


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2020, 10, 36265

# A coumarin derivative-Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex-based fluorescent chemosensor for detection of biothiols†

 Nguyen Khoa Hien,<sup>†a</sup> Mai Van Bay,<sup>‡bc</sup> Phan Diem Tran,<sup>a</sup> Nguyen Tan Khanh,<sup>d</sup> Nguyen Dinh Luyen,<sup>b</sup> Quan V. Vo,<sup>†e</sup> Dang Ung Van,<sup>f</sup> Pham Cam Nam<sup>†g</sup> and Duong Tuan Quang<sup>†\*b</sup>

Herein, a novel fluorescent sensor has been developed for the detection of biothiols based on theoretical calculations of the stability constant of the complex between a Cu<sup>2+</sup> ion and (*E*)-3-((2-(benzo[*d*]thiazol-2-yl)hydrazono)methyl)-7-(diethylamino) coumarin (BDC) as a fluorescent ligand. In this study, on the basis of density functional theory method, the Gibbs free energy of ligand-exchange reaction and the solvation model were carried out using thermodynamic cycles. The obtained results are in good agreement with the experimental data. The BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex can be used as a fluorescent sensor for the detection of biothiols in the presence of non-thiol containing amino acids, with a detection limit for cysteine at 0.3 μM. Moreover, theoretical calculations of excited states were used to elucidate variations in the fluorescence properties. The computed results show that the excited doublet states D<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>1</sub> are dark doublet states, which quench the fluorescence of the complex.

 Received 29th June 2020  
 Accepted 14th September 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra05651k

[rsc.li/rsc-advances](http://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

## 1. Introduction

Cysteine (Cys), homocysteine (Hcy), and glutathione (GSH) are thiol biomolecules that play an important role in human biological processes. Some diseases are believed to be related to abnormalities in the concentrations of these compounds in biological systems. A low level of Cys may cause a decline in the immune system, resulting in diseases such as infections, cancer, Parkinson's, Alzheimer's, retarded growth, liver damage, and skin lesions.<sup>1-4</sup> A high level of Hcy is believed to be associated with heart disease, thromboembolic disease, stroke, atherosclerosis, renal and thyroid dysfunction, psoriasis, diabetes, and cancer.<sup>5,6</sup> Disturbances in GSH homeostasis are

associated with some diseases including immune diseases, inflammatory, cancer, metabolic diseases, diseases of aging, cystic fibrosis, cardiovascular, and neurodegenerative diseases.<sup>1,7</sup> As a result, the development of selective and sensitive detection methods for biothiols has been attracting the attention of scientists. In particular, analytical methods based on fluorescence technique have been widely developed due to their outstanding advantages.<sup>8,9</sup> This technique, in particular, can be used to detect biothiols in living cells.<sup>10,11</sup> A number of fluorescent sensors have been reported based on various interactions with biothiols, such as Michael addition,<sup>12,13</sup> addition-cyclization with acrylates or aldehydes,<sup>14,15</sup> cleavage reactions of sulfonamide, sulfonate ester, disulfides,<sup>16-18</sup> substitution reactions,<sup>19</sup> and disulfide exchange reactions.<sup>20</sup> Recently, sensors for biothiols have been widely synthesized and reported; their working mechanism is based on the reactions between biothiols and complexes of fluorescent ligands with metal ions.<sup>21,22</sup> This approach has opened a new research direction taking advantage of complexes between fluorescent sensors and metal ions towards the detection of biothiols. These works become more convenient if the stability constants of complexes can be determined. Recently, some theoretical computational models for determining the stability constants of complexes have been proposed with the aim of replacing traditional experimental methods.<sup>23-25</sup> However, the accuracy of these models needs to be verified before their application. This problem can be effectively solved by combining theoretical calculations and empirical investigations to determine the stability constant of complexes.

<sup>a</sup>Mien Trung Institute for Scientific Research, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hue 530000, Vietnam

<sup>b</sup>University of Education, Hue University, Hue 530000, Vietnam. E-mail: dtquang@hueuni.edu.vn

<sup>c</sup>The University of Danang – University of Science and Education, Danang 550000, Vietnam

<sup>d</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Hue University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Hue 530000, Vietnam

<sup>e</sup>Faculty of Chemical Technology-Environment, The University of Danang – University of Technology and Education, 48 Cao Thang, Danang 550000, Vietnam

<sup>f</sup>Hoa Binh University, Hanoi 100000, Vietnam

<sup>g</sup>The University of Danang – University of Science and Technology, Danang 550000, Vietnam. E-mail: pcnam@dut.udn.vn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra05651k

‡ These authors contributed equally to the work.



In the present study, a complex between a  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ion and a fluorescent ligand is reported as a novel chemosensor for the detection of biothiols based on complex exchange reactions. The stability constant of the complex, also known as the complexation equilibrium constant in aqueous solutions, was calculated using the Gibbs free energy of the ligand-exchange reaction determined by a solvation model based on density (SMD), a density functional theory (DFT) method, and thermodynamic cycles.<sup>26,27</sup> The calculated results are in good agreement with the experimental data obtained by a nonlinear curve-fitting method.<sup>28,29</sup> From the value of the stability constant, the applicability of the complex as a fluorescent sensor for the detection of biothiols based on the complex exchange reaction was predicted. This was further confirmed by the experimental data obtained by applying this complex as a fluorescent sensor. In addition, herein, theoretical calculations of the excited states were used to explain the fluorescence properties of the substances.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Instruments

The Shimadzu RF-5301 spectrofluorophotometer, Shimadzu UV-1800 UV-Vis spectrophotometer, Varian Unity NMR instruments (200 MHz), and electrospray ionization mass spectrometer (ESI MS) were used to obtain the fluorescence spectra, UV-Vis absorption spectra, NMR spectra, and MS spectra, respectively.

