RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 43334

Received 25th September 2020 Accepted 24th November 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra08203a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Rib shaped carbon catalyst derived from Zea mays L. cob for ketalization of glycerol⁺

Jaspreet Kaur, 📴 a Anil Kumar Sarma, 💷 * b Mithilesh Kumar Jha and Poonam Gerab

In the present work, the activated carbon was prepared from agricultural waste by an activation method using sodium hydroxide as an activating agent. The prepared AC-CC has been characterized by N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA-DTA), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and temperature-programmed desorption (TPD). The porous carbon was thus obtained with a specific surface area of 13.901 m² g^{-1} and a total pore volume of 0.011 cm³ g^{-1} . The catalytic activity of the activated carbon has been studied for the ketalization of glycerol and provides maximum glycerol conversion of 72.12% under optimum conditions. The activity of the AC-CC also did not change appreciably for three consecutive batch reaction sequences. The spent catalyst was further analysed for elemental composition using XPS and surface morphology was studied using SEM. There was little deformation in the structure although the percentage of carbon remains almost same (~72%) as that of the original catalyst, which contributes to the reduction of conversion efficiency of alvcerol to solketal by 5% in the 3rd consecutive reaction. Thus, AC-CC obtained from Zea mays L. cob could be a very promising renewable catalyst for glycerol conversion into solketal as a fuel-additive.

Increasing unreliability of liquid fuel production and supply, detrimental environmental issues, high petroleum prices, and increased consumption necessitate the search for an alternative liquid fuel rather than diesel.¹ Biodiesel is one such potential biofuel derived from renewable triglycerides.² Biodiesel is a technically advantageous fuel as compared to the conventional diesel fuel as it is safer to use, gives better performance, is non-toxic, and lowers the negative impact on the environment due to its renewable and biodegradable nature. Commercially, biodiesel is produced from the transesterification reaction between triglyceride and an alcohol, generally methanol, sometimes ethanol, in the presence of acidic or basic catalysts, which gives biodiesel and glycerol as co-product.3

Glycerol is the main by-product obtained from the biodiesel industry, though it can be produced from saponification and hydrolysis. As the growth of the biodiesel industry increases with the increasing demand for transportation fuels, so the amount of glycerol increases rapidly.⁴ The global production of glycerol is expected to reach 5.2 million tons by 2020.5 Thus, this abundance of glycerol needs to be treated in order to improve

the economic viability of the biodiesel industry. Hence, today researchers focus is on developing techniques for converting glycerol into numerous useful products to deal with the oversupply of glycerol. Although various techniques have been effectively developed for glycerol transformation, still research is ongoing for the development of new innovative processes or pathways for the conversion of glycerol to value-added products. Various processes for the transformation of glycerol into valuable products reported to date are reforming, cyclization, dehydration, esterification, etherification, oligomerization, hydrogenolysis, biochemical conversion etc.6 These processes for the conversion of glycerol involve various catalysts which may be alkaline, acidic, or enzymatic. The conversion of glycerol depends upon the availability of the raw material for the preparation, cost-effectiveness, and activity of the catalyst.7 The choice in the selection of the catalyst for a process to convert glycerol is of great importance as it affects the conversion of glycerol and selectivity of product.8 The progress of each process depends upon the nature of the catalyst, *i.e.* whether it is acidic/ basic or homogeneous/heterogeneous. Heterogeneous catalysts have been proved to have good catalytic activity and their separation is cost-effective and easy. Agricultural waste-derived catalysts seem to be a promising option for research and developing new catalytic conversion routes. These are more effective as compared to other catalysts because of their surface area, pore-volume, and acid density.1

Zea mays L. (corn or maize) an annual grass of the Maydeae family of the genesis Gramineae, is a common agro-waste

^aDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Dr B R Ambedkar NIT Jalandhar, Punjab, India ^bSardar Swaran Singh National Institute of Bio Energy Kapurthala, Punjab, India. E-mail: anil.sarma16@gov.in; Tel: +911822507414

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra08203a

Paper

produced in Punjab, India. In Punjab, the total production of corn is 450 000 tonnes (3835 kg per hectare) in 116, 000 hectare area till 2017. This production reaches to 3697 kg per hectare in 165 000 hectares by 2018. About 0.15-0.18 kg of cob is produced as waste from per kg of corn. This implies that 554-665 kg per hectare of (Zea mays L. cob) corn cob was produced as waste in Punjab till 2018. The worldwide potential of Zea mays L cob (corncob) is ~150MMT per annum is huge although very insignificant contribution as a direct fuel source.9,10 Thus, preparing a renewable catalyst from corn cob provides a green opportunity for researchers in the research field. The ketalisation reaction is a reversible reaction and exothermic in nature.11 Water is also produced during the reaction process, which is a hinderance to the glycerol conversion. Removing water from the reaction mixture is a tedious and expensive process, and hence the main focused was on the development of hydrophobic acid catalysts such as zirconium organophosphonate¹² or porous activated carbon.

