Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence. Open Access Article. Published on 05 December 2019. Downloaded on 7/18/2025 6:13:12 PM.

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 1165

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Merging polyacenes and cationic helicenes: from weak to intense chiroptical properties in the far red region[†]

Romain Duwald,^a Johann Bosson, ^b^a Simon Pascal, ^b^a Stéphane Grass,^a Francesco Zinna, ^b^{ab} Céline Besnard, ^c Lorenzo Di Bari, ^b^b Denis Jacquemin st and Jérôme Lacour ^b*^a

Received 25th October 2019 Accepted 5th December 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9sc05407c

rsc.li/chemical-science

A series of helical tetracenes and pentacenes was synthesized from cationic [6] and [4]helicene precursors. These colorful acenes fluoresce in the far red region. While [4]helicene-based pentacenes exhibit chiroptical properties mainly in the UV region, [6]helicene-derived tetracenes show enhanced ECD in the visible range, in addition to clear CPL responses. This difference is rationalized using first principles.

Polyacenes, linearly fused polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons or PAHs, are strongly studied for their electronic properties.¹ Thanks to their narrow HOMO-LUMO gap, these compounds are efficient charge transport materials,² with potential applications in semi-conductors, thin-film and light emitting devices.1c,e,3 Their heterocyclic analogs, and more specifically aza heteroacenes,1ef,4 show improved resistance to oxidative degradation⁵ while maintaining their exceptional electronic behavior. Alternatively, helicenes, that are ortho fused polyaromatic systems and inherently chiral molecules, can be used.6 These entities are involved in various applications spanning from physical chemistry to biochemistry and catalysis.7 Helicenes generally possess optical properties in the blue part of the visible light spectrum, although both push-pull systems8 and cationic helicenes9 see their optical properties shifted toward the red domain. In addition, the chiroptical properties of helicenes have been intensively scrutinized,10 including their ability to emit circularly polarized light.^{10,11} Herein, the privileged polyacene and helicene motifs are merged into single frameworks to provide polyaza helical acenes 1-6 (Fig. 1).12 These

^eDepartment of Organic Chemistry, University of Geneva, Quai Ernest Ansermet 30, 1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland. E-mail: jerome.lacour@unige.ch derivatives, prepared by late-stage introduction of *N*-phenylquinolone motifs at internal or terminal extremities of cationic [4] or [6]helicenes 7 and 8 (Scheme 1, 3–5 steps),¹³ are colorful dyes emitting in the far red region.¹⁴ Tetracenes 4–6 exhibit strong electronic circular dichroism (ECD) and circularly polarized luminescence (CPL) properties in the whole visible domain while pentacenes 1–3 mainly display ECD in the UV spectral range, an outcome rationalized using *ab initio* calculations.

To prepare polyaza acenes **1–6**, recent developments on the late-stage functionalization of cationic [4] or [6]helicenes were used.^{9*a*,15} With 7 as substrate, three regioselective routes to pentacenes **1–3** were developed (Scheme 1, top). Under mild conditions,^{9*a*} ketone **K1** was obtained in three steps *via* a selective formylation of 7 at position 6 or 8 (46% overall yield). In strongly acidic conditions, benzoylations of 7 occurred at positions 2 and/or 12 instead.¹⁵ With an excess of 2-bromobenzoic acid in Eaton's reagent (MsOH, P₂O₅),¹⁶ ketone **K2** was obtained in 93% yield. From **K2**, in even more



Fig. 1 Helical pentacenes 1-3 and tetracenes 4-6. *M* enantiomers shown.

^bDipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Università di Pisa, Via Moruzzi 13, 56124 Pisa, Italy

^cLaboratoire de Cristallographie, University of Geneva, Quai Ernest Ansermet 24, 1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland

^dCEISAM, UMR CNRS 6230, Université de Nantes, 2 rue de la Houssinière, 44322 Nantes, France. E-mail: Denis.Jacquemin@univ-nantes.fr

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental conditions, full characterizations, ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of all new compounds (PDF); CSP-HPLC traces; UV-vis, ECD, fluorescence and CPL spectra; computational details. In addition, the dataset for this article can be found at the following DOI: 10.26037/yareta:zsmeadwn3vbgpag2jqno3lj554. It will be preserved for 10 years. CCDC 1960498–1960500. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c9sc05407c



