Effect of heat treatment on corrosion behavior of Mg–5Gd–3Y–0.5Zr alloy
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The effects of different heat treatment processes on the microstructure and corrosion behavior of Mg–5Gd–3Y–0.5Zr (GW53K) magnesium alloy were studied by means of microanalysis, weight loss test and electrochemical test. The results show that appropriate heat treatment can improve the corrosion resistance of the alloy. Among the tested alloys, the T6–12 h alloy has the best corrosion resistance, which is mainly attributed to the morphology and distribution of the Mg–RE phase. The corrosion rate of the T4 alloy is similar to that of the T6–12 h alloy. The corrosion resistance of the T4 alloy may be reduced under long-term corrosion due to the existence of surface corrosion microcracks.

1. Introduction

As the lightest metal structure material, magnesium alloy has high specific stiffness and specific strength, and excellent thermal conductivity and electrical conductivity, and has been widely used in agriculture, industry, national defense and many other fields.1–3 Magnesium alloy has chemical stability to alkali, kerosene, gasoline and mineral oil, and has the advantages of easy recycling and secondary use. So it is called a “green engineering material in the 21st century”.4–5 Mg–Gd–Y alloy has higher room temperature and high temperature strength than WE series magnesium alloy. It has a very good application prospect in aerospace and weapon equipment. The maximum solubility of rare earth (RE) elements Gd and Y in magnesium is 23.5 wt% and 12 wt%, respectively, and the solubility decreases with the decrease of temperature. Therefore, Mg–Gd–Y alloy is a kind of heat treatment strengthened magnesium alloy.6 RE elements in magnesium alloys can form Mg–RE phases with a high melting point and good thermal stability. These Mg–RE phases are dispersed in the grains and grain boundaries as fine particles. At high temperature, the grain boundary can be pinned effectively, so as to play the role of dispersion strengthening.7,8 Single addition of Gd or Y will increase the cost and density of the alloy. Therefore, Rokhlin et al.9 proposed the concept of composite addition of Gd and Y elements, and successfully developed a Mg–Gd–Y alloy. At the same time, adding the Zr element can obviously refine the microstructure and improve its mechanical properties. Mg–Gd–Y–Zr (GWK) alloy is considered to be the most promising magnesium alloy at present.10,11 Although magnesium alloy has been developed in the field of aerospace, compared with the development and application of aluminum alloy, the application degree of magnesium alloy is much less than that of aluminum alloy.

The standard electrode potential of magnesium is −2.37 V (25 °C), and corrosion potential is about −1.5 V in seawater, which is the lowest of all structural materials,12 which leads to poor corrosion resistance of magnesium, and it is easy to cause serious corrosion in corrosive medium. The oxide film on magnesium surface is porous, and the PBR (Pilling–Bedworth ratio) value of MgO is 0.81,13 which has poor protection ability to the matrix, and it is not suitable for most corrosion environments. The corrosion of magnesium alloy is similar to that of pure magnesium, and hydrogen evolution is the main part. The reduction process of hydrogen ion and the over potential of cathode hydrogen evolution play an important role in the corrosion process of magnesium.14 The corrosion of magnesium alloy has special electrochemical phenomenon, that is, negative difference effect. Mordike et al.15 though that after cathode polarization, the metal surface condition changed dramatically, which was different from that before polarization, which increased the self-corrosion rate of magnesium alloy and appeared negative difference effect. Magnesium alloy has good corrosion resistance in pure water, but it will show poor corrosion resistance if it is in solution containing Cl− (such as NaCl solution). Therefore, when magnesium alloy is used in corrosion environment, its corrosion resistance is still a restriction factor. In the current research, the measures to improve the corrosion resistance of magnesium alloy are: high purity magnesium alloy,16 alloying,17 surface modification,18 heat treatment,19,20 deformation processing,21 etc. The results of Li et al.22 show that aging treatment reduces the stress in ZK60 alloy and provides better film protection performance, thus improving the corrosion resistance. Choi et al.23 found that when the precipitated particles were evenly distributed in the matrix, the internal stress and dislocation density could be...
effectively eliminated by solution treatment, and the grain size did not grow significantly, thus improving the corrosion resistance. At the same time, it can be seen from Liu et al. research results that heat treatment can reduce the micro galvanic corrosion and improve the corrosion resistance by changing the distribution and morphology of eutectic phase. The results of Xu et al. showed that the annealing treatment has a significant effect on the corrosion resistance of the coating. The internal stress was relieved by heat treatment, resulting in the formation of crystallization of oxide coating with dense and continuous multilayer structure. It can be seen that heat treatment can improve the corrosion resistance by adjusting the distribution of precipitates in the alloy.

