Application progress of enhanced coagulation in water treatment
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Water industries worldwide consider coagulation/flocculation to be one of the major treatment methods for improving the overall efficiency and cost effectiveness of water and wastewater treatment. Enhancing the coagulation process is currently a popular research topic. In this review article, the latest developments in enhanced coagulation are summarized. In addition, the mechanisms of enhanced coagulation and the effect of process parameters on processing efficiency are discussed from the perspective of ballast-enhanced coagulation, preoxidation, ultrasound, and composite coagulants. Finally, improvements and new directions for enhanced coagulation are proposed.

1. Introduction

Coagulation is one of the most common phenomena in nature and artificially enhanced water treatment systems. Coagulation is a process in which small particles are combined into larger aggregates (flocs) and dissolved organic matter is adsorbed onto particulate aggregates so that these impurities can be removed in the subsequent solid/liquid separation processes.1 The mechanism for the removal of organics via coagulation has three main aspects:2 (1) positively charged metal ions and negatively charged organic colloids are electrically neutralized, destabilized and aggregated; (2) metal ions and soluble organic matter molecules form insoluble complexes and precipitates; and (3) physical and chemical adsorption of organics occurs on the surface of alum. As environmental pollution problems become more serious and water quality standards become more stringent, conventional coagulation technology has clearly failed to meet people’s requirements for water quality safety. Enhanced coagulation and optimized coagulation are improved based on existing water treatment process facilities and by considering the operating conditions of previous and subsequent process flows to achieve the effect of advanced water and wastewater treatment.

The concept of enhanced coagulation has a long history and was discussed in a paper in the American AWWA Journal in 1965. The enhanced coagulation proposed by the American Hydraulic Association in the 1990s refers to the improvement of organic matter removal rates by increasing the amount of coagulant in the conventional coagulation treatment process of water while ensuring the turbidity removal effect process.4 This concept of enhanced coagulation is based on an increase in the amount of coagulant added or the coagulation process controlled by the reaction pH conditions. Optimized coagulation is proposed based on enhanced coagulation, which is a coagulation process with multiple goals: maximizing particulate matter and turbidity removal, maximizing TOC and DBP precursor removal, reducing residual coagulant content, reducing sludge production, and minimizing production costs.5

In recent years, the intensity of research on enhanced coagulation has been unprecedentedly increased, with many scholars conducting more in-depth research on enhanced coagulation. For example, studies have attempted to gain an in-depth understanding of the characteristics of organic matter in water bodies, identify organic matter removal rules to establish an organic matter removal mode, and summarize the mechanisms of enhanced coagulation removal of organic matter. Previous studies found that increases in efficiency are affected not only by the dose and pH of the coagulant but also by the nature and distribution of the organic matter and particulate matter in the water, including the temperature, hydraulic condition, and coagulant morphology.6-8 With the continuous expansion of modern coagulation treatment processes, the concept of pollutants in water bodies has become increasingly extensive, the treatment difficulty has become increasingly difficult, and ways to strengthen coagulation have become more diverse. For example, permanganate oxidation-assisted coagulation has been widely used to improve turbidity and natural organic matter (NOM) and algae removal in the coagulation-filtration process.9,10 Ballast microsand and magnetic powder are very mature technologies,11,12 including “Actiflo” technology and “CoMag” technology. Researchers have further enhanced the application of ballast technology in wastewater treatment by modifying or changing the dosing materials based on this principle. Jessica13 studied the potential impact of adding powdered activated carbon or organoclay (OC) adsorbent and...
ferric chloride (FeCl₃) coagulant together on the coagulation, and the results showed that the turbidity of the clarified water sample was lower when OC was added during the coagulation process and powdered activated carbon removed more than half or almost all of the dissolved aromatic compounds. Kadouche⁴⁴ used synthetic hydroxyapatite in combination with chitosan and showed that it can effectively remove 88–95% of copper and zinc ions. Chitosan significantly improves the speed of coagulation and precipitation. Another popular topic of research is the development and utilization of new coagulants, such as inorganic polymer flocculants, biopolymer flocculants and natural coagulants.¹³ Many problems occur under enhanced coagulation. For example, preoxidation may damage the cell membrane, resulting in toxin release and increased taste and odor,¹⁶ and the addition of microsand will adversely affect the maintenance of the pump. Therefore, it is not only necessary but also meaningful to study the working mechanism, challenges and new discoveries associated with strengthening the coagulation process to minimize its disadvantages. This article summarizes the application of enhanced coagulation in water treatment, including the current advantages and disadvantages, and discusses the challenges associated with the development of enhanced coagulation technology in the future.

