

# Research performed at the Institut Laue-Langevin and at the University Grenoble-Alpes, France.

Swelling, dewetting and breakup in thin polymer films for cultural heritage

Dewetting holes appear in the varnish film after exposure to a water/solvent mixture as evidenced by AFM.



See Philipp Gutfreund, Yahya Rharbi *et al., Soft Matter,* 2020, **16**, 1485.

rsc.li/soft-matter-journal



Registered charity number: 207890

# Soft Matter

# PAPER

Check for updates

**Cite this**: *Soft Matter*, 2020, **16**, 1485

Received 2nd October 2019, Accepted 25th November 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9sm01976f

rsc.li/soft-matter-journal

# 1 Introduction

# Swelling, dewetting and breakup in thin polymer films for cultural heritage

Amélie Castel,<sup>abc</sup> Philipp Gutfreund, 10 \* Bernard Cabane<sup>d</sup> and Yahya Rharbi\*<sup>bc</sup>

The removal of ultrathin amorphous polymer films in contact with nonsolvent/solvent binary mixtures is addressed by means of neutron reflectometry and atomic force microscopy. The high resolution of neutron scattering makes it possible to resolve the distribution profiles of heavy water and benzyl alcohol inside Laropal<sup>®</sup>A81, often employed as a protective varnish layer for Culture Heritage in restoration of easel paintings. The swelling kinetics and distribution profiles were recorded as a function of time and increasing benzyl alcohol concentration in water. The varnish film swells by penetration of the good solvent. At higher concentrations water-filled cavities appear inside the varnish and grow with time. Contrary to homogeneous dissolution dewetting is observed at late stages of exposure to the liquid which leads to the Breakup of the film. The high resolution measurements are compared to bulk behaviour characterized by the ternary phase diagram and the Flory–Huggins interaction parameters are calculated and used to predict the swelling and solvent partition in the films. Distinct differences of the thin film to bulk behaviour are found. The expectations made previously for the behaviour of solvent/ non-solvent mixtures on the removal of thin layers in the restoration of easel paintings should be revised in view of surface interactions.

Thin films of glassy polymers or varnishes are frequently used to protect a substrate from physical or chemical agents. They can be deposited through a variety of techniques, usually involving a liquid to solid transition of a solution of the polymer in a volatile solvent.<sup>1</sup> It appears that the removal of such thin films has not been studied as extensively as their deposition, although there exists a detailed review of polymer dissolution.<sup>2</sup> Yet there are many fields where a better control of polymer dissolution and removal is highly desirable: industrial applications<sup>3</sup> such as the fabrication of microchips, the recycling of plastics and the manufacturing of polymer membranes, and also biomedical applications such as the tissue regeneration and drug delivery.<sup>2</sup>

A particularly demanding field is the restoration of works of art,<sup>4–7</sup> particularly old paintings that have been altered by exposure to ambient conditions (urban air, humidity) over very long periods of time. Indeed, the varnish layers that ancient painters have applied originally to protect the paintings may deteriorate in many ways: capture of airborne particles,<sup>8</sup>

formation of micro-cracks or change in color and acidification<sup>4</sup> just to name a few. The classic restoration treatment consists in removing most of the old varnish layers and replacing them with a fresh varnish, which will restore the protective and aesthetic functions. The removal of the old varnish is achieved through the addition of solvents, which enter the solid varnish and transform it into a liquid polymer solution. A condition for safe removal of the old varnish is that it should have no effect on the pictorial layer. This raises a question regarding the depth of penetration of the solvent that has been applied to the film. The quantity of solvent applied by the restorer is adjusted to liquefy a certain number of varnish layers, but not all of them; however, controlling the quantity of solvent does not imply that the penetration depth is controlled.<sup>6,7,9</sup> A safe varnish removal would have full respect for the integrity of the innermost varnish layer, which means that the solvent would never reach it. At present, they are few studies that give indications of whether or not this condition is met.4,10-12

In recent years, restorers have employed two approaches to this problem: On the one hand, they have tried to decrease the chemical potential of the solvent, and thus make it less aggressive to the inner layers of the painting. Accordingly, the restorer may dilute the solvent with a bad-solvent for the varnish<sup>13</sup> and, by trial and error, determine which compositions and volumes of solvent mixtures appear to be harmless to the pictorial layer at the time of observation.<sup>14,15</sup> On the other hand, some restorers are using delivery systems for the solvent,



**View Article Online** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Institut Laue Langevin, Grenoble, France. E-mail: gutfreund@ill.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Univ.Grenoble Alpes - LRP, F-38041 Grenoble, France.

E-mail: yahya.rharbi@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> CNRS, LRP, F-38041 Grenoble, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> ESPCI ParisTech, Paris, France

#### Paper

such as hydrogels, sponges or non-woven fabrics that contain the solvent. Several studies propose to use a solvent/water system to reduce the toxicity of the process to the operators.<sup>16</sup> However, the effects of a solvent/nonsolvent mixture may not be extrapolated from those of a solvent alone, especially when the nonsolvent is water. In order to understand and model these phenomena, it is necessary to use a technique that makes it possible to measure the depth of solvent and nonsolvent penetration in the films with a high spatial resolution. Ultimately, it should be possible to construct a model that takes into account the relative locations of all molecules in the films and their interactions, and makes it possible to predict the spatial and temporal features of the dissolution process. For very thick films, the imaging of the film during dissolution through Fourier Transform Infra-Red spectroscopy (FTIR) has produced spectacular maps showing the locations of macromolecules and of the solvent and nonsolvent molecules in the films. These maps have revealed different patterns of dissolution depending on the solvent/nonsolvent composition;<sup>17</sup> they also show that dissolution/condensation processes have a large effect on the dissolution rates.<sup>17,18</sup> However, the experiments by FTIR imaging have a limited spatial resolution and, in addition, the films (~150 nm) were sandwiched between two salt plates, which is far from the coating procedure employed in varnishes. Usually varnish films are deposited through classical coatings techniques.

Neutron reflectometry (NR) is a technique that yields both structural and chemical information thanks to targeted hydrogen/deuterium (H/D) labeling. It is an ideal tool to observe the solvent penetration in real time and has already proven this in similar experiments.<sup>5,19,20</sup> It is a non-destructive and non-invasive technique for the investigation of thin polymer films. It is a powerful technique for the study of liquid/solid and liquid/liquid interfaces with a resolution of a few Ångströms. For aqueous systems the replacement of water with heavy water can yield an excellent contrast. In addition, NR makes it possible to record the kinetics of the transformations that take place between the initial and the final state of the films. To complement the NR results optical and atomic force microscopy (AFM) is also used in this study together with thermodynamic calculations based on the bulk ternary phase diagram. Note that while NR gives a statistically averaged concentration depth profile over a large area AFM gives a local snap-shot of the surface morphology. Therefore NR is essential for a complete view of the system.

What we would like to obtain from the NR is the concentration profiles of solvent and nonsolvent, and a detailed picture of the solid–liquid transition in the varnish layer as a function of solvent uptake. This transition may be quite different from the solid–liquid transitions of non-polymeric materials. Unlike non-polymeric materials, polymers do not dissolve instantaneously and the classic dissolution process of a polymer involves transport processes, namely solvent diffusion and disentanglement of the polymer chains.<sup>1</sup> The first stage is penetration of a few solvent molecules that plasticize the polymer. As a result, the macromolecules can cross the polymer/solvent interface. If the molecular weight of the polymer is high enough, they will form a gel layer at the surface of the film. The next stage is the disentanglement of the macromolecules and their escape from the gel layer into the solvent. But there are also cases where the polymer cracks before any gel layer is formed. At present, we do not know which is the dissolution process (*i.e.* with or without a gel layer) of thin and ultrathin varnish films.

