# **Sustainable** Energy & Fuels



Cite this: Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2020, 4, 713

Received 7th August 2019 Accepted 13th November 2019 DOI: 10.1039/c9se00619b

rsc.li/sustainable-energy

## Introduction

The key contributor to global warming is the increasing emission of anthropogenic carbon dioxide  $(CO<sub>2</sub>)$  into the atmosphere.<sup>1,2</sup> To limit the global temperature increase to  $2 \degree C$  compared to preindustrial levels, the annual global  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions have to be reduced to 14 Gt  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  by 2050.<sup>3</sup> In this context,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture and storage (CCS) has the potential to provide a near-to midterm strategy to reduce anthropogenic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions.<sup>3</sup> In addition,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture and utilization (CCU) may offer a solution to omit  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  storage and utilize  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  as a carbon source for the production of value-added chemicals and/or fuels.<sup>4-8</sup>

Currently, scrubbing relying on aqueous solutions of amines (e.g., monoethanolamine) is widely used to remove  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  selectively from a gas stream, e.g., for the purification of natural gas. Yet amine scrubbing has several limitations such as high regeneration costs translating into high costs for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture and formation of hazardous side products, e.g., nitrosamines.<sup>9,10</sup> Hence, recent research activities have focused primarily on developing alternative  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture technologies



YAL SOCIETY<br>**CHEMISTRY** 

Sung Min Kim[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0828-1671) **D** [An](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5261-3149)dac Armutl[ulu,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3940-9183) **D** Agnieszka M. Kier[zko](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2234-6902)wska, D Davood Hosseini, **D** Felix Donat **D** and Christoph Müller **D**<sup>\*</sup>

The integration of a CaO-based  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbent into catalytic schemes to remove  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from the product stream provides an effective means to reduce greenhouse gas emissions of chemical processes and to improve the yield and purity of the desired product. A key requirement for such so-called sorbentenhanced processes is the availability of cyclically stable  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbent. To this end, we have developed CaO-based  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbents that combine favourable structural features and a high thermal stability by introducing a thin, conformal layer of  $A_2O_3$  (forming Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> with CaO upon calcination) by atomic layer deposition. The structure and pore volume of the sorbent were found to play a key role in its  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ capture. Functionalizing such  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbents with Ni nanoparticles yielded a highly effective bi-functional material for the sorption-enhanced water-gas shift (SE-WGS) reaction. The material showed a high yield of hydrogen of high purity and minimal CO slip over several cycles of repeated SE-WGS/regeneration operation. PAPER<br> **(A)** Check for updates<br> **(A)** Check for updates<br>
Catalyst-sorbent of an effective bi-functional Ni-Catalyst<br>
catalyst-sorbent for the sorption-enhanced wate<br>
gas shift reaction through structural optimization<br>
(an

that rely on solid sorbents,  $e.g.,$  layered double oxides  $(LDO),<sup>11</sup>$ zeolites, $12,13$  supported amines, $14$  activated carbons, $15,16$  metal organic frameworks (MOF),<sup>17,18</sup> and alkaline earth metal oxides.19,20 Among these alternatives, high-temperature calcium looping (CaL) based on the reversible reaction of CaO with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  $(i.e., \text{ CaO} + \text{CO}_2 \rightleftarrows \text{ CaCO}_3, \Delta H_{298K}^0 = \pm 178 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  has attracted significant attention owing to a number of desirable characteristics of CaO that include its  $(i)$  high theoretical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ uptake capacity (0.78  $g_{CO_2}$   $g_{CaO}$ <sup>-1</sup>), (ii) large abundance of natural precursors (e.g., limestone and dolomite), and (iii) the fast kinetics of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture and release reactions. Moreover, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture costs of CaL are estimated to be in the range  $12-32 \in$  per t CO<sub>2</sub>, which is considerably lower compared to amine scrubbing (34–67  $\in$  per t CO<sub>2</sub>), pressure swing adsorption (26–33  $\in$  per t CO<sub>2</sub>) or membrane separation (31–36  $\in$  per t  $CO<sub>2</sub>)$ .<sup>21</sup>

The main hurdle concerning the effective utilization of CaO derived from natural precursors (e.g., limestone) is the low Tammann temperature  $(T_T)$  of pure CaCO<sub>3</sub> ( $\approx$  530 °C), which is below the operating temperature of calcium looping (650–900  $^{\circ}$ C)<sup>22</sup> and thus, leads to a low cyclic stability of the material due to sintering. To alleviate the sintering-induced decay of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ capture capacity of CaO-based  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbents, the incorporation of high- $T<sub>T</sub>$  stabilizers into the CaO matrix has been proposed. Commonly used stabilizers can be classified into two different groups: (i) those forming a mixed oxide with CaO, such as  $Al_2O_3$ ,

Laboratory of Energy Science and Engineering, Department of Mechanical and Process Engineering, ETH Zürich, Leonhardstrasse 21, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland. E-mail: muelchri@ethz.ch

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9se00619b

 $\rm SiO_2$ ,  $\rm TiO_2$  and  $\rm ZrO_2$ ,<sup>23-26</sup> and (ii) inert stabilizers that do not react chemically with CaO under the relevant operating conditions, such as MgO,  $Y_2O_3$ , and ZnO.<sup>27-31</sup> Furthermore, to make calcium looping a viable option at the industrial scale  $(e.g.,)$ operation in circulating fluidized beds) high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptakes within relatively short residence times are required. The carbonation of CaO is known to proceed in two reaction regimes,32,33 viz., the kinetically controlled carbonation followed by a sluggish diffusion-limited carbonation regime (the diffusivity of CO<sub>2</sub> in the CaCO<sub>3</sub> product layer,  $D_{\text{CaCO}_3} = 0.003 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , is two orders of magnitude smaller than that in CaO,  $D_{C_0} = 0.3$  $\rm cm^2\,s^{-1}$ ). The transition between these two carbonation regimes is believed to occur when the thickness of the  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  product reaches  $\sim$  50 nm.<sup>32</sup> Hence, nano-structuring CaO would minimize the diffusion lengths of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  through the CaCO<sub>3</sub> product layer. In addition to nano-structuring of the sorbent, also the introduction of porosity plays a critical role owing to the large volumetric expansion of the material upon  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture, as the molar volume of the product (CaCO $_{\rm 3,}$  36.9 cm $^{\rm 3}$  mol $^{-1})$  is nearly twice as high as that of the reactant (CaO, 16.7  $\rm cm^3\ mol^{-1}$ ).

Hence, the ideal CaO-based sorbent would exhibit a nanostructured and highly porous morphology that is stabilized by a high- $T<sub>T</sub>$  temperature material. Previous studies<sup>25,28,34-36</sup> have revealed that the scale (micro-, nano- or even atomic level) at which the stabilizer is introduced into the matrix plays an important role for the overall performance of the sorbents. A number of techniques have been adopted to introduce a stabilizer into the CaO including wet-mixing, $37$  coprecipitation, $38$ hydration,<sup>39</sup> flame spray pyrolysis,<sup>40</sup> hydrolysis<sup>41</sup> and sol-gel.<sup>42-44</sup> However, these techniques offer only little control over morphological features, such as nanostructure and porosity. More recently, vapor-phase deposition techniques such chemical vapor deposition (CVD)<sup>45</sup> and atomic layer deposition (ALD)<sup>25</sup> have emerged as promising strategies to introduce a stabilizer to a CaO matrix. In particular, ALD offers the possibility of obtaining conformal coatings of a stabilizer, while controlling its thickness on the atomic level. Owing to these unique features of ALD, structurally well-controlled and -characterizable model materials can be realized to investigate the structure–performance relationships of CaO-based sorbents, which has not been conducted in detail yet.

Besides the capture of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , CaO-based sorbents have the potential to be integrated in processes that combine  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ capture with a catalytic reaction,  $e.g.$ , steam reforming<sup>46-50</sup> and/ or the water-gas shift reaction<sup>51-54</sup> to yield high-purity  $H_2$ . For example, a typical composition of the effluent gas of an industrial water-gas shift unit is approximately 76%  $H_2$ , 17%  $CO_2$ , 3% CO, and  $4\%$  CH<sub>4</sub>.<sup>55</sup> The simultaneous removal of CO<sub>2</sub> from this stream would not only increase substantially the purity of the  $H<sub>2</sub>$  produced, but also shift the equilibrium to the product side according to Le Chatelier's principle. These sorption-enhanced processes reduce the operational complexity, since a nearly pure product stream is obtained in a single unit/reactor without further purification steps. Alkali metal-promoted hydrotalcite and  $Al_2O_3$  have been employed for the sorption-enhanced watergas shift reaction (SE-WGS),<sup>52,56-60</sup> yet with the shortcomings of low CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacities (0.01–0.02  $\rm g_{CO_2}$   $\rm g_{\rm sorbent}^{-1})$  and poor

