Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2020, 10, 26535

Exploring the styrene metabolism by aerobic bacterial isolates for the effective management of leachates in an aqueous system

Ebciba C., Pavithra N., Chris Felshia S. and Gnanamani A. *

In the present study, the styrene metabolic profile of three aerobic bacterial isolates explored in a batch mode study. The isolates found application in the management of elachates in the waste dump yard. These three bacterial species have different origins and were studied as a single and mixed consortia. The *Lysinibacillus* strain M01 (from marine sources), *Lysinibacillus* strain WD03 (from a waste dump yard), and *Pseudomonas* strain BG07 (from bovine gut) were used in the present study. The styrene concentration was fixed in the range between 0.5 and 1.5 mL L⁻¹. The metabolites obtained upon microbial degradation were assessed using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), UV-visible spectroscopy, and FTIR spectroscopy (Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy). Furthermore, the genes (Sty A, B, C, D, and E) responsible for the degradation of styrene by the three abovementioned isolates were identified using PCR with respective designed primers. Instrumental analyses revealed the presence of phenylacetic acid (PAA) at significant levels in the growth medium after the scheduled experimental period and confirmed the metabolism of styrene by the chosen isolates. Compared to the case of individual cultures, the results of the mixed consortia support the metabolism of styrene at appreciable levels. The present study provides a suitable biological solution for the management of leachates containing styrene and a way to achieve industrially important chemicals (PAA) through a microbially mediated process.

Received 28th April 2020
Accepted 19th June 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra03822a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Styrene is a starting material in the production of synthetic polymers such as polystyrene and styrene-butadiene rubber.¹ The petrochemical, resin, rubber, and polymer industries generate significant amounts of polystyrene wastes, which accumulate in the soil upon disposal. Leaching of styrene molecules contaminates the aqueous system and exerts toxic effects on living organisms.² Studies have been reported on the presence of styrene monomers, trimers, and oligomers in the leachates of waste dump yards.^{3–5} In addition, the presence of styrene in water bodies, industrial effluents, and soil has been reported in the literature.^{6,7} Detailed studies on the harmful effects of styrene, toluene, phenol, and formaldehyde on the environment have also been reported in the literature.^{8–11} Since long-time exposure to the leachates of polystyrene has adverse effects on human health, the removal of polystyrene from the environment¹² is indispensable. Over the past few decades, several thermal, chemical, and biological techniques have been implemented for the removal of polystyrene wastes.¹³ Moreover, techniques including biofiltration,¹² UV-based photodegradation,¹⁴ thermo-oxidative degradation,¹⁵ and hydrolytic degradation¹⁶ have been adopted to reduce

polystyrene contamination. However, the high cost, low efficiency, and long duration of the degradation process and the toxic nature of the degradation products are the major drawbacks. In the past decade, an attempt was made towards the microbial degradation of styrene in the leachate.¹⁷ Although the degradation of styrene by single cultures, *viz.*, *Pseudomonas* spp., *Corynebacterium* strains, *Xanthobacter* spp., and *Rhodococcus* spp. are in reports,^{1,18} however, meagre reports are on the mixed aerobic mixed culture system. Some methanogenic microbial consortia (*Clostridium* and *Enterobacter* spp.) isolated from anaerobic sewage sludge transform styrene under strict anaerobic conditions.¹⁹ However, research initiatives are required for the microbial degradation of the styrene molecules in the leachates. Hence, herein, an attempt was made to explore the efficacy of aerobic bacterial isolates of three different origins as a single culture and a mixed consortium for the effective metabolism of styrene, and then, these bacterial isolates were applied for the management of leachates containing styrene.

In brief, in the present study, the efficacy of three potential bacterial isolates of three different origins was screened for styrene metabolism. Subsequently, the degradation of styrene was assessed based on the characterization of the metabolized products. Since the styrene metabolism of the two of the identified species of the present study has not been in reports till date, exploring the genes responsible for the degradation of

Microbiology Division, CSIR-CLRI, Adyar, Chennai 600 020, Tamil Nadu, India.
E-mail: gnanamani3@gmail.com

styrene was accordingly executed. Based on the observations, the representative styrene metabolic pathways of the isolates were elucidated.