Motivated by new emerging technologies the physics of swelling and dissolution of thin polymer films has been the subject of several literature reports.<sup>2,17,18</sup> It involves the synergy between several interconnected phenomena: solvent/polymer interaction, polymer dynamics, molecular and macromolecular diffusion in glassy material and the physics of the glass transition in the presence of solvent moieties. This problem becomes even more intriguing in ultrathin films because of the dimensional reduction, the confinement and the surface/polymer interactions. Because there are several indications that confinement in ultrathin films induces deviation of several polymer properties (glass transition, polymer dynamics, thermal expansion) one might question how confinement affects swelling and dissolution. The behavior of the varnish in dissolution largely depends on the polymer mobility inside the films. Initially the varnish polymers are in an amorphous glassy state where the glassy dynamic inhibit chain mobility and the amorphous structure leads to a good transparency. The glassy state is characterized by a glass transition temperature  $(T_g)$ . The intrusion of small solvent molecules with a low  $T_{g}$  will eventually reduce the  $T_{g}$  of the system below ambient temperature. When this happens the varnish polymer will cross to a gel or liquid state with substantial chain mobility. In the vicinity of non-adsorbing interfaces, the glass transition temperature is lower than in the bulk and therefore ultrathin polymer films may cross the solidliquid transition earlier than thick films.<sup>21-24</sup> There remains some controversy on the variation of  $T_{\rm g}$  as a function of distance to the interface in ultrathin polymer films.<sup>25-27</sup> There may also be an effect of the van der Waals attractions between the film and its substrate.<sup>28-30</sup> If a binary solvent is used the situation becomes even more diverse because of three-body interactions in such confined systems.

Here we present a quantitative characterization of the swelling and dissolution mechanisms of supported ultrathin films of the amorphous glassy polymer by solvent/nonsolvent mixtures. This work is carried out on a glassy amorphous varnish Laropal<sup>®</sup>A81 (LA) to address the scientific aspect of varnish removal from easel paintings which is one of the most destructive treatments in the field of art restoration. We use time-resolved neutron reflectometry to probe the swelling kinetics of supported thin LA films (70-200 nm) on silicon substrates by mixtures of benzyl alcohol (BA) and deuterated water (W). The quantitative analysis of the NR spectra reveals two very distinct swelling regimes at low and intermediate solvent concentrations: at low solvent concentrations the varnish is penetrated by the good solvent (BA) and exhibits only swelling perpendicular to the interface followed by a vertical swelling at higher BA concentrations. At these stages no water penetration is visible. The delay in vertical swelling is explained by swelling asymmetry induced by polymer adsorption to the substrate by van der Waals (VdW) forces.

At higher BA concentrations instead of a continued swelling and dissolution a dewetting type process starts leading to the appearance of holes in the polymer film filled with water. These holes grow with time and/or BA concentration and eventually the bulk of the film departs into the liquid phase leaving only some islands or droplets on the substrate.

# 2 Experimental

# 2.1 Materials

The Laropal<sup>®</sup>A81 polymer (LA) from  $(BASF)^{31}$  is a synthetic thermoplastic polymer synthesized from urea, isobutyraldehyde, and formaldehyde. Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis of this polymer yield  $M_w = 3640$ , and  $M_n = 1266$  Da.<sup>32</sup> Its molecular structure was detailed in ref. 33 The  $T_g$  measurement was carried out on a Mettler-Toledo DSC823 apparatus in Nitrogen gas environment in the heating mode. This yields a  $T_g$  value of 47 °C. The LA density is 1.1 g cm<sup>-3</sup> at 20 °C. Its reflective index is 1.503.<sup>34,35</sup> As solvents, benzyl alcohol (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.8%), deuterated water (Eurisotop ref D214L, 99.9% D) and doubly deionized water were used in these experiments.

### 2.2 Thin film preparation and characterization

Laropal<sup>®</sup>A81 thin films were prepared by spin-coating using Delta 6 RC TT (SÜSS MicroTec Lithography GmbH).<sup>36</sup> The substrates (Sil'Tronix France)  $8 \times 5 \times 1$  cm<sup>3</sup> and  $5 \times 5 \times 1$  cm<sup>3</sup> single crystal silicon blocks (Si(100)) were rigorously cleaned before spin-coating subsequently sonicate in water plus one drop on Decon 90 mixture, clean water, ethanol, acetone, chloroform and ending with clean water during 15 min for each products and dried at room temperature. This resulted in a surface energy of pprox 26 mJ m<sup>-2</sup>.<sup>37</sup> The LA/toluene solutions at 30 g L<sup>-1</sup> and 80 g L<sup>-1</sup> were spin-coated at 2250 rpm for 44 seconds at room temperature (21 °C).<sup>38</sup> Film thicknesses were measured using a Beaglehole Picometer Light Ellipsometer with a monochromatic laser beam. Note that the final film thickness prepared from the same solution on a 2 inch wafer of 0.7 mm thickness was not the same as the one prepared on a 10 mm thick silicon block. Therefore the size of the substrate clearly has an influence on the spin-coated polymer film. In the following only results obtained on 10 mm thick Si blocks are shown. The film thickness was found to be uniform (<10% thickness variation over the whole film), this yields two set of films with thicknesses  $\sim$ 70 nm and  $\sim$ 230 nm. Given the commercial origin of the polymer the sample preparation is delicate. A large number of samples were prepared in advance of neutrons experiments and only samples that showed a homogeneous dry layer in water were used in this study.

#### 2.3 Neutron reflectometry

In NR a collimated neutron beam (incident beam) is directed at the Si/LA/W interfaces through the Si block, which is practically transparent for neutrons, with an incident angle  $\theta$  and the reflectivity profile of the reflected beam at an exit angle  $\theta_f = \theta$  equal



Fig. 1 Sketch of the specular reflection geometry at the water/polymer/Si substrate system. Note that the reflection angles are exaggerated for illustration, in a real experiment the neutron beam enters and leaves the silicon substrate from the sides rather than the bottom.

to the incident angle is measured as a function of the momentum change perpendicular to the polymer film surface (see Fig. 1). As can be seen in the figure the difference in momentum normal to the surface before  $(k_i)$  and after  $(k_f)$  neutron reflection can be defined:

$$q_z = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \times \sin(\theta). \tag{1}$$

A range of  $q_z$  values can be obtained either by varying the neutron wavelength  $\lambda$  (time-of-flight (ToF) method) or by varying the incident angle  $\theta$  at constant wavelength (monochromatic method). NR experiments were performed on FIGARO at the Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL), Grenoble, France, and on N-Rex<sup>+</sup> at the Forschungs-Neutronenquelle Heinz Maier-Leibnitz (FR Garching, Germany. On the ToF reflectometer FIGARO<sup>39</sup> with horizontal sample geometry, a wavelength band between 2 Å and 20 Å was used with a relative wavelength resolution of 2.1% (FWHM). The two dimensional multitube detector allows the measurement of specular and off-specular reflectivity simultaneously. The detector has a size of  $25 \times 48$  cm<sup>2</sup> and a resolution of 2.2  $\times$  4.8 mm<sup>2</sup> (FWHM) at a distance of 2.8 m from the sample. Two reflection angles were used to cover the full q-range: 0.622 and 2.622 degrees. The collimation slits were set to ensure a constant relative angular resolution of  $\Delta\theta/\theta = 2\%$  and the footprint on the sample was  $40 \times 40 \text{ mm}^2$ . The raw data was converted to absolute reflectivities as a function of momentum transfer by using the data reduction software COSMOS.40 N-REX+<sup>41</sup> at FRM2 is an angle dispersive fixed wavelength (4.4 Å, resolution 3%) reflectometer with horizontal sample geometry. A 20  $\times$  20 cm<sup>2</sup> position sensitive detector was used allowing for specular and off-specular reflectivity measurements although only the specular data was analyzed here.

LA films on silicon blocks were first measured in air and then in D<sub>2</sub>O. Subsequently, the LA films were measured in solutions of D<sub>2</sub>O containing an increasing BA concentration  $\phi_{\text{BA-W}}$  (0%, 0.05%, 0.1%, 0.15%, 0.2%, 0.25%, 0.3%, 0.35%, 0.4% and 0.5%). All NR samples were measured at room temperature (21 °C). Time resolved NR spectra were integrated over 5 min and the measurement repeated several times between 1 h and 12 h in total.

