


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 40709

Bio-inspired NHC-organocatalyzed Stetter reaction in aqueous conditions†

 Mégane Debiais,^{ab} Aladin Hamoud,^{ab} Reihana Drain,^{ab} Philippe Barthélémy ^{ab} and Valérie Desvergne ^{ab}

The first bio-inspired N-Heterocyclic Carbene (NHC)-catalyzed Stetter reaction in aqueous medium is reported with benzaldehyde and chalcone as model substrates. A screening of azolium salts as precatalysts revealed the remarkable efficiency of synthetic thiazolium salt **8** (up to 90% conversion in pure water at 75 °C). The reaction was successfully extended to various simple aldehyde substrates. The effect of temperature was also investigated in order to extend the reaction to lower temperature allowing a potential application to sensitive biomolecules. This study highlighted the influence of both solvent and temperature on the 1,4-diketone **3**/benzoin **4** ratio. New precatalysts **26** and **27** were designed and synthesized to explore a possible compartmentalization of the reaction in aqueous conditions. Owing to the use of inexpensive metal-free N-Heterocyclic Carbene (NHC) as a bioinspired catalyst, we anticipate that this green strategy in aqueous conditions will be attractive for bioconjugation of many biomolecule-type aldehydes and enone derivatives.

Received 29th September 2020

Accepted 2nd November 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra08326g

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Enzyme catalysis involving cofactors is a source of inspiration for creating synthetic catalysts.¹ Moreover, chemical reactivity in an aqueous medium is at the very origin of life and is an important link between chemistry and biology. Over the past decades, now-called bio-inspired synthesis has become a new way of thinking about chemistry, as it is the case for green chemistry. Organocatalysis is greener than metallocatalyzed processes² and organocatalyzed reactions in aqueous media³ have attracted considerable interest because water is considered as the greenest solvent thanks to its nontoxicity, safety, *etc.* N-Heterocyclic Carbines (NHCs) are biomimetic species, analogous to the natural cofactor thiamine diphosphate and known to address wide challenges in the field of organocatalysis⁴ as non-biological catalysts. NHCs were early described by Breslow as intermediate (thiamin diphosphate cofactor, vitamin B1) in biochemical pathways occurring in aqueous media.⁵ NHC are highly reactive neutral nucleophiles, which apparently prohibit their use in aqueous solution. Indeed, free carbenes, especially imidazolylidinines, are reported to be moisture sensitive, leading to rapid protonation or hydrolytic cleavage of the azolium salt ring⁶ even if a relative stability in water has been described very recently.⁷ Several studies reported that pK_as of various azoles are


concurring with the low concentration of free carbenes in water.⁸ In line with the Breslow's studies,⁹ NHC organocatalyzed benzoin condensation was the first reaction successfully investigated in water.¹⁰ Other reactions in aqueous conditions using various electrophiles were also reported.^{11–16} In the context of bio-inspired organocatalysis in aqueous media, NHC-catalyzed reactions in aqueous medium represent an attractive eco-friendly approach. A related Umpolung reaction to benzoin condensation is the NHC-catalyzed Stetter reaction¹⁷ involving the conjugate addition of an aldehyde to a Michael acceptor. The Stetter reaction and the benzoin condensation have similar mechanisms both going through the formation of the widely described Breslow intermediate. For the Stetter reaction, the 1,4-diketone is obtained through a non-reversible later step following the addition of Breslow intermediate to the α,β -unsaturated compound. Benzoin condensation can be observed as a side reaction arising from the reversible addition of Breslow intermediate to the aldehyde (Scheme 1). The formation of C–C bonds through reverse polarity *via* Stetter reaction has proved to be of major interest as key step for total synthesis.¹⁸ As a bio-inspired reaction, it would be highly desirable extend such an organocatalyzed reaction's green chemistry perspectives.¹⁹ Herein, on the basis of our previous work,²⁰ the Stetter reaction was investigated first in presence of water then in pure water. Benzaldehyde and chalcone were chosen as model substrates for their robustness in aqueous media (no polymerization, hydration...) and good reactivity in the Stetter reaction.

^aUniv. Bordeaux, CNRS, INSERM, ARNA, UMR 5320, U1212, F-33000 Bordeaux, France. E-mail: valerie.desvergne@u-bordeaux.fr; Tel: +33 557571176

^bChemBioPharm Team, 146 Rue Leo Saignat, UFR Pharmacie, 3^{ième} Tranche, 4^{ième} étage, 33076 Bordeaux Cedex, France

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra08326g





Scheme 1 Catalytic cycles of Stetter (blue pathway)/benzoin reaction (red pathway) between benzaldehyde 1 (green) and chalcone 2.

Results and discussion

Preliminary investigations involved the introduction of 10% of water in Stetter reactions using classical thiazolium salts (Fig. 1)²¹ as thiamine cofactor analogues and carbene precursors to compare with the same reaction under classical conditions in dry THF.

We were pleased to observe that thiazolium salts 5–7, simple analogues of thiamine diphosphate, were able to provide carbene species and react in the presence of 10% of water. Results are reported in Table 1. Noteworthy, commercially available thiazolium salt 5, previously reported by Scheidt to catalyze conjugate addition of α -keto-carboxylates to α - β -unsaturated 2-acyl-imidazoles under neutral aqueous conditions,²² provided moderate conversions both in THF and in presence of water (Table 1 entries 1 and 2). These results encouraged us to test other simple azolium salts 6 and 7. Compound 6 turned out to be highly efficient in THF but much less in the presence of 10% of water. Compound 7 led only to benzoin formation in aqueous media without any conversion of the chalcone starting material (Table 1, entries 5 and 6). Overall, the presence of water led to a higher quantity of the side product benzoin compared to the same reaction performed in anhydrous THF²³ (entry 2 vs. 1, entry 4 vs. 3 and entry 8 vs. 7).




Fig. 1 Structure of the different azolium salts used.

Table 1 Study of the catalyst structure influence onto Stetter reaction in THF and THF/water media

Entry	Catalyst	Reaction conditions ^a	Conversion ^b	Yield 3	
				Yield 3	Yield 4
1	5	THF	50%	33%	24%
2	5	THF/H ₂ O (9/1)	38%	24%	76%
3	6	THF	>90%	86%	0%
4	6	THF/H ₂ O (9/1)	45%	42%	31%
5 ^c	7	THF	23%	13%	10%
6	7	THF/H ₂ O (9/1)	0%	0%	61%
7	8	THF	>95%	90%	0%
8	8	THF/H ₂ O (9/1)	76%	62%	13%

^a 1.5 equiv. of benzaldehyde in solvent ($C = 1.6$ M) were added to 30 mol% of azolium salt,²⁵ then 30 mol% of DBU was added and lastly 1 equiv. of chalcone. The reaction was heated at 75 °C for 20 h.

