


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 25703

Received 27th May 2020

Accepted 1st July 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra04681g

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Nucleation and growth behavior of Er³⁺ doped oxyfluorophosphate glasses

 N. Ojha,^{ID}*^a A. Szczodra,^a N. G. Boetti,^b J. Massera^c and L. Petit^a

The nucleation and growth behavior of glasses with the composition (75 NaPO₃-25 CaF₂)_{100-x}-(TiO₂/ZnO/MgO)_x, with $x = 0$ and $x = 1.5$ (in mol%) is investigated. The glasses possess similar activation energy for crystallization and Johnson–Mehl–Avrami exponent, with value 2 confirming bulk crystallization of crystals with needle like shape. The Ti and Mg glasses exhibit broader nucleation curve and higher $T_{n\ max}$ than the $x = 0$ and Zn glasses due to their stronger field strength. The crystal growth rates were determined and validated using SEM. Finally, we showed that the nucleation and growth of glasses can be controlled due to the large difference between onset of crystallization and maximum nucleation temperature which is crucial when preparing novel transparent glass-ceramics.

Introduction

Addition of rare-earth (RE) ions in glasses has been of great interest for a wide range of applications in the field of telecommunications, light detection and ranging (LIDAR), solar panels, color sensing, biomedical diagnostics, just to name a few.^{1–3} Among the rare earth ions, Er³⁺ ions have been in the limelight due to their large number of energy levels and especially due to the transition from ⁴I_{13/2} to ⁴I_{15/2} levels which corresponds to the emission at 1530 nm that makes Er³⁺ doped glasses useful for eye-safe laser and optical telecommunications of the third window.^{4,5} Oxyfluorophosphate glasses in particular are promising glasses for the fabrication of new lasing glasses as these glasses combine the properties of both oxides and fluorides. These glasses possess good chemical durability, thermal stability, mechanical strength and high rare earth ion solubility.⁶ Additionally, these oxyfluorophosphate glasses can be engineered with low melting point and so they can be prepared quite easily as compared to their silica counterparts.

Nowadays, glass-ceramics (GCs) are gaining much more attention due to their widespread applications in optical, laser and biomedical fields to name a few.⁷ Stookey discovered the first GCs in 1960 where he defined them as special glasses that contains nucleating agent and controlled crystallization.⁸ Transparent RE doped GCs which contain crystals homogeneously distributed in the volume of the glass matrix are considered promising materials for a variety of additional applications such as optical amplifiers, optical electronic chips,

luminescence labels and 3D displays.⁹ Indeed, these glass-ceramics exhibit larger absorption and emission cross-sections and energy transfer rates compared to their glassy counterparts when the desirable crystalline phases are generated around the rare earth ions or transition metal ions.^{10,11} Auzel *et al.*¹² successfully prepared a partially crystalline material having luminescence efficiency double than that of LaF₃:Er³⁺, Yb³⁺ phosphor using lead fluoride and several glass forming oxides. However, those materials lacked transparency due to the presence of large crystals (~10 μm). For the GCs to be transparent, the crystals need to have a size smaller than the incident light wavelength.¹³ Therefore, the size and size distribution of the crystals as well as the refractive index difference between the crystals and the glass should be tailored in order to minimize light scattering. One of the first transparent glass-ceramics was successfully prepared with crystalline Pb_xCd_{1-x}-F₂ cubic fluoride phase doped with Er³⁺ and Yb³⁺ ions in ref. 14. Since this achievement, great effort has been made on the preparation of novel transparent GCs within different glass systems such as silicate,^{15–19} tellurite,^{20–23} germanate^{24–27} and phosphate,^{28–31} just to cite few examples.

When developing novel GCs, it is therefore crucial to understand the formation of crystals inside the glass matrix in order to control their size and size distribution in the amorphous network. The GCs are usually fabricated using a three (3) step process: at first, a glass is obtained. Then, the glass is heat treated at a specific temperature called the nucleation temperature to form the nuclei and finally heat treated at higher temperature in order to grow the nuclei into crystals. This process can result in a glass having crystals that are relatively uniform in size and dispersed homogeneously within the glassy matrix.³² The crystallization is typically defined by the activation energy for crystallization, which is related to the temperature dependence of the crystallization process and by the Johnson–

^aPhotonics Laboratory, Tampere University, Korkeakoulunkatu 3, FI-33720 Tampere, Finland. E-mail: nirajan.ojha@uni.fi

^bFondazione LINKS – Leading Innovation & Knowledge for Society, Via P. C. Boggio 61, 10138 Torino, Italy

^cFaculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Korkeakoulunkatu 3, FI-33720 Tampere, Finland


Mehl–Avrami (JMA) exponent, which provides the information on the crystal growth dimensionality.^{33–35} It is also important to estimate the growth rate of the crystals as a function of the heat treatment temperature, in order to fabricate transparent GCs.

In our previous study,³⁶ Er³⁺ doped glasses with the composition (75NaPO₃–(25 – x)CaO–xCaF₂) were prepared and heat treated. We showed that the transparent GC can be obtained from the glass with $x = 25$. CaF₂ crystals were found in the volume of the glass and doped with Er³⁺.³⁷

In this study, we present a complete study on the nucleation and growth behavior of the glass with $x = 25$. We also investigate the impact of the addition of TiO₂, MgO and ZnO in this glass on the precipitation of the Er³⁺ doped CaF₂ crystals in the volume of the glass during heat treatment. We report first the study of the impact of the glass composition on the thermal, optical, structural and luminescence properties of the newly developed glasses. The activation energy for crystallization, the Johnson–Mehl–Avrami exponent, the nucleation-like curves and the growth rates of the glasses are presented and discussed as a function of the glass composition.

Experimental

Oxyfluorophosphate glasses with the composition (100-x-0.25) (75NaPO₃–25CaF₂) – x(TiO₂/ZnO/MgO) (in mol%), doped with 0.25 mol% Er₂O₃, with $x = 0$ and $x = 1.5$ were prepared using standard melting procedure in air using platinum crucible. The glass with $x = 0$ is labelled as Ref and the glasses prepared with 1.5 mol% TiO₂ as Ti glass, with 1.5 mol% ZnO as Zn glass and with 1.5 mol% MgO as Mg glass. The chemicals used for the glass preparation were NaPO₃ (Alfa-Aesar, technical grade), CaF₂ (Honeywell-Fluka, 99%), Er₂O₃ (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.9%), MgO (Honeywell, ≥99%), TiO₂ (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.8%) and ZnO (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.99%). The glasses were melted for 5 minutes between 900 and 1025 °C depending on the composition of the glass. After melting, the glasses were quenched and annealed for 6 h at 40 °C below their respective glass transition temperature to release the stress from the quench. The glasses were polished and finally heat treated for 17 h at 20 °C above their glass transition temperature T_g and then at $\sim T_p$ for upto 6 hours.

