RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 32652

Received 17th July 2020 Accepted 18th August 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra06226j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

Sunlight, as an energy supply, is widely available and costless and so is water. Valorizing the energy in sunlight to produce hydrogen from water has long been considered as advantageous, more so that this much-coveted fuel is acquired under photocatalysis without other energy conversions. In the last decades, the search for sustainable photocatalysis-based technologies and the required materials became an arduous pursuit

Designing 2D-2D g- $C_3N_4/Ag:ZnIn_2S_4$ nanocomposites for the high-performance conversion of sunlight energy into hydrogen fuel and the meaningful reduction of pollution[†]

Yu Gao,‡^{ab} Kun Qian,‡^{ab} Baotong Xu,^a Fu Ding,*^{ab} Valerian Dragutan,^c Ileana Dragutan,*^c Yaguang Sun^{®ab} and Zhenhe Xu[®]*^{ab}

The generation of hydrogen-based energy and environmental remediation using sunlight is an emerging topic of great significance for meeting the ever-growing global need. However, the actual photocatalytic performance is still far below expectations because of the relatively slack charge-carrier separation and migration as well as insufficient spectral absorption in semiconductors. Therefore, the rational construction of heterojunctions is considered as an effective approach to solving the above issues. In this context, we have, for the first time, designed and synthesized a two-dimensional 2D-on-2D heterostructure, based on 2D Ag-doped ZnIn₂S₄ nanoplates deposited on 2D g-C₃N₄ nanosheets (denoted as $g-C_3N_4/Ag:Znln_2S_4$). This construct benefits from improved visible-light absorption by unveiling a greater number of catalytically active sites, effectively enhancing charge-carrier separation and relocation. Detailed analysis has proved that under visible-light irradiation, the optimized $q-C_3N_4/20$ wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposite has substantially upgraded photocatalytic activity in hydrogen formation by water splitting (hydrogen evolution rate of up to 597.47 µmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹) and in residual dyestuff degradation (methyl orange, MO; degradation rate constant of 0.1406 min⁻¹). Noteworthily, these two exceptionally high values respectively represent 30.73 and 5.42 times enhancements vs. results obtained with bare $g-C_3N_4$. Another strong point of our $g-C_3N_4/Ag:ZnIn_2S_4$ is its impressive recyclability for 20 runs, with no relevant metal release in the aqueous solution following photocatalysis. This work introduces new, superior access to highly efficient photocatalysts founded on 2D/2D nanocomposites serving both the production of hydrogen as an energy carrier and environmental remediation.

that can additionally mitigate some crucial issues related to the planetary energy shortage and environmental damage.¹⁻⁹

Following the first report by Fujishima and Honda on the photocatalytic assets of TiO2,¹⁰ an impressive number of strategies have been devised to create quite efficient, stable, inexpensive and broadband-responsive photocatalysts.11-14 Among them, graphitic carbon nitride $(g-C_3N_4)$ stands out after Wang et al. had proved it, in 2009, as a promising visible-light responsive photocatalyst.15 Two-dimensional (2D) g-C₃N₄ can offer an extended specific surface area, reveal supplemental exposed active sites, provide an easier approach and diffusion paths for both ions and electrons.16-18 However, a single component cannot satisfy all requirements because of the low efficiency of solar energy conversion, the less-than-ideal solar light response range, the low ability for charge separation/ transfer, and reduced photocatalytic stability.19,20 To solve the aforementioned problems, some typical protocols have been conceived to enhance the photocatalytic process.21-23 One of the most effective tactics is the construction of multicomponent/ multiphase heterostructured photocatalysts comprised of distinct units that arise from physical-chemical interactions

[&]quot;The Key Laboratory of Inorganic Molecule-Based Chemistry of Liaoning Province, College of Materials and Engineering, Shenyang University of Chemical Technology, Shenyang 110142, P. R. China. E-mail: dingfu@syuct.edu.cn; xuzh@syuct.edu.cn

^bKey Laboratory of Resource Chemical Technology and Materials (Ministry of Education), Shenyang University of Chemical Technology, Shenyang, 110142, PR China

^cInstitute of Organic Chemistry, Romanian Academy, Spl. Independentei 202B, 060023 Bucharest, Romania. E-mail: idragutan@yahoo.com

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra06226j

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Paper

such as covalent or ionic bonding, hydrogen bonding, van der Waals (VDW) and Coulomb forces.^{1,24-28} Furthermore, devising 2D/2D heterojunctions is a most adequate approach towards an enhanced photocatalytic achievement. Owing to their "face to face" contact, such heterojunctions could ensure improved interfacial charge separation and transfer between layers, also enabling broad light-responsivity with different bandgap widths of the two photocatalytic semiconductors.²⁹ Unfortunately, the photocatalytic performance of 2D/2D heterojunctions is presently still disappointing. The design of g-C₃N₄-based 2D/2D heterojunctions from selected components with matchable bandgaps, able to harvest solar light efficiently and economically is, therefore, in high demand.