**2.3.1** Analysis of specular reflectivity. The measured NR data is subsequently compared to simulated NR curves calculated by an optical matrix formalism from a slab model<sup>42</sup> and

**Table 1** Scattering length densities of the materials used in this study. For LA and D<sub>2</sub>O the range of measured values during different experiments is given. In case of BA, silicon and silicon dioxide the literature values are given assuming the chemical composition to be  $C_7H_8O$ , Si and SiO<sub>2</sub> with densities of 1.02 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, 2.33 g cm<sup>-3</sup> and 2.2 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, respectively

Material	Bulk SLD $[10^{-6} \text{ \AA}^{-2}]$
D <sub>2</sub> O	6.1-6.25
BA	1.298 (literature value)
Silicon	2.07 (literature value)
Silicon dioxide	3.47 (literature value)
Laropal <sup>®</sup> A81	0.9 $\pm$ 0.1

the goodness of the fit is calculated. This least-squares fitting procedure is repeated until a convergence is found by using MOTOFIT.<sup>43</sup> The model used here to fit the NR curves consists of an infinitely thick single crystal silicon slab covered by a thin layer of silicon dioxide, which thickness varied between 1 nm and 2 nm among the samples, and a layer of swollen LA on top capped by an infinite layer of D<sub>2</sub>O/BA. The fitting model uses several fitting parameters, the BA and D2O concentration profiles in LA, the thickness and the roughness of each layer. Several models can be chosen to describe the solvent profiles within the film: constant concentration, a linear, adsorbed or brush model.<sup>44,45</sup> In the first stage the constant profile model was used to quantify the average film thickness and concentrations and the interface roughness. Each of the layers was fitted by allowing its thickness and roughness to change until convergence was found. The SLDs of silicon dioxide and silicon were fixed at the corresponding literature values reported in Table 1 and the SLDs of the swollen LA and D<sub>2</sub>O/BA phases were fitted. The thicknesses and the roughness of all layers were fitted as well. The silicon substrate and silicon dioxide were found to be atomically smooth (between 0.2 nm and 0.5 nm) and therefore are not discussed further.

From the combination of the fitted film thicknesses and SLD values, the volume fractions of BA ( $\phi_{\text{BA/LA}}$ ) and D<sub>2</sub>O ( $\phi_{\text{W/LA}}$ ) are calculated assuming mass conservation of the polymer (eqn (4)). This assumption is obviously applicable only before film dissolution or breaking.

Starting from 0.3% of BA in water a single polymer layer model was not giving satisfactory fits to the NR data anymore. For higher concentrations the LA layer was split into three sublayers with individual SLDs, roughness and thickness. In these cases the mass conservation of LA was not assumed anymore, but instead it was assumed that the polymer thickness swelling is solely due to BA uptake (no thickness swelling due to water).

The total vertical swelling ratios  $\phi_{\text{total}}$  is calculated using the swollen and the non swollen film thicknesses:

$$\phi_{\text{total}} = h/h_0, \tag{2}$$

h being the swollen film thickness and  $h_0$  the initial dry thickness.

**2.3.2 Off-specular neutron reflectivity.** As the neutron reflectivity spectra were recorded using 2D detectors the off specular neutron reflectivity (OSS) is also accessible.<sup>46</sup> The OSS intensity corresponds to the intensity scattered at a condition

$$q_x = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (\cos \theta_{\rm f} - \cos \theta). \tag{3}$$

This allows one to determine SLD variations parallel to the interface but due to the geometry the momentum transfers are typically two orders of magnitude smaller than in specular reflectometry and therefore the spatial resolution is much lower probing micrometer sized structures. The width of the reflected beam was also extracted from the COSMOS data reduction software in order to monitor any broadening of the specular reflected beam coming from *e.g.* buckling or wrinkling<sup>47</sup> of the layer on a length scale larger than the neutron coherence length parallel to the interface (on the order of 10  $\mu$ m).<sup>48</sup>

## 2.4 Ternary phase diagram

The LA/BA/W ternary phase diagram was measured using turbidity measurements at room temperature. LA/BA mixtures with different concentrations were prepared by dissolving LA pellets in BA solution between 10% and 80% LA under rigorous agitation for more than 48 h. Hydrogenated water was added drop-wise to LA/BA solutions in a 15 mL clear Vial (2 cm in diameter and 7 cm in height) and the mixture was agitated and then left to rest for several hours. The turbidity of the solution is monitored for each step until turbidity appears. Because of the high viscosity above 55% LA only samples below 50% were considered in this study. The quantification of the second single phase in the water rich corner of the ternary phase diagram was carried out by dissolving aliquots of LA powder in BA/water solutions at 0.5% and 0.1% at room temperature. The limit of the binodal curve was taken as the solubility limit of LA in the BA/water.

## 2.5 Viscosity measurement

The simulation of the ternary phase diagram requires knowing the LA/BA Flory–Huggins (FH) interaction parameter  $\chi_{LA-BA}$ which is calculated using the concentration dependence of the intrinsic viscosity and the method proposed in ref. 49. Viscosity of diluted LA in BA solution (0.1%, 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%, 0.8% and 1% LA) were measured in an Ubbelohde capillarity viscosimeter (no. 538-20) at room temperature. The flow time is measured automatically with a resolution of 10 ms. The kinematic viscosity is deduced from the measured passage time following this formula  $\nu = K(t - \delta)$  with K = 0.1 and  $\delta = 0.12$ .

#### 2.6 Optical microscopy observations

After immersion in 0.3% and 0.5% BA, ultrathin films was observed by optical microscopy with the Microscope Olympus BX61 at several magnifications ( $10 \times$ ,  $20 \times$ ,  $50 \times$  and  $100 \times$ ) and its associated software.

#### 2.7 Atomic force microscopy (AFM)

Similar to NR experiment, LA films of 100 nm were spin coated on  $5 \times 5$  cm Si block and subsequently immersed in 0.3% BA in D<sub>2</sub>O during 19 hours and finally dried by a nitrogen stream just before the measurement. Then, the surface of this thin film was investigated in air by a Veeco Dimension 3100 operated in tapping mode.

# **3** Results

### 3.1 Effect of thermal annealing

Ultrathin polymer films were deposited by spin-coating onto silicon single crystals. These films were then annealed at 114 °C for 30 min. Optical microscopy observation shows that the films do not de-wet from the silicon substrates during annealing, despite the fact that they were in the fluid state, and regardless of their thickness, in the range between 50-1500 nm and therefore one can consider the thin LA films to be in thermodynamic equilibrium in air. Fig. 2 shows the NR data of a spin-coated thin film before and after annealing at 116 °C for 30 min. No apparent dewetting<sup>50</sup> was noticed corroborating the optical microscopy observation. On the other hand the quantitative analysis of NR spectra for films between 70 and 232 nm reveals 14-16% reduction of the film thickness of upon annealing. This could either be due to evaporation of entrapped solvent or due to a collapse of the out-of equilibrium structure induced by spincoating. However, mass density differences due to different chain conformations are unlikely to produce a 15% difference. In fact, NR has shown that toluene retention in spin-coated amorphous polymers depends on both molecular structure and film thickness. For example, toluene retention in freshly spin-coated films was found to be few percent (<3%) in polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) and almost nothing in polystyrene (PS).<sup>51</sup> The high toluene retention in LA films (14-16%) compared to PMMA and PS, suggests a low toluene diffusion in LA. Indeed, making thick LA films by evaporation from toluene solution at room temperature was found to take more than a year, comforting the high toluene retention observed in the NR experiment. In the following all reflectivity experiments are carried out on pre-annealed films.

#### 3.2 Swelling in pure water

To understand the role of water in the swelling and dissolution of LA films, the reflectivity was first measured on films



Fig. 2 Neutron reflectivity from a polymer film of LA in air before and after annealing (114  $^{\circ}$ C/30 min). The modelling of the data (solid line) shows a reduction of film thickness from initially 232 nm to 200 nm.



Fig. 3 Neutron reflectivity from a 200 nm polymer film of LA immersed in  $D_2O$ .

immersed in pure D<sub>2</sub>O for a period ranging from 10 min to 12 h (Fig. 3). The analysis of the NR spectra shows that, upon immersion in D<sub>2</sub>O, the fitted film thickness does not increase, within the detection limit of NR experiment, which in this case is about 0.5% or 4 Å for a total film thickness of 70 nm. Moreover, the width of the reflected beam remained unchanged pointing to the absence of buckling, which again corroborates the observed absence of water swelling. The absence of any significant swelling after 12 h of immersion raises the question of whether this is an equilibrium or a kinetic property, resulting from slow diffusion of D<sub>2</sub>O in the vitreous polymer. By taking a typical value of the diffusion coefficient of small molecules in a glassy polymer  $(10^{-12} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1})$ and calculating the time necessary to reach equilibrium, a characteristic equilibrium time of 10 ms is obtained. This time is many orders of magnitude shorter than experimental times scales (5 min). Accordingly, the low water absorption by ultrathin films of LA must be due to unfavorable interactions between LA and water.

