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This work presents smart pathways to enhance the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ via co-doping with fluorine (F) and platinum (Pt) to form F–Pt co-doped TiO₂ photocatalysts and investigates the unique and unusual fluorination of the floated products. Our investigations indicate that the crystalline structure of the photocatalysts was a mixture of anatase and brookite phases and that the nanoparticles of the synthesized nanocomposites had nanometric sizes (4–25 nm). The F–Pt co-doped TiO₂ nano-photocatalysts demonstrated degradation of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) drug of >93% within 90 min under direct solar light and 58% degradation within 360 min under a solar simulator. Thus, co-doping TiO₂ with F and Pt atoms to form F–Pt co-doped TiO₂ nanocomposite is an efficient pathway to achieve high photocatalytic performance escorted with the formation of floating metal-fluoropolymer, unlike pristine TiO₂ which has less photocatalytic degradation and no generation of a floating polymer. Our photocatalytic protocol demonstrates that the degradation of SMX started with redox reactions of oxygen and water absorbed on the surface of the prepared nanocomposites to form superoxide anions (O₂⁻) and hydroxy radicals (·OH) which have oxidation superpower. The resultant products were subsequently fluorinated by fluoride radical ions and floated as metal-fluoropolymer.

1. Introduction

Many pharmaceutical industries and hospitals have been found to discharge pharmaceutical wastes directly into the environment. The presence of pharmaceutical compounds in the aquatic environment and their possible effects on living organisms have emerged as a serious environmental concern.1 Pharmaceuticals are designed to have a physiological response in humans, plants, and animals and they pose significant risks to the aquatic life due to their natural lipophilic tendency to accumulate in the ecosystem, which might lead to the development of antibiotic-resistant microbial strains.2 Sulfamethoxazole (SMX) belongs to the sulfonamide group and is a representative antibiotic extensively used in both human and veterinary medicine, and thus frequently detected in water resources.3 Moreover, SMX might have toxic effects on aquatic organisms because of its antibiotic-resistant nature once released into the aquatic systems, thus it needs to be effectively degraded and/or removed from water resources.4

The photocatalytic pathway remains one of the most promising environmental cleaning routes to overcome the problem of water contamination by pharmaceuticals. Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) is known as a key nanotechnology photocatalyst due to its unique properties. However, because of its wide band gap (~3.2 eV), TiO₂ has a poor photocatalytic activity toward diverse water pollutants.5 By employing TiO₂, only a small portion (less than 5%) of sunlight can be exploited for photocatalytic processes; thus, the photocatalytic response is not enough to initiate chemical reactions that may be efficient for the degradation of pollutants in water.6 The band gap of TiO₂ does not only strictly depend on its crystalline phase but is also strongly controlled by the morphology of TiO₂ and the presence of anionic and/or cationic impurities in the lattice.7 The incorporation of noble metals such as Au, Ag, Pd, and Pt into semiconductor nanomaterials has been investigated to induce the response of TiO₂ to the visible light as well as enhance the separation of electron–hole pairs, thereby improving the photocatalytic efficiency.8,9 The modification of TiO₂ matrix with metal ions as dopants has significant a marked influence on the charge carrier (e⁻/h⁺) recombination and interfacial electron-transfer rates.10

Platinum has so far been recognized as an important catalyst for electrochemical applications such as hydrogen production.11 It is one of the noble metal used to modify and enforce the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂.12 Xiong Xu (2016) have studied the synergetic effect of Pt and B on the photocatalytic
performance of TiO₂ and their impacts on the photocatalytic degradation of phenolic compounds in water.¹⁴ The local structure of Pt species in TiO₂ nanocrystal and its effect on the photocatalytic reactivity were investigated with various analytical methods.¹⁴ The morphology, pH, particle size, dispersion, and oxidation state of Pt nanoparticles are the main parameters that improve and enhance the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ and thereby extend its adsorption range to the visible light. The latter parameters have been controlled by a simplistic Pt-doping TiO₂ method.¹⁵

Doping TiO₂ with a single element (metal or non-metal) does not lead to an efficient shift of the photocatalytic activity to the visible region because one dopant alone might add a single energy level to TiO₂ band gap, either above the valence band (VB) or below the conduction band (CB) and produces a small redshift caused by low absorption of the visible light.¹⁶ Besides that, these energy levels can trap only one type of charge carrier, i.e. either electrons or holes.¹⁶ On the other hand, co-doping TiO₂ with two different dopants, mainly the combination of metal and non-metal, produces synergic effects to enhance the visible light absorption efficiency and reduce the recombination processes of the photocatalytic generated charges.¹⁶–¹⁷ The fluoride ion (F⁻), with its high electronegativity and other properties has attracted great interest as an anatase-brookite TiO₂ control agent.⁷ It was reported that F⁻ ions could influence the photocatalytic reactions by occupying the substituted oxygen sites and then create active sites, oxygen vacancies or Ti³⁺ ions.¹⁸ Csokas et al. reported that the incorporation of F⁻ ions in TiO₂ matrix lead to the formation of Ti³⁺ reduced centers due to the charge compensation between Ti⁴⁺ and F⁻, which localize one electron in the 3g orbitals of the metal without generating oxygen vacancies.¹⁹ The presence of F⁻ as dopant or as a morphology controlling agent play a significant role on the growth of anatase phase.²⁰ Moreover, the introduction of F⁻ into TiO₂ lattice enhances the absorptivity of molecules, increases the temperature of anatase-to-rutile phase transformation, and also enhances the surface acidity of TiO₂.²¹–²²