### 2.2 Reagents

All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich and used directly without further purification. In particular, 4-(diethylamino)salicylaldehyde, 2-hydrazinobenzothiazole, diethyl malonate, and triethylamine were synthesis grade reagents. Cysteine, glutathione, homocysteine, alanine, aspartic acid, arginine, glycine, glutamic acid, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, threonine, serine, tyrosine, tryptophan, valine, histidine, HEPES, acetic acid, HCl, NaOH,  $\text{POCl}_3$ , and nitrate salts of  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  were analytical-grade reagents.

All solvents were purchased from Merck. Ethanol was HPLC-grade without fluorescent impurities and used without further purification. DMF was also HPLC-grade; however, it was redistilled before use.  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was two times distilled water.

### 2.3 Computational methodology

**2.3.1 Optimization of the geometries, energies, and absorption and fluorescence properties.** Quantum chemical calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 program package.<sup>30</sup> The optimization of the geometries of related moieties was carried out using density functional theory (DFT) at the PBE0/6-31+G(d) level of theory.<sup>31–34</sup> Single-point energies of the optimized geometries in the gas phase were calculated at the higher 6-311++G(d,p) basis set.<sup>35–39</sup> The variation in the Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) of reactions was determined by the difference between the sums of the electronic and thermal free energies of products and reactants. The absorption and fluorescence properties were investigated based on the electron excited states of the optimized geometries using the time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) at the PBE0/6-311++G(d,p) level.<sup>40–43</sup>

**2.3.2 Theoretical method for determining the stability constants of the complex.** The stability constant of the complex, also known as the complexation equilibrium constant in aqueous solutions ( $\log \beta$ ), is calculated by the Gibbs free energies of ligand-exchange reactions determined using thermodynamic cycles, the DFT theory method, and the SMD solvent model (Scheme 1).<sup>23–27</sup> Accordingly, the complexation equilibrium constant in aqueous solutions ( $\log \beta$ ) is calculated by the following equation:

$$\log \beta = \frac{\Delta G_{\text{aq}}}{RT \ln(10)} + \log \beta_{\text{ref}} - (x - y) \log[\text{H}_2\text{O}] \quad (1)$$

where  $[\text{H}_2\text{O}]$  is the concentration of water in the standard state, which can be approximated to be 55.56 M.<sup>44,45</sup>  $\log \beta_{\text{ref}}$  is the experimental equilibrium constant in the aqueous solution of  $[\text{CuL}_{\text{ref}}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_x]^{2+}$ , which was used as a reference complex for calculation.  $\Delta G_{\text{aq}}$  is the Gibbs free energy of the ligand-exchange reaction in the aqueous solution, which was determined by Scheme 1 and calculated using eqn (2):


$$\Delta G_{\text{aq}} = \Delta G_{\text{g}} + \Delta \Delta G_{\text{solv}} \quad (2)$$

$\Delta G_{\text{g}}$  and  $\Delta \Delta G_{\text{solv}}$  were calculated by eqn (3) and (4), respectively:

$$\Delta G_{\text{g}} = \sum (\Delta_0 + G_{\text{corr}})_{\text{products}} - \sum (\Delta_0 + G_{\text{corr}})_{\text{reactants}} \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta \Delta G_{\text{solv}} = (x - y) \Delta G_{\text{solv}}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{\text{g}} + \Delta G_{\text{solv}}([\text{CuL}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_y]^{2+}) + \Delta G_{\text{solv}}(\text{L}_{\text{ref}})_{\text{g}} - \Delta G_{\text{solv}}(\text{L})_{\text{g}} - \Delta G_{\text{solv}}([\text{CuL}_{\text{ref}}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_x]^{2+}) \quad (4)$$

where  $\Delta G_{\text{g}}$  (the variation in the Gibbs free energy of the reaction) is the difference between the sums of the electronic ( $\epsilon_0$ ) and



Scheme 1 Thermodynamic cycle for the calculation of the Gibbs free energy of a ligand-exchange reaction in an aqueous solution,  $\Delta G_{\text{aq}}$ .







Fig. 1 Schematic of the synthesis route of BDC.

thermal free energies ( $G_{\text{corr}}$ ) of products and reactants in the gas phase at the PBE0/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory and  $\Delta G_{\text{soln}}$  is the free energy of solvation of each compound, which was calculated using the solvent model density (SMD) model at the M052x/6-31+G(d) level of theory.<sup>46–49</sup>

**2.3.3 Experimental method for determining the stability constants of the complex.** The experimental complexation equilibrium constant can also be determined by a nonlinear curve-fitting method.<sup>28,29</sup> In this case, the experimental complexation equilibrium constant ( $\beta_{\text{ex}}$ ) of reaction (5) is calculated by a nonlinear curve-fitting method based on the fluorescence titration spectra of a fluorescent ligand solution (L) with the gradual addition of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions.



$$\beta_{\text{ex}} = \frac{[\text{CuL}]^{2+}}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}][\text{L}]} \quad (6)$$

According to this method, the experimental complexation equilibrium constant ( $\beta_{\text{ex}}$ ) is detected by the nonlinear curve-fitting method based on the relationship between the two quantities  $y = C_M$  and  $x = F/F_0$ , as shown below (see details in the ESI<sup>†</sup>):

$$y = C_L(1 - x) + P_1 \left( \frac{1 - x}{x} \right) \quad (7)$$

where

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{\beta_{\text{ex}}} \quad (8)$$

$C_M$  is the total concentration of the  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions added to the solution,  $F_0$  is the fluorescence intensity of the free L solution (concentration of L is  $C_L$ ) at the time when the concentration of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions was zero, and  $F$  is the fluorescence intensity of the L solution at the time when the concentration of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions was  $C_M$ .