Materials and methods

Isolation of styrene-degrading organisms

Initial studies on the selection of site and the screening of potential bacterial isolates were carried out during the years 2013–2017, and three potential sites, viz., municipal solid waste dump yard located at Perungudi, Chennai, Tamil Nadu (latitude and longitude coordinates are 12.965365 and 80.246109, respectively), marine sediment sludge samples, Ennore, Tamil Nadu, Chennai; (latitude and longitude coordinates are 13.206524 and 80.327225, respectively), and the bovine gut (slaughter house, Kodungaiyur slaughter house, Tamil Nadu, Chennai) as the cattle in the developing countries ingest plastic wastes along with food, were examined for the possibility of having styrene-degrading bacterial species.²⁰

Bacterial isolation was carried out by a serial dilution method. The isolates exhibiting growth in a mineral salt medium in the presence of styrene at 0.5 mL L⁻¹ concentration were screened, isolated, and identified (as described herein-after) based on their morphology, biochemical analysis, and 16S rDNA sequence. The identified isolates were then stored in a nutrient agar slant at 4 °C until use. The composition of the basal mineral medium used in the present study is as follows (per liter of dis. H₂O): 0.80 g NH₄Cl, 0.70 g K₂HPO₄, 0.1 g MgSO₄·7H₂O, 9.20 mg disodium EDTA, 7.0 mg FeSO₄·7H₂O, 2.0 mg CaSO₄, 0.10 mg boric acid, 0.10 mg ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.02 mg MnSO₄·4H₂O, 0.01 mg cobalt nitrate, 0.01 mg sodium molybdate dihydrate, and 0.50 µg CuSO₄·5H₂O.

Identification of the isolates

Preliminary identification. The three selected isolates were subjected to morphological and biochemical characterizations.²¹ The size and shape of the organisms were determined using a light microscope (Nikon Eclipse 80i) and a scanning electron microscope (Phenom 1817-Thermo fisher Scientific India Pvt. Ltd., United States). The biochemical characterization includes indole, methyl red, citrate, urease, and enzyme production assays, assessment of the catalase and oxidase activity, fermentation test of carbohydrates, such as mannitol, glucose, and arabinose, hydrolysis tests of starch and gelatin, and finally the growth analysis of the isolates in 5% NaCl.²¹

Molecular identification. After the physical and biochemical characterizations, molecular identification of these three isolates was carried out.²² In brief, the DNA of the three isolates was extracted using the boiling lysis method²³ and checked for its purity based on the 260/280 nm ratio (NanoDrop quantification, Biotek (Epoch, USA)). The 16S rDNA gene sequence was retrieved by PCR amplification using the universal 16S rRNA bacterial primers FP (forward primers) (5'-GATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCAC-3') and RP (reverse primers) (5'-CCCGGGAACGTATTCACCG-3'). The PCR (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) amplification reaction was conducted for 35 cycles. The expected amplicon size for 16S rRNA is 610 bp. The amplified PCR products were visualized under UV light and documented by a gel documentation system (GELSTAN). Furthermore, the PCR products were subjected to sequencing using the Applied Biosystems™ 3500 Genetic Analyzer and BigDye terminator kit in accordance with the manufacturer's (Applied Biosystems) instructions and analysed by the sequence A6 software (version 5.4). The blast analysis of the sequence was performed using megablast, and the phylogenetic tree with similar sequences was generated by neighbour-joining species and accordingly identified.²⁴ The sequences of the identified isolates were submitted to the NCBI GenBank, and the accession numbers were received.

Styrene metabolism

Styrene metabolism studies were conducted with the single isolate as well as with the mixed consortia containing all the three cultures. The styrene concentration utilized herein varied from 0.5 to 1.5 mL L⁻¹. Styrene at the respective concentration was added to 250 mL of a sterile mineral salt medium in a 500 mL capacity Erlenmeyer flask and inoculated with an 18 hour-old culture at 2.0% (OD₆₀₀ 1.0) concentration for both the single and the mixed consortia. After the inoculation, the samples were incubated at 37 °C and 150 rpm for 72 hours. The assessment of the growth profile (at 4 hours intervals), biomass production (wet and dry), and nature of the released metabolites was performed according to the protocols summarized hereinafter. In brief, the growth profile was assessed by measuring the optical density (600 nm) at 4 hour intervals, where the plain medium served as a blank. For biomass determination, the samples were subjected to centrifugation at 10 000 rpm and 4 °C, and the measured weight of the cell mass free from supernatant was taken as the wet weight. The wet mass was then exposed to drying at 70 °C for two hours, and the mass of the dried cells was accordingly measured. The nature of

Table 1 Details of the primers used for the PCR amplification of the genes chosen for the present study