Scheme 1 Synthesis of pentacenes 1–3 from [4]helicene 7 (top) and tetracenes 4–6 from [6]helicene 8 (bottom): [a] POCl₃, DMF, 90 °C; [b] [(2-bromophenyl)magnesium bromide·LiCl],¹⁹ CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C; [c] PCC, CH₂Cl₂, 40 °C; [d] 2-bromobenzoic acid, Eaton's reagent, 60 °C; [e] 2-bromobenzoic acid, TfOH, P₂O₅, 50 or 80 °C; [f] PhNH₂, Pd(OAc)₂, *rac*-BINAP, Cs₂CO₃, DMF, 90 or 100 °C; [g] Cul, 2,2'-bipyridine, DMF or NMP, air, 90 or 130 °C; [h] 2-bromobenzoic acid, PPA, 100 °C. **1** is isolated as a BF₄⁻ salt, **2–6** as PF₆⁻ salts.

acidic conditions (TfOH, P_2O_5), a second regioselective benzoylation was possible to afford K3 (40%). Then, with bromide K1–K3 in hand, final aza ring closures were achieved by sequences of Pd-catalyzed Buchwald–Hartwig reactions (introduction of NPh groups in place the bromine atoms),¹⁷ and Cu-catalyzed oxidative (air) C–N bond formations closing the acridone ring and thus completing the acene extension.¹⁸ Starting from 7, pentacenes 1, 2 and 3 were thus afforded in 24%, 41% and 13% yields in 5-, 3- and 4-step procedures respectively.

With [6]helicene 8 as substrate, tetracenes 4-6 were prepared using similar procedures and conditions to that above described. They are summarized at the bottom of Scheme 1.15 Depending on the acidic medium used,²⁰ intermediates K4 and K5 were prepared in single steps. They were then engaged directly in the Buchwald-Hartwig/oxidative ring closure sequence. Using K4, after the Pd-catalyzed Br \rightarrow NPh exchange, the aza ring closure occurred under oxidative coupling conditions at position 2 preferentially to yield 4 (combined yield 15% from 8). From K5, two regioisomers were isolated after the second oxidative acridone ring closure, C1-symmetric 5 (C-2 and C-14 reactivity) along with C_2 -symmetric regioisomer 6 (C-2 and C-16 reactivity). Products 5 and 6 were isolated in 10% and 9% combined yields from 8, respectively. In this series, and of importance to explain both the lower yields and some of the later properties, let us underline that the phenylaniline substituents are primarily introduced in the sterically encumbered interior and not on the outer rim of the helicene as in compounds 1 to 3.

Helical polyacenes 1-6 were bench and moisture stable and the BF₄⁻ or PF₆⁻ salts could be characterized as any other organic material (see the ESI⁺). Solid-state structures of acenes 2, 4, and 6 were also studied by X-ray diffraction analyses (Fig. 2). Key structural features like the dihedral angles and helical pitches are provided in Table 1 and in the ESI.[†] Unsurprisingly, the presence of aromatic phenyl groups on the inner rim of the helicenes (inside the fjord region) strongly increases the helicity from 2 to 4 and then 6. Nevertheless, there is enough space inside the groove to accommodate a quasi-perpendicular arrangement of the extra Ph ring(s). The angles between the mean planes of the pyridinone and phenyl motifs are 75° for 4, and 79° and 86° for Ph groups of 6 respectively. With this amplification and based on precedents,²¹ larger chiroptical responses were foreseen for the later derivatives. The helical pitch determined by DFT (Density Functional Theory) in solution are very similar (vide infra).

As mentioned, helicenes 1-6 are colorful dyes and efficient fluorophores. Their optical properties were investigated in acetonitrile (*ca.* 10^{-5} M, see the ESI[†]). In the [4]helicene series (1-3, Fig. 3 top), strong modifications of the absorption spectra were observed in the visible range as compared to parent 7 that presents a lowest energy transition at 616 nm with a shoulder at higher energy.9a Pentacene 1 exhibits a lowest energy transition peaking at 576 nm. This blue-shift, compared to 7, is inherent to the electron-withdrawing ketone functional group at the C-6/C-8 position. Conversely, pentacene 2 is red-shifted compared to 1 with a λ_{max} of absorption at 620 nm, and three maxima of similar intensities appear in the 500-600 nm range. Further bathochromic shift is observed for the bis pentacene 3 that presents a broad absorption between 500 and 700 nm with a λ_{max} at 572 nm. Overall, relative to parent 7, the lowest energy transitions of 2 and 3 are hardly shifted. The same trend is observed in fluorescence with blue and red-shifts of the emissions for 1, and 2-3 compared to parent [4]helicene 7. The fluorescence quantum yield of 1–3 is similar to that of 7 (ϕ = 0.15, 0.09 and 0.04 vs. 0.13 for 1, 2, 3 and 7 respectively). In contrast, the elongation of the naphthalene part of [6]helicene 8 has only a marginal influence on the optical properties (Fig. 3, bottom).^{9b} In fact, for 4 and 6, the lowest energy transitions are centered around 614-616 nm with a shoulder at higher energies and present a moderate hypochromic shift compared to parent