In industrial production and application, the corrosion resistance of Mg-RE alloy cannot be ignored, especially in some occasions containing Cl\textsuperscript{-}. However, the research on Mg-RE alloy mainly focuses on the influence of heat treatment on its mechanical properties, but seldom discusses the corrosion resistance of Mg-RE alloy. According to the current research, the breadth and depth of this research is far from enough. There is no consensus on the effect of heat treatment on the corrosion behavior of Mg-RE alloy, and many factors need to be considered comprehensively. Therefore, the microstructure and corrosion resistance of Mg-5Gd-3Y-0.5Zr (GW53K) alloy under different heat treatment conditions (as-cast, T4, under aged T6-4 h, peak aged T6-12 h, over-aged T6-24 h) were studied in this paper. The corrosion mechanism was analyzed and discussed to provide a reliable basis for the research and development of magnesium alloys with excellent mechanical properties and good corrosion resistance.

2. Experiment

2.1 Material preparation

The raw materials for preparing the alloy were magnesium ingot (99.95%), Mg–30Gd, Mg–30Y and Mg–30Zr master alloys (Mg CAS#: 7439-95-4; Gd CAS#: 7440-54-2; Y CAS#: 7440-65-5; Zr CAS#: 7440-67-7). In order to prevent oxidation and combustion, Mg–30(Gd,Y,Zr) alloys were prepared under vacuum conditions (3 × 10\textsuperscript{-7} Pa). All raw materials should be dried before melting, and the surface oxide scale should be removed. The Mg–5Gd–3Y–0.5Zr alloy was melted in the electromagnetic induction furnace, and the CO\textsubscript{2} + SF\textsubscript{6} (volume ratio 99:1) mixed gas protection smelting process was adopted (CO\textsubscript{2} CAS#: 7731-46-2; SF\textsubscript{6} CAS#: 757-35-8). When the alloy liquid temperature reached 750 °C, it was kept for 5 min, and then poured into the metal mold with preheating temperature of 250 °C. The as-cast GW53K alloy was cut into 10 mm × 10 mm × 10 mm cubic samples by wire cutting equipment. Solution treatment process was to put the sample into 510 °C box furnace for 6 h, then quench in 80 °C hot water and cool to room temperature. Aging treatment process: after solution treatment at 510 °C × 6 h, the samples were aged at 225 °C for 4 h, 12 h and 24 h, respectively.

2.2 Experimental steps and analysis methods

The samples were divided into five groups, namely as-cast, T4, and three groups of samples with different aging treatment time (4 h, 12 h and 24 h), respectively referred to as-cast, T4, T6-4 h, T6-12 h and T6-24 h. Before the corrosion test, the surfaces of all the samples were polished and soaked in acetone (CAS#: 67-64-1) for 3 min, the surfaces of the samples were cleaned with anhydrous alcohol (CAS#: 64-17-5). After drying, the samples were weighed with electronic balance FA2004B (FA2004B, Weiling Electronic Technology Co., LTD, Suzhou, China). All the samples were suspended and soaked in 3.5% NaCl (CAS#: 7775-09-9) solution for 24 h, and then taken out. They were put into 200 g L\textsuperscript{-1} CrO\textsubscript{3} (CAS#: 1333-82-0) + 10 g L\textsuperscript{-1} AgNO\textsubscript{3} (CAS#: 7761-88-8) mixed solution, heated to boiling to remove corrosion products, and then cleaned and weighed. The calculation formula of corrosion rate is:

\[
V = (W_0 - W_1)/((s/t) \times 1000)
\]

where: \(V\) is the corrosion rate of the alloy, mg (cm\textsuperscript{2} h\textsuperscript{-1}); \(W_0\) is the initial mass of the sample; \(g\); \(W_1\) is the mass after removing the corrosion products; \(g\); \(s\) is the area exposed in the corrosion medium, cm\textsuperscript{2}; \(t\) is the corrosion time, h.

