


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2020, 10, 40035

# From “S” to “O”: experimental and theoretical insights into the atmospheric degradation mechanism of dithiophosphinic acids†

 Zhipeng Wang,<sup>‡a</sup> Yixiang Zhang,<sup>‡b</sup> Jingjing Liu,<sup>b</sup> Lianjun Song,<sup>a</sup> Xueyu Wang,<sup>a</sup> Xiuying Yang,<sup>a</sup> Chao Xu,<sup>id c</sup> Jun Li<sup>\*b</sup> and Songdong Ding<sup>\*a</sup>

Dithiophosphinic acids (DPAHs, expressed as  $R_1R_2PSSH$ ) are a type of sulfur-donor ligand that have been vastly applied in hydrometallurgy. In particular, DPAHs have shown great potential in highly efficient trivalent actinide/lanthanide separation, which is one of the most challenging tasks in separation science and is of great importance for the development of an advanced fuel cycle in nuclear industry. However, DPAHs have been found liable to undergo oxidative degradation in the air, leading to significant reduction in the selectivity of actinide/lanthanide separation. In this work, the atmospheric degradation of five representative DPAH ligands was investigated for the first time over a sufficiently long period (180 days). The oxidative degradation process of DPAHs elucidated by ESI-MS,  $^{31}P$  NMR, and FT-IR analyses is  $R_1R_2PSSH \rightarrow R_1R_2PSOH \rightarrow R_1R_2POOH \rightarrow R_1R_2POO-OOPR_1R_2$ ,  $R_1R_2PSSH \rightarrow R_1R_2PSS-SSPR_1R_2$ , and  $R_1R_2PSSH \rightarrow R_1R_2PSOH \rightarrow R_1R_2POS-SOPR_1R_2$ . Meanwhile, the determination of  $pK_a$  values through pH titration and oxidation product by PXRD further confirms the S  $\rightarrow$  O transformation in the process of DPAH deterioration. DFT calculations suggest that the hydroxyl radical plays the dominant role in the oxidation process of DPAHs and the order in which the oxidation products formed is closely related to the reaction energy barrier. Moreover, nickel salts of DPAHs have shown much higher chemical stability than DPAHs, which was also elaborated through molecular orbital (MO) and adaptive natural density partitioning (AdNDP) analyses. This work unambiguously reveals the atmospheric degradation mechanism of DPAHs through both experimental and theoretical approaches. At the application level, the results not only provide an effective way to preserve DPAHs but could also guide the design of more stable sulfur-donor ligands in the future.

 Received 17th October 2020  
 Accepted 23rd October 2020

 DOI: 10.1039/d0ra08841b  
[rsc.li/rsc-advances](http://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

## Introduction

Separation of trivalent actinides ( $An^{3+}$ ) over trivalent lanthanides ( $Ln^{3+}$ ) is one of the most critical and challenging steps in spent fuel reprocessing owing to their very similar chemical and physical properties.<sup>1,2</sup> Previous reports indicate that  $An^{3+}$  and  $Ln^{3+}$  could be effectively separated using soft N-donor or S-donor ligands.<sup>3–5</sup> In comparison with N-donor ligands, the S-donor ligands have shown much higher selectivity for  $An^{3+}/Ln^{3+}$  separation.<sup>4</sup> For example, the purified CYANEX® 301, which is a typical aliphatic substituted dithiophosphinic acid

(DPAH, expressed as  $R_1R_2PSSH$ ) ligand, could selectively extract  $Am^{3+}$  over  $Eu^{3+}$  with high separation factors ( $SF_{Am/Eu}$ ) up to 5900 in  $HNO_3$  solution at pH 3.5–4.0.<sup>5</sup> However, when CYANEX® 301 was exposed to oxidation environment such as atmosphere or  $HNO_3$  for a certain time, significant decreases of its selectivity to  $Am^{3+}$  over  $Eu^{3+}$  could be observed, which is expected to limit its use in real applications.<sup>6,7</sup>

To uncover the underlying chemical mechanism accounted for the decrease of  $An^{3+}/Ln^{3+}$  selectivity by DPAHs and help improve the stability of dithiophosphinic acids, a few investigations have been carried out on the oxidation behavior of DPAHs in aqueous solution. It has been shown that a high concentration of non-oxidizing mineral acid such as  $H_2SO_4$  and HCl hardly had obvious detrimental influence on the structure of CYANEX® 301, whereas the oxidizing  $HNO_3$  could destruct the ligand rapidly even at low acidity and for a short testing time.<sup>8,9</sup> With respect to the oxidation products and the possible oxidation pathways of the aliphatic substituted DPAH, there are still different understandings and insights. Wang *et al.* discovered the formation of monothiophosphinic acid (RRPOSH) as the degradation product of (*n*-pentyl)<sub>2</sub>PSSH and (*n*-butyl)<sub>2</sub>PSSH

<sup>a</sup>College of Chemistry, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China. E-mail: dsd68@163.com