carbonation kinetics. On the other hand, CaO-based  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ sorbents are favorable candidates for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture purposes owing to their relatively high  $CO_2$  capture capacity (0.3-0.5  $g_{CO_2}$ )  $g_{\rm{sorbent}}^{-1}$ ) and fairly rapid carbonation kinetics at the operating temperatures (i.e., 400-600 °C) of the SE-WGS ( $H_2O$  + CO + CaO  $\leftrightarrows$  H<sub>2</sub> + CaCO<sub>3</sub>,  $\Delta H_{298K}^0 = -219$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) and SE-SMR (CH<sub>4</sub> +  $2H_2O + CaO \leftrightarrows 4H_2 + CaCO_3, \Delta H_{298K}^0 = -13 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ) reactions. CaO-based  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbents combined with Ni-based catalysts have been employed predominantly for the sorption-enhanced steam methane reforming (SE-SMR). However, high loadings of Ni (*i.e.*, 15–50 wt%) have been required to obtain an acceptable SMR activity, which leads to an appreciable reduction in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake capacity of the material. For SE-WGS, a relatively low Ni loading (3–10 wt%) has been shown to suffice for high CO conversions.<sup>61–64</sup> Nevertheless, the sintering-induced deactivation of CaO renders them ineffective as a sorbent in a cyclic process.65,66 Therefore, the development of CaO-based sorbents with a high cyclic stability is vital for the SE-WGS reaction. In addition to a stable  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbent, a highly active and stable catalyst at the temperatures of interest is equally important to obtain high yields of high-purity hydrogen. Cu–Zn and Fe–Cr catalysts have been employed in industrial scale water-gas shi reaction units (WGS: H<sub>2</sub>O + CO  $\leftrightharpoons$  H<sub>2</sub> + CO<sub>2</sub>,  $\Delta H_{298K}^{0}$  =  $-41$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) at low (180-250 °C) and high (300-500 °C) temperatures, respectively.<sup>67</sup>–<sup>70</sup> Due to the sintering-induced deactivation of Cu-based catalysts, Fe–Cr-based catalysts are often preferred. The strongly carcinogenic, water-soluble hexavalent chromium in Fe–Cr can be leached out under WGS conditions, leading to health and environmental concerns.<sup>71</sup> Therefore, it is highly desirable to develop alternative catalyst formulations containing, e.g., Ni-, Pt-, Pd-, Ru- and Au-based materials for medium-temperature (250-350  $^{\circ}$ C) WGS.<sup>61</sup> In particular, Ni-based catalysts are attractive as they combine a non-pyrophoric, water-tolerant active phase, relatively low cost and a high activity for CO conversion when compared to its precious metal counterparts, such as Pt, Pd or Ru.<sup>61,69,72</sup> Surfaminded Energy & Fuels<br>
SiO., TiO, and cill increation generalises that do not carbonation includes are detected to reache the effects are compared under the effects are compared under the effects are proposed under t

> In the context of sorption-enhanced reactions, an important design consideration that needs to be taken into account is the effective interplay between the catalyst and the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbent, which is not easily achieved by the physical mixing of the two components. In this regard, bi-functional catalyst-sorbents are attractive as they provide the means of enhancing both heat and mass transfer between the catalyst and sorbent sites.73,74 Bifunctional SE-WGS materials using hydrotalcites as the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ sorbent have been manufactured using co-precipitation approaches,<sup>52,75</sup> a method which offers, however, only limited control over the textural and structural characteristics of the material. In addition, hydrotalcites feature a relatively low  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ capture capacity when compared to CaO-based sorbents.<sup>76</sup>

> Herein, we report the development of a bi-functional catalystsorbent containing CaO as the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -capture-active material. The structure of the CaO backbone is stabilized by  $Al_2O_3$  that is introduced by ALD. CaO precursors with different morphologies are investigated, i.e., hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub> realized through a sacrificial templating approach and benchmark materials in the form of commercial CaCO<sub>3</sub>, CaCO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles and limestone. To yield

high purity hydrogen, the sorbent is decorated with Ni nanoparticles for SE-WGS operation.

## Experimental

#### Materials

Calcium nitrate tetrahydrate (Acros, 99%), D-(+)-glucose (Sigma-Aldrich,  $\geq$  99.5%), ethylene glycol (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.8%), trimethyl aluminum (TMA, Pegasus Chemicals, electronic grade), boric acid (Fisher, laboratory reagent grade) CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Fisher) and  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  nanoparticles (American elements) were used without any further treatment.

#### Preparation of carbon spheres

Carbon spheres were prepared *via* the carbonization of  $D-(+)$ glucose under hydrothermal conditions. Specifically, aqueous glucose solutions  $(2.2 \text{ M})$  were transferred into a teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. The hydrothermal treatment was carried out at 180  $\degree$ C for 12 h (autogenous pressure). The resulting material was collected, washed with de-ionized (DI) water and anhydrous ethanol, alternatingly 5 times, and finally dried at 80 $\degree$ C in an oven overnight.

#### Preparation of hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub>

Dried carbon spheres (1.0 g) were dispersed in an aqueous solution of 10 mmol calcium nitrate and 50 mmol urea (100 ml). The carbon spheres were dispersed by sonication (10 min) followed by vigorous stirring  $(1 h)$ . Urea hydrolysis to deposit CaCO<sub>3</sub> onto the carbon spheres was performed at 90  $^{\circ}$ C for 6 h under reflux conditions. The resulting material was collected and washed thoroughly with DI water 5 times. After drying at 120  $^{\circ}$ C in an oven, hollow  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  was obtained by the thermal removal of the carbon template in a muffle furnace at 600 °C (5 °C min $^{-1}$ , 2 h).

### Atomic layer deposition of  $Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$

An  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat was deposited onto non-porous, commercial  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  and hollow  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  using a commercial ALD reactor (Picosun R-200) equipped with a system for the coating of powders. For the deposition of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, electronic grade TMA and DI water were used as precursors, and high-purity  $N_2$  served as both carrier and purge gas. The pulse and purge times were kept constant at 0.1 s/15 s/0.1 s/15 s for TMA/N<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O/N<sub>2</sub>. The deposition took place at 300  $^{\circ}$ C, and the precursor temperatures were fixed at 20 $\degree$ C. Use of high-resolution TEM allowed us to determine a deposition rate of  $\sim 0.1$  nm/ALD cycle, which is in good agreement with the thickness of  $Al_2O_3$  grown simultaneously on a Si wafer and measured by means of ellipsometry (SENTECH SE850).

### Bifunctional Ni–CaO catalyst preparation

Bi-functional Ni–CaO materials were prepared by wet impregnation with a solution of nickel acetylacetonate  $(Ni(acac)_2)$  dissolved in tetrahydrofuran. Specifically, 0.14 g of  $Ni(\text{acac})_2$  and 1 g of the sorbent, i.e.,  $Al_2O_3$ -coated or non-coated hollow CaO sorbents were mixed with 50 ml of tetrahydrofuran. After vigorous stirring for 5 h, the materials were dried at 80  $^{\circ}$ C in an oven overnight, followed by calcination at 900 °C (5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>) for 2 h in a muffle furnace. The nominal nickel loading was 3.0 wt% as per determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES).

#### Material characterization

The elemental composition was determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Agilent 5100 VDV).

Dried hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub> and as-synthesized  $Al_2O_3$ -coated, hollow  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  (after one half and one full ALD cycle) were collected and characterized by diffuse reflectance FTIR spectroscopy (DRIFT) in the same glove box as the ALD reactor using an Alpha II spectrophotometer (Bruker).

 $N_2$  physisorption was measured using a NOVA 4000e analyzer (Quantachrome Instruments). The adsorption and desorption of  $N_2$ were determined at  $-196$  °C after degassing each sample at 250 °C under vacuum for 2 h. The specific surface area and pore size distribution were calculated using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) models, respectively.

The crystallinity and chemical composition of the sorbents were investigated using powder X-ray diffraction (PANalytical Empyrean equipment) with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å, 45 mA and 40 kV). Each sample was measured in the  $2\theta$  range of 10–90 $^{\circ}$  using a step size of 0.016 $^{\circ}$  with a time duration per step of 0.8 s. In the *in situ* XRD experiments,  $\sim$ 0.1 g of the CO<sub>2</sub> sorbent was placed in a reaction chamber (XRK 900, Anton Paar). The reaction gases (CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>) were controlled *via* mass flow controllers (Bronkhorst EL-FLOW MFC). The diffraction patterns during the cyclic carbonation and calcination experiments (following the procedure of the TGA experiments) were recorded in the  $2\theta$  range of 10–60° using a step size of 0.02°. Paper<br>
Surantee between SF-WONS operation.<br>
In paper of the common and t

The morphology and textural features of the sorbents were analyzed by high-resolution scanning electron microscopy (HR-SEM, FEI Magellan 400 FEG), and focused ion beam scanning electron microscopy (FIB-SEM, Zeiss, NVision 40). Prior to SEM analysis, the samples were sputter-coated (MED 010) with an  $\sim$  5 nm layer of platinum. Transition electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) were performed on a FEI Talos F200X operated at 200 kV, which was equipped with a SuperX EDX consisting of four SDD detectors.

### $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture test

The cyclic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake of the samples was measured in a thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA, Mettler Toledo TGA/DSC 3+). In a typical experiment,  $\sim$  10 mg of the calcined sorbent was loaded into an alumina crucible (70  $\mu$ l) and heated to 900 °C (50 °C min<sup>-1</sup> heating rate) in N<sub>2</sub>, using a flowrate of 150 ml min $^{-1}$  (measured at ambient temperature and pressure), including a constant N<sub>2</sub> flow (25 ml min<sup>-1</sup>) as a purge gas over the microbalance. After reaching the reaction temperature, the temperature was maintained for 4 min to completely calcine the material to CaO. Subsequently, the temperature was decreased to 650 °C (50 °C min<sup>-1</sup> cooling rate) and the carbonation reaction was performed for 20 min in 20 vol%  $\rm CO_2$  (150 ml  $\rm min^{-1})$ 

balanced by  $N_2$ . Subsequently, the temperature was increased to 900 °C (50 °C min<sup>-1</sup> heating rate) in a flow of 30 ml min<sup>-1</sup> of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (25 ml min<sup>-1</sup> of N<sub>2</sub> purge gas over the micro-balance) and the sample was calcined for 10 min to regenerate the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ sorbent. The carbonation and calcination reactions were repeated for a given number of cycles for each sorbent.

