


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2020, 10, 41821

Influence of Al³⁺ co-doping on the spectral properties of europium doped Ca₉Y(PO₄)₇

 Karol Szczodrowski, * Natalia Górecka and Marek Grinberg

A series of luminescent materials based on a calcium yttrium phosphate matrix doped with europium and different concentrations of aluminum ions (0, 5, 10% of mole) was synthesized using the Pechini method. A two-step strategy of synthesis was applied. Phase composition analysis and spectroscopic measurements were performed to characterize the obtained phosphors. The XRD patterns show that in all cases the obtained materials consist of a pure phase of Ca₉Y(PO₄)₇. Emission spectra of the materials obtained after the first step of the synthesis consist of narrow bands attributed to ⁵D₀–⁷F_J transitions in Eu³⁺ ions. Independently of the aluminum concentration, europium ions are incorporated into at least two different cationic sites. Considering the asymmetric ratio (*R*), the sites are characterized by the presence/absence of inversion symmetry. The emission intensity of Eu³⁺ introduced into the more symmetric site decreases with increasing aluminum concentration. The emission spectra of the materials after the reduction process are characterized by intensive broad bands located at 420 and 488 nm attributed to the d–f transitions in Eu²⁺; however, the line shape of the spectra depends on the aluminum concentration. Moreover, incorporation of aluminum ions causes the stabilization of the Eu³⁺ ions under a reductive atmosphere.

 Received 10th October 2020
 Accepted 5th November 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra08648g

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Modern white light sources work based on the luminescence phenomenon that is mainly utilized in WLED devices. Typically, the white light is generated using the light emitting diode (LED) as an excitation source and a composition of red, green and blue light emitting luminescent materials. However, in some cases the LED can play the role of both the excitation source of luminescent materials as well as the blue light emitter. This strategy was used in one of the first commercially available WLEDs consisting of a blue emitting LED and YAG:Ce³⁺ phosphor characterized by yellow emission.¹ However, due to the lack of green and red light emitters and thus relatively low color rendering index (CRI) at low color temperature, several enhancements in the mentioned phosphor were carried out, *e.g.* co-doping of YAG:Ce³⁺ with Pr³⁺.² Thereafter, many new efficient luminescent materials have been reported as good candidates in the solid state lighting.

The Eu ions are the most interesting activators, taking into account the goals that have to be achieved for LED materials. It is due to the highly efficient emission observed in both oxidation states of europium (Eu²⁺ and Eu³⁺). The observation of the efficient emission can be attributed to the optimal position of Eu²⁺ and Eu³⁺ ground states relatively to each other and to the edge of the conduction and valence bands of the matrix in

phosphor energetic diagram. It is well known that the spectral properties of luminescent materials depend on the oxidation state of the activator, among other characteristics.

The energetic structure of Eu²⁺ ions introduced into the inorganic matrix strongly depends on the host ligands due to the participation of d orbitals in electron transitions. Therefore, the broad and intensive emission band of Eu²⁺ can be observed in different regions of the spectrum, depending on the matrix used. Thus, using only materials activated with europium(II) the entire visible light range of spectrum can be covered, *e.g.* BaMgAl₁₀O₁₇:Eu²⁺, Sr₄Al₁₄O₂₅:Eu²⁺ show emission in blue, Ba₂SiO₄:Eu²⁺, SrGa₂S₄:Eu²⁺ in green and Sr₂Si₅N₈:Eu²⁺, CaS:Eu²⁺ in the red region of the spectrum.^{3–8} In contrast to Eu²⁺, the luminescent properties of Eu³⁺ ions are characterized by the inter-configurational 4f⁶ electron transitions (f–f transitions) and the efficiency of the individual transitions depends on the structure, symmetry and presence of inversion center in the host matrix. The most intense emission bands of the Eu³⁺ are observed in the orange-red part of the spectrum.^{9,10}

The presence of two oxidation states of europium gives the opportunity to design the white light source using only one luminescent material doped simultaneously with Eu²⁺ and Eu³⁺ instead of using a few different activators and/or phosphors with different emission colors.¹¹ However, a precise control of the concentration of an activator in a given oxidation state (+2 or +3) is absolutely essential as far as designing less expensive phosphors is concerned. Recent studies show that such precise control is accomplishable through an aliovalent substitution