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Organic Optoelectronics, Molecular Engineering of the Ministry of Education, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

<sup>c</sup>Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Nuclear Energy Technology, Institute of Nuclear and New Energy Technology, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Characterization data of all compounds; data of extraction and theoretical calculations. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra08841b

‡ These authors contributed equally to the work.



in the case of contacting with  $1.0 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  or  $3.0 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$   $\text{HNO}_3$  for 10 hours *via*  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR and FT-IR analyses.<sup>10</sup> However, Menoyo *et al.* proposed that CYANEX® 301 could be oxidized to RRPSOH, RRPS, RRPOOH and RRPO after contacting with  $5.0 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$   $\text{HNO}_3$  for 10 days using FT-IR, FT-Raman and GC-MS monitorings.<sup>11</sup> The oxidation pathway was afforded as follow: RRSSH  $\rightarrow$  RRPSOH  $\rightarrow$  RRPOOH. Nevertheless, a further study by Marc *et al.* indicated the formation of dimer product of CYANEX® 301 in contact with  $1.0 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$   $\text{HNO}_3$  for 18 hours by means of  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectra and single crystal analyses,<sup>12</sup> which was also supported by Groenewold *et al.* *via* ESI-MS spectra and collision-induced dissociation spectra tests.<sup>13</sup> The assessing oxidation pathway was presented as RRSSH  $\rightarrow$  RRSS-SSPRR  $\rightarrow$  RRPOS-SOPRR  $\rightarrow$  RRPOO-OOPRR  $\rightarrow$  RRPO-O-OPRR. So far, there has been no scientific consensus about the oxidation mechanism of DPAH.

The stability properties of aromatic group substituted DPAHs have also been studied. (ClPh)<sub>2</sub>PSSH is one of the most representative structures of aromatic DPAHs. Modolo *et al.* performed the hydrolysis experiments of (ClPh)<sub>2</sub>PSSH in  $0.5\text{--}3.0 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$   $\text{HNO}_3$ , HCl, or  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .<sup>14</sup> It was found that (ClPh)<sub>2</sub>PSSH decomposed completely after contacting with  $3.0 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$   $\text{HNO}_3$  for more than 20 days. To avoid this adverse impact, reducing agents or radical scavengers such as amidosulfuric acid, urea, or hydrazine must be added into the biphasic extraction system.<sup>15,16</sup> However, this stabilizing method has led to a more complex extraction system and, unfortunately, did not completely solve the problem of oxidative degradation of DPAHs. Moreover, it was also found DPAH could be oxidized in ambient environment.<sup>17,18</sup> For example, CYANEX® 301 could degrade obviously in 3 hours when exposed to the air. In contrast, this ligand would be very stable in an inert atmosphere, suggesting that DPAH are very sensitive to the oxidizing atmosphere. This oxidation issue in ambient environment not only deteriorate the extraction performances of DPAHs, but also requires special protection measures during their transportation and storage, which in turn limits their applications in advanced fuel cycle. Therefore, investigation on DPAH oxidation behavior at ambient environment is of great significance. Unfortunately, to the best of our knowledge, data are very scarce on the oxidation stability of DPAHs at ambient environment. As a result, the understanding on the oxidation processes of DPAHs at ambient environment is superficial due to the lack of sufficient experimental evidences and theoretical supports.

In the present work, by taking consideration of the impact of substituent on the oxidation, five representative DPAHs (Fig. S1†) bearing different alkyl and/or aryl substituent groups were intentionally synthesized and their oxidation processes were systematically monitored at ambient environment for 180 days using ESI-MS,  $^{31}\text{P}$ NMR, FT-IR, PXRD, and pH titration methods. Meanwhile, along with the oxidation of DPAHs, the extraction behavior of  $\text{Am}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  by these ligands was also investigated. Moreover, a detailed oxidation mechanism of DPAHs was proposed through the help of density functional theory and *ab initio* study.

## Experimental section

### General

The radioactive trace solution of  $^{241}\text{Am}^{3+}$  with radionuclidic purity was supplied by China Institute of Atomic Energy. The  $\text{HNO}_3$  solution containing  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  was prepared from  $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (99.99%, Aldrich, USA). All the other reagents used in this work were of AR grade and used as received. 85% aqueous  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  was utilized as external standard for  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR. The investigated ligands of this work were prepared by referring to previous literature.<sup>19</sup>

The ESI-MS spectra were collected on Bruker Amazon SL spectrometer (Bruker Inc., Switzerland).  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectra were recorded by Varian Inova NMR spectrometer (240 MHz) (Bruker Inc., Switzerland). FT-IR spectra were recorded on Nicolet 6700 Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA). Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD, Shimadzu 6100, Japan) patterns were obtained using Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation (0.1542 nm) at 40 kV and 30 mA. The Lei Ci PHS-3C type pH meter (Shanghai Precision & Scientific Instrument Co. Ltd., China) was used for determining  $\text{pK}_a$  values of DPAH compounds as well as pH values of aqueous phase. The NaI(Tl) scintillation counter (China National Nuclear Inc., China) was used for detecting the radioactivity of  $^{241}\text{Am}^{3+}$  in each phase. The inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES, IRIS Advantage, Thermo Elemental Inc., USA) was applied to determining the concentration of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  in aqueous phase.

