High-yield gram-scale organic synthesis using accelerated microdroplet/thin film reactions with solvent recycling†
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A closed system has been designed to perform microdroplet/thin film reactions with solvent recycling capabilities for gram-scale chemical synthesis. Claisen–Schmidt, Schiff base, Katritzky and Suzuki coupling reactions show acceleration factors relative to bulk of 15 to 7700 times in this droplet spray system. These values are much larger than those reported previously for the same reactions in microdroplet/thin film reaction systems. The solvent recycling mode of the new system significantly improves the reaction yield, especially for reactions with smaller reaction acceleration factors. The microdroplet/thin film reaction yields improved on recycling from 33% to 86% and from 32% to 72% for the Katritzky and Suzuki coupling reactions, respectively. The Claisen–Schmidt reaction was chosen to test the capability of this system in gram scale syntheses and rates of 3.18 g per h and an isolated yield of 87% were achieved.

Introduction

Over the past decade, a large number of mass spectrometry and fluorescence based studies have described unusual reaction behavior in small confined volumes, a topic that naturally attracts wide interest because of the close relationship to single cell biology,1–4 nanoscience5–12 and surface science.13–16 Reactions in microdroplets17–26 and thin films27–30 can be accelerated by factors of 103 to 105, and this has potential value in chemical synthesis. The partial solvation of reactants near the microdroplet–gas interface,3,15,11,13 the extremes in pH of microdroplets,9,20,22 fast solvent evaporation,20,34 special electric field and dipoles near interface20,14,15 and enhanced mass transfer26–29 make these reactions notably faster than in bulk. Although larger acceleration factors have been achieved in microdroplets,11,41 the small scale of the experiments18–29 and the failure to recycle the solvent have to be addressed to make this a practical approach to chemical synthesis. Specifically, (i) microdroplet reaction yields are restricted by the limited reaction time allowed due to the short lifetimes of microdroplets generated by electrospray,43 pneumatic spray44 and ultrasonic spray.45 (ii) The reaction scale suffers from the small volumes of the droplets (chosen because small volumes provide high acceleration factors).20,29,41,45–47 (iii) Large amounts of solvent are wasted which is undesirable from green chemistry considerations.48

To address the yield and scale issues, microdroplet collection experiments have been performed. In 2012, preparative electrospray was used to scale up the Claisen–Schmidt condensation reaction;27 the reaction mixture was electrosprayed at flow rate of 10 µL min−1 and directed into a polyethylene vessel containing glass wool to collect the product. Once the microdroplets hit the wall of the vessel or the wool fiber, they form an electroneutral thin film of reaction solution. If reaction is incomplete in the droplets it then can occur in this thin film. Although the reported reaction acceleration factors in thin films27,29,49 are not as large as in microdroplets,44 the reaction yields can be much higher due to the increased reaction time, as confirmed by dropcast thin film reactions.29 For this first 2012 preparative electrospray synthesis, the reaction scale was 35.3 mg per h (four sprayers, 90% yield).18 In 2017, deposition of uncharged microdroplets generated by sonic spray was used to fabricate a dynamic thin film for continuous synthesis.30
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generate size-controlled microdroplets at a very high flow rate (8 mL min⁻¹). A particular aldehyde oxidation reaction was run at a scale of 630 mg per h (one sprayer, 66% yield). Multiplexing is always a favorable strategy to achieve a larger scale. For example, the scale of two-phase microdroplet reactions could be increased by using multiple sprayers. Similarly, paper spray microdroplet reactions can be multiplexed by using paper with multiple tips.

In light of this examination of earlier studies of scaled-up microdroplet synthesis, we note that although a variety of scale-up methods have been developed and larger scales have been achieved recently, the limited reaction time and lack of solvent recycling remain issues that are inadequately addressed. With a focus on these issues, we describe a new system for microdroplet synthesis with major improvements in reaction yield, product collection efficiency and solvent economy. The system can operate on the mg per h to g per h scale.

Results and discussion

As shown in Fig. 1a, a peristaltic pump is used to introduce the reaction mixture from a reservoir, as well as to transfer the condensate back to the microdroplet reaction chamber. High-pressure nitrogen is used as a sheath gas to assist with nebulization and for protection of air/water sensitive reagents. As the synthesis begins, valve 1 is open and valve 2 is closed; the reaction mixture is transferred from the reservoir to the spray chamber to perform microdroplet and thin film reactions. The condensation chamber is used to collect the reagent- and product-containing vapors and nanodroplets. When the desired volume of reaction mixture had been transferred, valve 1 was closed and valve 2 opened, placing the system in the solvent recycling mode. During this time, reaction condensate is transferred back to the spray continuously to allow microdroplet and thin film reactions to proceed in the reaction chamber. This helps increase reaction time and product yield. We have performed various reactions using this new system, including typical C–C and C–N bond formation reactions, ring opening and closing reactions and coupling reactions, as listed in Fig. 1b.

