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SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{pro} is one of the enzymes essential for the replication process of the virus responsible for the COVID-19 pandemic. This work is focused on exploring its proteolysis reaction by means of QM/MM methods. The resulting free energy landscape of the process provides valuable information on the species appearing along the reaction path and suggests that the mechanism of action of this enzyme, taking place in four steps, slightly differs from that of other cysteine proteases. Our predictions, which are in agreement with some recently published experimental data, can be used to guide the design of COVID-19 antiviral compounds with clinical potential.

**Introduction**

Novel severe acute respiratory syndrome – coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has been identified as the virus responsible for COVID-19, the name designated to the global coronavirus 2019 pandemic disease emerged in late December 2019. At present there are no vaccines or antiviral drugs available for the prevention or treatment of COVID-19 infections. The main coronavirus protease (SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{pro}, also called 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}) plays a key role in processing the polyproteins that are translated from the viral RNA in the replication of SARS-CoV-2 virus. Thus, inhibiting the activity of this enzyme would block the viral life cycle. In addition, a conserved feature of SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{pro} is its specificity in cleaving proteins after the Gln residue, a characteristic observed in other coronavirus proteases but not in human enzymes. Consequently, inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{pro} shouldn’t present toxic effects on humans, thus becoming an excellent target to synthesize new anti-viral drugs. Within this context, the existence of recently solved X-ray structures of SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{pro} opens the door for computer simulations focused on understanding how this enzyme works, which can assist in the design of new compounds with higher and more selective inhibitory activity.

SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{pro} is a cysteine protease (CP) with an active site catalytic dyad (Cys\textsuperscript{145}/His\textsuperscript{41}) similar to other CPs. It is proposed that the imidazole group of His polarizes and activates the SH group of Cys to form a highly nucleophilic Cys\textsuperscript{-}/His\textsuperscript{+} ion pair that would react with the substrate.\textsuperscript{4} In this regard, according to our recent QM/MM study on the proteolysis reaction catalyzed by the cruzain CP, the proton from the protonated His\textsuperscript{+} is transferred to the N atom of the scissile peptide bond after the Cys attacks the carboxyl carbon atom of the peptide.\textsuperscript{5} After this acylation step, the recovery of the enzyme in the following deacylation stage would be assisted by a water molecule activated by the His.

The present paper is focused on exploring the proteolysis reaction catalyzed by SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{pro} by means of multiscale QM/MM molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. This appears to be the first QM/MM study revealing the mechanism of action of SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{pro} at the atomistic level. The polypeptide Ac-Val-Lys-Leu-Gln-ACC (ACC is the 7-amino-4-carbamoylmethyloumarin fluorescent tag) was used as the substrate because rate constants have been recently reported.\textsuperscript{6} Then, a valuable comparison between our computational results and experimental data will allow the validation of our predictions. The structure of SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{pro} and a schematic representation of the reaction are depicted in Fig. 1. As shown, the full dimer will be considered for the simulations of the proteolysis reaction taking place in one of the active sites.

**Computational methods**

The molecular system was prepared based on the crystal structure of wild type M\textsuperscript{pro} in complex with a peptidyl Michael acceptor inhibitor (PDB ID 6LU7).\textsuperscript{2} The inhibitor was replaced by the polypeptide Ac-Val-Lys-Leu-Gln-ACC by means of the
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Analysis of the FESs (Fig. S3 and S4†) shows that both the acylation and the deacylation reactions take place in a stepwise manner (Fig. 2). Thus, according to the most favorable reaction path, as depicted in Scheme 1, first a proton is transferred from Cys145 to His41 concomitant with the nucleophilic attack on the carbonyl carbon atom of the peptide bond by the sulfur atom of Cys145, thus leading to a thiohemiketal (THA) intermediate, E-I1. Then, the cleavage of the peptide bond is assisted by the proton transfer from the protonated His41 to the nitrogen atom of the substrate, forming the acyl–enzyme complex intermediate E-I2. Once the first product of the reaction, ACC, is released from the active site, an activated water molecule attacks the carbonyl carbon atom of the Gln5 of the peptide, concomitant with the proton transfer to His41; E-I3. The covalent bond between Cys145 and the peptide in this protonated THA intermediate is then broken to release the second product species of the reaction in the last step. Details of the active site in the key states of the reaction are depicted in Fig. 3.

