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Learning from nature, molecular self-assembly has been used extensively to generate interesting materials using a bottom up approach. The enthusiasm in this field of research stems from the unique properties of these materials and their diverse applications. The field has not been limited to studying assembly of similar types of molecules but extended to multi component systems via the co-assembly phenomenon. We have designed two charge complementary peptides to study their co-assembly in mechanistic detail in the present work. The cooperative self-assembly is mainly driven by electrostatic interaction that is aided by aromatic interactions, hydrogen bonding interactions and hydrophobic interactions. The hydrogels obtained have been employed in waste water remediation. Both the self-assembled and co-assembled hydrogels are capable of removal of different kinds of organic dyes (cationic, anionic and neutral) and toxic metal ions (Ni\textsuperscript{2+}, Co\textsuperscript{2+}, Pb\textsuperscript{2+} and Hg\textsuperscript{2+}) individually and as a mixture from water with high efficiency. Additionally, the peptides developed in this study can act as ion sensors and detect arsenic in its most toxic (III/V) oxidation states. Molecular understanding of the assembly process is of fundamental importance in the rational design of such simple, robust yet economically viable materials with versatile and novel applications.

1. Introduction

Molecular self-assembly has been a very active field of research in the last couple of decades owing to the several unique structures and properties of the products and the various applications they can be put into.\textsuperscript{1–4} It forms the basis of the bottom-up approach in nanotechnology. Self-assembly is ubiquitous in nature and scientists have employed this phenomenon to synthetic molecules to create materials of significance and value. The phenomenon which was initially discovered serendipitously, over the time can now be controlled, directed and manipulated to yield desirable products and applications. This ability stems from the fundamental understanding of the process at the molecular level. Simple peptide molecules with appropriate building blocks have been shown to store all the necessary information for the self-assembly process.\textsuperscript{5,6} To further expand the space of peptide assemblies in terms of structural complexities and functions, multicomponent supra-molecular peptide assemblies have also been studied as a natural extension of the field.\textsuperscript{7–31} Co-assembly results in multifunctionality or a generation of some special properties by the combination of the two partners which cannot be achieved by any one of them.\textsuperscript{12,13} In addition to co-assembly between molecules of same kind, for example peptide molecules, several different kinds of molecules like deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), porphyrin rings, proteins etc. also have been co-assembled with peptides.\textsuperscript{14–18} Co-assembly can take place in four possible ways, namely cooperative, orthogonal (self-sorting), random and destructive.\textsuperscript{12,19} In cooperative self-assembly, two peptide building blocks interact with each other to give rise to architectures in which both the components are arranged in alternate fashion. This kind of assembly usually occurs in cases where the individual components are very much similar to each other with minor differences. In contrast, orthogonal or self-sorted co-assembly occurs when the constituent partners assemble independently on their own in the presence of the other.\textsuperscript{20} Designing of orthogonally co-assembled systems is quite challenging as the two components involves different
kinds of non-covalent interactions. Co-assembly in between different components can also occur in between the two extremes which involve various degrees of mixing and self-sorting. In random co-assembly, organization in between the partners does not occur in any precise manner while in destructive co-assembly, organization of one of the components is disrupted by the other. Controlling the mixing ratios of individual building blocks allows the adaptable co-assembly mechanism to tune the morphology and the resulting physical, chemical and mechanical properties leading to relevant applications of these multifunctional supramolecular architectures. Different kinds of non-covalent forces, most importantly aromatic interactions, electrostatic interactions and even anion–π interactions have been used in the design of co-assembled systems. Enantiomeric peptides, chemical and electrochemical stimuli and enzymatic reactions have also been exploited in various studies to generate co-assembled systems. Co-assembled systems have been vastly employed in controlling physical dimensions of nanostructures, generating non-canonical complex topologies, light harvesting systems, conducting architectures, biocatalytic assemblies and biomimetic scaffolds for cell cultures.

Peptide based hydrogels have been employed extensively is the area of waste water remediation. Industrial wastes, mining, oil spills and several other human activities contaminate drinking water with organic dyes, toxic ions, oils etc. which poses eminent threat to millions of people worldwide. Arsenic poisoning of the underground drinking water causes serious health issues which effects people from more than over 70 countries across the world. Thus, ways of detection and removal of the various contaminants from water is one of the greatest challenges faced by the humankind at the moment.

In the present study, we have rationally designed two charge complementary peptides A1 (NH2-WLVFFK-COOH) and A2 (NH2-WLVFFE-COOH) to form a cooperative hydrogel, employing electrostatic interaction as the main driving force for the co-assembly process. We have probed the mechanism of co-assembly to the molecular details using several experimental techniques.

In a previous study from our group, we have established the ability of A1 hydrogel to act as a delivery platform for a large range of therapeutic molecules of different sizes, chemistries, charges and bioactivities. All the cargoes were shown to retain their structure and bioactivity post release from the hydrogel. In the present study, we have extended the application of A1 hydrogel and the co-assembled gels from A1 and A2, to demonstrate that they can act as an excellent absorbent for several kinds of organic dyes (neutral, cationic or anionic) and metal ions (Ni2+, Co2+, Pb2+, Hg2+). Spectrum of contaminants that can be removed by our self-assembled and co-assembled hydrogelators recyclably, make these extremely promising for material engineering of the future. Additionally, A1 and A2 can act as ion sensors; they sense aromatic in their most toxic forms i.e. As(III) and (V) in their ionic oxo anion states with a limit of detection (LOD) of 2.57 μM and 7.06 μM for A1 and 33.08 μM and 10.96 μM for A2 respectively. There are very few peptide based sensors for arsenic which makes our work quite unique.