Recently, Ag⁺-doped ZnIn₂S₄ with a 2D multi-layered structure has shown superiority in photocatalytic hydrogen evolution mainly because of suitable bandgap management of the compositiondependent absorbed light.^{19,20} The silver ions can create acceptor and donor states through substitutional doping and interstitial doping, which significantly improve the carrier density and charge transport efficiency and accordingly increase the photocatalytic activity.30 More importantly, 2D Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanosheets can be prepared using a straightforward hydrothermal procedure to construct 2D/2D heterojunctions. As far as we know, there are no reports on a 2D/2D g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ heterojunction applied as a photocatalyst. Furthermore, the bandgap of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ ($E_g =$ \sim 2.61 eV) is smaller than that of g-C₃N₄ ($E_{\rm g}$ = \sim 2.76 eV), while the position of the conduction band (CB) of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ (E_{CB}Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ = -1.47 eV) has a higher negative value than that of g-C₃N₄ $(E_{\rm CB}C_3N_4 = -1.18 \text{ eV})$ and thus provides a better opportunity for the directional transfer of the electrons photogenerated from Ag: $ZnIn_2S_4$ towards g-C₃N₄. Moreover, due to the position of the valence band (VB) of g-C₃N₄ ($E_{VB}C_3N_4 = +1.58 \text{ eV}$) having a higher positive value than that of Ag: $ZnIn_2S_4$ ($E_{VB}Ag:ZnIn_2S_4 = +1.14 \text{ eV}$), the holes on the VB of g-C₃N₄ will migrate to that of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄, achieving the separation of the charge carriers in heterojunctions. It can thus be anticipated that by integrating g-C₃N₄ with Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ a "type II" heterojunction will be formed at their interface, which may result in improved photocatalytic activity.

Stimulated by the above-mentioned considerations we, for the first time, report here the assembly of a 2D/2D g-C₃N₄/ Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ heterojunction by the *in situ* growth of ultrathin Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanosheets on g-C₃N₄ nanosheets using a hydrothermal protocol. This new idea is valorized for the enhanced visible-light-driven H₂ evolution from water and for the photodegradation of the dye methyl orange (MO) contained in waste industrial effluents. Our work can serve as an inspiration in the ongoing global research effort for developing materials based on "face to face" 2D/2D heterojunctions destined for the photocatalytic generation of hydrogen as an energy carrier.

2 Experimental section

2.1 Materials

Thiourea, silver nitrate (AgNO₃), zinc acetate ($Zn(OAc)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$), indium acetate ($In(OAc)_3$), L-cysteine (L-cys), thioacetamide (TAA), methyl orange (MO), 1,4-benzoquinone (BQ), disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate (Na_2EDTA), and *tert*-butyl alcohol (*t*-BuOH) were purchased from Aladdin Reagent Co. Ltd. All chemicals were analytically pure and were used without further purification. Pure water, purified by a Millipore ultrapure water system and having a resistivity of 18.2 M Ω cm (at 25 °C), was used in the current investigation.

2.2 Synthesis of the g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ heterojunctions

First, g-C₃N₄ was obtained by a traditional thermal polymerization method.^{2,31} Secondly, the g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ heterojunctions were synthesized by the in situ growth of ultrathin Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanosheets on g-C₃N₄ nanosheets through a facile hydrothermal method. In a typical procedure, a specific amount of g-C₃N₄ was ground into fine powder and then added to 20 mL of distilled water. After ultrasonic treatment for 6 h, the g-C₃N₄ was exfoliated into thin nanosheets which were then collected and washed using centrifugation-redispersion with deionized water. Subsequently, the exfoliated g-C3N4 nanosheets were resuspended into 50 mL of a premade aqueous solution consisting of the calculated amount of $Zn(OAc)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, $In(OAc)_3$, $AgNO_3$ and L-cys. After being stirred for 30 min, TAA was added to form a homogeneous solution. This solution was transferred into a 100 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and maintained at 160 °C for 6 hours. After cooling the autoclave to room temperature, the solid was collected, washed several times with ethanol and deionized water, and finally dried overnight in an electric oven at 60 °C. According to this method, samples with different weight ratios of g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄, *i.e.*, having Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ contents of 10 wt%, 20 wt% and 30 wt%, were synthesized. The actual contents of Ag:ZnIn2S4 in the composites were measured by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). The measured values, 10.4, 19.6 and 30.7 wt%, were quite close to the nominal loading mass fractions, 10, 20, and 30 wt%. Pure Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ was similarly prepared without introducing g-C₃N₄.

2.3 Characterization

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were collected on a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer with Nifiltered Cu Ka radiation, at 40 kV and 40 mA, with 2θ ranging from 10° to 80° and with a scan rate of 0.02° per second. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained using a JEOL model JEM 2010 EX instrument at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. UV-vis diffused reflectance spectra of the samples were obtained from a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV2550, Shimadzu, Japan), with BaSO₄ being used as a reflectance standard. The photoluminescence (PL) spectra were measured by using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (F4500, Hitachi, Japan) with the excitation wavelength of 260 nm. Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES; Shimadzu Co., ICPS-8100) was used to quantify the elements present. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed in a Thermal ESCALAB 250Xi electron spectrometer using Al K α radiation X-ray source ($h\nu =$ 1486.6 eV) to determine the chemical states and the valence states of the involved elements. The photoelectrochemical (PEC) measurements were performed with an electrochemical workstation (CHI 660E, CH Instruments) in a standard threeelectrode cell, using a Pt wire and a Ag/AgCl electrode (3 M