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Characterization of the fluorescent ligand and its complex with a metal ion

(*E*)-3-((2-(Benzo[*d*]thiazol-2-yl)hydrazono)methyl)-7-(diethylamino) coumarin (**BDC**) was synthesized in about 30% overall yield using 4-(diethylamino)salicylaldehyde *via* the four steps shown in Fig. 1. The structural characteristics of **BDC** were confirmed by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and mass spectra (ESI<sup>†</sup>).

The experimental results show that the free ligand **BDC** is a fluorescent compound. It exhibits a characteristic emission band peaked at 536 nm, with a fluorescence quantum yield ( $\Phi$ ) of 0.11 calculated using fluorescein in 0.1 N NaOH ( $\Phi = 0.85$ ) as a ref. 50. When 1 equivalent of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  was added to the **BDC** solution, the fluorescence intensity of the solution was almost




Fig. 2 (a) Fluorescence spectra of **BDC** after the addition of 1 equiv of various metal ions, *i.e.*  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions, and (b) fluorescence titration spectra of **BDC** with the gradual addition of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions (**BDC**: 5  $\mu\text{M}$  in ethanol/HEPES, pH: 7.4; 1/1, v/v; and excitation wavelength: 460 nm).






Fig. 3 Optimized geometries of BDC at the PBE0/6-31+G(d) level of theory.

completely quenched, over 95%. However, the fluorescence intensity of the **BDC** solution was quenched only about 40% when 1 equivalent of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  was added. On the other hand, the addition of 1 equivalent of other metal ions, *i.e.*  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  ions, hardly changed the fluorescence spectra of the **BDC** solution (Fig. 2a). The fluorescence titration spectra of **BDC** with the gradual addition of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions, as shown in Fig. 2b, indicated that the reaction between **BDC** and  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  occurred in a 1 : 1 stoichiometry. In another experiment, when 1 equivalent of EDTA was added to the solution obtained after adding 1 equivalent of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  to the **BDC** solution, the fluorescence intensity was almost restored to the original fluorescence intensity of the free ligand **BDC**. These

results indicated that the reaction between  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions and **BDC** was a reversible reaction and led to the formation of a complex with a 1 : 1 stoichiometry.

The optimization of the geometries of **BDC** and its 1 : 1 complex with  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  was performed using the PBE0 functional with the 6-31+G(d) basis set. The calculated results are presented in Fig. 3 and 4 and Tables S1–S7.†

Herein, three optimized geometries of **BDC** were defined and denoted as L, L-1, and L-2. In each of these geometries, most atoms are in the same plane except for the atoms of the ethylamino groups. In the L geometry, the configuration of the two chain bonds C16–C15–C17–N36 and N36–N35–C41–N34 is similar to *trans-trans* configuration. Moreover, in the L-1




Fig. 4 Optimized geometries of the **BDC**– $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  complex at the PBE0/6-31+G(d) level of theory.



geometry, the configuration of these two chain bonds is similar to *cis-trans* configuration. In the L-2 geometry, the configuration of these two chain bonds is similar to *cis-cis* configuration. The calculated results show that L is the most energetically favorable geometry. Consequently, the L geometry was used to define the configuration of the complex as well as calculate the Gibbs free energy of the ligand-exchange reaction in the aqueous solution.

Moreover, four optimized geometries of the 1 : 1 complexes between L and Cu<sup>2+</sup> ion were defined and denoted as S-1, S-2, S-3, and S-4. The molecular formulas of the S-1, S-2, S-3, and S-4 geometries are [Cu(L)]<sup>2+</sup>, [Cu(L)]<sup>2+</sup>, [Cu(L)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sup>2+</sup>, and [Cu(L)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, respectively. The calculated results show that the reactions for the formation of S-1, S-2, S-3, and S-4 geometries are energetically favorable (Table S8†).

The molecular structures of the S-1, S-2, S-3, and S-4 geometries are presented in Table S9,† including bond lengths, bond angles, and dihedral bond angles, and the coordination numbers of the S-1, S-2, S-3, and S-4 geometries are 3, 3, 4, and 5, respectively. These values are consistent with those reported in previous studies.<sup>41,51–54</sup> All contact distances of the coordination bonds are significantly shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of relevant atoms (Cu: 1.40 Å, N: 1.55 Å, O: 1.52 Å, and S: 1.80 Å). All the four complexes have three coordination bonds between a Cu atom and three atoms of the L ligand. These four atoms are roughly on the same plane, forming the bond angles of approximately 180° and 90°. The formation of complexes mainly leads to changes in the configurations of the two chain bonds C16–C15–C17–N36 and N36–N35–C41–N34 in the BDC moieties. The configurations of the S-2 and S-1, S-3, and S-4 geometries are similar to “*cis-trans*” and “*cis-cis*” configurations, respectively.