In this present study, a rapid one-step microwave-assisted hydrothermal synthesis method was used to synthesize the F–Pt co-doped TiO₂ nanocomposites in order to achieve similar properties of the conventional hydrothermal method within a short time; thus, get an efficient photocatalyst at an effective cost. The purpose of this work was to investigate the synergistic effects of Pt and F on the photocatalytic performance of TiO₂ photocatalysts. Hence, we investigate TiO₂, F-doped TiO₂, and F–Pt co-doped TiO₂ nanocomposites for the degradation of SMX drug using a solar simulator and direct solar light as light energy source and expose the mechanistic models responsible for the photocatalytic processes involved. The advantage of co-doping TiO₂ with Pt and F resides on the enhancement of the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ based nanocomposites and the generation of an unusual floating polymer on the treated water.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials and reagents

Titanium butoxide purum, ≥97.0% (gravimetric) with density of 1 g mL⁻¹ was used as TiO₂ source. Chloroplatinic acid hexahydrate bixa and trifluoroacetic acid were (ReagentPlus® with 99% purity) were used as platinum and fluorine sources, respectively. Sulfamethoxazole (C₁₀H₁₁N₃O₃S), a pharmaceutical secondary standard, was used to investigate the photocatalytic performance of prepared nanocomposites. Acrodisc syringe filters equipped with hydrophilic polypropylene (GHP membrane) and having pore size of 0.45 µm were used for filtering samples during the photocatalytic degradation process. 2-Butanol with purity ≥99.5% (Gravimetric) was used as a solvent.²³ GHP membranes and all chemical reagents were commercial products supplied by Sigma Aldrich (South Africa) and used without any further treatment. Deionized water was prepared using a Direct-Q® (Millipore) Purification System in our laboratory (pH = 7.4 at 14.1 °C).

2.2 Synthesis of doped TiO₂

2.2.1 Pre-hydrothermal assisted microwave synthesis. In a 500 mL glass beaker, different amounts of titanium butoxide (Ti(OiC₄H₉)₄) (i.e. 23, 22.6, 22.4, 22.3 and 21.8 mL corresponding to 100, 90, 89.5, 89 and 87% of TiO₂ in terms molar mass, respectively) were dissolved in 100 mL of 2-butanol to synthesis pristine TiO₂, F-doped TiO₂, F–Pt0.5 co-doped TiO₂, F–Pt1 and F–Pt3 co-doped TiO₂ nanocomposites and then placed the beakers in an ice bath during the reaction process to slow the hydrolysis of TiO₂ in order to assure an homogenous mixture. Meanwhile, 78, 156, and 468 mg of chloroplatinic acid hexahydrate bioxtra (H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O), equivalent to 0.5, 1.0, and 3.0% of Pt in terms of molar mass, was added to the solutions, under magnetic stirring for 15 minutes, to synthesize F–Pt co-doped TiO₂ (x = 0.5, 1.0 and 3.0% of Pt) nanocomposites. Approximately 2.4 mL of trifluoroacetic acid (CF₃COOH) equivalent to 10% of fluorine in terms of molar mass, was then added to the mixture while stirring to yield F-doped TiO₂ (F–TiO₂) and F–Pt co-doped TiO₂ (FPPt–TiO₂). Subsequently, after 30 minutes of stirring while the mixture kept in an ice bath, 5 mL of deionized water was added dropwise. Finally, the mixture was left to stir overnight at room temperature with an average of 27 °C.

2.2.2 Hydrothermal-assisted microwave synthesis. Undoped TiO₂, F-doped TiO₂ and F–Pt co-doped TiO₂ were synthesised by hydrothermal synthesis via a microwave-assisted heating method at 180 °C for 1 h using the MDS-6G microwave. After synthesis, the prepared materials were washed with ethanol which possesses a wide dissolving range of organic impurities. This was followed by washing with deionized water several times to neutralize the pH of the synthesized nanocomposites using an Avanti J-HC High Capacity centrifuge at 17 000 rpm min⁻¹ for 1 h. The nanomaterials were then dried in an oven at 100 °C for 8 h, crushed in a mortar, and calcined in a muffle furnace at 400 °C for 4 h.