2. Ballasted reaction nuclei enhance coagulation

The process of ballasted reaction nuclei-enhanced coagulation mainly refers to improving the flocculation stage to strengthen its sedimentation characteristics and optimize the flocculation agent and hydraulic conditions in the flocculation stage to form flocs with good sedimentation performance.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

2.1 Technical principle

Floc characteristics are important parameters for controlling the flocculation process and have a significant impact on subsequent sedimentation units and effluent water quality. Related research results showed²⁸ that flocs with large particle sizes and high densities have higher sedimentation speeds and better solid–liquid separation effects than those with small particle sizes and low densities and the turbidity of water after they sunk was correspondingly lower. Therefore, the formation of flocs with good sedimentation performance is an important way to improve the water quality of submerged water.¹²⁻²¹ Miyahara²² confirmed the higher fractal dimension and higher density of flocs with larger particle diameters using the Stokes formula. Many researchers¹⁷⁻¹⁹,²¹,²⁴ believe that denser flocs have higher strength than less dense flocs, are not easily broken and are better for achieving solid–liquid separation. Ballasted nuclei-enhanced coagulation technology aims to increase the speed of floc formation and the density of flocs through ballasted nuclei, such as silica sand, magnetite sand, anthracite, recycled glass, etc., so that the flocs can settle quickly.²¹,²⁵ The ballast nuclei strengthening flocculation process keeps the core medium in suspension by mixing, and the mixing strength is controlled by the specific gravity and size of the nuclei medium.

Ballast nuclei with different specific gravities have the advantages of increasing the sedimentation speed of the shallow layer or increasing the particle removal rate because the specific gravity of the flocs is determined by the specific gravity of the nuclei.¹⁷ Different ballast nuclei have different characteristics. For example, the total suspended solid load of magnetite sand is twice that of silica sand, although the residual turbidity after sedimentation increases.²⁵

2.2 Microsand

The ballasted sand flocculation (BSF) technique is based on coagulation and flocculation with the injection of microsand by increasing the sedimentation rate²⁶ and overflow rate of the microsand to enhance coagulation. Depending on the type of wastewater, the amount of microsand added, and the amount of flocculant, there are significant differences in treatment effects. The ballasted sand flocculation technique has appeared to be an efficient method for the treatment of water and wastewater. The BSF trade named “Actillio” was introduced in 1990.²⁷ The BSF unit is shown in Fig. 1.²⁷ Before the first stage, the water is typically screened through a settling tank to remove large particulates. Then, the coagulant, microsand and polymer are injected and then mixed and stirred at a high rpm to fully coagulate. In the second stage, the maturation process, gentle mixing is performed at a low rpm to enhance the coagulation process. The third stage is clarification, wherein the mixed flocs and influent move downward in the unit. The clarified effluent is then either discharged into natural water bodies or directed to the next treatment process.

The BSF process improves the sedimentation speed and overflow rate, reduces the footprint of the system, and greatly reduces the cost of coagulation treatment. Many researchers have conducted in-depth research. For example, Desjardins²⁷ conducted comparative experiments in three different water treatment plants, and the results showed that the amount of coagulant was the key parameter that controlled the response parameters. Plant no. 1 confirmed that the amount of coagulant was the key to clarification. The raw water of plant no. 2 was different, and the effect of coagulant dose on clarification was small. The results were consistent with the research by Edzwald.²⁸ Experiments were conducted at plant no. 3 in winter, and the quality of the raw water was very similar to that of the
water in plant no. 1. Considering the cold water conditions, the hydraulic load rate was increased by 10 m h$^{-1}$ compared to that of plant no. 1. The effects of coagulation time and precipitation time were investigated, and the results showed that as the reaction kinetics decreased with decreasing temperature, a longer contact time favored floc growth in cold water, excessive microsand (7 mg L$^{-1}$) caused erosion of the flocs, and a low microsand dose (1 mg L$^{-1}$) caused poor flocculation. James$^{29}$ found that the sedimentation velocity of discrete microsand particles and discrete ballast flocs fit the traditional sedimentation theory with reasonable accuracy. The key to increasing the sedimentation rate is to increase the size and roundness of the floc$^{30}$ and reduce its shape factor. The most important factors contributing to the high settling velocities of ballasted flocs seem to be the increased floc density. Zhu$^{31}$ used alum and anionic polymers as the main coagulants and used silica sand as a carrier. The settleability of the silica sand was improved approximately five times, and the removal efficiency of suspended solids and BOD was 98% and 60%, respectively. Sumant Kumar$^{32}$ summarized the combined sewer overflow (CSO) treatment method. Depending on the type and characteristics of the water/wastewater, the amount of flocculant (cation/anionic polymer) ranged from 0.3 to 1 mg L$^{-1}$. The size was 40–150 μm, and the dose was 2–12 g L$^{-1}$. In most cases, the turbidity, total suspended solids (TSS) and TP removal rates were reported to be over 90%, while the BOD was over 60%. On this basis, researchers have conducted further research. Sieliechi$^{33}$ proved that the root cause of the improved flocculation of sedimentation in ballast aggregates is related not only to the increase in the specific gravity of flocs but also to the increase in the specific gravity of the ballast. The sediment volume is relatively small in the CSO treatment, which proves the reorganization of flocs. Alan$^{34}$ proposed a new method to predict the settling conditions encountered by concentrated suspensions containing sand and mud floc mixtures. The results showed that by considering the total reflux effect of the two fractions, the best results can be provided. A frame model was used to predict hindered settlement conditions in various sand and mud mixtures. In addition, there are many literature data showing the improvement of the overall coagulation efficiency of microsand-enhanced flocculation.$^{21,31,32}$

