RSC Advances



PAPER

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 38672

Bifunctional thiosquaramide catalyzed asymmetric reduction of dihydro- β -carbolines and enantioselective synthesis of (—)-coerulescine and (—)-horsfiline by oxidative rearrangement†

Tetrahydro- β -carboline (THBC) is a tricyclic ring system that can be found in a large number of bioactive alkaloids. Herein, we report a simple and efficient method for the synthesis of enantiopure THBCs through a chiral thiosquaramide (11b) catalyzed imine reduction of dihydro- β -carbolines (17a-f). The *in situ* generated Pd-H employed as hydride source in the reaction of differently substituted chiral THBCs (18a-f) afforded high selectivities (R isomers, up to 96% ee) and good isolated yields (up to 88%). Moreover, the chiral thiosquaramide used also afforded exceptional catalyst activity in the syntheses of (-)-coerulescine (5) and (-)-horsfiline (6) with excellent enantioselectivities up to 98% and 93% ee, respectively, *via* an enantioselective oxidative rearrangement approach.

Received 8th September 2020 Accepted 15th October 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra07705d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Reduction of the C=N bond in cyclic systems is a fundamental and important reaction in the area of modern organic synthesis.1 Moreover, asymmetric reduction of the C=N bond promoted by organocatalysts is a very valuable aspect in organic synthesis.2 Generally, transition stereoselective complexes with chiral bulky ligands are used for this type of transformation3 and a few protocols are known in biocatalysis, 2c,4 as well as for chiral auxiliary assisted reduction of imines.5 The preparation of enantioselective secondary amines, important chiral drug molecules and/or asymmetric reduction of imines is an important topic in academic research as well as from an industrial point of view.6 Nowadays, widespread development has been witnessed in the asymmetric synthesis of tetrahydro-β-carboline (THBC) skeletons.⁷ Furthermore, there is a constant search in the development of new approaches for asymmetric synthesis using chiral organocatalysis. Because the unique tricyclic system, THBCs play key role in their bioactivities,8 for example: reserpine4a,9 is an indole alkaloid which is an alternative drug for treating hypertension. The LY23728 $(1)^{3d,10}$ a simple THBC has been reported as first 5-HT_{2B}-selective antagonist and harmicine^{4a,11} (2) is a rare tetracyclic pyrrolidine

Another synthon afforded by THBCs are spirooxindoles, which show unique spiro-cyclic frameworks at the C3-position of the oxindole core.¹⁵ The spirooxindole derivatives are playing important role in the recent drug discovery.¹⁶ The structurally rigid spiro-cyclic system may be a reason for the good affinity towards three-dimensional proteins to exhibit

Fig. 1 Representative examples of bioactive THBCs (1-4) and some natural spirooxindoles (5-8).

framework with strong antileishmania activity. Few other THBCs are competitive selective inhibitors of the monoamine oxidase type A (MAO-A) enzyme¹² and also potent reuptake inhibitors of serotonin and epinephrine with greater selectivity for serotonin.¹² Not only because of their bioactivity, THBCs are valuable intermediates in the production of potential drug candidates. For example, the chiral THBCs like **18c** and **18f** are key intermediates for the synthesis of active quinolactacin B¹³ (3) and the potent PDE5 inhibitor like pyrroloquinolone RWJ387273 (4),¹⁴ respectively (Fig. 1).

MeO OMe

LY23728 (1) Harmicine (2) R-Quinolactacin B (3) RWJ387273 (4)

R = H, Coerulescine (5) Require (7) Rhynchophylline (8)

^aLaboratory of Asymmetric Synthesis, Chemistry Institute of Natural Resources, Universidad de Talca, Casilla 747, 3460000 Talca, Chile. E-mail: lssantos@utalca.cl ^bNúcleo Científico Multidisciplinario-DI, Universidad de Talca, Casilla 747, 3460000 Talca, Chile

Instituto de Ciencias Químicas Aplicadas, Universidad Autónoma de Chile, Talca 3467987, Chile

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra07705d

Fig. 2 Few known chiral squaramides and thiosquaramides (9-11) and the organocatalysts employed in this work (11a-d).

Scheme 1 Synthesis of chiral thiosquaramide (11a-d).

