


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 30406

Electrochemical performance of nano-sized LiFePO₄-embedded 3D-cubic ordered mesoporous carbon and nitrogenous carbon composites†‡

 Sourav Khan, ^a Rayappan Pavul Raj, ^a Talla Venkata Rama Mohan ^a and Parasuraman Selvam ^{abcd}

Herein, we report a single-step synthesis, characterization, and electrochemical performance of nano-sized LiFePO₄ (LFP)-embedded 3D-cubic mesoporous carbon (CSI-809) and nitrogenous carbon (MNC-859) composites. Furthermore, in order to investigate the effects of both CSI-809 and MNC-859 on the electrochemical characteristics of LFP, a systematic study was performed on the morphology and microstructure of the composites, *viz.*, LFP/CSI-809 and LFP/MNC-859, using XRD, FE-SEM, FT-Raman, and BET surface area analyses. Among these composites, LFP/MNC-859 exhibited better electrochemical performance with higher specific capacity and rate capability as compared to those of LFP/CSI-809. In addition, even after 100 cycles, LFP/MNC-859 retained 97% of its initial discharge capacity at 1C rate. The enhanced electrochemical performance of the nano-sized LFP-embedded MNC-859 can be attributed to the conductive nitrogenous carbon and mesoporosity, which facilitate electrolyte diffusion, and improved conductivity of the advanced LFP-nitrogenous porous carbon matrix.

 Received 29th May 2020
 Accepted 2nd August 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra04754f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

The exploration of promising cathode materials is vital for the development of Li-ion-based energy storage systems. Olivine-structured LiFePO₄ is generally regarded as one of the most versatile cathode materials for lithium batteries due to the relative low cost of its raw materials, environmental benignity, non-toxicity, high chemical stability, and safety.¹ Over the past two decades, many attempts have been made to ameliorate the low intrinsic electronic conductivity and sluggish mass and charge transport issues.² Accordingly, decreasing the particle size, doping with foreign atoms and mixing with conductive carbon materials or conducting polymers have been used to overcome the abovementioned limitations.³ However, surface carbon coating is a straightforward technique to improve the poor electronic conductivity of materials.⁴

Nano-sized LiFePO₄ cathode materials have attracted much attention because diminishing the particle size to the nano scale dimension has substantially shortened the time required for the diffusion of Li-ions into the crystal lattice.^{5–7} Nevertheless, these nano-sized particles are difficult to handle, especially while mixing them with carbon black during electrode fabrication. Moreover, coating LiFePO₄ nanoparticles uniformly with conductive carbon is always a big challenge.⁸ The extensive liquid electrolyte/electrode interface emerging from their nano-scale size contributes amply to unwanted side reactions. All these factors are responsible for the poor cycling performance of nano-sized LiFePO₄ particles.⁹

In the case of synthesizing mesoporous LiFePO₄, ordered mesoporous carbon or silica cannot be directly used as a hard template to prepare an inverse replica of the original template. LiFePO₄ is soluble in both strong acids (HF and HCl) and strong bases (NaOH) and hence it is not possible to directly utilize the nano-casting strategy (hard-template route). Therefore, an optimised approach has been applied such that the nano-sized LiFePO₄ nanoparticles can be successfully embedded inside the pores of a mesoporous carbon matrix.¹⁰ Herein, raw materials were selected such that a LiFePO₄ solution could be formed at room temperature and it was facilely infiltrated into the pores of mesoporous carbon using the wet impregnation strategy.

To achieve porous cathode materials for lithium-ion battery applications,¹¹ it is crucial to investigate novel material architectures with hierarchically organized interconnected pores decorated with conductive carbon.^{12,13} In continuation of our recently published paper¹⁴ we show, for the first time, that there

^aNational Centre for Catalysis Research and Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology-Madras, Chennai 600 036, India. E-mail: selvam@iitm.ac.in; Tel: +91-44-2257-4235; +91-44-2257-4200

^bSchool of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Science, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK


^cDepartment of Chemical and Process Engineering, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey GU2 7XH, UK

^dDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Advanced Science and Technology, Kumamoto University, 2-39-1 Kurokami, Chuo-ku, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan

† Dedicated to Professor U. V. Varadaraju on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

‡ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [10.1039/d0ra04754f](https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ra04754f)





Scheme 1 Synthesis strategy of ordered mesoporous carbon/nitrogenous carbon-coated LFP composites.

exists a dependency regarding the effect of pore dimensionality of mesoporous carbon coating on the electrochemical performance of LFP/carbon composite. In this study, we have made an attempt to simultaneously consider two things, *viz.*, the nano-size of LiFePO_4 and a 3D-coating with conductive mesoporous nitrogenous carbon, during the synthesis (Scheme 1) of robust cathode materials for Li-ion batteries. Finally, the development of mesoporous nitrogenous carbon-coated LiFePO_4 has been discussed, highlighting key points on how the presence of nitrogen can significantly improve the electronic conductivity as well as excellent lithium storage properties of the prepared cathode material.^{15,16} Furthermore, we indicated that 3D cubic ordered mesoporous carbon is a competent carbon matrix for the fabrication of mesostructured LiFePO_4 -based cathode materials for the first time.

