


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2020, 10, 23091

Received 6th March 2020  
Accepted 5th June 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra02115f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# Electro-spinning fabrication of nitrogen, phosphorus co-doped porous carbon nanofiber as an electro-chemiluminescent sensor for the determination of cyproheptadine†

Hao Cheng,<sup>\*a</sup> Zhengyuan Zhou <sup>a</sup> and Tao Liu <sup>\*b</sup>

Nitrogen, phosphorus co-doped porous carbon nanofiber (N, P-PCNF) is prepared by electrospinning the mixed solution of polyacrylonitrile (PAN), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and phosphoric acid followed by carbonization. The N, P-PCNF as a modified electrode material is directly used to fabricate an electro-chemiluminescent sensor for determination of cyproheptadine, and owing to the large specific area, more active sites and promotion of electron transfer, the sensor exhibits high electro-catalytic activity, high sensitivity, a good linear relationship ranging from  $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$  to  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> and a low detection limit ( $2.89 \times 10^{-8}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>). In addition, the good recoveries indicate that the sensor is a promising device for the detection of cyproheptadine in real samples.

## 1. Introduction

Cyproheptadine (CPH, Scheme 1 in ESI†), an anti-allergy drug, can effectively alleviate allergic symptoms such as hives, watery eyes, and itching, caused by the antagonizing effects of histamine on blood vessels and bronchial smooth muscles.<sup>1–3</sup> However, an overdose of CPH might cause coma and even death, especially in children and the elderly,<sup>4</sup> thus it has been one of the prohibited components in provender and drinking water for animals in China.<sup>5</sup> To prevent safety problems due to drug abuse, it is important to establish a simple, selective, and sensitive method to detect CPH. Up to now, there have been many approaches to identify CPH including liquid chromatography (HPLC),<sup>6</sup> liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS),<sup>7</sup> capillary electrophoresis,<sup>8</sup> and UV spectroscopy.<sup>1</sup> The main disadvantages of these methods are that expensive instruments and long testing time are usually demanded.

In recent years, electrochemiluminescence (ECL) as a newly developed analytical method has obtained considerable attention from researchers because of its simple equipment, easy operation, fast response, and high sensitivity,<sup>9,10</sup> whose applied light is produced by the substance at excited state returning to

the ground state.<sup>11</sup> Among the ECL systems, the luminescence system based Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup> has been mostly applied in various fields for its high luminescence efficiency, good solubility, high chemical stability, and regenerability.<sup>12,13</sup> Moreover, the on-surface reactions of electron-transfer and light-emitting make it feasible to increase the ability of electron transfer by selecting electrode modified materials, leading to a positive influence on the sensitivity promotion and detection limit expansion of ECL detection.

Carbon nanofibers (CNFs) are now popular because of good electrical conductivities, superior stiffness and thermal conductivities, and widely applied in supercapacitors, batteries, and sensors.<sup>14–16</sup> Also, some studies have reported that CNFs can improve electrical conductivities and promote electron transfer.<sup>17,18</sup> Electro-spinning is a simple, efficient and promising method to fabricate interconnected and ultrafine CNFs with polymer solutions (polyacrylonitrile (PAN), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), polybenzimidazole and so on)<sup>19–21</sup> and subsequent process for carbonization and stabilization. Furnishing effective surface area for ion storage and transportation, porous carbon nanofibers (PCNFs) excellently overcome some shortcomings of CNFs.<sup>19</sup> According to previous studies, PVP can generate porosity.<sup>22</sup> Heteroatom doping can improve the physical and chemical properties of carbonaceous materials and increase the active sites.<sup>19,23</sup> CNFs obtained *via* electrospinning is a perfect substrate or carrier for impurity doping. For example, N-doped carbon materials have been used in supercapacitors,<sup>24</sup> batteries<sup>25</sup> and sensors.<sup>26</sup> He *et al.* fabricated nitrogen-doped CNFs as the negative electrode and achieved excellent energy storage performance.<sup>25</sup> Phosphorus is in the same family with nitrogen while it possesses the higher electron-donating ability

<sup>a</sup>Guangxi Key Laboratory of Green Processing of Sugar Resources, College of Biological and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi University of Science and Technology, Liuzhou 545006, Guangxi, P. R. China. E-mail: iamchenghao@126.com

