Identification of a nanomolar affinity \( \alpha \)-synuclein fibril imaging probe by ultra-high throughput \textit{in silico} screening†
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Small molecules that bind with high affinity and specificity to fibrils of the \( \alpha \)-synuclein (\( \alpha \text{S} \)) protein have the potential to serve as positron emission tomography (PET) imaging probes to aid in the diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease and related synucleinopathies. To identify such molecules, we employed an ultra-high throughput \textit{in silico} screening strategy using idealized pseudo-ligands termed exemplars to identify compounds for experimental binding studies. For the top hit from this screen, we used photocrosslinking to confirm its binding site and studied the structure–activity relationship of its analogs to develop multiple molecules with nanomolar affinity for \( \alpha \text{S} \) fibrils and moderate specificity for \( \alpha \text{S} \) over A\( \beta \) fibrils. Lastly, we demonstrated the potential of the lead analog as an imaging probe by measuring binding to \( \alpha \text{S} \)-enriched homogenates from mouse brain tissue using a radiolabeled analog of the identified molecule. This study demonstrates the validity of our powerful new approach to the discovery of PET probes for challenging molecular targets.

Introduction

\( \alpha \)-Synuclein (\( \alpha \text{S} \)) is a 140 amino acid, intrinsically disordered protein which is abundantly expressed at the presynaptic termi
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\( \alpha \text{S} \) is well documented, where neuronal inclusions, comprised principally of \( \beta \)-sheet rich fibrillar \( \alpha \text{S} \) termed Lewy bodies (LBs) and Lewy neurites (LNs), have long served as a post-mortem hallmark of Parkinson’s disease (PD).\(^7,8\) Furthermore, similar aggregates can be observed in dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), while a second form of aggregate has been identified in multiple system atrophy (MSA).\(^9\) Compared to LBs and LNs which occur in neurons of the substantia nigra, \( \alpha \text{S} \) aggregates associated with MSA are found in oligodendrocytes of white matter tracts and are referred to as glia cell inclusions (GCIs).\(^9\) However, the inability to track fibril formation and localization in living patients has hindered the development of robust correlations between fibril distribution and PD, DLB, or MSA progression and prevents \( \alpha \text{S} \) fibrils from serving as useful clinical markers.

To date, diagnosis of PD has relied heavily on the presentation of clinical symptoms, primarily motor deficits. Although these symptoms are effective in tracking progression at later stages of these diseases, they are not observed until a substantial degree of neuronal loss has occurred.\(^10\) Moreover, these symptoms are not exclusive to PD, but are observed for other Parkinsonian syndromes.\(^11\) Therefore, since differences in the presence and localization of fibrillar \( \alpha \text{S} \) have already been established, methods for tracking deposits in patients could clarify diagnosis. Over the past decade, a breakthrough in the clinical evaluation of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) was enabled by \textit{in vivo} imaging of protein deposits with positron emission tomography (PET).\(^12–18\) The development of specific amyloid \( \beta \) (A\( \beta \)) and tau PET probes has allowed researchers to determine that the formation of A\( \beta \) aggregates precedes disease onset while tau-based neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) occur later in disease progression.\(^19\) These promising results from imaging studies in AD patients have generated interest in the
development of PET tracers to image aS fibrils and improve the diagnosis of PD.

Despite these advancements, the development of imaging probes with the requisite specificity for use in PD research and diagnosis has been challenging. In addition to LBs and LNs, PD patients also frequently present neuronal aggregates comprised of Aβ and tau. Although our laboratories and others have successfully identified compounds with moderate binding affinities for employment as PET imaging agents, no compound to date has displayed sufficiently high affinity and specificity for use as a radioligand. Following publication of the first solid-state NMR (ssNMR) structure of aS fibrils by Rienstra and colleagues, we demonstrated that through the combined use of computational docking, competition radioligand binding assays, and photo-crosslinking mass spectrometry we were able to describe distinct binding sites for several previously developed compounds.