Electro-chemical measurements were carried out using a CHI660D electrochemical workstation (CHI660D, CH Instruments, Austin, TX, USA). A three electrode system was used. Graphite electrode was used as auxiliary electrode, saturated calomel electrode was used as reference electrode, and magnesium alloy in different heat treatment conditions was used as working electrode. The experimental temperature was room temperature, the electrolyte was 3.5% NaCl solution, and the working surface of the sample was 1 cm\textsuperscript{2}. Firstly, the open circuit potential was monitored. After the sample surface was stable, EIS measurement was made under the open circuit potential. The sweep frequency range of EIS is 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz, and the amplitude is 5 mV. Finally, the polarization curve was measured. The scanning potential range of polarization curve was −1.9 to −1.1 V; the scanning rate was 1 mV s\textsuperscript{-1}. The electrochemical measurements of different heat-treated alloys were repeated at least three times to ensure the accuracy of the results.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM, JSM-5610LV, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS, EDAX Inc., Mahwah, NJ, USA) was used to analyze the microstructure. Phases and corrosion products were analyzed by D8 advance X-ray diffraction (XRD, D8 Advance Series 1, Bruker AXS, Aubrey, TX, USA).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Microstructure

Fig. 1 shows SEM micrographs of GW53K alloy under different heat treatment conditions. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the microstructure of as-cast alloy consists of z-Mg matrix and framework eutectic phase distributed along grain boundary. After solution treatment, the coarse precipitates in the as-cast microstructure almost completely dissolve into the matrix, leaving only a small amount of granular second phases. At the same time, the second phase aggregation phenomenon caused by the composition segregation during casting process is observed in local
area, which is difficult to eliminate by conventional heat treatment. The distribution of the second phase is not uniform in T6-4 h alloy. With the increase of aging time, a large number of fine precipitates can be observed in T6-12 h alloy. However, if the aging time is too long, it will cause the growth and segregation of the second phase, as shown in Fig. 1(e).

The X-ray diffraction analysis of five different states of the alloy is shown in Fig. 2. For the as-cast sample, except for the diffraction peak of α-Mg, the other small peaks are consistent with Mg₂₄Y₅, while the diffraction peak of Mg₅(Gd,Y) is not obvious. After solution treatment, the diffraction peak of Mg₂₄Y₅ disappears and the content of precipitated phase decreases significantly. The diffraction peaks of Mg₅(Gd,Y) and Mg₂₄Y₅ phase appear again in aging treated samples. Mg₅(Gd,Y) phase begins to precipitate in T6-4 h sample, and the intensity of Mg₅(Gd,Y) diffraction peak increased with the increase of aging time. However, the diffraction peak intensity of Mg₅(Gd,Y) in T6-24 h sample is slightly reduced. Combined with the SEM results, it can be inferred that although there is a very small amount of Mg₅(Gd,Y) block phase in the as-cast sample, most of the Mg₅(Gd,Y) block phase precipitates in the subsequent aging process. He et al. accurately calculated the lattice constant $a = 5.25$ Å of Mg₅(Gd,Y) square phase according to the position of diffraction peak in XRD pattern and Bragg equation. The content of Mg₂₄Y₅ phase increases with aging time.