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Mathematic, Physics and Informatics, University of Gdańsk, Wita Stwosza 57, Gdańsk, Poland. E-mail: fizks@ug.edu.pl



into the matrix–lanthanide system. The substitution causes the creation of chemically induced compensating defects and it can be executed in several ways. One way is to introduce into the phosphor matrix the ions in oxidation state which is different from the oxidation state of the ions of matrix anionic sublattice, *e.g.* introducing Al^{3+} ions in the place of Si^{4+} ions in silicates¹² or Si^{4+} ions in the place of P^{5+} ions in phosphates.¹³ Another way is to change the stoichiometric ratio of ions in the matrix, *e.g.* changing $[\text{Ca}]/[\text{Y}]$ ratio in $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7$ matrix.¹⁴ The application of both strategies has a significant influence on the stabilization of Eu^{3+} ions under the reduction process. Moreover, the control of the co-dopants concentration as well as the concentration ratio of the aliovalent ions in the matrix causes precise control of mutual relationship of $\text{Eu}^{2+}/\text{Eu}^{3+}$ emission ratio. It is worth noting that the aliovalent substitution in cationic sublattice in strontium orthosilicate facilitates the reduction of Eu^{3+} .¹⁵

The aim of this study is to investigate the influence of aluminum co-doping on the spectral properties of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7:\text{Eu}$ and it is complementary to the recently published work.¹⁴ In [ref. 14] the stabilization of Eu^{3+} under reduction was attributed to the presence of a negatively charged Ca'_{Y} defect that compensated a positively charged Eu^+_{Ca} . Moreover, the presence of the untypical Eu^{2+} emission band at 420 nm was explained as a result of Eu^{2+} environment changing. Here, it is expected that the incorporation of aluminum into the phosphate lattice will create negatively charged Al''_{P} defect that is supposed to interact similarly as Ca'_{Y} postulated in previous study.¹⁴

Experimental

The samples of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7$ activated by 5% of mol of europium and co-doped with 0, 5 and 10% of mol of Al^{3+} were obtained using the Pechini method of synthesis. The europium ions were intentionally incorporated into the calcium sites, whereas the aluminum ions – into the phosphorus sites. To obtain the desired phosphate in the products the following reagents: $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ($\geq 99\%$ Sigma-Aldrich), $\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (99.999% Sigma-Aldrich), $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{HPO}_4$ ($\geq 99.99\%$ Sigma-Aldrich), $\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (99.997% Sigma-Aldrich), $\text{Eu}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (99.9% Sigma-Aldrich), citric acid (Avantor Performance Materials Poland S.A.) and ethylene glycol (Chempur) were used. The details of the synthesis procedure can be found elsewhere.^{13,14,16} The water solution of the above mentioned reactants, weighted in appropriate molar ratio, was heat treated and then solvent was evaporated. The obtained dry polyester was calcined at 1250 °C for 4 h in air. The next step was cooling and grinding samples to powder. The samples prepared in this way were then heat treated under reductive atmosphere 5% H_2 in N_2 at 1150 °C for 4 h. The scheme of the synthesis process is shown in Fig. 1.

The qualitative and quantitative phase composition of all samples was examined based on X-ray diffraction method (XRD) using a BRUKER D2PHASER diffraction equipment employing Cu K α beam source and operating at 30 kV and 10 mA. The XRD patterns were collected using a scanning step of 0.02° and counting time of 0.4 s per step.




Fig. 1 Scheme of the synthesis steps.

The luminescence (PL) and luminescence excitation spectra (PLE) at room temperature (RT) were measured using the Horiba spectrofluorometer FluoroMax-4P TCSPC produced by Horiba. The excitation light source was a xenon lamp 150 W emitting in the 220–800 nm range.^{11,14} The experimental setup for luminescence kinetics and time resolved emission spectra consists of YAG:Nd laser of PL 2143 A/SS type and the parametric optical generator PG 401/SH (OPG).¹⁷ The laser generated pulses of the 355 nm wavelength with frequency 10 Hz and




Fig. 2 XRD patterns of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7:5\% \text{Eu}; x\% \text{Al}^{3+}$ ($x = 0, 5, 10$) before – (a) and after – (b) reduction.






Fig. 3 PL and partial PLE spectra of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7:\text{Eu}^{3+}$ measured at RT before the reduction. PLE spectra are recorded monitoring at 619 nm and 703.7 nm wavelength and collected under the excitation at 395 nm and 393 nm, respectively.