### Oxidation procedures

Adequate amount of each DPAH sample was separately placed in  $\Phi = 3.5 \text{ cm}$  open beaker and exposed to the ambient environment. 20  $\mu\text{L}$  aliquots were withdrawn from each sample for ESI-MS,  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR, FT-IR characterizations and  $\text{pK}_a$  values determination with appropriate time intervals. The solid oxidation product was successively filtered from the sample, washed with ethanol and dried in oven prior to the PXRD test.

### pH titration

0.75 mmol DPAH was dissolved in EtOH/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (99/1%, v/v) mixture (50 mL) and titrated by  $0.05 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  NaOH aqueous solution at  $25.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . The pH values were recorded with pH meter. Each ligand was titrated in triplicates. The  $\text{pK}_a$  values were determined through the stepwise linear regression method<sup>20,21</sup> and the purity of examined compounds was also calculated.

### Extraction

The DPAH ligands were dissolved in toluene to obtain the organic phase with a concentration of  $0.5 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  DPAH. The aqueous phase with different concentrations of  $\text{HNO}_3$  contains trace amount of  $^{241}\text{Am}^{3+}$ , 200 ppm  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ , and  $1.0 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$   $\text{NaNO}_3$ . Equal volumes of the two phases were stirred thoroughly at  $25.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 min to ensure phase transfer equilibrium. After phase separation by centrifugation, 0.5 mL specimens of each



phase was pipetted into test tubes. The radiocounts of  $^{241}\text{Am}^{3+}$  in both organic phase and aqueous phase were measured separately. The concentration of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  in aqueous phase was analyzed by ICP-AES. The distribution ratio ( $D$ ) for  $^{241}\text{Am}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  was defined as the ratio of metal ion concentration in the organic phase ( $[\text{M}]_{\text{org.}}$ ) to that in the aqueous phase ( $[\text{M}]_{\text{aq.}}$ ),  $D_{\text{M}} = [\text{M}]_{\text{org.}}/[\text{M}]_{\text{aq.}}$ . The separation factor ( $SF_{\text{Am/Eu}}$ ) was expressed as the ratio of  $D_{\text{Am}}$  and  $D_{\text{Eu}}$ ,  $SF_{\text{Am/Eu}} = D_{\text{Am}}/D_{\text{Eu}}$ .

### Theoretical calculations

The substituent groups of all the reactants in the experiment do not participate in the reactions, so methyl was used to replace the alkyl or aryl groups in order to improve the calculation efficiency. The simplified dithiophosphonic acids were labeled as MeDPAH in the following discussions. The structures of reactants, intermediates, transition states and products were optimized by B3LYP functions (density functional theory) combining with the 6-311++G(d,p) basis set using Gaussian 09 Program.<sup>22</sup> The harmonic vibrational analyses were conducted to confirm the minima character of the obtained geometries at the same level of theory (*i.e.* the local minimal with positive frequencies and the saddle points with only one imaginary frequency). The intrinsic reaction coordinates (IRC)<sup>23</sup> calculations were performed to confirm that the transition states connect the designated reactants and products. In order to obtain the highly accurate relative energies, single-point energies of all the optimized stationary point optimized at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory were refined using CCSD(T) method<sup>24</sup> in combination with 6-311++G(d,p) basis set. If it is not otherwise specified, all the relative energies mentioned below are calculated from CCSD(T)/6-311++g(d,p) level of theory. Gibbs free energy was calculated with single point energies calculated from CCSD(T)/6-311++g(d,p) level and the sum of zero-point energy corrections and entropy corrections. Translational and rotational entropies are neglected for solid state substance. The software of CYLview<sup>25</sup> was used to draw the geometric structures of the stationary points on the potential energy surface (PES).

The hydroxyl radical has been recognized as the most important oxidant in the atmosphere, which always acts as initiator of oxidation and degradation of organic compound.<sup>26–32</sup> As a result, the hydroxyl radical was also considered as the main oxidant for the oxidation of these dithiophosphonic acids investigated in this work. It is noted that, the effects of oxygen and water molecules in the atmospheric degradation of MeDPAH were also considered. However, these reactions were not competitive with respect to the reactions with hydroxyl radical. Therefore, we only detailed the reaction mechanism of hydroxyl radical with MeDPAH below, and the other reactions were presented in the ESI.<sup>†</sup>

The  $\text{Ni}(\text{MeDPA})_2$  complex was fully optimized using the Amsterdam Density Functional program (ADF2016.106).<sup>33</sup> The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the PBE functional and the TZ2P Slater type basis set<sup>34</sup> were used for all atoms. The scalar-relativistic ZORA formalism was adopted to account for the relativistic effects.<sup>35,36</sup> In order to gain further

insights into the chemical bonds of  $\text{Ni}(\text{MeDPA})_2$ , we performed AdNDP analysis to generate the multi-centered localized orbitals using Multiwfn codes.<sup>37</sup>

## Results and discussion

### Identification of oxidation products

The oxidation products of DPAHs were identified with the help of spectroscopic techniques including ESI-MS,  $^{31}\text{P}$ -NMR, FT-IR, *etc.* For clarity, the following discussions mainly focus on the results of one representative DPAH  $L_1$ , which shows the most obvious changes during the oxidation experiment.

