



 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2020, 10, 43592

Crystalline phase regulation of anatase–rutile TiO₂ for the enhancement of photocatalytic activity

 Kuang Wang,^{ab} Yan Zhuo,^a Jiayi Chen,^a Dawei Gao,^a Yu Ren,^b Chunxia Wang^{ab} and Zhenming Qi *^a

Biphasic TiO₂ with adjustable crystalline phases was prepared by the hydrothermal-calcination method assisted by nitric acid (HNO₃) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), using potassium titanate oxalate (K₂TiO(C₂O₄)₂) as the titanium source. The influences of H₂O₂ volume on anatase and rutile contents and photocatalytic activity of biphasic TiO₂ were investigated and the photocatalytic mechanism was explored. X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (UV-vis DRS) and specific surface area (BET) were employed to characterize crystal structure, physical morphology, absorbable light, chemical composition, specific surface area and pore size distribution. The photocatalytic degradation efficiency towards a methylene blue (MB) solution under xenon light was tested, and the photocatalytic stability of the sample was investigated by photocatalytic cycle experiments. The prepared biphasic TiO₂ was nanorod-shaped and had a large specific surface area. The results showed the anatase TiO₂ content increased and the photocatalytic efficiency was enhanced as the H₂O₂ volume solution increased. Among the catalysts, the biphasic TiO₂ prepared with 30 mL of H₂O₂ had the best photocatalytic effect and could entirely degrade the MB solution after 30 minutes under irradiation. After three repeated degradations, the photocatalytic degradation rate was still estimated to be as high as 95%. It is expected that the work will provide new insights into fabricating heterophase junctions of TiO₂ for environmental remediation.

 Received 5th November 2020
 Accepted 23rd November 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra09421h

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

With rapid agricultural and industrial growth, water pollution has become a great challenge to human beings and any other forms of life. How to eliminate pollutants from water and restore ecological water has become our top priority. To address this issue, semiconductor photocatalysis has been regarded as an efficient approach for sewage water purification by utilizing solar energy.^{1,2} In recent years, many kinds of semiconductors, such as TiO₂,^{3–5} BiOBr,⁶ Ag₃PO₄,⁷ SnO₂,⁸ *etc.*, have been well explored in developing promising photocatalysts. Among them, titanium dioxide (TiO₂) has attracted considerable attentions due to its outstanding photocatalytic activity, low cost, adorable chemical and thermal stability, corrosion resistance, non-toxicity and other favorable properties.⁹ However, as the n-type broad bandgap semiconductor, TiO₂ can only be excited by ultraviolet light to produce electron–hole pairs. Single phase TiO₂ also suffered from high rate recombination of photo-generated carriers. All these literally hindered its performance on practical applications.¹⁰

Semiconductors composite and heterophase interfaces are effective strategies to improve photocatalytic activity of TiO₂. Recently, more interests are mainly focused on the composite of semiconductors. For instance, TiO₂/WO₃,¹¹ TiO₂/BiVO₄,¹² TiO₂/CuO,¹³ TiO₂/CdS,¹⁴ and *etc.*, are of great benefit for the transmission and detachment of photogenerated charge carriers.¹⁵ Zhu and his team presented a *in situ* synthesis technique in preparing g-C₃N₄/P25, and results revealed that g-C₃N₄/P25 has superior photodegradation performance than P25.¹⁶ BiOBr/TiO₂ nanorod heterojunction composite was prepared by Xue and his colleagues *via* electrochemical anodization method, and products exhibited remarkable reduction efficiency of Cr(VI).¹⁷ According to Chi and his coworkers, porous TiO₂ nanotubes/Ag₃PO₄ heterojunction was synthesized by facile electrospinning and chemical co-deposition route, and obtained sample featured an exceptive enhancement by the photodegradation of methylene blue.¹⁸ TiO₂ heterophase interfaces have been proved to have more superior photocatalytic activity than pure anatase or rutile phase TiO₂ by many researchers. Lyu *et al.*¹⁹ fabricated a TiO₂ hollow heterophase junction by coating anatase TiO₂ hollow spheres with porous amorphous TiO₂, and the obtained TiO₂ exhibited preferable adsorption capability, light harvesting ability, and charge-separation efficiency. It was reported that TiO₂ heterophase junction had controllable contact area between