The complexation equilibrium constants in the aqueous solutions of the S-1, S-2, S-3, and S-4 complex configurations were calculated *via* the Gibbs free energies of ligand-exchange reactions determined using the thermodynamic cycles, DFT theory method, and SMD solvent model (Scheme 1). Herein, the reference complex [CuL<sub>ref</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> was used for calculation, which is a complex between the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions and histamine (L<sub>ref</sub>) as a ligand, and the experimental equilibrium constant in the aqueous solution (log β<sub>ref</sub>) of this complex was 9.55 (ref. 55 and 56) (the optimized geometry of histamine (L<sub>ref</sub>) and cartesian coordinate are presented in Fig. S5, S6 and Tables S10 and S11 in the ESI†). The calculation results presented in Table 1 indicate that S-1 is the most stable configuration with a calculated




Fig. 5 Nonlinear curve-fitting method for the determination of the complexation equilibrium constants in the aqueous solution of the [CuL]<sup>2+</sup> complex. C<sub>M</sub> is the total concentration of the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ion added to the solution: 0–10 µM; F<sub>0</sub> is the fluorescence intensity of the free BDC solution (C<sub>L</sub> = 5 µM, in ethanol/HEPES, pH: 7.4, 1/1, v/v); F is the fluorescence intensity of the BDC solution at the time when the concentration of Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions was C<sub>M</sub>; excitation wavelength: 460 nm; and emission wavelength: 536 nm.

complexation equilibrium constant of 10<sup>7.16</sup>. Consequently, the S-1 configuration was used for all subsequent studies of the BDC–Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex.

In order to verify the calculated complexation equilibrium constant before studying the applications of the complex, the experimental complexation equilibrium constant was also determined by a nonlinear curve-fitting method. The fluorescent titration and nonlinear curve-fitting results are shown in Fig. 5. Accordingly, the experimental complexation equilibrium constant was determined to be 10<sup>7.15</sup> (M<sup>-1</sup>).

This result is in good agreement with the above calculated complexation equilibrium constant. These results provide further important evidence confirming the correctness of the proposed method for the determination of the complexation equilibrium constants in aqueous solutions using DFT theory and the SMD solvent model.

In terms of application, this value of the complexation equilibrium constant of Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions with BDC is significantly smaller than that of the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions with biothiols. For example, the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions react with Cys to form a [CuX<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> complex with an equilibrium constant of 10<sup>16.57,58</sup>. Moreover, the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions react with Hey to form a [CuHY]<sup>2+</sup> complex with an equilibrium

Table 1 The calculated complexation equilibrium constants in the aqueous solutions of various complex configurations (energies in kcal)<sup>a</sup>

	$\Delta G_{\text{solv}}$					$\Delta\Delta G_{\text{solv}}$	$\Delta G_{\text{g}}$	$\Delta G_{\text{aq}}$	$\log \beta_{\text{ref}}$	$\log \beta$
	A	B	C	D	E					
S-1	-201.61	-15.35	-172.79	-8.84	-13.50	12.99	-14.47	-1.48	9.55	7.16
S-2	-201.61	-15.35	-152.85	-8.84	-13.50	32.93	-2.08	30.85	9.55	-16.56
S-3	-201.61	-15.35	-157.3	-8.84	-13.50	37.32	-34.03	3.29	9.55	5.40
S-4	-201.61	-15.35	-148.75	-8.84	-13.50	54.71	-42.46	12.25	9.55	0.57

<sup>a</sup> A: [CuL<sub>ref</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>x</sub>]<sub>(g)</sub><sup>2+</sup>, B: L<sub>(g)</sub>, C: [CuL(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>y</sub>]<sub>(g)</sub><sup>2+</sup>, D: H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>(g)</sub>, E: L<sub>ref(g)</sub>.






Fig. 6 (a) Fluorescence titration spectra of  $\text{BDC-Cu}^{2+}$  with the gradual addition of Cys and (b) variation in the fluorescence intensity of  $\text{BDC-Cu}^{2+}$  with the gradual addition of Cys at the emission wavelength of 536 nm.  $\text{BDC-Cu}^{2+}$ :  $5 \mu\text{M}$ , in ethanol/HEPES, pH: 7.4, 1/1, v/v; Cys: 0, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 13.0, 17.0, and  $20.0 \mu\text{M}$ ; and excitation: 460 nm.




Fig. 7 Schematic of the reaction between the  $\text{BDC-Cu}^{2+}$  complex and Cys.

constant of  $10^{13.5}$ .<sup>59</sup> Compared to the abovementioned reactions, the reactions between the  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions and GSH are more complicated. In particular, the main reaction occurs between the  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions and GSH to generate a complex of  $\text{Cu}^+$  ions with GSH in the form of  $[\text{CuZ}_2]^+$  and introduce the GSSG (oxidized glutathione). Herein, the stability constant of the  $[\text{CuZ}_2]^+$  complex is substantially large, equal to  $10^{38.8}$ .<sup>60-62</sup> Based on these results, it can be anticipated that the complex of BDC with the  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions can be used as a fluorescent sensor for the detection of biothiols based on the complex exchange reactions.

### 3.2 Application of the $\text{BDC-Cu}^{2+}$ complex in the detection of biothiols

The application of the  $\text{BDC-Cu}^{2+}$  complex as a fluorescent sensor for the detection of cysteine was investigated. As shown in Fig. 6, when Cys was gradually added to the solution of the  $\text{BDC-Cu}^{2+}$  complex, the fluorescence intensity at 536 nm accordingly changed and increased. It almost restored to the original fluorescence intensity of free BDC when Cys was added to the solution at a concentration greater than or equal to twice that of the  $\text{BDC-Cu}^{2+}$  complex. These results show that the stoichiometry of the reaction between Cys and the  $\text{BDC-Cu}^{2+}$  complex is 2 : 1, and this reaction leads to the formation of the  $[\text{CuX}_2]^+$  complex (X: Cys) and the release of free BDC, resulting in the restoration of fluorescence intensity (Fig. 7). This result is

also consistent with those reported in previous studies on the composition of the complex of Cys and  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ion.<sup>57,58</sup>

To use the  $\text{BDC-Cu}^{2+}$  complex as a fluorescent sensor for the determination of Cys, the interactions of the  $\text{BDC-Cu}^{2+}$  complex with  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  and other amino acids were also investigated. The experimental results in Fig. 8 show that thiol-containing amino acids, such as GSH and Hcy, also cause fluorescence recovery. Moreover,  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  and non-thiol containing amino acids, such as




Fig. 8 Fluorescence spectra of BDC ( $5 \mu\text{M}$ ); BDC ( $5 \mu\text{M}$ )+ $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ( $5 \mu\text{M}$ ); BDC ( $5 \mu\text{M}$ ) +  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ( $5 \mu\text{M}$ ) + Cys/Hcy/GSH/Ala, Asp, Arg, Gly, Glu, Ile, Leu, Lys, Met, Thr, Ser, Tyr, Trp, Val, His, and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  ( $10 \mu\text{M}$ ).