The cyclic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture performance under SE-WGS mimicking conditions (with regards to the temperature and the duration of the carbonation and regeneration reaction), i.e., carbonation at 400 °C using 70 ml min<sup>-1</sup> of 20 vol% CO<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> for 50 min and regeneration at 800 °C using 50 ml min<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>2</sub> for 15 min, was evaluated in the TGA. At the beginning of the experiment the calcined material was heated to 800 °C (10 °C min $^{-1}$ ) in N<sub>2</sub> (70 ml min $^{-1})$  followed by reduction at 500 °C (10 °C min $^{-1})$  for 2 h in 10 vol%  $\rm H_2/N_2$  (100 ml  $\rm min^{-1}$ ). Ten repeated cycles of carbonation and regeneration were performed.

#### Sorption-enhanced water-gas shift reaction (SE-WGS)

The cyclic SE-WGS reaction was carried out in a fixed-bed quartz reactor ( $\sim$ 10.5 mm of internal diameter and 400 mm of length; the bed was placed in the middle of the reactor). In a typical experiment, 0.25 g of the calcined material diluted with SiC (weight ratio of 1 to 10) was used. Prior to the activity test, the material was heated at 800 °C (10 °C min $^{-1}$ ) for 1 h in N<sub>2</sub> (100 ml min $^{-1}$ ) to ensure the full calcination to CaO. Subsequently, the material was reduced at 500  $^{\circ} \mathrm C$  for 2 h in 10 vol%  $\mathrm{H_2/N_2}\,(\mathrm{100\,ml\,min^{-1}}).$  The SE-WGS was performed at 400 $^{\circ}$ C using a total flow rate of 70 ml  $\min^{-1}$  (5 ml min<sup>-1</sup> CO, 20 ml min<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O and 45 ml min<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>2</sub>; GHSV =  $16.8$  L g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> of GHSV). After 50 min of the SE-WGS, the material was heated to 800 °C (20 °C min<sup>-1</sup> ramp) and regenerated for 15 min in N<sub>2</sub> (70 ml min $^{-1}$ ). In total ten SE-WGS/regeneration cycles were performed. The composition of the off-gas (after the condensation of unreacted steam) was analyzed using a micro-GC (C2V-200, Thermo Scientific) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and using molecular sieve 5A and U-plot cartridges.

#### Estimation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake at the kinetically controlled regime

The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake in the kinetically controlled reaction stage was estimated following a model by Pacciani et al. that assumes that the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture in the kinetically controlled reaction stage is completed once the volume available in small pores  $(d_{\text{pore}} < 100$ nm) is filled by  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ :<sup>33,77</sup>

the hydrothermal treatment of an aqueous solution of glucose  $(0.22 \text{ M})$  at 180 °C. CaCO<sub>3</sub> was deposited onto carbon spheres by precipitation of an aqueous solution  $(0.1 \text{ M})$  of Ca $(NO<sub>3</sub>)$  with hydrolysed urea at 80 °C, viz., Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> + CO(NH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> + 2H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  $CaCO<sub>3</sub> + 2NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$ ,  $(\Delta H<sub>298K</sub><sup>0</sup> = -184$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>).

The thermal decomposition profile (in air) of as-synthesized CNS and  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  coated CNS were assessed by TGA (Fig. S1 $\dagger$ ). In air, the carbon template was removed completely at 500  $^{\circ}$ C, yielding hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub> structures. Due to the low  $T<sub>T</sub>$  of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, it is important to remove the template at the lowest temperature possible to avoid sintering. After template removal, a thin layer of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  was deposited onto the hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub> spheres via ALD (using alternating pulses of trimethylaluminum (TMA) and steam at 300 $\degree$ C for a given number of cycles). The final material, *i.e.*,  $Al_2O_3$ -coated, hollow spheres of CaO, was obtained by calcination in air at 900 °C.

To probe how the deposition of an  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat onto  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  via ALD proceeds, DRIFT spectra were collected after one half (only TMA pulse injection) and a full (TMA and subsequent steam pulse injection) ALD cycle (Fig.  $S2\dagger$ ). As the  $Al_2O_3$ precursor (i.e., TMA) is highly reactive with air, the DRIFT analysis was performed in a glovebox. The infrared spectrum of hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub> shows the typically signatures of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, *viz.*; (i) out of plane bending (971  $cm^{-1}$ ), (ii) symmetric stretching  $(1550 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ , and (iii) combination band of stretch and out of plane vibration  $(2387-2659 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ . The presence of bands  $(2750-3075$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) due to C-H bonds indicates that there were some organic residues of the carbon sphere. The presence of hydroxyl groups on the surface of the hollow  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  spheres was confirmed by the presence of O-H bending  $(1676 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  and O-H stretching  $(3642 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  vibrations. The O-H stretching band at 3642  $cm^{-1}$  has been ascribed to hydroxyl ions on interstitial defects of the CaCO<sub>3</sub> lattice framework.<sup>78</sup> Fig. 1b plots the difference DRIFT spectra after one half and one full ALD cycle compared to pristine, hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub>. After one half cycle the intensity of the C-H stretching  $(2750-3075 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  and C-H bending vibrations  $(1480 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  increased due to the methyl groups of surface-adsorbed (or chemisorbed) TMA. The reduced intensity of the O–H stretching vibrations indicates that, as expected, TMA reacted with surface O-H groups. After the subsequent injection of a pulse of steam, Al–O vibrations  $(940 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  appeared and the intensity of the C-H vibrations reduced, indicative of the transformation of TMA to Statished Energy 6 Fuels<br>
Unlamed by Nc. Subsequently, the temperature was increased to the bylochement of an aquosa solution of gluocos<br>
Article Commons Article is a photo chain the information of the Cast Vig-14 No Comm

$$
CO_2 uptake [g_{CO_2}/g_{CaO}] = \frac{pore volume \times CaO density \times CaO fraction}{molar volume ratio (CaCO_3 to CaO) - 1} \times \frac{molecular weight of CO_2}{molecular weight of CaO}
$$

## Results and discussion

#### Synthesis of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbents

The synthesis procedure to obtain  $Al_2O_3$ -coated, hollow CaO spheres is described schematically in Fig. 1a. Carbon nanospheres (CNS) serving as a sacrificial template were prepared by hydroxylated aluminium oxide. According to our DRIFT experiments, the following mechanism for the deposition of  $Al_2O_3$  on  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  via ALD can be drawn (Fig. 1c): First TMA reacts with surface hydroxyl groups that are present on  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ . After the exposure to steam, the methyl groups on the surface are hydrolyzed with releasing methane, leading to the formation of



Fig. 1  $\,$  Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-coated, hollow CaO spheres: (a) template-assisted synthesis procedure. (b) DRIFT difference spectra (700–3800 cm $^{-1}$ ) after one half and one full ALD cycle. (c) Reaction schematic for the deposition of  $Al_2O_3$  onto CaCO<sub>3</sub> via ALD.

a layer of hydroxylated  $Al_2O_3$ . Repeating the ALD cycle multiple times leads to the formation of a layer of  $Al_2O_3$  (the surface is hydroxylated) on hollow  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  spheres.

To label the different sorbents synthesized, the following nomenclature is used throughout the manuscript: "Al (theoretical thickness of the ALD-grown  $Al_2O_3$  layer)–Ca containing phase (either CaCO<sub>3</sub> or CaO)\_X", where X refers to the CaCO<sub>3</sub> structure that was coated by ALD,  $viz$ , hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub> spheres, limestone, commercial, bulk  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ , or nanoparticles (NP) of CaCO3. For example, the material that contains a 2 nm thick overcoat of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  onto hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub> is referred to as "Al (2 nm)–  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ \_hollow".

#### Morphological and structural characterization

Fig. 2 visualizes the morphology of the hollow  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  spheres at different stages of the synthesis process. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of the CNS template revealed an average particle diameter of 780  $\pm$  25 nm (Fig. 2a). After the deposition of CaCO<sub>3</sub> by precipitation and subsequent calcination at 600  $^{\circ}$ C in static air (to remove the CNS template), hollow  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ spheres were obtained (Fig. 2b). Increasing the calcination temperature to 900 °C in a second step converted CaCO<sub>3</sub> to CaO further, yet lead to the collapse of the hollow sphere structure

(Fig. 2c). High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  coated hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub> spheres, *i.e.*, Al (2 nm)–  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  hollow (calcined at 600 °C) confirmed that ALD deposited a conformal  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat on the shell-comprising CaCO<sub>3</sub> nanometer-sized grains. The thickness of the  $Al_2O_3$  layer was 2.0  $\pm$  0.3 nm (grown by 20 ALD cycles, Fig. 2e). The high porosity of the hollow  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  spheres ensured a rapid diffusion of the ALD precursors (TMA and steam) resulting in a homogeneous, conformal  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat on all of the shell-comprising nanometre-sized grains. After calcination at 900 °C in air, the initially clear boundary between  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  and the  $Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  overcoat disappeared (Fig. 2f). This was due to the formation of a solid solution between Al and Ca, *i.e.*,  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$  (discussed in more detail in the following section). Nevertheless, the hollow structure of Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow was preserved during calcination at 900 °C (Fig. 2d). Imaging focused ion beam (FIB) cuts of these structures by SEM confirmed that the hollow structure was conserved after high temperature calcination at 900 °C (Fig. 2g) and h). High-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) with energy-dispersive Xray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis of Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow (Fig. 2i–l) revealed a homogeneous distribution of Al and Ca in the material, *i.e.*, after calcination the stabilizer  $Al_2O_3$  was not localized as an overcoat anymore.



Fig. 2 Morphological characterization of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-coated, hollow spheres: SEM images of (a) the carbon sphere template, (b) hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub>, (c) calcined Al (0 nm)–CaO\_hollow and (d) calcined Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow. HR-TEM images of (e) Al (2 nm)–CaCO<sub>3</sub>\_hollow and (f) calcined Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow. (g and h) FIB-SEM and (i–l) HAADF-STEM with EDX analysis of calcined Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow.