Scheme 2 Synthesis of (a) DHBCs 17a-f and (b) N-methyl THBCs 20a-b.

bioactivities. $^{15,17-23}$ For example, the (–)-horsfiline (6) is used as an intoxicating snuff, 24 spirotryprostatin A^{25} inhibits G_2M progression of mammalian tsFT210 cells, rhynchophylline (8) shows potency against various cancer cell lines, 26 corynoxine and corynoxine B show prominent activity in preventing or treating Parkinson's disease. 27 These bioactivities of spirooxindole derivatives have augmented attention in organic chemists from academia as well as industry to develop various synthetic routes, 28 especially in the asymmetric manner for the synthesis of chiral spirooxindoles. 15,29

On the other hand, the chiral squaramides30 and thiosquaramides31 have proven to be excellent catalysts in the asymmetric organocatalysis. However, due to the high solubility in non-polar solvents like toluene, DCM, DCE and THF, the thiosquaramides have established as exceptional chiral organocatalysts.31 Whereas the squaramides are excellent catalysts in polar solvents.31 Rawal group developed various squaramides and thiosquaramides as chiral organocatalysts and witnessed high enantioselectivities with thiosquaramides in Michael additions of barbituric acids to nitroalkenes.31a Recently, stereoselective double Michael addition of 2-(3H)-furanone to nitroolefins,32 as well as asymmetric Michael additions of aldehydes to nitroolefins for synthesis of chiral pyrrolidines showed high enantio- and diastereoselectivities.33 Inspired by Rawal's conclusions with chiral bifunctional thiosquaramides and other consequences from recent articles, we have synthesized a known squaramide and thiosquaramide based chiral organocatalysts 11a-d (Fig. 2). In this work, the aim was to establish chiral protocols to construct bioactive natural products from DHBCs to afford enantiopure THBCs using chiral organocatalysts in asymmetric reduction reactions. The palladium hydride11 (PdH) was generated in an in situ reaction of catalytic PdCl2 with excess of Et3SiH and utilized as hydride source in the asymmetric imine reduction. The chiral THBCs 18a-f were obtained with excellent selectivities (up to 96% ee) and good yields (up to 88%). The catalytic efficiency of chiral thiosquaramide in an oxidative rearrangement was also examined to produce (-)-coerulescine (5) and (-)-horsfiline (6). Surprisingly, the products were observed with high enantioselectivities reaching 98% and 93%, respectively. Moreover, the chiral HPLC and polarimetry analyses proved the R configuration of all the products (18a-f), 5 and 6.

Results and discussion

We have synthesized the chiral squaramides 11a and 11c and thiosquaramides 11b and 11d employing literature protocols^{31a} (Scheme 1), 17a-f and 20a-b were prepared as displayed in Scheme 2. From our previous experience¹¹ and other literature reports,34 we choose the PdH as hydride source that showed good enantioselectivity in similar approaches, which can be generated in situ by treatment of catalytic PdCl₂ (15 mol%) and excess of Et₃SiH. Initially, the asymmetric reduction of imine 17b employing 11a-d (10 mol%) was performed in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) and THF for 2 h at 0 °C. The reaction mixtures were analyzed by chiral HPLC, which shown the chiral THBC 18b in 53%, 88%, 40%, and 62% ee in case of DCE, and 50%, 70%, 38% and 60% ee in THF with 11a, 11b, 11c and 11d respectively in moderate yields (entries 1-8, Table 1). It is observed that the thiosquaramide 11b catalyzed well compared with 11a, 11c and 11d. Thiosquaramides seem to be good catalysts for this reaction, it may be due to the poor solubility of squaramides that afforded low catalysts activity. Further, 5 mol% of 11b was utilized to test the catalytic efficiency and achieved only 85% ee with low yield (45%, entry 9, Table 1). However, the selectivity and yield improved dramatically when the reaction was performed up to 24 h at 0 °C (entry 10, Table 1)

Table 1 Chiral thiosquaramide (11a-d) catalyzed reduction of imine $(17b)^a$

Entry	Catalyst	Mol%	Solvent	Time (h)	$Yield^{b}$ (%)	ee ^c (%)
1	11a	10	DCE	2	30^d	53
2	11b	10	DCE	2	60^d	88
3	11c	10	DCE	2	34^d	40
4	11 d	10	DCE	2	55^d	62
5	11a	10	THF	2	27^{d}	50
6	11b	10	THF	2	55^d	70
7	11c	10	THF	2	30^d	38
8	11d	10	THF	2	53^d	60
9	11b	5	DCE	2	45^d	85
10	11b	10	DCE	24	85	92
11	11b	15	DCE	24	85	92
12	11b	10	THF	24	80	73
13	11b	10	MeOH	24	Trace	_
14	11b	10	DCM	24	82	80
15	11b	10	Water/DCM	24	Trace	_