The glass transition temperature (T_g) as well as the onset of the crystallization (T_x) and the crystallization temperature (T_p) of the glasses were determined by differential thermal analysis (DTA) (TA instruments SDT Q600) using various heating rates (5°, 10°, 15°, and 20 °C min⁻¹) using glasses crushed into powder with a 125–250 μm particles size. The measurements were performed using platinum pans in N₂ atmosphere. The glass transition temperature was taken at the inflection point of the endotherm, as obtained by taking the minima of first derivative of the DTA curve. T_p was taken at the maximum of the exothermic peak and T_x at the onset of the crystallization peak. All measurements were performed with an accuracy of ±3 °C.

Electron Probe MicroAnalyzer (EPMA) (CAMECA, SX100) equipped with 5 wavelength dispersive X-ray analyzers (WDX) was used to determine the fluorine content with an accuracy of ±0.1 at%. The EPMA was operated at 15 keV and 40 nA.

Quantitative analyses were performed using the Cameca QUANTITOOL analytical programme, calibrated with ErF₃ reference standard, applying a PAP matrix correction. A scanning electron microscope (Carl Zeiss Crossbeam 540) equipped with Oxford Instruments X-MaxN 80 EDS detector was used to image and analyse the composition of the crystals. The error of composition is ±1.5 mol%. For the EPMA and SEM analysis, the glasses and GCs were polished and coated with a carbon layer to prevent charging.

The activation energy for crystallization (E_c) was determined by measuring T_p at different heating rates of powdered sample of size 125–250 μm and then applying the Kissinger equation:³⁴

$$\ln\left(\frac{\beta}{T_p^2}\right) = -\frac{E_c}{RT_p} + \text{constant} \quad (1)$$

where β is the heating rate, T_p is the maximum of the crystallization peak measured with heating rates of 5°, 10°, 15°, and 20 °C min⁻¹, and R is the gas constant. The accuracy of the measurement was ±30 kJ mol⁻¹.

To verify the Kissinger equation, E_c was also determined using the Friedman method using the equation:³⁵

$$\ln\left(\frac{d\alpha_i}{dt}\right) = -\frac{E_{c\alpha}}{RT_i} + \text{constant} \quad (2)$$

where $d\alpha_i$ is the transformation rate at a temperature T_i .

The Johnson–Mehl–Avrami (JMA) exponent, which is related to the dimensionality of the crystallization (surface vs. bulk crystallization), was determined using the equation proposed by Augis and Bennett:³⁸

$$n = \frac{2.5}{\Delta T_{FWHM}} \frac{T_p^2}{E_c} \quad (3)$$

where n is the JMA exponent, ΔT_{FWHM} is the full width at half maximum of the DTA peak, E_c is the activation energy for crystallization, and R is the gas constant. The accuracy of the measurement was found to be ±0.1.

n was also obtained using the Ozawa method from the fraction of glass crystallized at various heating rates at a constant temperature using the following equation:³³

$$\left[\frac{d(\ln(-\ln(1-\alpha)))}{d(\ln(\beta))}\right]_T = -n \quad (4)$$

where β is the heating rate and α volume fraction of glass crystallized at a fixed temperature T . Based on this equation, the plot $\ln(-\ln(1-\alpha)) = f(\ln(\beta))$ yields a straight line with a slope equals to $-n$. The accuracy of the measurement using this method was ±0.5.

The temperature of maximum nucleation ($T_{n \text{ max}}$) was determined from the nucleation-like curve using the method described by Marotta *et al.*³⁹ In this method, the glass powder was subjected to an isothermal hold, inside the DTA, at various temperatures T (between T_g and T_x) near the suspected temperature of the maximum nucleation ($T_{n \text{ max}}$). The temperature was then reduced to 200 °C and finally increased to a temperature T , above the crystallization temperature. The nucleation like curve was obtained from the plot of



Table 1 Density and thermal properties of the investigated glasses. Also included is the F quantification using EPMA

Glass label	Quantification using EPMA/WDX		T_m (°C)	ρ ± 0.02 (g cm ⁻³)	$T_g \pm$ 3 (°C)	$T_x \pm$ 3 (°C)	$T_p \pm$ 3 (°C)	ΔT ± 6 (°C)
	Expected F at%	Measured F at% (± 0.1 at%)						
Ref	11.1	9.2	900	2.63	269	323	338	54
Ti	11.0	8.9	1025	2.65	286	350	370	64
Mg		9.4	900	2.66	279	345	365	66
Zn		9.1	1000	2.65	276	334	350	58




Fig. 1 DTA thermogram of the investigated glasses.

$(1/T_p^\circ - 1/T_p) = f(T)$, (where T_p and T_p° are the maximum of the exothermal peak with and without the nucleation thermal hold). The maximum of the obtained curve gives $T_{n \max}$.

The phases present in the heat treated glasses were analyzed using the Panalytical EMPYREAN multipurpose X-ray Diffractometer (XRD) using nickel filtered copper K-Alpha radiation. The spectra were obtained using the Bragg–Brentano geometry and by rotating the sample holder around the Phi-axis at a constant speed of 16 revolutions per minute.

The absorption spectra were measured using a UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer (UV-3600 Plus, Shimadzu) from 200 to 1800 nm with 0.5 nm interval. Samples were polished and their thickness were measured using a digital caliper with an accuracy of ± 0.05 mm. The absorption cross-section σ (in cm²) was calculated using the following equation:

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \frac{2.303}{NL} \log\left(\frac{I_0}{I}\right) \quad (5)$$

where N is the number of ions per cm³ absorbing at the specific wavelength (λ), I/I_0 is the absorbance and L is the thickness of the sample (in cm). The accuracy of the measurement is $\pm 10\%$.

The glass samples, crushed into powder, were excited using a TEC-cooled fiber-coupled multimode laser (II-VI Laser

Enterprise). The center emission wavelength (λ_{exc}) of the laser was ~ 975 nm and its incident power at the sample surface was ~ 23.5 mW. The resulting normal photoluminescence (PL) and up-conversion spectra were measured using a Spectro 320 optical spectrum analyzer (Instrument Systems Optische Messtechnik GmbH, Germany) at room temperature. The spectrum analyzer was equipped with a photomultiplier tube capable of measuring wavelengths between 350 and 850 nm and an InGaAs detector for wavelengths ranging from 800 to 1700 nm. The luminescence light was collected from the samples to the spectrum analyzer using a lens and a liquid light guide.