### 3.3 Swelling in binary W/BA mixture

In contrast to pure water, the features of the NR spectra of films immersed in W/BA solutions point to a significant swelling, which is clearly enhanced with increasing  $\phi_{BA/W}$ . A quantitative analysis of these spectra yields two distinct structural features of the swollen films: (a) Vertical distribution profiles of all three species in the direction perpendicular to the interface, which is examined by the specular component of the NR spectra. And (b) in-plane structure, parallel to the substrate, which is extracted from the full scattering pattern. The kinetic changes of these structural features are deduced from the time evolution of the NR spectra. From Fig. 4, the relative thickness change  $h/h_0$  shows four swelling regimes depending on  $\phi_{BA/W}$ : onset of swelling at low BA concentration (below 0.1% BA), intermediate regime (0.1–0.25% BA), high concentration (0.25–0.5% BA) and critical conditions (above 0.5% BA).

One can raise the question about the detection limit of BA and  $D_2O$  from the NR analysis and the concentration profiles of these solvents within the films. The simulation of the NR spectra of the swollen film requires the simultaneous determination of BA and  $D_2O$  concentration profiles, the LA film



**Fig. 4** The dependence of the total thickness swelling ratio  $h/h_0$  and the SLD ratio (SLD/SLD<sub>0</sub>) of a polymer film of LA in contact with aqueous solutions of BA in D<sub>2</sub>O at different  $\phi_{\text{BA/W}}$  as observed by neutron reflectometry using a one-layer model for interpretation of NR results.

thickness and the roughness of the Si and D<sub>2</sub>O interfaces. Several shapes of the BA and D<sub>2</sub>O profiles can be considered: constant, linear, adsorbed or brush.44,45 As can be seen in Table 1 the SLD contrast between heavy water and all other components is significantly higher than between BA and the polymer. This will dictate the sensitivity of the measurements mainly towards the distribution of D<sub>2</sub>O in this system. This can be readily seen in Fig. 5, where a typical NR spectrum of a 70 nm LA film in 0.2% BA in D<sub>2</sub>O (circles) is simulated using various distribution profiles. Clearly visible are the oscillations in the reflectivity profile coming from the total thickness of the polymer film. This curve can only be fitted by molecularly smooth LA/Si (0.5 nm) and LA/D<sub>2</sub>O (0.2 nm) interfaces as can be seen by the blue line in Fig. 5. Even slight deviations from these values lead to considerably worse fits to the data, which point out to the high sensitivity to the D<sub>2</sub>O/LA surface roughness.

The BA distribution profile is not finely resolved because of its low SLD. This can be seen from the black line corresponding to a BA profile roughness of 5 nm, which is practically indistinguishable from the bottom blue line corresponding to a sharp BA interface. Therefore the estimated resolution concerning the concentration profile roughness of BA can be estimated to be around 10 nm. In addition to the "Gaussian" interface roughness a linear gradient in SLD spanning over the whole LA layer could be fitted to the reflectivity curves without worsening the fit quality. This profile corresponds to a 10% D<sub>2</sub>O concentration difference between the two interfaces of the polymer layer. However, a completely flat SLD profile fits the data equally good for BA concentrations below 0.3% and therefore a constant profile for both BA and D<sub>2</sub>O is used for the fits and the swollen thickness and the average concentrations are calculated. The second remark is whether the NR spectra can distinguish between a diffuse profile of water in the film or a (corrugated) rough film. Specular reflectometry cannot distinguish these two cases provided that the normal density profiles are the same.<sup>52</sup> On the other hand, if the characteristic length of the roughness is on the micrometer scale, off-specular scattering should be observed.<sup>46</sup>

**3.3.1 Onset of swelling.** The fitted film thickness shows only a slight increase, by at most 0.1% within the first regime  $(\phi_{BA/W} < 0.1\%)$  which is practically within the detection limit of NR. This infers that the extent of vertical swelling is very limited for low  $\phi_{BA/W}$ . The SLD is almost unchanged in this regime within the detection limit which infers that most of the detected swelling can safely be attributed to BA. The roughness at the polymer liquid interface stays molecularly smooth (less than 0.3 nm). The OSS intensity is very low pointing towards very little inhomogeneous water inclusions inside the layer. The width of the reflected beam on the detector shown in Fig. 6, however, shows a slight increase even at 0.05% BA concentration pointing towards roughening of the interface on a length scale larger than the neutron coherence length ( $\approx 10 \ \mu m$ ). This could



**Fig. 5** Reflectivity multiplied by  $q_z^4$  of a 70 nm LA film in contact with a solution of 0.2% BA in D<sub>2</sub>O (circles). The two solid lines are simulated reflectivities for a very sharp D<sub>2</sub>O profile extending only 0.2 nm into the polymer, once with an evenly sharp BA profile (blue curve) and once with a rough BA profile extending 5 nm into the varnish (black line). The dotted red line shows the simulation assuming the D<sub>2</sub>O profile extending 1 nm into LA. The dotted-broken green line corresponds to a 0.5 nm thick water layer between the silicon substrate and the polymer.



**Fig. 6** Width of the reflected beam on the detector as a function of solvent concentration in water. The points gathered at 0.5% BA correspond to the reflected beam width at several annealing times.

be due to buckling or wrinkling of the liquid/polymer interface due to in-plane swelling of the thin film as observed for swollen gel films with a gradual crosslinking density.<sup>47</sup>

**3.3.2** Swelling at intermediate solvent concentration. Upon gradually increasing  $\phi_{BA/W}$ , a prompt change in the vertical swelling behavior takes place close to 0.1% BA (Fig. 4). This can be clearly deduced from the prompt change of the  $h/h_0$  dependence on  $\phi_{BA/W}$ . This swelling ratio was obtained within the first NR time frame, which is 5 min. This is expected since the estimated Fickian diffusion time of small molecules in a glassy thin films is less than 10 ms. The partial swelling in this regime can be attributed to BA solubilisation (see Fig. 13) because if water did penetrate the film, it would cause an increase in SLD that would be easily detected because of the high scattering contrast between D<sub>2</sub>O and the non-deuterated materials.

Since the films do swell in presence of D<sub>2</sub>O/BA mixtures, one may wonder what are the partial distribution profiles of these two solvents in ultrathin films, particularly because of the presence of two interfaces: silicon crystal/polymer and polymer/ aqueous solution. A possible cause of a gradient in the volume fraction of solvent in the film could be a Fickian diffusion process that would not have reached a steady state<sup>2</sup> or else a stratification of the equilibrium structure by the proximity of interfaces.<sup>19</sup> As discussed above, the diffusion of small molecules is expected to reach a steady state within the first 10 ms and if any BA concentration gradient higher than 10 nm were present it would show up in the SLD profile. Furthermore, the increase in the reflected beam width (Fig. 6) suggests an enhancement of film buckling which points to an increase in the in-plane swelling along with the vertical swelling. The careful analysis of offspecular data shows no increased intensity in this regime pointing towards the absence of significant in-plane water inhomogeneities throughout the film.

**3.3.3** Swelling at high BA solvent concentrations (between 0.3% and 0.5%). Above  $\phi_{BA/W} = 0.3\%$ , the vertical swelling deduced from film thickness continues to increase with increasing  $\phi_{BA/W}$ . However, the particularity of this regime is evidenced by the fast increase of SLD which could be interpreted as D<sub>2</sub>O invasion of the film (Fig. 4). Indeed, while the total swelling follows a similar trend as in the previous regime, the SLD rises abruptly, suggesting that the D<sub>2</sub>O is no longer excluded from the LA film for these compositions. The in-plane swelling seems to follow the same trend as in the previous regime, indicating a continued swelling by BA in the plane of the film as in the previous regime. The OSS intensity, on the other hand, increases significantly starting from  $\phi_{BA/W} = 0.3\%$ . Its appearance is not instantaneous, though, contrary to the swelling by BA. As can be seen in Fig. 8 the OSS intensity increases slowly on an hour time scale.

**3.3.4 Critical BA condition.** Above  $\phi_{BA/W} = 0.004$ , the equilibrated SLD significantly increases by more than 70% while the total layer thickness only slightly increases by about 10% (Fig. 4). Of course due to the high scattering length of D<sub>2</sub>O its influence on the increase in SLD is exaggerated but still the observed SLD increase in this regime cannot be explained by simple vertical swelling of the film by D<sub>2</sub>O and BA, but has to be due to a significant increase in D<sub>2</sub>O volume fraction, either on



**Fig. 7** Time evolution of the SLD of a LA film in contact with  $\phi_{\text{BA/W}} = 0.005$  mixtures as observed by NR (up-pointing blue triangles). The SLD is deduced from fitting the time resolved NR spectra to the three polymer layer model (see Fig. 10). The film was first immersed in 0.003 BA/D<sub>2</sub>O prior to the experiment. The broken line is guide to the eye.

the expense of LA (breaking up of the layer) or by a decrease of molecular volume of the components (intercalation). At the same time a single polymer layer model does not fit the measured NR curves to a satisfactory level anymore. Clearly the thin film structure is significantly altered at these concentrations. This is evidenced also by a very slow thickness and SLD growth which was still not equilibrated after two hours (see Fig. 7).