2.3 Analysis of synthesized nanocomposites

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained using the Rigaku SmartLab with high-resolution diffractometer. High resolution scanning electron microscopy (HR-SEM) images and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis were performed...
on a JEOL-7800F Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FE-SEM) with a cathode-luminescence spectrometer. Prior to FE-SEM analysis, the samples were coated with carbon to increase their conductivity and prevent them from charging. The microstructure of the materials was studied using a JOEL JEM-2100 transmission electron microscope (TEM). By using the Perkin-Elmer Lambda 650 UV/VIS spectrophotometer over a wavelength range of 200 to 800 nm, ultraviolet and visible (UV-Vis) absorbance were measured. Band gaps were calculated using both Kubelka-Munk function and Tauc equation. Kubelka-Munk function \( F(R) \) is described in eqn (1):

\[
F(R) = \frac{(1-R)^2}{2R}
\]

where \( R \) is the reflectance of the sample.

The Tauc equation for permitted indirect electronic transition is:

\[
(\alpha hr)^{1/2} = A(\varepsilon - E_g)
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the optical absorption coefficient which can be substituted in the Tauc equation by \( F(R) \) obtained from Kubelka-Munk function; \( hr \) is incident photon energy in eV; \( A \) is material dependent absorption constant and \( E_g \) is band gap energy of the material.

### 2.4 Energy positions of conduction and valence bands

The energy levels for the valence band (VB) potential \( E_{VB} \) and conduction band (CB) potentials \( E_{CB} \) of the semiconductors (TiO\(_2\) and TiO\(_2\) based nanocomposites) were calculated is using the following empirical equation:

\[
E_{VB} = x_{\text{semiconductor}} - E_g + 0.5E_g
\]

where \( E_{VB} \) is the valence band potential, \( x_{\text{semiconductor}} \) is the semiconductor electronegativity, \( E_g \) is the energy of free electrons vs. hydrogen (4.5 eV), and \( E_g \) is the band gap energy of the semiconductor (the band gap energy was calculated using Tauc equation for the permitted indirect transition of TiO\(_2\), F–TiO\(_2\), FPt0.5–TiO\(_2\), FPt1–TiO\(_2\) and FPt3–TiO\(_2\)).

\[
E_{CB} = E_{VB} - E_g
\]

The absolute electronegativity of TiO\(_2\) and TiO\(_2\) based nanocomposites were calculated using the following equation:

\[
x_{\text{semiconductor}} = \left[x(\lambda)\chi(B)^b\chi(C)^c\right]^{1/(a+b+c)}
\]

where \( a \), \( b \), and \( c \) represent the number of atoms which constituting the doped semiconductor (TiO\(_2\)). \( x(\lambda) \), \( \chi(B) \) and \( \chi(C) \) are the electronegativity of each atom of the doped semiconductor. Indeed, the absolute electronegativity of an atom \( A \) approximately as \( I_A + E_A \) or, probably better for some purposes, as the following:

\[
\chi(A) = \frac{I_A + E_A}{2}
\]

\( I_A \) and \( E_A \) are the ionization energy and electron affinity of each atom from the doped semiconductor, respectively.

### 2.5 Photocatalytic degradation process of SMX

The photocatalytic degradation of SMX drug was monitored using a Lambda 650 UV/VIS spectrometer under UV-visible irradiation at various times including: −30, 0 (after 30 min in dark), 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, and 360 min. Initially, the photocatalytic degradation of SMX was carried out in a 250 mL glass beaker containing 50 mL of SMX (20 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and photocatalyst (50 mg), under the following conditions: photolysis of SMX with UV-visible light in the absence of F–Pt co-doped TiO\(_2\) nanocomposites, SMX solution with the synthesized photocatalyst in dark for 30 min under stirring to establish the adsorption–desorption phenomena (interaction between SMX drug and the surface of F–Pdx co-doped TiO\(_2\) nanocomposites), and then exposed the mixture of SMX drug and the photocatalyst to the light using solar simulator and direct sunlight radiations.

SMX degradation percentage is calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Degradation efficiency} = \left(\frac{C_0 - C}{C_0}\right) \times 100
\]

\( A_0 \) is the initial absorbance at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) of SMX drug (\( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 267 \text{ nm} \)) before starting the photocatalytic degradation process which could substitute \( C_0 \) (initial concentration of SMX) and \( C \) is the absorbance at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) of SMX drug after each sampling intervals during the photocatalytic degradation process which could substitute \( C \) (concentration of SMX after each sampling during the photocatalytic degradation process of SMX drug).

It should be mentioned that there was no adjustment of potential for hydrogen (pH) during this study. However, pH of SMX in deionized water was around pH = 6.5 at \( T = 14 \text{ °C} \) which been decreased to pH = 5.8 by adding undoped TiO\(_2\). Moreover, the pH of SMX mixed with TiO\(_2\) based nanocomposites (FPt–TiO\(_2\)) was, more acidic than with TiO\(_2\), varying between pH = 5.1 and 5.3 at \( T = 17 \text{ °C} \).