The benchmark materials, Al (2 nm)–CaO\_limestone and Al (2 nm)–CaO\_bulk, featured rather coarsely-structured morphologies (Fig. 3a–c and d–f, respectively). Similarly, the benchmark CaCO<sub>3</sub> NP, in the absence of an  $Al_2O_3$  coating, *i.e.*, Al (0 nm)–CaCO<sub>3</sub>\_NP, grew substantially in particle size upon exposure to 900 $^{\circ}$ C in air (Fig. 3h), whereas the original structure of the spherical nanoparticles was preserved to a large extent when coated by  $Al_2O_3$ , viz., Al (1 nm)-CaO\_NP (Fig. 3i-l). However, after the calcination of Al  $(1 \text{ nm})$ –CaO\_NP the distribution of Al became more heterogeneous, forming small clusters (Fig. 3j-l). When the thickness of the  $Al_2O_3$  coating was increased further to 12 nm, the core-shell-like morphology of assynthesized Al  $(12 \text{ nm})$ –CaCO<sub>3</sub> NP (Fig. 3m and n) transformed into a hollow-sphere-structured morphology after calcination at 900 °C, i.e., Al (12 mn)–CaO\_NP (Fig. 3o and p). The formation of these hollow structures was due to the interdiffusion of CaO and  $Al_2O_3$  (Kirkendall effect) upon calcination,<sup>79</sup> forming the mixed oxide  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$ .<sup>80</sup> The accumulation of voids at the interface through interdiffusion yields small pores.<sup>81,82</sup>

To obtain additional information on the structure and composition of the sorbents, in situ XRD experiments were performed on hollow  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  in the presence or absence of an  $Al_2O_3$  coating. *In situ* diffraction patterns were acquired during heating in air. For both Al  $(0 \text{ nm})$ –CaCO<sub>3</sub>\_hollow and Al  $(2 \text{ nm})$ –  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  hollow (Fig. 4a and S3<sup>†</sup>), the conversion of CaCO<sub>3</sub> to CaO was completed at  $\sim$ 700 °C; this is in good agreement with the decomposition profile obtained in the TGA (Fig.  $S1<sup>†</sup>$ ). Al (2)  $nm$ )–CaCO<sub>3</sub>\_hollow did not show any signatures of crystalline Al-containing phases in the temperature range  $600-800$  °C (Fig. 4a). The as-synthesized, ALD-grown  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat was initially amorphous. Diffraction peaks due to  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$ appeared at 820 $\degree$ C and intensified with increasing temperatures (Fig. 4b).

The diffractograms of benchmark  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  (limestone, bulk and NP) and the calcined derivatives in the absence or presence of an  $Al_2O_3$  coating were acquired by *ex situ XRD* (Fig. S4<sup>†</sup>). In the case of the as-synthesized benchmark  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  (limestone, bulk and NP) with a 2 nm-thick  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat, only CaCO<sub>3</sub> was identified, pointing to an amorphous nature of the assynthesized  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat. After calcination, Bragg reflections due to CaO and  $Ca(OH)_2$  were typically observed in all of the calcined benchmark sorbents regardless of the presence of an  $Al_2O_3$  coating owing to the decomposition of CaCO<sub>3</sub> to CaO and the formation of  $Ca(OH)_2$  due to the highly hygroscopic nature







Fig. 3 Morphological characterization of the benchmark sorbents: STEM EDX analysis of (a-c) Al (2 nm)-CaO\_limestone and (d-f) Al (2 nm)-CaO\_bulk. The insets in (a) and (d) represent HAADF-STEM images of Al (2 nm)–CaO\_limestone and Al (2 nm)–CaO\_bulk, respectively. TEM images of (g) Al (0 nm)–CaCO<sub>3</sub>\_NP and (h) Al (0 nm)–CaO\_NP. (i) HAADF-STEM with (j–l) EDX analysis of Al (2 nm)–CaO\_NP, HAADF-STEM with EDX analysis of (m and n) Al (12 nm)–CaCO<sub>3</sub>\_NP and (o and p) Al (12 nm)–CaO\_NP.

of CaO. Additionally,  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$  was observed for the calcined,  $Al_2O_3$ -coated benchmark sorbents (*i.e.*, limestone, bulk and NP). The observations made by electron microscopy analysis and XRD measurements point to the formation of  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$ through the Kirkendall effect.

For Al (2 nm)–CaO sorbents (hollow, limestone, bulk and NP), the intensity of the diffraction peaks of  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$  increased with the thickness of the  $Al_2O_3$  layer (Fig. S5a†). Rietveld analysis of the calcined, Al-containing samples confirmed a linear relationship between the amount of  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$  formed and the quantity of Al determined by inductively coupled plasma optical



Fig. 4 Physicochemical characterization: (a) in situ XRD diffractograms of Al (2 nm)–CaCO<sub>3</sub> in the temperature range of 600–900 °C. (b) The Ca mol% of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, CaO and Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> in the material calculated by Rietveld refinement as a function of temperature. The symbols represent ( $\blacksquare$ ) CaCO<sub>3</sub>, ( $\bullet$ ) CaO and ( $\bullet$ ) Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>. (c) Mol% of Al<sup>3+</sup> in different materials that have been coated by a 2 nm thick layer of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (determined by ICP-OES) as a function of the surface area of the original s ICP-OES) as a function of the surface area of the original sorbent. BJH pore size distribution of (d) CaO\_hollow, (e) CaO\_NP in the absence, *i.e.*, Al<br>(0 nm) and presence of an ALD-grown Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating of 2 nm thicknes thickness of the  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat.

emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) (Fig. S5b†). This implies that all Al introduced as a stabilizer formed a Ca–Al mixed oxide with CaO,  $viz.$ , Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>. It is also important to note that the same thickness of the  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat (2 nm) translates to a different quantity of Al in the synthetic and benchmark sorbents owing to different surface areas (Table 1 and S5b†). A higher surface area





translates into a higher fraction of  $Al_2O_3$  in the as-synthesized sorbent, as ALD is a surface-dependent, self-limiting deposition process (Fig. 4c).

The textural properties of the sorbents were characterized by  $N_2$  physisorption. For sorbents without an  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat, calcination of  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  (hollow, limestone and bulk) lead to an increase in both surface area and pore volume owing to the release of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ during the decomposition of  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  (Table 1). An exception was the calcination of the benchmark  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  NP (Al (0 nm)- $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  NP), which lead to a reduction in both the surface area and pore volume from 19  $m^2$   $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  and 0.175  $cm^3$   $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$ to, respectively, 9  $m^2$   $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  and 0.012  $cm^3$   $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$ . As confirmed by electron microscopy, calcination of Al (0 nm)-CaCO<sub>3</sub>\_NP resulted in a sintered, non-porous structure.

The deposition of  $Al_2O_3$  onto structures of CaCO<sub>3</sub> affected the textural properties of the materials after calcination

(compared to the  $Al_2O_3$ -free counterparts). Fig. 4d plots the BJH pore size distribution of hollow  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  with and without an  $Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  overcoat. After calcination, the surface area and pore volume of Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow (22  $m^2$   $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  and 0.223  $\text{cm}^3 \text{ g}_{\text{sortbent}}$ <sup>-1</sup>) were higher than that of Al (0 nm)-CaO\_hollow  $(18 \text{ m}^2 g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  and 0.210  $\text{cm}^3 g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$ ). In particular, the pore volume in pores with  $d_{\text{pore}}$  < 10 nm increased significantly when an  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat was deposited. For Al (2 nm)–CaO\_NP, the increase in pore volume occured mostly in small pores with  $d_{\text{pore}}$  < 10 nm (Fig. 4e). Similarly, the volume in pores with  $d_{\text{pore}}$ < 10 nm increased appreciably for Al (2 nm)–CaO\_limestone and Al (2 nm)–CaO\_bulk when compared to their overcoat-free counterparts (Fig. S6†). The observations made by  $N_2$  physisorption in combination with the results of the XRD measurements and electron microscopy indicate that the interdiffusion of CaO and  $Al_2O_3$  induced by the formation of



Fig. 5 CO<sub>2</sub> capture performance: (a) CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-coated, hollow-spheres after the 10<sup>th</sup> cycle as a function of the thickness of the ALDgrown Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer. The dashed line represents the cyclic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of limestone, i.e., Al (0 nm)-CaO\_limestone, in the 10<sup>th</sup> cycle, and the solid line gives the maximum theoretical CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of pure CaO. (b) CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-coated, CaO-based CO<sub>2</sub> sorbents as a function of the number of carbonation–regeneration cycles. The dashed line represents the maximum theoretical CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of a sorbent with a molar ratio of  $Ca^{2+}$ : Al<sup>3+</sup> = 9:1 (i.e., 25 wt% of Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) assuming the full conversion of all of the CO<sub>2</sub> capture-active CaO in the material. (c) Temporally resolved CO<sub>2</sub> uptake profiles of the sorbents studied as a function of carbonation time. The solid and dashed lines represent the 1st and 30th carbonation cycle, respectively. (d) CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in the kinetically controlled carbonation stage as a function of pore volume in the 1<sup>st</sup> ( $\blacksquare$ ) and 30<sup>th</sup> ( $\square$ ) cycle. The dashed line represents the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in the kinetically controlled carbonation stage as predicted by the Pacciani et al. model.<sup>33,77</sup> The fraction of Al<sup>3+</sup> in Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow, Al (10 nm)–CaO\_limestone, Al (10 nm)–CaO\_bulk and Al (1 nm)–CaO\_NP was determined as 10 mol% by ICP-OES.