Moreover, this kinetic development is accompanied by an increased OSS scattering intensity close to the total reflection, which is known as the Yoneda peak.<sup>53</sup> This is an indication of enhanced concentration inhomogeneities of heavy water in the film or the adding of heavy water into existing inhomogeneities (see Fig. 8).

Looking on the buckling, on the other hand, one observes no significant changes of the reflected beam width on the detector in this regime of swelling. In Fig. 6, the points at 0.5% BA are all overlapping within experimental error, showing that there is no



Fig. 8 Normalized Yoneda peak intensity as a function of time for the two samples at  $\phi_{BA/W} = 0.005$  ( $\bullet$ ) and 0.003 ( $\bigcirc$ ) calculated by integrating the off-specular peak intensity.



**Fig. 9** (a) Top of an ultrathin film at equilibrium state after immersion in 0.3% BA in water observed by Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM). Without taking into account the white halos around the holes, the size of the biggest objects are 2.3  $\mu$ m  $\pm$  0.03  $\mu$ m in diameter and holes cover 15.976% of the area. (b) Vertical density profile of the AFM image. (c) Horizontal cut along the white line shown in (a).

evolution of the surface buckling during this swelling process. This corroborates the finding that the solvent invasion in this regime is fundamentally different from the swelling at low BA concentrations.

To elucidate this stage of the film swelling optical and atomic force microscopy was performed on the same films in air after immersion for several days in the respective liquid mixtures. Typical micrographs can be seen in Fig. 9. The formation of holes with rims around is clearly visible, typical for the case of dewetting.

Inspired from the vertical density profiles obtained from AFM an adapted stratified SLD model was used to fit NR curves at 0.5% BA. In this case the polymer layer was split into three sublayers as can be seen in Fig. 10.

In accordance with AFM the NR fits reveal a quasi water free wetting layer of 24 nm on top of the silicon followed by the main part of the LA film perforated by water filled holes and topped by a rim extending up to 30 nm in height for the chosen annealing time in NR. Note that the samples measured by AFM were annealed significantly longer, where the rim height extends up to 100 nm. Interestingly the water free wetting layer stays constant around 24 nm for all annealing times.

The last concentration tested was 0.7% of BA. At this concentration, there is a radical rupture of the film. The NR result shows no residual film thickness, only a very rough layer with about 5% volume fraction LA, probably some fragments (islands). Optical microscopy shows some residual droplets on the surface with very low surface coverage.

# 4 Discussion

#### 4.1 Does water absorb in LA?

The combination of NR and optical as well as atomic force microscopy suggests that there is no significant water absorption into LA regardless the abundance of water surrounding the ultrathin film.

In order to estimate the effect of chemical potentials of the system BA/W/LA a ternary phase diagram was established and the three Florry–Huggins (FH) interaction parameters ( $\chi_{W/BA}$ ,  $\chi_{LA/BA}$  and  $\chi_{LA/W}$ ) were calculated. The bulk ternary phase



**Fig. 10** Top: NR curves multiplied by  $q_z^{-4}$  of a pure D<sub>2</sub>O/LA interface (orange closed squares) and a LA layer immersed in 0.5% of BA (green open squares). Bottom: The corresponding SLD profile for 0.5% BA.

diagram was inferred from turbidity measurements and consists of two single-phase regions and is thus most likely to be of type II (Fig. 11). The first region is located close to the LA/BA side. The second single-phase region is located near the W corner at



Fig. 11 Ternary BA/W/LA phase diagram of water (left) in benzyl alcohol (right) and Laropal<sup>®</sup>A81 (top) mixtures from turbidity measurements on bulk systems ( $\bullet$ ) and from NR experiments on ultra thin films ( $\blacksquare$ ). The binodal line from turbidity is obtained by dropwise adding water to a solution of LA/BA until turbidity traces appear. The binodal line from NR experiments is estimated by immersing 70 nm in water/BA solutions and measuring the partial fractions of BA and D<sub>2</sub>O in LA. The experiments are carried out at room temperature. The experimental binodal curve is fitted to the FH model using the calculated  $\chi_{W/BA}$  and  $\chi_{LA/BA}$  and  $\chi_{LA/W}$  as the fitting parameters (-) for the LA rich phase and (---) for the LA poor phase (lower left corner).

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

W/BA < 0.96 and contains almost no LA polymer. For example the binodal line is located below  $\phi_{\text{LA/W}} = 5 \times 10^{-6}$  for  $\phi_{\text{BA/W}} = 0.005$ . All samples that have been made with compositions outside the single phase regions show the coexistence of these 2 phases in equilibrium.

The  $\chi_{\text{LA/BA}}$  in the limit of low LA concentrations is calculated following the method described in ref. 55 using the intrinsic viscosity (eqn (7) in the appendix). The viscosity at the  $\theta$ condition was estimated from eqn (14) by using a range of  $K\theta = 4.8 \times 10^{-2}$ - $7.2 \times 10^{-2}$  from ref. 54 of amorphous PS and PMMA in  $\theta$  solvents and an exponent  $\alpha = 0.5$ . In order to estimate *k* one can use the measured intrinsic viscosity in conjunction with using  $\alpha = 0.7$  for a good solvent. Using these methods one can estimate  $\chi_{\text{LA/BA}}$  to range from -0.05 to 0.17. At high LA concentrations a  $\chi_{\text{LA/BA}} = -0.5$  is found to be more compatible with the observed results.

 $\chi_{W/BA}$ , and  $\chi_{LA/W}$  are deduced from fitting the binary and ternary phase diagrams using the FH model eqn (5) and  $(6)^{49,54-59}$ shown in the appendix. Following the methods described in ref. 55, the binary line is computed by estimating the tie lines as the equality of the chemical potential between the BA rich phase in the right side of the phase diagram and the water rich phase in the left lower corner of the phase diagram (Fig. 11). The minimum least square method is used to minimize the chemical potential between two coexisting phases using the method described in ref. 55. The concentration dependence of  $\chi_{W/BA}$  is calculated using the binary phase diagram of water/BA providing the measured solubility limits of BA in water of 0.1 and 0.96. An estimation of  $\chi_{LA/W}$  of 4–5 in the vicinity of LA rich corner is deduced from the water solubility limit of LA of 0.001 (from NR). The  $\chi_{\text{LA/W}}$  for  $\phi_{\text{LA/BA}} < 0.5$  is estimated by fitting the binodal curve of the ternary phase diagram (ullet) in the Fig. 11)

to the FH model and plotted vs.  $\frac{\phi_{LA}}{\phi_{LA} + \phi_{BA}}$  (Fig. 12).  $\chi_{LA/BA}$  is



**Fig. 12** Estimated LA/W FH parameter  $\chi_{LA/W}$  by fitting the ternary phase diagram of Fig. 11 to the FH model as a function of  $\phi = \frac{\phi_{LA}}{\phi_{LA} + \phi_{BA}}$  (black circles). The values of  $\chi_{W/BA}$  are estimated from fitting the water/BA binary system to the FH model and  $\chi_{LA/BA}$  calculated from viscosity measurements. The black line is a guide to the eye.

found to increase with increasing LA concentration from 2.6 to above 3 for  $\chi_{\text{LA/BA}} < 0.5$  and if extrapolated to high LA concentration using a polynomial fit one can recover  $\chi_{W/LA}$  of around 5 (Fig. 12), which is compatible to the estimated value using water solubility.

One might ask the question whether the LA film in the NR experiments should be swollen or completely dissolved in the W/BA mixture. Considering a 70 nm LA film immersed in a 1 mm thick liquid cell, a complete polymer dissolution would result in  $\phi_{LA} = 10^{-4}$  which is much higher than the boundary line  $5 \times 10^{-6}$ . This rejects the possibility of complete dissolution of the LA film in the conditions of the NR experiments and comforts the observed results of film swelling with BA and water.

In summary the estimations of chemical potentials and interaction parameters of bulk ternary phase diagrams corroborate the insignificant water absorption in thin LA films.