Here, we explore the utility of another computational approach, exemplar-based in silico screening, in an effort to develop a molecule that potently and specifically binds to aS fibrils. Through the application of this method we have identified a molecular scaffold, confirmed its binding site through photo-crosslinking, and used structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies to identify members of this compound series that have nanomolar affinity for aS fibrils with moderate specificity for aS over Aβ fibrils and other neuronal proteins. We go on to demonstrate the potential for development of this molecule as a PET probe through binding aS deposits in mouse brain tissue using a radiolabeled analog of the identified molecule. Finally, we provide SAR analysis based on the ssNMR structure used in our original in silico screening as well as several cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structures that have become available since we initiated these studies.

Results

Exemplar-based in silico screen

Through methods developed by Karanicolas and colleagues, using the Rosetta Modeling Suite, exemplars can be facilely generated for any protein of interest given an input structure. An exemplar is a pseudoligand designed to be an ideal molecular complement to a surface exposed pocket on a protein of interest (Fig. 1). Following selection of an anchor residue, the protein is cast onto a three-dimensional grid, and grid points that correspond to the protein pocket are “chemotyped” by the adjacent functional features on the protein. That is, exemplar grid points are assigned characteristics that are complementary to the protein surface based on whether the protein surface presents hydrogen bond donating or accepting moieties or a hydrophobic patch. The exemplar therefore represents perfect compatibility with the protein surface, but contains no chemical bonds. Subsequently, scored molecular alignment of compound structures from a database allows for ultra-high throughput in silico screening to identify molecular architectures that satisfy the chemical features (i.e. hydrogen bonding/accepting positions) captured by the exemplar.

In order to assess the potential of using an exemplar-based approach for aS PET probe development, we targeted sites that had been identified through our prior efforts as the binding sites for existing aS fibril radioligands (Fig. 1). In particular, two compounds, [3H]Tg-190b and [3H]BF2846 (ESI, Fig. S8†), selectively bind to Site 2 (residues Y39-S42-T44, con) and Site 9 (residues G86-F94-K96, con) through photo-crosslinking in Hsieh et al. and Site 9 (residues G86-F94-K96, confirmed through CIX1 photo-crosslinking in ESI, Fig. S6†) respectively, and can be utilized for in vitro competition binding assays to screen compounds identified in silico. Therefore, we chose these two sites as our initial targets. Using the ssNMR structure (PDB ID 2N0A) deposited by Rienstra and colleagues, pocket templates were generated using residues 44 and 86 of the central strand of the fibril as anchor points for Sites 2 and 9 respectively. The exemplar for each site was screened using Align-It against ~10 million commercially available, lead-like molecules from the ZINC15 database. For each site, the 50 molecules scored as having the best alignment to each exemplar pseudoligand were retained (ESI, Fig. S2 and S3†). From this set of in silico hits, 17 compounds were purchased based on considerations of scaffold diversity.

These compounds were employed in a screening assay to determine their relative affinities for specific sites on aS fibrils. Of the set of molecules selected, two molecules were able to displace Site 2 radioligand [3H]Tg-190b (Fig. 2A). Competitive binding experiments revealed that compounds 2 and 6 displayed inhibitory concentrations (IC50s) of 490 nM and 9.49 nM, respectively, against the Site 2 radioligand (Fig. 2b).
Lead compound binds to site 2 and does not affect aggregation

Following the identification of two potential lead compounds, we decided to further investigate the more potent binder to confirm that the compound (1) binds to the target site on αS fibrils and that (2) the compound is non-perturbing and does not affect the aggregation of αS. We believe that a suitable imaging compound should not perturb the aggregation process, either by increasing or decreasing the amount of fibrils or altering their conformations. Therefore, a derivative of compound 6 was synthesized with a photo-crosslinking group (CIX2) to confirm the binding location (ESI, Scheme S2†). Following incubation of compound CIX2 with αS fibrils and irradiation with 365 nm light, the sample was analyzed by whole protein and trypsin digest mass spectrometry (ESI, Fig. S7†). Analysis of the resulting data revealed a mass shift corresponding to crosslinking of one molecule of CIX2, suggesting that most of the compound binds at a single site. Furthermore, analysis of the digested sample confirmed that crosslinking could be observed on peptides corresponding to the target site, Site 2, initially used for the in silico screen (ESI, Fig. S7†).