 $[^]a$ Reactions were performed using 17b (1 mmol), 11 (mol%), PdCl₂ (15 mol%) Et₃SiH (4 mmol) solvent, for the given time. b Isolated yield. c The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral HPLC. d Imine 17b was recovered and the yield calculated by isolated product amount.

Table 2 Chiral thiosquaramide (11b) catalyzed reduction of imine $17a-f^a$

with catalyst **11b** (10 mol%). Further increment in catalyst load (15 mol%) was ineffective on selectivity as well as yield (entry 11, Table 1). These observations indicate just 10 mol% of catalyst

load is sufficient for the asymmetric imine reduction. Furthermore, the reaction was also examined in other solvents such as MeOH, DCM and water/DCM systems (entries 13–15, Table 1). Moderate selectivities (73% ee and 80% ee) and good yields (80% and 82%) were observed in aprotic solvents THF and DCM (entries 12 and 14, Table 1). Whereas only traces of products were observed in the protic solvents like MeOH as well as water/DCM systems (entries 13 and 15, Table 1). Based on the above methodology outcomes, the asymmetric imine reduction tool was fixed as 10 mol% of thiosquaramide catalyst (11b) in DCE as solvent for 24 h at 0 °C.

With the proven reaction conditions in hand, a series of DHBCs 17a-f with different substituents were explored (Table 2). Thus, DHBCs evenly undergone asymmetric reduction in presence of catalytic chiral thiosquaramide (11b) and PdCl₂/ Et₃SiH, and we observed the chiral THBCs in good yields and enantioselectivities (18a-f, Table 2). Typically, substituents at C1 position of the DHBCs slightly altered the enantioselectivities. For example, the imine (17a) with methyl group gave the chiral THBC (18a) with good selectivity (95% ee) and moderate yield (73%). Moreover, chiral THBCs (18b and 18c) with ethyl and isopropyl groups also shown significant selectivities (92% ee and 93% ee, respectively) and high yields (85% and 88%), as depicted in Table 2. However, the 1-isobutyl THBC (18d) was obtained with slightly low selectivity (86% ee, Table 2), which may be explained due to an unfavorable transition state of isobutyl DHBC (17d) with chiral catalyst as proposed in Fig. 3. Despite, the chiral 1-phenyl THBC (18e) that displayed moderate selectivity (91% ee, Table 2), gratifyingly the 2,3dihydrobenzofuranyl THBC (18f) demonstrated high enantioselectivity (96% ee, Table 2).

Furthermore, we also explored an alternative enantiomeric oxidative rearrangement of THBC. Several approaches for the syntheses of chiral quinolactacins13 and RWJ387273 14 were described. Quinolactacins shows activity against tumor necrosis factor production, and RWJ387273 exhibited promising male erectile dysfunction (MED) PDE5 inhibition. However, the key step in both the syntheses approaches was the asymmetric reduction of imine to corresponding amines. Generally, most of the reports applied Novori asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of cyclic imine (17c and 17f) to the total synthesis of chiral quinolactacin B (3) and potential PDE5 inhibitor RWJ387273 (4). Although the Noyori hydrogenation35 is an excellent methodology, which includes Ru catalyst and extremely flammable hydrogen gas, considering the cost effect, mildness of protocol and environmental point of view, it is proposed a new formal synthetic route for the R-quinolactacin B (3) and potential PDE5 inhibitor RWJ387273 (4), as depicted in Scheme 3.

Next following our interest in complex structures, it was explored the conversion of *N*-methyl tetrahydro-β-carbolines to spirooxindoles through a bifunctional activity of organocatalyst **11a–d**. Thus, the conversion from *N*-methyl tetrahydro-β-carboline **20a** to spirooxindole **5** have been carried out using *N*-bromosuccinimide (NBS, 1 equiv., Scheme 2) and isolated products with satisfactory yields (58–85%) after 20 min reaction time, as depicted in Table 3. In the catalytic activity optimization, initially we tested 10 mol% of thiosquaramides **11a–d** for

 $[^]a$ Reactions were performed using 17 (1 mmol), 11b (10 mol%), PdCl₂ (15 mol%) Et₃SiH (4 mmol) in DCE, for the 24 h. b The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral HPLC. c Isolated yield.