The morphology of the second phase of GW53K alloy under different conditions is shown in Fig. 3. And the EDS analysis of different regions in Fig. 3 are shown in Table 1. From Fig. 3, we can see that the precipitate phase has three main forms: irregular shape, long strip shape, and small square shape. Region A is α-Mg matrix, and point B contains Gd and Y besides Mg. According to the XRD analysis results in Fig. 2, the coarse and irregular precipitates in the as-cast sample are Mg₅(Gd,Y) and Mg₂₄Y₅ mixed rare earth phases. Fig. 3(b) is the second phase in the solid solution sample, and it can be seen that point C...
contains a large number of Y elements, which is considered to be caused by the segregation of Y element during casting. The results of EDS analysis at point D show that the atomic ratio of Mg and Y in the long strip precipitates of T6-4 h sample is close to 5:1, and the composition of Mg_{24}Y_{5} is considered. Fig. 3(d) shows the small square phase precipitated from T6-12 h sample, with the size of ~2 μm, which is the typical precipitate phase in Mg-Gd alloy. He et al.\textsuperscript{27} determined that its composition was Mg_{5}(Gd,Y) and its crystal structure was face centered cubic (FCC). Peng et al.\textsuperscript{28} also observed Mg_{5}(Gd,Y) phase with FCC structure in Mg–7Gd–3Y alloy.

### 3.2 Immersion test

#### 3.2.1 Weight loss rate.

Fig. 4 shows the corrosion rate from the immersion test in 3.5% NaCl solution at 25 °C. Obviously, the corrosion rate is: T6-24 h > as-cast > T6-4 h > T4 > T6-12 h. Solution treatment makes microstructure uniform, so it often shows good corrosion resistance.\textsuperscript{29} Among the tested samples, T6-12 h samples have the lowest corrosion rate. Micro galvanic corrosion is the main corrosion mechanism of the GW53K alloy, so precipitation has a significant impact on the overall corrosion behavior of magnesium alloy. However, it can be seen that T6-4 h and T6-24 h samples exhibit higher corrosion rates, especially the over aged T6-24 h samples show worse corrosion resistance than T4 and as-cast samples. This is due to the uneven distribution of precipitated phases in aged and over aged samples, which acts as cathode in the process of micro
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Table 1  EDS results of the points marked in Fig. 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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galvanic corrosion and makes \( \alpha \)-Mg dissolve preferentially. Therefore, aging treatment does not necessarily improve the corrosion resistance of the alloy, and the selection of heat treatment process has a very important impact on the corrosion resistance.

### 3.2.2 Corrosion products and corrosion morphology.

Fig. 5 shows the X-ray diffraction pattern of corrosion products of GW53K alloy. The corrosion products of as-cast, T4 and T6-12 h samples are similar, mainly including \( \text{Mg(OH)}_2 \) and a small amount of \( \text{Gd(OH)}_3 \). The main reactions in the corrosion process of magnesium alloy are:

\[
\text{MgO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Mg(OH)}_2
\]  
(2)

\[
\text{Mg(OH)}_2 + 2\text{Cl}^- \rightarrow \text{MgCl}_2 + 2\text{OH}^-
\]  
(3)

Fig. 6 shows the corrosion morphology of the sample after removing the corrosion products. The corrosion morphology characteristics can well explain the corrosion rate measured previously. In magnesium alloys containing rare earth elements, local corrosion is the main form, and areas with severe corrosion will show the form of multiple corrosion pits. Serious pitting corrosion is observed on the surface of as-cast and T6-24 h samples, but only local slight corrosion is observed in T4, T6-4 h and T6-12 h samples. In T4 sample, the eutectic phase almost dissolves into the matrix, so no large corrosion pit is observed in the surface corrosion morphology. At the same time, a large number of microcracks are observed on the corrosion surface of T4 samples (as shown in Fig. 7). This phenomenon will be discussed in detail in the chapter of polarization curve and corrosion mechanism. It can be seen from the corrosion morphology of T6-4 h and T6-12 h that there are two main forms of corrosion: corrosion pit and filiform corrosion. When magnesium alloy is corroded, the precipitation has higher corrosion potential than \( \alpha \)-Mg, so when the front edge of corrosion pit contacts with precipitated phase, the surrounding \( \alpha \)-Mg will be corroded as anode, and the precipitated phase will be retained as cathode. When more and more \( \alpha \)-Mg is corroded, the precipitated phase will fall off and form precipitated phase holes on the surface of matrix. Guo et al. reported that the damage and shedding of the second phase particles in the T6 state of magnesium alloy can be used as the basis to explain the mechanism of negative difference effect of magnesium and magnesium alloys. In T6-4 h samples, filiform corrosion basically extends to the whole surface, which is consistent with its poor corrosion resistance. The same filiform corrosion pattern also appears in T4 samples. It should be noted that the corrosion pits on the surface of the over aged T6-24 h samples are larger and deeper than those of the as-cast samples, and there is an obvious vertical corrosion development trend, which corresponds to the worst corrosion resistance of T6-24 h samples. In general, heat treatment changes the microstructure of as-cast GW53K alloy, especially the size, volume fraction and distribution of precipitates, which leads to the differences in the formation and propagation characteristics of corrosion pits and filiform corrosion. The microstructure of GW53K alloy is improved by heat treatment, and the development of corrosion pits is inhibited. T6-12 h samples show the best pitting corrosion resistance.