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry and Hong Kong Branch of Chinese National Engineering Research Center for Tissue Restoration & Reconstruction, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (HKUST), Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China. E-mail: liutaohx@ust.hk

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra02115f






Fig. 1 SEM images of CNF (a) and N, P-PCNF (b); EDX elemental mapping of C (c), N (d), O (e), P (f) of P-PCNF.

and exhibits stronger n-type behavior.<sup>27,28</sup> Cui and coworkers made phosphorus-doped helical carbon nanofibers as an enhanced sensing platform for electrochemical detection of carbendazim, obtaining excellent results.<sup>29</sup> Compared with single-atom doping, multi-atom co-doping can produce multiple functional groups, enhancing the overall performance.<sup>30,31</sup> Liu's group synthesized N, P-co-doped carbon microspheres applied to the detection of acetaminophen realizing better electrocatalytic performance compared with N-doped carbon microspheres.<sup>32</sup>

In this study, we synthesize nitrogen and phosphorus co-doped porous carbon nanofibers (N, P-PCNF) and apply them to detect cyproheptadine. The N, P-PCNF is prepared by electrospinning and further carbonization process, with PAN as carbon source, PVP as a porogen, and phosphoric acid as a phosphorus source, respectively. More importantly, the N, P-PCNF can be directly used as electrode modified materials to fabricate the electroluminescent sensor for detecting cyproheptadine.

## 2. Results and discussion

The surface morphology of CNF and N, P-PCNF is clearly shown in Fig. 1a and b. The images exhibit homogeneous network interlaced morphology by continuous fibers whose surface is smooth, which proves the fibrous materials have been synthesized and are beneficial to electrochemical performance. It can be obviously found that there is no difference in external morphology of CNF and N, P-PCNF, which means that nitrogen and phosphorus doping may occur at molecular level.<sup>33</sup> The results of the EDS elemental mapping shown in Fig. 1c–f further demonstrate that nitrogen and phosphorus have been uniformly distributed in the materials.

The element compositions and the chemical bond configurations of samples are analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). According to Fig. 2a, the N, P-PCNF consists of C (81.1%), N (7.38%), O (9.89%), and P (1.62%), and the survey spectrum of the sample shows a predominant C 1s peak at 284.6 eV, O 1s peak at 532 eV, N 1s peak at 400 eV and a weak P 2p peak at 133.3 eV,<sup>34</sup> in which PAN and PVP is nitrogen source. The C 1s peak can be deconvoluted into four peaks, corresponding to C–C (284.7 eV), C–P (285.9 eV), C–O (287.2 eV) and C–N (289 eV) bonding in Fig. 2b.<sup>35,36</sup> As exhibited in Fig. 2c, the peaks of high-resolution O 1s at 531.5 eV and 533 eV are attributed to C=O and C–O, respectively.<sup>37</sup> In Fig. 2d, the N 1s




Fig. 2 XPS survey spectra (a), high-resolution XPS spectra of C 1s peak (b), O 1s peak (c), N 1s peak (d), and P 2p peak of N, P-PCNF (e).






Fig. 3 XRD pattern (a), Raman spectrum (b) of N, P-PCNF,  $N_2$  adsorption–desorption isotherms (c) and pore size distribution curve (d) of the N, P-PCNF.

spectra can be divided into four peaks located at 398.3 eV, 399.8 eV, 401.2 eV and 402.2 eV corresponding to pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N, graphitic-N and oxidized-N, respectively.<sup>33,38</sup> As depicted in Fig. 2e, the high-resolution P 2p spectrum shows two peaks centered at 133 eV and 134.4 eV, corresponding to P–C and P–O, respectively<sup>39,40</sup> and the existence of P–C suggests that P atoms have been successfully incorporated into the carbon framework.

The XRD pattern of N, P-PCNF is shown in Fig. 3a. It can be obviously seen that there are two peaks at  $2\theta = 24^\circ$  and  $43^\circ$  assigned to the (002) plane of graphite structure and the (101) planes of amorphous carbon structure, which confirms that the sample is partially graphitized amorphous carbon material.<sup>41</sup> Fig. 3b shows the Raman spectrum of the material. The two peaks center around  $1590\text{ cm}^{-1}$  representing the  $sp^2$  graphite carbon (G band) and  $1330\text{ cm}^{-1}$  indicating the defects of  $sp^3$  carbon (D band), respectively. The intensity ratio of  $I_D/I_G$  is 1.23, suggesting that the characteristic of N, P-PCNF is disordered and graphite,<sup>42</sup> which is consistent with the results of XRD.