Fig. 3 Plausible mechanism for the approach (major Si face and minor Re face) of hydride to the DHBC 17 in the presence of chiral thiosquaramide catalyst (11b), and the catalyzed oxidative rearrangement.

Scheme 3 Formal synthesis of (R)-quinolactacin (3) and potential PDE5 inhibitor RWJ387273 (4) through 11b catalyzed asymmetric reduction of 17c and 17f.

the asymmetric synthesis of spirooxindole 5 in THF/water/ AcOH (1:1:1). The reaction mixtures were analysed by chiral HPLC and evidenced that all the catalysts 11a-d catalysed the

reaction considerably (78%, 98%, 69% and 85% ee respectively, entries 1-4, Table 3). However, reaction with 11b gave excellent selectivity and good yield (98% ee and 85%, entry 2, Table 3). We considered 11b as suitable catalyst for this reaction, further tested with 5 mol% and we noticed only 68% ee (entry 9, Table 3). This reaction was found to be optimal with 10 mol% of 11b. However, the asymmetric reactions without AcOH afforded only racemic mixtures even after 24 h (entries 5-8, Table 3). This may be due to the low solubility of 20a in the absence of AcOH, which may be needed to protonate the basic nitrogen to soluble in the solvent mixture. It might indicate that the asymmetric oxidative rearrangement may require protonation to the nitrogen atom at β-position of carboline to form the stable transition state with thiosquaramide catalyst leading to yield the product in an enantioselective manner. Product 5 was not observed without the use of aqueous solvent mixtures (entries 12 and 13, Table 3). Hence, we examined solvent mixtures such THF/water (1:1), DCE/water (1:1), THF/water/AcOH (1:1:1), DCE/water/AcOH (1:1:1) and identified that the chiral reaction was slightly efficient in THF/water/AcOH (98% ee, entry 2, Table 3) than in DCE/water/AcOH (87% ee, entry 11, Table 3). The reaction temperature also affected drastically the enantioselectivities and witnessed good selectivity at 0 °C, while poor selectivities were observed at room temperature (entry 10, Table 3). Reactions carried out at temperatures below -10 °C $(-20 \text{ to } -78 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$ did not give the desired product.

Based on above results, it was fixed the protocol as 1 equivalent of NBS, 10 mol% of thiosquaramide catalyst 11b, THF/ water/AcOH (1:1:1) as solvent system, and reaction temperature at 0 °C for 20 min to give the key spirooxindole for the asymmetric synthesis of (–)-coerulescine (5) in 98% ee and 85% yield. Furthermore, after reaching the optimal reaction

Table 3 Asymmetric oxidative rearrangement reaction optimization^a

Entry	Catalyst	Mol%	Solvents	Temp. (°C)	Yield (%)	ee ^b (%)
1	11a	10	THF/water/AcOH (1 : 1 : 1)	0	83	78
2	11b	10	THF/water/AcOH $(1:1:1)$	0	85	98
3	11c	10	THF/water/AcOH $(1:1:1)$	0	78	69
4	11d	10	THF/water/AcOH $(1:1:1)$	0	80	85
5 ^c	11b	10	THF/water (1:1)	rt	65	Racemic
6 ^c	11b	10	DCE/water $(1:1)$	rt	60	Racemic
7 ^c	11b	10	THF/water (1:1)	0	63	Racemic
8 ^c	11b	10	DCE/water $(1:1)$	0	58	Racemic
9	11b	5	THF/water/AcOH (1:1:1)	0	80	68
10	11b	10	THF/water/AcOH $(1:1:1)$	rt	83	78
11	11b	10	DCE/water/AcOH (1:1:1)	0	84	87
12	11b	10	THF	0	_	_
13	11b	10	DCE	0	_	_

^a All the reactions were performed using tetrahydro-β-carboline 20a (1 equiv.), NBS (1 equiv.) for 20 min. ^b The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral HPLC. ^c The reaction was stirred for 24 h.