Genes	Forward primer (5' to 3')	Start–stop	Reverse primer (5' to 3')	Start–stop	T _m	GC content
<i>Sty A</i>	TCCGTTTGCACGAAGGTA	6361–6380	CGAGCGTATCGGTGATTCCA	7019–7000	59.97	55
<i>Sty B</i>	ATAATGCTGCCAGCAAGC	3216–3235	CTCCATCAGTCTGGATCCGC	3931–3912	59.97	55–60
<i>Sty C</i>	GATAGCGGTGGCATTGCTT	8203–8222	CCGCGATTGGGAGAGAAGTT	8797–8816	59.97	55
<i>Sty D</i>	CGCTACTGCGGAATGACAA	11 626–11 645	CAAAGTATCGCCCGTAGCC	11 943–1962	59.97	55
<i>Sty E</i>	CGCTGATCGATGGAAGCTCT	16 043–16 422	GCTCTCGGCATAGAAGGGAC	17 023–17 004	59.97	55

Table 2 Biochemical and morphological characteristics of *Lysinibacillus* sp. WD03, *Lysinibacillus* sp. M01, and *Pseudomonas* sp. BG07 (Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 1974)

Biochemical and morphological characteristics	<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. WD03	<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp. M01	<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. BG07
Gram staining	+	+	—
Shape	Irregular rod	Irregular rod	Short rod
Motility	Motile	Motile	Motile
Spore staining	+	+	—
Indole	—	—	—
Methyl red	—	—	—
Voges–Proskauer	—	—	—
Citrate	—	—	+
Urease	+	+	—
Glucose	—	—	—
Arabinose	—	—	—
Mannitol	—	—	+
Starch	—	—	—
Gelatin	+	+	+
NaCl 5%	+	+	+
Oxidase	+	+	+
Catalase	+	+	+

Fig. 1 (a–c) Plate morphology, Gram staining (light microscope), scanning electron microscopy, and phylogenetic profile obtained via the 16S rDNA analysis for the styrene-metabolizing microbial isolates employed in the present study: (a) *Lysinibacillus* sp. strain M01, (b) *Lysinibacillus* sp. strain WD03, and (c) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sp. strain BG07.

Fig. 2 (a–d) Growth profile of the bacterial isolates with varying concentrations of styrene at different hours intervals: (a) *Lysinibacillus* sp. strain M01, (b) *Lysinibacillus* sp. strain WD03, (c) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sp. strain BG07, and (d) a mixed consortium. (e) Biomass profile (wet and dry) of the chosen isolates in the presence of styrene at 1.0 mg mL^{-1} after 72 hours of incubation.

the released metabolites was evaluated as described hereinafter.

Extraction and analysis of the metabolized products

After 72 hours of incubation, the styrene-amended cultures of both the single and the mixed consortia were centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C , and the bacterial cells were discarded. The supernatant was taken, extracted with equal volumes of ethyl acetate, and further evaporated with nitrogen

gas. The concentrated samples were dissolved in acetonitrile and subjected to the following analyses.^{25,26}

The extracts of the metabolized products were primarily analyzed using UV-visible spectroscopy (Shimadzu 2450) followed by FTIR spectroscopy (Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy-Jasco 4700) and HPLC analysis.²⁷ For high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis, the samples were filtered with $0.22 \mu\text{m}$ pore-sized PVDF syringe filters (Merck Millipore) and analyzed using a C18 reverse phase column (Waters, India, Spherisorb ($100 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}$) column),

with 5 μm particles as the stationary phase, connected to a photodiode detector and fraction collector. The mobile phase used herein was 70% (v/v) methanol with 0.1% (w/v) phosphoric acid in an isocratic mode at the flow rate of 0.8 mL min^{-1} .

Molecular analysis

In order to identify the genes responsible for the metabolism of styrene by the chosen isolates, PCR amplification studies were carried out for the genes *Sty A*, *Sty B*, *Sty C*, *Sty D*, and *Sty E*. The *Sty A* and *Sty B* genes are the styrene monooxygenase genes, which are accountable for the transformation of styrene to epoxy styrene. On the other hand, *Sty C* is responsible for the production of an enzyme, epoxy styrene isomerase, which in turn helps in the conversion of epoxy styrene to phenyl acetaldehyde; moreover, *Sty D* encodes an enzyme, phenyl

acetaldehyde dehydrogenase, which is responsible for oxidizing phenyl acetaldehyde to phenylacetic acid.²⁸ The *Sty E* gene has been found to be responsible for the production of phenylacetyl CoA, which in turn helps in the transportation of styrene across membranes.²⁹ The DNA extracted from *E. coli*, MTCC 433 strain, acts as a negative control. Table 1 summarizes the details of the primers designed for the respective genes selected for this study.