Fig. 9 The characteristics of the main transition between the electron excited states and ground states of BDC and BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> at the PBE0/6-31+G(d) level.

alanine (Ala), arginine (Arg), aspartic acid (Asp), glutamic acid (Glu), glycine (Gly), histidine (His), isoleucine (Ile), leucine (Leu), lysine (Lys), methionine (Met), serine (Ser), threonine (Thr), tyrosine (Tyr), tryptophan (Trp) and valine (Val), did not cause any changes in the fluorescence spectrum of the BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> solution. These results indicate that the BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex can be used as a fluorescent sensor for the detection of thiol-containing amino acids in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>S and non-thiol containing amino acids in the concentration range from 0 to 10 μM (from 0 to 2 equivalent). In addition, the experimental results show that the presence of H<sub>2</sub>S at concentrations greater than 10 μM affects the performance of BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> in the detection of biothiols (Fig. S7†).

Similar to the cases of previous studies reported on fluorescent sensors based on complex exchange reactions,<sup>63,64</sup> the BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex also reacted with thiols, including Cys, Hcy, and GSH, and provided similar results. However, in many actual samples, these thiols do not occur simultaneously. For example, in human whole blood, the concentration of reduced glutathione is significantly higher (up to 1 mM) than that of the other thiols. Furthermore, in human plasma, the total concentration of Cys species is up to 250 μM, whereas the concentrations of GSH and Hcy are almost negligible.<sup>65–67</sup> Therefore, the BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex can be used as a fluorescent sensor for the detection of Cys, Hcy, or GSH depending on the actual cases.

The applicability of the BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex as a fluorescent sensor for the quantification of Cys was also investigated. As shown in Fig. 6b, there is a good linear relationship between the fluorescence intensity of the BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> solution and the concentration of Cys when Cys is added to the BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> solution (5 μM). In the Cys concentration range from 0 to 10 μM, the calibration curve equation was determined as follows:  $F_{I536} = (3.8 \pm 10.4) + (87.1 \pm 1.8) \times [\text{Cys}]$ ,  $R = 0.996$ . The limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantitation (LOQ) of the method were also evaluated by the linear regression method

using a calibration curve with a range of low concentrations of Cys (0–5 μM).<sup>68</sup> The values of these parameters were 0.3 and 1.1 μM, (Fig. S8†). Moreover, the intracellular concentration of Cys was 30–200 μM.<sup>63</sup> These results indicate that the BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex can be used as a fluorescent sensor for the quantification of intracellular Cys and has greater sensitivity than that of the similar previously reported sensors.<sup>69,70</sup>

### 3.3 Theoretical investigation of the changes in the fluorescence properties of the complexes and ligands

The fluorescence properties of the ligand and complex were investigated based on the electronic excited states using time-dependent density-functional theory (TD-DFT) at the PBE0/6-31+G(d) level. The obtained results are presented in Table 2 and Fig. 9.

The results provided in Table 2 indicate that in BDC, the S<sub>0</sub> → S<sub>1</sub> transition plays a key role in all the singlet electronic transitions from ground state (S<sub>0</sub>) to excited states (S<sub>n</sub>). This is due to the fact that the oscillator strength (*f*) of this transition is 1.2659, substantially larger than those of the other transitions. The S<sub>0</sub> → S<sub>1</sub> transition energy is 2.74 eV (452.9 nm). The calculated result is completely consistent with the empirical investigations, indicating that BDC exhibits a maximum absorption peak at 460 nm. The HOMO → LUMO transition plays a key role in all the orbital transitions from S<sub>0</sub> to S<sub>1</sub>, with a percentage contribution of 98.58%. This transition occurs between two adjacent MOs. Therefore, it can be firmly affirmed that photoinduced electron transfer (PET) does not occur in BDC, and the abovementioned transition leads to a green emission at 536 nm, as observed *via* empirical investigations.<sup>71,72</sup>

The formation of the BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex leads to a significant decrease in the energy gap between the ground state and the excited states of BDC. As a result, the energy gap between the excited state and the D<sub>0</sub> state reaches 2.88 eV (430.7 nm)



**Table 2** The calculated excitation energy ( $E$ ), wavelength ( $\lambda$ ), and oscillator strength ( $f$ ) for BDC and BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> at the PBE0/6-31+G(d) level of theory