Experimental

Starting materials

All the chemicals employed in this study were analytical-grade reagents and used without any further purification. An ordered mesoporous silica (OMS) hard template, *viz.*, KIT-6, was hydrothermally synthesized as per the literature procedure.^{17,18} Then, the resulting sample was used as a starting material for the preparation of ordered mesoporous carbon (denoted by the code CSI) and ordered mesoporous nitrogenous carbon (denoted by the code MNC).

Synthesis of CSI-809

3D cubic-ordered mesoporous carbon, designated as CSI-809, was prepared by a previously reported nano-casting method¹⁷ using sucrose as a carbon precursor. Typically, 1.0 g of KIT-6 was impregnated with an acidified sucrose solution; the mixture was dried at 100 °C for 6 h followed by heating at 160 °C for 6 h in a hot-air oven. Furthermore, the impregnation step

was performed twice for complete infiltration, and subsequently polymerized in the mesopores. The resulting black silica-polymer composite was carbonized at 900 °C under an argon atmosphere for 6 h. The required carbon sample was recovered after dissolving the silica framework in 15 wt% hydrofluoric acid followed by filtration, washing the material multiple times with deionized water, and drying at 100 °C in air. The obtained template-free ordered mesostructured carbon material synthesized from the SBA-15 template was labeled as CSI-809.

Synthesis of MNC-859

3D cubic-ordered mesoporous nitrogenous carbon, designated as MNC-809, was prepared by a similar procedure as used for CSI-809. In a typical synthesis, 1.0 g of KIT-6 was added to a solution of 5 g of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) in 20 mL of dichloromethane followed by stirring for 6 h at room temperature. The mixture was placed in a drying oven at 70 °C for 6 h. Carbonization was completed by pyrolysis at 900 °C for 6 h at a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ under inert argon gas flow. The resulting carbon/silica composite was dissolved in 15 wt% HF at room temperature to remove the silica template. The template-free carbon product thus obtained was washed with ethanol, dried at 100 °C in air, and denoted as MNC-859.

Preparation of LFP/CSI-809

A facile synthesis of LFP/CSI-809 was carried out using ordered mesoporous carbon (CSI-809) as a hard template agent. For the synthesis of the mesoporous nanocomposite, the CSI-809 template powder (0.250 g) was dispersed in 5.0 M HNO_3 followed by stirring for 0.5 h at 60 °C to induce hydrophilicity for facile wet impregnation. The template was filtered, washed with water, and dried at 90 °C. In the second step, $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in a stoichiometric amount was dissolved in 10 mL deionized water, and then, ascorbic acid, CH_3COOLi (5 mmol), and phosphoric acid in requisite quantities were added followed by stirring for 2 h to ensure homogeneity. Subsequently, functionalized CSI-809 (0.25 g) was added to the abovementioned solution followed by stirring overnight. The mixture was dried and ground. The powder was finally sintered at 650 °C for 6 h under a 5% H_2/Ar atmosphere. The material prepared was designated as LFP/CSI-809.

Preparation of LFP/MNC-859

For the facile synthesis of the nitrogen-containing ordered mesoporous composite, 5 mmol of $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and ascorbic acid in requisite amount were dissolved in a water-ethanol (50% v/v) mixture. CH_3COOLi (5 mmol) and phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) in stoichiometric amounts were added followed by stirring to ensure homogeneity. Then, MNC-859 (0.250 g) was added to the resulting solution followed by stirring overnight. The mixture was dried at 90 °C and ground. The powder was calcined at 650 °C for 6 h under a 5% H_2/Ar atmosphere. The material prepared was designated as LFP/MNC-859.






Fig. 1 Wide-angle XRD patterns of (A) LFP/CSI-809, (B) LFP/MNC-859, and (C) LFP.