2. Materials and methods

Materials procured
All Fmoc protected amino acids, hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) and benzotriazol-1-yl-oxytritylrodiminosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyBOP) were purchased from GL Biochem; 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), N,N’-disopropylcarbodiimide (DIC), dimethylformamide (DMF), dichloromethane (DCM), piperidine, N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIEPA), acetic anhydride, pyridine, trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), tetraethyl ammonium salts, metal ion salts, were purchased from Spectrochem, sodium meta arsenite was purchased from Novabiochem, arsenic solution was purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

Synthesis and purification
The two peptides A1 (H2N-WLVFFK-COOH) and A2 (H2N-WLVFFE-COOH) were synthesized by standard solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) using Fmoc chemistry on Wang resin (0.1 millimolar scale). Deprotection of the Fmoc group was achieved by 20% piperidine in DMF. The amino acids were coupled using HOBt and PyBOP as coupling reagents in DMF. After attaching all the amino acids, the peptides were cleaved from the resin using 95% TFA and were precipitated in cold diethyl ether. The peptides were purified using reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on a C18 column using acetonitrile/water gradient. After purification, the peptides were characterized using analytical HPLC on C18 column to check its purity using acetonitrile/water as solvent system (Fig. S1†). Further the peptides were characterized by electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) (Fig. S2 and S3†) and 1H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (600 MHz) (Fig. S4 and S5†).

ESI-MS of A1. Calculated mass = 839.4741 Da, calculated (M + H)+ = 839.4775 Da, observed (M + H)+ = 839.4917 Da, observed (M + 2H/2)+ = 420.2499 Da.

ESI-MS of A2. Calculated mass = 840.4218 Da, calculated (M + H)+ = 840.4251 Da, observed (M + H)+ = 840.4435 Da, observed (M + 2H/2)+ = 420.2528 Da.

A1: 1H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 10.98 (s, 1H, –COOH at the C-terminus), 8.63 (s, 1H, –NH of Trp indole ring), 8.15 (d, 1H, backbone NH), 8.00 (d, 1H, backbone NH), 7.93 (d, 1H, backbone NH), 7.71 (d, 1H, backbone NH), 7.36 (d, 1H, backbone NH), 7.24–6.99 (m, 14 Hs, phenyl rings of Phe and Trp), 4.57–4.48 (m, 3Hs, α H of Phe and Lys), 4.43 (d, 1H, α H of Trp), 4.21–4.09 (m, 3Hs, α H of Leu, Val and Lys; merged), 3.91 (s, 1H, –CH of indole ring) 3.05–3.00 (m, 2Hs, β Hs of Trp), 2.98–2.88 (3Hs, β Hs of Leu and Val), 2.83–2.68 (m, 4Hs, β H of Phe), 2.29–2.24 (m, 2Hs, ε Hs of Lys), 1.92–1.78 (m, 4H, –NHs at the N-terminus), 1.62–1.53 (m, 2H, β Hs of Lys), 1.48–1.41 (m, 2H, δ Hs of Lys), 1.41–1.35 (m, 2H, γ Hs of Lys), 0.90–0.70 (m, 12H, methyl Hs of Leu and Val), (δ 2.50 for solvent residual peak, δ 3.33 for H2O).

A2: 1H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 10.99 (s, 2Hs, –COOH of Glu, –COOH at the C-terminus), 8.63 (s, 1H, –NH of Trp indole ring), 8.23 (s, 1H, backbone NH), 8.11 (s, 1H, backbone NH), 8.03 (d, 1H, backbone NH), 7.94 (d, 1H, backbone NH), 7.71 (d, 1H, backbone NH), 7.24–6.99 (m, 14 Hs, phenyl rings of Phe and Trp), 4.57–4.48 (m, 3Hs, α H of Phe and Lys), 4.43 (d, 1H, α H of Trp), 4.21–4.09 (m, 3Hs, α H of Leu, Val and Lys; merged), 3.91 (s, 1H, –CH of indole ring) 3.05–3.00 (m, 2Hs, β Hs of Trp), 2.98–2.88 (3Hs, β Hs of Leu and Val), 2.83–2.68 (m, 4Hs, β H of Phe), 2.29–2.24 (m, 2Hs, ε Hs of Lys), 1.92–1.78 (m, 4H, –NHs at the N-terminus), 1.62–1.53 (m, 2H, β Hs of Lys), 1.48–1.41 (m, 2H, δ Hs of Lys), 1.41–1.35 (m, 2H, γ Hs of Lys), 0.90–0.70 (m, 12H, methyl Hs of Leu and Val), (δ 2.50 for solvent residual peak, δ 3.33 for H2O).
Formation of hydrogels

First 1.0 mg of A1 peptide was taken in 500 μL of sodium phosphate buffer (0.2% wt/v; 2.38 mM) (pH 7.0) and then heated on a hot plate till the peptide dissolved which was then kept undisturbed at room temperature (RT). It resulted in the formation of hydrogel after 2-3 hours of standing which was stable to inversion of the glass vial. We tried for the hydrogelation of A2 under same conditions but it did not form any gel. A1 also formed gel in 20% ethanol (EtOH)/phosphate buffer mixture at 0.2% wt/v. A1 and A2 when taken in the ratio of 1:0.5, 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3 in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and then slowly raising the temperature of the bath at the rate of 1 °C per minute. The temperature was monitored using a thermometer and was recorded accordingly.

Determination of the gel to sol transition temperature for the hydrogels

For the hydrogels, the Tgel was obtained by placing the gel containing vial in an oil bath and then slowly raising the temperature of the bath at the rate of 1 °C per minute. The temperature was monitored using a thermometer and was recorded accordingly.