Fig. 4 Normalized Eu^{3+} emission spectra of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7:\text{Eu}$ co-doped with Al under 395 nm excitation. Presented emission is related to ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_1$ and ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$ transitions.

pump PG generator which could produce light pulses with wavelength ranging from 220 nm to 2200 nm. The halfwidth of the time profile of the light pulse produced by an excitation source under experimental conditions is 44 ps and includes the half time of the light pulse duration produced by the OPG coupled to the YAG:Nd laser and the time response of the detector. Emission signal was analyzed by the spectrometer 2501S (Bruker Optics) and a Hamamatsu Streak Camera model C4334-01 with a final spectral resolution of about 0.47 nm. Time resolved luminescence spectra were obtained by integration of streak camera images over time intervals, whereas luminescence decays were obtained by the integration of streak camera




Fig. 5 PL and partial PLE spectra of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7:5\% \text{Eu}^{2+}, x\% \text{Al}^{3+}$ ($x = 0, 5, 10\%$) measured at RT after the reduction. PLE spectra are recorded monitoring at 410 nm and 510 nm wavelength for all samples. The emission spectra were collected under excitation at 270 nm for all samples.




Fig. 6 The normalized emission spectra of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7:5\% \text{Eu}^{n+}, x\% \text{Al}^{3+}$ ($x = 0, 5, 10\%$) collected at RT after reduction under excitation at 393 nm for all materials. The relation between the Eu^{3+} intensity and Al^{3+} concentration is presented in the inset.

images over the wavelength intervals. Samples were cooled by an APD Cryogenics closed-cycle DE-202 optical cryostat, which allows a varying temperature between 10 K and 600 K.

Results and discussion

The XRD patterns of materials obtained before and after the reduction process are shown in Fig. 2. The good agreement of the obtained patterns with PDF 00-048-0402 standard indicates that the products are characterized by the pure phase of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7$ and the other phases are not present in the materials. Moreover, the reduction process, as well as co-doping of the matrix, does not significantly change the structure of the host (the positions and relative intensities of the XRD signals are not changed with increasing Al^{3+} concentration). It is worth






Fig. 7 Streak images of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7:\text{Eu}^{2+}$, $x\% \text{Al}^{3+}$ at 10 K excited with 270 nm for acquisition times: 0–2 μs for samples without Al (a) and with 10% Al (b). Energetic structure of Eu^{2+} ions in low and high crystal field (c).




Fig. 8 Luminescence decays obtained with 270 nm at different temperatures for 0% Al observed at 410–430 nm center II (a) as well as luminescence decay constants of both decay times (τ_1 and τ_2) and A_1/A_2 ratio measured at different temperatures (b).

noting that in the case of sample co-doped with 5% of aluminum after reduction the slight broadening of signals can be seen. This effect could be due to many reasons and need more investigations. However, it was observed also in previous study for the samples after reduction.¹⁴ The obtained results show the Pechini method is very suitable to obtain well defined phosphors. The signal at 50.42 degree of 2θ is attributed to the apparatus artefact.

The Fig. 3 shows the normalized, partial luminescence excitation spectra (PLE) as well as the luminescence (PL) spectra of the materials activated by Eu^{3+} (materials obtained before the reduction process). As it can be seen in Fig. 3, the line shape of the emission spectrum as well as the excitation spectrum depends on the value of excitation and emission wavelength, respectively. Moreover, the shape of the spectra does not depend on the concentration of Al^{3+} . The observed emission









Fig. 9 Luminescence decays obtained with 270 nm at different temperatures for 0% Al observed at 484–522 nm (center I) (a) and luminescence decay constants of both decay times (τ_1 and τ_2) measured at different temperatures as well as the ratio of A_1/A_2 (b).

Fig. 10 Luminescence decays obtained with 270 nm at different temperatures for 10% Al observed at 410–430 nm center II (a) and luminescence decay constants of both decay times (τ_1 and τ_2) measured at different temperatures (b).

spectra of all materials are defined by characteristic luminescent lines attributed to the f–f transitions in Eu^{3+} ions. The lines observed at 580–600 nm are attributed to $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_1$; at 610–630 nm to $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2$; at 640–660 nm to $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_3$; and at 680–710 nm to $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_4$ transition. The largest differences between the emission spectra excited at 393 and 395 nm can be observed in the intensities of $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_1$ emissions. In the case of the spectrum excited at 395 nm, the emitted lines located at 593 and 596 nm are clearly more intense in comparison to the lines in the spectrum under the excitation at 393 nm. This feature is observed for spectra of all materials independently of the aluminum concentration. It is well known that the ratio of emission intensity of the $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2$ to the $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_1$ transitions can be used as a probe of symmetry of Eu^{3+} site (asymmetric ratio, R) and if the value increases, the inversion symmetry of europium site decreases.^{18–21} Value of R calculated using

emission intensities is equal to 5 and 3 for the spectra excited at 393 and 395, respectively. Due to the fact that the symmetry of calcium sites is described as C_1 (less symmetrical) and yttrium sites – as C_3 , it can be concluded that the radiation equal to 395 nm excites mainly Eu^{3+} incorporated into the yttrium sites (Eu_Y^x), while the radiation of 393 nm excites mainly Eu^{3+} in Ca^{2+} sites (Eu_{Ca}^x). However, it is difficult to distinguish the emissions from individual calcium sites since they all have C_1 symmetry.