Fig. 2 Stick view of the crystal structures of 2, 4 and 6. For clarity reasons, hydrogen atoms, propyl side chains and counterions are omitted or truncated. *N*-phenyl groups are drawn in blue. For 6, due to disorder, two side chain residues appear on one *N*-atom, see ESI.†

Table 1 Key geometrical parameters for 2, 4 and 6^a

Helical acene	Dihedral angle (°)	Helical pitch (Å)
2	46.8	2.66
4	44.7	3.09
6	50.8	3.28

^{*a*} Dihedral angles defining the fjord region are common descriptors for [4] and [6]helicene scaffolds (see ESI). The helical pitch is the distance between the first two overlapping atoms of the helicene.



Fig. 3 Absorption (plain lines) and emission (dashed lines) spectra in acetonitrile. Top: pentacenes 1-3 and comparison to [4]helicene 7. Bottom: tetracenes 4-6 and comparison to [6]helicene 8.

8. The absorption of **5** is very slightly red-shifted to 625 nm. In terms of fluorescence, the emissions are centered at 653, and 656 nm for **4** and **6**, and at 658 nm for parent **8**. Again a small bathochromic shift is observed for **5** that fluorescent at 663 nm. The fluorescence quantum yields in acetonitrile (0.29, 0.25 and 0.21 for **4**, **5** and **6**) are similar to that of **8** ($\phi = 0.31$).^{9b}

Regarding the chiroptical properties,²² striking differences are noted, this time, in favor of [6]helicene-derived **4–6** over pentacenes **1–3**. For all compounds, single enantiomers were obtained by mean of chiral stationary phase (CSP) HPLC (see Fig. S1–S12†). Noticeably, the ECD spectra of pentacenes **1** and **2** exhibit only very weak Cotton effects in the visible range (Fig. 4, top). Bis pentacene **3** presented a more intense response but only for the absorption band in the 520–600 nm region ($\Delta \varepsilon = +$ or $-15 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at 574 nm) and not for the lowest-energy peak.²³ For derivatives **4–6**, Cotton effects were observed for the lowest energy transitions around 620 nm (Fig. 4, middle). Interestingly, an increase is noted from mono **4** to "distorted" **5** and finally to **6** ($\Delta \varepsilon = +$ or -7, 10 and 18 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for **4**, **5** and **6**, respectively).²³ The circularly polarized luminescence (CPL) of helicenes **1–6** was also recorded in acetonitrile solutions.^{9b,c,11,24}



Fig. 4 Top: ECD spectra in acetonitrile for 1 eluted (plain lines) and 2nd eluted (dashed lines) enantiomers of pentacenes **1–3**. Middle: ECD spectra in acetonitrile for 1 eluted (plain lines) and 2nd eluted (dashed lines) enantiomers of tetracenes **4–6**. Bottom: CPL of **4–6** in acetonitrile and **6** in PMMA films for 1 eluted (plain lines) and 2nd eluted (dashed lines) enantiomers; insert: picture of the films under UV light irradiation.

For derivatives 1–3, the g_{lum} values were below the detection limit and are not displayed. In sharp contrast, helicenes 4-6 were effective CPL emitters (Fig. 4, bottom). While mono tetracene 4 presented at the fluorescence wavelength only an anisotropy factor g_{lum} of 1×10^{-4} , non-symmetrical 5 exhibited a g_{lum} value of 6 \times 10⁻⁴ at 650 nm. Finally, bis tetracene **6** was characterized by a g_{lum} value of 1.4×10^{-3} at 640 nm. This trend of the g_{lum} values of **4–6** is similar to that of the g_{abs} values for the most red-shifted Cotton effects. This is an indication that major geometry variations do not occur between ground and emitting excited states; first principles calculations will confirm this observation (vide infra).25 With derivative 6, CPL was also measured in the solid state. For that purpose, samples were dispersed at a 0.5% weight level in methyl polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) and the mixture was deposed on a quartz plate affording a $g_{
m lum}$ value of 8 imes 10⁻⁴ at 640 nm.²⁶ In view of the marked contrast between the (chir)optical properties of pentacenes 1-3 on one side and 4-6 on the other, care was taken to gain a larger understanding of the dichotomic behavior through first principles analysis.