### 3. Result and discussion

#### 3.1 X-ray diffraction (XRD)

The crystalline phase of the prepared TiO\(_2\), F-doped TiO\(_2\) and F–Pt co-doped TiO\(_2\) nanocomposites with different proportions of Pt were evaluated by XRD (Fig. 1). The XRD patterns of all the synthesized nanocomposites exhibited diffraction peaks at \( 2\theta = 25.32^\circ, 37.87^\circ, 48.05^\circ, 54.03^\circ, 55.01^\circ, 62.75^\circ, 68.91^\circ, 70.20^\circ, 75.14^\circ \) and 82.80° corresponding to the (101), (103)/(004)/(112), (200), (105), (211), (204), (116), (220), (215) and (224) diffraction planes of anatase TiO\(_2\) (JCPDS, file no. 21-1272). The brookite phase was also found to be present in all the XRD patterns. The characteristic peak of brookite at \( 2\theta = 30.77^\circ \), which is not
superposed with any diffraction peaks of anatase phase and/or platinum provided evidence of the presence of brookite TiO$_2$.\cite{2,3} However, it should be noted that there was no existence of rutile crystalline phase of TiO$_2$.

On the other hand, the peaks at around $2\theta = 39.78^\circ$, 46.23$^\circ$, 67.57$^\circ$, 81.35$^\circ$, 85.71$^\circ$ assigned to the (111), (200), (220), (311), and (222) diffraction planes respectively were present in all XRD patterns (except where there is no Pt present) of FPTx–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites with different intensities according to the amount of Pt as dopant and are in good agreement with the literature.\cite{2,3} The diffraction planes of anatase phase located at $2\theta = 45.03^\circ$, 55.01$^\circ$, 68.91$^\circ$, and 70.20$^\circ$ reveal that the incorporation of Pt in TiO$_2$ matrix increased the crystallinity of TiO$_2$ as shown in the XRD patterns of FPTx–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites compared to TiO$_2$ and F–TiO$_2$ nanomaterials.

### 3.2 High resolution scanning electron microscopy (HR-SEM)

The FE-SEM images and EDS elemental analysis of synthesized nanocomposites (i.e., TiO$_2$, F–TiO$_2$, and FPTx–TiO$_2$) are shown in Fig. 2. The almost spherical shape of the nanocomposites was found to be uniform and similar in all synthesized nanocomposites. Moreover, the morphology of TiO$_2$ based nanocomposites were kept unchanged after doping TiO$_2$ and the diameters of the doped and co-doped TiO$_2$ nanocomposites possessed almost the same average sizes as pure TiO$_2$. The uniform morphology appears in all images is due to the unchanged crystalline phase of TiO$_2$ after doping F$^-$ and co-doping with Pt. On the other hand, the elemental composition supplied by EDS analysis of (d) TiO$_2$, (e) F–TiO$_2$, and (f) FPTx–TiO$_2$ are shown in Fig. 2; indicating high percentage of titanium (Ti) element compared to oxygen (O) element. This big difference generated huge defects in TiO$_2$ lattice which increased its photocatalytic activity without any further modifications. The small percentage of F element in (e) and (f) samples might be because of the ionic radius of fluoride and/or its high electronegativity.

3.3 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

The morphology and the internal nanostructure of (a) TiO$_2$, (b) F–TiO$_2$, and (c) FPTx0.5–TiO$_2$ synthesized nanocomposites were evaluated using TEM. Fig. 3 shows TEM and HRTEM images of (a) TiO$_2$, (b) F–TiO$_2$, and (c) FPTx0.5–TiO$_2$ prepared nanocomposites; calcined at 400 °C in air. The nanoparticles of (a) TiO$_2$, and (b) F–TiO$_2$ nanomaterials where a mixture of squares and compressed tetragonal shapes with an average diameter range of 10–20 nm as shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b). The HR-TEM images shows that the nanoparticle size of (b) F–TiO$_2$ nanomaterials was reduced after incorporating fluoride ions (F$^-$) in the TiO$_2$ lattice. However, Fig. 3(c) shows a mixture of cubes and compressed tetragonal shapes integrated with dark dots attributed to Pt nanoparticles with an average size of 4−10 nm, forming heterojunction structures.