The oxidative degradation process of DPAHs was strictly monitored by ESI-MS. The ESI-MS spectra of  $L_1$  with oxidation time of 0 d and 180 d are shown in Fig. 1. The numbers in brackets are the generation order of species. Other mass spectra of  $L_1$  with oxidation time of 5 d, 10 d, 20 d, 30 d, 60 d, 90 d, 120 d, and 150 d are summarized in ESI as Fig. S2.<sup>†</sup> As we can see, the pure ligand  $L_1$  with molecule formula of  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSSH}$  can be observed at  $m/z = 287.1057$ . After 180 days oxidation, several oxidation products successively appeared at  $m/z = 271.1285$ , 571.1880, 255.1514, 539.2336, and 507.2793, which can be attributed to  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSOH}$ ,  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSS-SSPR}_1\text{R}_2$ ,  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{POOH}$ ,  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{POS-SOPR}_1\text{R}_2$ , and  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{POO-OOPR}_1\text{R}_2$ , respectively.<sup>12,13,16,17</sup> The emergence of different types of impurities means that DPAH can degrade not only through the replacement of sulphur atom by oxygen atom, but also *via* coupling reaction to form dimers. This result indicates that the appearance of both oxo-substitute monomer and coupling-dimer of the previous works is possible even the oxidation environment is not exactly the same. Regularly, all of the products were observed step by step, in the sequence of  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSSH} \rightarrow \text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSOH} \rightarrow \text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{POOH} \rightarrow \text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{POO-OOPR}_1\text{R}_2$ ,  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSSH} \rightarrow \text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSS-SSPR}_1\text{R}_2$ , and  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSSH} \rightarrow \text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSOH} \rightarrow \text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{POS-SOPR}_1\text{R}_2$ , severally. Meanwhile, ESI-MS spectra of  $L_2$ – $L_5$  (Fig. S3–S6 in ESI<sup>†</sup>) show the same variation process as  $L_1$ , revealing the common degradation regularities of DPAH ligands in air atmosphere. Even so, it should be noted that the occurrence time of new decomposition species is different for each ligand. Specifically, the first oxidative product  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSOH}$  appeared at 5 d for  $L_1$ , whereas that of  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$ ,  $L_4$ , and  $L_5$  is 20 d, 10 d, 10 d, and




Fig. 1 ESI-MS spectra of  $L_1$  at ambient environment for 0 d (a) and 180 d (b).



20 d, separately. In addition, the appearance time of the last oxidative species is 90 d for  $L_1$ , 150 d for  $L_2$ , 120 d for  $L_3$ , 120 d for  $L_4$ , and 180 d for  $L_5$ . Herein, the stability of five ligands can be preliminarily arranged as follows:  $L_5 > L_2 > L_4 \approx L_3 > L_1$ .

The  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectra of  $L_1$  with different oxidation degrees are shown in Fig. 2(a). It is clear that the decomposition species increase with the extension of testing time. The signal peaks at 62.12, 86.32, 71.95, 58.26, 72.71, and 73.85 ppm are assigned to  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSSH}$ ,  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSOH}$ ,  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSS-SSPR}_1\text{R}_2$ ,  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{POOH}$ ,  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{POS-SOPR}_1\text{R}_2$ , and  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{POO-OOPR}_1\text{R}_2$ , respectively,<sup>10–12,14,16,17</sup> which coincided with the occurrence order of mass spectra. Further, the ratios of each product can be roughly calculated through the integrals of corresponding peaks and shown in Fig. 2(b). It can be seen that proportions of the impurities grow with the increasing of oxidation time. After degradation of 180 days, only 31% of the pure  $L_1$  is left. Whilst the residual ratios of pure  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$ ,  $L_4$ , and  $L_5$  are 49%, 38%, 41%, and 64%, severally (Fig. S7–S10 in ESI†). The above intercomparison reveals that the stabilities of ligands are correlated with the variation in substituents. The DPAH molecules attached with *o*-trifluoromethyl phenyl ( $L_5$ ,  $L_2$ , and  $L_4$ ) show good resistance to the air, indicating that the introduction of *o*-trifluoromethyl phenyl group is conducive to the air oxidation resistance. On the basis of ESI-MS and  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR analyses,  $L_5$  is considered as the most stable ligand.