The full free energy landscape of the proteolysis reaction is shown in Fig. 2 and is derived from the M06-2X:AM1/MM free energy surfaces explored for the acylation and the diacylation step (Fig. S3 and S4,† respectively). It is important to point out that the analysis of the full reaction energy profile considered as the sum of two consecutive processes relies on the idea that the product of the acylation step, when ACC species is released, can be considered as the initial state for the following diacylation step. This is a good approximation if the exploration of the energy landscape of multi-step reactions is carried out in terms of free energy surfaces using statistical simulations. According to the results, the rate limiting step of the acylation step is determined by TS_E-I2-E-I1, with a free energy barrier of 19.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$ computed at 310 K. This value is in very good agreement with the value that can be derived from the rate constant reported by Rut et al., 19.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$. The kinetics of the deacylation step is governed by the TS_E-I3-E-I3, as in the histidine-assisted thiomethanolysis of formamide and the hydrolysis of the resulting thioester in solution. The resulting free energy barrier, 22.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$, is close to the 26.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$ computed in our previous QM/MM study for the deacylation step of the cruzain CP, even though in SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{pro} the reaction takes place in two steps through a protonated THA E-I3, and a concerted path was located in cruzain. Anyway, E-I3 and specially E-I1, must be considered as metastable intermediates, considering the low energy barriers required for their decomposition. In fact, as observed in Fig. 3, the peptide bond is already elongated in TS_{E-I3-E-I4} with respect to that of E-S, although the proton transfer from His41 to the scissile peptide bond is not involved in this transition state (H⋯N...
Molecular mechanism of the proteolysis catalyzed by SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{PRO}\ as deduced from QM/MM FESs.

distance = 2.98 Å. The E-I\textsubscript{1} \rightarrow E-I\textsubscript{2} step can be defined as a shoulder in the free energy profile thus suggesting an acylation reaction taking place through an almost concerted but very asynchronous mechanism. Downhill trajectories starting from TSE\textsuperscript{E:S} – E-I\textsubscript{1} can end in E-I\textsubscript{2} at 310 K. The concerted processes for the acylation and the deacylation reactions have been also explored and located (see optimized TSE\textsuperscript{E:S} – E-I\textsubscript{2} and TSE\textsuperscript{E:S} – E-I\textsubscript{3} structures in Fig. 3 and FESs in Fig. S5†) providing significantly higher activation energies; 25.6 and 40.6 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1}, respectively. Regarding the thermodynamics, a significantly exergonic process is obtained in both the acylation (−18.7 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1}) and the deacylation (−21.3 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1}) steps.

Further insights into our results can be provided by comparison with previous studies on other CPs. First, according to the present results, the most stable protonation state of the Cys145/His41 dyad in E:S (and in E:P) corresponds to that where both residues are neutral (Fig. 3), in contrast with previous computational studies of the proteolysis\textsuperscript{15,16,18} and inhibition\textsuperscript{19} of other CPs. The Cys145/His41\textsuperscript{+} ion pair is located in high energy regions of the FESs of the first and last steps (Fig. S3†). Analysis of the structures of E:S and those recently derived from X-ray diffraction studies of SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{PRO}\ in complex with different inhibitors,\textsuperscript{1–3} suggests that the absence of a conserved residue (Asp/Glu) interacting with the δN atom of His, thus modulating its \(pK_a\), can be the origin of this singular behavior of SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{PRO}. The presence of a stable THA intermediate during the acylation step in CPs is also a question of debate. While this has been supported by X-ray diffraction studies,\textsuperscript{19} its presence has been questioned by others,\textsuperscript{20} including ourselves.\textsuperscript{2} Moreover, previous attempts to explore the viability of the inhibition of CPs, such as cruzain by peptidyl halomethyl ketones,\textsuperscript{15} reveal that a stepwise mechanism involving the formation of a THA intermediate was unsuccessful. Nevertheless, despite being a metastable intermediate as discussed above, it appears that the presence of a THA (E-I\textsubscript{1}) in the active site of SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{PRO}\ is favored by strong hydrogen bond interactions with an “oxyanion hole” formed by Gly143, Ser144 and Cys145 (Table S3†). A THA has been also detected in a recent study of the inhibitory reaction of SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{PRO}\ by \(\alpha\)-ketoamide inhibitors, stabilized by the same triad of residues.\textsuperscript{5} Regarding the deacylation step in CPs, there is also controversy over its mechanism. Thus, while some authors have proposed that it occurs in a concerted manner,\textsuperscript{5,21} others support the presence of an intermediate similar to the protonated THA intermediate E-I\textsubscript{3}.\textsuperscript{17} As in the case of E-I\textsubscript{1}, the “oxyanion hole” would stabilize E-I\textsubscript{3} and favor a step-wise deacylation process (Fig. S4 and Table S4†).