**4.1.1 Homogeneous swelling at low BA concentrations?** In the case of simple swelling of a single LA film by water and BA uptake, the excess fractions of BA and water,  $\phi_{BA/LA}$  and  $\phi_{W/LA}$ , can be calculated from (eqn (4)) if one assumes LA mass conservation:

$$1 + \phi_{W/LA} + \phi_{BA/LA} = \frac{h}{h_0}$$
$$\frac{h}{h_0} \times SLD = \phi_{W/LA} \times SLD_{D_2O} + \phi_{BA/LA} \times SLD_{BA} \qquad (4)$$
$$+ \left(\frac{h}{h_0} - \phi_{W/LA} - \phi_{BA/LA}\right) \times SLD_{LA},$$

where h and  $h_0$  are the swollen and dry layer thicknesses, respectively, and SLD, SLD<sub>D<sub>2</sub>O</sub>, SLD<sub>BA</sub> and SLD<sub>LA</sub> are the swollen SLD and the bulk SLDs of D<sub>2</sub>O, BA and LA, respectively. The results of this model are shown in Fig. 13. The blue up-pointing triangles correspond to the volume fractions of water and show no significant absorption below 0.3% BA in water as stated above.



**Fig. 13** Partial volume fractions of D<sub>2</sub>O ( $\phi_{W/LA}$ ) ( $\blacktriangle$ ) and BA ( $\phi_{BA/LA}$ ) ( $\blacktriangledown$ ) in LA films calculated from NR analysis using the thickness and SLD variation upon swelling and assuming LA mass conservation. The estimated BA  $\phi_{BA/LA}$  (black top line: —) and water volume fractions  $\phi_{W/LA}$  (blue lower line: —) from the FH model using  $\chi_{LA/BA} = -0.5$  and the tendency of  $\chi_{LA/W}$  depicted in Fig. 12.

#### Paper

 $\phi_{\rm W/LA}$  as a function of  $\phi_{\rm BA/LA}$  can also be estimated from the ternary phase diagram by using the FH model using the  $\chi$  parameters calculated above by assuming no LA dissolution in water and by minimizing the BA chemical potential between the two coexisting phases. This calculation leads to the blue bottom line in Fig. 13. The estimated  $\phi_{\rm W/LA}$  is in accordance with the NR data up to  $\phi_{\rm BA/W}$  = 0.0025. This also confirms that water is unlikely to molecularly swell the LA film for this range of BA concentration.

The black down-pointing triangles in Fig. 13 depict the experimentally determined BA fractions in the LA films. At high BA concentrations in water they nicely follow the predictions of the FH model (black top line) estimated from the bulk phase diagram. For concentrations below 0.15% the BA fractions are clearly overestimated by the (bulk) model. Whatever the exact dependence of the  $\chi_{\text{LA/W}}$  is, the predicted BA swelling  $\phi_{\text{BA/LA}}$  is linear with increasing  $\phi_{\text{BA/W}}$ .  $\phi_{\text{BA/LA}}$  can also be calculated by considering BA partitioning between two incompatible mediums and estimated using the difference between the  $\chi_{LA/BA}$  and  $\chi_{W/BA}$ leading to the same linear dependence.<sup>60</sup> Yet, the linear dependence contradicts the experimental data, which show no vertical swelling up to  $\phi_{BA/W} = 0.001$  and then a sudden increase to reach the predicted linear swelling at about 0.2%. On the other hand, the observed film buckling for  $\phi_{\text{BA/W}} < 0.001$  (Fig. 6) points out to lateral swelling even at low concentrations, as expected. This could be due to the particularities of swelling thin films where van der Waals (VdW) forces on the polymer/substrate interface could act as linking points and leads to anisotropic swelling. Indeed vertical swelling requires a movement of the chains along the substrate and therefore polymers at the free surface are more likely to swell before their counterparts in vicinity of the substrate leading to anisotropic swelling and film buckling. This wrinkling phenomenon was also observed for hydrogel films with a gradient in crosslinking density along their normal.<sup>47</sup> For higher BA concentrations, both vertical and in plane swelling takes place. This implies that swelling is heterogeneous below  $\phi_{BA/W} = 0.001$  and becomes more homogeneous at intermediate BA concentrations (0.001-0.003). Another indication that VdW forces may introduce an inhomogeneity is the 24 nm wetting layer observed by NR and AFM. Clearly this layer is much larger than expected for a classical wetting layer due to dipolar forces, which should be a few nm thick only. Therefore this must arise due to VdW interactions originating from the high refractive index of LA of 1.5. Note that this wetting layer bears similarities with irreversibly adsorbed polymer layers which could be introduced in the annealing process at high temperature. This phenomenon is well known for polystyrene (PS) in contact with silicon and reveals irreversibly bound PS layers with dry thickness in the range of the corresponding radius of gyration when heated to temperatures above 140 °C for a prolonged period (typically days).<sup>61</sup> The difference to our case is, however, that although clearly stable at low BA concentrations the wetting layer disappears at prolonged contact with concentrations of 0.7% BA. Moreover, in contact with pure solvent (100% BA) the entire LA layer is dissolved instantly in harsh contrast to irreversibly adsorbed PS, which withstands contact with pure solvent<sup>62</sup> even after several weeks of exposure to pure toluene.63

4.1.2 Heterogeneous water invasion (formation of cavities). When immersed in solvent/nonsolvent systems above a BA concentration of 0.25% LA films apparently dewet creating holes which will be invaded by water. The tendency to de-wet is clearly set by the unstable nature of the system concerning short-range wetting forces: The SiO<sub>2</sub> covered substrate is partially hydrophilic and it would be energetically more favorable to be covered by water rather than the hydrophobic LA. The role of polar forces in de-wetting of thin polymer films by immersion in poor solvents<sup>64</sup> or non solvent/poor solvent mixtures<sup>65</sup> was already pointed out with polystyrene films supported by silicon wafers. If the size of these defects is smaller than the in-plane neutron coherence length the NR spectra will still fit reasonably to a 3 slab polymer model but additionally diffuse scattering might appear if the SLD contrast and the surface coverage are big enough. Indeed microscopy images of films exposed to water and BA show holes with diameters between 0.5 and 3 µm, which is smaller than the estimated coherence length  $(2-10 \mu m)$ , which explains the appearance of off-specular scattering at these BA concentrations (see Fig. 8).

How these holes appear and evolve with time is a legitimate question because they are morphologically similar to those observed in early stages of thin film dewetting.<sup>66</sup> Dewetting can be attributed to capillary instabilities by thermal fluctuation, which is analyzed in analogy with spinodal decomposition of fluid mixtures<sup>67</sup> or homogeneous nucleation.<sup>66</sup> Therefore one can not exclude that thermal fluctuations and capillary instabilities as one of the precursors for film erosion.

Note that for the LA films studied here hole formation was more pronounced in films deposited on large Si blocks where the film thickness homogeneity was clearly worse than that of thin Si wafers, where dewetting was clearly different. This would suggests that heterogeneous nucleation due to structural inhomogeneities of the films is more likely to induce the onset of these instabilities.

4.1.3 Shift of glass transition temperature? One should not forget, however, that dewetting necessitates a structural reorganization of the polymer. Looking at Fig. 8 and Fig. 7 and explained in Section 3.3.3, one clearly observes slow kinetics incompatible with Fickian diffusion meaning that these kinetics are controlled by the polymer dynamics in the bulk of the film or close to the surface. In the former case the dynamic acceleration would be due to the  $T_{g}$  reduction upon increasing BA. Using the  $T_{g}$  mixing model of a binary system  $\frac{1}{T_{\rm g}} = \frac{1 - \phi_{\rm BA}}{T_{\rm g(LA)}} + \frac{\phi_{\rm BA}}{T_{\rm g(BA)}} \text{ and the } T_{\rm g(BA)} = 168 \text{ K,}^{68} \text{ with } T_{\rm g(LA)} =$ 50 °C and  $\phi_{BA}$  = 0.05, one recovers an average  $T_{g(LA/BA)}$  value of around 35 °C. This value is not far from room temperature especially taking into account the large polymer polydispersity leading probably to mobile parts at room temperature. Furthermore, there are several indications that amorphous confined polymers exhibit different behavior from bulk. For example, few aspect of the polymer dynamics in the glassy regime where found to be activated in confined geometry<sup>69</sup> which might accelerate the dynamics and enhance polymer reorganisation at room temperature. DSC measurements of the LA after

prolongated contact with BA/Water mixtures showed, however, only very slight decrease in  $T_g$  far away from R.T. Also increasing temperature of the sample above  $T_g$  did not significantly accelerate the dewetting process.