To further determine the molecular structure of the oxidation products, we examined the ligands by FT-IR spectroscopy in succession. Spectra of  $L_1$ – $L_5$  are presented as Fig. S11 in ESI.† The variations in the IR spectra are rather complicated. Nonetheless, some regularities on the functional groups evolution

can be summarized. The characteristic peaks of  $\text{P}=\text{S}$  at  $631\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $501\text{ cm}^{-1}$  gradually flattened with the extension of exposure time in the air.<sup>9,11,38</sup> At the same time, the peaks distributed from  $1000\text{ cm}^{-1}$  to  $1280\text{ cm}^{-1}$  become more obvious, which can be attributed to the formation of  $\text{P}=\text{O}$  and  $\text{P}-\text{OH}$  functional groups. Beyond the general phenomena of  $L_1$ – $L_5$ , some detailed distinctions have also been captured. In the spectra of  $L_1$ ,  $L_3$ ,  $L_4$ , and  $L_5$ , peaks ascribed to  $\text{P}=\text{S}$  ranging from  $750\text{ cm}^{-1}$  to  $830\text{ cm}^{-1}$  disappear gradually, indicating the reduction of  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSSH}$  molecules. Besides, the  $\text{P}-\text{OH}$  absorptions in the spectra of  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$ , and  $L_4$ , distributed in the range of  $870\text{ cm}^{-1}$  to  $985\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , become stronger over the examined time, which further reveals the replacement of sulfur by oxygen during oxidation. Moreover, an unusual band belonging to  $\text{S}-\text{S}$  group vibration was observed near  $480\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the graphs of  $L_3$  and  $L_4$ , indicating the occurrence of coupling reaction during degradation.

In the process of the experiment, slight rotten egg smell diffused from the samples, which may demonstrate the generation of hydrothion ( $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ ). In addition, the color of  $L_1$  and  $L_3$  changed from dark green to light yellow, whereas  $L_2$ ,  $L_4$ , and  $L_5$  with the color of orange get darker over the measurement period. Interestingly, yellow solid separated out from the samples (Fig. S12†), which is speculated to be sulfur. For further analysis of the precipitate composition, the yellow solid was characterized by PXRD and compared with the diffraction standard spectrogram of sulfur. Corresponding characterization results are shown in Fig. S13.† The diffraction peaks of the test sample match with those of the simulated rhombic sulfur<sup>39</sup> very well, proving the formation of S powder during the degradation of DPAHs.

As a phosphonic acid ligand, structural changes may have effects on its properties, such as the degree of  $\text{H}^+$  dissociation. Thus, the variation on the  $\text{pK}_a$  values of five ligands was also recorded in the examined 180 d. As can be seen from Fig. 3, all the  $\text{pK}_a$  values of the five ligands increase with the extension of monitoring time, which further indicates the replacement of S atom by O atom during the oxidation.<sup>40</sup> Through a comparison, the  $\Delta\text{pK}_a$  values between 0 d and 180 d of five ligands are




Fig. 2  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectra (a) and percentages of oxidation products (b) of  $L_1$  at ambient environment for different oxidation time.




Fig. 3  $\text{pK}_a$  values of  $L_1$ – $L_5$  at ambient environment for different oxidation time.



sequenced as  $L_5 > L_2 > L_4 \approx L_3 > L_1$ , which is in accordance with the foregoing experimental results.

### Influence of DPAH oxidation on extraction

The degradation of DPAH extractants may have effect on their extraction behavior. Thus, the influence of oxidation time on the extraction of  $\text{Am}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  by the five DPAHs was investigated. The  $D$  values and  $SF_{\text{Am/Eu}}$  values of  $L_1$ – $L_5$  at different time are shown in Fig. S14,<sup>†</sup> respectively. Both  $D_{\text{Am}}$  and  $D_{\text{Eu}}$  increase more than one magnitude after 180 days degradation, which originate from the strong extractability of coordinated oxygen atom transformed from sulfur atom.<sup>6,7</sup> Besides, the increase in  $D_{\text{Eu}}$  is more obvious than  $D_{\text{Am}}$ , leading to the decrease of separability. After 180 days oxidation, the  $SF_{\text{Am/Eu}}$  values of  $L_1$ – $L_5$  decrease from 9.5 to 2.9, 150 to 23, 1060 to 16, 17 to 1.6, and 1800 to 150, respectively. The changes on the  $SF_{\text{Am/Eu}}$  values of DPAH ligands imply that air oxidation does have great influence on the extraction of  $\text{Am}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ . In the meantime, five DPAHs show different resistance abilities to the air. Among the investigated ligands,  $L_5$  still holds a high  $SF_{\text{Am/Eu}}$  values over 100 even oxidized by air for 180 days, exhibiting a good potential application prospects in the future.