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM)

FESEM images of the peptide samples were obtained on a FESEM Sigma 300 microscope. For the morphology of the hydrogels, preheated samples were casted on the silicon wafer and allowed to dry under vacuum before imaging. Peptide hydrogel A1 was taken at its minimum gelation concentration (MGC) in sodium phosphate buffer system and in EtOH/sodium phosphate buffer mixture. The viscous solution of A2 in sodium phosphate buffer at 5 mM concentration was used for imaging. The morphology of the co-assembled systems of A1 and A2 was taken in the ratio of 1:0.5, 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3 in sodium phosphate buffer.

Field-emission transmission electron microscopy (FETEM)

FETEM studies were performed on JEOL JEM (model 2100F) by casting 3 μL of the co-assembled hydrogels at 1:0.5 and 1:1 ratio in phosphate buffer on carbon-coated copper grids (300 mesh). The grid was allowed to dry under vacuum at room temperature before imaging.

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy

IR spectra of the samples were recorded on a Spectrum Two PerkinElmer FTIR spectrometer using KBr pellet within the range of 1000–4000 cm⁻¹. FTIR of peptides A1, A2 and xerogels obtained from freeze drying the hydrogels of A1, A1 + A2 (1:0.5 and 1:1) were recorded.

Rheology

The viscoelastic properties of hydrogels A1, A1 + A2 (1:0.5) and A1 + A2 (1:1) were determined by rheological studies using Anton Paar MCR102 Rheometer equipped with a 20 mm parallel-plate measuring system at 25 °C. For measuring rheology, A1 hydrogel was prepared at 4.5 mg mL⁻¹ (0.45% wt/v) in sodium phosphate buffer (pH = 7.0) and in a mixture of EtOH/buffer system. The co-assembled hydrogels were prepared at 2:1 (wt/wt) and 2:2 (wt/wt) in 1 mL of sodium phosphate buffer (pH = 7.0). A strain sweep test was performed over a range from 0.1–100% strain at a fixed oscillatory frequency of 1 rad s⁻¹. Furthermore, the mechanical strength of hydrogels was determined from the oscillatory test, i.e. frequency sweep, which was carried out under an appropriate strain 0.1% with the frequency ranging from 1–100 rad s⁻¹.

Fluorescence spectroscopy

To look into the self-assembly pattern of the peptide A1 and A2, fluorescence experiment was performed on a Fluoromax-4 spectrophotometer by monitoring the fluorescence emission of the tryptophan (Trp) moiety. A concentration dependent fluorescence was performed for A1 in 20% EtOH/sodium phosphate buffer and A2 in 40% EtOH/sodium phosphate buffer system (0.00487–5 mM) keeping excitation wavelength at 280 nm.

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD)

Wide angle X-ray diffraction analysis was done on a Bruker D2 Phaser X-ray diffractometer (Cu-Kα radiation, λ = 1.5406 Å) for both the powdered samples of A1, A2 and the xerogels obtained from lyophilising the hydrogels of A1 and the co-assembled hydrogels.

Dye absorption studies

At first the hydrogel was prepared as mentioned in the gelation experiment (1 mg in 500 μL of sodium phosphate buffer, pH = 7.0). Then, aqueous solutions of Rhodamine B (RB) (20 μM), Crystal Violet (CV) (40 μM), Neutral Red (NR) (110 μM) and Methyl Orange (MO) (70 μM) were added into the preformed gel and allowed to absorb. Also, aqueous solutions of two metal ions, Ni²⁺ (100 mM) and Co²⁺ (100 mM) were added to absorb. The dye/metal ion absorption was measured using UV-Vis spectroscopy from time to time to know the trend in absorption by the hydrogel. Further, the amount of dye unabsorbed in the supernatant was checked by monitoring the UV of the supernatant aqueous solution after 48 hours and calculating the concentration from a standard curve of the dye/metal ion. The dye absorption by the co-assembled hydrogel A1 + A2 (1:1) was done in the similar fashion as described with two dyes RB and MO. The amount of dye/metal ion loaded in the hydrogel and the dye/metal ion loading efficiency were then calculated as follows:
Dye/metal ion absorbed = initial dye/metal ion
– unabsorbed dye/metal ion

% Absorbed = dye/metal ion absorbed/initial dye/metal ion × 100

The dye and metal ion absorption from an all component mixture by the hydrogels was also checked using UV-Vis spectroscopy in which the aqueous solution of dyes (RB, MO, NR and CV) and the metal ion (Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, Pb²⁺, Pb²⁺, Hg²⁺) was mixed together and was added to the preformed hydrogel to absorb.

Reusability of the hydrogel A1

The hydrogel from A1 was prepared as discussed earlier at its MGC in sodium phosphate buffer. Then 500 μL of 20 μM aqueous solution of RB was added into it and the dye was allowed to be absorbed for 24 hours. After 24 hours, the supernatant solution at the top was taken and its absorbance was measured from which the % of dye absorbed was calculated. Then to the dye absorbed gel ether was added as the release medium and it was kept undisturbed for 2 days after which the gel became clear. On the clear, dye free hydrogel, fresh solution of 20 μM RB was added again and left to be absorbed for 24 hours. This cycle continued for 2 times after which the hydrogel was no longer be used further for dye absorption.

Anion sensing

A stock solution of 1 mM of the peptide A1 and A2 in 20% and 40% respectively in EtOH/water system was prepared. Stock solutions of 10 mM tetrabutylammonium salts of F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, OH⁻, AcO⁻ and HSO₄⁻; sodium salt of arsenite (AsO₂³⁻) and arsenic acid were prepared in water. Then a solution of 0.2 mM of the A1 and A2 were prepared from the stock solution. Also, 0.2 mM of all the anion solutions was prepared from the 10 mM stock solution. For monitoring fluorescence spectra 500 μL of 0.2 mM of the peptide solution was taken and into it 500 μL of 0.2 mM anion solution was added so that the final concentration of both the solutions become 0.1 mM. The fluorescence was monitored at an excitation wavelength of 280 nm.