The luminescence excitation spectra presented in the Fig. 3 show only the main differences between the individual spectra and are focused in the range of 390–405 nm. It can be observed that the maximum of excitation bands attributed to the $^7\text{F}_0 \rightarrow ^5\text{L}_6$ transition changes depending on the observation wavelengths, which is in agreement with the differences observed in emission spectra.







Fig. 11 Luminescence decays obtained with 270 nm at different temperatures for 10% Al observed at 484–522 nm (a) and luminescence decay constants of both decay times (τ_1 and τ_2) measured at different temperatures (b).

Fig. 4 shows the normalized spectra of Eu^{3+} PL attributed to $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_1$ and $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2$ transitions obtained after excitation at 395 nm for the samples with different concentrations of aluminum before reduction. As it can be seen the intensity of the emission of $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_1$ transition in comparison to the intensity of $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2$ transition slightly decreases with increasing Al^{3+} concentration. Because the ratio of the emission intensities of both transitions can be used to define the type of the occupied europium sites, the observed changes mean that the concentration of Eu^{3+} incorporated into the yttrium sites decreases with increasing aluminum content. This phenomenon can be explained considering the creation of charge compensation defects to keep the charge neutrality of the materials. Incorporation of Al^{3+} in the phosphorus sites creates negatively charged Al''_{P} defects. Due to the necessity to maintain charge neutrality of phosphor matrices, the created excessive negative charge has to be compensated by the creation of




Fig. 12 Luminescence spectra obtained from streak camera images at different temperatures (10–500 K) for the un co-doped (pink) and 10% Al co-doped (black) samples.

positively charged defects. As it was evidenced previously, the incorporation of Eu^{3+} is realized in two different cationic sites attributed to the calcium and yttrium sites. The Eu^{3+} in yttrium(III) sites creates the electrically neutral defect: $\text{Eu}^{\times}_{\text{Y}}$, while the introduction of Eu^{3+} into the calcium(II) site creates positively charged defect: $\text{Eu}^{\bullet}_{\text{Ca}}$. Taking into account the created europium defects, only the latter one can be considered as a potential compensator of the negative charge of Al''_{P} defects. When the concentration of Al''_{P} increases, the system diminishes the negative charge by the incorporation of Eu^{3+} in divalent calcium sites, among other factors.

The normalized emission and excitation spectra collected for the samples obtained after the reduction process are presented in Fig. 5. The luminescence of the samples consists of two separate emission bands with maximums located at 420 and 488 nm. As it can be seen there, the excitation wavelength (270 nm) corresponds to the intersection of both Eu^{2+} excitation spectra and is chosen since it excites the divalent europium ions independently of the europium(II) site occupation (Fig. 5).

The observed intensive and broad luminescence is characteristic of the d–f transitions in Eu^{2+} ions. Here, the relative intensity of both emission bands clearly depends on the Al^{3+} concentration. In the case of the un-co-doped material, the band with a maximum at 488 nm dominates, while in the materials co-doped with Al^{3+} the emission intensity of this band decreases with increasing aluminum concentration. The existence of two emission bands suggests that the Eu^{2+} ions are incorporated into at least two different sites characterized by



a different arrangement of ligands surrounding the europium centres.

In accordance with previous studies^{22,23} the typical emission band of Eu^{2+} incorporated in $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7$ is observed with a maximum at 488 nm. However, other positions of the Eu^{2+} band were also observed after a modification of the phosphor matrix.²⁴ Similar spectra as presented in Fig. 5 with both emission bands of Eu^{2+} located at 420 and 488 nm was observed in the case of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7:\text{Eu}$ modified in the stoichiometry of the matrix (changed Ca/Y concentration ratio).¹⁴ The presence of the band with the maximum at 420 nm was explained there as a result of Eu^{2+} environment changing due to the short distance compensation of negatively charged $[\text{Ca}'_{\text{Y}} - \text{Eu}^{\times}_{\text{Ca}}]$ defects by the oxygen vacancies (V''_{O}). Here, as it can be seen in Fig. 5, the emission band is also present and moreover the intensity of the band increases with increasing aluminum concentration.