The lifetime of the $Er^{3+}, ^4I_{13/2}$ energy level was measured using a fiber pigtailed laser diode at 976 nm. The signal was recorded using a digital oscilloscope (Tektronix TDS350) and the decay traces were fitted using single exponential. The detector used for this measurement was a Thorlabs PDA10CS-EC. The accuracy of the measurement was ± 0.20 ms.

The IR spectra of the powder glasses were measured using a Perkin Elmer Spectrum FTIR2000 spectrometer with Attenuated Total Reflection (ATR) mode between 600 and 2000 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ and 8 scan accumulation.

Results and discussion

The thermal and physical properties of the glasses are shown in Table 1. DTA thermogram of the investigated glasses can be




Fig. 2 Normalized IR spectra of the investigated glasses.






Fig. 3 Absorption spectra (a) and normalized absorption band at 1500 nm (b) of the investigated glasses.

Table 2 Absorption coefficients and cross-sections of the investigated glasses

Glass label	Er ³⁺ ions/cm ³ (10 ¹⁹) ± 5%	α_{abs} at 975 nm (cm ⁻¹)	σ_{Abs} at 975 nm (10 ⁻²¹ cm ²) ± 10%	α_{abs} at 1532 nm (cm ⁻¹)	σ_{Abs} at 1532 nm (10 ⁻²¹ cm ²) ± 10%
Ref	8.19	0.16	2.01	0.52	6.32
Ti	8.27	0.19	2.34	0.52	6.23
Mg	8.35	0.15	1.82	0.47	5.61
Zn	8.27	0.18	2.16	0.53	6.41

seen in Fig. 1. The changes in the glass composition leads to a slight increase in the density, T_g , T_x and T_p , the addition of TiO₂ being the most effective in these changes. As seen in Table 1, the increase in the melting temperature decreases the F at% in the glass as measured using EPMA in agreement with our previous study.¹⁹ Therefore, the increase in T_g may be related to the different F at% in the glasses but it may also indicate that

the changes in the glass composition increases the strength of the network and the bond strength as reported in ref. 40. The changes in the glass composition increases slightly ΔT ($\Delta T = T_x - T_g$) confirming that the addition Ti, Mg or Zn can be used to increase slightly the resistance of the glass towards crystallization. However, one should point out the ΔT of the investigated glasses still remains lower than 90 °C.




Fig. 4 Normalized emission spectra centered at 1.5 μm (a) and normalized upconversion spectra (b) of the investigated glasses ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 975$ nm).



Table 3 Er^{3+} : $\text{I}_{13/2}$ Lifetime values of the investigated glasses before and after heat treatment at ($T_g + 20$ °C) for 17 h and at T_p for 1 to 6 hours

Glass label	As-prepared glasses	Duration of the heat treatment at T_p for		
		1 h	3 h	6 h
Ref	8.2	8.9	8.9	8.8
Ti	8.4	8.7	8.6	8.9
Mg	8.2	8.7	8.6	8.7
Zn	8.3	8.6	8.8	8.7

The IR spectra of the glasses are presented in Fig. 2. They are normalized to the main band at $\sim 1130 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Consequently, the intensity changes are expressed relatively to the main band. The IR spectra of the new glasses are similar to those reported in ref. 36 where a detailed attribution of the bands can be found. The addition of ZnO and MgO leads to a small decrease in intensity of the bands at about 700, 950, ~ 1000 and 1250 cm^{-1} and to a slight increase in the intensity of the shoulder at 1100 cm^{-1} as compared to the main band whereas the addition of TiO_2 increases the intensity of the bands at around 880 and 950 cm^{-1} and decreases the intensity of the band at 1250 cm^{-1} . One can also notice that the position of the bands at 880 and 1250 cm^{-1} shifts towards smaller wavenumbers when adding TiO_2 due to the strong field strength of the Ti ions. These changes in the IR

spectra can be related to a decrease in the Q^2 units and to an increase in Q^1 units as expected from the change in the O/P ratio when adding ZnO, MgO and TiO_2 .⁴¹ In agreement with,⁴² Mg, Ti and Zn are expected to cross-link the phosphate chains by creating P–O–Mg/Ti/Zn bonds at the expense of P–O–P bonds associated with a reduction in the number of Q^2 units.^{41,43} Therefore, the addition of ZnO, MgO and TiO_2 is suspected to cause distortion of the glass network which is in agreement with the increase in T_g seen in Table 1. Similar results were reported in ref. 44. Due to the higher field strength of Mg compared to Zn,⁴⁵ the changes in the IR spectra are more visible when adding MgO than ZnO in the network.

The absorption spectra and the normalized absorption spectra are shown in Fig. 3a and b respectively. As seen in Fig. 3a, the addition of TiO_2 leads to a shift of the band gap to longer wavelength most probably due to the presence of Ti^{3+} according to ref. 46. The change in the position of the band gap can also be related to the formation of Ti–O–P bonds as discussed earlier. Due to the decrease in the connectivity of the phosphate network, the bandgap is shifted to longer wavelengths when adding MgO and ZnO, the shift being more visible when adding MgO. The shape of the absorption band centered at $\sim 1532 \text{ nm}$ is similar in all glasses (Fig. 3b).

The absorption coefficients and the absorption cross-sections at 975 and 1532 nm are presented in Table 2. Within the accuracy of the measurement, the changes in the glass composition have no impact on the absorption cross-sections at




Fig. 5 Pictures of the glasses heat treated at $T_g + 20$ °C for 17 h and at T_p for 1, 3 and 6 hours.






Fig. 6 Transmittance spectra of the Ref (a), Ti (b), Mg (c) and Zn (d) glasses heat treated at $T_g + 20^\circ\text{C}$ for 17 h and at T_p for 1, 3 and 6 hours (thickness of the glasses was $\sim 1\text{mm}$).

those wavelengths. Therefore, the site of the Er^{3+} ions is suspected not to be strongly impacted by the changes in the glass composition; Ti, Mg and Zn are not suspected to participate to the second coordination shell around Er^{3+} .