^b Based on quantity of remaining of chalcone limiting reagent. ^c Some degradation was also observed.

Following the encouraging results obtained with the commercially available precatalysts 5, 6, 7, we synthesized and tested thiazolium 8,^{10c} more hydrophobic than 6 and previously reported in literature as resistant to hydrolysis under basic aqueous conditions.²⁴ Actually, this *N*-methyl-5-(2'-benzyloxyethyl)-4-methylthiazolium iodide salt 8 has proved to be the most efficient to provide mainly the 1,4-diketone compound under anhydrous as well as non anhydrous conditions (Table 1 entries 7 and 8).

Thiazolium 8 was thus the best candidate to perform attempts introducing gradually water in the reaction medium. We were pleased to see that, at 75 °C, introduction of water from 10 to 100% did not drastically impact nor the conversion nor the


















Table 2 Influence of gradual introduction of water

Entry	Solvent	Conversion ^a	Yield 3	
			Yield 3	Yield 4
1	THF	>95%	90%	0%
2	THF/H ₂ O (90/10)	76%	62%	13%
3	THF/H ₂ O (50/50)	59%	58%	6%
4	THF/H ₂ O (10/90)	84%	69%	12%
5	H ₂ O	90%	72%	15%

^a Conversion was calculated from the quantity of recovered chalcone limiting reagent.



Table 3 Influence of aldehyde partner structure

Entry	Aldehyde	Product	Yield ^a	Reaction Conditions
				1.  water 2. DBU 20h, 75°C
1			89%	
2			65%	
3			32%, (60% brsm)	
4			70%, (87% brsm)	
5			67%, (89% brsm), d.r. 52/48	
6			37%, (74% brsm), d.r. 59/41	
7			30%, (85% brsm), 62%, (94% brsm)	
8 ^b			68%, (80% brsm)	

^a Yield was also calculated based on remaining starting material (chalcone). ^b Reaction conditions were 60 h at 75 °C.

reaction selectivity (Table 2). Nevertheless, using a 50/50 mixture of THF and water resulted unexpectedly in the lowest conversion and yield. The introduction of water resulted in formation of benzoin compound (Table 2). It is noticeable that even if the organic reactants were insoluble as described for so-called “on-water” reactions²⁶ no substantial rate acceleration was observed in aqueous medium at 75 °C in this study.

Having some optimized conditions in hands, the scope of the reaction was then extended to various simple aldehyde precursors (Table 3). Under the model conditions (20 h at 75 °C in water as solvent), the reaction took place with moderate to good yields when aliphatic or aromatic aldehydes were used as biomolecules analogs. **9**, **10** and **11** lipidic aldehydes were tested as substrates and the longest carbon chain substrate led to the lowest yield. The use of hemiacetal **12** as a masked aldehyde and a very simple sugar surrogate proved also successful leading to the 1,4-diketone in a satisfactory 70% yield. Amino aldehyde-type substrates were also submitted to reaction, extending the

scope of the reaction to three families of biomolecules. Reaction on chiral substrates **13** and **14**, lead to the expected products without any significant stereoselectivity. Aromatic aldehydes **15** and **16** were also used. Pyrrole carboxaldehyde **16** provided the expected diketone **25** in 68% yield when the reaction was carried on for 60 hours (25% if performed at 75 °C during 20 h). The results obtained at 75 °C for 20 hours allowed to compare the reactivity with model substrate benzaldehyde **1**. The last example illustrated the fact that more complex substrates require an optimization of the reaction time to reach a better conversion when performed in water. These promising results clearly extend the scope of the Stetter reaction under aqueous conditions and demonstrate that it can be efficiently applied to more complex substrates than the benzaldehyde and chalcone models.

The effect of temperature onto the reaction rate and selectivity was also investigated. The reaction has been studied at 40 °C, a more compatible temperature for extension to biomolecules






Fig. 2 Temperature effect onto the compounds ratio and the reaction rate of Stetter reaction in water.

substrates. The ratios of aldehyde, Stetter adduct and benzoin were thoroughly monitored by ^1H NMR at 95 °C and 40 °C in water by carrying out different experiments which were stopped every 2 hours until completion (Fig. 2).

As expected, the reaction was quicker at higher temperature (completion after 7 h at 95 °C). At both temperatures we could observe a very fast decrease of the aldehyde concentration along with an increase of the benzoin adduct. Interestingly the diketone increase (blue line) seemed closely connected to benzoin decrease (red line). It is noticeable that if the Stetter 1,4-diketone product is the major product from the very beginning at 95 °C (15 min), it becomes major after more than 50 hours when the reaction is run at 40 °C.

Considering the two connected catalytic pathways (Scheme 1), several points should then be pointed out. Water may be seen as a proton shuttle^{10g} then the 1,2-proton transfer step in the catalytic cycle is certainly no more the limiting step. The generation of Breslow intermediate being then faster than in THF when water was the solvent, two hypotheses could explain the overall slower reaction when water replaced THF. Either the kinetics of the 1,4-addition onto the enone is slower in the presence of water or the generation of the Breslow intermediate from the retrobenzoin reaction (Scheme 1 red pathway) is slower. In order to solve this question and ultimately improve

the reaction efficiency at low temperatures, we decided to perform the reaction starting from benzoin as masked aldehyde by analogy with the elegant use of α',β -unsaturated aldehydes in NHC catalyzed reactions.²⁷ Benzoin was then used as starting material in our optimized reaction conditions both in THF and with water as solvent. The reaction was performed at 95 °C to be fast enough to allow NMR monitoring after 2 h, 6 h, 15 h and 24 h (Fig. 3).²⁸

As expected, the nature of the solvent affected considerably the reaction kinetics. In both solvents, the diketone formation (blue line) is closely related to the benzoin decrease (red line). Benzaldehyde (green line) is consumed as it is released. Nevertheless, the benzoin decrease (*i.e.* pathway including retrobenzoin reaction) is very slow and disfavored in aqueous medium. The retrobenzoin step, allowing the formation of the Breslow intermediate, therefore appears to be the rate-limiting step in aqueous medium. This could be explained by the presence of H-bonded stabilized transient solvated species C, impacting drastically B concentration and then Breslow intermediate formation rate (Scheme 2).