### Preservation of DPAHs

During the synthesis of DPAH ligands, the crude product was converted to nickel salt form for recrystallization. Then the Ni-salt was acidized by HCl to obtain the target ligand with satisfying purity.<sup>19</sup> Intriguingly, we found that all the Ni-salts of the investigated ligands were still very pure after placing in the ambient environment for 180 days (Fig. S15<sup>†</sup>). It means that the transformation of DPAH ligand to Ni-salt can reduce the reactivity of ligand oxidation effectively. More importantly, it will provide a convenient preservation method of DPAH ligand with great significance. We consider that the formation of coordination bond  $\text{S} \cdots \text{Ni} \cdots \text{S}$  can stabilize the compound, preventing degradation of ligand even in contact with the air directly. Actually, this view point can be accepted due to the fact that sulphur acts as a proton acceptor and tends to form a more stable complex with divalent 3 d transition metal ions such as nickel or cobalt.<sup>41,42</sup> The detailed analysis by theoretical calculation has been performed in the latter section.

### Oxidation mechanism

To understand and explain the details of the oxidation reaction in a molecular level, the reactions of MeDPAH with hydroxyl radical, oxygen and water were calculated theoretically, respectively. Here, the Gibbs free energy surface for the reaction between MeDPAH and hydroxyl radical were displayed (Fig. 4, S16 and S17<sup>†</sup>) owing to its competitive advantage in reaction kinetics.<sup>26</sup> The profiles for the other reactions were presented in ESI as Fig. S19–S21.<sup>†</sup>

According to the order of intermediates generation in the whole oxidation process, the entire reaction mechanism is divided into three stages. In each stage, three different reaction pathways have been considered, which are filled in black, red, and blue for visual differentiation. As indicated by the black




Fig. 4 Gibbs free energy profile from MeDPAH to generate P1 ( $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSOH}$ ) and P2 ( $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSS-SSPR}_1\text{R}_2$ ) at CCSD(T)/6-311++G(d,p)//B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level (in  $\text{kcal mol}^{-1}$ ). The name of species is labeled below and the corresponding energy is labeled above. The unit of bond length is angstrom.

path in Fig. 4, the reaction initiates from hydrogen bonded complex Int1, which locates  $1.1 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  higher than the total energy of the initial reactant. As the reaction proceeds, Int1 connects to intermediate Int2 *via* transition state TS1-2, where the hydroxyl radical adds to the phosphorous atom with an energy barrier of  $2.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ . As Int2 forms, the reaction proceeds to pass through an energy barrier of  $3.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  to produce a hydrogen-bonded complex Int3 *via* TS2-3. The SH radical leaves *via* the cleavage of P–SH bond in TS2-3 to generate Int3, followed by the production of P1 ( $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSOH}$ ) which is the first oxidation product detected in experiment. The first oxidation stage is accompanied by the substitution of SH in MeDPAH with OH to produce P1, where the cleavage of P–SH bond with  $3.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  energy barrier is the rate-determined step.

Alternatively, the reaction can proceed *via* hydrogen abstraction reaction to produce Int4 (the blue path). In TS1-4, the hydrogen transfers from sulfur atom to oxygen atom with an energy barrier of  $5.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ . Once Int4 forms, it will get together immediately with the existing hydrated  $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{PS}_2$  radical to produce Int5 followed by leaving of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to produce P2 ( $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSS-SSPR}_1\text{R}_2$ ). P2 is the second oxidation intermediate detected in experiment with the total energy barrier of  $6.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ .

As we all know, most radicals have very high reaction activity, so the byproduct SH radical<sup>43,44</sup> produced in the reaction path labeled in black was also considered as one of the oxidants in the whole reaction pathways, which has been depicted in Fig. 4, S16 and S17<sup>†</sup> in red path. As shown in Fig. 4, the SH radical approaches the initial reactant to form a hydrogen bond complex Int6 with a Gibbs free energy of  $4.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  because of entropy decrease. Then the reaction proceeds *via* the similar reaction pathways as that marked in blue. However, this path is different in that the  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  acts as a kind of byproduct (Fig. 4) instead of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The generation of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  here further confirmed the component of the rotten egg smell gas captured in the experiment.

By comparing the three different ways in Fig. 4, it can be seen that the whole energy barrier for P1 production is  $3.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$





$b_{1g}$  MO). Further, the  $14 a_g$  orbital in  $(\text{MeDPA})_2$  is significantly stabilized by interacting with 4  $s$  orbital of Ni to form 17  $a_g$  MO (Ni-S  $\sigma$  bond). These three Ni-S  $\sigma$ -type bonds are deeply buried beneath and strongly stabilized with respect to individual MeDPAH molecule (the MO energy levels of MeDPAH are shown in Fig. S22†). Overall, the inherent chemical bonding between Ni and the surrounding ligand is dominantly derived from the 9  $b_{1g}$  orbital, with 10  $b_{1g}$  as its corresponding antibonding counterpart. In addition, each pair of two adjacent sulfurs atoms can form  $\pi$ -type bonds *via* MO 11  $b_{3u}$  and 16  $b_{2u}$ , whereas these bonds are absent in MeDPAH case. These  $\pi$ -type interactions give rise to the considerable decrease of the S...S distance from 3.496 in MeDPAH to 3.147 Å in  $\text{Ni}(\text{MeDPA})_2$ .