The BSF process has been widely used in many countries, and the advantages of the BSF process are as follows:$^{29}$ (1) the floor area is reduced by 5–20 times, which reduces the cost of equipment installation and operation; (2) its adaptability is very high, the treatment effect does not change with the change in raw water conditions, and it can be applied to surface water, wastewater and industrial sewage; and (3) it only takes 20–30 min for the system to reach stable operations. The limitations of the system are as follows: (1) the chemical reagent dose is increased; (2) the microsand will adversely affect the operation and maintenance of the pump; and (3) there is a low removal rate during startup. The BSF process has proven to be a promising technology that can be used to clarify drinking water and reduce pollution loads before wastewater and COS treatment systems enter biological processes or natural water systems, and it has a small footprint and low cost. However, in some applications, it may be better to replace microsand with a different core medium: (1) nuclei media with a higher density than that of microsand can increase the specific gravity and sedimentation speed of flocs and achieve a high rate clarification; (2) nuclei media with adsorption–desorption capabilities can simultaneously achieve a high rate of clarification and adsorption of pollutants;$^{35}$ (3) dolomite as a nuclei medium can increase the alkalinity of water while increasing the sedimentation speed;$^{36}$ and (4) low-density nuclei media can reduce the mixing strength, thereby limiting the shear force of sensitive flocs. Lapointe$^{37}$ evaluated the effects of 5 nuclei media (anthracite, recycled broken glass, conventional silica sand, garnet sand, and magnetite sand) on ballast flocculation. The results show that the choice of core media is determined by the sedimentation water quality and the highest applicable flow rate. The highest applicable flow rate can directly affect operating costs.

### 2.3 Magnetic technology

To achieve separation, magnetic separation technology affects the aggregation of particles through various external forces, such as magnetic gravity, van der Waals forces, an electric double layer, gravity, Brownian motion and fluid motion. Ferromagnetic materials have been widely used in magnetic separation processes$^{35–37}$ and successfully applied in the separation and recovery of solid waste, nanotechnology, ultra-purification and biotechnology.$^{38–41}$ CoMag technology is a new water treatment technology combining conventional coagulation and magnetic separation. On the basis of conventional coagulation, magnetic seeds are used as flocculated nodules and they work to combine flocs to form composite flocs. Under the action of an external magnetic field, precipitation is accelerated to improve the treatment efficiency.$^{42}$ Magnetic separation is the core part of CoMag technology. The first patent for magnetic separation was proposed by William Fullarton in 1792 and applied to the beneficiation industry.$^{43}$ In the late 1960s, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology developed the first set of magnetic separation equipment and proposed an iron-free, high-gradient magnetic separation patent. In the late 1980s, Sweden began to apply magnetic disk separation to steel wastewater treatment, thus marking the beginning of magnetic technology in the field of water treatment.

In recent years, the CoMag process has been widely used in water treatment due to its advantages, such as a short solid–liquid separation time and good running effect. Li$^{44}$ used magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) for magnetic seed flocculation combined with high-gradient superconducting magnetic separation (HGMS) to remove arsenic. Under the conditions of a polymeric ferric sulfate (PFS) dose as a flocculant of 108.75 mg L$^{-1}$ and a seeding time of 15 min, the efficiency of removing arsenic reached 94.5%. Studies have shown that ultrafine magnetic particles have low separation efficiency and recovery due to low magnetic and collision efficiency issues. Many techniques induce ultrafine particles to form aggregates by increasing the effective particle size and mass.$^{45,44,45}$ Mandel$^{46}$ used magnetic...
The applications of magnetic flocculation are summarized in Table 1.

### 3. Chemical oxidation-enhanced coagulation

The study of chemical oxidation-enhanced coagulation is one of the hotspots in coagulation treatment research. The main goals of scholars are to reduce the toxicity of traditional oxidation and improve the efficiency of coagulation removal. Potassium permanganate preoxidation as a simple enhanced coagulation method that has been successfully applied in countries around the world. There are an increasing number of preoxidation coagulation agents, such as ferrate, ozone, permanganate complex agents, persulfate, Fenton reagent and sodium hypochlorite.

#### 3.1 Technical principle

Although the mechanism of preoxidation for improving coagulation is different for different oxidants, the progress of preoxidation is usually aimed at changing the zeta potential by destroying the organic coating on the surface of the particles, which results in colloids and suspended particle aggregates, as shown in Fig. 2. For highly toxic and difficult-to-degrade wastewater, the combination of one or more oxidation methods is also an approach and this is described in detail below.
resistant viruses and spores; (b) it is scarcely affected by sewage pH and temperature; (c) it removes color, odor, and phenols from sewage, increases the dissolved oxygen content and improves water quality; (d) it can improve the biodegradability of pollutants; and (e) it is difficult to degrade and will not cause secondary pollution due to residues. Therefore, it has been widely studied and applied. Studies have shown that moderate amounts of ozone (low doses) enhance coagulation. Several explanations have been proposed: (1) ozone oxidation can increase the concentration of oxygenated functional groups on solid surfaces (such as carboxylic acids), leading to complexation with cations (such as Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Al$^{3+}$, etc.) and formation of metal humic acid complex precipitates; (2) ozone can convert high-molecular-weight chemicals into low-molecular-weight chemicals, reducing space and electrostatic repulsion, and ozonation reduces the stabilization of organics that cover the surface of particles, which results in their desorption; (3) ozonation polymerizes metastable organics, which results in particle aggregation through bridging reactions; (4) ozonation changes the surface chemistry of organics and increases coagulation via charge neutralization; and (5) ozone oxidation ruptures organometallic complexes, resulting in the in situ production of coagulants.