To confirm that the probe does not affect the aggregation state or propensity of αS, we generated fluorescently labeled αS for use in fluorescence polarization (FP) assays. By attaching fluorescein-maleimide to a Y136C mutant αS construct, FP can be used to monitor fibril aggregation and gross conformational changes, as well as the compound’s effect on these processes, as previously described.36-37 Compound 6 did not show any significant effect on the rate of aggregation or on the stability of αS fibrils (ESI, Fig. S4 and S5†).

SAR screen to improve affinity/specificity

In order to improve the affinity and selectivity of compound 6, we conducted a SAR screen by culling structurally similar compounds from the Molecule library via a similarity search.36 In total, 39 molecules representing derivatives of the core scaffold 6 (Fig. 3, top) were used to determine the impact of various substituents on the A and C rings as well as replacement of the B ring with other 5-membered heterocycles. A subset of these compounds representing discreet changes to the core scaffold are shown in Fig. 3, along with their % bound of the Site 2 (Fig. 3, bottom) radioligand. The structures and competition

Fig. 2 Competition binding assays of compounds from the exemplar-based screen. (a) Radioligand competition binding assay testing affinity of each compound (100 nM) against a Site 2 radioligand, [3H]Tg-190b, on αS fibrils. (b) Competition binding curves for αS fibrils (100 nM) with compounds 2 and 6. αS fibrils were incubated with [3H]Tg-190b and increasing concentrations of competitors (2 and 6). Compounds 2 and 6 have IC50 values of 490 nM and 9.49 nM, respectively. Data points represent mean ± s.d. (n = 3). ANOVA was performed with Dunnnett’s multiple comparisons test, where *P < 0.0332, **P < 0.0021, ***P < 0.0002 and ****P < 0.0001.
binding data for the full set of compounds are shown in ESI Fig. S9 and S10.†

Several aspects of the SAR are clear. Changes to the A ring, particularly para substitution, are well-tolerated (19, 28, 31, 34, 39, 40), and in many cases improve binding relative to molecules that are otherwise identical (19 or 31 vs. 24). Replacement of the A ring with a pyridine heterocycle (21) did not improve binding; nor did replacement with a furan or thiophene group (20 and 22, ESI, Fig. S9†), although these compounds lacked a direct comparator molecule. Compounds with oxadiazole (48, 50, and 51) replacements of the B ring all bound more poorly than the corresponding isoxazole compound (48 vs. 24), although pyrazole 55 had moderate affinity. Furthermore, we find that ortho substitutions of the C ring (27 and ESI, Fig. S9†) 18, 22, 30, 38, 47, 49 and 54) hinder binding while meta and para substitutions (19, 24, 28, 31, 34, 39, 40, and 52) can improve binding (52 vs. 26). However, the electronic nature of the C ring para substituent is important, as fluorinated compounds are weak binders (25 and ESI, Fig. S9 and S10† 32, 35, 37, 44, 50), but moderate to strong binding is seen for compounds with electron donating methyl (28, 40, and 52) and methoxy (19, 24, 31, 34 and 39) groups. Lastly, we find that compounds with substituents at the α-carbon of the central glycolic amide bind poorly (Fig. 3 and ESI, Fig. S9†), possibly due to a break in the planarity of the molecule.

Screening of the SAR library (Fig. S10†) was analyzed with one-way ANOVA and compounds showing the highest affinity for αS fibrils (p < 0.0001) were selected for in-depth characterization. For these compounds, we measured their affinity for both Site 2 and Site 9 in αS through multi-point competitive binding assays with [3H]Tg-190b and [3H]BF2846 (Table 1). Compound 28 was found to have a higher affinity than compound 6, with some apparent site-specificity.

