


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 39894

 DOI: 10.1039/d0ra90114h  
[rsc.li/rsc-advances](http://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

The authors regret that an incorrect version of Fig. 5 was included in the original article. The correct version of Fig. 5 is presented below.




Fig. 5 Upper panel: Size distribution histograms of aggregates in 2.0 mM solutions (pH 7) of (a)  $(mPEG_4)_2SO_3Na$ , and (b)  $(mPEG_{23})_2SO_3Na$  at 25 °C; lower panel: unstained HRTEM images of 2 mM (c)  $(mPEG_4)_2SO_3Na$  and (d)  $(mPEG_{23})_2SO_3Na$  solutions in phosphate buffer (pH 7.0).

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, Kharagpur-721302, India. E-mail: joydey@chem.iitkgp.ac.in; Fax: +91-3222-255303; Tel: +91-3222-283308  
<sup>b</sup>NMR, CATERs, CSIR-Central Leather Research Institute, Adyar, Chennai-600020, India