The details of the applied theoretical protocol are given in the ESI.† The helical pitches determined by DFT in solution are 2.69, 2.61, 2.59, 3.18, 3.15, and 3.20 Å for **1**, **2**, **3**, **4**, **5**, and **6**, respectively. In the instance of compounds **2**, **4** and **6**, featuring experimental XRD values (Table 1), an excellent agreement is noticed, suggesting modest changes in solution. Clearly, helical pitches are significantly larger in the tetracene **4–6** series than in pentacenes **1–3**. In the lowest excited-states, pitches remain very similar: 2.71, 2.63, 2.62, 3.27, 3.09, and 3.34 Å for **1**, **2**, **3**, **4**, **5**, and **6**, respectively, confirming the rigidity of the systems, which is consistent with the rather moderate Stokes shift noted experimentally (Fig. 3).

Chemical Science

The vertical transition wavelengths determined with TD (Time-Dependent)-DFT are 459, 499, 503, 507, 512, 507, 491, and 504 nm for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 respectively. These values are obviously blue-shifted compared to the measurements (Fig. 3), which is explainable by the nature of the considered systems and the neglect of vibronic couplings (see the ESI[†] for discussion, and calculations with higher levels of theory). Nevertheless, the ranking of the TD-DFT and experimental values perfectly agree, with almost no shift in the tetracene series but for a very small red-shift of 5, and more sizeable effects in the pentacene series with a large blue-shift of 1 as compared to the other members of the series. In Fig. 5, we show density difference plots corresponding to the lowest excited state (S1) for four selected dyes (see the ESI[†] for other compounds). One finds the typical pattern for such derivative,^{9b,c} with alternating lobes of density gain and depletion, the central carbon atom (formally positively charged) acting has a strong acceptor (in red) and the "top" phenyl ring as an electron donor (mostly in blue). In 1, the pattern of the state is globally preserved (with limited delocalization on the added moiety), but the presence of the carbonyl group renders the donating character of the top phenyl weaker, hence explaining



Fig. 5 Density difference plots for the lowest transition in selected compounds. Blue and red regions indicate decrease and increase of electron density upon electronic excitation, respectively (contour threshold: 0.001 au).

the blue-shift. Nevertheless, one notes overall that the delocalization of the excited state over the added phenyl rings is very limited in all cases, hence the nature of this lowest excited state is mostly preserved in the full series of compounds.

In Table S5 in the ESI,[†] we report the computed rotatory strengths (R) for the five lowest singlet states. For the lowest transition, we note rather small responses (<40 cgs, ca. $<10 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in the $\Delta \varepsilon$ scale) in the pentacene series 1–3, but significantly higher responses (up to 218 cgs or *ca.* 38 M^{-1} cm⁻¹ for 6) in the tetracene series 4-6, which is clearly in line with the experimental data (Fig. 4, top and middle). Theory also restores the outcome that the response is maximal for 5 and 6 and rather negligible for 1 and 2. As seen above, the electronic nature of the S1 state is globally preserved within all compounds, so that we qualitatively relate the larger ECD response in the tetracene series to their higher helicity, as illustrated by the pitch values (vide supra). For the higher-lying transitions, one notices in Table S5^{\dagger} that *R* values are very diverse in both series, *e.g.*, for S₂, TD-DFT predicts |R| of 97 cgs for 1, 176 cgs for 3, 48 cgs for 4, and 282 cgs for 6. This is consistent with the rather large responses of 3 and 6 at shorter wavelength as compared to 1 and 4 (Fig. 3). This variation as compared to S_1 can be explained by the different natures of the S2 excited states that, as can be seen in Fig. S26 in the ESI,† now involve much more importantly the added acene rings as compared to 7 and 8. Thus, at higher energies, the helical pitch is not a sufficient parameter to understand the experimental responses.