The spectra presented in Fig. 4a exhibit the typical broadband Er^{3+} emission (${}^4\text{I}_{13/2} \rightarrow {}^4\text{I}_{15/2}$) in glasses.³ The glasses exhibit similar intensity (within $\pm 10\%$) and shape of the emission centered at $1.5\ \mu\text{m}$ confirming that Ti, Mg and Zn have no noticeable impact on the site of the Er^{3+} ions. Similarly, the relative intensity of the upconversion was found not to be affected by the change in the composition (within 10%). As seen in Fig. 4b, the upconversion spectra exhibit the typical green and red emissions from Er^{3+} ions in amorphous site after pumping at $975\ \text{nm}$. These green and red emissions correspond to ${}^2\text{H}_{11/2}$ ($525\ \text{nm}$) ${}^4\text{S}_{3/2}$ ($550\ \text{nm}$) $\rightarrow {}^4\text{I}_{15/2}$ and ${}^4\text{F}_{9/2} \rightarrow {}^4\text{I}_{15/2}$ transitions of Er^{3+} , respectively.⁴⁷ No noticeable changes can be seen in the shape of the emission band at $550\ \text{nm}$, which can be associated to a hypersensitive transition⁴⁸ with the changes in the glass composition confirming that the site of the Er^{3+} ions is not strongly modified by the addition of TiO_2 , MgO and ZnO . The addition of ZnO has no impact on the ratio of the intensity

of the green to red emissions while the intensity of the red emission increases slightly as compared to the green emission when adding TiO_2 and MgO probably due to their strong field strength.

The lifetime values of the $\text{Er}^{3+}{}^4\text{I}_{13/2}$ level in the investigated glasses are listed in Table 3. Within the accuracy of the measurement, the change in the glass composition has no impact on the lifetime value confirming that the sites of Er^{3+} ions are similar in the glasses. One should point out that the lifetime values are similar to those reported in ref. 37 but longer than the lifetime values reported in ref. 36 although the Er_2O_3 concentration is larger in the investigated glasses than in ref. 36. It is possible that the glasses used in ref. 36 contain a larger amount of OH groups, known as quenchers of Er^{3+} ions luminescence,^{49,50} than the glasses used in this study and in ref. 37.

As performed in ref. 36 and 37, the glasses were heat treated at $T_g + 20^\circ\text{C}$ for 17 h and then at T_p for 1 to 6 h. The glasses were polished prior to the heat treatment and were heat treated in air on a Pt foil to avoid contamination from the sample holder. The pictures of the glasses prior to and after heat treatment are presented in Fig. 5. All the investigated glasses exhibit bulk



crystallization. The Ref and the Zn glasses are still transparent while the Ti and Mg glasses become opaque after 6 h at T_p .

The transmittance spectra of the glasses prior to and after heat treatment are presented in Fig. 6. They clearly show losses in the transparency of the glasses after heat treatment especially for the Ti and Mg glasses. The large decrease in the transmittance of the Ti and Mg glasses can be related to the presence of a larger amount of crystals and/or larger crystals inside these glass matrices than in the Ref and Zn glass matrices causing stronger scattering.⁵¹

The XRD pattern of these HT glass were measured to verify if CaF_2 crystals precipitate in all glasses during heat treatment. The XRD pattern of the glasses prior to and after heat treatment are shown in Fig. 7.

The XRD pattern of the as-prepared glasses exhibit a broad band confirming that the as-prepared glasses are amorphous prior to the heat treatment. The XRD patterns of the heat treated glasses show sharp peaks which correspond to the precipitation of CaF_2 (ICDD PDF #00-035-0816) indicating that the change in the glass composition has no impact on the crystal phase




Fig. 7 XRD patterns of the Ref (a), Ti (b), Mg (c) and Zn (d) glasses prior to and after heat treatment $T_g + 20^\circ\text{C}$ for 17 h and at T_p for 1, 3 and 6 hours.

Table 4 Estimation of the mean crystalline sizes (nm) of CaF_2 crystals in the glasses heat treated at $T_g + 20^\circ\text{C}$ for 17 h and then at T_p for 1 to 6 h

Glass label	Heat treated at $T_g + 20^\circ\text{C}$ for 17 h and then at T_p for 1 h	Heat treated at $T_g + 20^\circ\text{C}$ for 17 h and then at T_p for 3 h	Heat treated at $T_g + 20^\circ\text{C}$ for 17 h and then at T_p for 6 h
Ref	17	19	19
Ti	24	31	56
Mg	24	24	38
Zn	14	17	20



precipitating in the glass. The size of the CaF_2 crystallites can be estimated from the XRD pattern using the Scherrer's equation:⁵²

$$D = K\lambda/\beta \cos \theta \quad (6)$$

where $K = 0.9$ is the numerical factor, $\lambda = 0.154056$ nm represents the wavelength of the X-ray (Cu K_{α}) radiation, β is the full width at half maximum of the X-ray diffraction peak in radians and θ is the Bragg angle. Here, the strongest diffraction peak at $2\theta = 47^\circ$, which corresponds to the (220) crystal plane, was used for the calculation.

The Table 4 summarizes the mean crystalline sizes of CaF_2 crystals in the heat treated glasses.

As seen in Table 4, the large decrease in the transmittance observed in the Ti and Mg glasses (Fig. 6) is probably due to the presence of large crystals of CaF_2 in the glasses. It is interesting to point out that the mean crystalline size of the CaF_2 crystals in the Ti and Mg glasses seems to be highly dependent on the

duration of the heat treatment while the CaF_2 crystals are expected to grow slower in the Ref and Zn glasses when heat treated at their respective T_p . The crystal volume fraction was estimated by calculating the ratio of integrating area of the peaks to total integrated area of the XRD patterns as in ref. 15, 53 and was found to be about $(3.4 \pm 0.1)\%$, independently of the glass composition. One should point out that this crystal volume fraction is small compared to other glass-ceramics.¹⁵

Similar to previous report,³⁶ a decrease in the intensity of the emission centered at $1.5 \mu\text{m}$ and an increase in the intensity of the upconversion and in the lifetime values of the $\text{Er}^{3+}{}^4\text{I}_{13/2}$ level (Table 3) were observed after heat treating all the investigated glasses. We also noticed for all the investigated glasses that the shape of the emission centered at $1.5 \mu\text{m}$ and of the green emission, known to be a hypersensitive transition and so sensitive to changes in the environment of Er^{3+} ions, changed post heat treatment (Fig. 8 and 9) confirming that the CaF_2 crystals precipitating in all the investigated glasses contain Er^{3+}




Fig. 8 Normalized emission spectra of the Ref (a), Ti (b), Mg (c), and Zn (d) glasses prior to and after heat treatment at $(T_g + 20^\circ\text{C})$ for 17 h and then at different temperatures and durations. Spectra were obtained using $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 975$ nm.