The chemical bonding in  $\text{Ni}(\text{MeDPA})_2$  can be further understood by AdNDP analysis.<sup>50,51</sup> As the AdNDP results (the 1c-2e and 2c-2e bonds are not listed) shown in Fig. S23,† there are two 4c-2c  $\pi$  bonds contributed by the S 3p orbitals, and these delocalized bonds strengthen the interactions between the two isolated MeDPA. The remaining delocalized bonds are all in-plane  $\sigma$  bonds. The interactions between Ni and  $(\text{MeDPA})_2$  are mainly achieved by 5c-2e  $\sigma$  bonds constituted by S 3p orbitals as well as Ni 4  $s$  and 3  $d$  orbitals, respectively, which hold the whole complex together steadily. Meanwhile, the three 6c-2e  $\sigma$  bonds strengthen the S-P bonds. Overall, because of these delocalized bonds in compound  $\text{Ni}(\text{MeDPA})_2$ , it is difficult to break the S-P or S-Ni bond in the air, determining the high stability of Ni-salts.

## Conclusions

For the first time, the oxidation behavior of five DPAH ligands with typical structures at ambient environment was systematically investigated through ESI-MS,  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR, FT-IR, and pH titration. It has been shown that DPAH molecules were oxidized in the following pathways:  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSSH} \rightarrow \text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSOH} \rightarrow \text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{POOH} \rightarrow \text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{POO-OOPR}_1\text{R}_2$ ,  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSSH} \rightarrow \text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSS-SSPR}_1\text{R}_2$ , and  $\text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSSH} \rightarrow \text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{PSOH} \rightarrow \text{R}_1\text{R}_2\text{POS-SOPR}_1\text{R}_2$ . The introduction of *o*-trifluoromethyl phenyl group was helpful to improve the oxidation resistance of DPAHs. Along with the oxidation of DPAHs, both  $D_{\text{Am}}$  and  $D_{\text{Eu}}$  increased, whereas  $SF_{\text{Am}/\text{Eu}}$  decreased dramatically. Remarkably, the ligand  $L_5$  bearing two *o*-CF<sub>3</sub>Ph substituent groups could still effectively separate Am<sup>3+</sup> over Eu<sup>3+</sup> after 180 days oxidation, suggesting a good application prospect. Theoretical calculations revealed that the oxidation process of DPAHs was mainly governed by a hydroxyl radical oxidation mechanism. Meanwhile, the order in which the oxidation products formed was closely related to the reaction energy barrier. Due to the stabilization effect of the delocalized bonds, the nickel salt of DPAH showed much better stability as compared to the pure DPAH. In conclusion, the results of this work not only give a rational oxidation mechanism and an effective preservation method for DPAHs at ambient environment, but also provide important guidance to design more stable S-donor ligands for actinide/lanthanide separation in the future.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful for the financial support by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11675115, 11975161, and 91426302). Comprehensive training platform of specialized laboratory (College of chemistry, Sichuan University) is acknowledged for ESI-MS,  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR, FT-IR, PXRD, and ICP-AES analyses. The calculations were performed using supercomputers at Tsinghua National Laboratory for Information Science and Technology.

## References

- 1 D. Lundberg and I. Persson, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **318**, 131–134.
- 2 G. T. Seaborg, *Radiochim. Acta*, 1993, **61**, 115–122.
- 3 C. L. Xiao, C. Z. Wang, L. Y. Yuan, B. Li, H. He, S. A. Wang, Y. L. Zhao, Z. F. Chai and W. Q. Shi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 1712–1720.
- 4 F. W. Lewis, M. J. Hudson and L. M. Harwood, *Synlett*, 2011, **18**, 2609–2632.
- 5 Y. J. Zhu, J. Chen and R. Z. Jiao, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 1996, **14**, 61–68.
- 6 Y. J. Zhu, J. Chen and G. R. Choppin, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 1996, **14**, 543–553.
- 7 C. Hill, C. Madic, P. Baron, M. Ozawa and Y. Tanaka, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 1998, **271**, 159–162.
- 8 K. C. Sole, J. Brent Hiskey and T. L. Ferguson, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 1993, **11**, 783–796.
- 9 J. Chen, R. Z. Jiao and Y. J. Zhu, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 1996, **14**, 555–565.
- 10 F. Wang, C. Jia, D. F. Pan and J. Chen, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **52**, 18373–18378.
- 11 B. Menoyo, M. P. Elizalde and A. Almela, *Anal. Sci.*, 2002, **18**, 799–804.
- 12 P. Marc, R. Custelcean, G. S. Groenewold, J. R. Klaehn, D. R. Peterman and L. H. Delmau, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **51**, 13238–13244.
- 13 G. S. Groenewold, D. R. Peterman, J. R. Klaehn, L. H. Delmau, P. Marc and R. Custelcean, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 2012, **26**, 2195–2203.
- 14 G. Modolo and S. Seekamp, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 2002, **20**, 195–210.
- 15 C. Madic, F. Testard, M. J. Hudson, J. Liljenzin, B. Christansen, M. Ferrando, A. Facchini, A. Geist, G. Modolo, G. Espartero and J. Mendoza, *CEA-Report 6066*, 2004.
- 16 M. E. Freiderich, D. R. Peterman, J. R. Klaehn, P. Marc and L. H. Delmau, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **53**, 3606–3611.
- 17 P. Marc, *Doctoral dissertation*, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 2011.
- 18 H. Ma, O. Kökçü, C. M. Marion, R. S. Multani and K. E. Waters, *Can. J. Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **96**, 1585–1596.