Characterization

The samples LFP/CSI-809 and LFP/MNC-859 including CSI-809 and MNC-859, respectively, were systematically characterized by various analytical, spectroscopic, and imaging techniques. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded using a Bruker D8 Focus Diffractometer. It is furnished with a detector (Lynx Eye) and a Ni-filtered Cu K α radiation source ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$)

operating at 40 kV and 40 mA in the 2θ range from 1.0 to 8.0° with a 2θ step size of 0.01°. Rietveld refinement of the XRD data was carried out using a general structure analysis system (GSAS) to determine structural parameters in a precise manner.

Nitrogen adsorption measurements were conducted using a Micrometrics ASAP 2020 instrument. Before analysis, the samples were degassed at 200 °C for 10 h, and the sorption measurements were carried out at -196 °C. Specific surface areas were calculated using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) equation. The total pore volume was calculated from the total nitrogen uptake under a relative pressure of $P/P_0 = 0.99$. The pore size distribution was computed using the BJH method. In addition, elemental analysis was performed using a Perkin Elmer 2400 Series II CHNS/O elemental analyser to examine the carbon and nitrogen contents in the calcined samples.

SEM images were acquired using a FEI Quanta FEG 200 scanning electron microscope (HR-SEM). Transition electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained using a JEOL JEM 2010 microscope, operated at 200 keV. The samples were ultrasonicated in ethanol, and then, a few drops of the dispersed materials were placed on a copper grid (carbon-coated). The grid was then dried before analysis. Raman measurements were performed using a Bruker FT-MultiRAM Raman spectrometer equipped with a 1064 nm laser excitation source and a LN₂ detector in the spectral range from 3600 cm⁻¹ to 50 cm⁻¹. The measurements were carried out using a laser power of 20 mW, resolution of 4 cm⁻¹, aperture of 5 nm, and 1024 scans.

Electrode fabrication

The fabrication of two-electrode Swagelok-type cells for electrochemical measurements was performed in an argon filled glove box (MBraun). The concentrations of H₂O and O₂ were maintained below 0.1 and 0.6 ppm, respectively. For cathode fabrication, the active material (LFP/carbon), Super P carbon black, and polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) were taken in a weight ratio 80 : 10 : 10, respectively. The slurry was prepared by homogeneously grinding the abovementioned mixture using the *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) solvent, and it was coated on an aluminum foil ($\varphi = 12 \text{ mm}$) followed by drying in an air oven at 90 °C for 12 h. The foil was cut into circular discs with an area of 1.13 cm², and the active material loading on the aluminium foil was $\sim 2 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$. In order to synthesise the LFP + CSI-809 and LFP + MNC-859 cathodes, the active material, mesoporous carbon (CSI-809 or MNC-859), Super P carbon-black, and PVDF were taken in a weight ratio of 60 : 20 : 10 : 10, respectively, and the abovementioned procedure was used. For comparison, we fabricated cells using nano-sized LFP prepared by the procedure reported in a previous

Table 1 Structural parameters of LFP, LFP/carbon, and LFP/nitrogenous carbon composites

Material	<i>a</i> (Å)	<i>b</i> (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	<i>V</i> (Å) ³	RP (%)	RWP (%)	χ^2
LFP	10.322(4)	6.001(3)	4.693(2)	290.733(5)	1.73	2.16	0.79
LFP/CSI-809	10.329(2)	6.008(2)	4.696(2)	291.418(8)	1.81	2.33	0.83
LFP/MNC-859	10.329(8)	6.007(4)	4.698(3)	291.554(2)	1.84	2.35	0.81



study.¹⁴ A Li metal foil (99.9%, Alfa Aesar) was used as both the reference and counter electrode. Whatman glass microfiber (GF/D) was used as a separator. Moreover, 1 M LiPF₆ dissolved in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1 : 1 vol%, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a Li⁺ ion conducting electrolyte. After fabrication, the coin cells were maintained at room temperature for 24 h for the effective percolation of electrolyte into the electrode active materials. After this, the cells were galvanostatically charged and discharged in the voltage window of 2.5–4.2 V *versus* Li⁺/Li at room temperature using an Arbin battery testing system (Model BT2000, USA). Cyclic voltammograms (CV) were obtained using an Autolab instrument in the potential range of 2.5–4.2 V *vs.* Li⁺/Li at various scan rates (from 0.1 to 0.6 mV s⁻¹). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out using a Bio-logic VSP instrument.