The texture properties of N, P-PCNF are estimated by the  $N_2$  adsorption–desorption measurement, and the results are shown in Fig. 3. The Fig. 3c exhibits that the isotherms of all samples belong to the type I with a steep at low relative pressures and an almost horizontal plateau by IUPAC classification, which proves that the N, P-PCNF possesses micropores.<sup>43</sup> The pore size distribution (PSD) curves are presented in Fig. 3d, indicating that the N, P-PCNF is supposed to be micropore with a fraction of mesopores, which is the result of that gases like

$CO_2$ ,  $N_2O$ ,  $H_2O$  released by PVP to create pores during carbonization process.<sup>44</sup> In addition, the pore volume parameters and the specific surface area (SSA) are also evaluated by the BET method. From CNF to N, P-PCNF, the SSA increases to  $526\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$  from  $94\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$ , meanwhile, the total pore volume increase to  $0.31\text{ cm}^3\text{ g}^{-1}$  from  $0.04\text{ cm}^3\text{ g}^{-1}$ .

## 2.1 Optimization of experimental conditions

In order to get a low background and the best ECL sensitivity of cyproheptadine, the parameters including the type and pH of buffer solution, the volume of N, P-PCNF modification, the concentration of  $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ , the scan rate and the negative high voltage were optimized.

**2.1.1 Optimization of N, P-PCNF modification.** Fig. 4a shows that the effect of N, P-PCNF modification on ECL intensity. ECL intensity increases with the increasing amount of N, P-PCNF from 2 to  $4\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ , and reaches a maximum at  $4\text{ }\mu\text{L}$  followed by decreasing at the range of 5 to  $6\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ . This can be understood as that the material can accelerate electron transfer by the synergistic effect of heteroatoms thus improving ECL intensity.<sup>19</sup> The decrease of intensity may be due to that the thick film hider the signal and the dark color of material has a negative effect on the intensity.<sup>45</sup> Hence,  $4\text{ }\mu\text{L}$  is selected as the optimal modification.

**2.1.2 Optimization of the type and pH of the buffer solution.** The relationship between the type and pH of the buffer solution and the ECL intensity are illustrated in Fig. 4b. The






Fig. 4 Optimization of experimental conditions: (a) the volume of N, P-PCNF, (b) the buffer type and pH value, (c) the concentration of Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup>, (d) the scanning rate and (e) the high voltage.

ECL signals of phosphate buffer saline (PBS) are all higher than those of borate buffer solution (BBS). Moreover, the ECL intensity increases rapidly ranging from 6.0 to 7.5 and reaches a maximum at 7.5, which indicates that the higher pH contributes to deprotonation and thereby enhancing ECL intensity. Therefore, pH 7.5 phosphorus buffer solution is used for further experiments.

**2.1.3 Effects of the concentration of Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup>.** The concentration of Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup> has a crucial effect on the ECL intensity, thus the effect of the concentration of Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup> is studied at 2.5 × 10<sup>-5</sup>, 5 × 10<sup>-5</sup>, 1.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup>, 2.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup>, 4.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively and keep other factors constant (Fig. 4c). The experimental results show that the ECL intensity increases with the concentration up to 1.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup>, indicating the generation of more Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>3+</sup>, and then decreases slightly. Therefore, 1.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup> is used in the following experiments to avoid the excessive consumption of luminescence reagents.

**2.1.4 Optimization of instrument parameters.** The scan rate and negative high voltage affect not only the service life of the instrument, but also the sensitivity and stability of the ECL signals, thus it is necessary to optimize the two factors. Based on the experimental data, Fig. 4d shows that the ECL intensity is highest when the scan rate is 0.1 V s<sup>-1</sup>, which is due to that the ECL efficiency is up to the rate of generation/annihilation of the excited state [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup>]\* to a larger extent,<sup>46</sup> meanwhile, the negative high voltage is -800 V with a low signal-noise ratio and good for the photomultiplier in Fig. 4e. Hence, the scan rate of 0.1 V s<sup>-1</sup> and the negative high voltage of -800 V is employed in subsequent experiments.