R = H = (-)-coerulescine (5), 98% ee R = OMe = (-)-horsfiline (6), 93% ee

Scheme 4 Thiosquaramide 11b catalyzed asymmetric synthesis of (–)-coerulescine (5) and (–)-horsfiline (6).

conditions, we also explored a short synthesis of (–)-horsfiline (6) with 93% ee and 90% yield, as shown in Scheme 4.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have synthesized four known squaramides and thiosquaramide based organocatalysts (11a-d) and 11b was identified as excellent organocatalyst for the asymmetric imine reduction. Under the optimized conditions, considerable yields (65-88%) and good enantioselectivities (88-96%) were observed for the synthesis of chiral tetrahydro-β-carbolines (18a-f). All THBCs were obtained with R configuration that could be explained by Si face approach of hydride in the transition state, as rationalized in Fig. 3. Moreover, formal syntheses of R-quinolactacin (3) and potential PDE5 inhibitor RWJ387273 (4) via asymmetric reduction of 17c and 17f, which can be avoided through metal catalyst and hazardous reagents. Additionally, we perform a chiral thiosquaramide 11b catalyzed enantioselective synthesis of natural spirooxindoles (–)-coerulescine (5) and (-)-horsfiline (6) through enantioselective rearrangement in a short approach, which afforded good yield and high enantioselectivities (up to 98% ee) in the direct oxidative rearrangement.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

L. L. S. and F. M. N. thank FONDECYT (Project #1180084) and M. S. is grateful to Dirección de Investigación Vicerrectoría Académica-Universidad de Talca, Talca, Chile for financial support for this work.

Notes and references

- (a) Z. Nadine, M. Christian, M. H. Stefan and G. Harald, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 1949; (b) I. Jakub and W. Elżbieta, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2018, 16, 7296; (c) F. F. Douglas, B. Matthew, Mc. J. Cooper and D. R. Karl, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, 37, 6227.
- (a) Z. Yang, Z. Ding, F. Chen, Y. M. He, N. Yang and Q. H. Fan, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2017, 1973; (b) K. A. Nolin, R. W. Ahn, Y. Kobayashi, J. J. Kennedy-Smith and F. D. Toste, Chemistry, 2010, 16, 9555; (c) S. Hussain,

- F. Leipold, H. Man, E. Wells, S. P. France, K. R. Mulholland, G. Grogan and N. J. Turner, *ChemCatChem*, 2015, 7, 579.
- 3 (a) J. Václavík, P. Šot, J. Pecháček, B. Vilhanová, O. Matuška, M. Kuzma and P. Kačer, *Molecules*, 2014, 19, 6987; (b) P. A. Dub and J. C. Gordon, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2018, 2, 396; (c) N. Uematsu, A. Fujii, S. Hashiguchi, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, 118, 4916; (d) C. Li and J. Xiao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, 130, 13208; (e) V. S. Shende, S. H. Deshpande, S. K. Shingote, A. Joseph and A. A. Kelkar, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, 17, 2878.
- 4 (a) D. Ghislieri, D. Houghton, A. P. Green, S. C. Willies and N. J. Turner, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 2869; (b) Y. Mirabal-Gallardo, M. P. Soriano and L. S. Santos, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2013, 24, 440; (c) F. Leipold, S. Hussain, D. Ghislieri and N. J. Turner, ChemCatChem, 2013, 5, 3505; (d) M. Espinoza-Moraga, T. Petta, M. Vasquez-Vasquez, V. F. Laurie, L. A. Moraes and L. S. Santos, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2010, 21, 1988.
- 5 (a) M. Espinoza-Moraga, A. G. Caceres and L. S. Santos, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 7059; (b) O. Forero-Doria, L. S. Santos, F. M. Nachtigall and N. Shankaraiah, Comb. Chem. High Throughput Screening, 2017, 20, 696.
- 6 (a) A. E. Laine, C. Lood and A. M. Koskinen, Molecules, 2014,
 19, 1544; (b) A. Spindler, K. Stefan and M. Wiese, J. Med. Chem., 2016, 59, 6121; (c) S. Xiao, X. X. Shi, J. Xing, J. J. Yan, S. L. Liu and W. D. Lu, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2009, 20, 2090; (d) Y. Zhang, Q. He, H. Ding, X. Wu and Y. Xie, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 2005, 37, 99.
- 7 (a) Q. Yin, S. G. Wang and S. L. You, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, 15, 2688;
 (b) M. Bandini, A. Melloni, F. Piccinelli, R. Sinisi, S. Tommasi and A. Umani-Ronchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, 128, 1424; (c) E. Xie, A. Rahmana and X. Lin, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2017, 4, 1407.
- 8 (a) Y. Liu, H. Song, Y. Huang, J. Li, S. Zhao, Y. Song, P. Yang, Z. Xiao, Y. Liu, Y. Li, H. Shang and Q. Wang, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2014, **62**, 9987; (b) J. Galisteo and T. Herraiz, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2003, **51**, 7156.
- (a) E. McQueen, A. Doyle and F. Smirk, *Nature*, 1954, 174, 1015;
 (b) C. Tsioufis and C. Thomopoulos, *Pharmacol. Res.*, 2017, 125B, 266;
 (c) B. Wang, N. K. Choudhry, J. J. Gagne, J. Landon and A. S. Kesselheim, *Am. Heart J.*, 2015, 169, 379.
- 10 J. E. Audia, D. A. Evrard, G. R. Murdoch, J. J. Droste, J. S. Nissen, K. W. Schenck, P. Fludzinski, V. L. Lucaites, D. L. Nelson and M. L. Cohen, J. Med. Chem., 1996, 39, 2773.
- 11 W. A. da Silva, M. T. Rodrigues Jr, N. Shankaraiah, R. B. Ferreira, C. K. Z. Andrade, R. A. Pilli and L. S. Santos, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 3238.
- 12 H. Rommelspacher, H. Kauffmann, C. H. Cohnitz and H. Coper, *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol.*, 1977, 298, 83.
- 13 (a) N. Shankaraiah, W. A. da Silva, C. K. Z. Andrade and L. S. Santos, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, 49, 4289; (b) X. Zhang, W. Jiang and Z. Sui, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, 68, 4523.
- 14 (*a*) N. Shankaraiah and L. S. Santos, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 520; (*b*) B. Willemsens, I. Vervest, D. Ormerod, W. Aelterman, C. Fannes, N. Mertens, I. E. Marko and