Metal ion sensing

A stock solution of 1 mM of A1 and A2 in 20% and 40% respectively EtOH/water system was prepared was prepared. Stock solutions of 10 mM of metal ion salts (CoCl₂, PbCl₂, NiCl₂, CuCl₂, MnCl₂, Zn(NO₃)₂, Hg(OAc)₂, FeCl₃) were prepared in water. Then a solution of 0.2 mM of A1 and A2 were prepared from the stock solution. Also, 0.2 mM of all the metal ion solutions was prepared from the 10 mM stock solution. For monitoring fluorescence spectra 500 μL of 0.2 mM of the peptide solution was taken and into it 500 μL of 0.2 mM metal ion solution was added so that the final concentration of the peptide and the metal ion solution became 0.1 mM. The fluorescence was then monitored at 280 nm.

Titration of the gelator molecules with specific anions and metal ions

500 μL of 0.2 mM of peptide solution of A1 and A2 in 20% and 40% respectively in EtOH/water system was taken in different 1 mL volumetric flasks and into it 500 μL of different concentration of the anion and metal ion solution were added so that the final concentration of the peptide solution becomes 0.1 mM. The Trp fluorescence of these solutions was then monitored for the solutions at 280 nm.

Detection limit

For detection limit (DL) calculation, fluorescence of 0.01 mM solution of A1 and A2 in EtOH/water solvent system was measured at 280 nm for ten times. Then standard deviation (σ) of the intensity at the emission wavelength was calculated. Then titration of A1 and A2 with the anions and metal ions at very low concentrations were monitored (0.002–0.025 mM) and a linear plot of concentration versus intensity was obtained. From the linear plot, the slope (k) was calculated and was put into the equation below:

\[ DL = 3\sigma/k; n = 10 \]

Pb²⁺ and Hg²⁺ removal by hydrogels A1 and A1 + A2

Hydrogel A1 and the co-assembled hydrogel A1 + A2 were prepared at 2 mg and 2 : 2 (wt/wt) in 2 mL respectively in phosphate buffer system. Into it 2 mL of 20 mg L⁻¹ aqueous salt solution of Pb and 2 mL of 100 mg L⁻¹ Hg was added and left to be absorbed for 24 hours. After that, the supernatant solution was analysed using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) in AA240, Varian, Netherlands spectrophotometer. The experiment was done in duplicate and the values obtained were average of two readings. The % absorbed was then calculated using the following equation

\[ \text{Concentration of metal ion absorbed} = \text{initial metal ion} \]
\[ \text{– unabsorbed metal ion} \]
\[ \% \text{Absorbed} = \text{metal ion absorbed/initial metal ion} \times 100 \]

For Pb²⁺, 217 nm was used as the wavelength for analysis, with 1 nm slit width for an optimum working range of 0.1–30 μg mL⁻¹ and for Hg²⁺, wavelength of 253 nm was used for analysis with 0.5 nm slit width with optimum working range of 2–400 μg mL⁻¹.

3. Results and discussions

Peptide design

Charge complementary peptides A1 (NH₃-WLVFFK-COOH) and A2 (NH₂-WLVFFE-COOH) had identical sequences except for a positively charged Lys residue and a negatively charged Glu residues at the C terminal end of the peptides respectively (Fig. 1a and b). The LVFF moieties in the peptides were incorporated to act as the hydrophobic core while the Trp residue at
the N terminus with its intrinsic fluorescence, acted as a reporter molecule. The unprotected termini of the peptides helped in solubilizing the peptide.

**Hydrogelation and morphology**

When subjected to gelation, A1 formed hydrogel in sodium phosphate buffer and also in 20% EtOH/sodium phosphate buffer upon heating and subsequent cooling (Fig. 1c), whereas A2 under similar conditions failed to form gel. Also, when A1 and A2 were taken together in 1 : 1 and 1 : 0.5 ratios and subjected to similar treatment as that of A1, co-assembled hydrogel was formed (Fig. 1d). It should be noted that the other ratios of A1 and A2, like 1 : 2 and 1 : 3 failed to form hydrogel under similar conditions. Thus it was obvious that either stoichiometric ratio or an excess of A1 favoured gelation. A mixture with excess of A2 failed to do so. Table S1† gives the characteristic features of the gels formed from A1 and A2.

At neutral pH, A1 is positively charged while A2 is negatively charged owing to the pKₐ’s of the terminal and the side chain amino and carboxylic acid groups. Self-assembly of molecules requires their close approach. Approach of charged molecules induce electrostatic repulsion which disfavours the assembly process of A1 and A2 at neutral pH. This is overcome in sodium phosphate buffer where phosphate ions present might play a crucial role in neutralizing the electrostatic repulsive forces amongst the A1 molecules, leading to their close approach and formation of the hydrogel. The very small positive counterion Na⁺, present in sodium phosphate buffer, is most probably unable to diffuse the negative charge on A2 at neutral pH and induce assembly in them. The slight difference in the length of the hydrophobic side chains of the Lys and Glu residues might also contribute to this difference. In the co-assembled systems, those that contain higher proportions of A2, resulting in an overall negative charge at the neutral pH, fail to form hydrogels proving the point further. Hence gelation is a very sensitive phenomenon and depends on a very fine balance of several parameters.