In the present study, the main focus is on the development of a catalyst using corn cob for effective biomass utilization and providing a cleaner pathway for the production of solketal from glycerol *via* ketalization reaction. The ketalization is the reaction of the glycerol with acetone in the presence of acid agents as catalysts for the formation of two ketal species, a fivemembered ring and another six-membered ring,⁵ both these are extensively used as cetane improver and diesel additives.¹³ This is the first-ever report of complete structural characterization of a corncob based activated carbon and to study its effectiveness for solketal production.

Materials and methods

The catalyst

Catalyst is prepared using agro-waste, *i.e.* corn cob. The corn cob used for the preparation of the catalyst was collected from the local mandi of the Kapurthala, Punjab, India. The final product is stored in an airtight bottle which is our required activated carbon catalyst prepared using corn cob for the glycerol conversion and is labelled as AC-CC. Details crucible used for preparation of AC-CC and process have been elucidated in ESI Experimental Section-Ia.[†]

The reaction

Glycerol (Sigma Aldrich – 99% purity), Methanol (Sigma aldirch – 99.5% purity), Acetone (Sigma Aldrich – 99.5% purity) is used as available commercially procured from the Merck Specialists Limited, Mumbai in the chemical conversion division of Sardar Swaran Singh National Institute of Bio-energy, Kapurthala, India. The schematic representation of the glycerol conversion reaction is shown in the Fig. 1.

The reaction procedure in detail has been presented in the ESI Experimental Section Ib.[†]

Catalyst characterisation

To know about the structural properties of the AC-CC, it was characterized using various techniques such as Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), Xray diffraction (XRD), surface area analysis (BET), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM), temperature programmed desorption (TPD).

FTIR experiments were conducted to know about the presence of functional groups. They were interpreted using a PE IR SUBTECH SPECTRUM ASCII PEDS 4.00 infrared spectrometer with a resolution of 1 cm⁻¹. The materials were mixed with KBr powder and scanned in a range from 4000 cm⁻¹ to 400 cm⁻¹ in the form of the pellet.

The Thermal analysis of the carbonisation behavior of the AC-CC was performed by a simultaneous TG/DTA *i.e.* DTA with the proven capabilities of the TG measurement capabilities, providing thermal property information for a variety of samples. This was done using a SII 6300 EXSTAR thermal analyzer. Samples were heated in the temperature range from 35 °C to 900 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C per minute in the air.

Nitrogen adsorption-desorption measurement was carried out using a BET surface area analyzer by St 2 on the NOVA touch 2LX instrument. The samples were degassed for 3 hours at 180 °C on the degassing port. The linear part of the BET equation was used for determination of the specific surface area.

The wide-angle X-ray diffraction pattern of the AC-CC was observed on Bruker XRD diffractometer using Cu-K α radiation with wavelength of 1.54 and F as a filter. The scanning angle (2 θ) range was kept from 10° to 80°.



Fig. 1 Ketalisation reaction for glycerol valorisation.









Fig. 4 SEM images of the derived AC-CC (rib shaped).

Table 1	Elemental	analysis
---------	-----------	----------

Elemental analysis of the activated carbon Wt% of the elements					
W1% 01 110	e elements				
С	0	Na	S	Si	
78.51	15.01	5.80	0.44	0.24	



Fig. 5 TEM images of the prepared activated carbon.

Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) and elemental analysis of the activated carbon was performed using Nova Nano FE-SEM 450 (FEI) coupled with EDX analyzer provides an ultra high resolution characterization with an accelerating voltage of 15.0 kV.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM) study was done with a TEM TECNAI G2 20 S-TWIN (FEI) electron microscope. A drop of the powdered sample is dispersed into ethanol and then dropped onto carbon-coated copper grid. The high resolution of the analyzer is provided with voltage of 200 kV.