Fig. 9 Normalized upconversion spectra of the Ref (a), Ti (b), Mg (c), and Zn (d) glasses prior to and after heat treatment at ($T_g + 20$ °C) for 17 h and then at different temperatures and durations. Spectra were obtained using $\lambda_{exc} = 975$ nm.

ions. One should point out that no noticeable impact of the glass composition on the reduction of the intensity of the emission at 1.5 μm and on the increase of the upconversion intensity (within $\pm 10\%$) was seen indicating that the glass composition has no significant impact on the sites of the Er^{3+} ions; Er^{3+} ions are suspected to be located in similar (amorphous and CaF_2) sites in the investigated glasses.

In order to better understand the impact of the glass composition on the crystallization process, the activation energy for crystallization (E_c), JMA exponent nucleation curve and crystal growth rate of the glasses were calculated. The activation energy for crystallization of the glasses was calculated using two methods and the E_c 's are listed in Table 5. The activation energies reported using the Kissinger method appeared

Table 5 Activation energy for crystallization, nucleation temperature and JMA exponent of the investigated glasses

Glass label	Activation energy (E_c)		JMA exponent (n)			$T_x - T_{n \max}$ (°C)
	Kissinger method $\pm 30 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$	Friedman method kJ mol^{-1}	Augis–Benett method (± 0.1)	Ozawa–Chen method	Maximum nucleation temperature $T_{n \max}$ (°C)	
Ref	190	182 ± 10	2.1	2.0 ± 0.5	276 ± 5	47 ± 8
Ti	185	226 ± 20	1.7	1.5 ± 0.4	295 ± 5	55 ± 8
Mg	158	186 ± 11	1.8	1.6 ± 0.4	285 ± 15	60 ± 23
Zn	206	199 ± 6	1.9	1.9 ± 0.5	280 ± 5	54 ± 8






Fig. 10 SEM image of CaF_2 crystals found in the glasses heat treated at $T_g + 20^\circ\text{C}$ for 17 h and then at $(T_p + 60^\circ\text{C})$ for 2 h for the Ref glass (a), at T_p for 2.5 h for Ti glass (b), at $(T_p + 30^\circ\text{C})$ for 3 h for the Mg glass (c), and $(T_p + 40^\circ\text{C})$ for 4 h for the Zn glass.

to be similar regardless of the glass composition. The standard deviation in E_c calculated using the Friedman method, for each glass composition, is less than 10% indicating that only one crystallization mechanism is involved throughout the entire crystallization process. The low standard deviation also validates the Kissinger method which is often used to further calculate the JMA exponent. The similarity in E_c between glasses and the low standard deviation measured for each E_c using the Friedman technique tend to indicate that the crystallization process is nucleation and growth and the primary crystal field is similar in all the investigated glasses.^{34,54}

The JMA exponent of the glasses was calculated using two methods (Augis–Bennett and Ozawa–Chen) and the n values can be found in Table 5. The n values from both techniques are in close agreement. The crystal growth dimensionality in the glasses is ~ 2 within the accuracy of the measurement indicating that the glasses, independently of their composition, have a dominant bulk crystallization with needle like crystals when subjected to heat treatment.⁵⁵ The shape of the crystal was checked using SEM (Fig. 10) and their composition was




Fig. 11 Nucleation curve of the investigated glasses.




Fig. 12 Crystal growth rate as a function of temperature.



confirmed to be CaF_2 using EDS. The crystals exhibit similar shape than needle like shape: they grow preferentially in one direction.

The nucleation like curve were determined using the method proposed by Ray *et al.*⁵⁶ and Marrota *et al.*³⁹ The nucleation curve of the glasses is shown in Fig. 11. The maximum nucleation temperature ($T_{n \text{ max}}$) was taken from the maximum of the nucleation-like curve.

The maximum nucleation temperature varies between 276 °C to 295 °C depending on the glass composition. The $T_{n \text{ max}}$ of the glasses is summarized in Table 5. The Mg and Ti glasses exhibit similar nucleation like curve, which is shifted to higher temperature and is broader than that of the Ref and Zn glasses. Therefore, the formation of nuclei occurs at higher temperature and is less dependent on the temperature when adding ions with strong field strength in the phosphate

Table 6 Comparison of the crystal size expected from the crystal growth rate curve and from the SEM images

Glass Label	Heat treatment at $T_g + 20$ °C for 17 h and	Expected crystal size from the growth curve (μm)	Measured crystal size from SEM images (Fig. 10) (μm) ± 5 μm
Ref	$T_p + 60$ °C (400 °C) – 2 h	72	86
Ti	T_p (370 °C) – 2.5 h	11	16
Mg	$T_p + 30$ °C (395 °C) – 3 h	117	125
Zn	$T_p + 40$ °C (390 °C) – 4 h	72	50




Fig. 13 XRD patterns of the Ref (a), Ti (b), Mg (c), and Zn (d) glasses prior to and after heat treatment at ($T_g + 20$ °C) for 17 h and then at different temperatures and durations [peaks correspond to * CaF_2 , + NaPO_3 , and – $\text{Na}_2\text{Ca}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_7)\text{F}_2$].



network. Also shown in Table 5 is the temperature difference between onset of crystallization (T_x) and maximum nucleation temperature ($T_{n \text{ max}}$) of the glasses indicating that the nucleation and growth can be controlled in the investigated glasses and so a heat treatment using tailored temperature and duration can be used for the fabrication of transparent GCs with small crystal size distribution.

The crystal growth rate curves were calculated from the slope of measured crystal size as a function of duration of heat treatment performed at different temperatures. The crystal growth rates as a function of temperature are shown in Fig. 12.

The maximum growth rate increases from $(385 \pm 15)^\circ\text{C}$ (Ref glass) to $(400 \pm 10)^\circ\text{C}$ when adding TiO_2 and to above 400°C when adding MgO and ZnO probably due to the changes in the glass network as discussed earlier. It should be pointed out that the Mg and Zn glasses could not be heat treated above 410°C due to excessive viscous flow. The crystal growth rate curves are in agreement with the transparency of the heat treated glasses. Indeed, according to Fig. 12, the crystals are expected to be $\sim 3, 4, 21$ and $21 \mu\text{m}$ in the Ref, Zn, Mg and Ti glasses, respectively, after heat treatment at $T_g + 20^\circ\text{C}$ for 17 h and then at T_p for 6 h indicating that larger crystals are present in the Mg and Ti glasses after such heat treatment. This is in agreement with the losses in the transparency of the Mg and Ti glasses after heat treatment seen in Fig. 5. The sizes of the crystals depicted in the SEM images (Fig. 10) are in agreement with the sizes estimated from the crystal growth rate curves (Fig. 12) as shown in Table 6.