The reduction of Eu^{3+} occurs when the negative compensator of Eu'_{Ca} is locally neutralized.^{12–15} The neutralization process can be attributed to the physical elimination of the compensator from the surroundings of Eu^{3+} or to the emergence of another positively charged defect in the surroundings of the compensator. When the concentration of aluminum increases, the compensation of Eu^{3+} *via* the Al''_{P} becomes more probable, thus, naturally created compensators of europium, *e.g.* V''_{Ca} are exchanged for the chemically induced Al''_{P} . The process is the most probable in the sample doped with 10% of aluminum, however, even in this case the presence of natural compensators of europium (V''_{Ca}) cannot be excluded. Due to the presence of two different compensators of Eu^{3+} ions, the reduction process will be performed differently and will depend on the type of europium(III) compensation. In the case of Eu^{3+} compensated by the calcium vacancy, the reduction can be easily performed due to the high mobility of the defect and will occur when the compensator migrates from the vicinity of the europium. This process does not change the local environment of Eu^{2+} because the cation vacancy created as close as possible to the europium has to be located behind the oxygen surroundings of europium. The emission of Eu^{2+} reduced in such a way is attributed to the band with a maximum at 488 nm. The reduction process of Eu^{3+} compensated by the aluminum in the phosphorus site occurs differently. The Al''_{P} compensators require much more activation energy to migrate across the crystal than cation vacancies because the additional interstitial stages have to be created during migration. It means that this compensator cannot be easily eliminated from the vicinity of the europium. However, the reduction of $\text{Eu}^{3+} - \text{Al}''_{\text{P}}$ species can occur when the negative charge of Al''_{P} remaining after the reduction will be locally compensated by the positively charged defects. This takes place when the oxygen vacancies, created during the reduction process on the surface of phosphor particles, migrate from the surface to the bulk crystal (to the environment of $\text{Eu}^{2+} - \text{Al}''_{\text{P}}$ species). The presence of oxygen vacancy near the Eu^{2+} site changes the local structure of the emission center and diminishes the number of europium coordinated oxygen ligands. The crystal field of the site decreases and the emission band of Eu^{2+} is blue-shifted (band with the maximum at 420 nm). Such an

effect was observed by Fang *et al.* in $\text{BaMgSiO}_4:\text{Eu}$ and has been attributed to the creation of oxygen vacancy in the first coordination sphere of an europium ion.²⁵ Moreover, the Eu^{2+} emission located at 420 nm is typical for the europium doped $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ where the coordination number of cationic sites is defined as one less than in the case of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7$ matrix. Due to the high strength of interactions between the oxygen and phosphorus ions the migration rate of oxygen vacancies is much smaller than in the case of cationic vacancies, *e.g.* V''_{Ca} . It means that the reduction process *via* the migration of oxygen vacancies is less efficient.

The above presented explanation describes the situation when the aluminum ions are incorporated into the phosphate matrix. However, it can be seen in the Fig. 5 that the shoulder of the emission band with a maximum at 420 nm is also presented in the un-co-doped sample. This suggests that also in this case a part of Eu^{3+} ions is reduced *via* the migration of oxygen vacancies in a similar compensation mechanism as in the co-doped samples. This effect was also observed in [ref. 14] for the stoichiometric material where the chemically induced compensation defects were not intentionally created. As it was mentioned above, europium ions, besides the calcium sites, were also incorporated into the yttrium sites. This incorporation caused the creation of following defects: Eu'_{Ca} , $\text{Eu}^{\times}_{\text{Ca}}$, Y'_{Ca} and *e.g.* V''_{Ca} to neutralize the excessive, positive charge. In that case, after the reduction of europium introduced into the calcium sites, the remaining negative charge tied with $[\text{Eu}^{\times}_{\text{Ca}} - V''_{\text{Ca}} - \text{Y}'_{\text{Ca}}]'$ defect can be also compensated *via* oxygen vacancy migrated from the surface. This can occur because in this case the Y'_{Ca} defect cannot be easily eliminated. Nevertheless, the observed phenomenon requires further investigation.

It is worth emphasizing here, that aluminum ions can be a part of the crystal lattice in one of the members of whitlockite type of phosphate and can be located instead of yttrium in $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7$. Introduction of aluminum into the studied matrix can locally create $\text{Ca}_9\text{Al}(\text{PO}_4)_7$ domains, thus, the observed changes in Eu^{2+} emission could be attributed to the presence of europium ions in the mentioned domains. However, in accordance to the Wang *et al.*²⁶ Meng *et al.*²⁷ and Hou *et al.*²⁸ the Eu^{2+} emission band in the $\text{Ca}_9\text{Al}(\text{PO}_4)_7$ is centered at 445–450 nm. The location of the untypical Eu^{2+} emission band observed in this study (420 nm) is different from that in the quoted studies, moreover, it is the same as in the [ref. 14], where the band was observed for the sample with higher than stoichiometric concentration of calcium, *e.g.*: $\text{Ca}_{9.5}\text{Y}_{0.5}(\text{PO}_4)_7$. This confirms that the presence and impact of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Al}(\text{PO}_4)_7$ domains on luminescent properties of studied materials can be neglected.