Another reason for the dynamic acceleration could be breaking of surface/polymer links upon swelling. Indeed, one should not forget that the polymer/surface adsorption sites could act like grafting points or cross-links which could reduce the swelling and slow down the intrinsic polymer dynamics. Indeed, at low BA concentration the vertical swelling is below the detection limit while horizontal expansion or gradient swelling<sup>47</sup> was seen as buckling. This leads to a delayed onset of vertical swelling contrary to the predicted linear swelling as seen in Fig. 13. Breaking the links would allow certain inhibited chain movements, reduce the  $T_g$  and might accelerate the polymer dynamics.

4.1.4 Simple dewetting? There are, however, at least two observations that point out that the here observed process is not a simple dewetting scenario. Firstly, in the AFM images it can be seen that not all holes actually perforate entirely the polymer film. An apparent wetting layer of about 24 nm thick LA is covering the substrate. This thickness is obviously much larger than expected for a simple wetting layer due to dipolar forces, which should extend a few nm at most. Secondly, in contrast to simple dewetting, experiments at concentrations above 0.6% BA in water clearly show the disappearance of the bulk of the layer into the liquid phase, obviously violating the mass conservation of LA on top of the substrate. Even at 0.5% BA concentration NR shows a total LA mass decrease as function of time. We speculate about two possibilities, which would lead to the disappearance of the varnish above the critical concentration, namely emulsification or (heterogeneous) break up. Molecular dissolution of LA in water/BA can be excluded because control experiments have shown that the solubility limit of LA in water/BA mixtures of 0.5% BA is less than  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  while the NR cell had a total liquid volume leading to a total polymer concentration of  $10^{-4}$  in the experiment. Continued breaking of polymer/surface links induce more in plane heterogeneities resulting in more holes and, finally, not enough links are left (critical BA concentration above 0.5%) leading to film break up by lifting and floating away. There is, however, a contradictory observations to this scenario: In no case during the film swelling/breakup a water enrichment was observed close to the Si substrate. This would have been apparent in NR due to the high contrast of heavy water (see broken green line in Fig. 5). On the contrary, a 24 nm water-free LA film was observed as seen in Fig. 9 and 10 showing that the solid substrate is covered by LA just before the breakup.

An alternative pathway of the mechanism to remove the polymer from the substrate could be analogue to emulsification of swollen LA in water/BA because of the presence of polar species in the LA which could stabilize an emulsion in forms of small droplets by a reduction of surface tension similar to a surfactant.

# 5 Conclusion

The swelling and breakup of thin films of Laropal<sup>®</sup>A81, a synthetic varnish commonly used in art restoration, supported by silicon

substrates exposed to a binary solvent/non-solvent mixture is studied here using a combination of neutron reflectometry, atomic force and optical microscopy as well as thermodynamic calculations based on turbidity measurements. The sample set-up follows a recent approach in art restoration to use a bad solvent as a matrix containing small amounts of (good) organic solvent in order to reduce the total amount of good solvent in the system limiting the risk of irreversible dissolution of all varnish and paint layers on easel paintings.<sup>13,16</sup> As solvent benzyl alcohol is chosen, which is dissolved in a matrix of water, a common system for art restoration.

The swelling and dissolution process of the thin films while increasing the good solvent concentration and/or increasing exposure time is found to happen sequentially following several regimes, which are distinct from the swelling and dissolution in bulk. At very low solvent concentrations an asymmetric swelling of the varnish by the good solvent is found: The swelling ratio parallel to the interface, observed as buckling, is instantly following the increased concentration of good solvent, while the out-of-plane thickness swelling is retarded and only happens at a sufficiently large solvent concentration ( $\geq 0.1\%$  BA in water). This phenomenon is explained by strong van der Waals forces acting like anchoring sites for the polymer close to the Si substrate. For higher solvent concentrations the vertical swelling catches up the behaviour predicted by bulk measurements. For concentrations below 0.3% BA no water absorption is observed into the hydrophobic varnish.

For larger solvent concentrations the behaviour changes drastically. Probably due to the solvent-weakened polymer structure heterogeneous nucleation of water-filled holes appears and those holes grow with time on an hour time scale ending in the loss of the film (>0.5%). By hypothesis, we can surmise that the solvent weakens the film by homogeneous swelling which dynamically activates the dewetting type process probably nucleated due to structural inhomogeneities of the film (either thickness variations or acidic group agglomerations).

In summary, this study shows unambiguously that the dilution of good solvent by a bad solvent can activate surface induced dewetting processes of thin polymer films due to the presence of the bad solvent that are not at all predicted in bulk systems. Therefore care has to be taken on this approach and surface energies (long and short-ranged) have to be taken into account.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Appendix

## Flory–Huggins model equations for thermodynamic ternary phase diagram

The FH model can be extended empirically<sup>55</sup> so that the Gibbs free energy of mixing is given by:

$$\frac{\Delta G_{\rm M}}{RT} = n_1 \ln \phi_1 + n_2 \ln \phi_2 + n_3 \ln \phi_3 + g_{12}(u_2)n_1\phi_2 + \chi_{13}n_1\phi_3 + \chi_{23}n_2\phi_3$$
(5)



Fig. 14 Intrinsic viscosity of LA as a function of LA concentration in BA.

**Table 2** Data for  $\chi$  calculation<sup>49,55,56</sup> where  $M_n$  is the molecular weight of LA,  $[\eta]_{\theta}$  is intrinsic viscosity and  $c^*$  is the critical concentration

Parameters	Literature values
Mn of LA	1260 Da
$k_{ heta}$	$4.8 imes 10^{-2}$ –7.2 $ imes 10^{-2}$
α	0.5

where *R* is the universal gas constant, *T* is the temperature,  $g_{ij}$  is the concentration-dependent interaction parameters and  $u_2 = \phi_2/(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$ . Subscripts refer to nonsolvent (1), solvent (2) and polymer (3) parameters.

This expressions can be obtained following the definition of the chemical potential:

$$\frac{\Delta \mu_i}{RT} = \frac{\partial}{\partial n_i} \times \left(\frac{\Delta G_m}{RT}\right)_{n_{j,j\neq i}} \tag{6}$$

The intrinsic viscosity is calculated using:

$$[\eta] = KM_n^{\alpha} \tag{7}$$

where M is the molecular weight in Da (Fig. 14).

And the polymer/solvent interaction parameter  $\chi_{23}$  can be obtained by:

$$\chi_{23} = 0.5 - A_2 \rho_3^{\ 2} V_2 \tag{8}$$

where  $A_2$  is the polymer–concentration-independent,  $\rho_3$  is the polymer density and  $V_2$  is the molar volume of the solvent (Table 2).

# Acknowledgements

We thank the ILL for neutron beam time on FIGARO (DOI: 10.5291/ILL-DATA.1-03-34) and MLZ for neutron beam time on the N-Rex<sup>+</sup> instrument operated by MPG at the Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ), Garching, Germany. We thank the Partnership for Soft Condensed Matter at ILL and ESRF for the use of complimentary techniques. We thank Alain Panzerella from the ESRF for performing the AFM measurements. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by JCNS to perform the neutron scattering measurements at the Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ), Garching, Germany. We acknowledge the help of Olaf Soltwedel during the NR

measurements at MLZ. The Laboratoire Rhéologie et Procédés is part of the LabEx Tec 21 (Investissements d'Avenir – grant agreement no. ANR-11-LABX-0030) and of the PolyNat Carnot Institut (Investissements d'Avenir – grant agreement n ANR-11-CARN-030-01). We acknowledge Dr Hélène Galliard, Vincent Verdoot, Fréderic Hugenell for their technical support. We thank Michel Manu for fruitful discussions on the choice of the system to be used for this project. We acknowledge BASF for providing the varnish for this study. The open access fee was covered by FILL2030, a European Union project within the European Commission's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under grant agreement N°731096.