3.4 UV-Vis absorption, band gap and potential energies positions of TiO$_2$ based nanocomposite

#### 3.4.1 UV-Vis absorption and band gap

Fig. 4 shows the UV-Vis absorbance in the wavelength range of 250–800 nm and the estimated band gap of TiO$_2$, F–TiO$_2$, and FPTx–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites where $x = 0.5$, 1, and 3%. The UV-Vis spectra show (Fig. 4a) an efficient absorption by all nanocomposites samples at UV region, while a significant absorption shift to the whole visible light region is observed with FPTx0.5–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites, which was enhanced by increasing the amount of Pt as a dopant in TiO$_2$ matrix. Fig. 4b displays the corresponding band gap energies of TiO$_2$, F–TiO$_2$, and FPTx–TiO$_2$ synthesized nanocomposites calcined at 400 °C in air. The pure TiO$_2$ and F–TiO$_2$ nanomaterials exhibit very close band gap of 3.24 and 3.21 eV, respectively. In fact, the crystallinity of pure TiO$_2$ shown in Fig. 1 indicated that the TiO$_2$ was a mixture of anatase (3.2 eV) phase and less percentage of brookite (3.4 eV) phase, which logically lead to this band gap value (3.24 eV). Moreover, as mentioned earlier,\cite{2} fluorine controlled the growth of anatase phase which decreased the band gap of TiO$_2$ from 3.24 to 3.21 eV. Furthermore, the incorporation of Pt as a dopant in the TiO$_2$ lattice did not lead to a significant narrowing of the band gap while exhibiting strong absorption in the visible region. The incorporation of Pt (3%) in the TiO$_2$ matrix shifted the band gap from 3.21 to 3.02 eV (Table 1). The highly efficient absorption in the visible and near infrared regions originated from the existing of such color centers in the TiO$_2$ lattice, due to Pt as dopants, rather than the narrowing of the band gap energy of TiO$_2$. Indeed, the sites that give rise to this efficient absorption might correspond to the reduced titanium (Ti$^{3+}$) defects and oxygen vacancies centers photogenerated on the surface of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles.\cite{35}

#### 3.4.2 Potential energies positions

Fig. 5 shows the conduction band and valence band potential positions of pure TiO$_2$, F–TiO$_2$ and FPTx–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites. Most of metal oxide semiconductors have valence band between 1 and 3 eV, below the H$_2$O oxidation potential and the conduction band edges are close to, or lower than, the H$_2$O reduction potential.\cite{35}

The conduction band of the pure TiO$_2$ is more negative than that of TiO$_2$ based nanocomposites (FPTx–TiO$_2$) due to the
induction of new energy levels by co-doping TiO₂ with Pt and F; hence there is diffusion of electrons (e⁻ CB / C₀) from the CB potential of TiO₂ to the new CB generated levels of TiO₂ based nanocomposites to react on the surface with the absorbed O₂ in order to generate superoxide anions (O₂⁻) as super-oxidizing radicals for the degradation of SMX drugs. Meanwhile, the VB potential of TiO₂ is more positive than that of pure TiO₂, thus there is transfer of holes (h⁺ VB) from the VB of TiO₂ to the new added energy level of TiO₂ caused by the induction of F and Pt to react on the surface and then generate hydroxyl radicals (OH⁻) which also act for the destruction of SMX.

Table 1 presents the estimated band gap energies of TiO₂, F–TiO₂, and FPt₁–TiO₂ nanocomposites prepared at 180 °C and calcined at 400 °C in air.

### 3.5 Photocatalytic degradation of SMX using solar simulator and direct solar light

The Fig. 6 shows the images of (SMX plus photocatalyst) solutions during the photocatalytic degradation process. Actually, the images demonstrate clearly the formation of a floating...
Fig. 3 TEM and HR-TEM images of (a) TiO$_2$, (b) F–TiO$_2$, and (c) FPt0.5–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites; calcined at 400 °C.

Fig. 4 (a) Absorbance and (b) optical band gap of TiO$_2$, F–TiO$_2$, and FPtx–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites, calcined at 400 °C. The band gap is achieved by substituting $\alpha$ by $F(R)$ in Tauc equation and extrapolation of the straight-line part of the Tauc plot ($I(F(R)h\nu)^{1/2}$) against $h\nu$; thus, the intersection with the photon energy ($h\nu$) axes displays the band gap of the nanocomposites.
product during the photocatalytic degradation of SMX. Fig. 6(a), (c) and (e) images were taken after 30 min in dark, while Fig. 6(b), (d) and (f) was taken after 120 min of photocatalytic degradation of SMX drug using TiO₂, F–TiO₂ and FPtₓ–TiO₂ nanocomposites under direct solar light, respectively. Fig. 6(a) and (b) images show a clean surface with no floation of any product while other images show that the floation of the generated polymer started after 30 min in dark (Fig. 6(c) and (d) images) and appears at high intensity after 120 min (Fig. 6(d) and (f)). Indeed, the floation occurred only by including F⁻ in the TiO₂ lattice. The following images were taken during the photocatalytic degradation of SMX using direct sunlight, however it should be mentioned that there is floation even with solar simulator of fluoropolymer.

### 3.5.1 Photocatalytic degradation using solar simulator.

The photocatalytic degradation of 50 mL of SMX (20 mg L⁻¹) with 50 mg of FPtₓ–TiO₂ nanocomposites by using a Solar Simulator HAL-320 compact xenon light as energy light source is shown in Fig. 7; where the distance between the lamp of the solar simulator and the SMX solution is 26 cm. The power of light reaching to the surface of the solution (SMX plus photocatalyst) was measured by using digital lux meter; the light power variating between 14 630 and 18 360 LUXs. The temperature of the reaction medium was ranging between 31 and 33 °C. The degradation of SMX was slightly improved by increasing the amount of Pt from 0.5 to 3% in TiO₂ matrix as shown by Fig. 7(a)–(c). The photocatalytic degradation was incomplete at 360 minutes (min) after 30 min in dark even with FPt₃–TiO₂. The wide absorbance gap shown by UV-Vis spectra (a)–(c) in Fig. 7, especially between 240 min and 360 min was due to the volume decrease of the treated solution. After sampling many times, the volume of the solution become less, thus, the degradation rate become fast. The light energy power supplied by the solar simulator was not sufficient to complete the degradation of SMX, hence, less than 60% of SMX degradation was achieved within 360 min while increasing the amount of Pt in TiO₂ matrix as shown in Fig. 7(d). To overcome this photocatalytic degradation weakness, the degradation of SMX has been moved under direct solar light irradiation.