- S. Lemaire, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2006, 10, 1275; (c)
 S. Lemaire, B. Willemsens and I. E. Markó, Synlett, 2007, 5, 709.
- 15 (a) Y. Na, C. Haiying, A. W. Eric, S. Pei-Yong and Z. Jia, ACS Infect. Dis., 2016, 2, 382; (b) Z. Bing, Y. Yaxi, S. Jingjing, L. Zhi and L. Yuanchao, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 2897; (c) C. Li, C. Chan, A. C. Heimann and S. J. Danishefsky, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 1444; (d) J. D. White, Y. Li and D. C. Ihle, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 3569.
- 16 (a) B. Assem, S. Mohammad, M. G. Hussien, M. A. M. Abdullah, F. E. S. Fardous, A. B. Farid, A. M. M. E. Yaseen and A. G. G. Hazem, RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 14335; (b) C. Linwei, X. Jialin, S. Hongjian, L. Yuxiu, G. Yucheng, W. Lizhong and W. Qingmin, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2016, 64, 6508; (c) Y. Bin, Z. Yi-Chao, S. Xiao-Jing, Q. Ping-Ping and L. Hong-Min, Anti-Cancer Agents Med. Chem., 2016, 16, 1315.
- (a) G. J. Mei and F. Shi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, 54, 6607; (b)
 M. Pelay-Gimeno, G. Adrian, K. Oliver and N. G. Tom, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 8896.
- 18 (a) Y. Yan-Tao, Z. Jun-Fang, L. Guochao, X. Hai-Jiang and Y. Bin, Curr. Med. Chem., 2018, 25, 2233; (b) G. Bhaskar, Y. Arun, C. Balachandran, C. Saikumar and P. T. Perumal, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2012, 51, 79.
- 19 (a) R. R. Kumar, S. Perumal, P. Senthilkumar, P. Yogeeswari and D. Sriram, J. Med. Chem., 2008, 51, 5731; (b) S. M. Rajesh, S. Perumal, C. J. Menéndez, Y. Perumal and D. Sriram, Med. Chem. Commun., 2011, 2, 626.
- 20 (a) B. Yu, D. Q. Yu and H. M. Liu, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2015, 97, 673; (b) Y. Arun, K. Saranraj, C. Balachandran and P. T. Perumal, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2014, 74, 50; (c) W. Dao-Cai, F. Chen, X. Yong-Mei, Y. Shun and S. Hang, Arabian J. Chem., 2019, 12, 1918.
- 21 W. Yong-Chao, W. Jun-Liang, S. B. Kevin, Z. Jiang-Wei, Z. Qiu-Mei, P. Ya-Dan, Y. Li-Jun and C. Xue-Bing, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 5702.
- 22 H. Saoussen, B. Sarra, N. A. Tarunkumar, P. R. Jignesh, P. François, S. Armand, A. Moheddine, K. Michael, R. Yoann, M. K. Marek and R. A. Dhanji, *New J. Chem.*, 2015, 39, 520.
- 23 (a) Y. Kia, H. Osman, R. S. Kumar, A. Basiri and V. Murugaiyah, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2014, 22, 1318; (b)
 I. A. Abdulrahman, S. K. Raju, A. Natarajan, B. Alireza, K. Yalda, A. A. Mohamed, F. Mehvish and M. A. Vikneswaran, *Molecules*, 2015, 20, 2296.