The presence of surface groups such as Carbon, Sulphur, Oxygen, Silicon, Sodium were identified and confirmed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) using PHI 5000 VersaProbe III model.

The acidity of the catalyst surfaces was studied using Temperature program desorption (TPD) and performed using BEL's new fully-automated catalyst analyzer (BELCAT II) with NH₃ as investigating molecule and He as a carrier gas. The desorption of NH₃ was performed after flushing using carrier gas up to temperature 450 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹.

Results and discussions

The probable structure of the catalyst unit has been drawn using the ChemDraw software from the composition obtained from SEM-EDX analysis. The Unit structure is shown in Fig. 2(a) while the agglomerated structure of the catalyst is shown in Fig. 2(b).

Features of the catalyst

The surface groups in the AC-CC produced were characterized and identified using different techniques before using it for the ketalization reaction. The XRD patterns of the AC-CC demonstrate the mixed nature of the activated carbon as shown in Fig. 3. The sample showed the broad bands (2θ) between $20-30^{\circ}$ and $40-50^{\circ}$ corresponding to mixed nature carbon (amorphous and crystalline), which is useful for catalytic reaction.¹⁴ The peak (2θ) at 28.9° shows the amorphous nature of the AC-CC.



Fig. 6 FTIR patterns of the activated carbon.

Activated carbon source	Specific surface area – $A_{\rm BET}$ (m ² g ⁻¹)	Pore volume – $V_{\rm p}$ (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	Pore radius – <i>r</i> (nm)
Corn cob	13.901	0.011	1.223

The Scanning electron micrographs of the AC-CC derived from corn cob are as shown in Fig. 4. It can be observed from the pictures that a rib shaped structure of the activated carbon is developed having a smooth surface, random pore size distribution, and a highly porous surface. Microstructural evaluation and elemental composition were analysed using scanning electron microscopy and energy-dispersive analysis (SEM-EDX). Elemental analysis of the sample showed the presence of carbon, oxygen, sodium, sulfur, and silicon. Carbon is present in the highest amount displaying carbonization of the sample¹⁵ (Table 1). The presence of sodium is due to the activation of the carbonized material with NaOH.

TEM analysis was also performed and TEM micrographs are as shown in Fig. 5. The images revealed a complete porous carbon structure even at higher magnification. The existence of the pores structure of the catalyst is assigned to the NaOH activation adopted during the synthesis of the AC-CC catalyst.^{16,17} The sizes and uniformity envisage that the glycerol molecule with a size of 0.5–0.6 nm, that can easily fit and escape from these pores which facilitates the group transfer and cyclization reactions.



Fig. 7 (a) N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherm of activated carbon (b) pore size distribution.



Fig. 8 TG-DTA curve of the prepared catalyst.

Paper



FT-IR experiments were conducted on the activated carbon that shows a broad peak at 3439.90 cm^{-1} for stretching of –OH bond of alcohol¹⁸ (Fig. 6). The 2925.72 cm⁻¹ peak shows the aliphatic C–H stretching vibration.¹⁹ The peak at 2856.25 cm⁻¹ shows the vibration of the –OH group attached to ring carbon. There is a broad peak at 1607.50 cm⁻¹, which shows the

stretching of the C=C due to the influenced functionalities. The peaks at 1457.57 cm⁻¹, 1381.38 cm⁻¹, and 1084.04 cm⁻¹ shows the C-C stretching vibration of the chain hydrocarbon parts due to different structural influences, C-H stretching vibration in alkanes or an alkyl group and C-S group, respectively. The peak at 779.62 cm⁻¹ shows the absorption of the SiO₂. 548 cm⁻¹ peak shows the C-H stretching of aromatic compounds.²⁰ The functional groups represent the chemically active components of the catalyst that accelerates the rate of the reaction.

The values of specific surface area calculated using the BET equation are presented in Table 2 together with the values of the total pore volume, $V_{\rm p}$, taken at $P/P_{\rm o} = 0.9916$, and average pore radius pore size distribution curves for AC-CC as have been obtained from BJH calculation method.²¹ The adsorption-desorption isotherms of the AC-CC derived from corn cob can be classified as type IV according to IUPAC classification (Fig. 7). The isotherms exhibit hysteresis loop of Type I, reveals that the initial loop indicating the monolayer adsorption and the second loop shows the desorption. The isotherm shows the transition of micropores to mesopores after the activation of the carbon.