 $\label{eq:scheme1} Scheme 1 \quad Schematic illustration of the fabrication process of g-C_3N_4/Ag: ZnIn_2S_4 nanocomposites.$

KCl) as the counter and reference electrode, respectively. The working electrode was prepared on fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass with its boundary being protected by Scotch tape. Five milligrams of as-synthesized powder were dispersed in 1 mL of dimethylformamide under sonication for 30 min to obtain a colloidal dispersion. The dispersion was drop-cast onto the FTO glass. After natural air-drying, the uncoated part of the FTO glass was isolated with epoxy resin glue. Then, 0.2 M of Na₂SO₄ (pH = 6.8) aqueous solution was pre-purged with nitrogen for 30 min and was used as an electrolyte. Nyquist plots were recorded over the frequency range of 100 mHz to 100 kHz at a bias of 0.2 V.

2.4 Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from water

The photocatalytic reaction was performed in the Perfect Light Labsolar-6A automatic online photocatalytic analysis system (Labsolar-6A, Beijing Perfect light Co. Ltd.). The reaction was carried out by mixing an aqueous solution (100 mL) of the photocatalyst (50 mg) with 10 vol% triethanolamine solution as a sacrificial agent for hydrogen production. Before starting the photocatalytic reaction, the solution was purged with Ar gas for 30 min. A 300 W xenon lamp (PLS-SXE 300, Beijing Perfect light Co. Ltd.) with a UV-Cut filter to cut off the light of wavelength $\lambda < 420$ nm was used as the irradiation source. During testing, the solution was continuously stirred with a magnetic stirrer while the reaction solution was maintained at room temperature by a water-cooling system. A Shimadzu GC-2014 gas chromatograph, equipped with a thermal conductivity detector and high-purity Ar gas carrier, was used to analyze the reaction-evolved gases. Subsequently, the sample resulting from the first reaction run was again subjected to the photocatalytic H₂ evolution experiment, under identical conditions; the procedure was repeated for 20 cycles with a total irradiation time of 100 h.

2.5 Photodegradation of MO and detection of active species

The photodegradation activity of the as-prepared $g-C_3N_4/Ag:ZnIn_2S_4$ photocatalysts was evaluated for the removal of MO from contaminated water under visible light irradiation. An



Fig. 1 TEM images of (A) $g-C_3N_4$; (B and C) $g-C_3N_4/20$ wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ photocatalyst with different magnifications. The inset in (C) is the HRTEM image of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄. (D–J) HAADF-STEM image and STEM-EDX mapping of C, N, Zn, In, S, and Ag elements. (K) EDS analysis.

Paper

amount of 10 mg of the g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ photocatalyst was added to 20 mL of MO solution (10 mg L^{-1}), in a 100 mL quartz reactor equipped with circulating cooling water to keep the reaction temperature constant. Prior to irradiation, the suspension was stirred in the dark for 30 min to reach adsorption-desorption equilibrium between the photocatalyst and MO. A 300 W xenon lamp (PLS-SXE 300, Beijing Perfect light Co. Ltd.) filtered by a UV cut-off filter ($\lambda > 420$ nm) was used as the visible light source. The solution was irradiated with the above-mentioned light under magnetic stirring. At different irradiation times, 0.5 mL aliquots were taken out and centrifuged to separate the MO solution from the photocatalyst. The MO residue in the supernatant was quantified using UV-vis spectrometry (Shimadzu UV-2550), following the absorbance at 465 nm. Thus, 20 successive runs were carried out on the recovered samples, under identical conditions to test the MO degradation rate. Furthermore, in order to detect the active species involved in the photocatalytic removal of MO, trapping experiments were performed using different scavengers.

Specifically, 1,4-benzoquinone (BQ) (1 mM), disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate (Na₂EDTA) (1 mM), and *tert*-butyl alcohol (*t*-BuOH) (1 mM) served as superoxide radical ($^{\circ}O^{2-}$), hole, and hydroxyl radical ($^{\circ}OH$) scavengers, respectively.

3 Results and discussion

A two-step synthesis route was employed to obtain the g- C_3N_4 / Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ photocatalysts (Scheme 1): (i) synthesis of the 2D g- C_3N_4 nanosheet through thermal polymerization and subsequent ultrasonic exfoliation treatment (TEM image in Fig. 1A); (ii) hydrothermal deposition of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanosheets onto the surface of g- C_3N_4 nanosheets. Subsequently, ultrathin Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanosheets were grown on the g- C_3N_4 nanosheets using a hydrothermal process. A representative TEM image (Fig. 1B) of this g- C_3N_4 /Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposite shows that a large number of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ ultrathin nanosheets, of 10 nm in length and 5 nm in width, was observed on the g- C_3N_4 nanosheets were grown with



Fig. 2 (A) XRD patterns of $g-C_3N_4$, Ag:Znln₂S₄, and $g-C_3N_4/Ag$:Znln₂S₄ samples. High-resolution (B) C 1s and (C) N 1s XPS spectra of $g-C_3N_4$ and $g-C_3N_4/20$ wt% Ag:Znln₂S₄ samples, (D) Zn 2p, (E) In 3d, and (F) S 2p XPS spectra of Ag:Znln₂S₄ and $g-C_3N_4/20$ wt% Ag:Znln₂S₄ samples.