Unlike a reaction “in water” where reactants are dissolved, macroscopic observation of the reaction medium shows the non-solvability of reactants. We can observe a highly heterogeneous mixture and an emulsion appears when insoluble reactants are stirred. By analogy with transition state proposed in literature for “on water” catalytic reactions,²⁹ it can be assumed that organic reagents (azolium salts, enone, aldehyde) form hydrophobic droplets inside water (Fig. 4, see picture in ES[†]).

Hence, potential activation of aldehyde, by dangling OH groups on water surface, would make it even more electrophilic. An “on water” type chemistry process would then explain the increase in benzoin formation. Moreover, azolium 8, more lipophilic than its hydroxyl analogue 6, would then be inside the organic lipophilic phase, protected from hydrolysis and solvation, which would explain its better performance. This lipophilic phase of the reaction medium constitutes a non polar interior that could be seen as a surrogate of hydrophobic enzyme pocket in enzyme/coenzyme natural systems.

Considering the hypothesis of the transition state proposed in Scheme 2, we assessed that it should be possible to limit C formation by further increasing lipophilicity of azolium salt.^{10a} Such a compound would be less close to the interface with water and therefore less accessible for interfacial H bonding with water. Such conditions should consequently favor retrobenzoin step to regenerate Breslow intermediate, making it available to attack the enone and enhance subsequently diketone formation (Scheme 3).

Azolium 26 and azolium 27 analogues of the benzylated salt 8 were then synthesized³⁰ and their efficiency was compared to 8 (Table 4).

Interestingly, results highlighted that with azolium 27 ($R_1 =$ propyle *vs.* methyle for 8) the proportion of diketone was slightly increased. As expected, further increasing the lipophilicity of the azolium 26 in which the benzyl group in R_2 is replaced by a naphthyle, made the diketone even more favored. The observed results seem to point towards a compartmentalization of the lipophilic and hydrophilic environments. However, the







Fig. 3 Monitoring of Stetter reaction with benzoin as starting material in water and in THF.



Scheme 2 Catalytic cycle of Stetter reaction starting from benzoin 4 and involving retrobenzoin pathway.





Fig. 4 Proposed molecular arrangement for "on water" NHC-catalyzed Stetter reaction between benzaldehyde and chalcone.



Scheme 3 Retrobenzoin pathway in the organic droplets.

catalyst design still needs to be improved since the conversion with azolium 26 does not exceed 55%, probably because it is still solid at 75 °C. Moreover, the same reaction performed with inorganic bases such as NaOH or LiOH led to conversions that have never exceeded 25%. Rewardingly, the use of more lipophilic base $\text{Bu}_4\text{N}^+\text{OH}^-$ allowed a 45% conversion reaction in favor of diketone (44% yield). This drastic effect of the nature of the base argues for a reaction taking place in an organic droplet phase.

Table 4 Comparison of azoliums 8, 26 and 27 efficiency

Entry	Conditions ^a	Conversion ^b	Diketone 3/benzoin 4 ratio ^c
1	8, 75 °C, 20 h	90%	81/19
2	26, 75 °C, 20 h	58%	97/3
3	27, 75 °C, 20 h	84%	90/10

^a 1.5 equiv. of benzaldehyde in water (1.6 M) were added to 30 mol% of azolium salt, then 30 mol% of DBU was added and lastly 1 equiv. of chalcone. The reaction was heated for 20 h at 75 °C. ^b Conversion was calculated from the quantity of recovered chalcone limiting reagent.

^c Based on ^1H NMR crude spectra signals integrations.

Conclusion

In summary, a Stetter reaction occurring in aqueous medium has been reported for the first time. Similarly to previously reported enzymatic reactions³¹ the results reported in this contribution allow for an eco-friendly approach to bioinspired organocatalysis. A study based on the ratio of expected 1,4-diketone compound **3** *versus* benzoin side product **4** supports the hypothesis that, with water as unique solvent, the rate limiting step was no longer proton transfer but rather the retrobenzoin reaction. Also, benzoin as masked aldehyde was used for the first time and our study in both organic solvent and water highlighted that retrobenzoin kinetic in water was slower than in THF. Design and synthesis of the azolium salt were carried out to verify a possible compartmentalization of the reaction in aqueous conditions. Indeed, if organocatalytic reactions in water have been well reviewed³² NHC-catalyzed Stetter reaction, reported herein for the first time in aqueous medium, needs certainly further mechanistic study to elucidate the role of water onto the Breslow intermediate reactivity. A more in-depth structure-activity study of azolium will underway to identify and tune key catalysts features such as stability, efficiency and selectivity in water. The bio-inspired Stetter reaction's approach presents many important aspects of click-chemistry, including atom economy and the wide availability of starting materials. Even if extensively used in total synthesis, very few examples involved biomolecules. The biomolecules are most of the time insoluble or unstable in organic solvents. Hence, the development of this reaction in an aqueous environment would be of great interest to make the link between chemistry and biology, expanding meaningfully the toolbox of bio-conjugation chemistry.

Experimental

Synthesis of azolium salts

Compound 8.^{10c}

First step of a two-step sequence: synthesis of 5-methyl-4-[2-(phenylmethoxy)ethyl]-thiazole. To a suspension of NaH 60% (726 mg, 18.16 mmol) in mineral oil in anhydrous DMF (21 mL), at 0 °C, were added dropwise benzyl bromide (2.16 mL, 18.16 mmol) followed by a solution of 2-(4-methylthiazol-5-yl)ethanol (1.67 mL, 13.97 mmol) anhydrous DMF (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred during 16 h at room temperature and ethyl acetate (20 mL) was added. The organic layer was washed with sat. aq. NH₄Cl (3 × 10 mL), brine (3 × 10 mL) and water (3 × 10 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the benzylated product as a yellow oil (2.6 g, 80%). NMR data are consistent with literature.

R_f: 0.36 (pentane/EtOAc: 70/30).

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.46 (s, 1H), 7.33–7.17 (m, 5H), 4.44 (s, 2H), 3.56 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 2.95 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 150.0, 149.5, 138.4, 128.7, 128.4, 127.9, 127.9, 73.3, 70.3, 27.3, 15.3. IR (ATR) ν (cm⁻¹): 3024, 2922, 2858, 1597, 1496, 1453, 1361, 1096, 912, 737, 697.