### Synthesis and characterization of radioligand

The SAR studies and IC50 determinations identified compound 28, a close analog of original hit 6, as the most potent binder. Thus, we set out to synthesize and further characterize an iodinated derivative, compound 61, as well as its radiolabeled isotopolog [125I]61. Chloroacetamide 60 was synthesized by reaction of iodo-isoxazolamine 58 and 2-chloroacetyl chloride, and subsequently coupled with 3,4-dimethylphenol to obtain iodo-derivative 61 with an overall yield of 27% (Scheme 1). In order to obtain the precursor for radioiodination, compound 28 was synthesized in a similar manner starting from bromoisoxazolamine 57, and subsequent stannylation of 28 yielded precursor 62. Radioiodination was then successfully achieved at room temperature to obtain [125I]61 for in vitro binding experiments.

First, we confirmed that replacement of the fluorine or bromine in compounds 6 and 28 with an iodine did not perturb the relative affinity for Site 2 (Fig. 4a) via competition binding experiments performed with [3H]Tg-190b and non-radioactive compound 61. Saturation binding of [125I]61 to αS fibrils confirmed the compound’s high affinity, displaying a dissociation constant of 1.06 nM (Fig. 4b). We also demonstrated that 61

### Table 1 Comparison of IC50 values of select compounds for αS fibril sitesa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Site 2: [3H]Tg-190b IC50 (nM)</th>
<th>Site 9: [3H]BF2846 IC50 (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.49 (7.26–12.4)</td>
<td>109 (70.1–167)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>95.1 (44.2–201)</td>
<td>557 (111–2279)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.32 (2.06–53.1)</td>
<td>12.6 (7.00–22.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>68.7 (27.1–169)</td>
<td>37.0 (25.6–53.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>95.7 (50.4–182)</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>19.6 (7.13–53.9)</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>30.7 (17.0–56.8)</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Values were determined by competition binding assays with αS fibrils using radioligands [3H]Tg-190b or [3H]BF2846. 95% confidence intervals for IC50 values are shown in parentheses (n = 3).
has a ~5-fold selectivity (Fig. 4c) for ɑS fibrils over amyloid β (using fibrils of the 42 amino acid Aβ42 peptide). While these results are promising, it should be noted that the levels of non-specific binding were relatively high. Crosslinking with CLX2 followed by labeling with a fluorescent azide demonstrated binding to ɑS fibrils, but also to many other proteins in mouse brain lysate (ESI, Fig. S13†).

The potential efficacy of this radioligand as an imaging probe was then tested by in vitro autoradiography studies. Images were obtained by incubating 10 μm thick sections of sarkosyl-insoluble fraction from 15 month old PD mouse model (A53T) with [125I]61 and subsequent exposure to storage phosphor screens. The autoradiograms and quantification thereof are shown in Fig. 5. The images clearly demonstrate binding of [125I]61, and this binding can be blocked by co-incubation with unlabeled 61. Autoradiograms of the entire brain section from A53T and C6C3F1/J control mouse were also obtained (ESI Fig. S14†). While there is increased signal in A53T mouse brain in ɑS-rich regions compared with the control, the non-ideal physicochemical properties of this radioligand make it unsuitable to accurately assess binding on whole brain sections.

**Discussion**

The development of a PET tracer to image PD is a high priority in the field of radiopharmaceutical research, since a radioligand that binds to ɑS could greatly improve the clinical diagnosis of PD. Despite significant academic and industrial effort in recent years, a PET tracer for ɑS with high affinity and selectivity has yet to be developed. In this work, we utilized a combination of exemplar-based in silico screening and radioligand binding studies to identify several compounds that bind to ɑS fibrils with nanomolar affinity and with moderate selectivity over Aβ fibrils. We are currently working to optimize 61 for in vivo PET studies. With this in mind, several aspects of our results are worth considering in more depth, including the implications of the SAR study for compound optimization, the importance of the ssNMR structure among various currently available ɑS fibril structures, and the relevance of models based on these structures to fibrils in tissue.