^aCollege of Textiles and Clothing, Yancheng Institute of Technology, Yancheng, China. E-mail: cxwang@mail.dhu.edu.cn

^bSchool of Textile and Clothing, Nantong University, Nantong, China


rutile and anatase phase, which is favorable for the separation and transition of photo-generated carriers at the heterojunction region.²⁰ E *et al.*²¹ reported that various contents of hydrochloric acid could lead to different crystallizations of TiO₂. Biphasic TiO₂ with different contents of anatase and rutile have been successfully prepared in an acidic hydrothermal system by Li *et al.*²² Tartaric acid (C₄H₆O₆) was employed as the phase content regulator and TiCl₃ as the titanium source, and result demonstrated that TiO₂ with 77% anatase and 23% rutile had the optimized photocatalytic performance. However, K₂TiO(C₂O₄)₂ as precursor and H₂O₂ as phase content regulator to adjust the anatase and rutile contents of biphasic TiO₂ has not been reported. Hence, it is of profound significance to adjust the anatase and rutile contents to further study the photocatalytic performance of biphasic TiO₂.

This work aims to promote the photodegradation of organic pollutants by synthesizing a novel kind of spindle-like biphasic TiO₂ nanorods *via* hydrothermal-calcination route. The anatase and rutile contents in biphasic TiO₂ were adjusted by controlling different volumes of H₂O₂. The influence of H₂O₂ volume on the photocatalytic performance of biphasic TiO₂ was discussed and the mechanism of photocatalytic degradation was explored.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Potassium titanium oxalate (K₂TiO(C₂O₄)₂) was purchased from Shanghai Titan Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 30%) and nitric acid (HNO₃, 65%) were provided by Jiangsu Tongsheng Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Wuxi, China). Absolute ethanol was obtained from Sino-pharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). All the reagents were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

2.2. Sample preparation

In a typical synthetic procedure: K₂TiO(C₂O₄)₂ (5 mmol) was completely dissolved in a certain volume of H₂O₂ (30%) and deionized water under magnetic stirring to obtain a mixture solution of 50 mL. 65% HNO₃ (1.7 mL) was added dropwise into the aforementioned solution to obtain the precursor solution. The solution was then sealed within a Teflon-lined autoclave (150 mL) and maintained 150 °C for 12 h. After the vessel was cooled down to room temperature naturally, the precipitate was centrifuged and washed three times by deionized water and absolute ethanol, and dried at 60 °C. Subsequently the dry powder was calcined at 500 °C in muffle furnace for 4 h and pulverized to biphasic TiO₂ powder. The volumes of 30% H₂O₂ were 0 mL, 5 mL, 10 mL, 15 mL, 20 mL, 25 mL and 30 mL, and the samples were denoted as S-0, S-5, S-10, S-15, S-20, S-25 and S-30, respectively.

2.3. Characterization

The X-ray diffractometer (XRD) (PANalytical, Dutch) was performed to analyze the phase of the obtained sample. The morphology of the sample was observed by Nova Nano SEM 450

field emission scanning electron microscope (FEI, America) and JEM-2100F field emission transmission electron microscope (JEOL, Japan). Optical absorption spectrum was detected by TU-1901 UV-vis DRS (Persee, China). The elemental composition was further revealed by ESCALAB 250Xi X-ray photoelectron spectrometer model (Thermo Fisher Scientific, America). A fully automatic specific surface and pore analyzer model TriStar II 3020 (Micromeritics, America) was applied to evaluate the specific surface area, total pore volume, and average pore diameter of the sample.

2.4. Photocatalytic activity measurement

Methylene blue (MB) was employed as a simulated pollutant. A time-dependent experiment was conducted to investigate the photocatalytic activity in the degradation of MB by the as-prepared sample. Typically, the sample (0.1 g) was scattered into MB solution (100 mL, 20 mg L⁻¹). Before light illumination, the mixed suspension of MB and sample were stirred for 30 min to reach the adsorption-desorption equilibrium. Therewith the mixed solution was irradiated by a 300 W Xe lamp (LS-SXE300/300UV). MB solution (3 mL) was sampled at 5 min interval and centrifuged to remove the particles. The absorbance of MB solution was recorded by the maximum absorption-band at λ = 664 nm on a UV-vis spectrophotometer.