Second step: 1,5-dimethyl-4-[2-(phenylmethoxy)ethyl]-thiazolium iodide 8. A solution of iodomethane (788 μL, 12.66 mmol) in anhydrous acetonitrile (2 mL) was added on first step resulting compound 4-methyl-5-[2-(phenylmethoxy)ethyl]-thiazole (3.11 g, 13.33 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C during 24 h then at room temperature during 12 h. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure, diethyl ether (10 mL) was added and the mixture thus obtained was triturated in order to remove impurities, affording the azolium **8** as a brown gum without any further purification (2.5 g, 53%).

R_f: 0.56 (pentane/EtOAc: 70/30). Mp: 89–91 °C.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 10.86 (s, 1H), 7.46–7.23 (m, 5H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 4.36 (s, 3H), 3.73 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 3.13 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 2.52 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 157.2, 142.2, 137.1, 135.1, 128.7, 128.2, 128.1, 73.6, 67.8, 41.7, 28.0, 12.8. IR (ATR) ν (cm⁻¹): 3436, 3027, 2924, 2863, 1593, 1452, 1362, 1097, 918, 735, 700. NMR spectra for compound **8** are reported in the ESI.

Compound 26

First step of a two-step sequence. To a suspension of NaH 60% (726 mg, 18.16 mmol) in mineral oil in anhydrous DMF (21 mL), at 0 °C, were added dropwise 2-bromo-methyl-naphthalene (4.01 g, 18.16 mmol) followed by a solution of 2-(4-methylthiazol-5-yl)ethanol (1.67 mL, 13.97 mmol) anhydrous DMF (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred during 16 h at room temperature and ethyl acetate (20 mL) was added. The organic layer was washed with sat. aq. NH₄Cl (3 × 10 mL), brine (3 × 10 mL) and water (3 × 10 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel (EP/AcOEt: 70/30 to 60/40) to provide the intermediate compound as a yellow oil (3.715 g, 72%).

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.58 (s, 1H), 7.87–7.79 (m, 3H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.52–7.41 (m, 3H), 4.70 (s, 2H), 4.21 (s, 3H), 3.70 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 3.08 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 149.5, 149.1, 135.4, 133.1, 132.8, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 126.1, 126.0, 125.5, 72.9, 69.9, 26.9, 14.8. HRMS (ESI) calculated for C₁₇H₁₇NOSNa⁺ [M + Na]⁺ 306.09231, found 306.09220.

Second step. A solution of iodomethane (775 μL, 12.45 mmol) in anhydrous acetonitrile (2 mL) was added on the compound obtained from the first step (3.715 g, 13.10 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C during 24 h then at room temperature during 12 h. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure, THF (10 mL) was added and the mixture thus obtained was triturated in order to remove impurities, affording the azolium **26** as a light brown powder without any further purification (4.1 g, 74%).

Mp: 111–112 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 10.92 (bs, 1H), 7.88–7.78 (m, 3H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.53–7.38 (m, 3H), 4.69 (s, 2H), 4.28 (s, 3H), 3.75 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 3.09 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 156.9, 142.1, 135.0, 134.6, 133.1, 132.9, 128.4, 127.9, 127.7, 126.8, 126.3, 126.2, 125.9, 73.5, 67.8, 41.6, 27.9, 12.7. IR (ATR) ν (cm⁻¹): 3431, 2990, 2945, 2861, 1667, 1598, 1481, 1334, 1273, 1098, 918, 860, 752. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₈H₂₀NOS⁺ [M⁺] 298.12601,



found 298.12560. NMR spectra for compound **26** are reported in the ESI.†

Compound 27. To a suspension of NaH 60% (726 mg, 18.16 mmol) in mineral oil in anhydrous DMF (21 mL), at 0 °C, were added dropwise benzyl bromide (2.16 mL, 18.16 mmol) followed by a solution of 2-(4-methylthiazol-5-yl)ethanol (1.67 mL, 13.97 mmol) anhydrous DMF (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred during 16 h at room temperature and ethyl acetate (20 mL) was added. The organic layer was washed with sat. aq. NH₄Cl (3 × 10 mL), brine (3 × 10 mL) and water (3 × 10 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the benzylated product as a yellow oil (2.6 g, 80%). NMR data are consistent with literature.

Second step. A solution of iodopropane (1.29 mL, 13 mmol) in anhydrous acetonitrile (2 mL) was added on the compound obtained from the first step (3.715 g, 13.10 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C during 24 h then at room temperature during 12 h. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure, THF (10 mL) was added and the mixture thus obtained was triturated in order to remove impurities, affording the azolium **27** as a light brown powder without any further purification (3.72 g, 71%).

Mp: 76–78 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 10.92 (s, 1H), 7.45–7.19 (m, 5H), 4.65 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 3.74 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 3.15 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 2.53 (s, 3H), 2.10–1.86 (m, 2H), 1.05 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 157.1, 141.5, 137.1, 135.6, 128.7, 128.2, 128.0, 73.6, 67.8, 55.1, 41.7, 28.1, 23.6, 12.7, 10.8. IR (ATR) ν (cm^{−1}): 3040, 2963, 2863, 1586, 1450, 1311, 1101, 1042, 745, 701. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₆H₂₂NOS⁺ [M⁺] 276.14221, found 276.14105. NMR spectra for compound **27** are reported in the ESI.†

General procedure for Stetter reaction between 1 and 2 in water. Thiazolium precatalyst salt (30 mol%) was dissolved in Milli-Q® water (0.6 mL) then distilled benzaldehyde **1** (122 μL, 1.2 mmol) and distilled DBU (45 μL, 0.3 mmol) were added. The solution colour changed from brown to black and chalcone **2** was then added (208 mg, 1 mmol). Reaction medium was then stirred at the selected temperature until completion. (Chalcone disappearance was monitored by TLC.) Concentration under reduced pressure afforded the crude product. It was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel (EP/AcOEt: 90/10) to provide compound **3** as a yellow oil and compound **4** as a white solid. Analytical data of both compounds were consistent with literature.³³

Compound 3. *R*_f: 0.41 (EP/AcOEt: 90/10). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.14–7.96 (m, 4H), 7.67–7.20 (m, 11H), 5.39 (dd, *J* = 9 Hz, 3 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (dd, *J* = 18 Hz, 9 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (dd, *J* = 18 Hz, 6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 199.1, 198.2, 138.9, 136.7, 133.5, 133.11, 129.4, 129.1, 128.8, 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 127.6, 48.9, 44.1. IR (ATR) ν (cm^{−1}): 2923, 1675, 1595, 1580, 1493, 1447, 1233, 759, 695. NMR spectra for compound **3** are reported in the ESI.†