The SAR study reveals that features of all three rings and the unsubstituted nature of the central amide unit are important for high affinity binding. An examination of docked compound 6 in the ɑS 2NOA structure (Fig. 6) reveals how several of these trends can be rationalized. The narrowness of the cavity is consistent with the fact that methyl substituents in compounds such as 23 generate non-planar structures which cause steric binding, ortho substituents weaken binding (as in 30). These clash with the sidechains of Y39 and T44 (Fig. 6b). While meta, and especially para, substituents on the C ring improve binding, ortho substituents would clash with the protein backbone at K43/S42. On the A ring, para halogenation improves Site 2 affinity, but this seems to be a steric effect, rather than an electronic effect, since electron-donating methyl substituents (19) at this position are also tolerated and difluorination in 39 and 40 does not dramatically improve binding. However, it is possible that there are counterbalancing electronic effects on interactions of the A ring π system with the Y39 and T44 sidechains. Finally, the fact that the compounds with a 1,2,4-oxadiazole B ring do not bind well indicates that the SAR around this ring system is subtle and may depend on effects on the acidity of the heteroatoms, altering their hydrogen bonding ability with the T44 sidechain or even the backbone. Certainly, additional experiments with a tailored compound set will be necessary to fully understand the binding mode; we will also employ modeling that accounts for multiple rotamers and the various structures of ɑS fibrils that are now available.

The exemplar in silico screen was performed with the 2NOA ssNMR structure, which was the only ɑS fibril structure available at the time that this study was initiated. Since then, an additional ssNMR structure and several cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structures have become available, including structures of fibrils isolated from MSA patients. Furthermore, biochemical and biophysical techniques have also characterized molecular differences between in vitro fibrillar preparations and between patient samples from the major synucleinopathies. It is notable that the Site 2 fold is similar...
to 2N0A Site 2 in some of these structures, but different in others (Fig. 7 and ESI, Fig. S15/S16†). We modeled the binding of each Table 1 compound in 2N0A Site 2 using a standard Autodock 4.2 procedure or by alignment to the original exemplar using Shape-It followed by minimization in PyRosetta where the total ligand score (TLS) of each molecule was computed. We determined correlations between the simulated ΔΔG values, computed from PyRosetta TLS values or Autodock binding energies (BEs), and ΔΔG values derived from the experimental IC_{50} values (Fig. 7 and ESI Fig. S14†). We found that PyRosetta TLS correlated significantly better than Autodock BE (R^2(TLS) = 0.90, R^2(BE) = 0.55). In addition to superior correlation, PyRosetta provided an accurate ranking of relative affinities of the compound set, whereas Autodock only correctly predicted the highest and lowest affinity compounds (ESI, Table S1†). While the small size of the data set does not allow us to conclude that the TLS correlations can reliably predict the affinities of new compounds, we believe that they can provide evidence that a given binding site structure is a good model for interpreting SAR data.

We performed PyRosetta-based docking and TLS calculations for the compounds in Table 1 with the Site 2 pocket from six of the available zS fibril structures. For these alternative structures, one can see that some, but not all, provide a good correlation between ΔΔG values derived from the IC_{50} values and their calculated Site 2 TLS (ESI, Fig. S16†). The variability of these correlations indicates that some of the structures are better models for interpreting SAR data than others. In particular, one of the MSA patient-derived structures (6XYQ) shows a correlation comparable to 2N0A with a similar rank ordering of ligands. In spite of a somewhat different overall fold, the alignment of the Site 2 region for the 6XYQ (MSA) and 2N0A (ssNMR) structures is quite good as one can see from an alignment of the two sets of PDB coordinates and an overlay of the coordinates with the cryo-EM density map (Fig. 7). This implies that the 2N0A structure in the Site 2 region may be representative of zS fibrils in MSA patients and raises the exciting possibility that our compounds will have similar affinities in MSA patient tissue.