Repeat experiments were carried out to evaluate the photocatalytic stability of the sample. S-30 was selected as the target object. After the first photocatalytic degradation, aqueous MB (2 mL, 1 g L⁻¹) and deionized water were consecutively added in the residual solution to obtain mixed solution (100 mL). The above process was repeated two times to test the duration of the photocatalytic performance. The photocatalytic activity of the sample was determined by degradation rate, which was calculated by eqn (1):

$$\eta = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100\% = \frac{A_0 - A_t}{A_0} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

where C_0 and C_t are the concentration of MB before and after irradiation, respectively. A_0 and A_t are the absorbance of MB solution at the maximum absorption peak ($\lambda_{\max} = 664$ nm) before and after irradiation, respectively.^{23,24}

Langmuir-Hinshelwood pseudo-first-order kinetic model was applied to investigate the kinetics of photocatalytic performance in the photodegradation of MB, as demonstrated in eqn (2):

$$\ln(C_0/C_t) = Kt \quad (2)$$

where C_0 and C_t are the concentration of MB before and after irradiation, respectively. K is the pseudo first-order rate constant and t is the irradiation time. The linear slope of $\ln(C_0/C_t)$ corresponding to t is applied to represent the value of K .²⁵

3. Results and discussion

3.1. XRD analysis

Fig. 1 illustrates the XRD patterns of biphasic TiO₂ prepared with different H₂O₂ volumes. As shown in Fig. 1, XRD



diffraction pattern of TiO₂ exhibited the main peaks at 25.28°, 36.98°, 37.93°, 48.34°, 53.99°, 54.93°, 62.69°, 68.84°, 70.09° and 75.03°, corresponding to (101), (103), (004), (200), (105), (211), (204), (113), (220) and (215), which coincided with the tetragonal anatase TiO₂ (JCPDS no. 21-1272). The main XRD peaks of rutile TiO₂ at about 27.33°, 36.09°, 41.23°, 44.14°, 54.36°, 56.46° could be indexed to (110), (101), (111), (210), (211) and (220), which were in accordance with the standard card (JCPDS no. 21-1276). No other diffraction peaks were observed in the XRD pattern, indicating no other impurities generated in the preparation of TiO₂. All the patterns suggested the existence of anatase and rutile crystalline phases. The content of rutile phase was calculated by eqn (3):

$$W_R = \frac{I_R}{0.886I_A + I_R} \quad (3)$$

where W_R is the mass fraction of rutile crystalline phase, I_A and I_R are the diffraction peak intensity of anatase phase $2\theta = 25.2^\circ$ and rutile phase $2\theta = 27.4^\circ$.²⁶

Fig. 2 depicts the influence of H₂O₂ volume on the contents of anatase and rutile in the biphasic TiO₂. With increasing H₂O₂ volume, the contents of anatase TiO₂ and rutile TiO₂ enhanced and declined, respectively. The as-prepared sample contained more rutile TiO₂ than anatase TiO₂ without the presence of H₂O₂, which could be ascribed to the remarkable differences in the growing rate and surface energy. When H₂O₂ was added, anatase TiO₂ gradually came up with rutile TiO₂ in content and outnumbered rutile ultimately. The explanation might be that: hydrolysis occurred in K₂TiO(C₂O₄)₂ to generate hydrogen titanate, which was likely transformed into rutile TiO₂ under the acid condition.²⁷ With the addition of H₂O₂, [C₂O₄]²⁻ was substituted by excess O²⁻ in the acid medium from H₂O₂ to form peroxo-complexes.²⁸ Afterwards, the oxygen was released *via* a complex decomposition reaction, and amorphous TiO₂ accumulated toward oxygen and anatase TiO₂ was formed as a result. The TiO₆ octahedron was inclined to face sharing, leading to more generation of anatase TiO₂. More oxygen was produced as the increasing addition of H₂O₂, which further enhanced the anatase content. The entire reactions can be formulated as follows:





Fig. 2 Influence of H₂O₂ volume on the contents of anatase and rutile in biphasic TiO₂.



3.2. SEM observation

The morphology of as-synthesized samples was observed by SEM. As illustrated in Fig. 3, the morphologies of biphasic TiO₂ before and after calcination were spindle-like nanorods, which were approximately 300 nm in length and 50 nm in width. It was considered that the biphasic TiO₂ before calcination was prone to agglomerate. And the biphasic TiO₂ after calcination possessed more distinctive nanorod shape.