Compound 4. *R*_f: 0.25 (pentane/AcOEt: 95/5). Mp: 131 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.92 (dd, *J* = 9 Hz, 3 Hz, 2H), 7.56–7.49 (m, 1H), 7.45–7.22 (m, 7H), 5.96 (d, *J* = 6 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, *J* = 6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 199.9, 139.9, 134.9, 134.4, 130.1, 129.6, 129.5, 128.7, 77.2. IR (ATR) ν

(cm^{−1}): 3396, 3061, 1679, 1595, 1579, 1492, 1449, 1068, 752, 695. NMR spectra for compound **4** are reported in the ESI.†

Synthesis of compounds 18–25

Compound 18. Synthesized according to the general procedure for Stetter reaction in water. Azolium salt **8** (80.9 mg, 30 mol%) was added to water (0.6 mL) in a Schlenk tube, then octanal **9** (203 μL, 1.2 mmol) was introduced and the distilled DBU (45 μL, 0.3 mmol), then chalcone (208 mg, 1 mmol). Reaction was stirred 20 hours at 75 °C. The crude was purified by flash chromatography over silica-gel (EP/AcOEt: 95/5) to provide product **18** as a colorless oil (301 mg, 89%).

*R*_f: 0.69 (EP/AcOEt: 95/5) ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.94 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.59–7.18 (m, 8H), 4.41 (dd, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (dd, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (dd, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H), 2.68–2.37 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.42 (m, 2H), 1.31–1.08 (m, 8H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 209.5, 198.3, 138.3, 136.6, 133.2, 129.1, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 127.6, 53.4, 42.4, 41.9, 31.7, 29.1, 29.0, 23.7, 22.7, 14.2. IR (ATR) ν (cm^{−1}): 2925, 2856, 1684, 1598, 1580, 1494, 1451, 753, 700. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₂₃H₂₉O₂⁺ [M + H]⁺ 337.21621, found 337.21631. NMR spectra for compound **18** are reported in the ESI.†

Compound 19. Synthesized according to the general procedure for Stetter reaction in water. Azolium salt **8** (113 mg, 30 mol%) was added to water (0.6 mL) in a Schlenk tube, then dodecyl aldehyde **10** (266 μL, 1.2 mmol) and the distilled DBU (45 μL, 0.3 mmol), then chalcone (208 mg, 1 mmol). Reaction was stirred 20 hours at 75 °C. The crude was purified by flash chromatography over silica-gel (EP/AcOEt: 95/5) to provide product **19** as a colorless oil (255 mg, 65%).

*R*_f: 0.55 (EP/AcOEt: 95/5) ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.93 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.57–7.20 (m, 8H), 4.41 (dd, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (dd, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H), 3.09 (dd, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H), 2.71–2.37 (m, 2H), 1.71–1.44 (m, 2H), 1.42–1.09 (m, 16H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 209.2, 198.0, 138.2, 136.5, 133.1, 129.0, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.5, 53.3, 42.4, 41.8, 31.9, 29.6, 29.4, 29.3, 29.0, 23.6, 22.7, 14.1. IR (ATR) ν (cm^{−1}): 2923, 2853, 1714, 1685, 1599, 1582, 1494, 1453, 752, 698, 690. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₂₇H₃₇O₂⁺ [M + H]⁺ 393.27881, found 393.27895. NMR spectra for compound **19** are reported in the ESI.†

Compound 20. Synthesized according to the general procedure for Stetter reaction in water. Azolium salt **8** (19.6 mg, 30 mol%) was added to water (0.15 mL) in a Schlenk tube, then *cis*-11-hexadecanal **11** (50 mg, 2.1 × 10^{−4} mol) and the distilled DBU (8 μL, 5.24 × 10^{−5} mol), then chalcone (36 mg, 1.74 × 10^{−4} mol). Reaction was stirred 20 hours at 75 °C. The crude was purified by flash chromatography over silica-gel (toluene/acetone: 99/1) to provide product **20** as a colourless oil (25 mg, 32%).

*R*_f: 0.32 (toluene/acetone: 99/1). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.03–7.86 (m, 2H), 7.63–7.04 (m, 8H), 5.44–5.26 (m, 2H), 4.44 (dd, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (dd, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (dd, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H), 2.71–2.37 (m, 2H), 2.12–1.88 (m, 4H), 1.69–1.42 (m, 2H), 1.40–0.98 (m, 16H), 0.96–

0.80 (m, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm): 209.6, 198.4, 138.3, 136.7, 133.3, 130.0, 130.0, 129.2, 128.7, 128.5, 128.2, 127.6, 53.4, 42.5, 42.0, 32.1, 29.6, 29.5, 29.5, 29.4, 29.1, 27.0, 22.5, 14.2. IR (ATR) ν (cm $^{-1}$): 2927, 2855, 1713, 1683, 1598, 1450, 1400, 1202, 753, 690. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{43}\text{O}_2^+$ [M + H] $^+$ 447.32576, found 447.32574. NMR spectra for compound 20 are reported in the ESI.†

Compound 21. Synthesized according to the general procedure for Stetter reaction in water. Azolium salt 8 (113 mg, 30 mol%) was added to water (0.6 mL) in a Schlenk tube, then tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-ol 12 (111 μL , 1.2 mmol) and the distilled DBU (45 μL , 0.3 mmol), then chalcone (208 mg, 1 mmol). Reaction was stirred 20 hours at 75 °C. The crude was purified by flash chromatography over silica-gel (CH_2Cl_2 /MeOH from 100/0 to 99/1) to provide product 21 as a yellow oil (216 mg, 70%).