Ozone peroxidation has been widely applied to enhance coagulation and is often used to treat NOM, algae, heavy metal ions, humus and dye wastewater. NOM is adsorbed on the surface of minerals. Studies have shown that ozone can induce the accumulation of NOM. However, due to the composition of NOM and the complexity of the ozone chemical reaction, it is difficult to develop accurate models. A large number of studies have shown that preozonation has a more significant effect on the coagulation of particles in water of medium hardness than on the coagulation of particles in soft water. Chandrakanth studied the mechanism of ozone removal of NOM, and the results show that ozone can cause particle instability only in the presence of calcium. A theory based on electrostatic (complexation/charge neutralization) and calcium oxalate precipitation was proposed: (a) the components of ozonated NOM can complex with calcium, and (b) the ozonation of NOM increases the number of coordination sites on the surface and the complexation of NOM with calcium is relatively easy. Many studies have shown the biggest influencing factors that affect the efficiency of ozonation coagulation: the characteristics of the raw water (hardness, pH, alkalinity, and type and concentration of NOM), and ozone dose and coagulation conditions (dose and type of coagulant). Francisco’s research showed that the effect of the efficiency of preozonation-enhanced coagulation seems to vary depending on the characteristics of the raw water, especially the calcium hardness and NOM fractionation. The ozone dose was 0.25–2.5 mg L$^{-1}$, and the THMFP removal rate was 5–25%. Smith developed a study of preozonation-enhanced electroflocculation for the treatment of municipal wastewater. The results showed that the technology could effectively reduce the total phosphorus and TSS from water sources, such as highly toxic textile wastewater and landfill leachate. Preozonation can be used in combination with other oxidation methods (Fenton, permanganate, etc.).
application of preozonation to enhance coagulation is very wide. Table 2 describes the application of ozonation in natural water and sewage treatment and the corresponding results.

In most cases, only low amounts of ozone can improve the coagulation effect and high doses of ozone may increase the turbidity of the final water. The optimal dose of ozone is always closely related to the charge density of the humus. The higher the charge density of humus is, the higher the required dose of ozonation. Therefore, for some raw water, ozone can always find its optimal dose to enhance coagulation.

3.4 Permanganate

Permanganate shows high reactivity in oxidizing a wide variety of organic substances and inorganic substances. The main uses of permanganate are to control odor and taste, remove iron, manganese, and algae and act as a disinfectant. The principle of permanganate preoxidation to enhance coagulation is as follows. Permanganate is oxidized to produce manganese dioxide. Manganese dioxide is a strong adsorbent. It can increase the flocculation kinetics by increasing the particle concentration. It can also be adsorbed on the surface of other particles to enhance flocculation. Permanganate preoxidation can effectively remove heavy metals and organics in water.

Over the past three decades, permanganate preoxidation-enhanced coagulation has attracted increasing attention, especially in the field of surface water treatment. Cyano-bacteria in surface water treatment can produce metabolites or toxins that are difficult to remove by conventional water treatment processes. Therefore, preoxidation technologies are widely used, such as chlorine, ozone, chlorine dioxide, and permanganate. To control indicators, such as water taste, odor and toxin content, and because chlorine gas and chlorine dioxide can produce disinfection byproducts (DBP), permanganate preoxidation technology is widely used. Ma reported that permanganate can significantly enhance the coagulation of several surface waters. Studies show that the enhanced coagulation of permanganate is the formation of the strong adsorbent manganese dioxide, which adsorbs onto particle surfaces. Colthurst found that manganese dioxide adsorbs humus only when Ca is present, which may be due to the negative charge of both. Chen studied the mechanism of permanganate preoxidation to enhance coagulation. With increasing calcium ion concentration, the sedimentation speed was significantly accelerated, and calcium ions promoted permanganate function by electrically neutralizing surface bridging. In addition to permanganate incorporation of algae flocs to increase sedimentation speed, the use of permanganate may also induce algal cells to release organic matter. As shown in Fig. 3, SEM observations showed that EOM may enhance the combination of algae flocs and manganese dioxide. Studies have found that the flocculation efficiency of severely damaged algal cells is very low. Takaara reported that materials with too high of a molecular weight will adhere to the coagulant, causing its failure, which may also reduce the coagulation efficiency. In addition, permanganate is used at a common dose (0–2 mg L⁻¹); thus, there is little or no damage to algae. Wang reported that permanganate preoxidation-enhanced coagulation is widely used, such as chlorine, ozone, chlorine dioxide, and permanganate. To control indicators, such as water taste, odor and toxin content, and because chlorine gas and chlorine dioxide can produce disinfection byproducts (DBP), permanganate preoxidation technology is widely used. Ma reported that permanganate can significantly enhance the coagulation of several surface waters. Studies show that the enhanced coagulation of permanganate is the formation of the strong adsorbent manganese dioxide, which adsorbs onto particle surfaces. Colthurst found that manganese dioxide adsorbs humus only when Ca is present, which may be due to the negative charge of both. Chen studied the mechanism of permanganate preoxidation to enhance coagulation. With increasing calcium ion concentration, the sedimentation speed was significantly accelerated, and calcium ions promoted permanganate function by electrically neutralizing surface bridging. In addition to permanganate incorporation of algae flocs to increase sedimentation speed, the use of permanganate may also induce algal cells to release organic matter. As shown in Fig. 3, SEM observations showed that EOM may enhance the combination of algae flocs and manganese dioxide. Studies have found that the flocculation efficiency of severely damaged algal cells is very low. Takaara reported that materials with too high of a molecular weight will adhere to the coagulant, causing its failure, which may also reduce the coagulation efficiency. In addition, permanganate is used at a common dose (0–2 mg L⁻¹); thus, there is little or no damage to algae.