# References

- 1 A. Singh and R. Mukherjee, *Marcomolecules*, 2003, **36**(23), 8728–8731.
- 2 B.-A. Miller-chou and J.-L. Koenig, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2003, **28**(8), 1223–1270.
- 3 N. McCrum, C. Buckley and C. Bucknall, *Principles of Polymer Engineering*, 1997, vol. 49.
- 4 E. D. la Rie and C. McGlinchey, *International Institute for Conservation of Historic and Artistic Works*, 1990, 168–173.
- 5 R.-L. Feller, N. Stolow and E.-H. Jones, On picture varnishes and their solvents. Revised and enlarged edition, 1971.
- 6 N. Stolow, Some investigations of the action of solvents on drying oil films, PhD thesis, University of London, 1956.
- 7 N. Stolow, J. Oil Colour Chem. Assoc., 1957, 40, 488-499.
- 8 A. Phenix and A. Burnstock, The United Kingdom Institute of Conservation, 1990, 11–18.
- 9 A. Burnstock and R. White, *Stud. Conserv.*, 1990, **28**(8), 1223–1270.
- 10 E. D. la Rie, S. Lomax, M. Palmer, L. Glinsman and A. Christopher, *Stud. Conserv.*, 2000, 45(supol 1), 51–59.
- 11 K. Sutherland, Stud. Conserv., 2000, 45, 54-62.
- 12 A. Phenix and K. Sutherland, Stud. Conserv., 2001, 46, 47-60.
- 13 A. Phenix, The Building Conservation Directory, 1997, 273–276.
- 14 L. Masschelein-Kleiner, Les solvants, 1994, vol. 2.
- 15 P. Cremonesi, L'uso dei solventi organici nella pulitura di opere policrome, 2004.
- 16 E. Carretti, M. Bonini, L. D. B.-H. Berrie, L.-V. Angelova, P. Baglioni and R.-G. Weiss, Acc. Chem. Res., 2010, 43, 751–760.
- 17 T. Ribar, R. Bhargava and J.-L. Koenig, *Macromolecules*, 2000, 33(23), 8842–8849.
- 18 T. Ribar, J.-L. Koenig and R. Bhargava, *Macromolecules*, 2001, 34(23), 8340–8346.
- 19 A. Diethert, E. Metwalli, R. Meier, Q. Zhong, R.-A. Campbell, R. Cubitt and P. Müller-Buschbaum, *Soft Matter*, 2011, 7(2–3), 6648–6659.
- 20 S. Michalski, Stud. Conserv., 1990, 35(suppl 1), 85-92.
- 21 J.-L. Keddie, R.-A.-L. Jones and R.-A. Cory, *Europhys. Lett.*, 1994, 27(1), 59–64.

- 22 A. Knoll, R. Magerle and G. Krausch, *Macromolecules*, 2001, 34, 4159–4165.
- 23 J.-A. Forrest and K. Dalnoki-Veress, *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2001, **94**, 167–195.
- 24 M. Alcoutlabi and G.-B. McKenna, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2005, 17, R461–R524.
- 25 S. Ge, Y. Pu, M. Rafailovich, J. Sokolov, C. Buenviaje, R. Buckmaster and R.-M. Overney, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2000, 85, 2340.
- 26 K. Hyunjung, A. Rühm, L.-B. Lurio, J.-K. Basu, J. Lal, D. Lumma, S.-G.-J. Mochrie and S.-K. Sinha, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2003, **90**(6), 068302.
- 27 C.-J. Ellison and J.-M. Torkelson, Nat. Mater., 2003, 2(10), 695-701.
- 28 G. Reiter, Langmuir, 1993, 9, 1344-1351.
- 29 A. Sharma and G. Reiter, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 1996, **178**, 383–399.
- 30 G. Reiter and P.-G. de Gennes, *Eur. Phys. J.*, 2001, 6(1), 25–28.
- 31 https://www.basf.com.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

pen Access Article. Published on 13 January 2020. Downloaded on 7/16/2025 10:46:25 AM.

- 32 C. A. Maines and E. R. de la Rie, *Prog. Org. Coat.*, 2005, 52, 39–45.
- 33 I. Bonaduce, M. Colombini, I. Degano, F. D. Girolamo, J. L. Nasa, F. Modugno and S. Orsini, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2012, 405(2-3), 1047–1065.
- 34 J. Arslanoglu and T. Learner, *The Conservator*, 2001, 25(1), 62–72.
- 35 E. D. la Rie, Stud. Conserv., 1987, 32, 1-13.
- 36 D. B. Hall, P. Underhill and J. M. Torkelson, *Polym. Eng. Sci.*, 1998, **38**, 2039–2045.
- 37 G. Liesche, Bachelor's thesis, Hochschule Bremerhaven, 2012.
- 38 P. U. D. B. Hall and J. Torkelson, *Polym. Eng. Sci.*, 1998, 38, 2039–2045.
- 39 R. A. Campbell, H. P. Wacklin, I. Sutton, R. Cubitt and G. Fragneto, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, 2011, **126**, 107.
- 40 P. Gutfreund, T. Saerbeck, M. A. Gonzalez, E. Pellegrini, M. Laver, C. Dewhurst and R. Cubitt, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2018, 51, 606–615.
- 41 http://www.mlz-garching.de/nrex/relax.
- 42 F. Abelès, Ann. Phys., 1950, 596-640.
- 43 A. Nelson, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2006, 39, 273-276.
- 44 P. D. De Gennes, Macromolecules, 1981, 14, 1637-1644.
- 45 S. T. Milner, T. Witten and M. Cates, *Macromolecules*, 1988, **21**, 2610–2619.
- 46 V. Lauter, H. Lauter, A. Glavic and B. Toperverg, *Reference* Module in Materials Science and Materials Engineering, 2016.

- 47 M. Guvendiren, S. Yang and J. A. Burdick, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2009, **19**, 3038–3045.
- 48 B. Dorner and A. R. Wildes, Langmuir, 2003, 19, 7823-7828.
- 49 L. Xu and F. Qiu, Polymer, 2014, 55, 6795-6802.
- 50 R. Mukherjee, D. Bandyopadhyaya and A. Sharma, *Soft Matter*, 2008, **4**, 2086–2097.
- 51 X. Zhang, K. G. Yager, S. Kang, N. J. Fredin, B. Akgun, S. Satija, J. F. Douglas, A. Karim and R. L. Jones, *Macromolecules*, 2009, 43, 1117–1123.
- 52 J. Daillant and A. Gibaud, *X-ray and neutron reflectivity principles and applications*, Springer, Berlin New York, 1999, vol. (XXIII, 331 p.), pp. 1.
- 53 Y. Yoneda, Phys. Rev., 1963, 131, 2010.
- 54 C. M. Kok and A. Rudin, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 1982, 27, 353-362.
- 55 L. Yilmaz and A. McHugh, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 1986, **31**, 997–1018.
- 56 R. A. Orwoll and P. A. Arnold, *Physical Properties of Polymers Handbook*, Springer, 2007, pp. 233–257.
- 57 Z. Li and C. Jiang, J. Membr. Sci., 2000, 174, 87-96.
- 58 H. Wang, Q. Wang, Z. Xiong and C. Chen, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2014, 59, 2045–2053.
- 59 G. Ovejero, P. Perez, M. Romero, I. Guzman and E. Di, et al., Eur. Polym. J., 2007, 43, 1444–1449.
- 60 R. Gavara, R.-J. Hernandez and J. Giacin, J. Food Sci., 1996, 61(5), 947–952.
- 61 C. Housmans, M. Sferrazza and S. Napolitano, *Macromole-cules*, 2014, 47, 3390–3393.
- 62 A. Beena Unni, G. Vignaud, J. K. Bal, N. Delorme, T. Beuvier, S. Thomas, Y. Grohens and A. Gibaud, *Macromolecules*, 2016, 49, 1807–1815.
- 63 P. Gin, N. Jiang, C. Liang, T. Taniguchi, B. Akgun, S. K. Satija, M. K. Endoh and T. Koga, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2012, **109**, 265501.
- 64 L. Xu, A. Sharma and S. W. Joo, *Macromolecules*, 2012, 45, 6628-6633.
- 65 L. Xu, A. Sharma, S. W. Joo, H. Liu and T. Shi, *Langmuir*, 2014, **30**, 14808–14816.
- 66 R. Seemann, S. Herminghaus, C. Neto, S. Schlagowski, D. Podzimek, R. Konrad, H. Mantz and K. Jacobs, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2005, **17**, S267–S290.
- 67 R. Xie, A. Karim, J. F. Douglas, C. C. Han and R. A. Weiss, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1998, **81**, 1251.
- 68 M. Tylinski, Y. Chua, M. Beasley, C. Schick and M. Ediger, J. Chem. Phys., 2016, 145, 174506.
- 69 K. Paeng, S. F. Swallen and M. Ediger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 8444-8447.