#### $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture performance of CaO-based  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbents

The cyclic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture performance of the different CaO-based CO2 sorbents was assessed in a TGA using industrially relevant operation conditions, *i.e.*, calcination was performed at 900  $^{\circ}$ C in a CO<sub>2</sub>-rich atmosphere. The CO<sub>2</sub> sorbent with a hollow sphere structure without the addition of an  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  overcoat (Al (0) nm)–CaO hollow) showed a significant reduction in its  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ uptake with cycle number. The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake decreased from 0.61  $g_{\text{CO}_2}$   $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  in the first cycle to 0.26  $g_{\text{CO}_2}$   $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  in the 10<sup>th</sup> cycle (Fig. S7a†). The other extreme, Al (12 nm)–CaO\_hollow, which is almost pure  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$ , showed no  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake as  $\rm Ca_3Al_2O_6$  does not react with  $\rm CO_2$  under the conditions studied here. Nevertheless, the deposition of an  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat (forming  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$  upon calcination) stabilized the cyclic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of the material, despite the small quantity of  $Al_2O_3$  added (overcoat thicknesses of 0.5 nm and 1.0 nm correspond to an  $Al^{3+}$ molar fraction of 2.5% and 5.1% in the sorbent, respectively, as determined by ICP-OES). After ten cycles, Al (0.5 nm)-CaO\_hollow and Al (1 nm)–CaO\_hollow retained 65% (0.38  $g<sub>CO</sub>$ )  $\mathrm{g_{\text{sort}}}^{-1})$  and 77%  $(0.44\;\mathrm{g_{CO_2}}\;\mathrm{g_{\text{sort}}}^{-1})$  of their initial  $\mathrm{CO_2}$ uptake capacity, respectively, compared to 42% for the unstabilized sample Al (0 nm)–CaO\_hollow. When the thickness of the  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat was increased to 2 nm and 8 nm, the retention value for the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake over ten cycles was further improved to 93% and 97%, respectively, but the overall  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ uptake capacity was much lower than with thinner coatings. Fig. 5a plots the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake of hollow-sphere sorbents at the  $10^{th}$  cycle as a function of the thickness of the ALD-grown  $Al_2O_3$ layer. The parabolic behaviour of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake, where a sharp increase is followed by a gradual decrease with an increasing thickness of the  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat, suggests that there is a trade-off between the cyclic stability of a sorbent and the availability of CO<sub>2</sub>-capture-active CaO. Based on our measurements, the optimal thickness of the  $Al_2O_3$  overcoat was 2 nm (corresponding to 10 mol% of  $Al^{3+}$  in the sorbent). Surfaminde Energy & Fuels<br>
Ca<sub>s</sub>Al,O<sub>6</sub>, upon calcination results in the formation of small licensed amalysis, were as follows (rig. SN) A(12 am)-CaO, upons<br>
The cyclic CO<sub>2</sub> capture performance of CaO-based O<sub>3</sub>, subsets

For reference, Fig.  $S7b\dagger$  plots the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake of the benchmark sorbents (limestone, bulk and NP) that were also coated with a 2 nm-thick  $Al_2O_3$  layer. The initial  $CO_2$  uptake and stability varied significantly with the type of  $CaO/CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  matrix of the backbone. Specifically, the following values were observed for the initial  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake and retention of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake over 30 cycles: (i) Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow (0.54  $\rm g_{CO_2}$   $\rm g_{\rm sorbent}^{-1}$  and 80%), (ii) Al (2 nm)–CaO\_limestone (0.55  $g_{CO_2}$   $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  and 30%), (iii) Al (2 nm)–CaO\_bulk ( $0.56 g_{CO_2}$   $g_{\text{so} + \text{b} + \text{c}}$  and 46%), and (iv) Al (2 nm)– CaO\_NP (0.53  $g_{CO_2}$   $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  and 58%). Owing to differences in the surface areas of the different CaO/CaCO<sub>3</sub> structures that have been coated with a 2 nm-thick layer of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , the molar fraction of  $Al^{3+}$  in the material varied between 1-18 mol%. Hence, the number of ALD cycles had to be adjusted to obtain a fixed quantity of 10 mol% of  $Al^{3+}$  (as determined by ICP-OES, Table 1) in the benchmark materials, yielding Al (10 nm)–CaO\_limestone, Al (10 nm)–CaO\_bulk and Al (1 nm)–CaO\_NP. The weight fractions of  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$  in the calcined materials, as calculated by the

Rietveld analysis, were as follows (Fig. S5b†): Al (2 nm)–CaO\_ hollow (25%), Al (10 nm)–CaO\_limestone (26%), Al (10 nm)– CaO\_bulk (24%) and Al (1 nm)–CaO\_NP (24%). All of these values were very close to the theoretically expected weight fraction of 25%, which is based on the assumption that all of the Al available is present in the phase  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$ . All of these sorbents (both hollow and benchmark morphologies) that contained 10 mol% of  $Al^{3+}$  showed nearly identical CO<sub>2</sub> uptakes in the first cycle (Fig. 5b), *i.e.*, in the range 0.49-0.53  $g_{CO}$ ,  $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  (Fig. 5b). However, the decay behavior of the sorbents differed largely. The initial  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake and decay rate per cycle were determined as follows: Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow (0.53  $g_{CO}$ ,  $g_{\text{sorbert}}^{-1}$  and 0.7%/ cycle), Al (10 nm)–CaO\_limestone  $(0.51 g_{CO} g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  and  $1.8\%$ cycle), Al (10 nm)-CaO\_bulk (0.50  $g_{\rm CO}$ ,  $g_{\rm sorbent}$ <sup>-1</sup> and 1.8%/cycle) and Al (1 nm)–CaO\_NP (0.48  $g_{CO_2}$   $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  and 2.5%/cycle).

To understand better the effect of the structure of the sorbent on the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake, the temporally resolved carbonation profiles were acquired (Fig. 5c). The two reaction regimes, *i.e.*, kinetically controlled and diffusion-limited, can be approximated by linearly increasing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptakes with time, whereby the intersection of the linear approximations can be used to determine the transition point between the two regimes. The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake of Al  $(2 \text{ nm})$ -CaO\_hollow in the kinetically controlled stage of the carbonation reaction reached 0.38  $g_{CO}$ ,  $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  in the 1<sup>st</sup> cycle (corresponding to 71% of the overall  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake), which reduced to 0.21  $g_{CO_2}$   $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  in the 30<sup>th</sup> cycle (55% retention). For the benchmark sorbents (limestone, bulk and NP), 56–67% of the total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake was captured in the kinetically controlled carbonation regime in the  $1<sup>st</sup>$  cycle, *i.e.*, comparable to the sorbent that possessed a hollow-structured morphology. Despite similar quantities of  $Al^{3+}$  (10 mol%) in all of the sorbents tested, the benchmark sorbents experienced a significant drop in their  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake in the kinetically controlled carbonation regime when compared to Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow. For all sorbents investigated there appeared to be a linear relationship between the quantity of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  captured in the kinetically controlled carbonation regime and the BJH pore volume for  $d_{\text{pore}}$  < 100 nm (Table 1 and Fig. 5d). The measured  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake agreed well with the one predicted by the model of Pacciani et  $al^{33,77}$  providing further evidence for the assumption that the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake in the kinetically controlled carbonation regime was governed by the filling of the small pores with  $d_{\text{pore}}$  < 100 nm.<sup>33,77</sup>

#### Morphological characterization of the cycled CaO-based sorbents

To evaluate changes in the structure of the sorbent over multiple  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture and regeneration cycles, the cycled  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ sorbents were analyzed by electron microscopy. After 30 cycles, the grain size in all of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbents investigated increased appreciably (Fig. 6a). The growth in grain size (increase compared to the initial grain size) was in the following order: Al  $(1 \text{ nm})$ –CaO\_NP $(324%) \gg$  Al $(10 \text{ nm})$ –CaO\_limestone  $(181%)$  $\approx$  Al (10 nm)-CaO\_bulk (171%) > Al (2 nm)-CaO\_hollow (130%). The trend in the growth of the size of the CaO grains is in line with the rate of decay in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake, *i.e.*, a higher growth rate of the grain size leads to a higher decay rate in the



Fig. 6 Morphological characterization of the cycled CO<sub>2</sub> sorbents: (a) SEM images of freshly calcined and cycled CO<sub>2</sub> sorbents. (b) HAADF-STEM with EDX analysis of Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow after carbonation as a function of cycle number. (c) HAADF-STEM with EDX analysis of cycled benchmark materials. The molar ratio of Al in the benchmark materials is 10 mol% as determined by ICP-OES. All of the cycled benchmark materials are in the calcined state.