The thermal behaviour of the AC-CC (TG and DTA) was observed in the temperature range of 35 $^{\circ}$ C to 900 $^{\circ}$ C. TG and



Fig. 10 Deconvolution of the peaks (a) C, (b) Na, (c) Si and (d) S.

8

Group	Carbon (C)	Oxygen (O)	Sodium (Na)	Silicon (Si)	Sulphur (S)
Weight (%)	72.11	23.40	4.23	2.26	0.03



DTA curves show the weight loss from 27-930 °C as shown in Fig. 8. The weight loss at the initial stage up to 100 °C shows the removal of moisture. Volatilization occurs at about 150 °C. The produced carbon is stable between 200 to 600 °C which is also known as the carbonization zone. The weight loss at 600-700 °C shows the decomposition of the produced carbon and the weight loss at 800-900 °C shows the decomposition of the organic components present in the AC-CC. After 600 °C, the fusion of the carbon occurs till 800 °C and 800–900 °C is the ash fusion temperature.

In the present work, acidic sites of the catalyst were determined by NH₃-TPD as these are the active sites responsible for the conversion of glycerol to solketal at modest temperature.²² The NH₃-TPD was carried out in the temperature range of 50450 °C. The catalyst has acidic sites of weak strength with a desorption peak of NH3 at 171.5 °C. The total acidic amount of 0.442 mmol g^{-1} was observed for the acidic site of the prepared catalyst (Fig. 9).

The surface chemistry has been studied by using XPS and concluded the presence of groups attached in the catalyst structure23 i.e. sulfur, sodium, silicon, carbon, and oxygen (Fig. 10). The XPS results are also in agreement with the FTIR data and the structure of the AC-CC is confirmed (Table 3).

Activity and reusability of the catalyst

The catalytic activity was studied for the ketalisation reaction i.e. conversion of glycerol to solketal using AC-CC obtained from corn cob. Under optimum conditions i.e. molar ratio of 1:8:8 (glycerol : acetone : methanol), time - 1 hour, temperature -50 °C, catalyst amount - 5 wt% (w.r.t. glycerol), the reaction catalyzed by the AC-CC resulted in maximum glycerol conversion of 72.12% (ESI Section-2[†]). Glycerol and acetone are the reactants for the reaction while methanol is used as a solvent. Methanol is used in excess so as to enhance the conversion of glycerol into solketal because using methanol does not have any significant effect on the reaction kinetics.24

In order to check the stability of the catalyst and effectiveness of the active sites of the catalyst, a reusability study was carried out. The catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture after the completion of the reaction by filtering the reaction mixture using filter paper. It was then washed with ethanol 3-4 times and then dried in an oven at a temperature of



Fig. 12 SEM images of spent catalyst.

Group	Carbon (C)	Oxygen (O)	Sodium (Na)	Silicon (Si)	Sulphur (S)
Weight (%)	72.83	22.32	2.20	0.52	0.10



Fig. 13 Deconvolution of peaks (a) C, (b) Na and (c) Si.

90 °C. The study showed that the catalyst can be reused up to 3 times without much loss of the activity (<5%) (Fig. 11).

The spent catalyst was further studied for surface morphology and surface chemistry after the 3rd consecutive batch reaction to spell out the reasons for the deactivation of the catalyst.

The SEM images of the spent catalyst are shown in Fig. 12. It can be observed from the pictures that some pores get clogged which is not due to the any reactant or product deposition. The structures of the spent catalyst were partially deformed due to the mechanical effects of stirring of the reaction mixture during the course of reaction. Thus, the activity of the spent catalyst gets reduced by a maximum of 5% only. Microstructural evaluation and elemental composition were also analysed using SEM and SEM-EDX. Elemental analysis of the sample showed the presence of carbon, oxygen, sodium identical to the original sample. The XPS was performed to identify the surface chemistry of the groups attached in the structure of the spent catalyst. The peaks observed from the XPS showed the presence of carbon, sodium, silicon and oxygen in the catalyst. Carbon content is almost similar amount to the fresh catalyst showing peaks of same intensity, hence there is not much difference between the activity of fresh and spent catalyst. The presence of sodium, silicon and oxygen in lesser amount are as shown in Table 4 with reduced intensity of the peaks as shown in the Fig. 13(a, b and c). This may be due to the deactivation of few of the active sites in the spent catalyst and deformation effect of stirring.