Reaction conditions and MS measurement

All microdroplet reactions were performed at a concentration of 10 mM with equimolar reactants. For the Claisen–Schmidt reaction, 2 eq. of KOH were used to catalyze the reaction; for the Suzuki reaction, 2 eq. of EtONa and 0.02 eq. Xphos G3 were used as catalyst. The corresponding bulk reactions were run at these concentrations in 20 mL cap-sealed glass vials containing 3 mL reaction mixture and placed in an incubator and run at the desired temperatures (25 °C for Claisen–Schmidt and Katritzky reactions, 65 °C for Schiff base and Suzuki reactions). The microdroplet reactions used a flow rate of 100 μL min⁻¹ to spray reaction mixture into the reaction chamber housed in a water bath. The concentration of reaction mixture and the chamber temperature were the same as those used for the corresponding bulk reactions. At selected times after starting a reaction, the reaction mixture (the sprayed reaction mixture plus the condensate) was quenched by dilution with quenching solution (pH adjustment of quenched solution was necessary for the Suzuki reaction; detailed information on quenching steps is given in Table S1†) prior to subsequent nanoelectrospray mass spectrometry (nESI-MS) analysis under standard non-accelerating conditions. By comparing the peak intensity of reactant and product ions in these mass spectra, and correcting for the difference in ionization efficiency, reaction yields were estimated from eqn (1):

\[
\text{Yield} = \frac{I_P}{I_P + I_R} = \frac{I_P}{I_P + f} + f
\]

where \(I_P\) and \(I_R\) are the peak intensities of the product and reactant, respectively. The constant \(f\) reflects the difference in product and reagent ionization efficiency, defined as:

\[
f = \frac{I_P}{I_R} \left( \frac{P}{R} \right)
\]

Constant \(f\) was measured by spiking reactant into diluted and quenched reaction mixture to measure the corresponding peak intensities in the mass spectra (see ESI†).
Microdroplet/thin film reaction without solvent recycling

We investigated the kinetics and thermodynamics of microdroplet reactions in our system and made comparisons with bulk reactions. Organic reactions are often reversible so we investigated their reaction kinetics in the kinetic control regime, where the influence of the back reaction can be ignored. All four chosen reactions are considered as pseudo 2nd order reactions to facilitate comparison between the different reactions; note however that the order of Suzuki coupling is less certain (see the ESI† for discussion on this point). Hence the slope of the plot of \([P]/[R]\) vs. reaction time \(t\) should be the product of reagent concentration \(c_0\) and rate constant \(k\). This information can then be used to evaluate the apparent reaction acceleration factor (AAF) as:

\[
\text{AAF} = \frac{(c_0k)_{\text{microdroplet}}}{(c_0k)_{\text{bulk}}}
\]

The apparent acceleration factors measured in our system are shown in Table 1. Although the yield was improved in the microdroplet reaction, it is important to emphasize that not every reaction can be dramatically accelerated in microdroplets and that the mechanism behind this phenomenon is not completely clear. Empirically, it is clear that microdroplets are very effective at facilitating and accelerating bimolecular reactions, especially those involving the loss of small molecules such as condensation reactions. Moreover, solvent evaporation and the increased super acidic/basic environment of microdroplets will facilitate reactions such as the Claisen–Schmidt reaction. These considerations help to explain acceleration in the Claisen–Schmidt, Katritzky and Schiff base reactions. However, the Suzuki coupling reaction could be different because it is a homogenous reaction catalyzed by a Pd(0) complex, wherein the reaction rate is highly dependent on the catalyst loading. The microdroplet condition does not increase the catalyst loading but the fast mass transfer in microdroplets can facilitate the renewal of catalytic sites and so increase catalyst efficiency.

Fig. 2 describes the thermodynamics in the bulk and microdroplet reactors. The first column shows data for the bulk reactions and the second column shows those for the microdroplet reactions. For all four microdroplet reactions, there is a plateau in the plot of yield vs. reaction time that occurs within 10 min, indicating that the reactions approach equilibrium very quickly. For example, the Claisen–Schmidt and Schiff base reactions both have very large AAFs and reach a plateau (maximum yield in microdroplet reaction) within 3 min. The maximum yield after 10 min is 58% for the microdroplet/thin film Claisen–Schmidt reaction however the yield of bulk reaction is only 9%, even with \(20\times\) KOH and after 24 hour reaction. The maximum yield is 94% for the microdroplet/thin film Schiff base reaction while the yield in bulk is only 20% after 1 hour reaction. For the Katritzky and Suzuki reactions, with their medium and small AAFs, the plateau in the microdroplet reaction is not as well-defined. The yield after 10 min microdroplet reaction vs. 60 min bulk reaction is 55% vs. 14% for Katritzky reaction and 40% vs. 17% for the Suzuki reaction. Although the yield was improved in the microdroplet reaction, it is still some way from the maximum possible yield, likely due to...
the lack of reaction time. This is a general drawback of the continuous microdroplet reaction format, which becomes a serious problem in reactions with intrinsically small acceleration factors. In traditional microdroplet/thin film reaction format, reaction time is dependent on spray time and the later the reactants are introduced, the lower is the reaction time. The radical solution to this problem is separation of reagent introduction and solvent introduction into the system so that one can control the reaction scale and microdroplet reaction time appropriately.