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake. This is indicative of a sintering-induced decay of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake in all of the sorbents tested. Furthermore, HAADF-STEM imaging of Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow (Fig. 6b) reveals that the central void of the hollow spheres was preserved during carbonation and possibly acted as a buffer for the volumetric changes during carbonation and regeneration. Nevertheless, the size of the central void was reduced gradually over repeated cycles of carbonation and regeneration and finally disappeared after 20 cycles. Over 20 cycles, the overall diameter of the hollow spheres reduced from 780  $\pm$  20 nm to 610  $\pm$ 15 nm. EDX analysis confirms the aggregation and enrichment of Al-containing phases on the surface of cycled Al (2 nm)– CaO\_hollow, in particular from cycle number 10 onwards (Fig. 6b). The segregation and enrichment of Al-containing phases on the surface was also observed in the benchmark materials (Fig. 6c). The surface migration of the stabilizer  $(AI_2O_3)$ or Ca–Al mixed oxides) probably triggeried the sintering of the material.<sup>83</sup>

#### Sorption-enhanced water-gas shift reaction of bi-functional Ni–CaO catalyst

 $CaO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbents have the potential to be incorporated in catalytic processes, yet the possible interaction between the catalyst and the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbent (both stabilizer and CaO) with

respect to the formation of a catalytically inactive solid solution needs to be taken into consideration. Here, a bi-functional catalyst-sorbent was prepared by impregnating Ni onto the most promising  $CO_2$  sorbent, *i.e.*, Al  $(2 \text{ nm})$ -CaO\_hollow, and Al (0 nm)–CaO\_hollow for reference. The large miscibility gap owing to an appreciable difference in the effective ionic radii of  $Ca^{2+}$  (100 pm) and Ni<sup>2+</sup> (69 pm) in an octahedral coordination<sup>84</sup> restricts the formation of a CaO-NiO solid solution.<sup>85</sup> Concerning the interaction between Ni and  $Al_2O_3$  under hightemperature calcination conditions  $NiAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  can form.<sup>86</sup> The bi-functional material was characterized in detailed after calcination at 900 °C in air. H<sub>2</sub>-TPR of both Ni/Al (2 nm)-CaO\_hollow and Ni/Al (0 nm)–CaO\_hollow showed a single reduction peak at 460–470 °C (Fig. 7a). This low-temperature reduction is ascribed to the reduction of  $\alpha$ -type NiO that has only a weak interaction with the support.87,88

The chemical composition and structure of the calcined and reduced materials were characterized further by XRD. The following phases were identified in calcined Ni/Al  $(0 \ nm)$ -CaO\_hollow and Ni/Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow: NiO, CaO and  $Ca(OH)_2$ . In Ni/Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow, also  $Ca_3Al_2O_6$  was detected by XRD. After reduction, Bragg reflections due to Ni were observed in both Ni-containing materials (Fig. 7b). XRD did not provide any evidence that Ni interacted with CaO or



Fig. 7 Characterization of bi-functional Ni/Al (0 nm)–CaO\_hollow and Ni/Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow: (a) H<sub>2</sub>-TPR, (b) XRD diffractograms of the calcined and reduced materials. The symbols represent ( $\bullet$ ) CaO, ( $\bullet$ ) Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, ( $\blacktriangle$ ) Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, ( $\blacktriangleright$ ) NiO, and ( $\square$ ) Ni. (c) HAADF-STEM images of the reduced materials coupled with EDX mappings of Ca, Ni, and Al.

 $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$ , which is in agreement with the findings of the H<sub>2</sub>-TPR experiments.

The reduced materials were visualized by HAADF-STEM coupled with EDX analysis (Fig. 7c). After reduction, Ni nanoparticles with a diameter of 11  $\pm$  3.7 nm and 9.7  $\pm$  2.2 nm were observed on Ni/Al (0 nm)–CaO\_hollow and Ni/Al (2 nm)– CaO\_hollow, respectively. It is important to note that the hollow-sphere structure of Ni/Al (2 nm)–CaO and the homogeneous distribution of Ca and Al were preserved over wet impregnation, calcination and reduction. However, in the absence of the stabilizer  $Al_2O_3$ , i.e., Ni/Al (0 nm)-CaO\_hollow, the hollow structure collapsed.

The catalytic activity of the bi-functional materials for the cyclic SE-WGS reaction was assessed in a fixed-bed reactor at 400 °C using a total flow rate of 70 ml min<sup>-1</sup> (5 ml min<sup>-1</sup> CO, 20 ml min<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O and 45 ml min<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>2</sub>; GHSV = 16.8 L g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> of GHSV). After 50 min of time on stream (TOS), the material was heated to 800 °C for regeneration (15 min in 50 ml min<sup>-1</sup> of N<sub>2</sub>). Fig. 8a plots the mole fractions of  $H_2$ , CH<sub>4</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> (dry, N<sub>2</sub>free basis) measured in the effluent stream during the first cycle. As the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture part is a transient process due to the finite  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture capacity of the bifunctional material, the overall reaction proceeds in three distinct stages: (i) prebreakthrough stage, producing high-purity  $H_2$  with full CO



Fig. 8 SE-WGS performance of Ni/Al (0 nm)–CaO\_hollow and Ni/Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow: (a) composition of the effluent during the 1st cycle. Three reaction stages are observed: (i) pre-breakthrough, (ii) breakthrough, and (iii) post-breakthrough. (b) Breakthrough curves of H<sub>2</sub> (red) and CO<sub>2</sub> (green) during the 1<sup>st</sup> (solid line), 5<sup>th</sup> (dashed line) and 10<sup>th</sup> (dotted line) cycle. The arrows indicate the shift of breakthrough with cycle number. (c) CO<sub>2</sub> uptake as determined in a TGA and during SE-WGS conditions. The solid line gives the maximal theoretical CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of pure C<sub>a</sub>O

conversion; (ii) breakthrough stage, reducing  $H_2$  purity owing to the decreasing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake capacity (and the kinetics) of the sorbent; and (iii) post-breakthrough stage, yielding an off-gas composition close to the conventional WGS process as the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sorbent has reached its full capacity. High-purity  $H<sub>2</sub>$  that requires hardly any additional purification steps is produced only in the pre-breakthrough stage. Hence, a material yielding a long pre-breakthrough period is highly desirable. Using Ni/Al (0 nm)–CaO\_hollow, the effluent gas contained 98%  $H_2$  in the pre-breakthrough stage  $(t = 0-29 \text{ min})$ , with negligible quantities of  $CH_4$ ,  $CO_2$  and  $CO$ , yielding 100% CO conversion and 25.3 mmol  $g^{-1}$  of high-purity H<sub>2</sub>. This observation confirms that the carbonation reaction reaches equilibrium rapidly, leading to an almost complete uptake of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  produced in the WGS. In the breakthrough stage  $(t = 29-40 \text{ min})$ , the molar fraction of  $H<sub>2</sub>$  decreased gradually, whereas the mole fraction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ increased. This was due to fact that the carbonation reaction transitioned into the slow, diffusion-limited reaction regime, limiting the uptake of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in the given residence time of the reactor. At the end of the breakthrough stage, the mole fractions of  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  were 0.45 and the mole fraction of CO increased

from <0.01 to 0.06. The CO conversion was reduced from  $\approx$  100% to 94%. For Ni/Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow, the overall trend was similar to Ni/Al (0 nm)–CaO\_hollow; yet, the duration of the pre-breakthrough stage was shorter  $(t = 0-24$  min) when compared to Ni/Al (0 nm)–CaO\_hollow ( $t = 0-29$  min). The CO conversion and  $H_2$  yield in the pre-breakthrough of Ni/Al (2 nm)-CaO\_hollow were 100% and 21.0 mmol  $g^{-1}$ , respectively. This was due to the formation of  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$  in Ni/Al (2 nm)-CaO\_hollow, which reduced the quantity of  $CO_2$ -capture-active CaO in the material (75%). Concerning the cyclic stability of the bi-functional materials, we observed that the duration of the pre-breakthrough period gradually decreased with number of repeated SE-WGS and regeneration cycles (Fig. 8b). Nevertheless, Ni/Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow exhibited a good cyclic stability (reduction of the duration of the pre-breakthrough period from 24 to 21 min only over ten cycles), whereas the pre-breakthrough period of Ni/Al (0 nm)–CaO\_hollow reduced from 29 min to 10 min. Indeed, the cyclic CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacity of Ni/Al  $(0 \text{ nm})$ -CaO\_hollow and Ni/Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow measured during SE-WGS was in very good agreement with the values determined in a TGA under SE-WGS-mimicking conditions (carbonation at

#### Sustainable Energy & Fuels **Paper**

400 °C using 70 ml min<sup>-1</sup> of 20 vol% CO<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> and regeneration at 800 °C in 70 ml min<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>2</sub>; Fig. 8c). However, the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake under SE-WGS conditions (carbonation a 400  $^{\circ}$ C) is lower than the value determined under  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture conditions (carbonation at 650 $^{\circ}$ C), most likely due to slower carbonation kinetics. Concerning the window of the reaction temperature of the SE-WGS ( $\sim$ 400 °C), MgO-based CO<sub>2</sub> sorbents might be more favorable.<sup>51,89</sup> The particle size of Ni in Ni/Al  $(0 \text{ nm})$ –CaO\_hollow and Ni/Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow increased by a factor of, respectively, 3.9 (43  $\pm$  7 nm) and 2.5 (24  $\pm$  5 nm) over ten cycles of the SE-WGS (Fig. S9†). Nonetheless, both materials maintained a high CO conversion during pre-breakthrough ( $\approx$  100%) and post-breakthrough  $(\approx 94\%)$  over the ten cycles tested. A

significant reduction was observed in the pore volume of Ni/Al (0 nm)–CaCO<sub>3</sub>\_hollow from 0.175 cm<sup>3</sup>  $g_{\text{sorbent}}$ <sup>-1</sup> to 0.021 cm<sup>3</sup>  $g_{\text{sorbent}}$ <sup>-1</sup> after ten cycles of the SE-WGS and regeneration, whereas Ni/Al (0 nm)-CaCO<sub>3</sub>\_hollow possessed a much higher pore volume of 0.120 cm<sup>3</sup>  $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  (Table S2†). The reduction of the available pore volume in the material is closely linked to the decay of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake, leading to a poor SE-WGS stability of Ni/Al (0 nm)–CaO\_hollow.