### 3.3 Electrochemical behavior

#### 3.3.1 Potentiodynamic polarization curve.

The potentiodynamic polarization curves of GW53K alloy under different heat treatment conditions are shown in Fig. 8. It can be seen that the tested samples show similar polarization behavior, and the cathodic polarization curve can represent the hydrogen evolution reaction of the cathode phase in the alloy. However, due to the negative difference effect, the anodic reaction represents both the dissolution of magnesium matrix and hydrogen evolution. Since oxygen reduction is not important to magnesium corrosion, and the cathodic current related to oxygen reduction can be ignored, the cathodic process in Tafel region is mainly hydrogen evolution reaction in solution.

The cathodic branch of polarization curve shows that the cathodic polarization current of T6-12 h sample is lower than that of as-cast and T4 samples, which also means that it has higher over potential. Compared with as-cast and T4 samples, the cathodic reaction of T6-12 h sample is more difficult to carry out in kinetics. The cathodic branch of polarization curve of all the tested samples shows that the current density increases
rapidly with the increase of potential, but there are some differences in the anode branch. It can be seen from Fig. 8 that as-cast and T4 alloys exhibit active dissolution, while T6-12 h alloys show self-passive characteristic, which is a kind of performance of the product film existing in the initial stage of immersion. In the early stage of corrosion, the original oxide

Fig. 6 Surface morphology of GW53K alloys after different heat treatments without corrosion products: (a) as-cast; (b) T4; (c) T6-4 h; (d) T6-12 h; (e) T6-24 h.

Fig. 7 T4 sample (a) corrosion morphology, (b) corrosion microcrack.
The order of polarization curve by Tafel extrapolation of cathode alloys.

To the corrosion current density. The smaller the corrosion electrolysis law, the corrosion resistance of materials is related to the corrosion resistance of the alloy to a certain extent. Compared with as-cast alloy, T4 and T6-12 h samples show more positive \( E_{\text{corr}} \), which indicates that the corrosion tendency after heat treatment is reduced. The corrosion current density is obtained from the polarization curve by Tafel extrapolation of cathode branches, as shown in Table 2. It can be seen from Table 2 that the order of \( j_{\text{corr}} \) is as-cast > T4 > T6-12 h. According to Faraday's electrolysis law, the corrosion resistance of materials is related to the corrosion current density. The smaller the corrosion current density is, the better the corrosion resistance is. Therefore, T6-12 h has the best corrosion resistance. The results of polarization curve are consistent with the results of immersion test and microstructure observation. Fig. 8 also shows that the difference between the anode branches of the polarization curve is more significant than that between the cathode branches, which indicates that the effect of heat treatment on the dissolution reaction of magnesium in the corrosion zone is greater than that of the cathodic hydrogen evolution reaction.