Finally, the XRD spectra of the glasses heat treated at temperatures higher than T_p are shown in Fig. 13. All the XRD spectra exhibit the peaks associated with CaF_2 (ICDD PDF #04-035-0816) but new peaks appear in the XRD pattern of the glasses heat treated at higher temperatures. In the XRD pattern of the Ref and Mg glasses, these peaks can be related to NaPO_3 (ICDD PDF#04-011-3120). When the temperature increases, $\text{Na}_2\text{Ca}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7\text{F}_2$ (ICDD PDF#04-012-1844) precipitate in the Ref and Mg glasses. The additional peaks found in the XRD pattern of the Ti glass could be related only to $\text{Na}_2\text{Ca}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7\text{F}_2$ (ICDD PDF#04-012-1844). NaPO_3 (ICDD PDF#04-011-3120) is suspected to precipitate in the Zn glass. Therefore, although the change in the glass composition has no impact on the precipitation of CaF_2 at T_p , it leads to the precipitation of different crystals when heat treating the glasses at higher temperatures. One should mention that the NaPO_3 and $\text{Na}_2\text{Ca}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7\text{F}_2$ crystals could not be seen using SEM probably due to their small size and/or low number. One should also mention that the precipitation of these different crystals has no impact on the intensity and shape of the emission at $1.5 \mu\text{m}$ (Fig. 8) and of the upconversion (Fig. 9) confirming that the Er^{3+} ions are thought to be mainly located in the CaF_2 crystals.

Conclusion

Novel oxyfluorophosphate glass with composition $(100-x-0.25)(75\text{NaPO}_3-25 \text{CaF}_2)$ doped with 0.25 mol% of Er_2O_3 were prepared by adding TiO_2 , MgO and ZnO . The change in the glass composition increases the glass transition and

crystallization temperatures and increases the number of Q^1 units at the expense of Q^2 units leading to a shift of the bandgap to longer wavelength. We suspect also the formation P–O–Ti/Mg/Zn bonds at the expense of P–O–P bonds. The change in the glass composition has no impact on the crystallization of the glasses when heat treated at their respective T_p ; volume precipitation of Er^{3+} doped CaF_2 crystals occurs in all the glasses after nucleation at $T_g + 20^\circ\text{C}$ for 17 h and then crystal growth at T_p for 1 to 6 h leading to an increase in the intensity of the upconversion. However, multiple crystals, the composition of which depends on the glass composition, were found to precipitate in the glasses when heat treated at higher temperature than T_p .

The calculation of the activation energy for crystallization using two methods allowed one to confirm that the crystallization process is a nucleation and growth process in all the glasses. All glasses exhibit similar E_c and JMA exponent which was found to be ~ 2 confirming the bulk crystallization of crystals with needle like shape. The Ti and Mg glasses exhibit a broader nucleation curve and higher $T_{n \text{ max}}$ than the Ref and Zn glasses due to their strong field strength. A large difference between T_g and $T_{n \text{ max}}$ was found for all the glasses confirming that it is possible to control the size and size distribution of the crystals during heat treatment which is crucial for the preparation of transparent glass-ceramics. The crystal growth rate of the glasses was determined and was in agreement with the size of the crystals found in heat treated glasses measured using SEM.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Academy of Finland (Flagship Programme, Photonics Research and Innovation PREIN-320165 and Academy Project -326418) is greatly acknowledged for the financial support. This work made use of Tampere Microscopy Center facilities at Tampere University. We are also thankful to Dr Alexander Veber for fruitful discussions.

References

- 1 M. J. Dejneka, Transparent Oxyfluoride Glass Ceramics, *MRS Bull.*, 1998, **23**, 57–62, DOI: 10.1557/S0883769400031018.
- 2 J. F. Philipps, T. Töpfer, H. Ebendorff-Heidepriem, D. Ehrh and R. Sauerbrey, Spectroscopic and lasing properties of $\text{Er}^{3+}:\text{Yb}^{3+}$ -doped fluoride phosphate glasses, *Appl. Phys. B*, 2001, **72**, 399–405, DOI: 10.1007/s003400100515.
- 3 A. Obaton, J. Bernard, C. Parent, G. Le Flem, C. Labbe, P. Le Boulanger and G. Boulton, Synthesis and Spectroscopic Investigation of Yb^{3+} , Er^{3+} -Codoped $\text{LaLiP}_4\text{O}_{12}$ Glasses Relevant for Laser Applications, in *CLEO/Europe Conf, Lasers Electro-Optics*, 1998, p. 167, DOI: 10.1109/CLEO.1998.719180.