The SE-WGS performance of Ni/Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow was investigated further in the kinetic regime, i.e., far from the equilibrium CO conversion, using different steam-to-carbon (S/ C) ratios and different temperatures (400-600  $^{\circ}$ C). When increasing the S/C ratio from 1 to 4 an improved WGS



Fig. 9 CO conversion and H<sub>2</sub> yield of Ni/Al (2 nm)-CaO\_hollow in the pre-breakthrough stage of SE-WGS as a function of (a) the steam-tocarbon ratio (400 °C using a total flow rate of 110–140 ml min<sup>-1</sup> composed of 10 ml min<sup>-1</sup> CO, 10–40 ml min<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O and 90 ml min<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>2</sub>; GHSV = 26.4–36.8 L g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) and (b) the reaction temperature (400–600 °C using a total flow rate of 120 ml min<sup>-1</sup> composed of 10 ml min<sup>-1</sup> CO, 20 ml min $^{-1}$  H<sub>2</sub>O and 90 ml min $^{-1}$  N<sub>2</sub>; GHSV  $=$  28.8 L g<sub>cat</sub> $^{-1}$  h $^{-1}$ ). The dotted and solid lines represent the equilibrium CO conversion of WGS and SE-WGS, respectively. (c) CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of Ni/Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow at different carbonation temperatures of 400, 500 and 600 °C as a function of the number of carbonation–regeneration cycles. (d)  $H_2$  yield in the pre-breakthrough stage of the SE-WGS (using a total flow rate of 120 ml min $^{-1}$  composed of 10 ml min $^{-1}$  CO, 20 ml min $^{-1}$  H<sub>2</sub>O and 90 ml min $^{-1}$  N<sub>2</sub>; GHSV = 28.8 L g<sub>cat</sub> $^{-1}$  h $^{-1}$ ) as a function of the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of Ni/Al (2 nm)-CaO\_hollow at 400, 500 and 600 °C

performance was observed, *i.e.*, an increase in the CO conversion from 40.5% to 67.4% in the  $CO_2$ -free pre-breakthrough stage (Fig. 9a). Furthermore, the CO conversion was increased from 55.8% to 69.5% when the temperature was increased from 400 °C to 500 °C under a total flow rate of 120 ml min<sup>-1</sup> (10 ml min<sup>-1</sup> CO, 20 ml min<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O and 90 ml min<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>2</sub>; GHSV = 28.8 L  $g_{cat}^{-1}$  h<sup>-1</sup>). A further increase of the temperature to 600  $^{\circ}$ C resulted in a decrease of the CO conversion to 61.3% (Fig. 9b). The reduced CO conversion is mostly ascribed to the equilibrium limitation of the SE-WGS above 600  $^{\circ}$ C (Fig. 9b). The  $H_2$  yield in the CO<sub>2</sub>-free pre-breakthrough stage showed an insignificant change with S/C ratio (Fig. 9a), but increased when the temperature was increased from 400  $\degree$ C to 600  $\degree$ C (Fig. 9b). This increase is linked to the increase of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture capacity of the sorbent from 0.36  $g_{\text{CO}_2}$   $g_{\text{sorbent}}$ <sup>-1</sup> at 400 °C to 0.53  $g_{\text{CO}}$ ,  $g_{\text{sorbent}}^{-1}$  at 600 °C (Fig. 9c). These findings are in line with the linear correlation of the  $H_2$  yield with  $CO_2$  uptake in the prebreakthrough stage (Fig. 9d). It is noteworthy that the effective  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake capacity of Ni/Al (2 nm)–CaO\_hollow (0.36–0.53  $g<sub>CO</sub>$ )  $g_{\text{sorbent}}$ <sup>-1</sup> at 400–600 °C) outperforms alkali metal-promoted hydrotalcite and  $Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  materials that have been studied for the SE-WGS (*i.e.*, 0.01–0.02  $g_{\rm CO_2}$   $g_{\rm sorbent}$ <sup>-1</sup>).<sup>52,56–60</sup> Paper<br>
Published on 13 November 2019. An increase in the CO converse Articles are proportional materials are the proportional materials are the common and the set of the set of

The SE-WGS performance of bifunctional Ni–CaO is mostly affected by the catalytic activity for achieving a high CO conversion and on the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture capacity for obtaining a long pre-breakthrough stage during which high-purity hydrogen is produced. The development of bifunctional catalyst-sorbents thus aims at reducing the quantity of catalyst and stabilizer in the material while providing still a high CO conversion and an effective stabilization of the CaO against sintering. Utilizing inert stabilizers that do not form mixed oxides with CaO under the relevant operation conditions, such as  $Y_2O_3$ , MgO and  $ZnO<sup>27-31</sup>$  typically allows for the production of materials with higher amounts of CaO, active for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture. For higher CO conversions, the development of Ni-based catalysts forming alloys with Cu, Fe, Co and Zn, or with an improved Ni dispersion on the surface have shown promising results and could thus be considered in the further improvement of bifunctional catalystsorbent.<sup>64</sup>

## **Conclusions**

To summarize, we have employed atomic layer deposition (ALD) to deposit conformal layers of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  onto different structures of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, i.e., hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub> spheres, natural limestone, bulk and nanoparticle-sized  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ . The interaction of the ALD-grown layer of  $Al_2O_3$  with CaO resulted in the formation of  $CO_2$ capture-inactive  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$ .  $Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$  acted as a stabilizer to maintain the original  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  structure during calcination, in particular for hollow spheres. We found that the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake capacity depends critically on the structure of the sorbent, whereby the volume available in pores with  $d_{\text{pore}} < 100 \text{ nm}$ dictates the CaO conversion in the kinetically controlled carbonation regime. Among the sorbents tested, hollow CaCO<sub>3</sub> spheres coated with a 2 nm thick layer of  $Al_2O_3$  yielded the highest CO<sub>2</sub> uptake over 30 cycles. Functionalizing such hollow  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  spheres with Ni nanoparticles lead to an effective bifunctional material for the sorption-enhanced water-gas shi reaction (SE-WGS). The newly developed bi-functional material showed a cyclically stable pre-breakthrough period in which high-purity hydrogen was produced with minimal CO slip.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge ETH (ETH 57 12-2) and the Swiss National Science Foundation (200020\_156015) for financial support. We would like to thank the Scientific Center for Optical and Electron Microscopy (ScopeM) and the Center for Micro- and Nanoscience (FIRST) at ETH Zurich for providing training and access to electron microscopes.