PCR amplification was carried out in duplicate using 0.25 U Taq polymerase, 10 $\times$ Taq buffer, 0.25 mM dNTP mix, 1.5 μM of each forward and reverse primers, and 2.5 μl (10–100 ng) of template DNA in a total volume of 20 μl . The PCR amplification was performed for 40 cycles under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 3 min, at 95 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 45 s (DNA denaturation), at various annealing temperatures for each gene

Fig. 3 PCR amplification profiles of the genes *Sty A*, *Sty B*, and *Sty C* (lane 1–3) and *Sty D* and *Sty E* (lane 5 & 6) responsible for styrene metabolism; DNA marker lane is lane 4 for *Lysinibacillus* sp. strain MO1; *Lysinibacillus* sp. strain WD03; and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sp. strain BG07 with the respective negative control (*E. coli* MTCC 443).

for 45 s (primer annealing), at 72 °C for 45 s (DNA extension), at 59 °C for 30 s (extra annealing), and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 minutes in a thermal cycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). Herein, ten μL of each reaction product was mixed with 10 μL of 2 $\times$ loading buffer, fractionated in a 2% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide ($1\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) using a 100-bp DNA ladder (Promega) as a size marker, and visualized under UV light according to the protocol followed elsewhere.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed for the experiments conducted on the growth of the isolates and metabolic studies. One-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons was performed using GraphPad prism (Version 5.0) to compare the means between the experimental groups. A p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results and discussion

Recently, for the effective management of pollutants, researchers have suggested numerous methods; however, only few of these methods have been extended to the field scale with promising results. Since most of the pollutants are of petrochemical origin³⁰ and polymeric in nature, their removal is highly challenging. Studies have indicated the presence of polymeric substances in leachates; this is an alarming signal as these substances can have adverse effects on the biological life in the ecosystem. The biological methods are considered eco-friendly and viable; nevertheless, the identification of a potential bacterial isolate for the management of pollutants of polymeric nature is a challenging mission.

In the present study, a batch-mode approach was designed to achieve potential bacterial isolates for the management of styrene molecules present in the leachates of a dump yard. The sample site and the methodology followed in the present study provided an appreciable number of organisms belonging to various families and genera. However, based on styrene utilization, only three potential isolates (each from one site) were selected: M01 (isolate of marine origin), WD03 (isolate of waste dump yard origin), and BG07 (isolate of bovine gut origin). The isolates WD03 and M01 were Gram positive, spore forming, and motile strains, whereas BG07 was a Gram negative, non-spore forming, and motile strain. Table 2 presents the biochemical profile of all the three isolates chosen for the present study. The biochemical analysis revealed that the two isolates M01 and WD03 belong to *Bacillus* genera and the isolate BG07 belongs to *Pseudomonas* genera.^{31–33} Fig. 1a–c illustrate the plate morphology, microscopic image, scanning electron microscopy image, and the phylogenetic profile of all the three isolates M01, WD03 and BG07, respectively. From the gene sequence and blast analysis results, the isolates were accordingly identified as *Lysinibacillus* sp., strain WD03; *Lysinibacillus* sp., strain M01; and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain BG07, the sequences were deposited in the GenBank, and the accession numbers were received as MN747168, MF755294.1, and MN737147.1 respectively.

Fig. 2a–d depict the growth profile of the three isolates and the mixed consortium with respect to time and the increasing concentration of styrene. The optical density measured at 600 nm at 4 hour time intervals showed significant variations in the growth profile of the chosen isolates with respect to the styrene concentration. The growth profile of all the organisms displayed an extended lag phase. Compared to the case of the minimal salt medium, the growth of the isolates in the presence of styrene at lower concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 1.5 mL L^{-1} was appreciable at a significant level ($P < 0.05$). When the optical densities were compared, the M01 strain (marine origin) showed higher optical density as compared to the other isolates, which has been well-reflected by the biomass measurement results (Fig. 2e). Higher values of both the wet and the dry mass were obtained for the M01 strain as compared to those for WD03 and BG01. These observations suggested that all the three isolates were able to utilize styrene as a carbon source for their growth and energy and showed growth at 1.5 mL L^{-1} styrene concentration. The noticeable growth of the organisms at 1.5 mL L^{-1} is a significant and promising finding for the treatment of aqueous leachates. A study conducted on 63 industrial effluents showed that the level of styrene released to the environment ranges from 6.3 to a maximum of 970 pg L^{-1} .³⁴ The results of the studies reported by Aalam *et al.* on styrene degradation using *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*³⁵ and León *et al.* (1999) on the degradation of toluene and xylene with an extended lag phase corroborate well with the present findings.³⁶ Most of the studies on styrene degradation have been carried out using the gaseous form of styrene,^{37–41} and only few studies have discussed the degradation of styrene in the aqueous phase.^{42–45}