R_f : 0.43 (CH_2Cl_2). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) 7.88 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.58–7.06 (m, 8H), 4.36 (dd, J = 3.6 Hz, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (dd, J = 10.5 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H), 3.44 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 3.07 (dd, J = 3.3 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (brs, 1H), 2.71–2.36 (m, 2H), 1.70–1.29 (m, 4H). ^{13}C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) 209.5, 198.2, 137.9, 136.3, 133.2, 129.0, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 127.5, 61.9, 53.1, 42.3, 41.2, 31.7, 19.6. IR (ATR) ν (cm $^{-1}$) 3402, 2935, 2864, 1712, 1681, 1598, 1581, 1494, 1450, 1073, 754, 696. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{21}\text{O}_3^+$ [M – H] $^+$ 309.14962, found 309.14921. NMR spectra for compound 21 are reported in the ESI.†

Compound 22. Synthesized according to the general procedure for Stetter reaction in water. Azolium salt 8 (57 mg, 0.15 mmol) was added to water (0.3 mL) in a Schlenk tube, then Boc-L-alaninal 13 (104 mg, 0.6 mmol) and the distilled DBU (22 μL , 0.15 mmol), then chalcone (104 mg, 0.5 mmol). Reaction was stirred 20 hours at 75 °C. The crude was purified by flash chromatography over silica-gel (CH_2Cl_2) to provide product 22 as a yellow oil (128 mg, 67%). A mixture of two diastereoisomers d1 and d2 (d.r. = 52 : 48) was obtained which were not separated.

R_f : 0.20 (EP/AcOEt: 90/10). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) 8.01–7.91 (m, 4H, d1 + d2), 7.64–7.51 (m, 2H, d1 + d2), 7.50–7.39 (m, 4H, d1 + d2), 7.39–7.22 (m, 10H, d1 + d2), 5.54 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, d2), 5.26 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, d1), 4.75–4.55 (m, 3H, d1 + d2), 4.52–4.38 (m, 3H, d1 or d2), 4.06 (dd, J = 3 Hz, 10.2 Hz, 1H, d2), 4.01 (dd, J = 3.2 Hz, 10.2 Hz, 1H, d1), 3.32 (dd, J = 3.6 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H, d2), 3.18 (dd, J = 3.6 Hz, 18.3 Hz, 1H, d1), 1.57 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, d2), 1.49 (s, 9H, d1), 1.41 (s, 9H, d2), 1.18 (d, J = 6 Hz, 3H, d1). ^{13}C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) 208.9 d2, 207.7 d1, 198.1 d2, 197.7 d1, 155.2 d2, 154.8 d1, 133.4, 129.4, 129.1, 128.6, 128.4, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.7, 79.6 d1 or d2, 79.4 d1 or d2, 54.7 d2, 54.0 d1, 49.8 d1, 49.4 d2, 44.1 d1, 42.5 d1, 30.3 d2, 29.7 d1, 28.4 d1, 28.4 d2, 18.6 d1, 17.4 d2. IR (ATR) ν (cm $^{-1}$) 3423, 2978, 2932, 1705, 1684, 1493, 1449, 1365, 1241, 1164, 1004, 753, 690. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{28}\text{NO}_4^+$ [M + H] $^+$ 382.20128, found 382.20113. NMR spectra for compound 22 are reported in the ESI.†

Compound 23. Synthesized according to the general procedure for Stetter reaction in water. Azolium salt 8 (57 mg, 0.15 mmol) was added to water (0.3 mL) in a Schlenk tube, then *N*-

Boc-D-phenylalaninal 14 (149 mg, 0.6 mmol) and the distilled DBU (22 μL , 0.15 mmol), then chalcone (104 mg, 0.5 mmol). Reaction was stirred 20 hours at 75 °C. The crude was purified by flash chromatography over silica-gel (pentane/EtOAc 95/5 to 90/10) to provide product 23 as a yellow oil (84 mg, 37%). A mixture of two diastereoisomers d1 and d2 (d.r. = 59 : 41) was obtained which were not separated.

R_f : 0.2 (EP/AcOEt: 95/5). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) 8.02–7.87 (m, 2H, d1 + d2), 7.63–6.89 (m, 13H, d1 + d2), 5.21 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1H, d1), 5.08 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1H, d2), 4.79–4.52 (m, 2H, d1 + d2), 4.08–3.86 (m, 1H, d1 + d2), 3.44–2.98 (m, 2.4H, d1 + d2), 2.76 (dd, J = 7.5 Hz, 13.8 Hz, 0.6H, d1) 1.54–1.12 (m, 9H, d1 + d2). ^{13}C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) 207.7, 206.9 (d1 and d2), 198.1, 197.7 (d1 and d2), 155.4, 154.8 (d1 and d2), 137.3, 137.1, 136.7, 136.5, 136.4, 133.4, 133.4, 129.8, 129.5, 129.3, 129.2, 128.7, 128.5, 128.2, 127.9, 127.7, 126.8, 126.4 (d1 and d2), 79.9, 79.5 (d1 and d2), 59.2, 58.7 (d1 and d2), 50.9, 50.0 (d1 and d2), 43.1, 42.4 (d1 and d2), 38.0, 36.7 (d1 and d2), 29.8, 28.4, 28.4, 28.0 (d1 and d2). IR (ATR) ν (cm $^{-1}$) 3379, 3063, 3030, 3005, 2977, 2929, 1706, 1684, 1599, 1582, 1494, 1452, 1392, 1366, 1333, 1286, 1245, 1206, 1164, 1076, 1054, 1004, 987, 946, 911, 860, 751, 732, 697, 648, 618, 558, 522. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{32}\text{NO}_4^+$ [M + H] $^+$ 458.23258, found 458.23274. NMR spectra for compound 23 are reported in the ESI.†

Compound 24. Synthesized according to the general procedure for Stetter reaction in water. Azolium salt 8 (57 mg, 0.15 mmol) was added to water (0.3 mL) in a Schlenk tube, then anisaldehyde 15 (73 mL, 0.6 mmol) and the distilled DBU (22 μL , 0.15 mmol), then chalcone (104 mg, 0.5 mmol). Reaction was stirred 20 hours at 75 °C. The crude was purified by flash chromatography over silica-gel (pentane/EtOAc 95/5 to 90/10) to provide product 24 as a pale yellow oil (49 mg, 30%) and traces of the corresponding benzoin.