We expect that multiple patient-derived cryo-EM zS fibril structures will be reported, as they have been for tau and Aβ, using material derived from human patients with Alzheimer’s disease or related neuropathies.41-43 With such structures available, an essential question for drug design will be whether they accurately represent the conformation (or conformations assuming that varying polymorphs underlie differences in synucleinopathies, as seen for tau) adopted by fibrils in vivo. SAR studies performed with patient tissue using the compound classes identified by our approach can be used in conjunction with correlations like those reported here to determine which of the structures best represents the conformations of the fibrils in vivo. Subsequent optimization for in vivo PET imaging will certainly focus on improvement of solubility, removal of probable metabolic liabilities, blood–brain barrier penetration, and selectivity against Aβ and tau fibrils. While some of this optimization will rely on empirical knowledge of the pharmacokinetic properties of functional groups, it will also be guided by computational modeling of candidate compounds bound to the most relevant structures of zS fibrils as well as counter-selection using structures of Aβ and tau fibrils.

In summary, we have used an ultra-high throughput in silico screen to identify lead compounds for PET imaging of zS fibrils in PD and related synucleinopathies. Lead compound 61 has a 1 nM affinity for zS fibrils with demonstrated animal tissue binding, and the correlations shown in Fig. 7 imply that our compound class may be particularly suited for imaging in MSA patients. Moreover, our approach is unique and represents a new paradigm for radioligand development. The exemplar strategy is particularly well-suited to the problem of identifying ligands for shallow surfaces where no existing binding partner or enzyme substrate can provide a starting point for molecular design. Future implementations of these methods will also include pre-screening the compound library to remove compounds with unfavorable physico-chemical properties, which could help to avoid non-specific binding of the sort observed for compound 61. This computational approach, coupled with screening of commercially available compounds in competition assays with radioligands, allows one to rapidly develop SAR for a given binding site. For a case such as the zS fibril, with multiple shallow binding sites, confirmation of site-specificity using photo-crosslinking also plays an important role. While we had previously established a set of site-specific ligands for competition assays, a de novo approach would also be possible in which in silico hits are converted to either radiolabeled or photo-crosslinkable compounds to establish

![Fig. 7 Comparison of 2N0A and 6XYQ Site 2 structures. (Top left) Overlay of aligned 2N0A and 6XYQ Site 2 coordinates with 6XYQ cryo-EM density map. (Top right) Overlay of 2N0A (upper) or 6XYQ (lower) Site 2 coordinates with 6XYQ cryo-EM density map. (Bottom) Correlation between experimental ΔΔG (kcal mol^{-1}) values and simulated ΔΔG values (kcal mol^{-1}) computed from PyRosetta TLS values as described in ES† for simulation of the 2N0A (left) and 6XYQ (right) structures. Compound numbers are shown next to points.](image)
binding sites and affinities. Indeed, the azidation reaction used to generate compound CIX1 for confirming the Site 9 selectivity of BF2846 (ESI, Fig. S6†) is nicely suited to this purpose. These initial lead compounds could then be used in high throughput assays with other unlabeled compounds as demonstrated in our work. Thus, the paradigm for imaging probe development established here should be broadly applicable to other fibril forming proteins, which are increasingly recognized as targets not just in PD, but also in other therapeutic areas with unmet molecular imaging needs such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) or neuroinflammation.

Experimental

Exemplar generation and in silico compound screen

The ZINC15, lead-like, commercially available compound database, consisting of ~10 million molecules was used for the initial screen against the three sites.† Exemplars were extracted from all residues in the PDB 2N0A ssNMR structure using default parameters in Rosetta and residues 156, 163 and 198 provided the best representations of the previously describes Sites 2, 3/13 and 9, respectively.† Molecular alignments of target molecules to each selected exemplar were performed using Align-It which reduces each molecule/exemplar to a set of pharmacophores and reports a Tanimoto coefficient for each alignment, capturing both alignments in molecular features and their alignment in three-dimensional space.† The top 30 compounds as quantified by the Tanimoto coefficient from each search were retained and a subset of compounds from each search was selected by hand for experimentation. Since the compounds identified for Site 3/13 were either too small or too similar to compounds previously explored,† select compounds from the Site 2 and Site 9 screens were used in subsequent experimental screens and the full set of compounds can be found in ESI Fig. S2 and S3.†