- 4 S. Taccheo, P. Laporta, S. Longhi, O. Svelto and C. Svelto, Diode-pumped bulk erbium-ytterbium lasers, *Appl. Phys. B*, 1996, **63**, 425–436, DOI: 10.1007/BF01828937.
- 5 P. Burns, J. M. Dawes, P. Wang, J. A. Piper, H. Zhang, L. Zhu and X. Meng, Energy transfer and investigation into laser performance in Er^{3+} , Yb^{3+} :YCOB crystals at 1.5–1.6 μm , in *Adv. Solid-State Lasers*, Optical Society of America, 2001, p. ME2, DOI: 10.1364/ASSL.2001.ME2.
- 6 S. Cui, J. Massera, M. Lastusaari, L. Hupa and L. Petit, Novel oxyfluorophosphate glasses and glass-ceramics, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 2016, **445–446**, 40–44, DOI: 10.1016/j.jnoncrystol.2016.05.005.
- 7 E. D. Zanotto, A bright future for glass-ceramics, *Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull.*, 2010, **89**, 19–27.
- 8 S. D. Stookey, Method of making ceramics and products thereof, *US Pat.*, 2,920,971, 1960.
- 9 D. Ehrt, Photoactive glasses and glass ceramics, *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.*, 2011, **21**, 012001, DOI: 10.1088/1757-899X/21/1/012001.
- 10 M. C. Gonçalves, L. F. Santos and R. M. Almeida, Rare-earth-doped transparent glass ceramics, *C. R. Chim.*, 2002, **5**, 845–854, DOI: 10.1016/S1631-0748(02)01457-1.
- 11 A. de Pablos-Martín, A. Durán and M. J. Pascual, Nanocrystallisation in oxyfluoride systems: mechanisms of crystallisation and photonic properties, *Int. Mater. Rev.*, 2012, **57**, 165–186, DOI: 10.1179/1743280411Y.0000000004.
- 12 D. Auzel, F. Pecile and D. Morin, Rare Earth Doped Vitroceramics: New, Efficient, Blue and Green Emitting Materials for Infrared Up-Conversion, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1975, **122**, 101–107.
- 13 G. H. Beall and D. A. Duke, Transparent glass-ceramics, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 1969, **4**, 340–352, DOI: 10.1007/BF00550404.
- 14 Y. Wang and J. Ohwaki, New transparent vitroceramics codoped with Er^{3+} and Yb^{3+} for efficient frequency upconversion, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1993, **63**, 3268–3270, DOI: 10.1063/1.110170.
- 15 X. Li, D. Xu, X. Liu and H. Guo, Dual valence Eu-doped phospho-alumino-silicate glass-ceramics containing $\text{Ba}_3\text{AlO}_3\text{PO}_4$ nanocrystals for W-LEDs, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 53839–53845, DOI: 10.1039/C7RA11261K.
- 16 P. A. Tick, N. F. Borrelli, L. K. Cornelius and M. A. Newhouse, Transparent glass ceramics for 1300 nm amplifier applications, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1995, **78**, 6367–6374, DOI: 10.1063/1.360518.
- 17 V. K. Tikhomirov, A. B. Seddon, M. Ferrari, M. Montagna, L. F. Santos and R. M. Almeida, The structure of Er^{3+} -doped oxy-fluoride transparent glass-ceramics studied by Raman scattering, *Europhys. Lett.*, 2003, **64**, 529–535, DOI: 10.1209/epl/i2003-00106-9.
- 18 Y. Jiang, J. Fan, B. Jiang, X. Mao, C. Zhou and L. Zhang, Structure and optical properties of transparent Er^{3+} -doped CaF_2 -silica glass ceramic prepared by controllable sol-gel method, *Ceram. Int.*, 2016, **42**, 9571–9576, DOI: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2016.03.039.
- 19 X. Miao, Z. Bai, X. Huo, M. Guo, F. Cheng and M. Zhang, Controllable preparation of CaF_2 transparent glass ceramics: Dependence of the light transmittance mechanism on the glass crystallization behaviour, *Ceram. Int.*, 2019, **45**, 8510–8517, DOI: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2019.01.164.
- 20 K. Shioya, T. Komatsu, H. G. Kim, R. Sato and K. Matusita, Optical properties of transparent glass-ceramics in $\text{K}_2\text{O-Nb}_2\text{O}_5\text{-TeO}_2$ glasses, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 1995, **189**, 16–24, DOI: 10.1016/0022-3093(95)00227-8.
- 21 M. A. P. Silva, Y. Messaddeq, V. Briois, M. Poulain, F. Villain and S. J. L. Ribeiro, Synthesis and structural investigations on $\text{TeO}_2\text{-PbF}_2\text{-CdF}_2$ glasses and transparent glass-ceramics, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2002, **63**, 605–612, DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3697(01)00200-1.
- 22 C. Yu, J. Zhang, L. Wen and Z. Jiang, New transparent Er^{3+} -doped oxyfluoride tellurite glass ceramic with improved near infrared and up-conversion fluorescence properties, *Mater. Lett.*, 2007, **61**, 3644–3646, DOI: 10.1016/j.matlet.2006.12.006.
- 23 Y. Zhang, H. Lei, G. Li, L. Zeng and J. Tang, $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ co-doped transparent tellurite glass-ceramic for enhanced upconversion luminescence, *Opt. Mater.*, 2020, **99**, 109552, DOI: 10.1016/j.optmat.2019.109552.
- 24 H. Hayashi, S. Tanabe and T. Hanada, 1.4 μm band emission properties of Tm^{3+} ions in transparent glass ceramics containing PbF_2 nanocrystals for S-band amplifier, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2001, **89**, 1041–1045, DOI: 10.1063/1.1335645.
- 25 M. Mortier and F. Auzel, Rare-earth doped transparent glass-ceramics with high cross-sections, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 1999, **256–257**, 361–365, DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3093(99)00475-5.
- 26 K. Hirao, K. Tanaka, M. Makita and N. Soga, Preparation and optical properties of transparent glass-ceramics containing $\beta\text{-PbF}_2\text{-Tm}^{3+}$, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1995, **78**, 3445–3450, DOI: 10.1063/1.359975.
- 27 J. Zhao, L. Huang, S. Zhao and S. Xu, Enhanced luminescence in Tb^{3+} -doped germanate glass ceramic scintillators containing CaF_2 nanocrystals, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2019, **102**, 1720–1725, DOI: 10.1111/jace.16095.
- 28 X. Yu, F. Song, W. Wang, L. Luo, C. Ming, Z. Cheng, L. Han, T. Sun, H. Yu and J. Tian, Effects of Ce^{3+} on the spectroscopic properties of transparent phosphate glass ceramics co-doped with $\text{Er}^{3+}/\text{Yb}^{3+}$, *Opt. Commun.*, 2009, **282**, 2045–2048, DOI: 10.1016/j.optcom.2009.02.024.
- 29 Y. Ledemi, A.-A. Trudel, V. A. G. Rivera, S. Chenu, E. Véron, L. A. Nunes, M. Allix and Y. Messaddeq, White light and multicolor emission tuning in triply doped $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Tm}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ novel fluoro-phosphate transparent glass-ceramics, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 5046–5056, DOI: 10.1039/C4TC00455H.
- 30 Y. Ledemi, M. El Amraoui, J. L. Ferrari, P.-L. Fortin, S. J. L. Ribeiro and Y. Messaddeq, Infrared to Visible Up-Conversion Emission in $\text{Er}^{3+}/\text{Yb}^{3+}$ Codoped Fluoro-Phosphate Glass-Ceramics, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2013, **96**, 825–832, DOI: 10.1111/jace.12109.
- 31 Y. Chen, G. H. Chen, X. Y. Liu and T. Yang, Enhanced up-conversion luminescence and optical thermometry characteristics of $\text{Er}^{3+}/\text{Yb}^{3+}$ co-doped transparent phosphate glass-ceramics, *J. Lumin.*, 2018, **195**, 314–320, DOI: 10.1016/j.jlumin.2017.11.049.