face-to-face intimate contact on the g-C₃N₄ nanosheets acting as substrate (Fig. 1C). It is noteworthy is that no free Ag: $ZnIn_2S_4$ nanosheets were identified elsewhere, suggesting that a high loading efficiency was operative between the Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ and the $g-C_3N_4$ nanosheets. The high-resolution TEM image (Fig. 1C, inset) of an individual Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ ultrathin nanosheet deposited on the g-C₃N₄ surface provided evidence of crystal lattices with the interplanar distance of ≈ 0.32 nm, which is in accordance with the lattice spacing of the (102) facet of the $ZnIn_2S_4$. Note that a pure Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ sample, synthesized by the same hydrothermal process in the absence of g-C₃N₄ nanosheets, gave specific network structures; the individual structures could not be resolved (Fig. S1, ESI[†]). The high-angle annular darkfield scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) image (Fig. 1D), combined with elemental mapping (Fig. 1E-J) and EDS analysis (Fig. 1K) confirmed the homogeneous distribution of the C, N, Zn, In, S and Ag elements in the nanocomposite.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) served to characterize the phase composition and crystal structure of the as-prepared g-C₃N₄, Ag:ZnIn₂S₄, and g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ samples (Fig. 2A). In the XRD pattern of the g-C₃N₄ nanosheets, there are two pronounced diffraction peaks with $2\theta = 13.2^{\circ}$ and 27.5° , arising from the in-plane intervals of the periodic tri-*s*-triazine units as the (100) plane, and the specific interplanar stacking of aromatic systems as the (002) plane of graphite-like materials, respectively. The characteristic XRD peaks of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ were well indexed to the hexagonal $P6_3mc$ space group of ZnIn₂S₄

(JCPDS card no. 72-0773). We did not observe any unidentifiable diffraction peaks, indicating that the Ag^+ ions were incorporated into the lattice of $ZnIn_2S_4$, and did not form a separate phase. As expected, the as-prepared g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposites displayed peaks characteristic of both g-C₃N₄ and Ag:ZnIn₂S₄. Furthermore, by simply adjusting the hydrothermal reaction parameters, the coverage density of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanosheets on the surface of g-C₃N₄ nanosheets could be easily controlled.

In-depth investigations of the elemental composition and surface chemical states of the g-C₃N₄, Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ and g-C₃N₄/ Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ samples, using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), were also performed. Survey spectra of both $g-C_3N_4$ and the g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposites showed peaks for the C and N elements (Fig. S2, ESI⁺). Additional peaks for Zn, In, and S were also present in the survey spectrum of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ and g- $C_3N_4/Ag:ZnIn_2S_4$ nanocomposites (Fig. S2, ESI[†]). Important data were collected (Fig. 2B-F) from the high-resolution C 1s, N 1s, Zn 2p, In 3d, S 2p XPS spectra of g-C₃N₄, Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ and g- $C_3N_4/Ag:ZnIn_2S_4$ nanocomposites. Thus, in the high-resolution C 1s XPS spectrum of $g-C_3N_4$, two distinctive peaks appeared at 284.6 and 288.2 eV (Fig. 2B), corresponding respectively to accidental hydrocarbons or the sp^2 graphitic C in C=C bonds and sp² C in N-C=N bonds.^{32,33} Deconvolution of the N 1s XPS spectrum (Fig. 2C) showed three peaks centered at 398.4, 399.1 and 400.5 eV, assignable to the sp² N in N=C-N, tertiary N groups (N-C), and amino functions (C-N-H or C-NH₂), respectively.34,35 The two peaks with binding energies of



Fig. 3 (A) UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra of $g-C_3N_4$, Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ and $g-C_3N_4/20$ wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄. (B) The bandgap energy and (C) the VB XPS spectra of the $g-C_3N_4$ and Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ samples.

1023.0 eV and 1046.1 eV in Fig. 2D correspond to Zn 2p1/2 and Zn $2p_{3/2}$, respectively, indicating the Zn(II) oxidation state. The high-resolution In 3d spectra (Fig. 2E) show two peaks at approximately 444.7 eV (In 3d_{3/2}) and 452.2 eV (In 3d_{5/2}), suggesting that the chemical state of the In cation is +3.³⁶ In the high-resolution S 2p XPS spectrum of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ (Fig. 2F), the two peaks at binding energies of approximately 161.5 eV and 162.6 eV correspond to the S $2p_{3/2}$ and S $2p_{1/2}$ orbitals of S²⁻ ions, which is consistent with ZnIn₂S₄. Remarkably, after the loading of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ onto g-C₃N₄, in the S 2p XPS spectrum, an obvious shift (by 0.3 eV) of the peaks toward lower binding energy occurred. This indicates that the electron density of S²⁻ ions from Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ increased, most likely due to the strong interfacial interactions and to electron transfer from the C atoms in g-C₃N₄. Previous experimental results and theoretical calculations from literature confirmed that the S atom

preferentially substitutes for the edge N site of the g- C_3N_4 lattice to form a C–S bond and, at the same time, excludes the possibility of N–S bonds formation.^{37–39}