R_f : 0.4 (pentane/AcOEt: 90/10). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm): 8.12–7.95 (m, 4H), 7.63–7.16 (m, 8H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.31 (dd, J = 3.6 Hz, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (dd, J = 9.9 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.29 (dd, J = 3.9 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm): 198.3, 197.5, 163.5, 139.3, 136.7, 133.3, 131.4, 129.5, 129.3, 128.7, 128.3, 127.4, 113.8, 55.5, 48.5, 43.9. IR (ATR) ν (cm $^{-1}$): 3063, 2965, 2921, 2842, 1666, 1600, 1575, 1494, 1450, 1249, 998, 843, 754, 701. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{21}\text{O}_3^+$ [M + H] $^+$ 345.14852, found 345.14835. NMR spectra for compound 24 are reported in the ESI.†

Compound 25. Synthesized according to the general procedure for Stetter reaction in water. Azolium salt 8 (57 mg, 0.15 mmol) was added to water (0.3 mL) in a Schlenk tube, then pyrrolecarboxaldehyde 16 (57 mg, 0.6 mmol), and the distilled DBU (22 μL , 0.15 mmol), then chalcone (104 mg, 0.5 mmol). Reaction was stirred 60 hours at 75 °C. The crude was purified by flash chromatography over silica-gel (pentane/EtOAc 85/15) to provide product 25 as a pale yellow oil (105 mg, 69%).

R_f : 0.25 (pentane/AcOEt: 85/15). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) 9.48 (bs, 1H), 8.04–7.96 (m, 2H), 7.61–7.21 (m, 8H), 7.07–7.02 (m, 1H), 6.97–6.92 (m, 1H), 6.28–6.21 (m, 1H), 5.06 (dd, J = 4.2 Hz, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (dd, J = 9.9 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (dd, J = 4.2 Hz, 18 Hz, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) 198.1, 189.0, 139.8, 136.7, 133.3, 131.3, 129.1, 128.7, 128.3,



128.2, 127.4, 124.8, 117.4, 111.0, 48.8, 42.9. IR (ATR) ν (cm⁻¹): 3278, 2922, 2849, 1683, 1631, 1596, 1400, 1371, 1240, 1112, 898, 745, 693. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₂₀H₁₈NO₂⁺ [M + H]⁺ 304.13321, found 304.13307. NMR spectra for compound 25 are reported in the ESI.†

Procedure for Stetter reaction on benzoin substrate

The benzoin compound 4 (1.2 mmol) and DBU (0.3 mmol) were added to a solution of the 8 (0.3 mmol) in 600 μ L of solvent. The brown solution became black. The chalcone 2 (1 mmol) was then added and the reaction was stirred at 95 °C during the corresponding time (see ESI†). After this period, the solvent was evaporated to provide the crude product. Ratios were measured onto ¹H NMR spectra of the reaction crude medium of each sample.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Mégane Debiais and Reihana Drain thank INSERM for Master training period fellowship. Aladin Hamoud thanks the MESRI for Ph. D grant. Dr Frédéric Robert and Dr Arnaud Gissot are warmly acknowledged for fruitful discussions. We thank CNRS, university of Bordeaux and INSERM for financial support.

References

- (a) M. Fontecave and V. Artero, *C. R. Chim.*, 2011, **14**, 362; (b) C. K. Prier and F. H. Arnold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 13992; (c) K. Tahara, L. Pan, T. Ono and Y. Hisaeda, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **14**, 2553; (d) M. Desage-El Murr, *ChemCatChem*, 2020, **12**, 53; (e) For selected recent exemples, see: ; K. Hanauer, C. Förster and K. Heinze, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, 3537; M. Largeron, P. Deschamps, K. Hammad and M.-B. Fleury, *Green Chem.*, 2020, **22**, 1894; Q. Yang, Y. Zhang, W. Zeng, Z.-C. Duan, X. Sang and D. Wang, *Green Chem.*, 2019, **21**, 5683.
- For selected recent reviews, see: (a) D. Kristofikova, V. Modrocka, M. Meciarova and R. Sebesta, *ChemSusChem*, 2020, **13**, 2828; (b) C. Jamieson and A. J. B. Watson, *Catal. Sustainability*, 2016, **47**; (c) V. da Gama Oliveira, M. F. do Carmo Cardoso and L. da Silva Magalhaes Forezi, *Catalysts*, 2018, **8**, 605.
- For selected reviews, see: (a) M. Raj and V. K. Singh, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 6687; (b) N. Mase and C. F. Barbas, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2010, **8**, 4043; (c) S. Bhowmick, A. Mondal, A. Ghosh, B. Ayndrila and K. C. Bhowmick, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2015, **26**, 1215; (d) K. C. Bhowmick and T. Chanda, *Green Techniques for Organic Synthesis and Medicinal Chemistry*, ed. Z. Wei and W. Cue Berkeley, 2nd edn, 2018, pp. 291–324; (e) H. Pelissier, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **22**, 323; (f) M. P. van der Helm, B. Klemm and R. Eelkema, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2019, **3**, 491.
- (a) D. Enders, O. Niemeier and A. Henseler, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 5606–5655; (b) C. D. Campbell, K. B. Ling and A. D. Smith, in *N-Heterocyclic Carbenes in Transition Metal Catalysis and Organocatalysis, Catalysis by Metal Complexes* 32, ed. C. S. J. Cazin, ©Springer Science+Business Media B.V., 2011, pp. 263–297; (c) M. Fèvre, J. Pinaud, Y. Gnanou, J. Vignolle and D. Taton, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 2142; (d) S. J. Ryan, L. D. Candish and W. Lupton, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 4906; (e) N-Heterocyclic Carbenes, in *Organocatalysis*, ed. A. T. Biju, 2019, ©Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA; (f) For recent reviews on NHC catalysis, see: ; X.-Y. Chen, Z.-H. Gao and S. Ye, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **53**, 690; S. Mondal, S. R. Yetra, S. Mukherjee and A. T. Biju, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 425; C. Zhang, J. F. Hooper and D. W. Lupton, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 2583; K. J. R. Murauski, A. A. Jaworski and K. A. Scheidt, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 1773; X.-Y. Chen, Q. Liu, P. Chauhan and D. Enders, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 3862; S. Mukherjee and A. T. Biju, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2018, **13**, 2333; D. M. Flanigan, F. Romanov-Michailidis, N. A. White and T. Rovis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 9307.
- (a) R. Breslow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 3719; (b) P. A. Frey in *Thiamine: Catalytic Mechanisms and Role in Normal and Disease States*, ed. F. Jordan and M. S. Patel, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2004, pp. 1–14.
- (a) R. Breslow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1957, **79**, 1762; (b) J. M. Duclos and P. Haake, *Biochemistry*, 1974, **13**, 5358; (c) M. K. Denk, J. M. Rodezno, S. Gupta and A. J. Lough, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2001, **617–618**, 242; (d) O. Holloczki, P. Terleczky, D. Szieberth, G. Mourgas, D. Gudat and L. Nyulászi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 780.
- P. Jain, V. R. Chaudhari and A. Kumar, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **21**, 24126.
- (a) T. L. Amyes, S. T. Diver, J. P. Richard, F. M. Rivas and K. Toth, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 4366; (b) E. M. Higgins, J. A. Sherwood, A. G. Lindsay, J. Armstrong, R. S. Massey, R. W. Alde and A. C. O'Donoghue, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 1559; (c) R. S. Massey, C. J. Collett, A. G. Lindsay, A. D. Smith and A. C. O'Donoghue, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 20421.
- (a) H. Stetter, R. Y. Raemsch and H. Kuhlmann, *Synthesis*, 1976, 733; (b) H. Stetter and H. Kuhlmann, *Org. React.*, 1991, **40**, 407.
- (a) K. Iwamoto, M. Hamaya, N. Hashimoto, H. Kimura, Y. Suzuki and M. Sato, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2006, **47**, 7175; (b) K. Iwamoto, M. Hamaya, N. Hashimoto, H. Kimura, M. Oike and M. Sato, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2008, **6**, 912; (c) H. Zhao, F. W. Jr. Foss and R. Breslow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 12590; (d) X. Bi, L. Wu, C. Yan, X. Jing and H. Zhu, *J. Chil. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **56**, 663; (e) C. Yao, D. Wang, J. Lu, B. Qin, H. Zhang, T. Li and C. Yu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 6162; (f) K. A. Hoop, D. C. Kennedy, T. Mishki, G. P. Lopinski and J. P. Pezacki, *Can. J. Chem.*, 2012, **90**, 262; (g) J. Yan, R. Sun, K. Shi, K. Li, L. Yang and G. Zhong, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **83**, 7547.
- For oxidative carbonylation see: M. Yoshida, Y. Katagiri, W. Zhu and K. Shishido, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2009, **7**, 4062.