3.3. TEM observation

Fig. 4 reveals the TEM images of S-30. From Fig. 4(a), the aggregates were assembly of spindle-like nanorods with length of about 300 nm and width of about 50 nm, which was in agreement with the SEM observation. Fig. 4(b) indicated that the mesoporous structure was distributed in the biphasic TiO₂ nanorod, suggesting that the prepared biphasic TiO₂ was a mesocrystalline material. HRTEM image of biphasic TiO₂ is displayed in Fig. 4(c). The lattice fringe spacings of $d = \sim 0.32$ nm and 0.23 nm were consistent with (110) crystal plane of rutile TiO₂ and (004) crystal plane of anatase TiO₂, separately. The result of HRTEM was well in accordance with XRD pattern, which further confirmed that the as-prepared TiO₂ had a biphasic structure.

3.4. UV-vis DRS analysis

The UV-vis DRS of S-30 and P25 are illustrated in Fig. 5. It was worth noting that the prepared biphasic TiO₂ and P25 basically had no absorption property in the visible light region (>420 nm) but outstanding absorption in the ultraviolet light region. The absorption edges estimated for S-30 and P25 were at 404 nm and 394 nm, which were corresponding to band gaps of 3.07 eV




Fig. 1 XRD patterns of TiO₂ prepared with different volumes of H₂O₂: (a) S-0, (b) S-5, (c) S-10, (d) S-15, (e) S-20, (f) S-25 and (g) S-30.






Fig. 3 SEM photos of S-30: (a) before calcination ($\times 100\ 000$), (b) before calcination ($\times 200\ 000$), (c) after calcination ($\times 100\ 000$) and (d) after calcination ($\times 200\ 000$).

and 3.15 eV, respectively. It was noted that S-30 performed better photocatalytic activity compared to P25. One of the possible reason was that narrow band gaps of nanophotocatalyst lead to high utilization of visible light.

3.5. XPS analysis

Fig. 6 demonstrates the XPS spectrum of biphasic TiO_2 and the peak fitting diagrams of each constituent element. As shown in

Fig. 6(a), the peak at 284.8 eV belonged to the characteristic peak of C 1s, which could be ignored as that may be the oil-contaminated carbon brought by the measuring instrument. It was observed that the biphasic TiO_2 was composed of two elements, titanium and oxygen. Fig. 6(b) displayed the Ti 2p spectrum, the peaks at 464.5 eV and 458.7 eV in the illustration were consistent with Ti $2p_{1/2}$ and Ti $2p_{3/2}$ respectively. The interval between the two peaks was 5.7 eV, which featured




Fig. 4 TEM and HRTEM images of S-30: (a), (b) TEM and (c) HRTEM.






Fig. 5 UV-vis DRS of (a) P25 and (b) S-30.

typical characteristics of Ti^{4+} .²⁹ Fig. 6(c) exhibited two fitted peaks of the O 1s spectrum at 530.4 eV and 532.2 eV, which were the binding energies indexed to lattice oxygen and the hydroxyl group ($-\text{OH}$).³⁰

3.6. BET analysis

In order to further prove that the prepared TiO_2 was a mesoporous material, specific surface area, pore volume and average diameter of S-30 were measured by adsorption-desorption isotherm and pore size distribution curve illustrated in Fig. 7. The isotherm exhibited type IV with a H3 type hysteresis loop, indicating the mesoporous structure of S-30.^{28,31} S-30 possessed high specific surface area of $\sim 54.16 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ with total pore volume of $\sim 0.2893 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and average pore diameter of $\sim 21.36 \text{ nm}$, which were consistent with the TEM observation and the prepared sample was proved to be mesogenic. A large specific surface area and small pore size provide many favorable advantages including supplying more active sites, improving light absorption and utilization, and increasing the contact area with degraded contaminants. They are also conducive for the nano-photocatalysis to the transport of photogenerated carriers. Thereby S-30 exhibited an exceptional high photocatalytic activity.

3.7. Photocatalytic performance and stability

Fig. 8(a) presents photocatalytic degradation curves of TiO_2 prepared with different H_2O_2 volume. As can be observed, all the prepared biphasic TiO_2 had excellent photodegradation towards MB solution within 30 min, in that biphasic TiO_2 can




Fig. 6 XPS spectra of S-30: (a) survey, (b) Ti 2p peak fitting and (c) O 1s peak fitting.