## 2.2 The detection of cyproheptadine on the N, P-PCNF/GCE

Under the optimum conditions, the detection of cyproheptadine is carried out on the N, P-PCNF/GCE. As shown in Fig. 5a, the ECL intensity increases with the increasing concentration of cyproheptadine. There is a good linear




Fig. 5 The ECL intensity with different concentrations of cyproheptadine (a) and the calibration curve of ECL responses to cyproheptadine concentration (b).





Table 1 The comparison of different methods for the determination of CPH

Methods	Linear range (mol L <sup>-1</sup> )	Detection limit (mol L <sup>-1</sup> )	References
DLLME <sup>a</sup> -HPLC	$5.8 \times 10^{-7}$ to $1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.83 \times 10^{-8}$	47
HPLC	$2.9 \times 10^{-7}$ to $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	—	6
LC-MS/MS	$2.9 \times 10^{-9}$ to $2.9 \times 10^{-7}$	$2.52 \times 10^{-9}$	7
UV-spectrophotometric	$5.9 \times 10^{-6}$ to $5.9 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.14 \times 10^{-7}$	1
ECL	$1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ to $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.89 \times 10^{-8}$	This work


<sup>a</sup> Dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction.

Fig. 6 The interference study of N, P-PCNF modified GCE for detecting CPH.

relationship between the concentration and ECL intensity ranging from  $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$  to  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>, and the linear equation is  $y = 175.83x + 8.49$  ( $R^2 = 0.999$ , Fig. 5b). The limit of detection (LOD) is calculated as  $2.89 \times 10^{-8}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> (S/N = 3). Compared with other methods of detecting cyproheptadine as depicted in Table 1, the N, P-PCNF/GCE for the detection of cyproheptadine has a wide detection range and high sensitivity.

### 2.3 Selectivity, reproducibility, and repeatability of the modified electrode

The selectivity of N, P-PCNF modified GCE for detecting cyproheptadine is studied by an interference experiment at the optimal conditions. Fig. 6 illustrates that 500 times of Na<sup>+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, 300 times of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, 200 times of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>, 25 times glucose, and 5 times of sucrose and ascorbic acid have no interference on the detection of  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> cyproheptadine, suggesting a good selectivity.

The high reproducibility and repeatability are important characters for a modified electrode. In this study, the reproducibility is investigated by that five modified electrodes fabricated by the same method to detect cyproheptadine, and the relative standard deviation (RSD) at 3.97% shows good reproducibility. Meanwhile, a modified electrode is used to detect cyproheptadine repeatedly for ten times and the RSD at 4.36% indicating a good repeatability.

### 2.4 Analysis of the real samples

To evaluate the practicability of the proposed method, the N, P-PCNF modified GCE is used to detect cyproheptadine in tablets of cyproheptadine hydrochloride. Ten cyproheptadine hydrochloride tablets are crushed to mix evenly, and the mass of one tablet is weighed and dissolved in deionized water to configure  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> solution. The sample is detected at the optimum conditions and the RSD is 4.07%. As exhibited in Table 2, the recoveries are in the range of 99.35% to 100.64%, showing the method mentioned for the determination of cyproheptadine is effective in practice.

## 3. Conclusions

In this study, the N, P-PCNF is fabricated successfully by electrospinning the mix solution of PAN, PVP and phosphoric acid followed by carbonization. The resulting N, P-PCNF with the N and P doped offers a large specific surface area, excellent conductivity, more active sites by increasing more defects, and well defined porous structure which accelerates electron transfer. The results demonstrate that N, P-PCNF/GCE has a good electrocatalytic activity to the determination of CPH, and can be applied in the detection of real samples due to high sensitivity, low detection limit, wide detection range and good recoveries.