Results and discussion

Structure and morphology

Fig. 1 depicts the wide-angle XRD patterns of the mesoporous LFP/CSI-809 and LFP/MNC-859 composites. All the reflections of both samples could be indexed to those of olivine-structured LiFePO₄ (JCPDS file number 89-6192) with the space group *Pnma*. Moreover, no impurity phases of iron phosphides or LiPO₄ could be detected; this indicated the formation of well-

crystallized single-phase electrode materials free from anti-site defects.¹⁹ This was also affirmed by Rietveld refinement profiles (ESI Fig. S1†),^{20,21} and the structural parameters including the acceptable 'R' values obtained from the refinement of the LiFePO₄/carbon composites are listed in Table 1. Furthermore, the low-angle diffraction pattern (Fig. 2B) of the calcined LFP/MNC-859 composite showed a sharp peak around $2\theta = 1.02^\circ$, suggesting the presence of a long-range ordered 3D-cubic mesostructure. There is a clear shift in the position of the 211 reflection peak for the LFP/MNC-859 composite as compared to that of the MNC-859 template, indicating the filling of mesopores during the impregnation process. A similar shifting of the peak to lower angles was also noticed in the case of the LFP/CSI-809 composite (see Fig. 2A).

Fig. 3 shows the typical N₂ sorption isotherms and the corresponding BJH pore size distribution curves of the mesoporous LiFePO₄/C composite. Textural properties of the LFP/C composites are provided in Table 1. The adsorption isotherm of the LFP/CSI-809 composite is a typical type-IV isotherm with an H1-type hysteresis loop, which is a prominent feature of mesoporous materials. The BET surface areas computed from the nitrogen desorption isotherms of the LFP/CSI-809 and LFP/MNC-859 composites are 127 and 77 m² g⁻¹, respectively. The larger specific surface area of the LFP/carbon composite can be attributed to the mesoporous carbon support left in the sample




Fig. 2 Low-angle XRD patterns of mesoporous pristine carbon (A) and nitrogenous carbon (B): (a) CSI-809, (b) LFP/CSI-809, (c) MNC-859, and (d) LFP/MNC-859.




Fig. 3 N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms of pristine OMC (A) and nitrogenous carbon (B): (a) CSI-809, (b) LFP/CSI-809, (c) MNC-859, and (d) LFP/MNC-859.






Fig. 4 Raman spectra of pristine OMC (A) and nitrogenous carbon (B): (a) CSI-809, (b) LFP/CSI-809, (c) MNC-859, and (d) LFP/MNC-859.

after calcination under an inert atmosphere.²² Therefore, the 3D cubic structure of the mesoporous carbon composite would promote the facile penetration of electrolyte and hence lead to high electrolyte–electrode interface area.²³

The typical Raman spectra of LFP/carbon composites are shown in Fig. 4. The two intense Raman bands (D-band and G-band) can be deconvoluted into four Lorentzian bands (D1, D2, D4, and G) and a Gaussian band (D3). The G-band at around 1595 cm^{-1} is generally related to the optically allowed E_{2g} mode of crystalline graphite, and the D1-band (symmetry: A_{1g}) is usually associated with the disordered graphitic lattice vibration mode.^{24,25} There is a distinct shift in the position of the D1 band (1307 cm^{-1} and 1296 cm^{-1} in the cases of the LFP/MNC-859 and LFP/CSI-809 composites, respectively); this clearly indicates that nitrogen is doped into the mesoporous carbon matrix in the case of the LFP/MNC-859 composite. However, the D2 band, which emerged as a shoulder on the G-band for both the composites, represented a highly defective graphitic lattice mode (E_{2g} symmetry). The wide D3 band ($\sim 1490\text{ cm}^{-1}$) mainly arises from the amorphous carbon fraction primarily contributed by the pore walls of mesoporous CSI-809 or MNC-859 carbon present in the LFP/carbon composite. The D4 band for both mesoporous CSI-809 and MNC-859 carbon can be attributed to diamond-like carbon (DLC) with short-range vibrations resulting from the substantial fraction of sp^3 carbon (Table 2).²⁶

Further, it can be seen from this table that the ratio of the integrated area of the D1-band and G-band is lower for LFP/

Table 2 Textural and spectral properties of mesoporous pristine and nitrogenous carbon, LEP, and LFP/carbon and LFP/nitrogenous carbon composites

Material	BET			FT-Raman		
	S_{BET} ($\text{m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$)	D_{BJH} (nm)	V_p ($\text{cm}^3\text{ g}^{-1}$)	G (cm^{-1})	D (cm^{-1})	D1/G
CSI-809	1168	3.80	1.22	1594	1296	3.05
MNC-859	512	3.88	1.24	1597	1308	2.42
LEP	9	—	—	—	—	—
LFP/CSI-809	127	3.77	0.09	1596	1296	2.91
LFP/MNC-859	77	3.81	0.11	1595	1307	2.31