Screening compound library

Compounds 1–17 were purchased from vendors that were listed on the ZINC15 compound library. In order to screen the purchased compounds for zS binding, 100 nM of each compound was incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with 100 nM zS fibrils and [3H]Tg-190b (6 nM) or [3H]BF2846 (3 nM) in 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4. Total binding was measured in the absence of competitor and nonspecific binding was determined in reactions containing unlabeled Tg-190b (1 μM) or BF2846 (0.5 μM). After incubation, bound and free radioligand were separated by vacuum filtration, followed by washing with buffer containing 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) and 150 mM NaCl. Filters containing the bound ligand were mixed with 3 mL of scintillation cocktail (MicroScint-20, PerkinElmer, Inc.) and counted after 12 h of incubation on a MicroBeta System (PerkinElmer, Inc.). All data points were measured in triplicate. Screening of compounds 18–56 for the SAR study was performed in a similar fashion.

Saturation binding assay

zS (50 nM) or Aβ42 (100 nM) fibrils were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with increasing concentrations of [125I]61 in 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, in a total volume of 150 μL. Nonspecific binding was determined in a duplicate set of binding reactions containing 2 μM non-radioactive 61. After incubation, bound and free radioligand were separated by vacuum filtration through Whatman GF/C filters in a 24-sample harvester system, followed by washing with buffer containing 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) and 150 mM NaCl. Filters containing the bound ligand were counted immediately on 2470 WIZARD Automatic Gamma Counter. All data points were collected in triplicate. The equilibrium dissociation constant (Kd) and the maximal number of binding sites (Bmax) were determined by fitting the data to the equation Y = Bmax × X/(Kd + X), using GraphPad Prism software.

In vitro autoradiography on sarkosyl-insoluble fraction

The sarkosyl-insoluble fraction was obtained from brain homogenates of A53T (B6C3-Tg[Prnp-SNCA*A53T]83Vle/J) mice from The Jackson Laboratory. Frozen sections were thawed and incubated (1 h at room temperature) with 40% ethanol in PBS containing either [125I]61 (1 nM) alone or [125I]61 (1 nM) with 100 nM unlabeled 61. After incubation, sections were washed in ice-cold 40% ethanol in PBS (3 × 1 min), followed by a wash in ice-cold DI water (1 min). Sections were dried in a stream of air, exposed to a storage phosphor screen (GE Healthcare) and the screen was imaged on a Typhoon FLA 7000 phosphor imager (GE Healthcare). Autoradiography images were quantified using FujiFilm Multi Gauge software.

SAR correlation calculations

Two dimensional compounds were converted to three dimensional structures using openbabel.48 Compound 6 was aligned to each of the fibril architectures via their respective exemplars using Shape-It from Silicos-It.46 Derivatives were then aligned to the parent compound using Align-It, also from Silicos-It. Complexes were minimized using MinMover in PyRosetta where sidechain optimization was performed on the residues comprising Site 2 (residues 38–46), whereas backbone optimization was globally disallowed. Minimized complexes were scored using the beta_nov16 score function and the total ligand scores (TLSs) for the ligands in their minimized orientations were computed.49 Rosetta TLS values in REU were converted to ΔΔG values in kcal mol⁻¹ using the previously reported scaling factor of 2.94.48 Corresponding Autodock binding energy (BE) calculations were performed using a previously published protocol.23 Experimental ΔΔG values were determined by using compound 52 as a reference. Computed ΔΔG values from Autodock for the 2N0A structure and from PyRosetta for 2N0A, 6XYQ, and several other zS fibril structures were linearly regressed with the experimental ΔΔG values to determine correlations. Details of all of these calculations are found in ESI with comparisons of the other fibrils shown in Fig. S15/ S16.†
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