With respect to the mixed consortium, its growth profile was similar to that of the single culture; however, a significant variation was observed in the optical density (Fig. 2d) and the produced biomass (Fig. 2e). The enhanced growth in the consortia indicated

Fig. 4 (a) UV-vis spectra of the metabolites obtained from each bacterial isolate; (b) (inset image) UV-vis spectra of standard styrene.

a possible synergism between the cultures; moreover, the competition for the substrate may be responsible for the increased growth and metabolism of styrene.⁴⁶ According to Aalam *et al.*,⁴⁷ the consortia containing four different microbial strains for the degradation of styrene resulted in the survival of only one bacterial strain, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which exhibited the utilization of styrene as the sole carbon source. Other than the abovementioned study, no study has been reported on the role of *Lysinibacillus* sp. in styrene degradation in the literature; therefore, in the present study, we investigated the ability of *Lysinibacillus* sp. and *Pseudomonas* sp. in the form of a single and as a mixed system to metabolize the styrene molecule.

The metabolism of styrene in aerobic bacteria occurs *via* two types of pathways: lower and upper pathways; the former pathway involves vinyl side chain oxidation that converts styrene to phenylacetic acid, and the latter pathway involves the degradation of phenylacetic acid.^{14,32} The enzymes in the organism play a crucial role in the metabolism of styrene. As described above, five different genes are responsible for the metabolism of styrene.

Fig. 3 depicts an image of the PCR-amplified target genes, corresponding to styrene degradation, for the isolates *Lysinibacillus* sp., strain M01 and WD03, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, strain BG07, with respect to those of the negative control (*E. coli*).

Fig. 5 (a–f) FTIR spectra of the metabolites obtained *via* styrene degradation by each isolate after 72 hours of incubation: (a) standard styrene, (b) standard phenylacetic acid (PAA), (c) *Lysinibacillus* sp. strain M01, (d) *Lysinibacillus* sp. strain WD03, (e) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sp. strain BG07, and (f) mixed consortium.

The *Lysinibacillus* strain M01 showed amplification of the *Sty A*, *B*, *C*, and *D* genes expressed at 389, 716, 152, and 215 base pairs, respectively, and no amplification of the *Sty E* gene. In the case of WD03, clear bands were observed, corresponding to 152, 716, 215, and 389 bp. Some of the lanes displayed primer dimers, which could be due to either increased volume of the primers or lack of amplification. In the case of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain, the amplification of *Sty A* (389 bp), *Sty B* (716 bp), and *Sty D* (215 bp) was observed, and nil amplification was noticed for *Sty C* and *E*. The abovementioned observations match with those of the studies on the *Pseudomonas* sp. strain Y2, *Pseudomonas* sp. VLB120, *P. putida* SN1, and *P. putida* CA-3.^{17,18} In addition, the roles of *Actinobacteria*, α -, β -, and γ -*Proteobacteria*, *Sphingobacteria*, and *Bacillus* species, *Bacillus megaterium* and *B. cereus*⁴³ support the findings of the present study. The expressions of styrene-degrading genes were not observed with the negative control.

Fig. 4a and b depict the UV-visible spectra of standard styrene and the cell-free medium after 72 hours experimental period for all the experimental samples. The substrate styrene showed a plateau from 200 to 250 nm and a single maximum absorption peak at 281 nm (Fig. 4b (inset)). However, the cell-free medium of the single culture showed absorption peaks in the range of 252–274 nm, and the mixed cultures showed peaks at 268 and 274 nm (Fig. 4a), indicating that the substrate styrene was metabolized by the isolates. These observations imply that as compared to a single isolate, the consortia played a significant role. The absorption peak observed at 254 may be attributed to the conjugation of the benzene ring with a less extended secondary band. Furthermore, the peak observed at 274 nm matches with the absorption peak of aromatic acetic acid.³³

Fig. 5a–f depict the FTIR spectra of the concentrated cell-free extract obtained from three different isolates and the consortia

Fig. 6 (a–d) High-performance liquid chromatogram profiles of the metabolites obtained from the experimental samples at the end of 72 hours of incubation: (a) *Lysinibacillus* sp. strain M01, (b) *Lysinibacillus* sp. strain WD03, (c) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sp. strain BG07, and (d) mixed consortium.