The capacity of the as-prepared samples for solar light utilization has been investigated *via* UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS). In Fig. 3A, pure g-C₃N₄ exhibits an absorption edge at approximately 460 nm, which is consistent with previously reported works.⁴⁰ For Ag:ZnIn₂S₄, the absorption edge appears at about 600 nm, indicating strong light-harvesting in both the UV and visible regions. In comparison with pure g-C₃N₄, all g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposites displayed absorption edges at longer wavelengths, consonant with the hue of the as-prepared samples that changed from faint yellow to yellowish-brown (Fig. S3, ESI[†]). Furthermore, based on the UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra, the bandgap (E_g) energies of g-



Fig. 4 (A) The photocatalytic H_2 evolution of the $g-C_3N_4$ and $g-C_3N_4/Ag:Znln_2S_4$ samples for 5 h under visible light irradiation. (B) The photocatalytic H_2 evolution rates of the above samples. (C) The photocatalytic H_2 evolution rate, under visible light irradiation over the 5 h reaction time, for twenty successive cycles, using the $g-C_3N_4/20$ wt% Ag:Znln_2S_4 sample. (D) The photocatalytic degradation of MO over the $g-C_3N_4$, and $g-C_3N_4/Ag:Znln_2S_4$ samples during 30 min of visible light irradiation. (E) Rates of MO photocatalytic degradation over the above samples. (F) Rates of MO photocatalytic degradation using the $g-C_3N_4/20$ wt% Ag:Znln_2S_4 sample over twenty successive cycles of 30 min irradiation.

 $\mathrm{C_3N_4}$ and $\mathrm{Ag}{:}\mathrm{ZnIn_2S_4}$ were extrapolated according to the following equation:

$$\alpha h\nu = A(h\nu - E_{\rm g})^{n/2}$$

where α is the absorption coefficient, $h\nu$ is the photon energy (eV), *A* is the adsorption constant and E_g is the bandgap value. As shown in Fig. 3B, the E_g of g-C₃N₄ and Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ were extrapolated to about 2.76 and 2.61 eV, respectively. Furthermore, the valence band (VB) positions were acquired by analyzing the VB XPS spectra; thus, the VB of g-C₃N₄ and Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ were about 1.58 and 1.14 eV, respectively (Fig. 3C). Also, using the formula $E_g = E_{VB} - E_{CB}$, the conduction band (CB) potentials of g-C₃N₄ and Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ were calculated to be -1.18 and -1.47 eV, respectively.

The photocatalytic performance of the as-prepared samples was evaluated by the H₂ production through water splitting under visible-light irradiation and in the presence of TEOA as a hole scavenger. Fig. 4A shows that a remarkable improvement in the H₂ evolution was achieved with our nanocomposite photocatalysts. While pure g-C₃N₄ displayed a negligible photocatalytic propensity for H₂ evolution, most likely because of the high recombination rate of photogenerated electrons and holes, all g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposites demonstrated substantially augmented rates of H₂ evolution. After growing Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanosheets on the g-C₃N₄ nanosheets, the H₂

evolution rate gradually increased with the Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ content. In particular the g- $C_3N_4/20.0$ wt% Ag: $ZnIn_2S_4$ sample exhibited the highest H₂ evolution rate, of 597.47 μ mol h⁻¹ g⁻¹, which is about 30.73 times higher than that of the $g-C_3N_4$ alone (Fig. 4B). However, a diminished H₂ evolution rate could be observed when the Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ content in the nanocomposites was further increased. The considerably enhanced H₂ evolution activity of g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposites is consistent with the intimate face-to-face contact between g-C3N4 and Ag:ZnIn₂S₄, which is extremely beneficial for the photogenerated charge transfer. The photostability of the optimal g-C₃N₄/20.0 wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposite was evaluated through photocatalytic H₂ evolution during prolonged visiblelight irradiation (Fig. 4C); no obvious decay was observed during 20 cycles with a total test duration of 100 h of visiblelight illumination. Noteworthily, the XRD pattern of the recycled photocatalyst (g-C₃N₄/20.0 wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄) was consistent with that of the initial sample, with no obvious shifts in the positions of the characteristic peaks (Fig. S4, ESI[†]). Moreover, the TEM image of the recycled photocatalyst (g- $C_3N_4/20.0$ wt%) Ag: $ZnIn_2S_4$) proved that the original morphology was maintained (Fig. S5, ESI[†]). All these results suggest the very good stability of the sample during water splitting.

We also evaluated the photodegradation ability of our asprepared samples under visible-light irradiation. Methyl orange (MO), an anionic azo dye largely employed in the textile industry



Fig. 5 (A) EIS Nyquist plots and (B) transient photocurrent density responses of $g-C_3N_4$ and $g-C_3N_4/20$ wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ under visible light irradiation. (C) Steady-state PL spectra of $g-C_3N_4$ and $g-C_3N_4/20$ wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄.