Conclusions

Through late-stage functionalizations of cationic [6] or [4]helicenes, series of helical tetracenes and pentacenes were prepared. Due to a larger helical pitch (*ca.* 3.2 Å *vs.* 2.6–2.7 Å) and as demonstrated through first principles analyses, only the class of tetracene derivatives exhibit strong ECD and CPL properties in the far red domain, including in the solid state. Based on these enhanced properties, a wealth of developments can be considered.^{26b,d,f}

Conflicts of interest

Authors declares no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank the University of Geneva, the DIP-State of Geneva and the Swiss National Science for funding and support (SNF 200020-172497 and 200020-184843). We also acknowledge the contributions of the Sciences Mass Spectrometry (SMS) platform at the Faculty of Sciences, University of Geneva. This work used the computational resources of the CCIPL center installed in Nantes thanks to the support of *Région des Pays de la Loire*.

Notes and references

1 (a) J. E. Anthony, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, 106, 5028–5048; (b)
 G. J. Richards, J. P. Hill, T. Mori and K. Ariga, *Org. Biomol.*

Chem., 2011, **9**, 5005–5017; (c) Q. Miao, Synlett, 2012, **2012**, 326–336; (d) H. F. Bettinger and C. Toenshoff, Chem. Rec., 2015, **15**, 364–369; (e) J. Li and Q. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, **7**, 28049–28062; (f) U. H. F. Bunz, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, **48**, 1676–1686.

- 2 F. Paulus, J. U. Engelhart, P. E. Hopkinson, C. Schimpf,
 A. Leineweber, H. Sirringhaus, Y. Vaynzof and
 U. H. F. Bunz, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, 4, 1194–1200.
- 3 D.-K. Kim and J.-H. Choi, Opt. Mater., 2018, 76, 359-367.
- 4 (a) U. H. F. Bunz, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2010, **82**, 953–968; (b) P.-Y. Gu, Z. Wang and Q. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2016, **4**, 7060–7074.
- 5 S. S. Zade and M. Bendikov, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2012, 25, 452-461.
- 6 (a) I. G. Stara and I. Stary, *Sci. Synth.*, 2010, 45b, 885–953; (b)
 Y. Shen and C.-F. Chen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, 112, 1463–1535; (c)
 C.-F. Chen and Y. Shen, *Helicene Chemistry From Synthesis to Applications*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2017.
- 7 (a) N. Saleh, C. Shen and J. Crassous, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, 5, 3680–3694; (b) J. Bosson, J. Gouin and J. Lacour, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 2824–2840; (c) P. Aillard, A. Voituriez and A. Marinetti, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, 43, 15263–15278; (d) M. Gingras, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, 42, 1051–1095.
- 8 (a) M. Li, H.-Y. Lu, C. Zhang, L. Shi, Z. Tang and C.-F. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, 52, 9921–9924; (b) M. Li, Y. Niu, X. Zhu, Q. Peng, H.-Y. Lu, A. Xia and C.-F. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 2993–2995; (c) M. Li, W. Yao, J.-D. Chen, H.-Y. Lu, Y. Zhao and C.-F. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, 2, 8373–8380.
- 9 (a) I. H. Delgado, S. Pascal, A. Wallabregue, R. Duwald, C. Besnard, L. Guenee, C. Nancoz, E. Vauthey, R. C. Tovar, J. L. Lunkley, G. Muller and J. Lacour, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 4685–4693; (b) J. Bosson, G. M. Labrador, S. Pascal, F.-A. Miannay, O. Yushchenko, H. Li, L. Bouffier, N. Sojic, R. C. Tovar, G. Muller, D. Jacquemin, A. D. Laurent, B. Le Guennic, E. Vauthey and J. Lacour, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2016, 22, 18394–18403; (c) S. Pascal, C. Besnard, F. Zinna, L. Di Bari, B. Le Guennic, D. Jacquemin and J. Lacour, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, 14, 4590–4594.
- 10 H. Isla and J. Crassous, C. R. Chim., 2016, 19, 39-49.
- E. M. Sánchez-Carnerero, A. R. Agarrabeitia, F. Moreno, B. L. Maroto, G. Muller, M. J. Ortiz and S. de la Moya, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2015, 21, 13488-13500.
- 12 (a) K. Goto, R. Yamaguchi, S. Hiroto, H. Ueno, T. Kawai and H. Shinokubo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 10333-10336;
 (b) D. Sakamaki, D. Kumano, E. Yashima and S. Seki, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 5404-5407;
 (c) X. Geng, J. P. Donahue, J. T. Mague and R. A. Pascal Jr, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 13957-13960.
- 13 For a recent study describing terminal-end growth of helical skeletons up to [13]helicene frameworks, see S. K. Pedersen, K. Eriksen and M. Pittelkow, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58(51), 18419–18423.
- 14 (a) B. W. Laursen and F. C. Krebs, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 3432–3434; (b) C. Herse, D. Bas, F. C. Krebs, T. Bürgi, J. Weber, T. Wesolowski, B. W. Laursen and