Fig. 7 N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherm and the pore size distribution curve of S-30.

form heterogeneous interfaces, separate electron-hole pairs and inhibit the recombination of carriers. The photocatalytic activity of the samples ascended with the increase in anatase content, because anatase has preferable photocatalytic performance than rutile.^{32,33} Thereinto, S-30 with the anatase content of 90.33% had the highest photodegradation rate on MB solution. The pseudo-first-order kinetic curves of the photocatalytic degradation of MB solution by biphasic TiO_2 are shown in Fig. 8(b), the pseudo-first-order rate constant K enlarged with increasing anatase content. S-30 exhibited superior photocatalytic activity ($K = 0.19159 \text{ min}^{-1}$) than P25 ($K = 0.13251 \text{ min}^{-1}$) in the decomposition of MB solution.

The repeated experiments of MB photodegradation were conducted to evaluate S-30 stability. After three consecutive reaction cycles, it was observed that S-30 exhibited no obvious decrease in the photocatalytic activity, the photodegradation rate was reduced by 5% in Fig. 9. The results demonstrated that

S-30 had appreciable stability to photocatalyze the degradation of organic contaminants.

3.8. Photocatalytic mechanism

According to all above results, the schematic of photocatalytic mechanism of biphasic TiO_2 is illustrated in Fig. 10. The bandgaps of anatase TiO_2 and rutile TiO_2 were 3.2 eV and 3.03 eV.³⁴ The electrons of the biphasic TiO_2 were stimulated and transferred to the conduction band (CB) under light illumination. Owing to the difference in the band potentials, the photogenerated electrons transferred from the rutile CB to the anatase CB. Simultaneously the photogenerated holes migrated from the valence band (VB) of anatase to the rutile VB, resulting in the formation of anatase and rutile heterogeneous interfaces.³⁵ The biphasic TiO_2 composed of anatase and rutile therefore had an excellent charge separation efficiency, which improved the photocatalytic activity of the biphasic TiO_2 .




Fig. 9 Cycling runs of S-30.




Fig. 8 Photocatalytic degradation of MB solution by biphasic TiO_2 and P25: (a) photodegradation curves and (b) pseudo-first-order kinetic curves.






Fig. 10 Schematic of photocatalytic mechanism of biphasic TiO₂.

4. Conclusions

The biphasic TiO₂ was successfully synthesized in the presence of HNO₃ and H₂O₂ via hydrothermal-calcination route, with K₂TiO(C₂O₄)₂ as titanium source. By changing the H₂O₂ volume, the ratio of anatase and rutile in the biphasic TiO₂ was adjusted. The content of anatase TiO₂ and its photocatalytic activity improved with the addition of H₂O₂. When the H₂O₂ volume reached 30 mL, the biphasic TiO₂ composed of 90.33% anatase and 9.67% rutile was spindle-like nanorods and exhibited excellent photocatalytic efficiency and stability. After three times of repeated experiments, the photocatalytic degradation rate of MB solution remained as high as 95%. The adjustment of the anatase and rutile contents provides a neoteric strategy for other semiconductor heterogeneous junction materials to enhance the photocatalytic activity.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- M. Ye, Z. Chen, W. Wang, J. Shen and J. Ma, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **184**, 612–619.
- I. J. Ani, U. G. Akpan, M. A. Olutoye and B. H. Hameed, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2018, **205**, 930–954.
- I. Ali, M. Suhail, Z. A. Alothman and A. Alwarthan, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 30125–30147.
- Y. Lan, Y. Lu and Z. Ren, *Nano Energy*, 2013, **2**, 1031–1045.
- A. Miyoshi, S. Nishioka and K. Maeda, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 18204–18219.
- B. Zhang, M. Zhang, L. Zhang, P. A. Bingham, W. Li and S. Kubuki, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2020, **530**, 147233.
- Y. Zhang, G. Duoerkun, Z. Shi, W. Cao, T. Liu, J. Liu, L. Zhang, M. Li and Z. Chen, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2020, **571**, 213–221.
- D. Zhao and X. Wu, *Mater. Lett.*, 2018, **210**, 354–357.
- J. Hu, S. Zhang, Y. Cao, H. Wang, H. Yu and F. Peng, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 10823–10832.
- E. Assayehgn, A. Solaiappan, Y. Chebude and E. Alemayehu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2020, **515**, 145966.