Table 3 List of the previous studies reported on the degradation of liquid styrene using various microbial isolates from different sources

S. no.	Name of the organisms	Concentration of styrene	By-product formed	Gene study	Reference
1	<i>Xanthobacter</i> sp. strain 124X	0.01% to 0.1% (w/v)	Phenylacetic acid	Not done	42
2	14 strains of aerobic bacteria and 2 strains of fungi	10–500 μM	Phenylacetic acid	Not done	40
3	<i>Pseudomonas putida</i> S12	2 μL to 0.25 mL	No by-product formed	Not done	40
4	<i>Streptomyces halstedii</i> , <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> , <i>Sphingobacterium spiritivorum</i> , <i>Bacillus cereus</i>	0.5–2.0 mL L ⁻¹	No by-product formed	Not done	43
5	<i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp., M01, <i>Lysinibacillus</i> sp., WD03, <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> BG07, consortium of all three	0.5 to 1.5 mL L ⁻¹	Phenylacetic acid	Done	Present study

samples after 72 hours of growth (Fig. 5a–d) along with those of the standards (Fig. 5a and b). Infrared peaks were observed at 690–750 cm^{-1} irrespective of the samples and accordingly

indicated the presence of mono-substituted aromatic compounds. Moreover, the peaks observed at 1650–1400 cm^{-1} support the observations implying the presence of an aromatic

Fig. 7 Overall illustration of the styrene metabolism gene profile and the pathways proposed for all the three chosen isolates: *Lysinibacillus* sp. strain M01, *Lysinibacillus* sp. strain WD03, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sp. strain BG07.

compound. The medium-to-very broad band observed between 3400 and 2700 cm^{-1} indicated the presence of $-\text{OH}$ stretch.^{48–50} The peaks observed for the cell-free medium match with those of standard PAA (Fig. 5b). The samples of BG07 displayed additional peaks, which also indicated the presence of an aromatic compound. The prominent peaks observed at 1100, 1270, and 1300 cm^{-1} for all the single isolates may be attributed to the incomplete metabolism of the styrene monomer or the presence of respective aldehydes or ketones.

Fig. 6a–d illustrate the HPLC chromatograms of the cell-free experimental samples respective to those of the single isolates (M01, WD03, and BG07) and the consortia; in addition, Fig. 6a has an inset that depicts the chromatograms of phenylacetic acid at different concentrations. All the experimental samples invariably displayed a well-defined and high intensity peak at the retention time of 4.7–5.0 minutes and also showed less intense peaks between 3.0–4.5 and 5.5–6.0 minutes. Interestingly, the consortia sample showed a single high intensity peak at 4.9 minutes. These observations suggested that the intended styrene molecule was effectively metabolized by the chosen isolates into an industrially important chemical, namely phenylacetic acid. Previously, studies⁵¹ have been reported on the degradation of styrene and the formation of phenylacetic acid using bacterial strains such as *Rhodococcus opacus* 1CP, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* ST, *Sphingopyxis* sp. Kp5.2, and *Gordonia* sp. CWB2, which corroborate well with the present study. The less intense peaks observed at different retention times along with the high intensity peak could be due to the presence of remnants of the incomplete metabolism. This conclusion has been drawn based on the observations obtained for the consortia samples, which showed only a single high intensity peak, which more or less matches with the observations for phenylacetic acid. Based on the obtained analytical and PCR results, it has been hypothesized that the applied styrene may be metabolized to phenylacetic acid. No further degradation of phenylacetic acid was observed throughout the experimental period.

Table 3 depicts the list of studies reported on the degradation of styrene in an aqueous medium. In all these studies, the bacterial strains employed were *Xanthobacter* sp., *Pseudomonas putida*, *Streptomyces halstedii*, *Bacillus megaterium*, *Sphingobacterium spiritivorum*, and *Bacillus cereus*. Although 50% of the abovementioned studies revealed phenylacetic acid as the product of the degradation of styrene, no discussions were reported on the molecular level for the genes responsible for styrene degradation. Based on the observations made in the present study and the available literature, the styrene metabolism pathway for all the three isolates chosen for the present study was elucidated and is shown in Fig. 7. In brief, *Lysinibacillus* spp. metabolizes styrene to phenylacetic acid through its monooxygenase, oxide isomerase, and dehydrogenase genes. Moreover, *Pseudomonas* sp. metabolizes the applied styrene to phenylacetic acid despite the absence of oxide isomerase, and the observed ambiguity necessitates further research.