Compound	State	$E$ (eV)	$\lambda$ (nm)	$f$	Contribution of orbital transition	
					Composition	Percentage contribution (%)
BDC	S <sub>0</sub> → S <sub>1</sub>	2.74	452.9	1.2659	HOMO → LUMO	98.58
	S <sub>0</sub> → S <sub>2</sub>	3.60	346.4	0.0585	HOMO-1 → LUMO	97.59
	S <sub>0</sub> → S <sub>3</sub>	3.98	311.6	0.3340	HOMO → LUMO+1	80.64
	S <sub>0</sub> → S <sub>4</sub>	4.12	301.1	0.1155	HOMO-3 → LUMO	69.20
	S <sub>0</sub> → S <sub>5</sub>	4.14	299.2	0.0325	HOMO-2 → LUMO	90.33
	S <sub>0</sub> → S <sub>6</sub>	4.40	281.8	0.0293	HOMO-3 → LUMO	15.72
BDC-Cu <sup>2+</sup>	D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>1</sub>	0.92	1350.8	0.0054	HOMO <sub>β</sub> → SOMO <sub>β</sub>	87.88
	D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>2</sub>	1.44	861.9	0.0099	HOMO-2 <sub>β</sub> → SOMO <sub>β</sub>	15.15
	D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>3</sub>	HOMO-1 <sub>β</sub> → SOMO <sub>β</sub>	42.81			
		SOMO <sub>α</sub> → LUMO <sub>α</sub>	30.25			
	D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>4</sub>	HOMO <sub>β</sub> → LUMO <sub>β</sub>	27.33			
		HOMO-3 <sub>β</sub> → SOMO <sub>β</sub>	47.21			
	D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>5</sub>	HOMO-2 <sub>β</sub> → SOMO <sub>β</sub>	21.27			
		HOMO-1 <sub>β</sub> → SOMO <sub>β</sub>	34.64			
	D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>6</sub>	HOMO-21 <sub>β</sub> → SOMO <sub>β</sub>	32.03			
		HOMO-28 <sub>β</sub> → SOMO <sub>β</sub>	15.67			
	D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>7</sub>	HOMO-21 <sub>β</sub> → SOMO <sub>β</sub>	26.27			
		HOMO-2 <sub>β</sub> → SOMO <sub>β</sub>	40.68			
	D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>8</sub>	SOMO <sub>α</sub> → LUMO+1 <sub>α</sub>	24.45			
HOMO <sub>β</sub> → LUMO+1 <sub>β</sub>		23.65				
D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>9</sub>	SOMO <sub>α</sub> → LUMO <sub>α</sub>	39.49				
	HOMO <sub>β</sub> → LUMO <sub>β</sub>	41.68				
D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>10</sub>	HOMO-3 <sub>β</sub> → SOMO <sub>β</sub>	63.47				
	HOMO-4 <sub>β</sub> → SOMO <sub>β</sub>	66.61				
D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>11</sub>	HOMO <sub>α</sub> → LUMO <sub>α</sub>	22.56				
	SOMO <sub>α</sub> → LUMO+1 <sub>α</sub>	17.29				
D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>12</sub>	HOMO-1 <sub>β</sub> → LUMO <sub>β</sub>	21.26				
	HOMO <sub>β</sub> → LUMO+1 <sub>β</sub>	16.81				
D <sub>0</sub> → D <sub>13</sub>						

only when the D<sub>10</sub> excited state is attained. The D<sub>0</sub> → D<sub>10</sub> transition has an oscillator strength of 1.2645, significantly bigger than that of the other transitions; therefore, this transition plays a key role in all the electronic transitions. The D<sub>0</sub> → D<sub>10</sub> transition is mainly contributed by the SOMO → LUMO and HOMO → LUMO transitions, with the percentage contributions of 39.49% and 41.68%, respectively. These transitions are believed to lead to a strong absorption spectrum, as observed in the experiments. Regarding the fluorescence properties of the BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex, on the basis of Kasha's rule, the fluorescence occurs from the lowest-lying electron excited state D<sub>1</sub>,<sup>73,74</sup> in which the D<sub>1</sub> → D<sub>0</sub> transition is mainly contributed by the LUMO → SOMO. However, the lack of overlapping between the LUMO and SOMO makes the radiation transition D<sub>1</sub> → D<sub>0</sub> strongly forbidden. Instead, the internal conversion process occurs from D<sub>1</sub> to D<sub>0</sub> as a radiationless transition. Considering the exceptions of the Kasha rule, from the D<sub>2</sub> state to the D<sub>10</sub> state, the energy gap between adjacent excited states is quite small, less than 0.42. Thus, according to the energy gap law for radiationless transitions, the internal conversion processes from the excited states at higher energy level to the excited state at adjacent low energy levels quickly occur, competing with the

fluorescent radiation process, which quenches the D<sub>n</sub> → D<sub>0</sub> fluorescence ( $n > 2$ ).<sup>75,76</sup> Only the energy gap between the D<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>1</sub> states is quite large, 0.52 eV, which should be considered. The D<sub>2</sub> → D<sub>0</sub> transition is mainly contributed by the SOMO → HOMO-2 and SOMO → HOMO-1 transitions. However, the lack of overlapping between the SOMO and HOMO-2 or HOMO-1 makes the radiation transition D<sub>2</sub> → D<sub>0</sub> strongly forbidden. As a result, the IC process is also preferred over the fluorescent radiation process, which quenches the D<sub>2</sub> → D<sub>0</sub> fluorescence. In summary, in the BDC-Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex, since the energy gap between adjacent excited states is small, the transitions from the excited states D<sub>n</sub> ( $n > 2$ ) to the excited state D<sub>2</sub> are dominated by the internal conversion processes. Moreover, due to the lack of overlapping between MOs during each transition, the D<sub>1</sub> → D<sub>0</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> → D<sub>0</sub> transitions are dominated by the internal conversion processes. The D<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>1</sub> states are dark doublet states that quench the fluorescence.<sup>77</sup>

## 4. Conclusions

In summary, herein, a complex exchange reaction-based fluorescent chemosensor was investigated for the detection of



biothiols. This sensor was designed based on the theoretical calculations of the stability constants of the complex between the  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions and fluorescent ligands. The obtained results are completely consistent with the experimental results. The BDC- $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  complex can be used for the detection of biothiols in the presence of non-thiol containing amino acids. The limit of detection and the limit of quantitation of the proposed chemosensor for Cys are 0.3 and 1.1  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively. This result opens a new research direction toward the utilization of the complexes between metal ions and fluorescent ligands for the detection of biothiols based on the theoretical calculations of the stability constants.