Photocatalytic degradation rate of SMX has been previously studied by Chiang and Doong using 1g L⁻¹ of pure TiO₂ and Cu deposited on TiO₂ surface but with less concentration of SMX (4 mg L⁻¹); where the photocatalytic degradation process initiated by irradiating the mixture with visible light irradiation after 30 min of stirring in dark (pH = 5.2). Indeed, the photocatalytic degradation rate of SMX, using pristine P25 TiO₂, was around 35% within 120 min which remains similar or slightly higher even by increasing the degradation time. The reported results in the literature shows clearly that the unchanged TiO₂ has less effect on

### Table 1: Indirect Band gap, VB and CB energies of TiO₂, F–TiO₂ and FPtₓ–TiO₂ nanocomposites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanocomposite</th>
<th>Band gap (eV)</th>
<th>Valence band (eV)</th>
<th>Conduction band (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>−0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F–TiO₂</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>−0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPt₀.₅–TiO₂</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPt₁–TiO₂</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>−0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPt₃–TiO₂</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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the photocatalytic degradation of SMX which is in good agreement with the displayed results in this work. Ioannidou et al. reported a complete photocatalytic degradation of (1 mg L\(^{-1}\)) SMX, which is a very low concentration compared to that used in the present work (20 mg L\(^{-1}\)), within 120 min using 250 mg L\(^{-1}\) of P25 TiO\(_2\) modified with tungsten under solar simulator irradiation. Recently, Borowska et al. have investigated 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) of TiO\(_2\) and TiO\(_2\) modified with 1% of palladium and 1% of platinum for the degradation of 1 mg L\(^{-1}\) of SMX using solar light. Indeed, less than 5% of SMX was degraded using undoped TiO\(_2\) within 30 min while almost complete degradation SMX within 10 min using palladium modified TiO\(_2\) and around 90% of degradation was achieved within 30 min using platinum modified TiO\(_2\), which is matching with degradation rate in the present work. However, in the literature there is no reporting documents that shows the degradation of the degraded product except our earlier published work.

In contrast with our results and the mentioned results above reported in the literature, Nasuhoglu et al. reported that the degradation rate of (12 mg L\(^{-1}\)) SMX diminishes as TiO\(_2\) concentration increases using UV-C radiation (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 254 \text{ nm}\)).

3.5.2 Photocatalytic degradation using direct solar light.

The UV-Vis absorption and degradation rate (\(\Delta A/\Delta A_0\)) of SMX drug during the photocatalytic degradation process using direct solar light irradiation is shown in Fig. 8. The degradation time of SMX was decreased from 360 min (after 30 min in dark) to 90 min with an increase of degradation rate from 57% (using solar simulator) to more than 93% (using direct solar light irradiation) (Fig. 7 and 8). The UV-Vis spectra show that the absorbance increased after 30 min in darkness. This was due to the sun heat which increase the temperature of the dark medium (adsorption–desorption equilibrium). This temperature which exceed 40 °C caused the evaporation of the solution which become more concentrated, thus, the absorbance of SMX after 30 min in dark increases. The UV-Vis spectra of SMX degradation using (a) TiO\(_2\) and (b) F–TiO\(_2\) nanomaterials showed almost same degradation rate (with small increase using F–TiO\(_2\) which could be due the acidic medium caused by incorporating F\(^-\) ions into TiO\(_2\) lattice) of less than 70% during 120 min. By using FPt0.5–TiO\(_2\) and FPt1–TiO\(_2\) nanocomposites, the photocatalytic degradation of SMX increased to about 84% within 120 min, while more than 93% of degradation was achieved within 90 min using the FPt3–TiO\(_2\) nanocomposite as shown by UV-Vis spectra in Fig. 8(c), (d), and (e), respectively; as well the degradation rate (\(\Delta A/\Delta A_0\)) displayed by Fig. 8(f). The evaporation rate of SMX solution during the photocatalytic degradation process which making the solution more concentrated affect the degradation efficiency; thus, the degradation rate of SMX does not achieve 100%.

![Fig. 7 UV-Vis spectra of SMX drug during the photocatalytic degradation process using (a) FPt0.5–TiO\(_2\), (b) FPt1–TiO\(_2\), and (c) FPt3–TiO\(_2\) nanocomposites; (d) degradation efficiency under solar simulator irradiation.](image-url)
Table 2 presents the photocatalytic degradation of SMX using solar simulator and direct solar light irradiations escorted with temperature of the reaction medium.