MNC-859 indicating a uniform coating of large domains of graphene on the surface. The porous morphology of the LFP/CSI-809 and LFP/MNC-859 samples could be easily visualized from the SEM monographs (see Fig. 5A and B). To further analyse the fine microstructure of the mesoporous composites, high-resolution TEM analysis was employed. It can be clearly observed that the LiFePO₄ nanoparticles ($\sim 4\text{ nm}$) are embedded in the channels of the mesoporous 3D nitrogenous carbon matrix (see Fig. 5F). The selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns of the LFP/CSI-809 and LFP/MNC-859 samples (inset of Fig. 5E and F) show a typical bright spot mainly attributed to crystalline LiFePO₄.²⁷ It was anticipated that the 3D cubic mesoporous framework of the LFP/carbon composite could substantially allow the electrolyte to penetrate into the embedded LiFePO₄ nanoparticles (see Scheme 1).

Electrochemical testing

To evaluate the primary electrochemical behaviours of porous LFP/CSI-809 and LFP/MNC-859 electrodes, we obtained the corresponding cyclic voltammograms in the potential range of 2.5–4.2 V vs. Li⁺/Li using the Swagelok cells (Fig. 6). The CV curves of both electrodes show only one pair of cathodic/anodic peak at 3.3 and 3.6 V vs. Li⁺/Li, which was attributed to the intercalation/deintercalation of Li-ions in the LFP-based cathodes. The higher peak current in the case of the LFP/MNC-859 composite clearly depicts enhancement in the electronic conductivity caused by the nitrogenous carbon matrix. In the case of the LFP/CSI-809 composite (Fig. 6A), the anodic peak currents are relatively higher than the corresponding cathodic peak currents at higher scan rates; this clearly indicates that the Li-ion deintercalation process is facile as compared to the intercalation process. Fig. 6B shows a shift in the peak position towards a higher potential with the increasing scan rate for the LFP/MNC-859 composite. At a high scan rate of 0.6 mV s^{-1} , the sharp redox peaks were still maintained during the entire deintercalation/intercalation process for both the electrodes. As the entire Li⁺ intercalation/deintercalation process was found to proceed through diffusion, the Li-ion diffusion paths in the electrodes were presumed to be semi-infinite diffusion paths.²⁸ For comparison, the cyclic voltammograms of physical






Fig. 5 FE-SEM images of LFP/CSI-809 (A) and LFP/MNC-859 (B) and HR-TEM images of CSI-809 (C), MNC-859 (D), LFP/CSI-809 (E), and LFP/MNC-859 (F). Insets: SAED patterns.

mixtures, *viz.*, LFP + CMK-8 and LFP + MNC-81, at different scan rates are presented in the ESI Fig. S2.‡

The Li-ion diffusion coefficient (D_{Li^+}) was evaluated according to the following classical Randles–Sevcik equation:

$$i_p = 2.69 \times 10^5 n^{3/2} A C_0 D_0^{1/2} \nu^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

where n is the number of electrons transferred in the redox reaction ($n = 1$ for LiFePO_4), A is the electrode surface area equivalent to one-third of the BET surface area (cm^2), C_0 is the concentration of the active material (mol cm^{-3}), D_0 is the diffusion coefficient ($\text{cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$), and ν is the potential scan rate (V s^{-1}). The computed cathodic and anodic Li-ion diffusion coefficients of the LFP/MNC-859 composite are 1.4×10^{-11} and $2.1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively. In case of the LFP/CSI-809 composite, the corresponding values are 4.6×10^{-13} and $1.4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (see Table 3). These values are in good agreement with the values previously reported in the literature.²⁹ Note that the Li-ion diffusion coefficient of pure LFP is around $1.8 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Hence, the D_{Li^+} of the LFP/MNC-859 composite is around six orders of magnitude greater than that of pure LFP.




Fig. 6 Cyclic voltammograms of (A) LFP/CSI-809 and (B) LFP/MNC-859 at different scan rates. Insets: relationship between the peak currents and $\nu^{1/2}$ at various scan rates.