In this study, the theoretical calculations of the excited states were also used to elucidate the changes in the fluorescence properties of compounds. The quenching of the fluorescence of the BDC- $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  complex occurred because the internal conversion processes dominated the fluorescence process due to the small energy gap between adjacent excited states, from the excited states  $D_n$  ( $n > 2$ ) to the excited state  $D_2$ . Moreover, the  $D_2$  and  $D_1$  states are dark doublet states because of the lack of overlapping between MOs during each transition.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 104.06-2016.32 (Nguyen Khoa Hien).

## References

- 1 P. Ghezzi, *Int. J. Gen. Med.*, 2011, **4**, 105.
- 2 S. Hasanbasic, A. Jahic, E. Karahmet, A. Sejrancic and B. Prnjavorac, *Mater. Soc. Med.*, 2016, **28**, 235.
- 3 C. B. Pocerlich and D. A. Butterfield, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Basis Dis.*, 2012, **1822**, 625–630.
- 4 S. Shahrokhian, *Anal. Chem.*, 2001, **73**, 5972–5978.
- 5 P. Ganguly and S. F. Alam, *Nutr. J.*, 2015, **14**, 1–10.
- 6 D. Faeh, A. Chiolero and F. Paccaud, *Swiss Med. Wkly.*, 2006, **136**, 745–756.
- 7 N. Ballatori, S. M. Krance, S. Notenboom, S. Shi, K. Tieu and C. L. Hammond, *Biol. Chem.*, 2009, **390**, 191–214.
- 8 D. T. Quang and J. S. Kim, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6280–6301.
- 9 N. K. Hien, P. T. Quy, N. T. Trung, V. Vien, D. Van Khanh, N. T. A. Nhung and D. T. Quang, *Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **43**, 1034–1036.
- 10 X. Chen, Y. Zhou, X. Peng and J. Yoon, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 2120–2135.
- 11 C. Yin, F. Huo, J. Zhang, R. Martínez-Máñez, Y. Yang, H. Li and S. Li, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 6032–6059.
- 12 X. Zhang, Y. Yan, Y. Hang, J. Wang, J. Hua and H. Tian, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 5760–5763.
- 13 Y.-Q. Sun, M. Chen, J. Liu, X. Lv, J.-f. Li and W. Guo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 11029–11031.
- 14 F. Kong, R. Liu, R. Chu, X. Wang, K. Xu and B. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 9176–9178.
- 15 J. Shi, Y. Wang, X. Tang, W. Liu, H. Jiang, W. Dou and W. Liu, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2014, **100**, 255–260.
- 16 S. Ji, H. Guo, X. Yuan, X. Li, H. Ding, P. Gao, C. Zhao, W. Wu, W. Wu and J. Zhao, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 2876–2879.
- 17 X.-D. Jiang, J. Zhang, X. Shao and W. Zhao, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 1966–1968.
- 18 M. Wei, P. Yin, Y. Shen, L. Zhang, J. Deng, S. Xue, H. Li, B. Guo, Y. Zhang and S. Yao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 4640–4642.
- 19 Y.-S. Guan, L.-Y. Niu, Y.-Z. Chen, L.-Z. Wu, C.-H. Tung and Q.-Z. Yang, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 8360–8364.
- 20 M. H. Lee, J. H. Han, P.-S. Kwon, S. Bhuniya, J. Y. Kim, J. L. Sessler, C. Kang and J. S. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 1316–1322.
- 21 Y. Bao, Q. Li, B. Liu, F. Du, J. Tian, H. Wang, Y. Wang and R. Bai, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 118–120.
- 22 D. T. Nhan, N. K. Hien, H. Van Duc, N. T. A. Nhung, N. T. Trung, D. U. Van, W. S. Shin, J. S. Kim and D. T. Quang, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2016, **131**, 301–306.
- 23 D. Devarajan, P. Lian, S. C. Brooks, J. M. Parks and J. C. Smith, *ACS Earth Space Chem.*, 2018, **2**, 1168–1178.
- 24 O. Gutten and L. Rulisek, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 10347–10355.
- 25 S. Vukovic, B. P. Hay and V. S. Bryantsev, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 3995–4001.
- 26 R. Flores, L. I. Reyes-García, N. Rodríguez-Laguna and R. Gómez-Balderas, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2018, **137**, 125.
- 27 A. T. Afaneh, G. Schreckenbach and F. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2014, **118**, 11271–11283.
- 28 J.-S. Wu, F. Wang, W.-M. Liu, P.-F. Wang, S.-K. Wu, X. Wu and X.-H. Zhang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2007, **125**, 447–452.
- 29 Y. H. Lee, M. H. Lee, J. F. Zhang and J. S. Kim, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 7159–7165.
- 30 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, G. Z. J. Bloino, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09, Revision C.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- 31 T. Weymuth, E. P. Couzijn, P. Chen and M. Reiher, *J. Chem. Theor. Comput.*, 2014, **10**, 3092–3103.