- 19 Z. P. Wang, N. Pu, Y. Tian, C. Xu, F. Wang, Y. Liu, L. R. Zhang, J. Chen and S. D. Ding, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 5457–5467.
- 20 C. X. Zeng and Q. Hu, *Indian J. Chem., Sect. A: Inorg., Bioinorg., Phys., Theor. Anal. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 71–74.
- 21 G. P. Gillman, *Soil Res.*, 1985, **23**, 643–646.
- 22 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09, revision C.1*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009.
- 23 K. Ishida, K. Morokuma and A. Komornicki, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1977, **66**, 2153–2156.
- 24 R. J. Bartlett, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1989, **93**, 1697–1708.
- 25 C. Y. Legault, *CYLVIEW, 1.0 b*, Université de Sherbrooke, 2009.
- 26 R. Atkinson, *Atmos. Environ.*, 2000, **34**, 2063–2101.
- 27 R. Atkinson and J. Arey, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 4605–4638.
- 28 G. V. Buxton, C. L. Greenstock, W. P. Helman and A. B. Ross, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 1988, **17**, 513–886.
- 29 M. Gnanaprakasam, L. Sandhiya and K. A. Senthilkumar, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2017, **136**, 131–147.
- 30 M. Ng, D. K. Mok, E. P. Lee and J. M. Dyke, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2017, **121**, 6554–6567.
- 31 B. Wei, J. Sun, Q. Mei and M. He, *Comput. Theor. Chem.*, 2018, **1129**, 1–8.
- 32 P. O. Wennberg, K. H. Bates, J. D. Crouse, L. G. Dodson, R. C. McVay, L. A. Mertens, T. B. Nguyen, E. Praske, R. H. Schwantes, M. D. Smarte, J. M. S. Clair, A. P. Teng, X. Zhang and J. H. Seinfeld, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 3337–3390.
- 33 G. Te Velde, F. M. Bickelhaupt, E. J. Baerends, C. Fonseca Guerra, S. J. A. Van Gisbergen, J. G. Snijders and T. Ziegler, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2001, **22**, 931–967.
- 34 E. Van Lenthe and E. J. Baerends, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2003, **24**, 1142–1156.
- 35 E. Van Lenthe, A. Ehlers and E. J. Baerends, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1999, **110**, 8943–8953.
- 36 E. Van Lenthe, E. J. Baerends and J. G. Snijders, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **99**, 4597–4610.
- 37 T. Lu and F. W. Chen, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2012, **33**, 580–592.
- 38 G. X. Tian, Y. J. Zhu, J. M. Xu, P. Zhang, T. D. Hu, Y. N. Xie and J. Zhang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 735–741.
- 39 K. Huang, X. Feng, X. M. Zhang, Y. T. Wu and X. B. Hu, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 1859–1863.
- 40 K. C. Sole and J. B. Hiskey, *Hydrometallurgy*, 1992, **30**, 345–365.
- 41 B. K. Tait, *Hydrometallurgy*, 1993, **32**, 365–372.
- 42 C. Bourget, B. Jakovljevic and D. Nucciarone, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2005, **77**, 203–218.
- 43 S. C. Herndon and A. R. Ravishankara, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2006, **110**, 106–113.
- 44 G. S. Tyndall and A. R. Ravishankara, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 1991, **23**, 483–527.
- 45 M. Z. Li, E. Karu, C. Brenninkmeijer, H. Fischer, J. Lelieveld and J. Williams, *npj Clim. Atmos. Sci.*, 2018, **1**, 1–7.
- 46 A. M. Thompson, *Science*, 1992, **256**, 1157–1165.
- 47 Y. X. Wang, J. M. Hao, M. B. McElroy, J. W. Munger, H. Ma, C. P. Nielsen and Y. Q. Zhang, *Tellus*, 2010, **62**, 228–241.
- 48 S. X. Hu, J. J. Liu, J. K. Gibson and J. Li, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 2899–2907.
- 49 J. Q. Wang, C. X. Chi, H. S. Hu, L. Y. Meng, M. B. Luo, J. Li and M. F. Zhou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 542–546.
- 50 W. L. Li, T. T. Chen, D. H. Xing, X. Chen, J. Li and L. S. Wang, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2018, **115**, E6972–E6977.
- 51 D. Y. Zubarev and A. I. Boldyrev, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 9251–9258.