Comparison of microdroplet/thin film reaction with and without solvent recycling

To solve the above question, instead of separate introduction of reagents and solvent we added a solvent recycling capability to our microdroplet reaction system. We then compared the microdroplet reaction with and without the solvent recycling option. To compare these two methods, the reagent concentrations (10 mM), reaction time (10 min), reaction scale (3 mL, 30 μmol) and temperature (65 °C) were kept the same. For the simple microdroplet reaction, the flow rate was set to 0.3 mL min⁻¹ so that 30 μmol of each reactant solution was deposited after 10 min. For the solvent recycling version of the microdroplet reaction, the flow rate was 1 mL min⁻¹. In the first 3 min, 3 mL reaction solution (30 μmol) was deposited. Table 1 compares reaction yields with and without the solvent recycling.

We see that for the Claisen–Schmidt and Schiff base reactions, two reactions with large AAFs, the yields with and without solvent recycling are similar and very high. However, for reactions with smaller AAFs, solvent recycling improved the yields dramatically, from 33% to 86% for the Katritzky reaction and 32% to 72% for the Suzuki coupling reaction. The higher yields achieved in the solvent recycling reactions are due to the increase of microdroplet reaction time. In the continuous spray microdroplet reaction format, the reaction mixture is gradually introduced to the reaction chamber to allow microdroplet reactions. The later the reaction mixture is introduced, the less microdroplet/thin film reaction time there is. However, in the solvent recycle mode, all reactants are introduced into the chamber within 3 minutes; during the other 7 min, all of the reactants are experiencing accelerated microdroplet and thin film reactions and thus a higher yield is achieved (Table 2).

Scale-up synthesis with solvent recycling

We chose the Claisen–Schmidt reaction to test the capability to perform reactions on the gram per hour scale. The photos in Fig. 4 show the instrumental setup, the reaction chamber during reaction/after reaction and the purified product acquired after the reaction. In this experiment 30 mL of the fresh mixed reaction solution (0.2 M 6-hydroxyindanone, 0.2 M 2-methoxybenzaldehyde and 0.4 M KOH) was transferred into reaction chamber at a flow rate of 2 mL min⁻¹. After 15 min, solvent recycling was started and run for 15 min. In total, the reaction took half an hour. All of the mixture in the reaction chamber was then washed with 15 mL 2 M hydrochloride solution twice and then with 15 mL water once. The washed powder was weighed as 1.38 g (isolated yield of 87%). A small fraction of the powder was diluted by MeOH (with 1 mM DMAP for ionization in negative mode) to 1 mM for nESI-MS analysis.
The MS is shown in Fig. S3.† Another small fraction of the product was dissolved deuterated DMSO for NMR analysis. The H-NMR and 13C-NMR spectra are shown in Fig. S4 and S5.† All the spectra indicate a very high purity of the isolated product.

Conclusions

We have designed a semi-closed system for accelerated microdroplet reactions with solvent recycling capabilities. In this system, microdroplet reactions occur in a chamber housed in a water bath, which is separated from the ambient environment by a cold trap housed in a dry ice bath. The semi-closed system improves microdroplet reaction yields in three ways: (i) the reaction chamber increases the collection efficiency of small micro- or nanodroplets, enhancing the reaction acceleration factor relative to open systems; (ii) the cold trap allows carrier gas to pass into the air but captures microdroplets entrained reagents, products and solvents, and works with the peristaltic pump to recycle the condensate so improving the isolated yield in synthesis; (iii) solvents can be recycled to achieve longer microdroplet reaction times, which increases yields, especially for those reactions with small acceleration factors. This system supports flow rates ranging from 0.1 mL min⁻¹ to 2 mL min⁻¹, corresponding to the flexible synthetic scale of milligrams to grams per hour. We have performed the Claisen–Schmidt reaction at a scale of 3.18 g per h with subsequent purification showing an isolated yield of 86.8%. This large scale and high isolation yield emphasize the synthetic potential of microdroplet reactions. We believe this system has the potential to provide new synthesis solutions to the pharmaceutical and chemical industry although we recognize that implementation of multi-step syntheses still lies ahead.
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