaren and J. Lub, *Adv. Mater.*, 1999, **11**, 573–578.
- 84 H. Khandelwal, R. C. G. M. Loonen, J. L. M. Hensen, A. P. H. J. Schenning and M. G. Debije, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 14622–14627.
- 85 L. Zhang, K. Li, W. Hu, H. Cao, Z. Cheng, W. He, J. Xiao and H. Yang, *Liq. Cryst.*, 2011, **38**, 673–677.
- 86 J. M. McCracken, V. P. Tondiglia, A. D. Augustine, N. P. Godman, B. R. Donovan, B. N. Bagnall, H. E. Fowler, C. M. Baxter, V. Matavulj, J. D. Berrigan and T. J. White, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **29**, 1903761.