## References

- 1 IPCC, Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report, The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, IPCC, Geneva, 2014.
- 2 E. Levina, S. Bennett and S. McCoy, Technology Roadmap: Carbon capture and storage, IEA, Paris, 2013.
- 3 IEA, Energy Technology Perspectives 2016: Towards Sustainable Urban Energy Systems, IEA, Paris, 2016.
- 4 M. Aresta and A. Dibenedetto, Dalton Trans., 2007, 2975– 2992.
- 5 A. Otto, T. Grube, S. Schiebahn and D. Stolten, Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 8, 3283–3297.
- 6 E. V. Kondratenko, G. Mul, J. Baltrusaitis, G. O. Larrazabal and J. Perez-Ramirez, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013, 6, 3112– 3135.
- 7 S. M. Kim, P. M. Abdala, T. Margossian, D. Hosseini, L. Foppa, A. Armutlulu, W. van Beek, A. Comas-Vives, C. Copéret and C. Müller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, 139, 1937–1949.
- 8 S. M. Kim, P. M. Abdala, M. Broda, D. Hosseini, C. Copéret and C. Müller, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 2815-2823.
- 9 M. Zhao, A. I. Minett and A. T. Harris, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013, 6, 25–40.
- 10 P. Fennell, in Calcium and Chemical Looping Technology for Power Generation and Carbon Dioxide  $(CO_2)$  Capture, ed. P. Fennell and B. Anthony, Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge, 2015, pp. 39–48.
- 11 N. D. Hutson, S. A. Speakman and E. A. Payzant, Chem. Mater., 2004, 16, 4135–4143.
- 12 T.-H. Bae, M. R. Hudson, J. A. Mason, W. L. Queen, J. J. Dutton, K. Sumida, K. J. Micklash, S. S. Kaye, C. M. Brown and J. R. Long, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013, 6, 128–138.
- 13 M. M. Lozinska, E. Mangano, J. P. Mowat, A. M. Shepherd, R. F. Howe, S. P. Thompson, J. E. Parker, S. Brandani and P. A. Wright, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 17628–17642.
- 14 T. Tetsuo, F. Tsuyoshi, T. Yasushi and S. Takeo, Chem. Lett., 1992, 21, 2161–2164.
- 15 J. Wei, D. Zhou, Z. Sun, Y. Deng, Y. Xia and D. Zhao, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2013, 23, 2322–2328.
- 16 G. P. Hao, W. C. Li, D. Qian and A. H. Lu, Adv. Mater., 2010, 22, 853–857.
- 17 A. O. z. r. Yazaydın, R. Q. Snurr, T.-H. Park, K. Koh, J. Liu, M. D. LeVan, A. I. Benin, P. Jakubczak, M. Lanuza and D. B. Galloway, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 18198–18199.
- 18 S. Xiang, Y. He, Z. Zhang, H. Wu, W. Zhou, R. Krishna and B. Chen, Nat. Commun., 2012, 3, 954.
- 19 A. Perejón, L. M. Romeo, Y. Lara, P. Lisbona, A. Martínez and J. M. Valverde, Appl. Energy, 2016, 162, 787–807.
- 20 J. Wang, L. Huang, R. Yang, Z. Zhang, J. Wu, Y. Gao, Q. Wang, D. O'Hare and Z. Zhong, Energy Environ. Sci., 2014, 7, 3478–3518.
- 21 S. Tian, J. Jiang, Z. Zhang and V. Manovic, Nat. Commun., 2018, 9, 4422.
- 22 R. Barker, J. Appl. Chem. Biotechnol., 1973, 23, 733–742.
- 23 M. Broda and C. R. Müller, *Fuel*, 2014, 127, 94-100.
- 24 M. Broda and C. R. Müller, Adv. Mater., 2012, 24, 3059-3064.
- 25 A. Armutlulu, M. A. Naeem, H.-J. Liu, S. M. Kim, A. Kierzkowska, A. Fedorov and C. R. Müller, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1702896.
- 26 H. J. Yoon and K. B. Lee, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, 355, 850–857.
- 27 R. Filitz, A. M. Kierzkowska, M. Broda and C. R. Müller, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2012, 46, 559–565.
- 28 M. A. Naeem, A. Armutlulu, Q. Imtiaz, F. Donat, R. Schäublin, A. Kierzkowska and C. R. Müller, Nat. Commun., 2018, 9, 2408.
- 29 H. Liu and S. Wu, Energy Fuels, 2019, 33, 7626–7633.
- 30 V. S. Derevschikov, A. I. Lysikov and A. G. Okunev, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2011, 50, 12741–12749.
- 31 X. Zhang, Z. Li, Y. Peng, W. Su, X. Sun and J. Li, Chem. Eng. J., 2014, 243, 297–304.
- 32 D. Alvarez and J. C. Abanades, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2005, 44, 5608–5615.
- 33 J. S. Dennis and R. Pacciani, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2009, 64, 2147– 2157.
- 34 N. H. Florin, J. Blamey and P. S. Fennell, Energy Fuels, 2010, 24, 4598–4604.
- 35 F.-Q. Liu, W.-H. Li, B.-C. Liu and R.-X. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 8037–8044.
- 36 S. F. Wu, Q. H. Li, J. N. Kim and K. B. Yi, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2008, 47, 180–184.
- 37 W. Liu, B. Feng, Y. Wu, G. Wang, J. Barry and J. C. Diniz da Costa, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2010, 44, 3093–3097.
- 38 L. Li, D. L. King, Z. Nie and C. Howard, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2009, 48, 10604–10613.
- 39 N. Phalak, N. Deshpande and L.-S. Fan, Energy Fuels, 2012, 26, 3903–3909.
- 40 R. Koirala, G. K. Reddy and P. G. Smirniotis, Energy Fuels, 2012, 26, 3103–3109.
- 41 R. Pacciani, C. Müller, J. Davidson, J. Dennis and A. Hayhurst, Can. J. Chem. Eng., 2008, 86, 356–366.
- 42 M. Broda, A. M. Kierzkowska and C. R. Müller, ChemSusChem, 2012, 5, 411–418.
- 43 A. M. Kierzkowska and C. R. Müller, ChemPlusChem, 2013, 78, 92–100.
- 44 S. M. Kim, A. M. Kierzkowska, M. Broda and C. R. Müller, Energy Procedia, 2017, 114, 220–229.
- 45 R. Han, J. Gao, S. Wei, Y. Su and Y. Qin, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 3462–3470.
- 46 C. Zhao, Z. Zhou, Z. Cheng and X. Fang, Appl. Catal., B, 2016, 196, 16–26.
- 47 M. Shokrollahi Yancheshmeh, H. R. Radfarnia and M. C. Iliuta, Chem. Eng. J., 2016, 283, 420–444.
- 48 C. Wang, Y. Chen, Z. Cheng, X. Luo, L. Jia, M. Song, B. Jiang and B. Dou, Energy Fuels, 2015, 29, 7408–7418.
- 49 C. Dang, H. Wang, H. Yu and F. Peng, Appl. Catal., A, 2017, 533, 9–16.
- 50 M. Shokrollahi Yancheshmeh, H. R. Radfarnia and M. C. Iliuta, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 9774–9786.
- 51 K. Wang, Y. Zhao, P. T. Clough, P. Zhao and E. J. Anthony, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, 372, 886–895.
- 52 T. Noor, M. V. Gil and D. Chen, Appl. Catal., B, 2014, 150– 151, 585–595.
- 53 F. Ni and H. S. Caram, AlChE J, 2017, 63, 5452–5461.
- 54 K. Coenen, F. Gallucci, P. Cobden, E. van Dijk, E. Hensen and M. van Sint Annaland, Chem. Eng. J., 2016, 293, 9–23.
- 55 S. G. Mayorga, J. R. Hufton, S. Sircar and T. Gaffney, Sorption enhanced reaction process for production of hydrogen, Phase 1 final report, Report DOE/GO/10059-T1, Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Allentown, PA 1997.
- 56 Z. Yong, V. Mata and A. r. E. Rodrigues, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2002, 26, 195–205.
- 57 C. H. Lee and K. B. Lee, Appl. Energy, 2017, 205, 316–322.
- 58 H. M. Jang, K. B. Lee, H. S. Caram and S. Sircar, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2012, 73, 431–438.
- 59 E. Ochoa-Fernández, C. Lacalle-Vilà, T. Zhao, M. Rønning and D. Chen, in Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal., ed. F. Bellot Noronha, M. Schmal and E. Falabella Sousa-Aguiar, Elsevier, 2007, vol. 167, pp. 159–164. Statished free py 6 Forts Article 2019. Article 2019. This article. Published on 13 November 2019. Download Creative Commons Articles. Article 2019. The statistical Article is like the statistic is like the statistic is l
	- 60 P. D. Cobden, P. van Beurden, H. T. J. Reijers, G. D. Elzinga, S. C. A. Kluiters, J. W. Dijkstra, D. Jansen and R. W. van den Brink, Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control, 2007, 1, 170–179.
	- 61 C. Wheeler, A. Jhalani, E. J. Klein, S. Tummala and L. D. Schmidt, J. Catal., 2004, 223, 191–199.
	- 62 M. L. Ang, U. Oemar, E. T. Saw, L. Mo, Y. Kathiraser, B. H. Chia and S. Kawi, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 3237–3248.
	- 63 K.-R. Hwang, C.-B. Lee and J.-S. Park, J. Power Sources, 2011, 196, 1349–1352.
	- 64 J. Ashok, M. H. Wai and S. Kawi, ChemCatChem, 2018, 10, 3927–3942.
	- 65 C. Han and D. P. Harrison, Chem. Eng. Sci., 1994, 49, 5875– 5883.
	- 66 Y. Liu, Z. Li, L. Xu and N. Cai, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2012, 51, 11989–11997.
	- 67 C. Rhodes, B. P. Williams, F. King and G. J. Hutchings, Catal. Commun., 2002, 3, 381–384.
	- 68 D. S. Newsome, Catal. Rev.: Sci. Eng., 1980, 21, 275–318.
	- 69 C. Rhodes, G. J. Hutchings and A. M. Ward, Catal. Today, 1995, 23, 43–58.
	- 70 A. A. Gokhale, J. A. Dumesic and M. Mavrikakis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 1402–1414.
- 71 D.-W. Lee, M. S. Lee, J. Y. Lee, S. Kim, H.-J. Eom, D. J. Moon and K.-Y. Lee, Catal. Today, 2013, 210, 2–9.
- 72 D. C. Grenoble, M. M. Estadt and D. F. Ollis, J. Catal., 1981, 67, 90–102.
- 73 M. S. Yancheshmeh, H. R. Radfarnia and M. C. Iliuta, Chem. Eng. J., 2016, 283, 420–444.
- 74 B. Dou, C. Wang, Y. Song, H. Chen, B. Jiang, M. Yang and Y. Xu, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2016, 53, 536–546.
- 75 T. Noor, Doctoral thesis, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, 2013.
- 76 S. Choi, J. H. Drese and C. W. Jones, ChemSusChem, 2009, 2, 796–854.
- 77 R. Pacciani, C. R. Muller, J. F. Davidson, J. S. Dennis and ¨ A. N. Hayhurst, Can. J. Chem. Eng., 2008, 86, 356–366.
- 78 S. I. Kuriyavar, R. Vetrivel, S. G. Hegde, A. V. Ramaswamy, D. Chakrabarty and S. Mahapatra, J. Mater. Chem., 2000, 10, 1835–1840.
- 79 N. S. Yüzbasi, A. Armutlulu, P. M. Abdala and C. R. Müller, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 37994–38005.
- 80 A. D. Smigelskas and E. O. Kirkendall, Trans. Aime, 1947, 171, 130–142.
- 81 P. Y. Hou, in Reference Module in Materials Science and Materials Engineering, Elsevier, 2016.
- 82 B. Pieraggi, in Developments in High Temperature Corrosion and Protection of Materials, ed. W. Gao and Z. Li, Woodhead Publishing, 2008, pp. 9–35. Paper<br>
7 D.-W. Let, M. S. Let, J. Y. Let, S. Kim, H.-J. Dom, D. J. Moon 14 P. Y. Hou, in Reference Redakt is Materials Science and<br>
7 Or C. Y. Hou, S. M. November 2019. Commons Communications (Superinter, This article is l
	- 83 S. M. Kim, W.-C. Liao, A. M. Kierzkowska, T. Margossian, D. Hosseini, S. Yoon, M. Broda, C. Copéret and C. R. Müller, Chem. Mater., 2018, 30, 1344-1352.
	- 84 R. Shannon, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr., 1976, 32, 751–767.
	- 85 V. Prostakova, J. Chen, E. Jak and S. A. Decterov, CALPHAD: Comput. Coupling Phase Diagrams Thermochem., 2012, 37,  $1 - 10.$
	- 86 L. Zhou, L. Li, N. Wei, J. Li and J.-M. Basset, ChemCatChem, 2015, 7, 2508–2516.
	- 87 Y. Xu, H. Long, Q. Wei, X. Zhang, S. Shang, X. Dai and Y. Yin, Catal. Today, 2013, 211, 114–119.
	- 88 D. Hu, J. Gao, Y. Ping, L. Jia, P. Gunawan, Z. Zhong, G. Xu, F. Gu and F. Su, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2012, 51, 4875–4886.
	- 89 Y. Hu, H. Cui, Z. Cheng and Z. Zhou, Chem. Eng. J., 2018, 377, 119823.