### 3.6 Kinetics of reaction

The kinetics of reaction occurred during the photocatalytic degradation of SMX using TiO₂ based nanocomposites can be described in such system by the Langmuir–Hinshelwood model:¹⁴

\[
\frac{-dC}{dt} = \frac{K_r C}{1 + KC}
\]

where \( C \) is the concentration of substrate, \( K_r \) is the reaction rate constant and \( K \) is the adsorption constant. For diluted solutions in which the substrate concentration is \(<10^{-3} \text{ M}\), the value of \( KC \ll 1 \); thus, the equation can be simplified to pseudo-first order kinetic equation:²⁴,⁵³

\[
-ln\left(\frac{C}{C_0}\right) = kt
\]
where $C_0$ and $C_t$ are the initial concentration and concentration at time $t$, respectively. $k$ is the reaction rate constant.

In order to study the kinetics of photocatalytic degradation of SMX using TiO$_2$ based nanocomposites, experiments were conducted under both solar simulator and direct sunlight irradiations. Meanwhile, calibration curve with $R^2 = 0.99651$ was investigated to determine the concentration for each specimen during the photocatalytic degradation process. Fig. 9(a)–(c) shows the calibration curve, kinetics of reaction using solar simulator and kinetics of reaction under direct sunlight irradiation, respectively. The photocatalytic degradation of SMX using F and Pt co-doped TiO$_2$ under both solar simulator and direct sunlight irradiations find to be commonly explained by the first-order kinetics as shown in Fig. 9(b) and (c), respectively. The photocatalytic degradation rate of SMX was fast using direct sunlight irradiation with respect to solar simulator irradiation which can be explained by the low intensity of solar simulator irradiation and wide wavelength range absorbed by TiO$_2$ based nanocomposites.

### Table 2  Photocatalytic degradation of SMX using solar simulator and direct sunlight irradiations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanocomposite</th>
<th>Degradation (%)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>Degradation (%)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F–TiO$_2$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP0.5–TiO$_2$</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP1–TiO$_2$</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP3–TiO$_2$</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9  (a) Calibration curve of SMX, (b) and (c) pseudo first-order kinetics using solar simulator and direct sunlight as source of light, respectively, for photocatalytic degradation of SMX using TiO$_2$, F–TiO$_2$ and FPtx–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites.
3.7 SEM images and EDS analysis of the floated polymer after degradation of SMX

The external morphology and elemental composition of the floated product, i.e. F–TiO₂ and FPt₃–TiO₂ photocatalysts after the degradation of SMX are shown by SEM images and EDS analysis (Fig. 10). The SEM images and EDS analysis of the floated product obtained after the photocatalytic degradation of SMX drug using F–TiO₂ and FPt₃–TiO₂ nanocomposites, show similar morphology and same elemental composition. The morphology of the floated polymer displayed by (a) and (b) SEM is flat and with irregular shape. Meanwhile, the EDS analysis showed in Fig. 10(c) and (d) a very intense peak of fluorine with

![Fig. 10](image_url) SEM images and EDS analysis of the floated polymer after photocatalytic degradation of SMX drug using (a) and (c) F–TiO₂ and (b) and (d) FPt₃–TiO₂, respectively.

3.7 SEM images and EDS analysis of the floated polymer after degradation of SMX

![Fig. 11](image_url) FTIR of (a) synthesized photocatalysts used for photocatalytic degradation of SMX drug and (b) floated products collected after the photocatalytic degradation of SMX.

![Table](image_url)
more than 46.9% of the elemental composition of the floated polymer as indicated in the elemental composition tables. This can only predict that the floated polymer is a metal-fluoropolymer. The absence of Pt in the elemental composition of the metal-fluoropolymer might be due to its low percentage or due to its non-contribution on the photogeneration of the floated polymer during the photocatalytic degradation of SMX drug.

3.8 Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy analysis

The FT-IR spectra of TiO₂, F-TiO₂, FPt₃-TiO₂ nanocomposites, sulfamethoxazole drug and the floated product after the photocatalytic degradation of sulfamethoxazole drug using F-TiO₂ and FPt₃-TiO₂ nanocomposites are given in Fig. 11. The spectra shown in Fig. 11(a) are characteristically similar of absorption peaks of TiO₂. Typically, the FT-IR spectra of TiO₂, F-TiO₂ and FPt₃-TiO₂ nanocomposites (Fig. 11(a)) show a broad absorption band in the region of 3000–3642 cm⁻¹ (with maximum at 3400 cm⁻¹) and 1635 cm⁻¹ assigned to the stretching vibrations (νOH) and symmetric bending vibrations (δsOH) of absorbed water on the surface of the photocatalyst, respectively. Moreover, the peaks at 2068 and 1387 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the bending mode of coordinated water (H–O–H) and O–H, respectively. The strong absorption peak in the region of 432–892 cm⁻¹ with a maximum absorption at 665 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the symmetric stretching vibrations of the Ti–O (νsTi–O).46 The small peak at 1112 cm⁻¹ was assigned to the asymmetric stretching vibrations of the Ti–O (νasTi–O).47