12 For asymmetric hydration see: H. U. Vora and T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 2860.

13 X. Li, K. Liu, X. Xu, L. Ma, H. Wang, D. Jiang, Q. Zhang and C. Lu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 7860.

14 E. G. Delany, C.-L. Fagan, S. Gundala, K. Zeitler and S. J. Connolly, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 6513.

15 W. W. Y. Leong, X. Chen and Y. R. Chi, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 1505.

16 P. Suresh, S. Thamotharan and S. S. Ganesan, *Catal. Commun.*, 2018, **111**, 47.

17 (a) H. Stetter, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1976, **15**, 639; (b) S. Mizuhara and L. Handler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1954, **76**, 571; (c) For review see: ; M. Gravel and J.-L. Holmes, *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis II*, vol. 4, 2014, pp. 1384–1406; S. R. Yetra, A. Patra and A. T. Biju, *Synthesis*, 2015, **47**, 1357.

18 (a) H. Stetter and H. Kuhlmann, *Synthesis*, 1975, 379; (b) B. M. Trost, C. D. Shuey, F. Dinianno and S. S. McElvain, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1979, **101**, 1284; (c) K. L. Baumann, D. E. Butler, C. F. Deering, K. E. Mennen, A. Millar, T. N. Nanninga, C. W. Palmer and B. D. Roth, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, **33**, 2283; (d) P. E. Harrington and M. A. Tius, *Org. Lett.*, 1999, **1**, 649; (e) P. E. Harrington and M. A. Tius, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 8509; (f) C. C. Galopin, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 5589; (g) S. Randl and S. Blechert, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, **68**, 8879; (h) S. Anjaiah, S. Chandrasekhar and R. Grée, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2004, **346**, 1329; (i) K. C. Nicolaou, A. Li and D. J. Edmonds, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 7086; (j) K. C. Nicolaou, Y. F. Tang and J. H. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 1922; (k) J. Xu, E. J. E. Caro-Diaz and E. A. Theodorakis, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 3708; (l) S. Sommerwerk, S. Kern, L. Heller and R. Csuk, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 6243.

19 M. Raj and V. K. Singh, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 6687.

20 (a) J. Labarre-Lainé, R. Beniazza, V. Desvergne and Y. Landais, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 4706; (b) J. Labarre-Lainé, I. Perinan, V. Desvergne and Y. Landais, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 9336; (c) A. Hamoud, P. Barthélémy and V. Desvergne, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2018, **16**, 1760.

21 Imidazolium and triazolium salts have been unsuccessfully tested.

22 M. M. Myers, A. R. Bharadwaj, B. C. Milgram and K. A. Scheidt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 14675.

23 THF was used after being dried according the following procedure: D. B. G. Williams and M. Lawton, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 8351.

24 K. Yamashita, T. Osaki, H. Yokota, M. Nango and K. Tsuda, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 1994, **32**, 1711.

25 A drawback of organocatalysis is the usually high catalysts loading needed compared to transition metal catalyzed processes. Reaction were also performed using 15 and 20 mol% of thiazolium salt and 30 mol% were found to provide the best yields. (see additional information in ES†).

26 (a) D. C. Rideout and R. Breslow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 7816; (b) S. Narayan, J. Muldoon, M. G. Finn, V. V. Folk, H. C. Kolb and K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 3275; (c) M. C. Pirrung, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 1312.

27 (a) P.-C. Chiang, M. Rommel and J. W. Bode, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 8714; (b) P.-C. Chiang, Y. Kim and J. W. Bode, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4566.

28 Compounds ratios were calculated using ¹H NMR crude spectra signals integrations.

29 (a) Y. Jung and R. A. Marcus, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**(17), 5492; (b) A. Chanda and V. V. Fokin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 725; (c) S. Mellouli, L. BousekGINE, A. B. Theberge and W. T. S. Huck, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 7981; (d) J. Han, J.-L. Zhang, W.-Q. Zhang, Z. Gao, L.-W. Xu and Y. Jian, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **84**, 7642.

30 M. Debiais, A. Hamoud, P. Barthélémy and V. Desvergne, French patent FR 19 09789. International extension 4/09/2020: PCT/EP2020/074787.

31 (a) M. Beigi, S. Waltzer and M. Zarei, *J. Biotechnol.*, 2014, **191**, 64; (b) M. Müller, G. A. Sprenger and M. Pohl, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2013, **17**, 261.

32 (a) Y. Hayashi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 8103; (b) S. Kobayashi, Water in Organic Synthesis, in *Science of Synthesis*, Thieme, Stuttgart, Workbench edn, 2012; (c) R. N. Butler and A. G. Coyne, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 9945 and references cited herein.

33 V. K. R. Garapati and M. Gravel, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 6372.