| Table 2 Application of pre-ozone oxidation to treat water |
|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Raw water       | Coagulant | Dose (mg L⁻¹) | Observation | Reference |
| Disperse dye-bath | FeSO₄   | 2300           | Eliminated 95% color and 48% COD | 98 |
| Saline landfill leachates | Fe₂(SO₄)₃ | 49            | Leachate treatment led to 100% removal of leachate color and 78% removal of COD | 99 |
| Textile wastewater | Al₂(SO₄)₃, FeSO₄ | 53.7–82.3 | Almost complete color absorbance (over 98%) was removed in 20 min ozone contact time | 80 |
| Taihu Lake | Alum | 0.45 | THMFP removal by approximately 60% | 100 |
| Oilfield-produced water | PAC, PAM | 5 | PAC and PAM could be saved by approximately 10 mg L⁻¹ and 5 mg L⁻¹ | 101 |
| Waterworks | PAC | 0.5 | Improve THMFP coagulation removal by 14% | 73 |
| Municipal wastewater | PAC | 0.8 | DON, DOC, and UV254 removal of 71%, 66%, and 97% | 10 |
| Chodatella sp. | Alum | 0–2.1 | Increasing algae removal by approximately 50% | 88 |
| Oscillatoria agardii | Al₂(SO₄)₃ | 0–2 | Increasing algae removal by 8% | 102 |
| Green algae mixture | FeCl₃ | 1 | Increasing algae removal by approximately 20% | 103 |
3.5 Ferrate

Ferrate is a chemical reagent (coagulant and oxidant) with dual functions in drinking water treatment and has great potential in the treatment of water and wastewater.\textsuperscript{110} It has a strong redox potential across the entire pH range, from 2.2 V in acidic conditions to 0.7 V in alkaline conditions.\textsuperscript{110,111} During the reduction of ferrate, with the precipitation of ferric hydroxide, hydroyzed substances with a high positive charge may be produced. These hydrolysates will lead to colloids, cause the particles to be electrically neutralized, and adsorb water molecules and fine particles, thus increasing the sedimentation speed of the coagulation.\textsuperscript{110,112,113} Ferrate can destabilize colloidal particles in one minute, while ferrous and iron salts need 30 min to achieve stable results.\textsuperscript{110} Ferrate is an emerging multifunctional oxidant, disinfectant and coagulant. Ferrate does not produce any mutagenic byproducts in water treatment. It is an ideal water and wastewater treatment material in the 21st century.\textsuperscript{111,114-116}

Research has shown that ferrate can effectively remove many organic and inorganic pollutants, such as nitrogenous organics, drugs, antibiotics, hydrogen sulfide, algae, persistent organics, etc.\textsuperscript{112,113,117-123} Ferrate can also remove various metals, such as Fe\textsuperscript{2+}, Fe\textsuperscript{3+}, Mn\textsuperscript{2+}, Cu\textsuperscript{2+}, Pb\textsuperscript{2+}, Cd\textsuperscript{2+}, Cr\textsuperscript{3+}, Hg\textsuperscript{2+}, etc.\textsuperscript{117,124} Jiang evaluated the application and preparation of ferrate, and the results showed that ferrate was superior to iron sulfate under low-dose conditions when treating colored water. Ferrate can effectively reduce the UV250 and turbidity of water. Dissolved organic carbon can also reduce the formation of trihalomethane (THMFP).\textsuperscript{119,125,126} In terms of wastewater treatment,\textsuperscript{128} compared with the same doses of AS and FS, potassium ferrate showed a 50% increase in color removal rate and a 30% increase in COD, resulting in less sludge. Ma\textsuperscript{112,113} used ferrate preoxidation to improve coagulation and treat algae-containing water and surface water. Studies have found that ferrate preoxidation can enhance the removal and turbidity of algal cells at various oxidant doses. In terms of synthetic preparation, Stanford and Jiang\textsuperscript{27} electrochemically synthesized potassium ferrate and showed that 1 mg L\textsuperscript{-1} potassium ferrate and 10 mg L\textsuperscript{-1} iron salt had the same treatment effect. Alsheyab and Jiang designed an electrochemical method for a Chinese factory. The reactor proved the operation concept and online application of the electrochemical generation of potassium ferrate, which is a step forward for the comprehensive application of potassium ferrate in water and wastewater. The decomposition rate of ferrate depends on the pH value, solution temperature, initial potassium ferrate concentration and coexisting ions. Before using ferrate, the characteristics and quality of raw water need to be analyzed.\textsuperscript{110,128}