- G. Žerjav, M. S. Arshad, P. Djinović and J. Zavašnik, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **209**, 273–284.
- Y. Wang, N. Lu, M. Luo, L. Fan, K. Zhao, J. Qu, J. Guan and X. Yuan, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **463**, 234–243.
- K. K. Mandari, B. S. Kwak, A. K. R. Police and M. Kang, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2017, **95**, 515–524.
- L. Luo, J. Long, S. Zhao, J. Dai, L. Ma, H. Wang, L. Xia, L. Shu and F. Jiang, *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.*, 2019, **130**, 77–85.
- H. Tong, S. Ouyang, Y. Bi, N. Umezawa, M. Oshikiri and J. Ye, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 229–251.
- H. Zhu, D. Chen, D. Yue, Z. Wang and H. Ding, *J. Nanopart. Res.*, 2014, **16**, 2632.
- C. Xue, T. Zhang, S. Ding, J. Wei and G. Yang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 16091–16102.
- C. Chi, J. Pan, M. You, Z. Dong, W. Zhao, C. Song, Y. Zheng and C. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2018, **114**, 173–178.
- J. Lyu, L. Zhou, J. Shao, Z. Zhou, J. Gao, Y. Dong, Z. Wang and J. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **391**, 123602.
- X. Zuo, K. Chang, J. Zhao, Z. Xie, H. Tang, B. Li and Z. Chang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 51–58.
- L. E. C. Hu, K. Hu, W. Zhao, J. Cui, Q. Xiong, Z. Guo and Z. Liu, *Solid State Sci.*, 2019, **88**, 36–40.
- H. Li, X. J. Shen, Y. D. Liu, L. Z. Wang, J. Y. Lei and J. L. Zhang, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2015, **646**, 380–386.
- C. X. Wang, Y. Ren, J. C. Lv, Q. Q. Zhou, Z. P. Ma, Z. M. Qi, J. Y. Chen, G. L. Liu, D. W. Gao, Z. Q. Lu, W. Zhang and L. M. Jin, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **396**, 1840–1848.
- K. K. Hu, L. E. D. Zhao, C. Y. Hu and J. Cui, *CrystEngComm*, 2018, **20**, 3363–3369.
- Z. M. Qi, K. Wang, Y. L. Jiang, Y. P. Zhu, X. M. Chen, Q. Tang, Y. Ren, C. H. Zheng, D. W. Gao and C. X. Wang, *Cellulose*, 2019, **26**, 8919–8937.
- W. W. Fu, G. D. Li, Y. Wang, S. J. Zeng, Z. J. Yan, J. W. Wang, S. G. Xin, L. Zhang, S. W. Wu and Z. T. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 58–61.
- J.-K. Oh, J.-K. Lee, H.-S. Kim, S.-B. Han and K.-W. Park, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 1114–1118.
- L. L. Lai, L. L. Huang and J. M. Wu, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 49280–49286.
- J. M. Wu and H. X. Xue, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2009, **92**, 2139–2143.
- Y. Luo, J. Luo, W. Zhou, X. Qi, H. Zhang, D. Y. W. Yu, C. M. Li, H. J. Fan and T. Yu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 273–281.
- M. Thommes, K. Kaneko, A. V. Neimark, J. P. Olivier, F. Rodriguez-Reinoso, J. Rouquerol and K. S. W. Sing, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2015, **87**, 1051–1069.
- S. Lee, A. Y. Cho, Y. S. Rim, J. Y. Park and T. Choi, *Coatings*, 2020, **10**, 557.
- J. F. Zhang, P. Zhou, J. J. Liu and J. G. Yu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 20382–20386.
- V. Pfeifer, P. Erhart, S. Li, K. Rachut, J. Morasch, J. Brötz, P. Reckers, T. Mayer, S. Rühle, A. Zaban, I. Mora Seró, J. Bisquert, W. Jaegermann and A. Klein, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **4**, 4182–4187.
- L. Li, M. Zhang, Y. Liu and X. Zhang, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2014, **435**, 26–33.