Finally, the specific protein–substrate interactions have been analyzed, to provide further results to guide the design of COVID-19 antiviral compounds. Fig. 4, together with Fig. S6 and S7† shows the main interactions between the individual fragments of the substrate and the residues of each sub-site of the binding pocket in the E:S non-covalent reactant complex. First conclusion that can be derived from these results is that Gln is the residue with the largest amount of favorable interactions with the enzyme thus becoming the most important amino acid for substrate recruitment. This conclusion, in agreement with the specificity of SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{PRO}\ in cleaving proteins after the Gln residue, can pave the way for future inhibitor design. It appears that these interactions clearly dictate the favorable orientation of the peptide in the active site for the proteolysis to
take place, including the interaction with the catalytic Cys145 whose sulfur atom is well oriented towards the carbon atom of the scissile peptide bond (Fig. 3). Interactions with Asn142 and His163, the latter through a strong hydrogen bond with the oxygen atom of amide group of Gln5, appear to be relevant (Table S3 and S4†). Asn142 also interacts with the previous residue of the substrate and, to some extent, with the next residue (ACC and Leu4, respectively). Interestingly, another protein amino acid that interacts with these three fragments of the peptide is Ser1, which belongs to the N terminal of the other protomer. This terminal group, located on the bottom of the S1 pocket establishes two strong hydrogen bond interactions with the Glu166 of the protomer A. This linkage is possibly responsible for the depth of S1 thus limiting the size of substituents at this position in future inhibitor design. These results, apart from supporting the fact that an individual SARS-CoV-2 Mpro monomer is inactive, are in agreement with previous structural studies on SARS-CoV Mpro in other coronaviruses that also pointed out the relevance of Glu166, Phe140 and the N terminus of the partner protomer in Mpro dimerization.22–24

**Conclusions**

We have described the SARS-CoV-2 M\(^{\text{Pro}}\) catalytic proteolysis mechanism at the atomistic level with high level DFT/MM methods, including the structures of the different states appearing along the most favorable reaction path. The predicted activation free energy of the rate limiting step is in very good agreement with recently reported kinetic data using the same peptidyl substrate, Ac-Val-Lys-Leu-Gln-ACC. Supported by X-ray diffraction structures, our results suggest noticeable differences between this and other CPs. They include the protonation state of the catalytic His41/Cys145 dyad, the presence of a THA intermediate in the acylation state, and the interactions that are established between the sub-sites of the peptide and the different fragments of a natural peptidyl substrate. According to our results, it can be concluded that SARS-CoV-2 M\(^{\text{Pro}}\) is capable of catalyzing the proteolysis
reaction of peptide-like substrates by the combination of short distance and long distance substrate–enzyme interactions, basically in the S1 pocket.

Considering that the reaction of inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro by covalent (peptidyl) irreversible SARS-CoV-2 Mpro inhibitors is equivalent to the acylation step of the proteolysis, the results presented in this study can be relevant for future developments of drugs for COVID-19. Thus, these covalent inhibitors must be designed to form a stable enzyme–inhibitor complex similar to the product of the acylation step (E-I2). Consequently, focus must be shifted not only on obtaining an exergonic process with low activation energy, but also on avoiding its hydrolysis, which would be equivalent to our study of the deacylation step (E-I2 → E-P). Then, apart from a reactive warhead, the interactions between the rest of the inhibitor and the different sub-sites of the binding pocket must be taken into account, which can be guided by the results derived from the present study.
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