J. Lacour, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 3162–3166; (c) F. Torricelli, J. Bosson, C. Besnard, M. Chekini, T. Bürgi and J. Lacour, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 1796–1800.

- 15 R. Duwald, S. Pascal, J. Bosson, S. Grass, C. Besnard, T. Bürgi and J. Lacour, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2017, 23, 13596–13601.
- 16 P. E. Eaton, G. R. Carlson and J. T. Lee, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1973, **38**, 4071–4073.
- 17 P. Ruiz-Castillo and S. L. Buchwald, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 12564–12649.
- 18 S. H. Cho, J. Yoon and S. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 5996–6005.
- 19 N. Gommermann, C. Koradin and P. Knochel, *Synthesis*, 2002, 2002, 2143–2149.
- 20 For the formation of K4, the use of polyphosphoric acid (PPA) at 100 $^{\circ}$ C for 20 hours was preferred over Eaton's reagent.
- 21 H. Tanaka, M. Ikenosako, Y. Kato, M. Fujiki, Y. Inoue and T. Mori, *Commun. Chem.*, 2018, 1, 38.
- 22 For a review on the influence of the twisting of polyacenes on their optical properties, see A. Bedi and O. Gidron, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 2482–2490.
- 23 Strong ECD signals are observed in the UV domain for 1–3, with $\Delta \epsilon$ up to 107 M^{-1} cm⁻¹ for 3 at 298 nm. It is also the case for 4–6, with $\Delta \epsilon$ up to 240 M^{-1} cm⁻¹ for 6 at 286 nm.
- 24 (a) Y. Yamamoto, H. Sakai, J. Yuasa, Y. Araki, T. Wada, T. Sakanoue, T. Takenobu, T. Kawai and T. Hasobe, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2016, 22, 4263-4273; (b) T. Biet, T. Cauchy, Q. Sun, J. Ding, A. Hauser, P. Oulevey, T. Burgi, D. Jacquemin, N. Vanthuyne, J. Crassous and N. Avarvari, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, 53, 9210-9213; (c) T. Otani, A. Tsuyuki, T. Iwachi, S. Someya, K. Tateno, H. Kawai, T. Saito, K. S. Kanyiva and T. Shibata, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 3906-3910; (d) Z. Dominguez, R. Lopez-Rodriguez, E. Alvarez, S. Abbate, G. Longhi, U. Pischel and A. Ros, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 12660-12668; (e) M. Satoh, Y. Shibata and K. Tanaka, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 5434-5438; (f) H. Tanaka, Y. Kato, M. Fujiki, Y. Inoue and T. Mori, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2018, 122, 7378-7384.
- 25 H. Tanaka, Y. Inoue and T. Mori, *ChemPhotoChem*, 2018, 2, 386–402.
- 26 (a) T. Kaseyama, S. Furumi, X. Zhang, K. Tanaka and M. Takeuchi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 3684-3687; (b) T. Amako, K. Nakabayashi, A. Sudo, M. Fujiki and Y. Imai, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 2913-2917; (c) J. R. Brandt, X. Wang, Y. Yang, A. J. Campbell and M. J. Fuchter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 9743-9746; (d) M. Gon, R. Sawada, Y. Morisaki and Y. Chujo, Macromolecules, 2017, 50, 1790-1802; (e) H. Nishimura, K. Tanaka, Y. Morisaki, Y. Chujo, A. Wakamiya and Y. Murata, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 5242-5249; (f) K. Takaishi, T. Yamamoto, S. Hinoide and T. Ema, Chem.-Eur. J., 2017, 23, 9249-9252; (g) C. Maeda, K. Nagahata, K. Takaishi and T. Ema, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 3136-3139; (h) A. Taniguchi, D. Kaji, N. Hara, R. Murata, S. Akiyama, T. Harada, A. Sudo, H. Nishikawa and Y. Imai, RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 1976-1981.