Paper

and as a pH indicator, was used as a substrate. Along with other dvestuff residues, MO is encountered in industrial effluents and its removal by adsorption^{41,42} or photodegradation⁴³ is a persistent problem for wastewater remediation. In photodegradation experiments, each photocatalyst was, in turn, stirred in the MO solution for 1 h under dark conditions to reach the adsorption-desorption equilibrium between the photocatalyst and MO. Under long-term visible-light irradiation, but without the addition of a photocatalyst, the concentration of MO remained constant, suggesting that the photocatalyst plays an essential role in the photocatalytic degradation of MO. Fig. 4D displays the photocatalytic degradation plots, as a function of the light irradiation time, for g-C₃N₄ and g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposites. The results prove that the mass percentage of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ in the g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposites greatly affects the photocatalytic degradation performance. With g-C₃N₄, only 47.7% of MO can be degraded in 30 min. However, the photocatalytic activity was substantially enhanced when Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ was loaded onto the surface of the g-C₃N₄. For the optimal g-C₃N₄/20.0 wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ sample, the removal efficiency of MO increased to 98.5% after 30 min of visible light irradiation. Moreover, the corresponding reaction kinetics was calculated for the degradation of MO (Fig. 4E). Through fitting the data with a first-order reaction model, the apparent reaction rate constant (k_{app}) was calculated and further normalized with the mass (10 mg) of the catalyst added in the active solution. The highest normalized $k_{app}(k)$ value obtained for g-C₃N₄/20.0 wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ (0.1406 min⁻¹) was about 5.42 times higher than that for the g-C₃N₄ nanosheets (0.0259 min⁻¹). However, once the surface of g-C₃N₄ was coated with excessive Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanosheets, this synergistic effect was hindered, so the photocatalytic performance of the nanocomposites decreased. We also conducted recycling tests for the photocatalytic degradation of MO to observe the stability and reusability of the g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposites. This experiment was repeated for 20 runs while our optimal sample (g-C₃N₄/20.0 wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄) retained its high activity in MO removal (Fig. 4F), indicating that the as-prepared nanocomposite photocatalysts possess good stability in photocatalysis. Noteworthily, no metal-ion release was detected by ICP-OES in the solution after photocatalysis. To further investigate the stability of the as-prepared nanocomposite, results from XRD and TEM were acquired (Fig. S6 and S7, ESI†), which illustrated no clear changes. These data are further strong evidence for the structural robustness of the as-prepared nanocomposite. The above photocatalytic results indicate that the g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposites are capable of an effective photocatalytic H₂ evolution and degradation of MO, while also exhibiting good stability under visible light irradiation.

To ascertain the separation–recombination efficiency of the photogenerated charge of the g-C₃N₄/Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposites, photo/electrochemical characterization was performed as well. As illustrated in Fig. 5A, the g-C₃N₄/20 wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposite exhibited reproducible photocurrent responses for each illumination period with the photocurrent dropping rapidly in the dark during five on–off cycles of light irradiation; better photocurrent responses were displayed as compared to the g-C₃N₄. The photocurrent density of g-C₃N₄/ 20.0 wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ (0.22 μ A cm⁻²) was ~7.3 times higher than that of g-C₃N₄ (0.03 μ A cm⁻²), which reveals that the introduction of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ could provide excellent separation of the photoinduced charge carriers. This argument was also confirmed by the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements (Fig. 5B), which showed that $g-C_3N_4/20.0$ wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ had a smaller arc radius than g-C₃N₄. Furthermore, the steady-state PL spectra (Fig. 5C) demonstrated that the g-C₃N₄/20.0 wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposite had a lower PL intensity than g-C₃N₄, which revealed that the recombination of electrons and holes was effectively suppressed in the g-C₃N₄/ 20.0 wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ sample. In summary, these photo/ electrochemical results suggest that the construction of the g- $C_3N_4/Ag:ZnIn_2S_4$ nanocomposites can accelerate the separation and migration efficiency of photogenerated e⁻ and h, which are highly favorable for photocatalytic H₂ evolution and the photocatalytic degradation of MO.

To evaluate the role of radical species and acquire an in-depth understanding of the photocatalytic reaction mechanism, we conducted control experiments on radical trapping using three different scavengers (Fig. 6). Specifically, BQ, Na₂EDTA, and *t*-BuOH acted as scavengers for capturing O_2^- , h⁺, and OH radicals, respectively.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ It could thus be seen that the



Fig. 6 (A and B) Photocatalytic degradation of MO over $g-C_3N_4/20$ wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ in the presence of three different scavengers under visible light irradiation.



Scheme 2 Schematic illustrating (A) the photocatalytic H_2 production, and (B) the possible mechanisms of the photocatalytic degradation of MO over the $g-C_3N_4/Ag:ZnIn_2S_4$ photocatalyst, under visible light irradiation.

photodegradation activity for MO in the Na₂EDTA-added system was reduced by 35.3%, as compared to the scavenger-free system, which means that h^+ played an important role in the reaction. Besides, when BQ was added, the corresponding reaction performance decreased a little, indicating that O_2^- also participated in the process. However, the addition of *t*-BuOH had almost no impact on the photocatalytic activity. This result suggested that 'OH does not influence the photodegradation of MO.