Conclusions

Value-added activated carbon can be produced from Zea mays L. cob by activation method using NaOH as an activating

RSC Advances

agent. The catalytic activity is promising as \sim 72% conversion with reusability without substantial loss of the activity for three subsequent reactions that vitalizes the rib-shaped corn cobbased AC-CC for its uniqueness. After completion of the process optimization, we are hopeful to deliver a cost-effective product and process for ketalization of crude glycerol obtained from the biodiesel Industry.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the National Institute of Technology, Jalandhar funded by MHRD (Ministry of Human Resource Development), India. The first author acknowledges SSS-NIBE, Kapurthala for their infrastructural and analytical support for carrying out this work.

References

- 1 C. Carraretto, A. Macor, A. Mirandola, A. Stoppato and S. Tonon, *Energy*, 2004, **29**, 2195–2211.
- 2 E. M. Sánchez Faba, G. O. Ferrero, J. M. Dias and G. A. Eimer, *Catalysts*, 2019, **9**, 1–14.
- 3 J. Kaur, A. K. Sarma, M. K. Jha and P. Gera, *Biotechnology Reports*, 2020, **27**, e00487.
- 4 C. A. G. Quispe, C. J. R. Coronado and J. A. Carvalho, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2013, **27**, 475–493.
- 5 M. N. Moreira, R. P. V. Faria, A. M. Ribeiro and A. E. Rodrigues, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **58**, 17746–17759.
- 6 C. Len and R. Luque, *Sustainable Chem. Processes*, 2014, **2**, 1–10.
- 7 O. Muraza, Front. Chem., 2019, 7, 1-11.
- 8 M. K. Aroua and P. Cognet, Front. Chem., 2020, 8, 1-2.
- 9 Y. Zhang, A. E. Ghaly and B. Li, *Am. J. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2012, **8**, 10.

- 10 M. Kapoor, D. Panwar and G. S. Kaira, *Bioprocesses for Enzyme Production Using Agro-Industrial Wastes: Technical Challenges and Commercialization Potential*, Elsevier Inc., 2016.
- 11 X. Li, Y. Jiang, R. Zhou and Z. Hou, *Appl. Clay Sci.*, 2019, **174**, 120–126.
- 12 X. Li, Y. Jiang, R. Zhou and Z. Hou, *Appl. Clay Sci.*, 2020, **189**, 105555.
- 13 D. Royon, S. Locatelli and E. E. Gonzo, *J. Supercrit. Fluids*, 2011, **58**, 88–92.
- 14 R. A. Arancon, H. R. Barros, A. M. Balu, C. Vargas and R. Luque, *Green Chem.*, 2011, 13, 3162–3167.
- 15 O. Solomon, B. Emmanuel, S. Owojuyigbe, M. Abiodun,
 B. Folasayo and E. Folaranmi, *Appl. Water Sci.*, 2017, 7, 3561–3571.
- 16 D. Momodu, M. Madito, F. Barzegar, A. Bello, A. Khaleed, O. Olaniyan, J. Dangbegnon and N. Manyala, *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, 2017, 21, 859–872.
- 17 P. Kumar, M. Aslam, N. Singh, S. Mittal, A. Bansal, M. K. Jha and A. K. Sarma, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 9946–9954.
- 18 Z. Liu, Y. Sun, X. Xu, X. Meng, J. Qu, Z. Wang, C. Liu and B. Qu, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2020, **306**, 123154.
- 19 A. I. Anukam, B. P. Goso, O. O. Okoh and S. N. Mamphweli, *J. Chem.*, 2017, 6478389.
- 20 W. T. Tsai, C. Y. Chang, S. Y. Wang and C. F. Chang, *Resour., Conserv. Recycl.*, 2001, **32**, 43–53.
- 21 J. Bedia, M. Peñas-Garzón, A. Gómez-Avilés, J. Rodriguez and C. Belver, *Carbon*, 2018, 4, 63.
- 22 M. Zbair, S. Ojala, H. Khallok, K. Ainassaari, Z. El Assal, Z. Hatim, R. L. Keiski, M. Bensitel and R. Brahmi, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2019, 26, 32589–32599.
- 23 R. Ruiz-Rosas, F. J. García-Mateos, M. del C. Gutiérrez, J. Rodríguez-Mirasol and T. Cordero, *Front. Mater.*, 2019, 6, 1–14.
- 24 M. N. Moreira, R. P. V. Faria, A. M. Ribeiro and A. E. Rodrigues, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **58**, 17746–17759.