Morphological features of the gel formed by A1, A2 and (A1 + A2) have been studied by FESEM and FETEM. As mentioned in our earlier report,‡ A1 hydrogel formed a dense and porous mesh like morphology in sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 (Fig. S6a†), while it formed well entangled fibrous network in EtOH/sodium phosphate buffer mixture (Fig. S6b†). A2 formed irregular aggregate-like morphology in sodium phosphate buffer (pH = 7.0) (Fig. S6c†).

Fig. 2 shows the morphology of the co-assembled hydrogels formed from A1 and A2 taken in various ratios. It is found that, a well entangled uniform fibrous network was observed both in case of the co-assembled hydrogel formed by 1 : 0.5 and 1 : 1 ratio of A1 and A2. The viscous solutions obtained by other ratios gave an aggregate kind of morphology as visualised by FESEM.

To have a closer look at the morphology of the co-assembled hydrogels, FETEM was performed. Both 1 : 1 and 1 : 0.5 hydrogels contained fibre like morphology. The hierarchical self-assembly was clearly observable in the images where finer fibres were seen to form thicker fibre-bundles (Fig. 3). Formation of uniform fibre like morphology in both the co-assembled gels was a clear indication of cooperative self-assembly in the system.

**Viscoelastic properties of the hydrogels**

Viscoelastic property of the hydrogels was studied by rheology using 0.45 w/v% A1 hydrogel in (a) sodium phosphate buffer at pH 7.0 (Fig. S7a and b†) and (b) 20% EtOH/sodium phosphate buffer (Fig. S7c and d†). As mentioned previously,‡ in the

---
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**Fig. 1** Chemical structures of (a) A1, (b) A2, (c) gel formation by A1 in sodium phosphate buffer (pH - 7.0), EtOH/phosphate buffer system and viscous solution of A2 in sodium phosphate buffer (pH - 7.0) and (d) gelation by different ratios of A1 and A2. A1, A2 mixtures of 1 : 1 and 1 : 0.5 ratios produced gels while those of 1 : 2 and 1 : 3 ratios did not.
angular frequency sweep experiment performed at a constant strain of 0.1% at 25 °C, for both the hydrogels, $G'$ was found to be dominating over $G''$ till about 100 rad s$^{-1}$ (Fig. S7a and c$^\dagger$). Also, $G'$ and $G''$ were found to be independent of angular frequency, in the region of 1–100 rad s$^{-1}$ which indicated the formation of stable hydrogels. The value of storage moduli $G'$ was of the order of $10^2$–$10^3$ Pa for A1 hydrogel in sodium phosphate buffer and in the EtOH/sodium phosphate buffer suggesting that the hydrogels formed in both the systems were mechanically robust. In strain sweep experiment, $G'$ was found to be higher than $G''$ till a particular strain (Fig. S7b and d$^\dagger$), beyond which the two crossed each other, indicating loss of gel nature for both the systems.

We have then checked the mechanical strength of the co-assembled hydrogels formed from A1 and A2 taken in ratios 1 : 0.5 (2 mg and 1 mg respectively for A1 and A2) and 1 : 1 (2 mg and 2 mg respectively for A1 and A2) in 1 mL of the solvent (Fig. 4). We observed that in the frequency sweep experiment, the $G'$ values for the co-assembled hydrogels 1 : 0.5 and 1 : 1 were between $10^3$–$10^4$ and $10^2$–$10^3$ Pa, which were lower and higher than the A1 hydrogel respectively, suggesting that the 1 : 0.5 and 1 : 1 co-assembled hydrogels were weaker and stronger than A1 respectively. The increased robustness of the 1 : 1 co-assembled hydrogel with respect to the A1 self-assembled hydrogel may be attributed to the additional electrostatic interactions in between A1 and A2 in the co-assembled

![Fig. 2 FESEM images of hydrogel of A1 + A2 in the ratio of (a) 1 : 0.5 wt/wt, (b) 1 : 1 wt/wt, (c) 1 : 2 wt/wt and (d) 1 : 3 wt/wt ratio in sodium phosphate buffer at pH = 7.0.](image1)

![Fig. 3 FETEM of A1 + A2 hydrogel in sodium phosphate buffer, pH = 7.0, (a) 1 : 0.5 and (b) 1 : 1 (wt/wt).](image2)
system. In the A1 hydrogel, assembly was mediated through the phosphate ions while in the co-assembled hydrogel, this was not necessary. Complementary charges on A1 and A2 led to favourable interactions in the 1 : 1 co-assembled hydrogel. However, in the 1 : 0.5 co-assembled gel, there was an excess of positive charges and hence the assembly had to be mediated through the phosphate ions. Thus the 1 : 0.5 hydrogel was weaker than the 1 : 1 hydrogel.

Factors driving self-assembly
Self-assembly of A1 was investigated earlier in details in a previous study from our group. Electrostatic interactions played the most crucial role in formation of the hydrogel from positively charged A1. Aromatic π−π stacking interactions, as observed from the fluorescence experiments and PXRD experiments, also contributed to the self-assembly of A1. In the A1 hydrogel, peptides adopted β-sheet backbone conformation which in turn involved hydrogen bonding between the amide groups present in the peptide backbone and hydrophobic interactions.

In the present study, we wanted to understand the interactions involved in the formation of the co-assembled systems using various ratios of A1 and A2.

Backbone conformation and role of H-bonding
In order to understand the backbone conformation of A1 and A2 and the role of H-bonding in the co-assembled gel, solid state FTIR was performed on xerogels of (A1 + A2) hydrogels (1 : 1 and 1 : 0.5 ratios) (Fig. 5a) and compared it to powdered samples of A1 and A2 and A1 xerogel. There were no deviations in the peak positions in the self-assembled and the co-assembled xerogels indicating similar backbone conformation and hydrogen bonding status in both the systems. Presence of peaks at around 1631 and 1682 cm\(^{-1}\) in all the systems, clearly indicated anti-parallel β-sheet conformation for the peptides in individual powdered form or in hydrogel state. Presence of NH stretching peak at 3279 cm\(^{-1}\) indicated presence of extensive H-bonding in all the systems.