Based on the above photocatalytic experimental results, the possible charge-transfer process and the corresponding mechanisms of photocatalytic H₂ evolution and MO degradation are illustrated in Scheme 2. When irradiated by visible light, both g-C₃N₄ and Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ could absorb photon energy to generate photoinduced electrons and holes; the photoinduced electrons can move from the VB to the CB state. The CB potential of Ag: $ZnIn_2S_4$ (-1.47 eV vs. NHE) has a higher negative value than that of $g-C_3N_4$ (-1.18 eV vs. NHE), while the VB potential of the g- C_3N_4 (+1.58 eV vs. NHE) has a higher positive value than that of the Ag: $ZnIn_2S_4$ (+1.14 eV vs. NHE). Considering the positions of the CB and VB of g-C₃N₄ and Ag:ZnIn₂S₄, the type-II heterostructure could be established. Furthermore, the photoinduced electrons in the CB of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ were transferred rapidly to the CB of the g-C₃N₄, while the photogenerated holes in the VB of the g-C₃N₄ moved towards the VB of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄. Consequently, the photogenerated electron-hole pairs were effectively separated in the g-C₃N₄/ Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ heterostructure and their recombination could be greatly mitigated via transfer between g-C₃N₄ and Ag:ZnIn₂S₄, as confirmed by steady-state PL and PEC measurements. Therefore, the electrons with strong reducibility that accumulated in the CB of g-C₃N₄ served as reduction sites to capture H₂O molecules for H₂ production (Scheme 2A), while the holes with excellent oxidizability existing in the VB of Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ served as oxidation sites to degrade MO (Scheme 2B).

4 Conclusions

This paper addresses the environmentally important challenge of creating innovative 2D nanomaterials for high-performance photocatalysis. Covering both fundamental and technological aspects of visible light-enabled hydrogen generation by water

splitting and methyl orange degradation, highly active dual nanocomposites were designed, prepared, and tested. In the resulting original 2D/2D heterojunctions, a synergistic enhancement effect of the two building units, g-C₃N₄ and Ag: $ZnIn_2S_4$, along with the importance of their weight ratio, is demonstrated. In both application areas, H2 generation and MO degradation, the optimal g-C₃N₄/20 wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ nanocomposite exhibited greatly enhanced photocatalytic activities vs. the pure g-C₃N₄. The superior photocatalytic performance of g-C₃N₄/20 wt% Ag:ZnIn₂S₄ was ascribed to an intimate heterojunction interface, an improved band matching of the components and interfacial charge transfer, as well as to the modified electronic structure of ZnIn₂S₄ by the Ag⁺ substitutional doping and interstitial doping. Of consequence for the environment and the renewable energy output, the g-C₃N₄/Ag:In₂S₄ photocatalysts showed excellent stability and durability during recycling. Data acquired in this work on the synthesis and practical use of the so far underexplored materials based on 2D/2D heterojunctions could serve future developments in the area of photocatalysis and specifically, the generation of H2 via visible light irradiation as a cheap alternative energy source. Our results can also contribute to the enhancement of the present, much-needed experience for the convenient removal of harmful substances from contaminated waters through photodegradation.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 51402198, 21671139), the National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFF01011400), the Scientific Research Foundation of the Education Department of Liaoning Province (LQ2019011), Key Task and Local Project in Science & Technology of SYUCT (LDB2019004), the Open Funds of the State Key Laboratory of Rare Earth Resource Utilization (RERU2019009). ID and VD acknowledge the support from the Romanian Academy, Institute of Organic Chemistry, Bucharest, Romania.

References

- 1 J. Ran, W. Guo, H. Wang, B. Zhu, J. Yu and S.-Z. Qiao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1800128.
- 2 Y. Gao, J. Lin, Q. Zhang, H. Yu, F. Ding, B. Xu, Y. Sun and Z. Xu, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2018, **224**, 586–593.
- 3 Z. Xu, M. Quintanilla, F. Vetrone, A. O. Govorov, M. Chaker and D. Ma, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 2950–2960.
- 4 Z. Xu, Y. Liu, F. Ren, F. Yang and D. Ma, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **320–321**, 153–180.
- 5 G. Liao, J. Fang, Q. Li, S. Li, Z. Xu and B. Fang, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 7062–7096.
- 6 K. Reilly, B. Fang, F. Taghipour and D. P. Wilkinson, *J. Catal.*, 2017, **353**, 63–73.
- 7 D. Xu, Q. Chu, Z. Wu, Q. Chen, S.-Q. Fan, G.-J. Yang and B. Fang, J. Catal., 2015, 325, 118–127.
- 8 Y.-J. Wang, G. Chang, Q. Chen, G.-J. Yang, S.-Q. Fan and B. Fang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 685–688.
- 9 S.-C. Yang, G. Chang, G.-J. Yang, Y.-J. Wang and B. Fang, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, 5, 228–233.
- 10 A. Fujishima and K. Honda, Nature, 1972, 238, 37.
- 11 X. Chen, S. Shen, L. Guo and S. S. Mao, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6503–6570.
- 12 T. Hisatomi, J. Kubota and K. Domen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 7520–7535.
- 13 G. Zhao, Y. Sun, W. Zhou, X. Wang, K. Chang, G. Liu, H. Liu, T. Kako and J. Ye, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 1703258.
- 14 H. Xu, S. Ouyang, L. Liu, P. Reunchan, N. Umezawa and J. Ye, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 12642–12661.
- 15 X. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, 8, 76–80.
- 16 Z. Xu, B. Xu, K. Qian, Z. Li, F. Ding, M. Fan, Y. Sun and Y. Gao, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 25638–25646.
- 17 C. Yao, A. Yuan, Z. Wang, H. Lei, L. Zhang, L. Guo and X. Dong, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, 7, 13071–13079.
- 18 G. Liao, Y. Gong, L. Zhang, H. Gao, G.-J. Yang and B. Fang, Energy Environ. Sci., 2019, 12, 2080–2147.
- 19 Y. Xiao, G. Tian, W. Li, Y. Xie, B. Jiang, C. Tian, D. Zhao and H. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 2508–2515.
- 20 P. Wang, Z. Shen, Y. Xia, H. Wang, L. Zheng, W. Xi and S. Zhan, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **29**, 1807013.
- 21 X. Chen, J. Zhang, X. Fu, M. Antonietti and X. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 11658–11659.
- 22 L. Sun, M. Yang, J. Huang, D. Yu, W. Hong and X. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 4943–4950.
- 23 V. W.-h. Lau, I. Moudrakovski, T. Botari, S. Weinberger,
 M. B. Mesch, V. Duppel, J. Senker, V. Blum and
 B. V. Lotsch, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, 7, 12165.