In order to study the specific capacity of the LFP/C composite cathodes, we carried out galvanostatic charging and discharging experiments of the cells at 0.1C rate in the potential window of 2.7–4.0 V *vs.* Li^+/Li , as shown in Fig. 7. Both the LFP/MNC-859 and LFP/CSI-809 electrodes showed flat voltage plateaus in the 3.4–3.5 V range, indicating a two-phase reaction, and delivered

Table 3 Electrochemical parameters of the LEP and LFP/carbon and LFP/nitrogenous carbon composites

Material	$R_{\text{CT}} (\Omega)$	$D_{\text{Li}^+} (\text{cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1})$			Impedance
		Cathodic ^a	Anodic ^a		
LFP ^b	1089	—	—	—	6.9×10^{-18}
LFP/CSI-809	232	4.6×10^{-13}	1.4×10^{-12}	9.6×10^{-15}	
LFP/MNC-859	211	1.4×10^{-11}	2.1×10^{-12}	4.3×10^{-14}	
LFP + CSI-809	653	9.5×10^{-17}	1.6×10^{-16}	7.2×10^{-16}	
LFP + MNC-859	512	7.9×10^{-17}	5.8×10^{-16}	1.1×10^{-15}	

^a From cyclic voltammogram studies. ^b Values taken from ref. 14 for comparison purpose.




Fig. 7 Galvanostatic charge–discharge profiles obtained at 0.1C rate for mesoporous LFP/MNC-859, LFP/CSI-809, LFP + MNC-859, LFP + CSI-809, and LFP.

the first discharge capacities of $132.3 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ and $117.7 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ at 0.1C, respectively. Furthermore, the observed polarization difference between the charge and the discharge plateaus for both the cathode materials is highly consistent with the cyclic voltammogram results. The LFP/CSI-809 cathode material has high polarization difference than that of the LFP/MNC-859 cathode material because of the low electronic conductivity of CSI-809 as compared to that of the MNC-859 carbon matrix. As depicted in Fig. 7, the reversible discharge capacities of $106.5 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ and $110.8 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ were delivered by the LFP + CMK-8 and LFP + MNC-81 electrodes, respectively.

For the LFP/MNC-859 composite, the obtained discharge capacities were 132.3, 124.7, 119.7, 111.1, 95.8, and 82.3 mA h g^{-1} at 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, and 5C, respectively (Fig. 8). The discharge capacities for the LFP/CSI-809 composites were 117.7, 105.8, 92.3, 80.3, 73.1, and 66.7 mA h g^{-1} at 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, and 5C, respectively. Moreover, when a lower C-rate (0.1C) was applied, the LFP/MNC-859 electrode could retrieve 98% of its initial discharge capacity. This clearly indicates that the

carbonaceous 3D network of the LFP/MNC-859 composite can handle the interfacial volume strain associated with the Li-ion de-intercalation/intercalation process during charge–discharge.

In addition, we fabricated the LFP/MNC-859 composite without Super P carbon black and performed galvanostatic charging and discharging experiments at 0.1C rate, as shown in the ESI Fig. S3.‡ Moreover, the observed polarization difference between the charge and the discharge plateaus for the cathode material was large when the conductive additive (Super P carbon black) was not added during fabrication. These results also indicate that the addition of Super P plays an important and positive role in ameliorating the electrochemical performance by enhancing the electronic conductivity of the LFP/MNC-859 composite. The enhanced capacity of the LFP/MNC-859 composite fabricated using Super-P carbon black is mainly ascribed to the reversible redox reaction between the Li-ions of the electrolyte and the conductive electrode–electrolyte interface formed by the combination of Super P and the residual nitrogenous mesoporous carbon.

To examine the electrochemical cycling stability of the electrodes, we selected two nominal C-rates, *viz.*, 0.1C and 1C. A reversible capacity of $129.1 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ was achieved (see inset of Fig. 8) at 0.1C rate even after 50 cycles, implying good cycling stability and reversibility of the LFP/MNC-859 electrode. The LFP/MNC-859 cathode exhibited a discharge capacity of $116.2 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ at 1C after 100 cycles with an excellent capacity retention of 97.1% and high coulombic efficiency, as depicted in Fig. 9. For the LFP/CSI-809 cathode, a discharge capacity of 88.5 mA h g^{-1} was obtained after 100 cycles at 1C rate, and it still had a nominal (4.1%) capacity fading. It is evident that the LFP/MNC-859 cathode was stable during cycling performance tests at various C-rates, whereas the LFP/CSI-809 electrode exhibited gradual capacity fading. The improved cycling performance of the LIP-MNC-859 cathode is because the mesoporous structure originated from the nitrogenous carbon matrix of MNC-859 furnished enough 3D channels for facile Li^+ ion transport.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) experiments were carried out to exemplify the improved electrochemical




Fig. 8 Rate performance of LFP/carbon. Inset: cycling stability studies (discharge capacity) of the LFP/CSI-809 and LFP/MNC-859 electrodes at the current rate of 0.1C.




Fig. 9 Cycling stability studies of the LFP/CSI-809 and LFP/MNC-859 electrodes at the current rate of 1C.