Conclusion

In the present study, an attempt was made to achieve potential aerobic bacterial isolates to metabolize styrene in a batch mode study. Herein, three potential isolates from three different origins showed growth at 0.5 mL L^{-1} styrene and were subjected to styrene metabolism using a mineral medium as a single culture and as a mixed consortium. The growth profile studies suggested that the isolates were able to effectively utilize styrene at 0.5–1.5 mL L^{-1} concentration, and at 2.0 mL L^{-1} concentration, the growth was almost nil (not shown). Among the three isolates, the marine isolate showed an appreciable growth and biomass, as demonstrated. Analyses on the nature of the metabolized product suggested the presence of phenylacetic acid. The relative gene expression studies for styrene metabolism by the chosen isolates demonstrated the presence of *Sty A*, *Sty B*, *Sty C*, and *Sty D* except for *Sty E* in *Lysinibacillus* spp. and absence of *Sty C* and *Sty E* in *Pseudomonas* sp. On comparing the metabolic profiles of the single culture and the consortia, it was concluded that the consortia should be preferred for the complete metabolism of styrene. The findings of the present study suggested the possibility of aerobic management of styrene present in the leachates of the waste dump yard and provide a microbially mediated process to obtain the industrially important chemical phenylacetic acid.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Department of Biotechnology for providing financial support in the form of a sponsored project. The first author acknowledges the Department of Science and Technology-Innovation in Science Pursuit for Inspired Research (INSPIRE) for providing fellowship in the form of JRF and SRF and also acknowledges University of Madras for the PhD program. The second author acknowledges CSIR, New Delhi, for providing fellowship in the form of CSIR-SRF. The authors hereby acknowledge the financial support given by DBT, New Delhi.

References

- 1 N. Itoh, K. Yoshida and K. Okada, *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.*, 1996, **60**, 1826–1830.
- 2 C. Thaysen, K. Stevack, R. Ruffolo, D. Poirier, H. De Frond, J. DeVera, G. Sheng and C. M. Rochman, *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 2018, **5**, 71.
- 3 S. Hirano, M. Tanaka, K. Date, K. Ohno, K. Kobayashi, T. Sakurai, Y. Nagao, Y. Nobuhara and T. Yamada, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2001, **49**, 4127–4131.
- 4 M. Ahmad and A. S. Bajahlan, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 2007, **19**, 421–426.