Molecular packing
PXRD was performed to understand the ordered arrangement of the co-assembled systems (Fig. 5b and Table S2†). The results were compared with that of the powdered A1 and A2 samples and the A1 xerogel. A prominent peak at around 23° was obtained for all the systems, which corresponded to an interplaner distance of ~3.8 Å, indicating the presence of a very strong aromatic π–π interaction present in all the systems. Additionally, in A1 and A2 another prominent interplaner distance of ~11.5 Å was observed. This could be attributed to the distance in between the subsequent β-sheets. In all the xerogels studied, an interplaner distance of ~12.4 Å was observed. This spacing was attributed to the distance in between the subsequent β-sheets in the xerogel structures. It must be remembered that the xerogels were derived from the hydrogels that were formed in sodium phosphate buffer. Presence of water, ions in between the subsequent β-sheet layers might be responsible for increasing inter-sheet spacings observed in the xerogels. Thus, in summary, A1

Fig. 4 Angular frequency and strain dependence of the dynamic storage moduli (\(G'\)) and the loss moduli (\(G''\)) of co-assembled hydrogels formed from (a and b) A1 + A2 = 1 : 0.5 and (c and d) A1 + A2 = 1 : 1 in sodium phosphate buffer (pH = 7.0).
and A2 consistently formed β-sheet conformation in powdered, self-assembled or co-assembled xerogel state. As A1 and A2 have similar motifs in them (WLVFF), their co-assembly was cooperative in nature and occurred without perturbing the backbone conformation of the individual peptides. Secondly, strong aromatic π–π stacking interactions existed in all the systems and was another important interaction driving self-assembly.

**Mechanism of self-assembly and co-assembly**

As seen from the various experiments, electrostatic interaction, aromatic π–π stacking interaction and H-bonding play important roles in the self-assembly and the co-assembly processes being studied here. At neutral pH, formation of hydrogel in the presence of sodium phosphate buffer, suggests that the phosphate ions play a crucial role in diffusing the positive charge on the A1 peptides, bringing them close together to form the hydrogel. In the case of A2, the positive counter ions of sodium phosphate buffer, being extremely small, may not have been able to diffuse the negative charge on A2 and bring about gelation. Difference in the nature of the charged groups and the slight difference in the hydrophobicity of the side chains of the charged amino acid residues might have contributed additionally to the difference in the gelation behaviour of the otherwise identical peptides A1 and A2. Hence electrostatic interactions play a very important role in these assembly processes. Fig. S8† depicts the molecular arrangement involved in the self-assembly of A1. A1 monomers form antiparallel β-sheets as evidenced from the FTIR spectroscopy. The sheets are amphipathic in nature, having two distinct faces. One of the faces is hydrophobic in nature which contains the Trp side chain while the other face contains the charged Lys side chains. The side chains of the intervening LVFF moiety alternately face opposite directions and point both towards the hydrophobic and charged faces of the β-sheets. Due to the formation of the antiparallel β-sheets, the positively charged amino terminus of one monomeric unit faces the negatively charged carboxylate end of the adjacent monomeric unit. This compensates the charge on the edges of the sheets. The sheets stack on each other in such a way that the hydrophobic face of one sheet confronts the hydrophobic face of the other. This stacking is favoured by the hydrophobic interaction in between the side chains of the LVFF moiety. Additionally, the Trp rings from one sheet form π–π stacking with that from the other sheet. The charged faces of the adjacent β-sheets face each other. The phosphate ions stack in between such positively charged faces and neutralize the repulsion, thus bringing stability to the system. As this charge stabilization is absent in water, hydrogelation of A1 does not occur there. The stacking of the sheets leads to formation of fibres. Such fibres come close together along the edges of the sheets. This is favoured by the electrostatic interactions in between the terminal charges, giving rise to thicker fibre bundles. The thick bundles entangle with each other and eventually give rise to the mesh like morphology that is observed by FESEM.

Fig. 6 summarizes the mechanism of co-assembly of A1 and A2 in 1 : 1 ratio. Presence of an uniform fibre like morphology as seen from microscopic techniques like FESEM and FETEM, accompanied by the evidences that the backbone conformation of A1, A2 in the co-assembled gel was the same as in the self-assembled gel and interplaner spacings in the co- and self-assembled gels were identical, suggested that A1 and A2 underwent cooperative co-assembly. This was expected as peptides A1 and A2 were similar in their structure. As shown in the Fig. 6, A1 and A2 alternate to form β-sheets in which one face of the sheet is hydrophobic and contains the Trp side chain. The other surface of the sheet contains both the positive and the negative side chains of Lys and Glu residues from A1 and A2 respectively. Stacking of the β-sheets involve hydrophobic interactions and aromatic π–π stacking interactions in between the indole rings of the Trp chains in the hydrophobic faces. The charged face of the β-sheet contains positive and negative charges along the two edges. While stacking of the charged faces, the consecutive sheets approach an alternate fashion so that the oppositely charged edges face each other giving rise to favourable electrostatic interactions. It is due to this interaction, that the 1 : 1 co-assembled gel was stronger than the A1 hydrogel as observed from rheology. While in the former co-assembled system, complementary charges on the constituent peptides gave rise to the favourable electrostatic interactions that stabilized the system. The 1 : 0.5 hydrogel system underwent self-assembly pretty much the same way as the 1 : 1 system, with
Application of the hydrogels in dye and metal ion absorption