4. Other enhanced coagulation methods

4.1 Ultrasound-enhanced coagulation

In recent years, ultrasound has proven to be an effective water treatment technology.\textsuperscript{129,130} Ultrasound irradiation produces cavitation, thereby causing reactive free radicals and shock
waves. \(^{111,112}\) Studies have shown that ultrasound combined with other technologies has better results than ultrasound alone. \(^{113-115}\) Ultrasound-enhanced coagulation is one of the current research hotspots, and the working principle is as follows: \(^{116}\) (1) low-frequency (20–150 kHz) ultrasound irradiation will increase molecular vibrations, improve the ion collision efficiency and make the flocs more compact and easy to precipitate; and (2) ultrasound irradiation can change the morphology and surface characteristics of ions, cause particle surface damage, significantly increase specific surface area, and increase particle aggregation. A large number of studies have shown that ultrasound treatment can significantly increase the flocculating capacity of algae in the treatment of algae-containing water, reduce the amount of coagulant added, and reduce costs. \(^{117}\)

In algae-containing water, it is difficult to remove algan cells due to their special characteristics (negative surface potential), and algae metabolites tend to adsorb to colloidal particles. Zhang \(^{133}\) reported the removal of algan cells from the water source in a water treatment plant by ultrasound-enhanced coagulation. Studies have shown that ultrasound irradiation applied for 5 seconds can increase the desiccation efficiency by 20%. Zhang \(^{134}\) reported that the main theory involved the destruction during ultrasonic irradiation of gas vacuoles inside algan cells that acted as “nuclei” for acoustic cavitation and collapsed during the “bubble crushing” period, causing the settlement of cyanobacteria, whereas the ultrasound frequency had little impact on the removal effect. However, studies have shown that increasing ultrasound duration and power can negatively affect coagulation, \(^{138}\) such as by causing microcystin release. \(^{137}\) Therefore, the parameters of ultrasound-enhanced coagulation are very important, as shown in Table 3. Li \(^{139}\) reported the effects of ultrasonic power, action time, coagulant dose and pH value on the removal of organic matter in low-temperature and low-turbidity water. The results showed that the optimal ultrasound power was 25 W, the optimal ultrasound treatment time was 9 min, the optimal PFS dose was 12 mg L\(^{-1}\), the optimal pH was 7, and the COD removal rate was 87.2%. Fast \(^{140}\) reported the effects of several different process parameters, such as chemical dose, ultrasonic time, pH value, concentration factor, and flocculation time, on algan removal. A comparison between ultrasound-alum and ultrasound-chitosan flocculation of turbid algan waters as well as a comparison with conventional rapid mix and direct flocculation were conducted. Three processes were designed on the basis of single-factor experiments. The results showed that the removal rate of the ultrasonic-chitosan-enhanced coagulation process could reach more than 98%. The ultrasonic enhanced coagulation process has attracted much attention in the treatment of algae-containing water, although the current research is still very unsystematic, and it is often limited to static ultrasonic experiments at a single frequency. It lacks multi-frequency, multi-intensity and multi-time comparisons. The actual application is still far away. In the future, it is necessary to further strengthen the research on the influence of the interaction of various parameters, clarify the mechanism of ultrasound enhancement, and lay the foundation for future applications.

### 4.2 Composite coagulants

#### 4.2.1 Inorganic polymer flocculants

Aluminum salts and iron salts have been widely used as inorganic flocculants in water and wastewater treatment. The main products are aluminum sulfate, ferrous sulfate, iron sulfate, polyaluminum chloride (PAC) and PFS. The hydrolyzed polymerization products of these products have a positive charge, and through the adsorption and neutralization sweeping effect, they condense with the negatively charged colloidal pollutants in the water, although their ability to adsorb and bridge the colloidal pollutants in the water is weak. A higher dose is required to achieve a good flocculation effect. \(^{2,142}\) To further improve the flocculation effect of inorganic flocculants and reduce the amount of products, a large amount of research and application practice found that iron salt and aluminum salt are copolymerized under certain conditions or an inorganic component with flocculation or coagulation aid is added to the iron salt, aluminum salt or iron salt/aluminum salt solution and reacted. Through synergistic effects, inorganic–inorganic composite flocculants were obtained. The preparation procedures and research on inorganic–inorganic composite flocculants are shown in Tables 4 and 5.