On the other hand, the FT-IR spectra of SMX drug and floated product after degradation of SMX using F-TiO₂ and FPt₃-TiO₂ photocatalyst are shown in Fig. 11(b); hence, there are no similarity between the floated product and SMX drug. It should be mentioned that all the bands appeared in the spectra of the photocatalyst are present in the FT-IR spectra of the floated product except s Ti–O and as Ti–O. Bands located on the floated product spectra at 1216 and 1155 cm⁻¹ assigned to the (CF₃ and CF₂) asymmetric and (CF₂) symmetric stretching vibrations, respectively.48,49 The small band at 929 cm⁻¹ was assigned to the bending vibration of C–O–C group.50 The doublets at 640 and 625 cm⁻¹ are matched to the CF₂ wagging vibrations.46 Additionally, the two bands on the floated product spectrum, using F-TiO₂ and FPt₃-TiO₂ photocatalysts, located at 556 and 506 cm⁻¹ reflect the CF₂ bending and twisting vibrations, respectively.51,52 The FT-IR analysis confirm that the generated product floated on the surface of the treated solution due to the presence of fluoride ions (F⁻) which further react with the degraded products to form a metal-fluoropolymer.42

3.9 Possible photocatalytic degradation pathways of SMX

Fig. 12 illustrates the possible photocatalytic degradation of SMX followed by fluorination of the degraded products and then floatation of the formed polymer using the FPtₓ-TiO₂ nanocomposites prepared via a one-step microwave-assisted hydrothermal method. The photocatalytic degradation process starts in the dark to assure the equilibrium absorption-desorption of SMX on the surface of FPtₓ-TiO₂ nanocomposites. This is where the superoxide anions (O₂⁻) and hydroxyl radicals (·OH) initially formed, after the exposure of FPtₓ-TiO₂ surface to the light, due to the oxidation of O₂ and H₂O existing on the surface of the prepared photocatalyst (FPtₓ-TiO₂).53 These
superoxide anions and hydroxyl radicals exhibiting the power of oxidation destruction degrade SMX to smaller fragments which is fluorinated by fluoride ions and act to form a polymer floating on the surface of the treated solution as demonstrated in Fig. 12.\textsuperscript{42}

The presence of fluorine induces the replacement of $\equiv$Ti–OH groups located on the surface of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles by $\equiv$Ti–F groups, thus generate free hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot$OH) with larger redox potential than that of $\cdot$OH radicals adsorbed on surface TiO$_2$.\textsuperscript{43,45} The fluoride ions, therefore, present a positive effect on the photocatalytic activity of TiO$_2$ when the reaction happening on the surface of the nanoparticles requires the intervention of the $\cdot$OH radicals, but in the main time has a negative effect when the reaction proceeds through the photogenerated holes.\textsuperscript{55,56} Hence, the presence fluorine on the surface of TiO$_2$ can only acts using free $\cdot$OH radicals formed and dispersed in the reaction solution for the degradation of SMX drugs, instead of the photogenerated $\cdot$OH radicals on the surface of TiO$_2$. Meanwhile, SMX can be destructed directly on the surface of platinum as a result of electron escape, as well as indirectly by photogenerated oxidizing radicals: O$_2^-$ from excited electrons on the conduction band ($e_{\text{CB}}$) and $\cdot$OH from the holes leaving after excitation of electrons on the valence band (hv$_{\text{ba}}$).\textsuperscript{36} On the other hand, the fluoride radical ions photogenerated on the surface of TiO$_2$ acts for the fluorination of TiO$_2$ the degraded products and finally floatation as fluoropolymer.\textsuperscript{48}

4. Conclusions

TiO$_2$, F–TiO$_2$ and FPtx–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites were successfully synthesized via a facile hydrothermal-assisted microwave process and investigated for the photocatalytic degradation of SMX drug. The prepared photocatalysts possessed multiple roles on the photocatalytic removal of SMX such as photocatalytic degradation, photo-generation of a new product (referred to as metal-fluoropolymer), and then floatation of resulting product. This unique kind of photocatalysts will attract a lot of interest in the future as it provides a facile route towards micropollutants degradation and removal. The incorporation of fluoride ions in the TiO$_2$ lattice serves to (i) control the growth of anatase phase, which is the most suitable photocatalytic active phase in TiO$_2$, and (ii) enhance the fluorination process of the degraded products. On the other hand, platinum, known as an electrochemical catalyst, was used to add new energy levels between the valence band and conductive band of TiO$_2$ in order to narrow the band gap of TiO$_2$ thereby broadening the photocatalytic absorption to the visible and near infrared regions. The photocatalytic performance of the synthesized photocatalysts was studied using SMX drug under both solar simulator and direct sunlight irradiations. The photocatalytic performance increased by using direct solar irradiations, hence, the degradation time was reduced from 360 min after 30 min in the dark (with a degradation efficiency ca 57% using solar simulator) to 90 min after 30 min in dark (with a degradation efficiency > 93% using direct sunlight irradiation).
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