- 24 H. Li, Y. Zhou, W. Tu, J. Ye and Z. Zou, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 998–1013.
- 25 J. Ran, G. Gao, F.-T. Li, T.-Y. Ma, A. Du and S.-Z. Qiao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, 8, 13907.
- 26 G. Suo, D. Li, L. Feng, X. Hou, X. Ye, L. Zhang, Q. Yu, Y. Yang and W. Wang, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.*, 2020, 55, 167–172.
- 27 D. Li, J. Zhang, S. M. Ahmed, G. Suo, W. Wang, L. Feng,
 X. Hou, Y. Yang, X. Ye and L. Zhang, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2020, 574, 174–181.
- 28 G. Suo, J. Zhang, D. Li, Q. Yu, M. He, L. Feng, X. Hou, Y. Yang, X. Ye, L. Zhang and W. Wang, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2020, 566, 427–433.
- 29 Z. Zhang, J. Huang, Y. Fang, M. Zhang, K. Liu and B. Dong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1606688.
- 30 G. Gong, Y. Liu, B. Mao, L. Tan, Y. Yang and W. Shi, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 216, 11–19.
- 31 Z. Xu, M. G. Kibria, B. AlOtaibi, P. N. Duchesne, L. V. Besteiro, Y. Gao, Q. Zhang, Z. Mi, P. Zhang, A. O. Govorov, L. Mai, M. Chaker and D. Ma, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2018, 221, 77–85.
- 32 S. Cao, J. Low, J. Yu and M. Jaroniec, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 2150–2176.
- 33 Q. Zhang, F. Yang, S. Zhou, N. Bao, Z. Xu, M. Chaker and D. Ma, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2020, **270**, 118879.
- 34 M.-Y. Ye, Z.-H. Zhao, Z.-F. Hu, L.-Q. Liu, H.-M. Ji, Z.-R. Shen and T.-Y. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 8407–8411.
- 35 Y. Kang, Y. Yang, L.-C. Yin, X. Kang, L. Wang, G. Liu and H.-M. Cheng, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6471–6477.
- 36 S. Wang, B. Y. Guan and X. W. D. Lou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 5037–5040.
- 37 Z. Zhang, J. Huang, M. Zhang, Q. Yuan and B. Dong, *Appl. Catal.*, B, 2015, 163, 298–305.
- 38 L. Ye, D. Wang and S. Chen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 5280–5289.
- 39 G. Liu, P. Niu, C. Sun, S. C. Smith, Z. Chen, G. Q. Lu and H.-M. Cheng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 11642–11648.
- 40 Y. Deng, C. Feng, L. Tang, Y. Zhou, Z. Chen, H. Feng, J. Wang, J. Yu and Y. Liu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **393**, 124718.
- 41 W. Wu, T. Yao, Y. Xiang, H. Zou and Y. Zhou, *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, **49**, 5722–5729.
- 42 K.-Y. Yan, J.-Y. Chen, X.-Y. Li, Q. Wang and G.-C. Kuang, Macromol. Chem. Phys., 2020, 221, 1900553.
- 43 W. Xie, E. Pakdel, Y. Liang, D. Liu, L. Sun and X. Wang, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *A*, 2020, **389**, 112292.
- 44 P. Shao, S. Yu, X. Duan, L. Yang, H. Shi, L. Ding, J. Tian,
 L. Yang, X. Luo and S. Wang, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2020,
 54, 8464–8472.
- 45 P. Shao, J. Tian, F. Yang, X. Duan, S. Gao, W. Shi, X. Luo, F. Cui, S. Luo and S. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, 28, 1705295.
- 46 P. Shao, X. Duan, J. Xu, J. Tian, W. Shi, S. Gao, M. Xu, F. Cui and S. Wang, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2017, **322**, 532–539.

 Dpen Access Article. Published on 03 September 2020. Downloaded on 8/25/2025 6:38:32 AM.

 This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.