#### 4.2.2 Organic–inorganic composite coagulants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw water</th>
<th>Coagulant</th>
<th>Ultrasonic irradiation</th>
<th>Ultrasonic power</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algae-containing water</td>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>&lt;60 s</td>
<td>100 W</td>
<td>Higher removal efficiencies (&gt;97%)</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luan River</td>
<td>FeCl(_3)</td>
<td>15 s</td>
<td>60 W</td>
<td>Improve algae coagulation removal by 12.4%</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-temperature and low-turbidity water</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>9 min</td>
<td>25 W</td>
<td>COD removal rate will reach 87.2%</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae-containing water</td>
<td>PAC</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>0.02 W mL(^{-1})</td>
<td>Turbidity removal rate of approximately 80% to 90%</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae-containing water</td>
<td>PACI</td>
<td>30 s</td>
<td>&lt;100 W</td>
<td>Dosage of PACI was reduced, and the sedimentation rate of flocs was increased</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae-containing water</td>
<td>PAC</td>
<td>1–5 s</td>
<td>48 W</td>
<td>Pre-sonication reduced the coagulant dose by two-thirds</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae-containing water</td>
<td>PAC</td>
<td>5 s</td>
<td>47.2 W cm(^{-2})</td>
<td>Increased algae removal efficiency from 35% to 67%</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
floculant products produce better flocculation effects.\textsuperscript{141-145} Because the composite flocculant can overcome many of the shortcomings of using a single flocculant, it can improve the flocculation performance while reducing the cost of water treatment. Therefore, the development and application of composite flocculants has become one of the issues of interest in the current water and wastewater treatment field, and it is also the main development direction of new, efficient and economical flocculants. An early composite flocculant was polyaluminum plus polyacrylamide\textsuperscript{146} and then polyaluminum plus activated silicic acid was used\textsuperscript{147,148} in an attempt to combine an original coagulant and a new coagulant into one dose. With a more in-depth understanding of the principle of flocculants, it was gradually realized that the combination of flocculants is based on the principle of strengthening complementarity, combining flocculants with different flocculating properties, and improving the performance of a single coagulant. At present, there are two main types of composite coagulants: inorganic composite types and inorganic–organic composite types. For example, in polyaluminum chloride composite flocculants,\textsuperscript{145} the active component is still aluminum hydrolysis and polymerization to form high-valent hydroxyl polymer ions. By adding an organic flocculant, the inorganic flocculant enhances its ability to adsorb to particles and bridge and neutralize electrical charges, thereby improving its coagulation ability. Inorganic polymer composite flocculants are mainly prepared by adding inorganic salt compounds,\textsuperscript{144,145} such as Al\textsuperscript{3+}, Fe\textsuperscript{3+}, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−}, Cl\textsuperscript{−}, etc. According to the type of metal ions that the composite flocculant is associated with in flocculation, the inorganic polymer composite flocculant can be divided into the polyaluminum salt type, polyelectrolyte salt type and polyaluminum iron salt type.\textsuperscript{144,149-151} One or several kinds of them are added to form inorganic composite polymer flocculants, fully optimizing their respective advantages and achieving the purpose of efficient purification treatment. At present, a variety of inorganic–inorganic and inorganic–organic composite flocculant products have been developed, mainly including polyaluminum chloride sulfate (PACS), polyaluminum ferric chloride (PAFC), polyaluminum silicate sulfate (PASiS), polyaluminum silicate chloride (PASiC), polyferric sulfate (PFSiS), polyaluminum ferric silicate chloride (PFSiSC), PAC/PDM composite coagulants and PAC/PDMDAAC composite coagulants.\textsuperscript{143,144,149,152-155} In recent years, many researchers\textsuperscript{156-158} have also enhanced coagulation by improving the performance of coagulant aids. Table 4 summarizes the application of composite flocculants in water treatment.

The research on composite flocculants has developed rapidly in recent years. Based on the research results of its performance and flocculation mechanism, a series of new and efficient inorganic–inorganic and inorganic–organic composite polymer flocculants have been developed. It is used in water and wastewater treatment and sludge dewatering, although the research, development and application of composite high-resolution flocculants are still in their infancy. At present, the research and development of composite flocculants is mainly focused on the development of new, efficient, nontoxic or low-toxicity composite flocculants. This work should be strengthened in the following ways.

1) Further strengthen research on compound polymer flocculant ratio screening, preparation process technology, process parameters and supporting equipment to improve the flocculation effect and reduce the cost.

2) Carry out in-depth and systematic research on the interaction between the components of the composite flocculant and strengthen the synergy between the component, thereby laying a theoretical foundation for the development of new flocculants.

3) Carry out in-depth and systematic research on the performance of composite flocculants, the dynamic process of flocculation, and the physical characteristics of the formed flocs. The results of the research are helpful for clarifying the flocculation behavior and mechanism of composite polymer flocculants.

4) Systematic research is performed on the application conditions and influencing factors of composite flocculants to clarify the applicable objects and lay the foundation for the promotion of new products.