3.3.2 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. Due to the negative difference effect, the polarization behavior of magnesium alloy is very complex, which cannot be described by traditional Tafel equation. Therefore, the polarization curve can not accurately distinguish the effect of heat treatment on the corrosion resistance of GW53K alloy.\(^{40}\) In order to better evaluate the corrosion behavior, EIS measurement was carried out. Fig. 9 shows the Nyquist plots of as-cast, T4 and T6-12 h samples immersed in 3.5% NaCl solution. The existence of high-frequency capacitance circuit is mainly attributed to the charge transfer process and the formation of corrosion product film, while the low-frequency induction circuit is due to the relaxation of adsorbed materials such as corrosion products, which also means the beginning of local corrosion on the sample surface.\(^{47}\) The high frequency capacitance circuit diameter of T6-12 h sample is larger than that of as-cast and T4 samples, indicating that T6-12 h sample has the best corrosion resistance. This corresponds to the increasing trend of impedance in the Bode plots of Fig. 9(b). In addition, in Bode plots of \(|Z|\) frequency curve shown in Fig. 9(b), T6-12 h alloy has the highest \(|Z|\) value. In Bode plots of phase angle frequency in Fig. 9(c), it can be found that T6-12 h alloy has a wide phase angle aperture, which indicates that the corrosion resistance of the alloy is T6-12 h > T4 > as-cast from high to low.

The equivalent circuit shown in Fig. 10 is proposed to fit the Nyquist plots shown in Fig. 9, where \( R_s \) is the solution resistance, CPE\(_{\text{dl}} \) is a constant phase angle element, and \( R_{\text{ct}} \) is the charge transfer resistance; \( L \) is the inductance, representing the breakdown of the local protective film on the alloy surface, and \( R_L \) is the inductance resistance. The estimated values of electrical components obtained by ZsimpWin software are shown in Table 3. Polarization resistance \( R_p \) is an important parameter to evaluate corrosion resistance. \( 1/R_p \) is considered to be directly proportional to corrosion rate.\(^{43-45}\) According to the fitting results of the equivalent circuit, \( R_p \) can be calculated as follows:

\[
R_p = R_s + (R_{\text{ct}} \times R_L)(R_{\text{ct}} + R_L)
\]

(4)

It can be seen from Table 3 that \( n \) of constant phase angle element is close to 1, which can be regarded as the electric double layer capacitance of anode. And the \( R_p \) value of T6-12 h sample is higher than that of as-cast and T4 samples, which means that it has the best corrosion resistance. This result is consistent with the weight loss rate and Tafel extrapolation results discussed above. At the same time, it also shows that proper heat treatment can improve the corrosion resistance of GW53K alloy.

3.4 Corrosion mechanism

In the solution environment, the corrosion process of magnesium alloy usually forms a micro galvanic corrosion including anode and cathode. Mg(OH)\(_2\) and H\(_2\) are generated by electrochemical reaction:

![Graph and table data]

**Table 2** Corrosion parameters calculated from the potentiodynamic polarization curves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( E_{\text{corr}} ) (V)</th>
<th>( j_{\text{corr}} ) (A cm(^{-2}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As-cast</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>( 8.64 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>( 4.76 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6-12h</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
<td>( 2.82 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The corrosion mechanism of magnesium is that Mg is firstly oxidized to Mg$^+$ (anodic reaction is shown in formula (6) and cathodic reaction is shown in formula (7)), then Mg$^+$ reacts rapidly with water to form Mg$^{2+}$ and H$_2$ (chemical reaction is shown in formula (8)). With the corrosion process going on, the corrosion product Mg(OH)$_2$ accumulates on the surface of the alloy to form a protective film to isolate corrosion or reduce corrosion. The specific reaction steps are:

Anodic reaction: $2\text{Mg} \rightarrow 2\text{Mg}^+ + 2e^-$  
Cathodic reaction: $2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2$  
Chemical reaction: $2\text{Mg}^+ + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- + \text{H}_2$  
Corrosion products: $\text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Mg(OH)}_2$  