Fig. 10 Electrochemical impedance spectra (Nyquist plot) of the LFP/CSI-809 and LFP/MNC-859 electrodes. Inset: Nyquist plots of LFP, LFP + CSI-809, and LFP + MNC-859.

performance of the LFP/MNC-859 cathode material. Fig. 10 shows the Nyquist plots for both composites, and the data were investigated based on the specified equivalent circuit. R_s denotes mainly the ohmic resistances due to electrolyte, current collector including active materials particle to particle contact resistances. The R_{ct} (charge-transfer resistance) is generally associated with electrode surface reactions at the electrode/electrolyte interface. The obtained plot consists of a depressed semicircle in the intermediate and high frequency ranges, representing the charge transfer process. The sloping straight line in the very low frequency range represents the Warburg impedance (Z_w) related to the solid-state diffusion of Li-ions into both the composites.^{30,31} The Nyquist plot also indicated that the charge transfer resistances (intermediate frequency) of the LFP/CSI-809 and LFP/MNC-859 composites were 231.6 Ω and 194.2 Ω , respectively; this implied that the nitrogen-containing mesoporous carbon (MNC-859) matrix significantly enhanced the electronic conductivity of the composite. More specifically, the surface nitrogen present in the MNC-859 matrix could construct a conductive network over the nano-sized LFP particles embedded in the LFP/MNC-859 composite. Moreover, the obtained R_{ct} values could be well correlated to the electrochemical performance test results. The Li-ion diffusion coefficient (D_{Li^+}) was computed from the Warburg impedance (low frequency) for both the composites according to the following equation:

$$D_{Li^+} = \frac{R^2 T^2}{2A^2 n^2 F^4 C^2 \sigma^2} \quad (2)$$

where R is the gas constant, T is the absolute operating temperature, A is the surface area of the electrode, n is the number of electrons per molecule during the oxidation process, F is the Faraday's constant, C is the molar concentration of Li-ions (7.69×10^{-3} mol cm^{-3}), and σ is the Warburg factor obtained from the slope of the plot of Z_{real} versus the reciprocal square root of angular frequencies ($\omega^{-1/2}$). The calculated D_{Li^+} values were 9.6×10^{-15} and 4.3×10^{-14} $cm^2 s^{-1}$ for LFP/CSI-809

and LFP/CSI-859, respectively (Table 3). The D_{Li^+} value of the LFP/MNC-859 composite is about one order of magnitude higher than that of the LFP/CSI-809 composite, which clearly manifests the enhanced mobility of Li-ions in the case of the LFP/MNC-859 composite and the superior cycling performance of this composite. The estimated Li-ion diffusion coefficients are consistent with the calculated values reported by various research groups.^{29,32}

Conclusions

Herein, we developed a 3D-cubic mesocomposite where the LiFePO₄ nanoparticles were embedded on the mesoporous nitrogenous carbon matrix by a hard template approach, and the roles of nitrogen doping and pore dimensionality of the mesoporous structure were vividly assessed. This cubic mesoporous nitrogenous carbon, *i.e.*, MNC-859, matrix acts as an electrolyte reservoir, ameliorating the electronic conductivity of the carbon matrix and subsequently cutting down the diffusion pathway for the lithium ions. This straightforward one-pot synthesis method can be employed to obtain various mesostructured cathode materials for fabricating Li-ion-based efficient energy storage devices. The favourable impacts of using cubic ordered mesoporous nitrogenous carbon materials along with unique *in situ* carbon coating strategies were unified for the development of highly electroactive 3D cubic mesostructured LiFePO₄/carbon composite cathode materials for Li-ion batteries.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Australia-India Strategic Research Fund by DST under grant No. INT/AUS/P-53/2012(G), and MNRE under project no. 103/140/2008-NT. The authors would like to thank Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, for funding NCCR, IIT-Madras under SERB (Project No. IR/S1/CU-01/2002). The authors thank Professor B. Viswanathan and Professor S. Hayami for encouragement and support; Professor U. V. Varadaraju for fruitful discussion. The initiative and support of Dr G. S. K. Kannangara and Dr A. Milev, in early stages of the project, is gratefully acknowledged. Thanks are also due to CSIR for SRF (TVRM) and IITM for IPDF (RPR).

References

- 1 A. K. Padhi, K. S. Nanjundaswamy and J. B. Goodenough, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1997, **144**, 1188–1194.
- 2 H. L. Zhang, H. B. Zhao, M. A. Khan, W. W. Zou, J. Q. Xu, L. Zhang and J. J. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 20564–20620.
- 3 M. Gaberscek, R. Dominko, M. Bele, M. Remskar, D. Hanzel and J. Jamnik, *Solid State Ionics*, 2005, **176**, 1801–1805.