- 24 G. K. Mukund, P. D. Attrimuni, W. C. Sanjay, S. B. Ajit, B. S. Yunnus, R. B. Deekshaputra, P. D. Mayur and R. D. Nagorao, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, 6, 876.
- 25 R. S. Paul, O. Hiroyuki, U. Takeo and M. W. Robert, Tetrahedron, 2002, 58, 6311.
- 26 L. Hanwool, H. B. Seung, H. L. Jong, K. Chulwon, K. Jeong-Hyeon, L. Seok-Geun, C. Arunachalam, A. A. Sulaiman, M. Y. Woong, U. Jae-Young, S. Gautam and S. A. Kwang, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2017, 18, 1095.
- 27 L. L. Chen, J. X. Song, J. H. Lu, Z. W. Yuan, L. F. Liu, S. S. Durairajan and M. Li, J. Neuroimmune Pharmacol., 2014, 9, 380.
- 28 (a) H. Chen and D. Shi, *J. Comb. Chem.*, 2010, 12, 571; (b)
 C. She-Jie, L. Yi-Feng, X. Jiang-Cheng, Z. Hui, Z. Qing and
 Z. Peng-Fei, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2011, 353, 371.
- 29 (a) Q. Liu, S. Li, X. Y. Chen, K. Rissanen and D. Enders, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 3622; (b) Y. Bin, X. Hui, Y. De-Quan and L. Hong-Min, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2016, 12, 1000; (c) D. C. Prakash, H. Bor-Cherng, W. Chao-Lin and L. Gene-Hsiang, ACS Omega, 2019, 4, 655; (d) T. Mukaiyama, K. Ogata, I. Sato and Y. Hayashi, Chem.-Eur. J., 2014, 20, 13583; (e) G. Lakshmaiah, T. Kawabata, M. Shang and K. Fuji, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 1699.
- 30 (a) J. P. Malerich, K. Hagihara and V. H. Rawal, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 14416; (b) W. Yang and D. M. Du, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 12706; (c) L. Bing-Yu and D. Da-Ming, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2018, 360, 3164.
- 31 (a) M. Rombola, C. S. Sumaria, T. D. Montgomery and V. H. Rawal, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 5297; (b) N. Sándor, D. Gyula, K. Péter, F. Zsuzsanna, S. András, B. Júlia, H. Tibor, M. Béla, K. Levente, D. László, H. Péter and K. József, New J. Chem., 2019, 43, 5948; (c) P. Rodríguez-Ferrer, N. Daniel, M. Alicia, M. A. José and P. Rafael, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2019, 2019, 6539.
- 32 M. Yang, C. Chen, X. Yi, Y. Li, X. Wu, Q. Li and S. Ban, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2019, 17, 2883.
- 33 O. Kristína, B. Stanislav, M. Mária and S. Radovan, *ChemistrySelect*, 2019, 4, 8870.
- 34 M. Mirza-Aghayan, R. Boukherroub, M. Bolourtchian and M. Rahimifard, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2007, **692**, 5113.
- 35 (a) R. Noyori and S. Hashiguchi, Acc. Chem. Res., 1997, 30, 97;
 (b) C. V. Sandoval, T. Ohkuma, K. Muñiz and R. Noyori, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 13490.