We have used the A1 hydrogel and the co-assembled gels of A1 and A2 for dye and metal ion absorption studies. The aqueous solutions of the dyes or the metal ions were allowed to stand with the gel for 48 hours. The UV absorbance of the solution was monitored over the time to obtain the amount of unabsorbed dye (Fig. S9a–f, S10a and b†). Amount of absorbed dye was back calculated from the initial concentration of the solution as discussed in the experimental section. All our hydrogels (self and co-assembled) acted as very effective absorbents for the organic dyes like RB, CV, NR, MO and divalent metal ions Ni^{2+} and Co^{2+} (Fig. 7a–f, 8a and b). It is mention worthy that the gels could absorb cationic, anionic and neutral organic dyes. Presence of diverse functionalities like cationic and anionic side chains, unprotected termini and the presence of aromatic group containing amino acid residues in the peptides promotes a wide spectrum of absorbed dyes through electrostatic and aromatic π–π stacking interactions. The absorption efficiency of different contaminants for the different hydrogels is tabulated in Table 1.

The high efficiency for absorption of individual dyes, prompted us to investigate the absorption efficiency of the hydrogels from a mixtures of dyes. As seen from the Fig. 8c and d, S10c and d,† both the self-assembled and the co-assembled hydrogels were capable of absorbing dyes (CV, NR, MO and RB) and metal ions (Co^{2+} and Ni^{2+}) from an all component mixture. Thus such hydrogels can be used in the real life applications, where the water is contaminated by several contaminants simultaneously.

Reusability of the hydrogel A1

In order to be efficiently used as a material for water purification, the material should be economic and hence, reusable. A1 hydrogel could be reused over three cycles for subsequent loading and release of the dye, after which the quality of the hydrogel degraded. Fig. S11a† is the pictorial representation of the hydrogel loaded with dye RB, its subsequent release in ether and reloading of the dye for the next cycle. Fig. S11b† shows the UV-Vis studies performed to quantify the amount of dye that could be loaded to the hydrogel in each cycle.

It was observed that in the first cycle, the hydrogel A1 was capable of absorbing about 89% of RB. Upon addition of ether, the release medium to the dye loaded gel, and leaving it for 48 hours, the hydrogel became colourless with the ether having turned pink. The integrity of the gel was intact as can be proved by the vial inversion test and FESEM studies (Fig. S12†). In the second and third cycles about 74% and 53% of dye was loaded onto the gel (Table S3†). After the third cycle, the gel degraded and could not be used further.

Removal of toxic metal ions: absorption of Pb^{2+} and Hg^{2+}

After being successful in removing divalent metal ions from water, A1 and A1 + A2 hydrogels were utilized for the absorption of toxic heavy metal ions Pb^{2+} and Hg^{2+} from the aqueous solutions. For both the self-assembled and the co-assembled hydrogels, Pb^{2+} was absorbed better (~80%) than the Hg^{2+} ions (~60%). Both the metal ions were dipositive, and though...
Hg$^{2+}$ was smaller in size than Pb$^{2+}$ (Table 2), it was absorbed less. Pb$^{2+}$ was efficiently absorbed irrespective of its counter ions, while absorption of Hg$^{2+}$ depended on its counter anions (Table S4†). Smaller counter ion led to better absorption in case of Hg$^{2+}$. This feature can be attributed to the greater charge density on the Hg$^{2+}$ (owing to its smaller size) and its stronger association with its counter ion in comparison to Pb$^{2+}$.

In summary, we have been able to prove that our self- and co-assembled hydrogels are highly efficient in absorbing different organic dyes and metal ions from water individually or from a mixture. It is worth mentioning that these hydrogels can also absorb toxic metal ions like Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$ from water efficiently. Additionally, these materials can be used recyclably increasing their cost effectiveness. Thus these cheap and robust materials are extremely prospective in water remediation.

**Ion sensing studies by peptides A1 and A2**

We have employed our peptides A1 and A2 in sensing different kinds of ions. The fluorescence emission response of A1 and A2 was monitored in the absence and the presence of various anions like fluoride (F$^-$), chloride (Cl$^-$), bromide (Br$^-$), iodide (I$^-$), bisulphate (HSO$_4^-$), dihydrogenphosphate (H$_2$PO$_4^-$), acetate (CH$_3$COO$^-$), hydroxide (OH$^-$) (as their Bu$_4$N$^+$ salts), arsenite (AsO$_2^-$) and arsenate (AsO$_3^-$) (Fig. S13a and b†) and also various metal ions like Hg$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ (Fig. S14a and b†). The spectroscopic studies were

**Table 1** Dye and metal ion absorption efficiency of A1 hydrogel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye/metal salt</th>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Loaded</th>
<th>% absorbed</th>
<th>Hydrogel system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>Cationic</td>
<td>20 μM</td>
<td>96.92</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>Cationic</td>
<td>40 μM</td>
<td>79.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>Anionic</td>
<td>70 μM</td>
<td>88.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>110 μM</td>
<td>81.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel chloride (Ni$^{2+}$)</td>
<td>Metal ion</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
<td>83.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt chloride (Co$^{2+}$)</td>
<td>Metal ion</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
<td>93.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>Cationic</td>
<td>20 μM</td>
<td>84.28</td>
<td>A1 + A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>Anionic</td>
<td>70 μM</td>
<td>83.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
performed in EtOH/water as the peptides are not completely soluble in water. The anion and the metal salts were dissolved in water.