Table 2 Results of detecting cyproheptadine in real samples and the recoveries

Sample ( $\times 10^{-5}$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> )	Added ( $\times 10^{-6}$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> )	Actual ( $\times 10^{-6}$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> )	Founded ( $\times 10^{-6}$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> )	Recovery (%)	R. S. D. (% $n = 3$ )
1.0	1.0	5.5	$5.56 \pm 0.03$	100.64	1.16
	5.0	7.5	$7.30 \pm 0.20$	99.35	1.97
	10.0	10.0	$10.00 \pm 0.50$	99.92	3.76



## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 20968005) and the Opening Project of Guangxi Key Laboratory of Clean Pulp & Papermaking and Pollution Control (KF201812-4).

## References

- 1 S. D. Patil, T. Dugaje and S. J. Kshirsagar, *Asian J. Res. Chem.*, 2019, **12**, 112–115.
- 2 Y.-H. Lin, S.-K. Hung, W.-Y. Chiou, M.-S. Lee, B.-J. Shen, L.-C. Chen, D.-W. Liu, W.-T. Tsai, P.-H. Lin and Y.-T. Shih, *Medicine*, 2016, **95**(34), 1–9.
- 3 J. C. Szepletowski and R. Reszke, in *Itch-Management in Clinical Practice*, Karger Publishers, 2016, vol. 50, pp. 124–132.
- 4 S. L. Merhar, S. P. Pentiuik, V. A. Mukkada, J. Meinzen-Derr, A. Kaul and D. R. Butler, *Acta Paediatr.*, 2016, **105**, 967–970.
- 5 M. Guo, L. Sun, L. Liu, S. Song, H. Kuang and G. Cui, *Food Agric. Immunol.*, 2018, **29**, 941–952.
- 6 J. Yang, Z. Wang, T. Zhou, X. Song, Q. Liu, Y. Zhang and L. He, *J. Chromatogr. B*, 2015, **990**, 39–44.
- 7 X. Feás, L. Ye, S. V. Hosseini, C. A. Fente and A. Cepeda, *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.*, 2009, **50**, 1044–1049.
- 8 D. Zhu, X. Li, J. Sun and T. You, *Talanta*, 2012, **88**, 265–271.
- 9 J. Sun, W. Gao, L. Qi, Y. Song, P. Hui, Z. Liu and G. Xu, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2018, **410**, 2315–2320.
- 10 J. Yin, X. Chen and Z. Chen, *Microchem. J.*, 2019, **145**, 295–300.
- 11 Y. Wu, Z. Zheng, J. Yang, Y. Lin, X. Zhang, Y. Chen and W. Gao, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2018, **817**, 118–127.
- 12 T. H. Fereja, S. A. Kitte, D. Snizhko, L. Qi, A. Nsabimana, Z. Liu and G. Xu, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2018, **410**, 6779–6785.
- 13 C. Miao, A. Zhang, Y. Xu, S. Chen, F. Ma, C. Huang and N. Jia, *Sensor. Actuator. B Chem.*, 2015, **213**, 5–11.
- 14 L. Li, X. Zhang, Z. Zhang, M. Zhang, L. Cong, Y. Pan and S. Lin, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 16635–16644.
- 15 Z. He, M. Li, Y. Li, J. Zhu, Y. Jiang, W. Meng, H. Zhou, L. Wang and L. Dai, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, **281**, 601–610.
- 16 L. Li, T. Zhou, G. Sun, Z. Li, W. Yang, J. Jia and G. Yang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **152**, 31–37.
- 17 L. Wu, X. Zhang and H. Ju, *Anal. Chem.*, 2007, **79**, 453–458.
- 18 Q. Zhao, H. Xie, H. Ning, J. Liu, H. Zhang, L. Wang, X. Wang, Y. Zhu, S. Li and M. Wu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, **737**, 330–336.
- 19 W. Yang, W. Yang, L. Kong, A. Song, X. Qin and G. Shao, *Carbon*, 2018, **127**, 557–567.
- 20 S. Agarwal, A. Greiner and J. H. Wendorff, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2013, **38**, 963–991.
- 21 M. Zhang, X. Zhao, G. Zhang, G. Wei and Z. Su, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2017, **5**, 1699–1711.