As mentioned above, Mg is very active and its standard electrode potential is $-2.37$ V ($25^\circ$C). Therefore, when magnesium alloy is exposed to air, an oxide film will be formed on the surface, which provides a certain degree of surface protection. However, this protective effect is very weak. When magnesium alloy is in aqueous solution, the following reactions will occur to consume the oxide film (as shown in Fig. 11(a)):

$$\text{MgO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Mg(OH)}_2$$  

Moreover, when magnesium is in the solution containing Cl$^-$, the corrosion of magnesium is usually aggravated. The Cl$^-$ will adsorb on the corrosion product film and form soluble salt with Mg$^{2+}$ in the corrosion product film, which will cause the corrosion product film to be consumed and decomposed, and the protection effect on the matrix will also be worse (as shown in formula (11)), resulting in pitting corrosion in magnesium alloy.

$$\text{Mg(OH)}_2 + 2\text{Cl}^- \rightarrow \text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{Cl}^- + 2\text{OH}^-$$  

When magnesium alloy is in solution containing Cl$^-$ (such as NaCl solution), the pitting potential is negative than corrosion potential, and the surface film can not protect the substrate effectively. Moreover, the continuous expansion of local corrosion area may lead to the erosion and shedding of magnesium alloy particles and precipitated phase.
filiform corrosion. The magnesium alloy is activity and is easy to form a protective film on the surface. Even if there is no coating on the surface, filiform corrosion will occur because of the conditions for the formation of micro battery. Cl\(^-\) is the main reason for pitting corrosion of magnesium alloy. Cl\(^-\) is a strong acid anion with high permeability. It can interfere with the passivation process of magnesium alloy surface and accelerate pitting process. Especially when there are impurities or defects in the surface protection film, local corrosion will occur in these areas. When there are impurities or defects in the surface protection film, local corrosion will occur in these areas. Fig. 11(b) shows the corrosion mechanism of as-cast GW53K alloy. Combined with polarization curve and SEM results of microstructure under different heat treatment conditions, the microstructure of as-cast, T6-4 h and T6-24 h alloy is uneven, and the segregation of precipitated phase is serious, which leads to many physical defects in the corrosion product film. As a result, under the action of Cl\(^-\), a more serious corrosion phenomenon occurs, and large-sized corrosion pits are formed in local areas.

The effect of precipitated phase on the corrosion resistance of magnesium alloy depends on the volume fraction and distribution of precipitated phase in the matrix. In the previous research report of Mg-Al alloy, fine and uniform cathode phase has a serious negative effect on the corrosion resistance. When the precipitated phases are connected into a network and distributed in the matrix, the corrosion of α-Mg grains is easily inhibited by the corrosion products on the surface, so the corrosion process is greatly slowed down. However, it is not completely correct for Mg-RE alloys, because RE elements can effectively enhance the corrosion resistance of magnesium alloys, such as Gd and Y.