Due to the fact that the reduction mechanism based on the V''_{O} migration is less efficient as well as the Al''_{P} compensation defects cannot be easily eliminated from the vicinity of Eu^{3+} , the Eu^{3+} emission should increase with increasing aluminum concentration. As we can see in the Fig. 5, besides the broad luminescence of Eu^{2+} , a trace of very low intensity emission lines located at about 615 nm in materials co-doped with Al can also be distinguished at excitation with 270 nm. The signals are attributed to the ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_1$ and ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$ f-f transitions in Eu^{3+} . However, the intensity of Eu^{3+} emission after excitation at



270 nm is very weak and it seems that it does not depend on the aluminum content. The influence of the aluminum concentration on the luminescence of Eu^{3+} after reduction was investigated using 393 nm excitation wavelength. The spectra collected at room temperature are presented in Fig. 6.

The chosen excitation wavelength corresponds to the energy of ${}^7\text{F}_0 \rightarrow {}^5\text{L}_6$ transition in Eu^{3+} and, thus, can effectively excite Eu^{3+} ions remained after the reduction. However, due to the strong overlapping of the Eu^{3+} and Eu^{2+} excitation bands, besides the Eu^{3+} , the used wavelength also effectively excites the Eu^{2+} with a maximum of emission at 488 nm, which is seen in the Fig. 6. Nevertheless, it can be seen that the overall Eu^{3+} emission intensity increases with increasing aluminum concentration. The Eu^{3+} preservation phenomenon can be attributed to the mechanism described above. The created Al'_{p} defects play the role of Eu'_{Ca} compensators. As it was mentioned, the reduction Eu^{3+} requires the neutralization of compensating charge and in the case of Al'_{p} the neutralization can be realized *via* migration of positively charged oxygen vacancies from the surface to the vicinity of the $\text{Eu}^{2+} - \text{Al}'_{\text{p}}$ species. Because the migration rate of oxygen vacancies is low, the reduction process only partially occurs for Eu^{3+} ions compensated by aluminum defects. The residual Eu^{3+} emission observed after the reduction in the case of the un-co-doped sample can be attributed mainly to the Eu^{3+} incorporated into the yttrium sites (unreducible Eu^{Y}). Presence of the two Eu^{2+} emission bands is a result of different mechanisms of europium(III) reduction. The physical difference between these sites was studied by time-resolved spectroscopy using a streak camera.

According to the streak camera images, it is seen that the emission of $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7:5\% \text{Eu}$ without Al consists mainly of a broad band with a peak at 488 nm, related to the d–f transition in the Eu^{2+} ions occupying Ca^{2+} sites with unchanged surrounding (Fig. 7a), while in the case of the sample co-doped with 10% Al, the emission consists of a band with a peak at 420 nm, related to the d–f transition in the Eu^{2+} ions occupying the sites with lower crystal field (Fig. 7b). Eu^{2+} emission with maximums at 420 and 488 nm are labelled as center II and I, respectively (Fig. 7c).

The streak camera images allow to obtain PL decay. The results of the investigations are presented in Fig. 8–11.

Generally, multiexponential decays are observed. This effect can be attributed to the nonradiative energy transfer between the centers. For simplicity we assumed two exponential character of the decays, thus, the obtained curves were fitted using the two exponential function given by (1):

$$I(t) = A_1(0) e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_1}} + A_2(0) e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_2}} \quad (1)$$

where the coefficient related to the shorter decay (A_1) is a measure of the number of sites from which the nonradiative transfer takes place. It is seen that this number increases with increasing temperature.

The Eu^{2+} luminescence decay profiles of the un-co-doped (0% Al^{3+}) samples under excitation at 270 nm and luminescence monitoring at 410–430 nm (center II) and 488–522 nm (center I) were collected in Fig. 8 and 9, respectively.

From Fig. 8 a multiexponential decay is seen. For analysis we assumed double exponential decay (given by relation (1)). With the shorter decay, the constant changes between 0.1 and 0.2 μs and for the longer decay it is equal to 0.5–0.7 μs .