- 32 W. Zhang, D. G. Truhlar and M. Tang, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2013, **9**, 3965–3977.
- 33 S. Dohm, A. Hansen, M. Steinmetz, S. Grimme and M. P. Checinski, *J. Chem. Theor. Comput.*, 2018, **14**, 2596–2608.
- 34 D. Jacquemin, V. Wathelet, E. A. Perpète and C. Adamo, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2009, **5**, 2420–2435.
- 35 J. Moon, H. Baek and J. Kim, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2017, **121**, 6531–6537.
- 36 L. s. F. Pašteka, T. Rajskey and M. Urban, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2013, **117**, 4472–4485.
- 37 V. Srinivasadesikan, Y.-C. Chen and S.-L. Lee, *J. Mol. Graph. Model.*, 2018, **85**, 270–280.
- 38 T. A. Hamlin, B. J. Levandowski, A. K. Narsaria, K. N. Houk and F. M. Bickelhaupt, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 6342–6348.
- 39 S. A. Hosseini-Yazdi, P. Samadzadeh-Aghdam and R. Ghadari, *Polyhedron*, 2018, **151**, 221–232.
- 40 P. Zarabadi-Poor and J. Barroso-Flores, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2014, **118**, 12178–12183.
- 41 N. K. Hien, N. C. Bao, N. T. A. Nhung, N. T. Trung, P. C. Nam, T. Duong, J. S. Kim and D. T. Quang, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2015, **116**, 89–96.
- 42 A. Ali, M. I. Rafiq, Z. Zhang, J. Cao, R. Geng, B. Zhou and W. Tang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **22**, 7864–7874.
- 43 I. d. L. de Sousa, V. F. Ximenes, A. R. de Souza and N. H. Morgon, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2019, **1192**, 186–191.
- 44 J. R. Pliego Jr, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2003, **381**, 246–247.
- 45 V. S. Bryantsev, M. S. Diallo and W. A. Goddard Iii, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2008, **112**, 9709–9719.
- 46 C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, *Accounts Chem. Res.*, 2008, **41**, 760–768.
- 47 A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, **113**, 6378–6396.
- 48 O. Gutten, I. Bešševová and L. Rulišek, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2012, **116**, 8407.
- 49 L. Xu and M. Coote, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2019, **123**, 7430.
- 50 K. N. More, T.-H. Lim, J. Kang, H. Yun, S.-T. Yee and D.-J. Chang, *Molecules*, 2019, **24**, 3206.
- 51 P. L. Holland and W. B. Tolman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 7270–7271.
- 52 D. W. Randall, S. D. George, P. L. Holland, B. Hedman, K. O. Hodgson, W. B. Tolman and E. I. Solomon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 11632–11648.
- 53 B. A. Jazdzewski, P. L. Holland, M. Pink, V. G. Young, D. J. Spencer and W. B. Tolman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 6097–6107.
- 54 P. Frank, M. Benfatto, R. K. Szilagyi, P. D'Angelo, S. Della Longa and K. O. Hodgson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 1922–1933.
- 55 B. Mickel and A. Andrews, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1955, **77**, 5291–5292.
- 56 J. Schubert, V. Sharma, E. White and L. S. Bergelson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 4476–4478.
- 57 G. Berthon, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1995, **67**, 1117–1240.
- 58 H. Tavallali, G. Deilamy-Rad, M. A. Karimi and E. Rahimy, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2019, **583**, 113376.
- 59 J. M. Murphy, A. A. Gaertner, T. Williams, C. D. McMillen, B. A. Powell and J. L. Brumaghim, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2019, **195**, 20–30.
- 60 M. E. Aliaga, C. López-Alarcón, R. Bridi and H. Speisky, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2016, **154**, 78–88.
- 61 H. Speisky, C. López-Alarcón, C. Olea-Azar, C. Sandoval-Acuña and M. E. Aliaga, *Bioinorgan. Chem. Appl.*, 2011, 2011.
- 62 R. Österberg and R. Ligaarden, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 1979, **10**, 341–355.
- 63 H. Jia, M. Yang, Q. Meng, G. He, Y. Wang, Z. Hu, R. Zhang and Z. Zhang, *Sensors*, 2016, **16**, 79.
- 64 G. He, J. Li, L. Yang, C. Hou, T. Ni, Z. Yang, X. Qian and C. Li, *PLoS One*, 2016, **11**, e0148026.
- 65 X. Fu, S. A. Cate, M. Dominguez, W. Osborn, T. Özpolat, B. A. Konkle, J. Chen and J. A. López, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, **9**, 1–9.
- 66 P. Hallman, D. Perrin and A. E. Watt, *Biochem. J.*, 1971, **121**, 549–555.
- 67 P. M. Ueland, H. Refsum, S. P. Stabler, M. R. Malinow, A. Andersson and R. H. Allen, *Clin. Chem.*, 1993, **39**, 1764–1779.
- 68 J. C. Miller and J. N. Miller, *Statistics for Analytical Chemistry. (Reprinted with Corrections 1989)*, Ellis Horwood, 1988.
- 69 M. Yang, L. Ma, J. Li and L. Kang, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 16812–16818.
- 70 Y. Yang, H. Wang, Y.-L. Wei, J. Zhou, J.-F. Zhang and Y. Zhou, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **28**, 2023–2026.
- 71 A. P. De Silva, T. S. Moody and G. D. Wright, *Analyst*, 2009, **134**, 2385–2393.
- 72 D. T. Nhan, N. T. A. Nhung, V. Vien, N. T. Trung, N. D. Cuong, N. C. Bao, D. Q. Huong, N. K. Hien and D. T. Quang, *Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **46**, 135–138.
- 73 P. Klán and J. Wirz, *Photochemistry of organic compounds: from concepts to practice*, John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- 74 N. K. Hien, D. T. Nhan, W. Y. Kim, M. Van Bay, P. C. Nam, D. U. Van, I.-T. Lim, J. S. Kim and D. T. Quang, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2018, **152**, 118–126.
- 75 R. Engelman and J. Jortner, *Mol. Phys.*, 1970, **18**, 145–164.
- 76 M. Bixon and J. Jortner, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1968, **48**, 715–726.
- 77 J. Andreas, B. Dnyaneshwar and S. Th, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**, 26255–26264.