Peptides A1 and A2 responded for arsenite (AsO$_2^-$), arsenate (AsO$_3^-$) and hydroxide (OH$^-$) anions. In the presence of other anions (F$^-$, Cl$^-$, Br$^-$, I$^-$, HSO$_4^-$, H$_2$PO$_4^-$, AcO$^-$), there was no change in the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of the fluorescence emission and only minor changes in peak intensities (Fig. S13a and b†). In the absence of anions, upon being excited at 280 nm, the fluorescence emission maxima for A1 and A2 were at 349 nm and 323 nm respectively. For A1, upon addition of 0.1 mM AsO$_2^-$ and OH$^-$, the peak at 349 nm red shifted to 357 nm and 358 nm respectively, with an enhancement in fluorescence signal intensity (about 2 folds). In case of addition of 0.1 mM of AsO$_3^-$ solution to A1, there was a slight blue shift of the emission peak to 343 nm, although the fluorescence intensity was not changed remarkably (Fig. S13b†). There was negligible effect on the fluorescence spectra of A1 in the presence of other ions. For A2, upon addition of 0.1 mM AsO$_2^-$ and OH$^-$, the peak at 323 nm was red shifted to 330 nm and 349 nm respectively. In case of addition of 0.1 mM of AsO$_3^-$ solution to A2, there was a decrease in the fluorescent intensity without any change in the peak position (Fig. S13b†). There was negligible effect on the fluorescence spectra of A2 in the presence of other anions. Thus it can be concluded that molecules A1 and A2 selectively sensed AsO$_2^-$, AsO$_3^-$ and OH$^-$ in solution.

To determine the sensitivity of the peptides towards the sensed anions, the fluorescence response of A1 and A2 was monitored as a function of anion concentration (Fig. 9a–c and S15a–c†). The concentration of the anions was varied from 0.02 mM to 2 mM. In case of A1, it was observed that for AsO$_2^-$ and OH$^-$, upon increasing the concentration of the anions, there was a steady enhancement in the intensity of fluorescence signal upto 0.07 mM, a further increase in concentration resulted in a decrease in the signal intensity (Fig. 9a and b). In case AsO$_3^-$ solution, there was an irregular shuffle in fluorescent intensity.

### Table 2  Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$ absorption by the self and co-assembled hydrogels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrogels</th>
<th>Metal ion</th>
<th>Conc. loaded (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Conc. in the supernatant (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Conc. absorbed (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>% absorbed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>16.66</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>41.20</td>
<td>58.80</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 + A2 (1 : 1)</td>
<td>Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>78.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50.45</td>
<td>49.55</td>
<td>49.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9  Anion sensing by A1: titration of A1 with (a) OH$^-$, (b) As(III), and (c) As(V).
although, it eventually decreased upon increasing the concentration of AsO₃³⁻ solution. Also, there was a considerably little blue shift of the emission peak to 346 nm that was observed during the titration (Fig. 9c). In case of A2, similar trend in fluorescence signal was observed for AsO₂⁻ and OH⁻ ions as observed for A1. When the concentration of AsO₂⁻ and OH⁻ was increased from 0.02 mM, the fluorescence signal did not change much except a red shift to 330 nm till 0.1 mM, after which there was a sudden increase in the signal along with a major red shift to 356 nm beyond which the fluorescence signal got saturated till 2 mM (Fig. S15a and b†). In case of increasing concentration of AsO₃³⁻, at first the fluorescence intensity slightly increased, after which there was an irregular intensity shift of the signal followed by an eventual decrease in the signal intensity (at ~0.4 mM) accompanied by a red shift to 347 nm (Fig. S15c†). The mode of interaction of the anions OH⁻ and AsO₂⁻ seems to be similar from their fluorescence response. This might be due to the similarity in the size of these two anions in comparison to AsO₃³⁻. The detection limit of A1 and A2 for the anions is tabulated in Table 2. A1 had a lower LOD for all the anions in comparison to A2. This most probably was owing to the negative charge on the anions electrostatically favouring the positively charged A1 molecule over the negatively charged A2 molecule. LODs for the detection of AsO₂⁻ and AsO₃³⁻ by A1 are 2.57 μM and 7.06 μM and by A2 are 33.08 μM and 10.96 μM respectively. AsO₂⁻ and AsO₃³⁻ contain arsenic in the most toxic oxidation states which have very grave health implications causing serious diseases and death. In several parts of the world, contamination of the underground drinking water with arsenic causes severe problems. Though the limits of detection of As(III) and As(V) by A1 are 2.57 μM and 10.96 μM respectively towards the emission peak to 346 nm that was observed.

### Response towards metal ions

We have studied the response of our peptides A1 and A2 towards different metal ions (Cu²⁺, Hg²⁺, Fe³⁺, Pb²⁺, Zn²⁺, Ni²⁺, Co²⁺ and Mn²⁺). A decrease in fluorescence intensity for A1 and A2 was observed upon addition of 0.1 mM of Hg²⁺ and Fe³⁺ without any change in the peak position (λₑm = 349 nm and 323 nm for A1 and A2 respectively). In the presence of other metal ions Co²⁺, Pb²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, Mn²⁺, Zn²⁺, there was no change in peak positions or intensity of the signal (Fig. S14a and b†). To find out the sensitivity of Fe³⁺ ion detection, the fluorescence response of A1 and A2 was monitored as a function of metal ion concentration (0.02 mM to 2 mM) (Fig. S16a and b†).

It was observed that for both A1 and A2, there was a quenching in fluorescence signal upon increasing the concentration of Fe³⁺ without any change in the peak position. A1 and A2 had a detection limit of 2.19 μM and 18.82 μM respectively towards Fe³⁺ (Table 3).

### Abbreviations

- DNA: Deoxyribonucleic acid
- RB: Rhodamine B
- CV: Crystal violet
- NR: Neutral red
- MO: Methyl orange
- PBS: Phosphate buffer saline
- HPLC: High performance liquid chromatography
- ESI-MS: Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry
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