From Fig. 9 it is seen that PL decay is single exponential for temperature lower than 200 K. For higher temperature, the multiexponential decay is seen and for analysis we assumed two exponential decay (given by relation (1)). The slower decay time distinctly decreases with increasing temperature (see Fig. 9b). The decays have two-exponential character with the shorter decay constant equal to 0.1–0.11 μs and the longer – equal to 1.5–0.65 μs . It is seen from Fig. 8a, b, 9a and b that luminescence related to center II decays faster than the emission of center I. That is in accordance with the quantum mechanical rule, where emission with higher energy decays with shorter decay time.

The PL decay profiles of center II and center I in the sample co-doped with 10% Al^{3+} obtained at different temperatures under excitation 270 nm, monitored at 410–430 nm and 484–522 nm are presented in Fig. 10a and 11a, respectively. In Fig. 10a, the decay of the luminescence of center II is presented. Two exponential decay is observed. The shorter decay is equal to 0.04–0.07 μs and the longer decay is equal to 0.74–0.55 μs . It is seen that the longer decay time related to center II in the samples un-doped and co-doped with aluminum is approximately the same. In Fig. 11a, the decay of the luminescence of center I is presented. Here as in the case of center II two exponential decay is observed. The shorter decay is equal to 0.02–0.05 μs and the longer decay is equal to 0.74–1.44 μs . The slower decay time decreases with increasing temperature (see Fig. 11b). It is seen that the longer decay time related to center I in the samples un-doped and co-doped with aluminum is approximately the same. The longer decay time of PL of center I decreases with increasing temperature in doped and un-doped samples. This effect is related to increasing probability of the nonradiative recombination with the temperature.

Independently of the aluminum concentration, the change of decay times calculated from the longer component of the decays (τ_2) and obtained for the monitoring range 410–430 nm (center II) shows untypical behavior *vs.* temperature (Fig. 8b and 10b). It can be observed that in low temperatures (10–100 K) the decay time decreases, then, between 200–400 K, the time increases and above 400 K slightly decreases with temperature. The effect of increasing the longer lifetime in the case of center II in the 100–400 K temperature range is related to overlapping of I and II centers emission with temperature that is illustrated in Fig. 12.

Conclusions

As it was mentioned in the introduction, the aim of the studies was to investigate the influence of aluminum co-doping on the luminescent properties of europium doped $\text{Ca}_9\text{Y}(\text{PO}_4)_7$ and to compare the observed influence of the presence of Al^{3+} with the results obtained in previous work [ref. 14] that concerned influence of stoichiometry changing of phosphate matrix on the luminescence of europium ions.



The europium ions incorporated into the matrix occupy at least two different crystallographic sites. It is important to point out that the sites occupied by the Eu^{3+} are not the same as the sites occupied by the Eu^{2+} . In the case of Eu^{3+} , the sites are characterized by a different degree of inversion symmetry. Considering the symmetry of cationic sites in the matrix, it is concluded that europium ions are incorporated into calcium (without inversion symmetry) and yttrium (with inversion symmetry) sites. The distribution of europium ions among the sites with different symmetry depends on the aluminum content and the filling of calcium sites increases with increasing Al^{3+} concentration. In the case of Eu^{2+} , two emission bands are observed: the typical one centered at 488 nm (center I) and untypical – at 420 nm (center II). The intensity of europium emission in center II increases with increasing aluminum concentration. However, in contrast to Eu^{3+} , the two observed bands are attributed to Eu^{2+} incorporated only into the calcium sites. The two bands are the result of the presence of two different Eu^{3+} compensators (V''_{Ca} and Al''_{P}), thus, the reduction process occurs in two different ways. It is worth noting that the europium(III) ions occupying yttrium sites remain unreduced, which is observed in the un-co-doped sample.

The most important effect of introduction of aluminum ions into the system is stabilization of Eu^{3+} under reductive conditions. This phenomenon is attributed to the difference in mobility of V''_{Ca} and Al''_{P} compensators. In the case of Eu_{Ca} compensated *via* Al''_{P} the reduction process is less efficient due to the lower mobility of Al''_{P} in comparison to V''_{Ca} and the europium ions compensated by the Al''_{P} are mostly unreduced.

Moreover, the similarity between the results obtained in the previous study, [ref. 14], and the results obtained in this work (the presence of the untypical emission of Eu^{2+} as well as the stabilization of Eu^{3+} under reductive atmosphere) using a different strategy of compensation, clearly confirms that chemically induced negatively charged compensation defects play a fundamental role in the mechanism of lanthanides reduction in the studied system.