- 5 B. G. Kwon, K. Amamiya, H. Sato, S. Y. Chung, Y. Kodera, S. K. Kim, E. J. Lee and K. Saido, *Chemosphere*, 2017, **180**, 500–505.
- 6 K. O'Connor, C. M. Buckley, S. Hartmans and A. Dobson, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1995, **61**, 544–548.
- 7 S. Hartmans, *Biotransformations: microbiological degradation of health risk compounds*, Elsevier Science, 1995, vol. 32, pp. 227–238.
- 8 H. A. Kolstad, K. Juel, J. Olsen and E. Lynge, *Occup. Environ. Med.*, 1995, **52**, 320–327.
- 9 V. Benignus, *Neurotoxicology*, 1981, **2**, 567–588.
- 10 I. C. McCall, A. Betanzos, D. A. Weber, P. Nava, G. W. Miller and C. A. Parkos, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 2009, **241**, 61–70.
- 11 A. Songur, O. A. Ozen and M. Sarsilmaz, in *Reviews of environmental contamination and toxicology*, Springer, 2010, pp. 105–118.
- 12 K. Okamoto, M. Izawa and H. Yanase, *J. Biosci. Bioeng.*, 2003, **95**, 633–636.
- 13 M. Suzuki and C. A. Wilkie, *Polym. Degrad. Stab.*, 1995, **47**, 217–221.
- 14 W. R. Waldman and M. A. De Paoli, *Polym. Degrad. Stab.*, 2008, **93**, 273–280.
- 15 J. D. Peterson, S. Vyazovkin and C. A. Wight, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 2001, **202**, 775–784.
- 16 M. Grigore, *Recycling*, 2017, **2**, 24.
- 17 N. D. O'Leary, K. E. O'Connor and A. D. Dobson, *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 2002, **26**, 403–417.
- 18 M. S. Park, J. H. Han, S. S. Yoo, E. Y. Lee, S. G. Lee and S. Park, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, 2005, **22**, 418–424.
- 19 D. Grbić Galić, N. Churchman Eisel and I. Mraković, *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, 1990, **69**, 247–260.
- 20 M. Priyanka and S. Dey, *Vet. World*, 2018, **11**, 1307.
- 21 C. S. Lee, Y. T. Jung, S. Park, T. K. Oh and J. H. Yoon, *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.*, 2010, **60**, 281–286.
- 22 J. B. Gita, A. Aishwarya, N. Pavithra, S. Chandrasekaran, A. V. George and A. Gnanamani, *Anaerobe*, 2018, **49**, 1–4.
- 23 M. H. Abdelhai, H. A. Hassanin and X. Sun, *Am. J. Biosci. Bioeng.*, 2016, **4**, 1–8.
- 24 B. G. Hall, *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 2013, **30**, 1229–1235.
- 25 M. E. Migaud, J. C. Chee Sanford, J. M. Tiedje and J. Frost, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1996, **62**, 974–978.
- 26 L. Zhang, C. Zhang, Z. Cheng, Y. Yao and J. Chen, *Chemosphere*, 2013, **90**, 1340–1347.
- 27 S. C. Felshia, N. A. Karthick, R. Thilagam, A. Chandralekha, K. Raghavarao and A. Gnanamani, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2017, **197**, 373–383.
- 28 F. Beltrametti, A. M. Marconi, G. Bestetti, C. Colombo, E. Galli, M. Ruzzi and E. Zennaro, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1997, **63**, 2232–2239.
- 29 A. Mooney, N. D. O'Leary and A. D. Dobson, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2006, **72**, 1302–1309.
- 30 R. H. Shie and C. C. Chan, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2013, **261**, 72–82.
- 31 A. Cabuk and S. Ilhan, *Eur. J. Miner. Process. Environ. Prot.*, 2003, **3**, 67–74.
- 32 B. Kalmath, A. Prabhuraj, P. Dhakephalkar, H. Supreet and R. Giraddi, *J. Biol. Control*, 2014, **28**, 24–30.
- 33 K. Z. Lae, S. S. Su, N. N. Win, N. N. Than and H. Ngwe, *SciMedicine Journal*, 2019, **1**(4), 199–216.
- 34 Z. A. Rosemond, *Toxicological profile for styrene*, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, 2010.
- 35 S. E. Aalam, A. Paus and J. M. Lebeault, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 1993, **39**, 696–699.
- 36 E. León, N. Adler and P. Peringer, *Biodegradation*, 1999, **10**, 245–250.
- 37 A. Gąszczak, G. Bartelmus and I. Greń, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2012, **93**, 565–573.
- 38 R. Babae, B. Bonakdarpour, B. Nasernejad and N. Fallah, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **184**, 111–117.
- 39 H. Jorio, L. Bibeau and M. Heitz, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2000, **34**, 1764–1771.
- 40 H. Cox, R. Moerman, S. Van Baalen, W. Van Heiningen, H. Doddema and W. Harder, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 1997, **53**, 259–266.
- 41 I. G. Jung and C. H. Park, *Chemosphere*, 2005, **61**, 451–456.
- 42 S. Hartmans, M. Van der Werf and J. de Bont, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1990, **56**, 1347–1351.
- 43 F. J. Weber, L. P. Ooijkaas, R. Schemen, S. Hartmans and J. De Bont, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1993, **59**, 3502–3504.
- 44 S. Hartmans, J. Smits, M. Van der Werf, F. Volkerling and J. De Bont, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1989, **55**, 2850–2855.
- 45 K. Przybulewska, A. Wiczorek and A. Nowak, *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.*, 2006, **15**(5), 669–676.
- 46 Y. J. Deng and S. Y. Wang, *J. Microbiol.*, 2016, **54**, 23–30.
- 47 S. E. Aalam, A. Paus and J. Lebeault, *Mededelingen-Faculteit Landbouwkundige en Toegepaste Biologische Wetenschappen, Universiteit Gent, Belgium*, 1993.
- 48 T. F. Herpin and G. C. Morton, in *Methods in enzymology*, Elsevier, 2003, vol. 369, pp. 75–99.
- 49 V. Herman, H. Takacs, F. Duclairoir, O. Renault, J. Tortai and B. Viala, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 51371–51381.
- 50 J. Khanjani, S. Pazokifard and M. J. Zohuriaan-Mehr, *Prog. Org. Coat.*, 2017, **102**, 151–166.
- 51 M. Oelschlägel, J. A. Gröning, D. Tischler, S. R. Kaschabek and M. Schlömann, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2012, **78**(12), 4330–4337.