4 X.-L. Wu, L.-Y. Jiang, F.-F. Cao, Y.-G. Guo and L.-J. Wan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 2710–2714.

5 A. Milev, L. George, S. Khan, P. Selvam and G. S. K. Kannangara, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **209**, 565–573.

6 C. J. Yang, D. J. Lee, H. Kim, K. Kim, J. Joo, W. B. Kim, Y. B. Song, Y. S. Jung and J. Park, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 13714–13721.

7 H. Yang, X. L. Wu, M. H. Cao and Y. G. Guo, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 3345–3351.

8 R. R. Kapaev, S. A. Novikova, A. A. Chekannikov, D. Y. Gryzlov, T. L. Kulova, A. M. Skundin and A. B. Yaroslavtsev, *Rev. Adv. Mater. Sci.*, 2018, **57**, 183–192.

9 N. Mohamed and N. K. Allam, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 21662–21685.

10 M. Yang and Q. Gao, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2011, **509**, 3690–3698.

11 C. Sun, S. Rajasekhara, J. B. Goodenough and F. Zhou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 2132–2135.

12 R. Dominko, M. Bele, J.-M. Goupil, M. Gabersek, D. Hanzel, I. Arcon and J. Jamnik, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 2960–2969.

13 Y. Zhang, F. S. Qi and Y. J. Liu, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 11210–11218.

14 S. Khan, R. P. Raj, T. V. R. Mohan, S. Bhuvaneswari, U. V. Varadaraju and P. Selvam, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2019, **848**, 113242.

15 S. Yoon, C. Liao, X. G. Sun, C. A. Bridges, R. R. Unocic, J. Nanda, S. Dai and M. P. Paranthaman, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 4611–4614.

16 Q. Q. Xiong, J. J. Lou, X. J. Teng, X. X. Lu, S. Y. Liu, H. Z. Chi and Z. G. Ji, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, **743**, 377–382.

17 K. P. Gierszal, M. Jaroniec, T. W. Kim, J. Kim and R. Ryoo, *New J. Chem.*, 2008, **32**, 981–993.

18 F. Kleitz, S. H. Choi and R. Ryoo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 2136–2137.

19 J. Wu, G. K. P. Dathar, C. Sun, M. G. Theivanayagam, D. Applestone, A. G. Dylla, A. Manthiram, G. Henkelman, J. B. Goodenough and K. J. Stevenson, *Nanotechnology*, 2013, **24**, 424009.

20 J. Wang, J. Yang, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, Y. Tang, M. N. Banis, X. Li, G. Liang, R. Li and X. Sun, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 806–814.

21 J. Wang, Y. Tang, J. Yang, R. Li, G. Liang and X. Sun, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **238**, 454–463.

22 N. N. Sinha, C. Shivakumara and N. Munichandraiah, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2010, **2**, 2031–2038.

23 T. Kozawa, N. Kataoka, A. Kondo, E. Nakamura, H. Abe and M. Naito, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **155**, 246–251.

24 R. Baddour-Hadjean and J. P. Pereira-Ramos, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 1278–1319.

25 A. Sadezky, H. Muckenhuber, H. Grothe, R. Niessner and U. Pöschl, *Carbon*, 2005, **43**, 1731–1742.

26 A. C. Ferrari and J. Robertson, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2001, **64**, 075414.

27 Q. Zhang, S. Z. Huang, J. Jin, J. Liu, Y. Li, H. E. Wang, L. H. Chen, B. J. Wang and B. L. Su, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 25942.

28 Y. C. Li, Y. P. Zhang, J. J. Ma, L. Yang, X. B. Li, E. Q. Zhao, S. M. Fan, G. R. Xu, S. T. Yang and C. C. Yang, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2019, **166**, A410–A415.

29 D. Y. W. Yu, C. Fietzek, W. Weydanz, K. Donoue, T. Inoue, H. Kurokawa and S. Fujitani, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2007, **154**, A253.

30 D. Qu, G. Wang, J. Kafle, J. Harris, L. Crain, Z. Jin and D. Zheng, *Small Methods*, 2018, **2**, 1700342.

31 D. Zane, M. Carewska, S. Scaccia, F. Cardellini and P. P. Prosini, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2004, **49**, 4259–4271.

32 X. Tu, Y. Zhou, X. Tian, Y. Song, C. Deng and H. Zhu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **222**, 64–73.