- 22 D. Cheng, R. Xie, T. Tang, X. Jia, Q. Cai and X. Yang, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 3870–3881.
- 23 Z. W. Liu, F. Peng, H. J. Wang, H. Yu, W. X. Zheng and J. Yang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 3257–3261.
- 24 X. Zhao, G. Nie, Y. Luan, X. Wang, S. Yan and Y.-Z. Long, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, **808**, 151737.
- 25 Z. He, M. Li, Y. Li, L. Wang, J. Zhu, W. Meng, C. Li, H. Zhou and L. Dai, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **469**, 423–430.
- 26 N. Lu, C. Shao, X. Li, F. Miao, K. Wang and Y. Liu, *Ceram. Int.*, 2016, **42**, 11285–11293.
- 27 N. Alexeyeva, E. Shulga, V. Kisand, I. Kink and K. Tammeveski, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2010, **648**, 169–175.
- 28 K. R. Lee, K. U. Lee, J. W. Lee, B. T. Ahn and S. I. Woo, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2010, **12**, 1052–1055.
- 29 R. Cui, D. Xu, X. Xie, Y. Yi, Y. Quan, M. Zhou, J. Gong, Z. Han and G. Zhang, *Food Chem.*, 2017, **221**, 457–463.
- 30 Y. Li, G. Wang, T. Wei, Z. Fan and P. Yan, *Nano Energy*, 2016, **19**, 165–175.
- 31 J. Zhang, L. Qu, G. Shi, J. Liu, J. Chen and L. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 2230–2234.
- 32 X. Liu, W. Li, T. Zeng, S. Zhang, B. Zhou, M. Li, P. Zhao and C. Peng, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2019, **166**, B1491–B1496.
- 33 Z. He, M. Li, Y. Li, L. Wang, J. Zhu, W. Meng, C. Li, H. Zhou and L. Dai, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **469**, 423–430.
- 34 Z. Zhang, X. Li, C. Wang, S. Fu, Y. Liu and C. Shao, *Macromol. Mater. Eng.*, 2009, **294**, 673–678.
- 35 J. Wu, X. Zheng, C. Jin, J. Tian and R. Yang, *Carbon*, 2015, **92**, 327–338.
- 36 D. Li, D. Wang, K. Rui, Z. Ma, L. Xie, J. Liu, Y. Zhang, R. Chen, Y. Yan and H. Lin, *J. Power Sources*, 2018, **384**, 27–33.
- 37 D. Qin, S. Gao, L. Wang, H. Shen, N. Yalikun, P. Sukhrov, T. Wagberg, Y. Zhao, X. Mamat and G. Hu, *Microchim. Acta*, 2017, **184**, 2759–2766.
- 38 M. Borghei, N. Laocharoen, E. Kibena-Pöldsepp, L.-S. Johansson, J. Campbell, E. Kauppinen, K. Tammeveski and O. J. Rojas, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **204**, 394–402.
- 39 J. Wu, C. Jin, Z. Yang, J. Tian and R. Yang, *Carbon*, 2015, **82**, 562–571.
- 40 J. P. Paraknowitsch, Y. Zhang, B. Wienert and A. Thomas, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 1208–1210.
- 41 W. Li, Z. Chen, J. Li, X. Chen, H. Xuan and X. Wang, *Mater. Sci. Eng., A*, 2008, **485**, 481–486.
- 42 Z. Zhang, X. Li, C. Wang, S. Fu, Y. Liu and C. Shao, *Macromol. Mater. Eng.*, 2009, **294**, 673–678.
- 43 X. Li, Y. Zhao, Y. Bai, X. Zhao, R. Wang, Y. Huang, Q. Liang and Z. Huang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, **230**, 445–453.
- 44 Y. Liu, L.-Z. Fan and L. Jiao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 1698–1705.
- 45 Y. Wu, X. Li, X. Tan, D. Feng, J. Yan, H. Zhang, X. Chen, Z. Huang and H. Han, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, **282**, 672–679.
- 46 D. Feng, Y. Wu, X. Tan, Q. Chen, J. Yan, M. Liu, C. Ai, Y. Luo, F. Du, S. Liu and H. Han, *Sensor. Actuator. B Chem.*, 2018, **265**, 378–386.
- 47 M. Maham, V. Kiarostami, S. Waqif-Husain, P. Abroomand-Azar, M. Tehrani, M. Sharifabadi, H. Afrouzi, M. Shapouri and R. Karami-Osboo, *Iran. J. Pharm. Res.*, 2013, **12**(2), 311.