As far as the results of time resolved spectroscopy are concerned, it can be concluded that two different nonradiative transitions take place. In both cases (center II and center I) the nonradiative transfer between the centers is observed. This effect increases with increasing temperature and causes two-exponential decay of PL. The second kind of transition is non-radiative quenching, which is dominant in the case of center I.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Centre for Research and Development by grant no. PL-TW/V/1/2018.

Notes and references

1 E. F. Schubert and J. K. Kim, *Science*, 2005, **308**, 1274.

- 2 S. Chawla, T. Roy, K. Majumder and A. Yadav, *J. Exp. Nanosci.*, 2014, **9**, 776.
- 3 K. S. Sohn, S. S. Kim and H. D. Park, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2002, **81**, 1759.
- 4 J. Lee, S. Choi, S. Nahm and H.-K. Jung, *Korean J. Mater. Res.*, 2014, **24**, 351.
- 5 M. A. Lim, J. K. Park, C. H. Kim and H. D. Pa, *J. Mater. Sci. Lett.*, 2003, **22**, 1351.
- 6 Z. Xinmin, W. Hao, Z. Heping and S. Qian, *J. Rare Earths*, 2007, **25**, 701.
- 7 L. Chang, Z. Bi, H. Lüyuan and X. Xin, *J. Rare Earths*, 2014, **32**, 691.
- 8 L. Yang, N. Zhang, R. Zhang, B. Wen, H. Li and X. Bian, *Mater. Lett.*, 2014, **129**, 134.
- 9 C. Guoa, L. Luan, C. Chen, D. Huang and Q. Su, *Mater. Lett.*, 2008, **62**, 600.
- 10 Z. S. Khan, N. B. Ingale and S. K. Omanwar, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 2018, **1953**, 070024.
- 11 A. Baran, J. Barzowska, M. Grinberg, S. Mahlik, K. Szczodrowski and Y. Zorenko, *Opt. Mater.*, 2013, **35**, 2107.
- 12 K. Szczodrowski, J. Barzowska, N. Górecka and M. Grinberg, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 48001.
- 13 N. Gorecka, K. Szczodrowski, A. Lazarowska and M. Grinberg, *New J. Chem.*, 2019, **43**, 3409.
- 14 N. Górecka, K. Szczodrowski, A. Lazarowska, J. Barzowska, D. Michalik and M. Grinberg, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 40549.
- 15 K. Szczodrowski, J. Barzowska, N. Gorecka and M. Grinberg, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, **748**, 44.
- 16 A. Watras, P. J. Deren, R. Pazik and K. Maleszka-Bagińska, *Opt. Mater.*, 2012, **34**, 2041.
- 17 A. A. Kubicki, P. Bojarski, M. Grinberg, M. Sadownik and B. Kuklinski, *Opt. Commun.*, 2006, **269**, 275.
- 18 G. Gao and L. Wondraczek, *Opt. Mater. Express*, 2014, **4**, 477.
- 19 C. Hazra, S. Sarkar, B. Meesaragandla and V. Mahalingam, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 11981.
- 20 M. Upasani, *J. Adv. Ceram.*, 2016, **5**, 344.
- 21 I. E. Kolesnikov, A. V. Povolotskiy, D. V. Mamonova, E. Yu. Kolesnikov, A. V. Kurochkin, E. Lähderanta and M. D. Mikhailov, *J. Rare Earths*, 2018, **36**, 474.
- 22 H. Li, H. K. Yang, B. K. Moon, B. C. Choi, J. H. Jeong, K. Jang, H. S. Lee and S. S. Yi, *Opt. Mater. Express*, 2012, **2**, 443.
- 23 C.-H. Huang, L. Luo and T.-M. Chen, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2011, **158**, J341.
- 24 Z. Xia, H. Liu, X. Li and C. Liu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 16588.
- 25 W. Ji, M.-H. Lee, L. Hao, X. Xu, S. Agathopoulos, D. Zheng and C. Fang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 1556.
- 26 D. Wang, X.-Y. Meng, J.-W. Zhao, Y.-B. Wang, Y.-D. Zhang, Z.-J. Wang, S.-J. Gao, K. Zhang and P.-L. Li, *Acta Photonica Sin.*, 2015, **44**, 05160021.
- 27 X.-Y. Meng, X. Jie, Y.-D. Zhang, D. Wang, Y.-B. Wang, P.-L. Li, Z.-J. Wang, L.-B. Pang and S.-J. Gao, *Optoelectron. Lett.*, 2015, **11**, 0045.
- 28 J. Hou, C. Pan, X. Chen, G. Zhao, Y. Liu, Y. Li and Y. Fang, *Mater. Technol.*, 2019, **34**, 135.