- 32 P. G. Vekilov, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2010, **10**, 5007–5019, DOI: 10.1021/cg1011633.
- 33 T. Ozawa, Kinetic analysis of derivative curves in thermal analysis, *J. Therm. Anal.*, 1970, **2**, 301–324, DOI: 10.1007/BF01911411.
- 34 H. E. Kissinger, Reaction Kinetics in Differential Thermal Analysis, *Anal. Chem.*, 1957, **29**, 1702–1706, DOI: 10.1021/ac60131a045.
- 35 H. L. Friedman, Kinetics of thermal degradation of char-forming plastics from thermogravimetry. Application to a phenolic plastic, *J. Polym. Sci., Part C: Polym. Symp.*, 1964, **6**, 183–195, DOI: 10.1002/polc.5070060121.
- 36 A. Nommeots-Nomm, N. G. Boetti, T. Salminen, J. Massera, M. Hokka and L. Petit, Luminescence of Er³⁺ doped oxyfluoride phosphate glasses and glass-ceramics, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, **751**, 224–230, DOI: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2018.04.101.
- 37 A. Szczodra, A. Mardoukhi, M. Hokka, N. G. Boetti and L. Petit, Fluorine losses in Er³⁺ oxyfluoride phosphate glasses and glass-ceramics, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, **797**, 797–803, DOI: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2019.05.151.
- 38 J. A. Augis and J. E. Bennett, Calculation of the Avrami parameters for heterogeneous solid state reactions using a modification of the Kissinger method, *J. Therm. Anal.*, 1978, **13**, 283–292, DOI: 10.1007/BF01912301.
- 39 A. Marotta, A. Buri and F. Branda, Nucleation in glass and differential thermal analysis, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 1981, **16**, 341–344, DOI: 10.1007/BF00738622.
- 40 P. Lopez-Iscoa, L. Petit, J. Massera, D. Janner, N. G. Boetti, D. Pugliese, S. Fiorilli, C. Novara, F. Giorgis and D. Milanese, Effect of the addition of Al₂O₃, TiO₂ and ZnO on the thermal, structural and luminescence properties of Er³⁺-doped phosphate glasses, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 2017, **460**, 161–168, DOI: 10.1016/J.JNONCRY SOL.2017.01.030.
- 41 M. T. Islam, N. Sharmin, G. A. Rance, J. J. Titman, A. J. Parsons, K. M. Z. Hossain and I. Ahmed, The effect of MgO/TiO₂ on structural and crystallization behavior of near invert phosphate-based glasses, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part B*, 2020, 674–686, DOI: 10.1002/jbm.b.34421.
- 42 H. Morikawa, S. Lee, T. Kasuga and D. S. Brauer, Effects of magnesium for calcium substitution in P₂O₅–CaO–TiO₂ glasses, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 2013, **380**, 53–59, DOI: 10.1016/j.jnoncrsol.2013.08.029.
- 43 A. Kiani, J. V. Hanna, S. P. King, G. J. Rees, M. E. Smith, N. Roohpour, V. Salih and J. C. Knowles, Structural characterization and physical properties of P₂O₅–CaO–Na₂O–TiO₂ glasses by Fourier transform infrared, Raman and solid-state magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopies, *Acta Biomater.*, 2012, **8**, 333–340, DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2011.08.025.
- 44 H. Segawa, N. Akagi, T. Yano and S. Shibita, Properties and structures of TiO₂–ZnO–P₂O₅ glasses, *J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn.*, 2010, **118**, 278–282, DOI: 10.2109/jcersj2.118.278.
- 45 S. Toyoda, S. Fujino and K. Morinaga, Density, viscosity and surface tension of 50RO–50P₂O₅ (R: Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, and Zn) glass melts, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 2003, **321**, 169–174, DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3093(03)00174-1.
- 46 L. H. C. Andrade, S. M. Lima, A. Novatski, A. M. Neto, A. C. Bento, M. L. Baesso, F. C. G. Gandra, Y. Guyot and G. Boulon, Spectroscopic assignments of Ti³⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ in titanium-doped OH– free low-silica calcium aluminosilicate glass and role of structural defects on the observed long lifetime and high fluorescence of Ti³⁺ ions, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2008, **78**, 224202, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.78.224202.
- 47 F. Auzel, Upconversion and Anti-Stokes Processes with f and d Ions in Solids, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 139–174, DOI: 10.1021/cr020357g.
- 48 T. Li, C. Guo, S. Zhou, C. Duan and M. Yin, Highly Sensitive Optical Thermometry of Yb³⁺–Er³⁺ Codoped AgLa(MoO₄)₂ Green Upconversion Phosphor, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2015, **98**, 2812–2816, DOI: 10.1111/jace.13698.
- 49 Y. Yan, A. J. Faber and H. de Waal, Luminescence quenching by OH groups in highly Er-doped phosphate glasses, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 1995, **181**, 283–290, DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3093(94)00528-1.
- 50 H. Desirena, E. De la Rosa, L. A. Díaz-Torres and G. A. Kumar, Concentration effect of Er³⁺ ion on the spectroscopic properties of Er³⁺ and Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ co-doped phosphate glasses, *Opt. Mater.*, 2006, **28**, 560–568, DOI: 10.1016/j.optmat.2005.04.002.
- 51 X. Liu, J. Zhou, S. Zhou, Y. Yue and J. Qiu, Transparent glass-ceramics functionalized by dispersed crystals, *Prog. Mater. Sci.*, 2018, **97**, 38–96, DOI: 10.1016/j.pmatsci.2018.02.006.
- 52 U. Holzwarth and N. Gibson, The Scherrer equation versus the “Debye-Scherrer equation”, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, **6**, 534, DOI: 10.1038/nnano.2011.145.
- 53 I. C. Madsen, N. V. Y. Scarlett and A. Kern, Description and survey of methodologies for the determination of amorphous content via X-ray powder diffraction, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 2011, **226**, 944, DOI: 10.1524/zkri.2011.1437.
- 54 M. J. Starink, The determination of activation energy from linear heating rate experiments: a comparison of the accuracy of isoconversion methods, *Thermochim. Acta*, 2003, **404**, 163–176, DOI: 10.1016/S0040-6031(03)00144-8.
- 55 J. Massera, J. Remond, J. Musgraves, M. J. Davis, S. Misture, L. Petit and K. Richardson, Nucleation and growth behavior of glasses in the TeO₂–Bi₂O₃–ZnO glass system, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 2010, **356**, 2947–2955, DOI: 10.1016/j.jnoncrsol.2010.03.045.
- 56 C. S. Ray and D. E. Day, An Analysis of Nucleation-Rate Type of Curves in Glass as Determined by Differential Thermal Analysis, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 1997, **80**, 3100–3108, DOI: 10.1111/j.1151-2916.1997.tb03238.x.