RE elements can not only improve the mechanical properties of magnesium alloy, but also significantly improve its corrosion resistance. It is mainly reflected that RE elements can change
the structure of corrosion layer of magnesium alloy, and strengthen the control of cathode phase, so that the electrochemical corrosion process is affected to a certain extent. The results of Ding et al.\textsuperscript{53} showed that the corrosion resistance of Mg-RE alloy was better than that of Mg-Al alloy, especially the pitting corrosion resistance. Nakatsugawa\textsuperscript{54} also found that the addition of RE element could significantly improve the corrosion resistance of the alloy. It is reported in ref. 28 that when the amount of Y in GWK alloy was more than or equal to 3 wt%, dispersed Mg\textsubscript{5}(Gd,Y) phase was formed, which led to a significant increase in the tensile strength of peak aged alloy. The more uniform the distribution of precipitated phase in magnesium alloy, the lower the activity of micro battery formed between matrix \(\alpha\)-Mg and precipitated phase, and the smaller the corrosion rate, the more uniform the alloy composition, the smaller the corrosion difference between solute elements.\textsuperscript{55} Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{45} added 1% Sm to the ZK30 magnesium alloy, which changed the relative distribution between the second phase and the matrix, and the second phase was distributed on the magnesium alloy matrix in a finer and uniform form. The local corrosion caused by the large-size cathode second phase transforms into more comprehensive and uniform pitting corrosion. The corrosion resistance was improved by adjusting the distribution of the second phase in the matrix. At the same time, when the content of Zr was lower than 2 wt%, the element can also improve the corrosion resistance. However, in Al containing magnesium alloys, the addition of Zr should be avoided, because Zr can form stable Al–Zr phase with Al, which reduced the mechanical properties.\textsuperscript{56} When pitting occurs in magnesium alloy, the pitting pits will preferentially spread to the \(\alpha\)-Mg matrix near the second phase. Therefore, there are obvious corrosion pits in as-cast, T6-4 h and T6-24 h samples with large size precipitated phase. At the same time, the uneven distribution of coarse second phase will make the corrosion medium easily invade the matrix in the loose area, which will reduce the corrosion resistance. However, for T6-12 h samples, Mg\textsubscript{5}(Gd,Y) and Mg\textsubscript{24}Y\textsubscript{5} phases have good corrosion resistance and are evenly distributed in the matrix, which can play a good role in protecting corrosion. Moreover, the size of precipitated phase in T6-12 h sample is smaller, which also leads to the decrease of micro galvanic corrosion between matrix and precipitated phase (Fig. 11(d) is the corrosion mechanism diagram of T6-12 h alloy).\textsuperscript{57} At the same time, Morales et al.\textsuperscript{58} research showed that the magnesium alloy containing RE element could form passivation protection film on its surface, and inhibit the hydrogen evolution process, so as to improve its corrosion resistance. Therefore, due to the existence of fine and uniformly distributed rare earth precipitates, a stable and less reactive corrosion product protective film is formed on the surface of T6-12 h alloy during the corrosion process, thus greatly reducing the corrosion rate. T4 sample also has good corrosion resistance because of the uniformity of microstructure. However, combined with the results of SEM and polarization curve of corrosion morphology, it can be seen that the adhesion of corrosion products on the surface of T4 sample is low, which has poor protection effect on the alloy, resulting in many corrosion microcracks on the alloy surface, which also provide a channel for Cl\textsuperscript{−} to enter the alloy (the corrosion mechanism of T4 alloy is shown in Fig. 11(c)). At the same time, it can be inferred that with the extension of corrosion time, the existence of microcracks will accelerate the corrosion of T4 sample under the penetration of Cl\textsuperscript{−}, which means that its corrosion resistance will be deteriorated. In conclusion, the T6-12 h sample has the best corrosion resistance in the research range.

Fig. 11 Schematic diagrams of corrosion mechanism of GW53K alloys in NaCl solution: (a) initial stage of corrosion; (b) as-cast alloy; (c) T4 alloy; (d) T6-12 h alloy.
4. Conclusion

This paper studies the effect of heat treatment on corrosion resistance of GW53K alloy. According to the experimental data analysis of as-cast, T4, T6-4 h, T6-12 h and T6-24 h alloys, the following conclusions can be drawn:

(1) The as-cast microstructure of GW53K alloy is mainly composed of α-Mg matrix and eutectic precipitated phase along grain boundary. XRD and EDS results show that the precipitated phase composition is Mg_{5}(Gd,Y) and Mg_{24}Y_{5}. The size of precipitated phase in as-cast alloy is larger and its distribution is uneven. T4 treatment can dissolve most of the precipitated phase and make the elements evenly distribute in the matrix. T6 treatment process has a great influence on the microstructure of GW53K alloy. The precipitated phases of T6-4 h and T6-24 h alloy show uneven distribution and segregation coarsening. In T6-12 h alloy, fine precipitated phases are uniformly distributed in the matrix.

(2) The corrosion resistance of GW53K alloy depends on the size, content and distribution of precipitated phases. The larger the size of precipitated phases and the wider the distance between them, the more serious the micro galvanic corrosion. The corrosion resistance of as-cast, T6-4 h and T6-24 h alloys is poor, large and deep corrosion pits appear on the surface of the matrix. T6 treatment process has a great influence on the microstructure of GW53K alloy. The precipitated phases of T6-4 h and T6-24 h alloy show uneven distribution and segregation coarsening. In T6-12 h alloy, fine precipitated phases are uniformly distributed in the matrix.
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