| Table 4 Application of composite flocculants in water treatment |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Raw water          | Coagulation | Materials                      | Dose (mg L\textsuperscript{-1}) | Observation | Reference |
| Kaolinite suspensions | P(DADMAC-AM-VTMS) | DADMAC + AM + VTMS             | 0.1             | <5 NTU (turbidity) | 159 |
| Kaolinite suspensions | PAC          | Coal gangue + HCl + CaCO\textsubscript{3} | 0.05             | <5 NTU (turbidity) | 149 |
| Kaolinite suspensions | PAC          | Na\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} + AlCl\textsubscript{3} + Al\textsubscript{2}(SO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3} | 2 | <4 NTU (turbidity) | 143 |
| Synthetic suspensions | PASIC        | SiO\textsubscript{2} + AlCl\textsubscript{3} + NaOH | 3 | <1 NTU (turbidity) | 144 |
| Oil field wastewater | PAC-PDMDAAC  | PDMDAAC + PAC                  | 8 | <100 (COD) | 155 |
| Landfill leachate   | PFSSi         | PFSSi + Fe\textsubscript{2}(SO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3} | 50 | >90% (turbidity removal) | 150 |
| Lake Taihu waters  | PAC-PDM      | PAC + PDM                      | 8.32             | 0.41 NTU (turbidity) | 153 |
| Oilily wastewater   | PFASS         | Fly ash                        | 1.40             | >95% (turbidity removal) | 151 |
| Kaolinite suspensions | CMC-PAM   | CMC + CAN + PAM                | 15 | >80% (turbidity removal) | 160 |
| Synthetic suspensions | PTTSS       | PTT + PSiA                     | 35 | 70% (UV254 removal) | 161 |
| Taihu Lake          | PAC-PDMDAAC  | PAC + PDMDAAC                  | 10 | <1.5 NTU (turbidity) | 154 |
| Cd(II) solution     | APAM         | PAM                            | 2.87             | 93.65% (Cd(II) removal) | 146 |
| Synthetic textile wastewater | PACF-starch-p(AM-DMDAAC) | PACF + starch + AM + DMDAAC | 0.2 | >85% (dye removal) | 162 |
4.2.2 Biopolymer flocculants. Natural polysaccharides derived from biomass feedstocks, marine resources, and microorganisms have been attracting considerable attention as benign and environmentally friendly substitutes for synthetic polymeric products. There are many types of biopolymer flocculants, such as lignin, cellulose, chitosan, xanthan gum, pectin, polysaccharides and proteins, etc. Among them, cellulose and chitosan are currently the most abundant biopolymers on Earth. Biopolymer flocculants are derived from oceans, plants, and microorganisms. For example, chitosan mostly comes from seaweeds, crustacean shells and microorganisms, and cellulose, starch, etc. come from agricultural raw materials. Microorganisms, such as bacteria, yeast and algae, are important sources of biopolymer flocculants. Biopolymers have many advantages because they are environmentally friendly, highly biochemical, nontoxic, etc. and can be used in agricultural wastewater, oily wastewater, heavy metal wastewater, algal water, etc. This research field has received extensive attention. Turunen used tannin and chitosan to treat agricultural wastewater. The tannin and chitosan coagulant performed best at a dose of 5–10 mL L⁻¹, with a turbidity removal rate of 80–98%. Henry studied the removal of orthophosphate by a variable ternary system of alum, biopolymer and biomass. The removal rate of orthophosphate was 98%. Lichtfouse studied the modified chitosan treatment of phosphate solution, and the principle is shown in Fig. 5. This treatment could reduce the phosphate content by 97% under all pH values. Biopolymer flocculants usually exhibit low flocculation activity. Many researchers use chemical modification to improve their flocculation activity. There are two main forms: (1) physical reactions, such as ionic interaction, polysaccharide–protein interaction, and (2) chemical reactions, such as graft copolymerization, crosslinking with aldehyde, esterification, etherification, amination, carboxylalkylation, hydroxalkylation and other addition reactions, and condensation. Peng studied a series of amphoteric chitosan-based grafting flocculants (CM-chi–g-PDMAAC (denoted as CgPD)), which were successfully synthesized by grafting diallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride (DMDAAC) onto carboxymethyl chitosan (CM-chi) with different grafting ratios. By carboxymethyl and grafting modification, dramatically increased water solubility of chitosan was obtained. Many biopolymer flocculants have been synthesized through chemical modification, for example, polysaccharide–g-(PDMA-co-AA), AP–g-pol-y(AM-co-AA), PHAP. The main challenges faced by biopolymer flocculants are the complex synthesis process, monomer health problems, future production scale and consumption. Future research should focus on reducing production costs and developing large-scale production processes.

5. Conclusions
Because of their simple operation, high versatility, low energy consumption and high cost performance, coagulation and flocculation are still essential steps in the field of water treatment. This review summarized the application development of the enhanced coagulation process and outlined the effectiveness of the enhanced coagulation process from the perspective of different enhanced coagulation processes. Ballast reaction nuclei-enhanced coagulation has the potential to treat surface water, wastewater and overflow water from sewers. This method can increase the density of flocs, increase the sedimentation speed of flocs, and improve the fractionation of flocs. Preoxidation and ultrasound are widely used in the treatment of algae-containing waters. Preoxidation enhances coagulation by breaking the organic coating and changing the surface potential of particles. However, the mechanism of oxidative coagulation is completely different for different oxidants and colloids. Ultrasound increases the particle collision efficiency by increasing the molecular vibrations so that the flocs are denser and the sedimentation speed is increased. Composite flocculants were developed based on research on the performance and mechanism of different flocculants, and they represent an optimization and complementation of the action of two or more flocculants. The current research indicates that enhanced coagulation is based on the mechanism and dynamics of traditional coagulation. As long as the coagulation effect is substantially improved, it can be considered enhanced coagulation. In future research, a mathematical model and a stability model for enhanced coagulation should be established, flocculants should be developed, appropriate coagulation nodules should be selected, and different water qualities, preoxidation or ultrasonic methods can be considered to improve raw water flocculation characteristics. Enhanced coagulation is greatly affected by the process parameters. A method for evaluating coagulation and a coagulation process control strategy should be proposed and established to resolve issues at the intersection of coagulation and flocculation stages in the coagulation process during water treatment, as well as coagulation process and coagulant issues such as coordination, mixing conditions, and coagulation process control.
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